Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2017 December 19; 16(1): 108–118. doi:10.1039/c7ob02386c.

Ligand-free, palladacycle-facilitated Suzuki coupling of hindered 2-arylbenzothiazole derivatives yields potent and selective COX-2 inhibitors

Mohamed S. A. Elsayed, Siran Chang, and Mark Cushman*

[†]Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, and the Purdue Center for Cancer Research, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

Abstract

A similarity search and molecular modeling study suggested the 2'-aryl-2-aryl benzothiazole framework as a novel scaffold for the design of COX-2-selective inhibitors. Conventional Suzuki coupling conditions did not furnish the designed compounds in good yield from 2'-bromo-2-aryl benzothiazole as the starting material. A novel ligand-free Suzuki-Miyaura coupling methodology was developed for sterically hindered 2'-bromo-2-aryl benzothiazoles. The reaction depends on the coordination properties of the benzothiazole ring nitrogen, which is involved in the formation of a palladacyclic intermediate that was synthesized independently and converted to the final product. The new method provides good to excellent yields (up to 99%) with favorable functional group tolerability. Six compounds had potencies in the submicromolar range against COX-2 and higher selectivity for COX-2 vs. COX-1 compared to the currently used drug celecoxib. Molecular modeling was used to investigate the possible binding mode with COX-2.

Graphical Abstract

Introduction

Inflammation is a complex defensive process initiated by the immune system in response to various internal or external stimuli. Although it starts as a defensive mechanism, prolonged inflammation is a major cause of tissue damage.¹ Inflammation is also involved in the

*Corresponding author, cushman@purdue.edu.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

pathogenesis of many chronic peripheral and central nervous system disorders.^{2, 3} Prostaglandins (PGs) are the major chemical mediators of inflammation and they are responsible for various chemical and cellular events leading to inflammation (Figure 1).⁴ For many years, inflammation has been treated with steroids that inhibit the release of arachidonic acid and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) that non-selectively inhibit cyclooxygenases 1 and 2 (COX-1 and COX-2), the key enzymes responsible for the synthesis of PGs.⁵ Non-selective NSAIDs suffer from many side effects which, in many cases, are not tolerable because these drugs are usually used for the treatment of chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis.^{6, 7} These side effects range from gastric ulcers to renal failure.⁸ The side effects associated with selective or nonselective COX inhibitors are mainly due to the loss of the beneficial and homeostatic roles of PGs.^{8, 9} COX-2-selective inhibitors (Figure 2) have been introduced as safer anti-inflammatory agents with lower side effects than are usually associated with NSAIDs.¹⁰ COX-2 inhibitors have also been investigated for the treatment of inflammation-related cancers and neurological disorders.^{11–16}

Unfortunately, two of these COX-2 selective inhibitors (rofecoxib and valdecoxib) were withdrawn from the US market due to cardiovascular side effects.¹⁷ This underscored that fact that there is still a need for the development of safe, effective and selective COX-2 inhibitors to overcome these drawbacks.

The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction is an extremely useful method for C-C bond formation. Throughout the years, this reaction has been optimized for the synthesis of various compounds and thousands of ligands have been developed to provide better yields or to increase functional group tolerability.^{18, 19} General procedures for Suzuki-Miyaura coupling involve the use of a Pd catalyst, a ligand, and a base in a suitable solvent. Unfortunately, not all compounds are good substrates for the usual Suzuki conditions and some functional groups are not tolerated very well. It has been reported that Suzuki reactions involving ortho-heterocycle-tethered, sterically hindered substrates are challenging and need special conditions to proceed. In addition, the reported procedures either give very low yields or require complex and expensive catalysts or ligands.^{20–22} The present report describes a simple and efficient ligand-free Suzuki-Miyaura type coupling reaction with good to excellent yields for the synthesis of 1,2-diaryl/arylheteroaryl-substituted heterocyclic frameworks.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

This project originated from a study of the pharmacology of 2-arylbenzothiazoles. Compound **8a** (Figure 4) was suggested as a potential COX-2 inhibitor based on a similarity search and a molecular modeling study, and therefore coupling chemistry was investigated as a possible strategy for its synthesis. First, the 2-aryl-2'-bromo benzothiazoles **6a–d** were prepared from the corresponding commercially available carboxylic acids **5a–d** using the reported literature procedures (Scheme 1).²³ Compound **7** was synthesized from **6b** by benzylic bromination followed by nucleophilic substitution of the bromine atom with dimethylamine as shown in Scheme 2.

The reported conventional Suzuki coupling reaction conditions for the synthesis of compound **8a** were investigated (Table 1).²² The reported conditions involved the use of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) as the catalyst complex in a mixture of ethanol and water as the solvent. Unfortunately, the reaction of **6a** proceeded with only 2% yield (entry 1, Table 1). As this coupling step was critical for the synthesis of the target compounds, an attempt was made to optimize it. Various reactions conditions, catalysts, solvents and bases were applied in order to find the optimal conditions (Table 1). Since oxidative addition is the rate-determining step in Suzuki reaction,²⁴ the literature was explored for similar hindered ring systems. Because the nitrogen atom in the benzothiazole ring of the reactant could possibly function as a ligand and as a directing group for the oxidative addition step, the ligand was removed from the reaction mixture and Pd(OAc)₂ was applied as the catalyst to enhance the yield to 65% (entry 11, Table 1). This encouraged further optimization by changing the catalysts, base and solvent system. The use of Pd₂(dba)₃ slightly increased the yield to 68% (entry 14). Changing the solvent to dioxane increased the yield further (entries 15–17). The employment of Pd(0) as in Pd₂(dba)₃ in a 2:1 solvent mixture of dioxane and water in the presence of sodium carbonate as the base provided the optimum conditions for this reaction, resulting in a yield of 83% (entry 16). Although the base was not absolutely necessary for this reaction, its presence produced higher yields (entries 17 and 18).

The mechanism proposed for the coupling reaction takes into consideration the coordination properties of the benzothiazole nitrogen (Figure 3). The reaction is hypothesized to proceed via a Pd(0)/Pd(II) catalytic cycle. The nitrogen atom of the benzothiazole ring is assumed to coordinate the Pd, which will facilitate the rate-limiting oxidative addition step. The organopalladium complex will then react with the boronic acid in the presence of the base via a transmetallation process. The final step involves the coupling of the two aromatic rings and reductive elimination of the Pd metal.

Evidence was sought in support of the proposed palladium complex in Figure 3 (bottom). The reaction of compound **6b**, having a methyl replacement for the amino group of **6a**, with Pd₂(dba)₃ in toluene at 80 °C led to the isolation of the palladium complex **9** as a gold amorphous solid in 99% yield (Scheme 3). The MALDI mass spectrum of **9** displayed the isotope cluster with twelve peaks expected for a substance having one palladium atom and one bromine atom, and the ¹H NMR spectrum of the complex integrated for seven aromatic protons relative to the three methyl hydrogens. The complex had very limited solubility in a wide variety of different solvents and it failed to crystallize even after exhaustive experimentation. However, it could be converted to the expected product **8b** by subjecting it to the conditions used in the overall Suzuki coupling reaction (Na₂CO₃ in aq dioxane) in the presence of 3-hydroxyphenylboronic acid, thus establishing the palladium complex **9** as a true reaction intermediate (Scheme 3).

The similarity search mentioned above was conducted based on the structure of **8a** using the ChEMBL database in order to find structurally similar biologically active compounds.²⁵ Compound **8a** was indicated to have high structural similarity to the selective COX-2 drug etoricoxib.²⁶ Based on this, analogs of **8a** were synthesized in order to explore their structure-activity relationships. At the same time, the scope of the reaction was defined. Scheme 4 and Figure 4 show the scope and the reaction yields. The optimized reaction

conditions provided good to excellent yields with broad functional group tolerability. Free phenols, amines, sulfonamides and sulfones reacted easily with up to 99% yield.

The 2-aryl ring of the reactant can also be phenyl or pyridyl without affecting the reactivity. This demonstrates that the new coupling reaction has potential versatility for the general synthesis of this type of triaryl ring system.

In order to further explore the scope of this approach, it was applied to the 2-aryl benzoxazole **10**. Use of the $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PCy}_3)_2$ ²¹ catalyst and the mixed $\text{Ni}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{PdCl}_2$ catalyst²⁰ were considered, and a decision was made to carry out a direct comparison of the latter with $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ to see if the complex palladium-nickel binary nanocluster catalyst could be avoided and still maintain a high yield. The yield reported for the mixed $\text{Ni}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{PdCl}_2$ nanocluster catalyst was 82%, while the same authors reported 16–27% and 12–14% yields when $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2/\text{biphenyl}$ or $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2/\text{PCy}_3$ were used alone.²⁰ The present optimized methodology using $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ in aqueous dioxane provided compound **11** in 95% yield with a simple catalyst and synthetic operation as shown in Scheme 5.

Biological Assay

All of the products were tested for their inhibitory activities against COX-1 and COX-2. First, the percent inhibition of the enzyme activity was measured at a single concentration of 10 μM . Afterwards, the IC_{50} values for compounds that produced more than 50% inhibition were determined. Table 2 lists the biological activities of the compounds against COX-1 and COX-2. A total of 15 compounds were tested in the single dose assay, of which 6 compounds showed higher than 50% inhibition of COX-2 with very low inhibition of COX-1 compared to celecoxib as a positive control. Compounds **8c** and **8d** with a methyl group in the 2-phenyl ring and compounds **8l–o** with 2-pyridyl ring have very good potencies and selectivities. The IC_{50} values of these 6 compounds were determined against the two enzymes. Compound **8n** with a benzene sulfonamide side chain was the most active and selective compound with weaker potency (0.21 μM) than celecoxib (0.079 μM) and slightly more favorable selectivity (>476 compared to 442). On the other hand, the IC_{50} values vs. COX-2 for the six active compounds reported in Table 2 compare favorably with the 5.0 μM value reported for etoricoxib.²⁷ The celecoxib (positive control) IC_{50} values against COX-2 and COX-1 were very close to the values reported in the literature (0.069 and 30 μM , respectively).^{28, 29} The activities of the 6 compounds against COX-1 were very weak with IC_{50} values higher than 100 μM . Compounds **8c**, **8d** and **8o** were also very active and selective with IC_{50} values of 0.25, 0.23 and 0.23 μM , respectively, and all showed >400 selectivity index for COX-2 vs. COX-1. Compounds **8a**, **8b** and **8e–k** were not very active against COX-2 at the concentration of 10 μM with percent inhibition values from 0 to 35%, suggesting that a hydroxyphenyl side chain is not beneficial for the activity of this class of COX-2 inhibitors. Compound **8h** displayed good percent inhibition against COX-1 and weak activity against COX-2, suggesting that it might be optimized as a COX-1 inhibitor. This was the only compound bearing a methoxy group, which might play a role in binding to COX-1 more strongly than COX-2. The benzenesulfonamide side chain was the best side chain for activity and selectivity as shown with compounds **8c** and **8n**. Moreover, the

chloropyridyl ring system present in **8n** and **8o** is similar to that of etoricoxib and contributed very good activity.

Molecular Modeling

A molecular docking study was conducted in order to investigate the possible binding mode of our compounds to COX enzymes. The active compounds were docked in COX-2 using GOLD and then the selected poses were energy minimized. The docked compounds had a very similar binding mode as celecoxib. Figure 5 illustrates the binding mode of compound **8n** with COX-2. The sulfonamide group makes two hydrogen bonds with Arg499 and Gln178, while the benzothiazole ring is directed to the hydrophobic pocket deep inside the enzyme. Comparing the binding mode of **8n** with celecoxib revealed that the pyridine ring of **8n** is superimposed on the pyrazole ring of celecoxib while the benzothiazole moiety is aligned with the tolyl ring. At the same time the sulfonamides of the two compounds hydrogen bond similarly to the enzyme.

In order to investigate the relatively good inhibitory activity of compound **8h** against COX-1, it was docked in the active site of the enzyme (PDB: 3KK6). The docking calculations indicated that the methoxy group is involved in a hydrogen bond interaction with the Ser516 residue, which is not present in COX-2 (Figure 6). Compound **8h** was also docked in the active site of COX-2 to compare the binding interaction and the reason behind the selectivity. Figure 7 illustrates the docking pose obtained from the docking calculations and shows the absence of any hydrogen bonding interaction with the enzyme. The methoxy group in Figure 7 is far from the Arg499 residue that makes the critical interaction with compound **8n** (Figure 5).

Conclusion

An efficient, simple and high-yielding ligand-free Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction was optimized for the synthesis of a variety of 2'-aryl-2-aryl-benzothiazoles. The hypothetical palladacyclic intermediate was independently synthesized and converted to the Suzuki coupling product, thus providing direct evidence for its role in the overall reaction. A similarity search was conducted to identify the biological target of the new compounds. Fifteen compounds were synthesized via the optimized reaction and tested for their inhibitory activities against COX-2 and COX-1. Compound **8n** was found to be the most potent and selective COX-2 inhibitor of the series. Molecular docking was used to explore the possible binding mode of the new compounds with COX-2.

Experimental

General

Melting points were determined with a Mel-Temp apparatus using capillary tubes and are uncorrected. The proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H NMR) were recorded using an ARX300 300 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FTIR spectrometer. For purities estimated by HPLC, the major peak accounted for 95% of the combined total peak area when monitored by a UV detector at 254 nm. HPLC analyses were performed on a Waters 1525 binary HPLC pump/Waters 2487

dual λ absorbance detector system. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was conducted on Baker-flex silica gel IB2-F plates, and compounds were visualized with UV light at 254 nm. Silica gel flash chromatography was performed using 230–400 mesh silica gel.

Chemistry

4-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-3-bromoaniline (6a)—A mixture of the acid **5a** (1.96 g, 8 mmol), 2-aminothiophenol (1 g, 8 mmol), tetrabutylammonium bromide (2.58 g, 8 mmol) and triphenyl phosphite (2.47 g, 8 mmol) was heated in an oil bath at 140 °C for 2 h. After cooling the reaction mixture down to room temperature, methanol (20 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 10 min. The orange solid was filtered off and washed thoroughly with methanol to give **6a** as an orange powder (1.2 g, 44.8 %): mp 212–216 °C. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.03 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.3 Hz, 1 H); MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 304/306 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_9\text{BrN}_2\text{S}$ (MH) $^+$ 304.9743, found 304.9744.

2-(2-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)benzo[d]thiazole (6b)—This compound was prepared using the same procedure as used for compound **6a** but using EtOAc-hexanes (1:9) column chromatography for purification to give **6b** as white solid (1.4 g, 57%): mp 124–126 °C. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.13 (dd, J = 8.7, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.94 (ddd, J = 8.0, 2.4, 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.57 (s, 1 H), 7.55–7.49 (m, 1 H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 1 H), 7.25 (q, J = 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H); MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 303/305 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{BrNS}$ (MH) $^+$ 303.9790, found 303.9791.

2-(3-Bromopyridin-2-yl)benzo[d]thiazole (6c)—This compound was isolated as white solid (0.56 g, 39%): mp 123–125 °C. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.68 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.20 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.12 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.97 (dd, J = 7.1, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dtd, J = 15.0, 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (q, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H); MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 290/292 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_7\text{BrN}_2\text{S}$ (MH) $^+$ 290.9586, found 290.9587.

2-(3-Bromo-5-chloropyridin-2-yl)benzo[d]thiazole (6d)—This compound was isolated as white powder (0.36 g, 23%): mp 135–137 °C. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.61 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.13 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 1 H), 7.46 (td, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 166.20, 154.05, 146.77, 146.49, 142.14, 136.31, 132.36, 126.18, 124.33, 121.49, 118.00; MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 324/326 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_6\text{BrClN}_2\text{S}$ (MH) $^+$ 324.9196, found 324.9193.

1-(4-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-3-bromophenyl)-*N,N*-dimethylmethanamine (7)—Compound **6b** (0.5 g, 1.64 mmol), NBS (0.32 g, 1.80 mmol) and AIBN (50 mg, 0.3 mmol, 0.18 equiv) were mixed in CCl_4 (50 mL). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h and then cooled down to room temperature, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to give the benzyl bromide derivative, which was used without further purification. The product from the previous reaction was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and then potassium carbonate

(100 mg, 0.72 mmol, 0.44 equiv) and excess dimethylamine (2 M solution in THF, 2 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 18 h and then the THF was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in CHCl_3 (30 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (1 x 20 mL) and brine (1 x 20 mL), dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a yellow residue. Compound **7** was purified using column chromatography with CHCl_3 -MeOH (9.5:0.5) as the solvent system to give a brownish solid (0.35 g, 63%): mp 185–187 °C. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.13 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.96 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.72 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.56 – 7.50 (m, 1 H), 7.46 – 7.37 (m, 2 H), 3.47 (s, 2 H), 2.28 (s, 6 H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 165.49, 152.60, 142.77, 136.00, 134.23, 133.04, 131.91, 128.06, 126.18, 125.33, 123.40, 121.87, 121.33, 63.09, 45.27; MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 347/349 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{15}\text{BrN}_2\text{S}$ (MH) $^+$ 347.0211, found 347.0212.

General Procedures for Ligand-free Suzuki Coupling

The bromo compounds (**6a–d**, **7**) (50 mg) were mixed with boronic acid derivatives (1.1 equivalent), $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$ (10 mol%) and sodium carbonate (2 equivalent) in an oven-dried round-bottom flask. Dioxane (6 mL) and water (3 mL) were then added to the mixture. Argon was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 5 min and then the mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h under argon. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (15 mL) and then the organic layer was separated, washed with water (2 x 15 mL) and brine (1 x 15 mL), dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a residue. The residue was purified with column chromatography, using EtOAc- hexanes (2:8) or CHCl_3 -MeOH (9:1), to give the coupling products (**8a–o**).

5'-Amino-2'-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (8a)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (43 mg, 83%): mp 215–217 °C. IR (thin film) 3451, 3349, 1637, 1596, 1558, 1516, 1454, 1433, 1310, 1260, 1222, 1149, 1086 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 9.55 (s, 1 H), 7.86 – 7.78 (m, 3 H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.61 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.43 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.78 (s, 2 H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 168.1, 157.2, 152.52, 151.03, 143.32, 135.78, 131.55, 131.26, 131.08, 126.02, 124.44, 122.11, 121.71, 119.74, 115.66, 112.88; MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 319 (MH) $^+$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{OS}$ (MH) $^+$ 319.0900, found 319.0900; HPLC purity, 98.31% (MeOH- H_2O , 85:15).

2'-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol (8b)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (48 mg, 92 %): mp 203–205 °C. IR (thin film) 3062, 1604, 1576, 1483, 1454, 1431, 1312, 1214, 1177, 1158, 1125 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 9.47 (s, 1 H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.94 – 7.89 (m, 2 H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 – 6.71 (m, 1 H), 6.70 – 6.61 (m, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 167.31, 157.59, 152.54, 141.59, 141.35, 140.62, 136.31, 131.57, 130.33, 129.90, 129.54, 128.85, 126.47, 125.41, 123.04, 122.19, 120.71, 116.83, 115.34, 21.15; MALDIMS m/z (rel intensity) 318 (MH) $^{++}$; HRESIMS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{15}\text{NOS}$ (MH) $^+$ 318.0947, found 318.0948; HPLC purity, 95.25% (MeOH- H_2O , 85:15).

Compound **8b** was also prepared from the isolated palladium complex **9** as follows. The Pd complex **9** (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) was mixed with 3-hydroxyphenyl boronic acid (13 mg, 0.1 mmol) and sodium carbonate (15 mg, 0.15 mmol) in a round-bottomed flask and then dioxane (3 mL) and water (1.5 mL) were added. Argon gas was bubbled into the solution for 2 min and then the flask was evacuated and refilled with argon twice. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and then cooled to room temperature, diluted with water (5 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, evaporated, and the residue was purified with column chromatography to afford **8b** as white solid (13 mg, 84%). The spectral data were identical to compound **8b** prepared under general procedures described above.

2'-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-sulfonamide (8c)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (49 mg, 78%): mp 206–210 °C. IR (thin film) 3291, 3033, 1596, 1454, 1428, 1393, 1341, 1317, 1259, 1158, 1094 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.97–7.92 (m, 2 H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.49–7.43 (m, 3 H), 7.42–7.33 (m, 4 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 166.87, 152.85, 143.66, 140.98, 139.97, 136.05, 131.93, 130.87, 130.43, 129.56, 129.56, 126.69, 126.04, 125.64, 123.21, 122.32, 21.16; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 381 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₂₀H₁₆N₂O₂S₂ (MH)⁺ 381.0725, found 381.0724; HPLC purity, 98.75% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2-(5-Methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)benzo[d]thiazole (8d)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (56 mg, 89%): mp 182–184 °C. IR (thin film) 2921, 2851, 1664, 1595, 1434, 1304, 1278, 1148, 1085, cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.99 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.96–7.86 (m, 4 H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.51–7.29 (m, 4 H), 3.24 (s, 3 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.75, 152.93, 146.37, 140.60, 139.36, 139.28, 136.12, 131.32, 130.80, 130.68, 129.85, 129.41, 127.19, 126.08, 125.06, 123.14, 121.33, 44.48, 21.28; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 380 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₂₁H₁₇NOS₂ (MH)⁺ 380.0773, found 380.0774; HPLC purity, 99.89% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine (8e)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as yellow powder (49 mg, 99%): mp 148–152 °C. IR (thin film) 3356, 3210, 1593, 1556, 1431, 1335, 1258, 1137 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.87–7.80 (m, 2 H), 7.76 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.40–7.31 (m, 4 H), 7.27–7.17 (m, 3 H), 6.67 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.85 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.86, 152.61, 151.09, 143.11, 140.99, 135.67, 134.03, 131.77, 129.85, 128.74, 128.22, 127.76, 126.17, 124.56, 122.23, 121.73, 119.54, 115.52, 113.21; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 303 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₄N₂S (MH)⁺ 303.0950, found 303.0953; HPLC purity, 95.96% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2'-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (8f)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (45 mg, 87%): mp 201–203 °C. IR (thin film) 2917, 1608, 1579, 1514, 1455, 1428, 1338, 1275, 1256, 1214, 1168, 1097 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.58 (s, 1 H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.35–7.27 (m, 2 H), 7.18 (s, 1 H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.53, 157.47, 152.42, 141.62, 140.51, 136.24, 131.90, 131.22, 130.41, 130.26, 129.64, 128.42, 126.43, 125.37, 122.97, 122.16, 115.73, 21.16; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 318 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₂₀H₁₅NOS (MH)⁺ 318.0947, found 318.0947; HPLC purity, 97.94% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

5'-Amino-2'-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol (8g)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (44 mg, 85%): mp 198–201 °C. IR (thin film) 3460, 3374, 2918, 1625, 1594, 1449, 1429, 1316, 1258 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.46 (s, 1 H), 7.90–7.75 (m, 3 H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.69–6.58 (m, 3 H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.83 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.91, 157.54, 152.55, 151.01, 143.22, 142.14, 135.83, 131.65, 129.84, 126.12, 124.51, 122.20, 121.74, 120.61, 119.49, 116.73, 115.24, 113.14; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 319 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₄N₂OS (MH)⁺ 319.0900, found 319.0901; HPLC purity, 96.24% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-4'-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine (8h)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as yellow powder (53 mg, 97%): mp 175–177 °C. IR (thin film) 3357, 1598, 1558, 1505, 1452, 1431, 1336, 1256, 1241, 1172, 1027 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.90 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 4.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (t, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.71 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.88 (s, 2 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 168.59, 159.92, 153.13, 151.63, 143.42, 136.29, 133.55, 132.25, 131.65, 126.68, 125.05, 122.73, 122.32, 120.27, 116.39, 114.76, 113.59, 55.96; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 333 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₂₀H₁₆N₂OS (MH)⁺ 333.1056, found 333.1057; HPLC purity, 95.88% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2'-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5'-((dimethylamino)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (8i)—This compound was prepared using the general procedures described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (40 mg, 75%): mp 227–229 °C. IR (thin film) 2957, 1608, 1579, 1505, 1460, 1433, 1409, 1277, 11231 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.61 (s, 1 H), 7.98 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 3.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 9.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.57 (s, 2 H), 2.24 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.40, 157.77, 152.51, 141.62, 136.28, 131.57, 131.26, 131.10, 130.33, 128.03, 126.49, 125.48, 123.06, 122.22, 115.77, 62.84, 45.21; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 361 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₄N₂OS (MH)⁺ 361.1369, found 361.1369; HPLC purity, 97.26% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2'-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5'-((dimethylamino)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol (8j)—

This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (42 mg, 78%): mp 235–237 °C. IR (thin film) 2917, 1605, 1575, 1476, 1455, 1408, 1357, 1297, 1221, 1019 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.60 (s, 1 H), 7.96 (dd, *J* = 22.1, 7.9 Hz, 3 H), 7.47 – 7.38 (m, 2 H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.52 (s, 2 H), 2.21 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.18, 157.62, 152.57, 141.86, 141.50, 141.30, 136.33, 131.10, 130.82, 130.36, 129.93, 128.33, 126.51, 125.50, 123.10, 122.23, 120.73, 116.81, 115.37, 62.99, 45.39; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 361 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₂₂H₂₀N₂OS (MH)⁺ 361.1369, found 361.1369; HPLC purity, 96.85% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

4-(3-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl)phenol (8k)—

This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (43 mg, 82%): mp 187–189 °C. IR (thin film) 3251, 1610, 1517, 1497, 1448, 1430, 1315, 1272, 1211 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.53 (s, 1 H), 8.65 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.10 – 8.03 (m, 1 H), 7.83 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 – 7.64 (m, 1 H), 7.57 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 – 7.35 (m, 2 H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 169.08, 157.43, 153.78, 148.10, 147.81, 140.34, 137.00, 135.80, 130.86, 129.34, 126.52, 126.29, 125.36, 123.66, 122.36, 115.16; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 305 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₂N₂OS (MH)⁺ 305.0743, found 305.0745; HPLC purity, 95.15% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

4-(3-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (8l)—

This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (41 mg, 65%): mp 213–215 °C. IR (thin film) 2920, 2851, 1729, 1556, 1507, 1456, 1423, 1336, 1155, 1094 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.77 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.12 – 8.05 (m, 1 H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 – 7.51 (m, 3 H), 7.43 – 7.37 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 168.74, 153.75, 149.38, 147.38, 143.26, 142.63, 140.43, 135.73, 135.38, 130.13, 126.60, 126.33, 125.54, 123.74, 122.46; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 367 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N₃O₂S₂ (MH)⁺ 368.0522, found 368.0520; HPLC purity, 99.53% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2-(2-(4-(Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)pyridin-3-yl)benzo[d]thiazole (8m)—

This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as white solid (58 mg, 92%): mp 189–192 °C. IR (thin film) 3050, 3025, 2923, 1597, 1555, 1509, 1391, 1447, 1423, 1293, 1278, 1146, 1121, 1088 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.79 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.11 – 8.04 (m, 1 H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 3 H), 7.69 – 7.60 (m, 3 H), 7.56 – 7.51 (m, 1 H), 7.43 – 7.33 (m, 2 H), 3.27 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 168.74, 153.74, 149.57, 147.45, 144.58, 140.33, 140.14, 135.62, 135.12, 130.59, 126.84, 126.67, 126.36, 125.51, 123.76, 122.45, 43.95; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 366 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₄N₂O₂S₂ (MH)⁺ 367.0569, found 367.0568; HPLC purity, 97.56% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

4-(3-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-chloropyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (8n)—This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as yellowish white powder (38 mg, 62%): mp 205–207 °C. IR (thin film) 3451, 3348, 3254, 1634, 1596, 1456, 1429, 1310, 1261, 1222, 1182, 1114, 1098, 1013 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.85 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.13 – 8.07 (m, 2 H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 – 7.54 (m, 3 H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.43 – 7.39 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.57, 153.71, 147.90, 146.19, 143.90, 141.18, 139.65, 136.62, 135.63, 132.48, 130.22, 126.73, 126.51, 125.53, 123.80, 122.51; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 401/403 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₂ClN₃O₂S₂ (MH)⁺ 402.0132, found 402.0131; HPLC purity, 97.26% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

2-(5-Chloro-2-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)pyridin-3-yl)benzo[d]thiazole (8o)—This compound was prepared using the general procedure described for Suzuki coupling and it was isolated as yellowish white powder (46 mg, 74%): mp 168–169 °C. IR (thin film) 2919, 1712, 1598, 1512, 1425, 1299, 1146, 1117, 1087, 1030, 1016 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.87 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.11 – 8.07 (m, 1 H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 – 7.50 (m, 1 H), 7.40 (qd, *J* = 7.2, 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.28 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.62, 153.65, 147.89, 146.45, 143.42, 139.56, 138.62, 135.72, 132.48, 131.75, 130.25, 127.09, 126.03, 123.89, 121.50, 44.54; MALDIMS *m/z* (rel intensity) 400/402 (MH)⁺; HRESIMS calcd for C₁₉H₁₃ClN₂O₂S₂ (MH)⁺ 401.0179, found 401.0179; HPLC purity, 98.68% (MeOH–H₂O, 85:15).

Palladium Complex of 2-(2-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)benzo[d]thiazole (9)—Compound **6b** (30 mg, 0.1 mmol) was mixed with Pd₂(dba)₃ (90 mg, 0.1 mmol) in a glass vial and the vial was capped and evacuated and refilled with Ar two times. Anhydrous toluene (3 mL) was added via syringe to the vial and the reaction mixture was heated up to 80 °C and stirred at that temperature under Ar for 2 h. The TLC indicated the consumption of the starting materials and the appearance of a baseline yellow spot. The reaction mixture was filtered and the yellow solid obtained was washed with methylene chloride and acetone twice to remove any dba residues. The solid was then dried in vacuo to give the Pd complex of **9** as gold solid (39 mg, 99%): mp >300 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.75 (m, 1 H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.02 (s, 1 H), 7.65–7.50 (m, 3 H), 7.31 (s, 1 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H); MALDIMS (2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid matrix) MH⁺ *m/z* (rel intensity) 406 (2), 408 (20), 409 (41), 410 (72), 411 (50), 412 (100), 413 (18), 414 (67), 415 (11), 416 (22), 417 (4), 418 (2).

2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-yl)benzo[d]oxazole (11)—This compound was prepared from **10** according to the general procedures described under compounds **8a–o** and was isolated as white powder (0.047 g, 95%). The compound showed very similar data as reported.²⁰

Biology

The determination of COX-1 and COX-2 enzyme inhibitory activity was performed using the kit supplied by Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The kit depends on assaying the peroxidase activity of the enzymes and the colorimetric monitoring of the appearance of

oxidized *N,N,N,N*-tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (TMPD) at 590 nm. In a transparent 96-well plate the enzymes [COX-1 (bovine) and COX-2 (human)] were diluted in the assay buffer supplied with the kit. The enzymes were then mixed with heme and the inhibitors (dissolved in DMSO at the required concentrations) and incubated for 5 min at 25 °C. The colorimetric substrate was then added to the reaction wells and the reaction was directly initiated by the addition of arachidonic acid. After 2 min the plate was read using a BioTek Synergy 4 spectrophotometer at 590 nm. The IC₅₀ values were determined by plotting the % inhibition of the enzyme activity against the inhibitors concentration. The data presented are the mean of three different experiments.

Molecular modeling

The X-ray crystal structure of COX-2 (PDB ID 3ln1) was obtained from the protein data bank. The protein structure was cleaned, inspected for errors and missing residues, hydrogens were added, and the water molecules were deleted using Accelry's Discovery studio 2.5 software. The inhibitor's structures were constructed using ChemBioDraw Ultra 13 and saved in SDF file format and were corrected and optimized using Accelry's Discovery studio 2.5 software. GOLD 4.1 was used for docking with the default parameters except that the iterations were increased to 300000 and the early termination option was disabled. The centroids of the binding sites were defined by the ligands in the co-crystal structures. The top 10 docking poses per ligand were inspected visually following the docking runs. Energy minimizations were performed for selected ligand poses. The CHARMM force field was utilized within the Accelry's Discovery Studio 2.5 for energy minimization.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Purdue University Center for Cancer Research, NIH grant P30 CA023168. Mohamed S. A Elsayed was partially supported by the McKeehan Graduate Scholarship in Pharmacy award.

References

1. Ferrero-Miliani L, Nielsen OH, Andersen PS, Girardin SE. Clin Exp Immunol. 2007; 147:227–235. [PubMed: 17223962]
2. Laveti D, Kumar M, Hemalatha R, Sistla R, Naidu VGM, Talla V, Verma V, Kaur N, Nagpal R. Inflammation Allergy: Drug Targets. 2013; 12:349–361. [PubMed: 23876224]
3. Lucas SM, Rothwell NJ, Gibson RM. Br J Pharmacol. 2006; 147:S232–S240. [PubMed: 16402109]
4. Ricciotti E, FitzGerald GA. Arterioscler, Thromb, Vasc Biol. 2011; 31:986–1000. [PubMed: 21508345]
5. Tabas I, Glass CK. Science. 2013; 339:166–172. [PubMed: 23307734]
6. Marcum ZA, Hanlon JT. Ann Longterm Care. 2010; 10:24–27.
7. Crofford LJ. Arthritis Res Ther. 2013:15.
8. Ong CK, Lirk P, Tan CH, Seymour RA. Clin Med Res. 2007; 5:19–34. [PubMed: 17456832]
9. Grosser T, Fries S, FitzGerald GA. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116:4–15. [PubMed: 16395396]

10. Flower RJ. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery*. 2003; 2:179–191. [PubMed: 12612644]
11. Liu B, Qu LY, Yan SG. *Cancer Cell Int*. 2015; 15:6. [PubMed: 25709558]
12. Mazhar D, Ang R, Waxman J. *Br J Cancer*. 2006; 94:346–350. [PubMed: 16421592]
13. Kumar N, Drabu S, Mondal SC. *Arabian J Chem*. 2013; 6:1–23.
14. Trepanier CH, Milgram NW. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2010; 21:1089–1099. [PubMed: 21504126]
15. Bartels AL, Leenders KL. *Curr Neuroparmacol*. 2010; 8:62–68. [PubMed: 20808546]
16. Aid S, Bosetti F. *Biochimie*. 2011; 93:46–51. [PubMed: 20868723]
17. DeWitt DL. *Mol Pharmacol*. 1999; 55:625–631. [PubMed: 10101019]
18. Maluenda I, Navarro O. *Molecules*. 2015; 20:7528–7557. [PubMed: 25919276]
19. Miyaura N, Suzuki A. *Chemical Rev*. 1995; 95:2457–2483.
20. Seth K, Purohit P, Chakraborti AK. *Org Lett*. 2014; 16:2334–2337. [PubMed: 24720556]
21. Quasdorf KW, Antoft-Finch A, Liu P, Silberstein AL, Komaromi A, Blackburn T, Ramgren SD, Houk KN, Snieckus V, Garg NK. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:6352–6363. [PubMed: 21456551]
22. Jadhav T, Dhokale B, Mobin SM, Misra R. *RSC Adv*. 2015; 5:29878–29884.
23. Meghdadi S, Amirnasr M, Ford PC. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2012; 53:6950–6953.
24. Stille JK, Lau KSY. *Acc Chem Res*. 1977; 10:434–442.
25. Gaulton A, Bellis LJ, Bento AP, Chambers J, Davies M, Hersey A, Light Y, McGlinchey S, Michalovich D, Al-Lazikani B, Overington JP. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; 40:D1100–D1107. [PubMed: 21948594]
26. Clarke R, Derry S, Moore RA. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004309.pub4
27. Riendeau D, Percival MD, Brideau C, Charleson S, Dube D, Ethier D, Falgoutret JP, Friesen RW, Gordon R, Greig G, Guay J, Mancini J, Ouellet M, Wong E, Xu L, Boyce S, Visco D, Girard Y, Prasit P, Zamboni R, Rodger IW, Gresser M, Ford-Hutchinson AW, Young RN, Chan CC. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2001; 296:558–566. [PubMed: 11160644]
28. Zarghi A, Arfaei S. *Iran J Pharm Res*. 2011; 10:655–683. [PubMed: 24250402]
29. Penning TD, Talley JJ, Bertenshaw SR, Carter JS, Collins PW, Docter S, Graneto MJ, Lee LF, Malecha JW, Miyashiro JM, Rogers RS, Rogier DJ, Yu SS, Anderson GD, Burton EG, Cogburn JN, Gregory SA, Koboldt CM, Perkins WE, Seibert K, Veenhuizen AW, Zhang YY, Isakson PC. *J Med Chem*. 1997; 40:1347–1365. [PubMed: 9135032]

Figure 1.
Inflammation pathway.

Etoricoxib (1)

Celecoxib (2)

Rofecoxib (3)

Valdecoxib (4)

Figure 2.
Selective COX-2 inhibitors.

Figure 3. Hypothetical mechanism of the Pd(0)-mediated ligand-free Suzuki coupling reaction.

Figure 4.
Scope and yields of ligand-free Suzuki-Miyaura reaction.

Figure 5.

Top: alignment between celecoxib (green) and compound **8n** (orange) in the active site of COX-2. Bottom: binding mode of **8n** in COX-2.

Figure 6.
Hypothetical binding mode of **8h** in the active site of COX-1.

Figure 7.
Hypothetical binding mode of compound **8h** in the active site of COX-2.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Table 1

Optimization of the Suzuki coupling step

Entry *	Catalyst	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Temp(C)/time (h)	Yield %
1	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₂ CO ₃	EtOH/H ₂ O	70/15	<2
2	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₂ CO ₃	THF	70/15	<2
3	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₂ CO ₃	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	70/18	15
4	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	70/18	17
5	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	Na ₂ CO ₃	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	70/18	21
6	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	70/18	26
7	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	DMF	100/18	16
8	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	80/18	36
9	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	100/18	23
10	Pd(dppf)Cl ₂	-	K ₂ CO ₃	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	80/18	27
11	Pd(OAc) ₂	-	K ₂ CO ₃	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	80/18	65
12	Pd(OAc) ₂	-	K ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	100/18	57
13	Pd(OAc) ₂	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	80/18	61
14	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	80/18	68
15	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	100/18	72
16	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane/H ₂ O (2:1)	100/4	83
17	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	Na ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	100/4	78
18	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	-	Dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	100/8	56

* The reaction was performed with 10 mol% Pd catalyst and 2 equivalents of base under Ar atmosphere.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Enzyme inhibition activity of COX-1 and COX-2.

Compound	COX-2 % inhibition at 10 μ M	COX-2 IC ₅₀	COX-1 % inhibition at 10 μ M	COX-1 IC ₅₀	Selectivity
celecoxib	100	0.079 $\pm$ 0.02	0	31 $\pm$ 2	442
8a	15.3	NT	14.2	NT ^a	1.1
8b	29.0	NT	11.7	NT	2.5
8c	87.3	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01	9.6	>100	>400
8d	72.0	0.23 $\pm$ 0.08	9.7	>100	>434
8e	12.4	NT	59.1	NT	0.21
8f	35.4	NT	8.4	NT	4.2
8g	33.3	NT	27.7	NT	1.2
8h	10.3	NT	65.4	NT	0.16
8i	0	NT	14.2	NT	0
8j	1.0	NT	30.7	NT	0.1
8k	8	NT	12.5	NT	0.6
8l	88.5	0.69 $\pm$ 0.12	0	>100	>144
8m	84.7	0.86 $\pm$ 0.05	21.5	>100	>116
8n	100	0.21 $\pm$ 0.07	6.6	>100	>476
8o	98.0	0.23 $\pm$ 0.01	0	>100	>434

^aNT= not tested