Published in final edited form as:

J Biomech. 2018 February 08; 68: 84–92. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2017.12.022.

Image-based computational assessment of vascular wall mechanics and hemodynamics in pulmonary arterial hypertension patients

Byron A. Zambrano¹, Nathan A. McLean¹, Xiaodan Zhao², Ju-Le Tan², Liang Zhong^{2,3}, C. Alberto Figueroa⁴, Lik Chuan Lee¹, and Seungik Baek¹

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering Michigan State University 2555 Engineering Building East Lansing, MI, 48824 USA

²National Heart Center 5 Hospital Dr, Singapore 169609

³Duke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Road, Singapore 169857

⁴Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Surgery University of Michigan 2800 Plymouth Road, NCRC B20-211W Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Abstract

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a disease characterized by an elevated pulmonary arterial (PA) pressure. While several computational hemodynamic models of the pulmonary vasculature have been developed to understand PAH, they are lacking in some aspects, such as the vessel wall deformation and its lack of calibration against measurements in humans. Here, we describe a computational modeling framework that addresses these limitations. Specifically, computational models describing the coupling of hemodynamics and vessel wall mechanics in the pulmonary vasculature of a PAH patient and a normal subject were developed. Model parameters, consisting of linearized stiffness E of the large vessels and Windkessel parameters for each outflow branch, were calibrated against *in vivo* measurements of pressure, flow and vessel wall deformation obtained, respectively, from right-heart catheterization, phase-contrast and cine magnetic resonance images. Calibrated stiffness E of the proximal PA was 2.0 and 0.5 MPa for the PAH and normal models, respectively. Calibrated total compliance C_T and resistance R_T of the distal vessels were, respectively, 0.32 ml/mmHg and 11.3 mmHg*min/l for the PAH model, and 2.93 ml/mmHg and 2.6 mmHg*min/l for the normal model. These results were consistent with previous findings that the pulmonary vasculature is stiffer with more constricted distal vessels in PAH patients. Individual effects on PA pressure due to remodeling of the distal and proximal compartments of the pulmonary vasculature were also investigated in a sensitivity analysis. The

Address for Correspondence: S. Baek, Ph.D., Department of Mechanical Engineering, 2457 Engineering Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, T: +1-517-432-3161, F: +1-517-353-1750, sbaek@egr.msu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of interest

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors.

analysis suggests that the remodeling of distal vasculature contributes more to the increase in PA pressure than the remodeling of proximal vasculature.

INTRODUCTION

Clinically, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is defined by an elevated mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) that is greater than 25 mmHg with left atrial pressure or in the presence of a pulmonary capillary wedge pressure less than 15 mmHg. (McLaughlin et al., 2009). Among the numerous pathological features accompanying the increase in mPAP in PAH patients include the proliferation and migration of vascular smooth muscle cells, thickening of intima and media, development of plexiform lesions in small to medium size pulmonary arteries, and stiffening of large pulmonary vessels (Shimoda and Laurie, 2013; Sutendra and Michelakis, 2013).

Although several animal models such as chronic hypoxia or monocrotaline rat models have been used to understand the pathogenesis of PAH, there are currently no animal models that can completely replicate human PAH (Shimoda and Laurie, 2013; Stenmark et al., 2009). This issue is exacerbated by the fact that vascular tissue samples from PAH patients are scarce, whereas studies using these samples have mostly been confined to small-scale biochemical and histological measurements (Tuder et al., 2007) that provide only limited insights on the organ-level alterations of vessel wall mechanics and hemodynamics in this disease. Furthermore, regional changes in vessel wall mechanics and hemodynamics are obscured in global measurements of pressure waveforms from right heart catheterization (RHC), the current gold standard for diagnosing PAH. This disconnect in data acquired across different scales may be addressed by developing physics-based computational models that are calibrated against experimental and/or clinical measurements.

Computational models of PAH hemodynamics have received considerably less attention than their systemic vasculature counterparts (Kheifets et al., 2015; Su et al., 2013, 2012; Tang et al., 2012). These models, albeit useful in gaining insights on the different biomechanical aspects of PAH, present either of the following limitations: 1) a rigid wall modeling assumption (a critically important limitation considering that tissue stiffness is a key parameter of the problem) in patient-specific anatomical models (Kheifets et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2012), 2) fluid-structure interaction formulations performed on highly idealized geometries (Su et al., 2013, 2012). Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, no contribution has thus far been made to simultaneously calibrate 3D models of PAH against measurements of blood flow and vessel wall mechanics (Hunter et al., 2006; Kheifets et al., 2013).

In this work, we present a computational model of pulmonary vasculature hemodynamics that seeks to overcome the aforementioned limitations. This model not only accounts for the fluid-structure interactions between blood flow and vessel wall in patient-specific anatomical models, but more importantly, is calibrated against patient-specific data of vessel wall deformation and hemodynamics. This approach enables the incorporation of large vessels stiffness, as well as the compliance and resistance of the distal vessels in a patient-specific manner. We present results derived from a PAH patient and a normal subject.

The calibrated models were then used to isolate and quantify the contribution of mechanical changes in the distal (small vessels) and proximal (large vessels) compartments to the increase in mPAP found in PAH patients. The approach described here lays the foundation for subsequent development of patient-specific computational hemodynamics models of PAH.

METHODS

PAH subject data

Cine and phase-contrast (PC) magnetic resonance (MR) images were acquired (using a 3-Tesla Philips scanner with ECG gating) from a 44-year-old PAH male subject. Main pulmonary artery (MPA) blood velocities and volumetric flow were computed from the PC-MR images using Q-Flow software (Philips Medical Systems). Right-heart catheterization was performed within 1 week of the imaging examination to measure pressure waveforms in the MPA. On the other hand, cine MR images of a 65-year old volunteer with no known cardiovascular disease (from a separate dataset) was also used here to develop computational hemodynamics model of a normal pulmonary vasculature. All data were acquired at the National Heart Center of Singapore, and both subjects gave written informed consent.

Estimation of pressure and flow in the normal subject MPA

Phase-contrast and RHC data were not available for the 65 years old normal subject. To address this issue, pressure and volumetric flow waveforms acquired in a previous study on healthy subjects (Lankhaar et al., 2006) were used here as surrogate data for the normal subject of this study. We note here that the normal subject was well within the 54 ± 16 years old age-range of the subjects found in that study. The surrogate volumetric flow waveform was scaled so that the total outflow volume per cycle was equal to the subject-specific right ventricular stroke volume measured from the cine MR images.

Pressure-displacement relationship in the MPA

Pressure-displacement relationship of the MPA was established from the cine MR images and the pressure waveform in both subjects. Specifically, cross-sectional area of the proximal MPA (A_{MPA}) was measured over time and its equivalent diameter was calculated

as $D_{MPA}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi} A_{MPA}(t)}$. We note here that the equivalent diameter is a commonly used metric in clinical practice in most cardiovascular diseases (Bellinazzi et al., 2015; Gharahi et al., 2016; Jakrapanichakul and Chirakarnjanakorn et al., 2011.). Using end-diastolic (ED) diameter $D_{MPA}(t_{ED})$ as a reference, time-resolved MPA radial displacement was estimated as $\Delta D_{MPA}(t) = D_{MPA}(t) - D_{MPA}(t_{ED})$. The radial displacement waveform $\Delta D_{MPA}(t)$ was synchronized with the pressure waveform $P(t)$ to derive the pressure-displacement relationship $P(\Delta D_{MPA})$.

Finite element model of the pulmonary vasculature

Geometry consisting of up to the first 4 generations of branches in the pulmonary vasculature was reconstructed from cine MR images acquired at ED in the PAH patient and

normal subject. The geometry includes the MPA, left pulmonary artery (LPA) and right pulmonary artery (RPA). Reconstruction of the PA geometries was performed using MeVisLab (www.mevislab.de). These geometries were subsequently refined and meshed using CRIMSON (CardiovasculaR Integrated Modelling and Simulation; www.crimson.software (Khlebnikov and Figueroa, 2015)). An anisotropic mesh with characteristic element sizes varying from 0.7 mm in the center of the lumen to 0.3 mm at the first five boundary layers adjacent to the lumen surface of each vessel was generated in both geometries. This choice, which gives a good balance between accuracy and computational speed, was based on a mesh convergence study that is similar to Kheyfets et al. (2015). Details of this analysis is given in the Appendix. The resultant meshes for the PAH and normal models contain approximately 2.8 and 2 million elements, respectively. A summary of data used in calibration and construction of the two models is given in Table 1.

Simulation of hemodynamics and wall deformation in the pulmonary arteries

Hemodynamic simulations based on a coupled momentum formulation (Figueroa et al., 2006) that accounts for the fluid-structure interaction between blood flow and the arterial wall deformation (Xiao et al., 2013) were performed on the PAH and normal models. This formulation, which was implemented in CRIMSON'S stabilized finite element solver, has been validated against experimental/clinical measurements (Cuomo et al., 2017, 2015) and deformable phantoms of the aorta (Kung et al., 2011). In the coupled momentum formulation, blood was assumed to behave as a Newtonian fluid with a prescribed viscosity η , whereas the arterial wall was assumed to behave as a linear isotropic elastic membrane with prescribed linearized stiffness E , Poisson ratio ν and wall thickness h . Local values of wall thickness were estimated using a diameter-thickness ratio (1: 0.019) found in morphometric studies on large pulmonary arteries of rats (Hislop and Reid, 1978) that was adjusted accordingly to the diameter and thickness measured in humans (Trip et al., 2015). Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.5$ was prescribed in both models. The inlet and outlets rings of all vessels in the models were kept fixed in the simulations.

Measured and surrogated volumetric flow waveforms were mapped to a blunt and parabolic velocity profile that was imposed as boundary condition at the MPA inlet in the PAH and normal models, respectively. Each outlet was coupled to a three-element Windkessel model parameterized by R_1 , R_2 and C , representing the proximal resistance, distal resistance, and compliance characteristics of the vasculature distal to that outlet.

Material and Windkessel model parameter calibration

Linearized stiffness (E) and Windkessel parameters (R_1^i , R_2^i , C^i) in each outlet i were iteratively calibrated to match the pressure-displacement relationship and pressure waveforms in both subjects (Figure 1). These parameters were initialized using pressure, flow and geometry data. Specifically, the linearized stiffness E was estimated from a 1D elastic tube model (Matthys et al., 2007),

$$P_{sys} = P_{ED} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{A_{MPA}(t_{ED})} E h \left(\sqrt{A_{MPA}(t_{SYS})} - \sqrt{A_{MPA}(t_{ED})} \right), \quad (1)$$

where P_{SYS} , P_{ED} , h , and $A_{MPA}(t)$ denote, respectively, the systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, wall thickness and MPA cross sectional area at peak-systole (t_{sys}) and ED (t_{ED}). The Windkessel model parameters were initialized based on the following estimates of total arterial resistance R_T and compliance C_T :

$$R_T = R_1^i + R_2^i = \frac{P_{mean}}{Q_{mean}}; \quad C_T = \frac{Q_{max} - Q_{min}}{P_{sys} - P_{ED}} \Delta t. \quad (2a, b)$$

In the above equation, P_{mean} , Q_{max} , Q_{min} , Q_{mean} and t denote the mean pressure, maximum, minimum, and mean volumetric flow rate, and the time interval between maximum and minimum flow in the MPA, respectively. Estimated values of R_T and C_T were then distributed to each outlet i to ensure equal flow split between the LPA and RPA using the following equations (Xiao et al., 2014):

$$R_T^{LPA} = R_T^{RPA} = \frac{P_{mean}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Q_{mean}} = 2R_T; \quad \frac{1}{R_T^i} = \left(\frac{A^i}{A_T}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2R_T}\right) \quad (3a, b)$$

$$C_T^{RPA} = C_T^{RPA} = \left(\frac{C_T}{2}\right); \quad C^i = \left(\frac{C_T}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{A^i}{A_T}\right). \quad (4a, b)$$

Here, R_T^i , R_T^{LPA} , R_T^{RPA} , C^i , C_T^{LPA} , A^i and A_T denote, respectively, the total resistance associated with outlet i , LPA, RPA; the compliance associated with outlet i , LPA, RPA; the area of outlet i , and the total outlet area of the branches associated with either the LPA or RPA.

The total resistance at each outlet (R_T^i) was divided into proximal and distal resistances (R_1^i , R_2^i). The proximal resistance (R_1^i ; Eq. 5) was assumed to match the characteristic impedance at the proximal MPA and, therefore, was prescribed with the same value at each outlet. The distal resistance (R_2^i ; Eq. 6) was obtained by subtracting R_1^i from R_T^i , i.e.,

$$R_1^i = \frac{\rho C_{ED}}{A_{MPA}(t_{ED})}; \quad C_{ED}^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \sqrt{\pi} E h}{\rho \sqrt{A_{MPA}(t_{ED})}}, \quad (5a, b)$$

$$R_2^i = R_T^i - R_1^i, \quad (6)$$

where ρ and C_{ED} denote the fluid density and ED pulse wave propagation speed, respectively.

After the parameters were initialized as described above, calibration was performed iteratively in two nested loops. In the inner loop, R_1^i , R_2^i , and C were estimated iteratively by adjusting the total resistance (R_T) and compliance (C_T) at the main pulmonary artery until measured values of pulse ($\Delta P_p = P_{sys} - P_{ED}$) and diastolic pressures (P_{ED}) were achieved. The iterative adjustment process was performed by using the following mathematical formulation (Xiao et al., 2014).

$$R_T^{n+1} = R_T^n + \frac{\Delta P^n}{Q_{mean}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_T^{n+1} = C_T^n + \frac{Q_{max} - Q_{min}}{(P_{sys} - P_{ED})^2} \Delta t \Delta P_p \quad (7a,b)$$

where ΔP^n represents the difference between measured and simulated diastolic pressure ($P_{ED} - P_{ED}^n$), and ΔP_p^n is the difference between measured and estimated pulse pressure. In the outer loop, the linearized stiffness E was calibrated to match measured pressure-displacement relationships (Figure 1). Each loop was terminated when relative differences between the measured and simulated quantities fell below 10%. Forward simulation in each loop was performed until a truly periodic solution was attained, specifically, when the difference between the blood volume entering the domain (Q_i) minus summation of the blood volume leaving all outlets over one cardiac cycle is less than 10^{-3} ml.

Parametric study on the proximal and distal effect on pressure waveform

The computational framework presented here also enabled us to separate the effect of the proximal arterial stiffness, distal resistance and compliance on the pressure waveform and pulse pressure. This parametric study was performed by substituting calibrated values of linearized stiffness (E), or distal pulmonary resistance and compliance (R_T, C_T) of the normal model into the PAH model. Simulation was run with the switched parameters for several cardiac cycles until a periodic solution was attained as described in the previous section.

RESULTS

Geometry and hemodynamics data in the pulmonary vasculature

Data revealed substantial differences in the PA geometry between the PAH patient and the normal subject (Figure 2). Specifically, ED equivalent diameter was larger in the PAH patient than in the normal subject: PAH diameters were 18.20 %, 31.55 % and 39.25% larger in the MPA, RPA and LPA, respectively. Conversely, maximum MPA diameter change (measured close to the bifurcation point) was lower in the PAH patient than that in the normal subject (2.50 vs. 4.00mm) (Figure 3c).

In terms of hemodynamics, measured MPA peak pressure in the PAH patient was substantially higher than typical values found in healthy subjects (62 vs. 30 mm Hg ; Lankhaar et al., 2006) (Figure 3a). Measured mean MPA volumetric flow rate in the PAH patient was, however, lower than that measured in the normal subject (61.65 vs. 93.17 ml/s) (Figure 3b). Slope and area enclosed in the measured pressure- displacement curve were, respectively, larger and smaller in the PAH subject (Figure 3c).

Calibrated model parameters

Because we did not see significant differences in the results between using a blunt and a parabolic velocity profile, all subsequent results reported here are based on the blunt velocity profile. Calibrated values of linearized stiffness E were 2.0 and 0.50 MPa (Figure 4) in the PAH and normal models, respectively. Calibrated total distal flow resistance R_T was 11.3 mmHg*min/l in the PAH model and 2.6 mmHg*min/l in the normal model, whereas calibrated total distal compliance C_T was 0.3 ml/mmHg in the PAH model and 2.9 ml/mmHg in the normal model (Figure 4). Using the calibrated parameter values, the maximum differences between measurements and model predictions in time-averaged pressure, peak pressure and peak diameter were within 4.12%, 6.80 % and 4.70% for both subjects.

Time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS) and oscillatory shear index (OSI) were computed. Larger TAWSS and smaller OSI were observed at the MPA when compared to the smaller branches (Figure 5a) in both models. Circumferential averaged TAWSS was lower in the PAH model at all locations along the 3 main branches of the PA (i.e., MPA, RPA and LPA). On the other hand, OSI was higher along all the branches in the PAH model (Figure 5b). Averaged over the entire PA geometry, TAWSS and OSI in the PAH model were found, respectively, to be 1.4 and 0.18 Pa. In the normal model, TAWSS and OSI were found to be 4.2 and 0.08 Pa, respectively (Figure 5c).

Model prediction of PA wall mechanical behavior

Radial displacement of the PA wall was substantially lower in the PAH model (Figure 6a,b). Quantified as changes in equivalent diameter along the vessel (Figure 2), the largest displacement was located at the MPA in the normal model and decreased slightly along the LPA and RPA. Conversely, radial displacement was uniform but substantially smaller in the PAH model from the mid-section of the MPA to that in the LPA and RPA. Average displacement of the entire PA in the PAH model (1.69 mm) was about 36% smaller than in the normal model (2.63 mm).

Relative area change (RAC), a metric shown to be strongly correlated with mortality in PAH (Gan et al., 2007), was also computed along the main, left and right pulmonary artery (Figure 6c). This metric is defined as the maximum area change divided by the area at end systolic $(A_{\max \text{ disp}} - A_{ED}) / A_{ED}$. Our results showed lower RAC in the PAH model (~0.14) when compared to the normal model (~0.31) along all three branches (MPA, LPA and RPA).

Figure 7 shows the differences in pulse wave propagation along the MPA and its branches between the normal and the PAH subject. The pressure waveforms revealed a noticeable phase lag between different locations in the MPA, RPA and LPA of the normal model. This phase lag was entirely absent in the PAH model. This disparity is associated with a much higher pulse wave velocity estimated in the PAH model (5.6 m/s) than the normal model (2.7 m/s) because of the higher tissue stiffness and thickness in the former.

Effects of proximal and distal parameters on the pressure waveform

Pulse pressure is a hemodynamic metric that has been shown to be strongly correlated with arterial remodeling (Coogan et al., 2013; Eberth et al., 2009), and its ratio with stroke

volume has been shown to be a strong independent predictor of mortality in idiopathic PAH patients (Mahapatra et al., 2006). This metric was used to investigate the effect of substituting calibrated parameter values associated with the proximal (E) and distal pulmonary vasculature (R_T , C_T) found in the normal model into the PAH model (Figure 8). Substituting the linearized stiffness with the values found in the normal model led to a decrease in 52.49% in the pulse pressure. Similarly, substituting calibrated values of the total distal resistance R_T and compliance C_T values found in the normal model into the PAH model led to a larger decrease of 60.94% compared to the measured pulse pressure.

DISCUSSION

We have developed patient-specific computational models of hemodynamics in the pulmonary vasculature using measurements acquired from a PAH patient and a normal subject. These models included the fluid-structure interactions between blood flow and arterial wall, therefore overcoming a key limitation of previous computational models of PAH (Kheifets et al., 2015, 2013; Su et al., 2013). We investigated both hemodynamics and mechanical behavior of the large pulmonary arteries. We have calibrated the PAH model against *in vivo* patient-specific measurements of blood flow, pressure and vessel wall deformation. For the normal model, calibration was performed using flow and pressure measurements from health subjects in a previous study together with patient-specific measurements of vessel wall deformation. The model parameters consist of the linearized stiffness E of the large vessels, and the Windkessel parameters reflecting compliance and flow resistance of the distal vessels.

Calibrated linearized stiffness in the large vessels (MPA, LPA and RPA) were found to be 2.0 and 0.5 MPa in the PAH and normal models, respectively. The large stiffness found in the PAH model was also reflected by the low RAC value, a parameter strongly correlated with mortality (Gan et al., 2007). These results are in agreement with previous human studies (Lau et al., 2012; Sanz et al., 2009), which also found that the PA is substantially stiffer in PAH patients (Lau et al., 2012; Stevens et al., 2012). Lau et al. (Lau et al., 2012) found that the linearized stiffness of the proximal PA (based on a different definition) is on average 3.6 times higher in PAH patients than in healthy subjects. We note that while the model predicted an increase in stiffness in the PAH patient, it cannot differentiate whether its increase is caused by arterial remodeling (e.g., fibrosis) or simply, by the nonlinearity mechanical behavior of the artery. The study by Tian et al., 2014 clearly shows that the increase in stiffness found in acute PAH is associated with the latter mechanism. With remodeling (most probably in the case of PAH patient), however, the dominant mechanism becomes less clear. For example, a study using chronic monocrotaline-induced PAH rat model have found that the collagen content increases, the collagen fiber becomes less wavy, and the PA Young's modulus correspondingly increases at 4 weeks (Pursell et al., 2016).

Distal vasculature in the PAH model was found to possess a total compliance C_T of 0.32 ml/mmHg and resistance R_T of 11.3 mmHg*min/l. These parameters are statistically associated with higher mortality in PAH patients (Gan et al., 2007; Mahapatra et al., 2006). The larger value of total resistance R_T found in the PAH model suggests that the distal pulmonary vessels are more constricted. These results are consistent with histologic studies (Barberà et

al., 1994; Santos et al., 2002; Shimoda and Laurie, 2013) on samples acquired from PAH patients that revealed a decrease in distal lumen size and intimal thickening, both indicative of stiffer vessels.

Time-averaged wall shear stress and OSI were, respectively, lower and higher along the MPA and RPA in the PAH model. Our results are comparable to the range of values found in previous computational studies using PAH subjects data (Kheifets et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2012) (mean TAWSS: 1.4 Pa) and healthy subjects data (Tang et al., 2012) (mean TAWSS: 4.2 Pa). Our results revealed a noticeable phase shift in pressure waveforms at different locations of the MPA in the normal subject. This shift was absent in the PAH subject. Pressure-displacement relationship was also different between the PAH and the normal model with the latter having a larger area inside the curve. These features, which can be largely attributed to the smaller compliance found in the PAH model, has also been observed in a previous animal study (Wang et al., 2013)

Substituting the parameter values of E and (C_T, R_T) in the PAH model with those found in the normal model allowed us to quantify the effects on pulse pressure resulting from alterations in the proximal or distal vasculature. A decrease in the pulse pressure was found when values of (C_T, R_T) from the normal model were used in the PAH model. This decrease is larger than when the value of E in the normal model was used. This result suggests that remodeling in the distal vasculature accounts for a larger portion of the increase in RV workload found in PAH patients (Chemla et al., 2013).

Limitations

The computational framework presented here overcomes some drawbacks of previous modeling efforts to analyze PAH. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations. First, the coupled momentum formulation assumes that the vessel wall behaves in a linear elastic manner and undergoes small deformations. Therefore, the description of vessel wall mechanics in the model is essentially a first-order approximation. Second, we have assumed homogenous values of linearized stiffness for the entire pulmonary vasculature. Regional data on localized stiffness could be used to circumvent this limitation. Third, the segmented geometry, particularly at the smaller branches and bifurcations, may not be accurate because of the resolution of clinical MR images is limited. This error may affect the estimation of hemodynamic quantities and the model parameters. Fourth, flow and pressure data were not acquired in the pulmonary artery of the normal subject, as the latter requires invasive RHC. To circumvent this issue, we have used pressure and flow waveforms acquired in previous studies of normal humans as surrogate data in our study. We have also scaled the flow waveform so that total outflow in the MPA is the same as the RV stroke volume measured in the normal subject. Fifth, we have fixed the inlet and outlet boundaries in our models as in previous studies (Figueroa et al., 2006). Axial motion, however, may occur in the pulmonary arteries even though distensibility in the axial direction has been found to be lower compared to the radial direction (Bellofiore et al., 2015). Correspondingly, this assumption may lead to some error. Finally, the proposed computational hemodynamic framework was applied only to data acquired from a normal subject and a PAH patient. Moreover, the parametric study to assess the impact of model parameters on pressure waveform was

performed only on 3 parameters (E , R_T , C_T) with no intermediate values. Conclusions drawn from this study will be strengthened by applying the framework to a larger patient dataset and including more parameters. Nevertheless, results obtained using the proposed computational model are in agreement with previous findings.

Conclusion

The modeling framework described here represents an advancement in computational analysis of PAH hemodynamics, particularly, in the simultaneous quantification of changes in hemodynamics and vessel wall mechanics associated with this disease. This framework will be applied in future studies to quantify the mechanical impact of PAH on the pulmonary vasculature.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by NSF CAREER (CMMI-1150376, Baek), Singapore Ministry of Health's National Medical Research Council (NMRC/OFIRG/0018/2016, Zhong), Goh Cardiovascular Research Award (Duke-NUS-GCR/2013/0009, Zhong), AHA SDG (17SDG33370110, Lee), the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 307532 and the NIH U01 HL135842 grant (Figueroa, Baek, and Lee).

References

- Barberà JA, Riverola A, Roca J, Ramirez J, Wagner PD, Ros D, Wiggs BR, Rodriguez-Roisin R. Pulmonary vascular abnormalities and ventilation-perfusion relationships in mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1994; 149:423–9. DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.149.2.8306040 [PubMed: 8306040]
- Bellinazzi VR, Cipolli JA, Pimenta MV, Guimarães PV, Pio-Magalhães JA, Coelho-Filho OR, Biering-Sørensen T, Matos-Souza JR, Sposito AC, Nadruz W. Carotid flow velocity/diameter ratio is a predictor of cardiovascular events in hypertensive patients. *J Hypertens*. 2015; 33:2054–2060. DOI: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000000688 [PubMed: 26237558]
- Bellofiore A, Henningsen J, Lepak CG, Tian L, Roldan-Alzate A, Kelliher HB, Consigny DW, Francois CJ, Chesler NC. A novel in vivo approach to assess radial and axial distensibility of large and intermediate pulmonary artery branches. *J Biomech Eng*. 2015; 137–141:44501.doi: 10.1115/1.4029578
- Chemla D, Castelain V, Zhu K, Papelier Y, Creuzé N, Hoette S, Parent F, Simonneau G, Humbert M, Herve P. Estimating right ventricular stroke work and the pulsatile work fraction in pulmonary hypertension. *Chest*. 2013; 143:1343–1350. DOI: 10.1378/chest.12-1880 [PubMed: 23715528]
- Coogan JS, Humphrey JD, Figueroa CA. Computational simulations of hemodynamic changes within thoracic, coronary, and cerebral arteries following early wall remodeling in response to distal aortic coarctation. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol*. 2013; 12:79–93. DOI: 10.1007/s10237-012-0383-x [PubMed: 22415052]
- Cuomo F, Ferruzzi J, Humphrey JD, Figueroa CA. An Experimental- Computational Study of Catheter Induced Alterations in Pulse Wave Velocity in Anesthetized Mice. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2015; 43:1555–1570. DOI: 10.1007/s10439-015-1272-0 [PubMed: 25698526]
- Cuomo F, Roccabianca S, Dillon-Murphy D, Xiao N, Humphrey JD, Figueroa CA. Effects of age-associated regional changes in aortic stiffness on human hemodynamics revealed by computational modeling. *PLoS ONE*. 2017; 12doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173177

- Eberth JF, Gresham VC, Reddy AK, Popovic N, Wilson E, Humphrey JD. Importance of pulsatility in hypertensive carotid artery growth and remodeling. *J Hypertens*. 2009; 27:2010–2021. DOI: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e32832e8dc8 [PubMed: 19584753]
- Figueroa CA, Vignon-Clementel IE, Jansen KE, Hughes TJR, Taylor CA. A coupled momentum method for modeling blood flow in three-dimensional deformable arteries. *Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng*. 2006; 195:5685–5706. DOI: 10.1016/j.cma.2005.11.011
- Gan CTJ, Lankhaar JW, Westerhof N, Marcus JT, Becker A, Twisk JWR, Boonstra A, Postmus PE, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Noninvasively Assessed Pulmonary Artery Stiffness Predicts Mortality in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Chest*. 2007; 132:1906–1912. DOI: 10.1378/chest.07-1246 [PubMed: 17989161]
- Gharahi H, Zambrano BA, Lim C, Choi J, Lee W, Baek S. On growth measurements of abdominal aortic aneurysms using maximally inscribed spheres. *Med Eng Phys*. 2015; 37:683–691. DOI: 10.1016/j.medengphy.2015.04.011 [PubMed: 26004506]
- Hislop A, Reid L. Normal structure and dimensions of the pulmonary arteries in the rat. *J Anat*. 1978; 125:71–83. [PubMed: 632217]
- Hunter KS, Lanning CJ, Chen SYJ, Zhang Y, Garg R, Ivy DD, Shandas R. Simulations of congenital septal defect closure and reactivity testing in patient-specific models of the pediatric pulmonary vasculature: A 3D numerical study with fluid-structure interaction. *J Biomech Eng*. 2006; 128:564–72. DOI: 10.1115/1.2206202 [PubMed: 16813447]
- Jakrapanichakul D, Chirakarnjanakorn S. Comparison of aortic diameter in normal subjects and patients with systemic hypertension. *J Med Assoc Thai Chotmaihet Thangphaet*. 2011; 94(Suppl 1):S51–56.
- Kheifets VO, O'Dell W, Smith T, Reilly JJ, Finol EA. Considerations for numerical modeling of the pulmonary circulation—a review with a focus on pulmonary hypertension. *J Biomech Eng*. 2013; 135:61011–15. DOI: 10.1115/1.4024141 [PubMed: 23699723]
- Kheifets VO, Rios L, Smith T, Schroeder T, Mueller J, Murali S, Lasorda D, Zikos A, Spotti J, Reilly JJ, Finol EA. Patient-specific computational modeling of blood flow in the pulmonary arterial circulation. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2015; 120:88–101. DOI: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2015.04.005 [PubMed: 25975872]
- Khlebnikov, R., Figueroa, CA. Clinical Image-Based Procedures Translational Research in Medical Imaging Presented at the Workshop on Clinical Image-Based Procedures. Springer; Cham: 2015. CRIMSON: Towards a Software Environment for Patient-Specific Blood Flow Simulation for Diagnosis and Treatment; p. 10-18.
- Kung EO, Les AS, Figueroa CA, Medina F, Arcaute K, Wicker RB, McConnell MV, Taylor CA. In vitro validation of finite element analysis of blood flow in deformable models. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2011; 39:1947–1960. DOI: 10.1007/s10439-011-0284-7 [PubMed: 21404126]
- Lankhaar JW, Westerhof N, Faes TJC, Marques KMJ, Marcus JT, Postmus PE, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Quantification of right ventricular afterload in patients with and without pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2006; 291:H1731–H1737. DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.00336.2006 [PubMed: 16699074]
- Lau EMT, Iyer N, Ilsar R, Bailey BP, Adams MR, Celermajor DS. Abnormal pulmonary artery stiffness in pulmonary arterial hypertension: In vivo study with intravascular ultrasound. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033331
- Mahapatra S, Nishimura RA, Sorajja P, Cha S, McGoon MD. Relationship of Pulmonary Arterial Capacitance and Mortality in Idiopathic Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006; 47:799–803. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.09.054 [PubMed: 16487848]
- Matthys KS, Alastruey J, Peiró J, Khir AW, Segers P, Verdonck PR, Parker KH, Sherwin SJ. Pulse wave propagation in a model human arterial network: assessment of 1-D numerical simulations against in vitro measurements. *J Biomech*. 2007; 40–46:3476–3486. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.05.027
- McLaughlin VV, Archer SL, Badesch DB, Barst RJ, Farber HW, Lindner JR, Mathier MA, McGoon MD, Park MH, Rosenson RS, Rubin LJ, Tapson VF, Varga J. ACCF/AHA 2009 Expert Consensus Document on Pulmonary Hypertension: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents and the American Heart Association:

Developed in Collaboration With the *American College*. *Circulation*. 2009; 119:2250–2294. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192230 [PubMed: 19332472]

- Prakash S, Ethier CR. Requirements for Mesh Resolution in 3D Computational Hemodynamics. *J Biomech Eng*. 2000; 123:134–144. DOI: 10.1115/1.1351807
- Pursell ER, Vélez-Rendón D, Valdez-Jasso D. Biaxial Properties of the Left and Right Pulmonary Arteries in a Monocrotaline Rat Animal Model of Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *J Biomech Eng*. 2016; :138–149. DOI: 10.1115/1.4034826
- Santos S, Peinado VI, Ramirez J, Melgosa T, Roca J, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Barberà JA. Characterization of pulmonary vascular remodelling in smokers and patients with mild COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2002; 19:632–638. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.02.00245902 [PubMed: 11998991]
- Sanz J, Kariisa M, Dellegrottaglie S, Prat-González S, Garcia MJ, Fuster V, Rajagopalan S. Evaluation of Pulmonary Artery Stiffness in Pulmonary Hypertension With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009; 2:286–295. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2008.08.007 [PubMed: 19356573]
- Shimoda LA, Laurie SS. Vascular remodeling in pulmonary hypertension. *J Mol Med Berl Ger*. 2013; 91:297–309. DOI: 10.1007/s00109-013-0998-0
- Stenmark KR, Meyrick B, Galie N, Mooi WJ, McMurtry IF. Animal models of pulmonary arterial hypertension: the hope for etiological discovery and pharmacological cure. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2009; 297:L1013–L1032. DOI: 10.1152/ajplung.00217.2009 [PubMed: 19748998]
- Stevens GR, Garcia-Alvarez A, Sahni S, Garcia MJ, Fuster V, Sanz J. RV dysfunction in pulmonary hypertension is independently related to pulmonary artery stiffness. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012; 5:378–387. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2011.11.020 [PubMed: 22498327]
- Su Z, Hunter KS, Shandas R. Impact of pulmonary vascular stiffness and vasodilator treatment in pediatric pulmonary hypertension: 21 patient-specific fluid-structure interaction studies. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2012; 108:617–628. DOI: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2011.09.002 [PubMed: 21975085]
- Su Z, Tan W, Shandas R, Hunter KS. Influence of distal resistance and proximal stiffness on hemodynamics and RV afterload in progression and treatments of pulmonary hypertension: A computational study with validation using animal models. *Comput Math Methods Med*. 2013; 2013:618326.doi: 10.1155/2013/618326 [PubMed: 24367392]
- Sutendra G, Michelakis ED. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: challenges in translational research and a vision for change. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013; 5:208sr5.doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3005428 [PubMed: 24154604]
- Tang BT, Pickard SS, Chan FP, Tsao PS, Taylor CA, Feinstein JA. Wall shear stress is decreased in the pulmonary arteries of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: An image-based, computational fluid dynamics study. *Pulm Circ*. 2012; 2:470–6. DOI: 10.4103/2045-8932.105035 [PubMed: 23372931]
- Tian L, Kellihan HB, Henningsen J, Bellofiore A, Forouzan O, Roldán-Alzate A, Consigny DW, Gunderson M, Dailey SH, Francois CJ, Chesler NC. Pulmonary artery relative area change is inversely related to ex vivo measured arterial elastic modulus in the canine model of acute pulmonary embolization. *J Biomech*. 2014; 47:2904–2910. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.07.013 [PubMed: 25128393]
- Trip P, Rain S, Handoko ML, van der Bruggen C, Bogaard HJ, Marcus JT, Boonstra A, Westerhof N, Vonk-Noordegraaf A, de Man FS. Clinical relevance of right ventricular diastolic stiffness in pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J*. 2015; 45:1603–1612. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00156714 [PubMed: 25882798]
- Tuder R, Marecki J, Richter A, Fijalkowska I, Flores S. Pathology of Pulmonary Hypertension Rubin. *Clin Chest Med*. 2007; 28:1–30. DOI: 10.1016/j.ccm.2006.11.010 [PubMed: 17338925]
- Wang Z, Lakes RS, Golob M, Eickhoff JC, Chesler NC. Changes in Large Pulmonary Arterial Viscoelasticity in Chronic Pulmonary Hypertension PLOS ONE. 2013; 8doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078569

- Xiao N, Alastruey J, Alberto Figueroa C. A systematic comparison between 1- D and 3-D hemodynamics in compliant arterial models. *Int J Numer Methods Biomed Eng.* 2014; 30:204–231. DOI: 10.1002/cnm.2598
- Xiao N, Humphrey JD, Figueroa CA. Multi-scale computational model of three-dimensional hemodynamics within a deformable full-body arterial network. *J Comput Phys, Multi-scale Modeling and Simulation of Biological Systems.* 2013; 244:22–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2012.09.016

Figure 1.

Schematic of the model calibration (R_1^i , R_2^i , C^i and E) process with measured pressure and diameter data.

Figure 2.
Profile of the diameter measured along the centerline of the pulmonary arteries at ED in the PAH patient and normal subject.

Figure 3. Measurements (solid lines) and model predictions (dotted lines) of: (a) pressure, (b) volumetric flow rate, and (c) pressure-displacement curves for the normal subject (top) and PAH patient (bottom).

Figure 4.
Comparison of calibrated values of the proximal linearized stiffness E , total distal resistance R_T and compliance C_T between normal and PAH subject

Figure 5. Comparison of (a) spatial distribution (b) circumferentially average of TAWSS and OSI plotted with the normalized distance in MPA, RPA and LPA; and (c) mean values of TAWSS and OSI between the normal and PAH subject

Figure 6.

Comparison of (a): spatial distribution of the maximum radial displacement and (b) maximum diameter change and (c) RAC along the MPA, RPA and LPA in the normal and PAH model.

Figure 7.
Comparison of the variation of pressure waveform and changes in pressure- displacement relationship at different cross sections along the MPA (black), LPA (red) and RPA (blues) between the PAH patient and normal subject.

Figure 8.

Effects on the pulse pressure in the PAH model when values of linearized stiffness E and the Windkessel parameters (C_T , R_T) were replaced by those found in the normal subject model.

Table 1

Summary of data and information used for calibrating the normal and PAH model

	Age (years)	Cardiac index (L/min/m ²)	Volumetric flow waveform	Pressure waveform	3d computational model	Diameter changes at the MPA
Normal	65	3	Approximated to match measured RV stroke volume	Approximated	Reconstructed from subject MR image at end diastolic	Measured from subject MR image
PAH	44	2.93	Measured from PC- MR images	Measured from RH catheterization	Reconstructed from subject MR image at end diastolic	Measured from patient MR image