Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2010 September 21; 8(18): 4056–4058. doi:10.1039/c0ob00269k.

An unusual reactivity of BBr_3 : Accessing tetrahydroisoquinoline units from *N*-phenethylimides†

Jayaraman Selvakumar^a, Alexandros Makriyannis^b, and Chinnasamy Ramaraj Ramanathan^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Pondicherry University, Puducherry, 605014, India

^bCenter for Drug Discovery, 360 Huntington Avenue, 116 Mugar Hall, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Isoindoloisoquinalinone, pyrroloisoquinolinone and benzo[a]quinolizinone units are constructed *via* intramolecular cyclization of the methoxy substituted *N*-phenethylimides using BBr_3 .

The heterocycles containing a tetrahydroisoquinoline unit constitute a major class in the pharmacologically active isoquinoline alkaloid family¹ and hence attracted the great attention of synthetic chemists.² Molecules containing tetrahydroisoquinoline skeleton have generally been constructed through the synthetic protocols such as Pictet–Spengler,³ Bischler–Napieralski,⁴ Pomeranz–Fritsch–Bobbitt,⁵ *N*-acyliminium ion cyclization,⁶ Parham type cyclization,⁷ base induced aryl mediated cyclization,⁸ and other sophisticated methods.⁹ These methods either involve the harsh condition or multiple steps to effect the cyclization.

During the course of our investigation on the preparation of resorcinol **1** from dimethoxyderivative **2a** (Fig. 1) on treatment with boron tribromide, a reagent commonly used for the demethylation of aryl methyl ether,¹⁰ we have observed an unusual reactivity. The methyl group remained unaffected even with excess of BBr_3 ; instead, the reaction proceeded smoothly to afford the cyclized product, isoindoloisoquinolinone derivative, **3a**.

This observation prompted us to investigate the feasibility of this unexpected reaction of BBr_3 with methoxy substituted phenethylimides and herein we report the first single step synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives such as isoindoloisoquinolinone, pyrroloisoquinolinone and benzo[a]-quinolizinone units using BBr_3 . Preliminary experiments revealed that the cyclization reaction required two equivalents of BBr_3 with **2a**, while the lesser equivalent led to incomplete reaction. To examine the efficacy of other Lewis acids to effect this cyclization process, the reactions were carried out with substrate **2a** in presence of other Lewis acids (LA) such as $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, FeCl_3 , TiCl_4 , AlCl_3 ,

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed synthetic procedure and characterization of all compounds; ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra and crystallographic data for selected compounds. CCDC reference numbers 768894–768899. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00269k

crnath.che@pondiuni.edu.in; Fax: +91-413-2655987; Tel: +91-413-2654416.

ClTi(OⁱPr)₃, Ti(OⁱPr)₄, ZnCl₂, SnCl₄ and AlBr₃ (Scheme 1). The results are summarized in Table 1.

When **2a** was treated with 2 equivalents of Lewis acids such as ClTi(OⁱPr)₃, Ti(OⁱPr)₄, ZnCl₂ and SnCl₄ the reactions failed to afford the cyclized product and revealed that the Lewis acidities of these reagents are not sufficient enough to facilitate the cyclization process. Whereas, the Lewis acids AlCl₃, AlBr₃, FeCl₃, TiCl₄ and BBr₃ were successfully afforded the cyclized product. Depending on the Lewis acids the time required for the completion of the reaction and yield varied. For example, the Lewis acids TiCl₄, AlCl₃, FeCl₃ and AlBr₃ required 12 h, 8 h, 6.5 h and 4.5 h for complete conversion of the starting material **2a** to the cyclized product **3a** in 72%, 75%, 53% and 83% yield respectively. The reaction was sluggish when BF₃·OEt₂ was used as a Lewis acid; the reaction was incomplete even after 3 days of stirring at room temperature (entry no. 1; Table no. 1). The cyclization of **2a** using BBr₃ (in CH₂Cl₂ at –15 °C to room temperature) was fast, clean and gave the cyclized product within 30 min.

Further utility of BBr₃ for this cyclization reaction was examined by treating mono/di/tri methoxy substituted phenethylphthalimides and the results are summarized in Table 2 (Scheme 2). For example, the substrates **2b** and **2e** underwent regioselective cyclization at C-6 position which is *para* to the C-3 methoxy group to afford **3b** and **3e** respectively. The reaction of *N*-[2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]phthalimide **2b** gave 72% of the cyclized product **3b** along with a mixture of both mono and di demethylated products. Reaction temperature (–78 °C and 27 °C) as well as the quantity of BBr₃ did not alter the yields of both cyclized and demethylated product from **2b**. Similarly, 2,3-dimethoxy and 3,4,5-trimethoxy derivatives furnished the corresponding cyclized products **3c** and **3d** in 37% and 42% yield respectively; along with demethylated products. Methylenedioxy phenethylphthalimide **2f** underwent complete ether cleavage to the catechol derivative. The success of the cyclization depend on the relative position of the methoxy groups with respect to the phenyl ring carbon that participates in the cyclization; amethoxy group must be present at C-3/C-5 positions so that the phenyl carbons C-6/C-2 respectively participate in the C–C bond forming reaction (Scheme 2). When methoxy group was present at C-4 carbon, demethylation was a competing reaction (**2b–d**).

Unsubstituted phenethylphthalimide failed to give the cyclized product even after stirring **2g** with BBr₃ for the extended period of time. These examples revealed that the sufficient mesomeric activation of the reacting nucleophilic carbon is important for a successful cyclization.

Preferential coordination of BBr₃ with imide carbonyl group over methoxy group was evidenced from the ¹H NMR studies¹¹ of the reaction mixture and the mixture of hydroxy lactam **3a** and BBr₃ in CDCl₃ (Fig. 2). This complexation facilitated the electrophilic substitution at C-6 *via* nucleophilic addition on the imide carbonyl group to furnish the boron derivative of hydroxylactam **A** prior to the *N*-acyliminium ion formation. With the expulsion of boron species (Br₂BO[–]) from **A** produced the stable intermediate **I** the *N*-acyliminium ion (Scheme 3). Further, this speculation was supported by the fact that the

phenethylphthalimide **2g** failed to give the cyclized product; the reactivity generally observed for the *N*-acyliminium ion cyclization.

Next we turned our attention to examine the applicability of this methodology to the methoxy substituted phenethyl aliphatic imides such as *N*-[2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-ethyl]succinimide **5a** (Scheme 4). On treatment with BBr₃, **5a** furnished the cyclized product **6a**, which underwent dehydration readily to a very unstable compound **7a** in 60% yield.^{7a} Hence the *in situ* generated intermediate **II**, *N*-acyliminium ion, was reduced with NaBH₄/CH₃OH instead of quenching with water in a one pot fashion to deliver the tricyclic lactam, pyrroloisoquinolinone **8a** in 88% yield.¹² Similarly, the imide **5c** gave **8c** in 69% yield along with demethylated products. Glutarimides **5b** and **5d** gave the cyclized tricyclic lactams, tetrahydroisoquinoline core **8b** and **8d**, present in the α-glucosidase inhibitors schulzeine-A/B/C,¹³ in 89% and 71% yields respectively.

The formation of hydroxy lactam from phthalimides and lactam from aliphatic imides were unambiguously confirmed through spectral techniques as well as single crystal X-ray structural analysis of selected molecules (Fig. 3).¹⁴

In conclusion we have documented a simple methodology to construct the tetrahydroisoquinoline containing skeleton, employing the boron tribromide as Lewis acid. Applicability of this reaction towards the synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoline unit present in the alkaloid schulzeine-A/B/C was successfully carried out. Further investigations on extending the scope of this cyclization process as well as the modification of Lewis acids to facilitate cyclization reaction is in progress.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research work was funded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India, New Delhi (Grant No. 01(2141)/07/EMR-II). J. S. thanks CSIR, New Delhi for research fellowship. We thank CIF, Pondicherry University for FT-IR and 400 MHz NMR data. X-ray analysis were carried out at National single crystal X-ray facility, School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad; SAIF, IITM, Chennai and Single crystal X-ray facility, DST-FIST sponsored, Department of Chemistry, Pondicherry University.

References

1. Bentley, KW. The Isoquinoline Alkaloids. Harwood Academic Publishers; Amsterdam: 1998. Scott JD, Williams RM. Chem Rev. 2002; 102:1669. [PubMed: 11996547]
2. Zhang F, Simpkins NS, Blake AJ. Org Biomol Chem. 2009; 7:1963. [PubMed: 19590794] Gaskell SN, Duffy LJ, Allin SM. Nat Prod Commun. 2008; 3:1825.
3. Pictet A, Spengler T. Ber. 1911; 44:2030. Royer J, Bonin M, Micouin L. Chem Rev. 2004; 104:2311. [PubMed: 15137793]
4. Bischler A, Napieralski B. Ber. 1893; 26:1903. Rozwadowska MD. Heterocycles. 1994; 39:903. Chrzanowska M, Rozwadowska MD. Chem Rev. 2004; 104:3341. [PubMed: 15250744]
5. Pomeranz C. Monatsh Chem. 1893; 14:116. Fritsch P. Ber. 1893; 26:419. Bobbitt JM, Kiely JM, Khanna KL, Ebermann R. J Org Chem. 1965; 30:2247. Zhou B, Guo J, Danishefsky SJ. Org Lett. 2002; 4:43. [PubMed: 11772086]

6. Maryanoff BE, Zhang HC, Cohen JH, Turchi IJ, Maryanoff CA. Chem Rev. 2004; 104:1431. [PubMed: 15008627] Garcia E, Lete E, Sotomayor N. J Org Chem. 2006; 71:6776. [PubMed: 16930027] Speckamp WN, Hiemstra H. Tetrahedron. 1985; 41:4367. Allin SM, Northfield CJ, Page MI, Slawin AMZ. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998; 39:4905.
7. Parham WE, Bradsher CK. Acc Chem Res. 1982; 15:300. Collado MI, Manteca I, Sotomayor N, Villa MJ, Lete E. J Org Chem. 1997; 62:2080. [PubMed: 11671512]
8. Moreau A, Couture A, Deniau E, Grandclaude P. Eur J Org Chem. 2005:3437.
9. Kim G, Kim JH, Kim WJ, Kim YA. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003; 44:8207. Yamamoto Y, Kinpara K, Saigoku T, Nishiyama H, Itoh K. Org Biomol Chem. 2004; 2:1287. [PubMed: 15105918]
10. Greene, TW., Wuts, PGM. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis. 3. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 1999. Punna S, Meunier S, Finn MG. Org Lett. 2004; 6:2777. [PubMed: 15281767]
11. For complete ^1H NMR spectrum see ESI.
12. Quirante J, Escolano C, Merino A, Bonjoch J. J Org Chem. 1998; 63:968.
13. Takada K, Uehara T, Nakao Y, Matsunaga S, van Soest RWM, Fusetani N. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:187. [PubMed: 14709083] Gurjar MK, Pramanik C, Bhattasali D, Ramana CV, Mohapatra DK. J Org Chem. 2007; 72:6591. [PubMed: 17630798] Liu G, Romo D. Org Lett. 2009; 11:1143. [PubMed: 19209875] Bowen EG, Wardrop DJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:6062. [PubMed: 19361223]
14. See the ESI for details.

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.
¹H NMR spectra of a) **2a** + BBr₃; b) **3a** + BBr₃.

Fig. 3.
ORTEP diagram of **3a** and **8b** (50% probability ellipsoids).

Scheme 1.
Screening of Lewis acids for cyclization.

Scheme 2.
Cyclization of **2a-e** using BBr₃.

Scheme 3.
Proposed reaction mechanism.

Scheme 4.

One pot conversion of aliphatic imides to lactam.

Table 1Cyclization of **2a** using common Lewis acids

Entry	Lewis Acid	Equiv.	Reaction time/h	3a (%) ^a
1	BF ₃ ·OEt ₂	2	96	58
2	FeCl ₃	2	6.5	53
3	TiCl ₄	2	12	72
4	AlCl ₃	2	8	75
5	AlBr ₃	2	4.5	83
6	BBr ₃	4	0.5	88
7	BBr₃	2	0.5	91
8	BBr ₃	1	0.5	46

^a Isolated yield.

Table 2Cyclization of **2a–e** using 2 equiv. of BBr₃

S. No.	Substitutions					3 (%) ^a
	Entry	R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	
1	2a	H	OMe	H	OMe	3a (91)
2	2b	H	OMe	OMe	H	3b (72)
3	2c	OMe	OMe	H	H	3c (37)
4	2d	H	OMe	OMe	OMe	3d (42)
5	2e	H	OMe	H	H	3e (81)
6	2f	H	–OCH ₂ O–	H	H	— ^b
7	2g	H	H	H	H	N.R. ^c

^a Isolated yield.^b Only demethylenated product was obtained.^c No reaction.