Published in final edited form as:

J Behav Med. 2018 February ; 41(1): 22–30. doi:10.1007/s10865-017-9880-9.

Life Satisfaction and Inflammation in Couples: An Actor-Partner Analysis

Bert N. Uchino,

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Robert G. Kent de Grey,

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Sierra Cronan,

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Timothy W. Smith,

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Ed Diener,

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Samantha Joel, and

Department of Psychology and Health Psychology Program, University of Utah

Jos Bosch

Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Amsterdam

Abstract

Life satisfaction has been linked to lower cardiovascular disease mortality. However, much less is known about the biological mechanisms linking life satisfaction to physical health. In addition, the dyadic context of life satisfaction has not been considered despite increasing evidence that partners influence each other in health-relevant ways. These questions were addressed with ninety-four married couples who completed measures of life satisfaction and had their blood drawn for determination of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP). Actor-partner models showed that higher actor levels of life satisfaction predicted lower levels of IL-6 and CRP (p 's < .05), whereas partner levels of life satisfaction did not predict any measure of inflammation. The actor results were not mediated by marital satisfaction or health behaviors. Finally, no actor X partner interactions were significant and these links were not moderated by marital satisfaction. These data highlight inflammation as a potentially important biological mechanism linking actor reports of life satisfaction to lower cardiovascular mortality.

Keywords

Life satisfaction; dyadic; actor-partner models; inflammation

Satisfaction with life represents the cognitive component of subjective well-being and reflects a general cognitive evaluation regarding one's life across different domains (e.g., social, health, work; Diener et al., 2013). It is distinct from other measures of subjective

well-being such as positive and negative affect which reflect the affective aspects of subjective well-being (Pavot & Diener, 2008). Although most research has focused on life satisfaction as an outcome (Diener et al., 2013), research suggests that it is also a predictor of diverse outcomes including better job performance (Erdogan, Bauer, Truxillo, & Mansfield, 2012) and relationship stability (Luhmann, Hofmann, Eid, & Lucas, 2012). Importantly, life satisfaction also predicts better physical health, including lower cardiovascular disease mortality which is the number one cause of death in most industrialized countries (Boehm & Kubzansky, 2012). Life satisfaction is thought to facilitate positive outcomes by promoting psychological and behavioral adaptations to life challenges such as seeking support and adaptive coping during stress (Kohler Giancola, Grawitch, & Borchert, 2009; Trepte, Dienlin, & Reinecke, 2014).

Despite this evidence, there are several important issues that need to be addressed. A first issue is that only a few studies have examined if satisfaction with life is associated with biological outcomes that might explain epidemiological links to long-term health. Life satisfaction is most consistently linked to cardiovascular disease so inflammation is likely to be an important biological pathway (Kiecolt-Glaser, Gouin, & Hantsoo, 2010). Immune-mediated inflammation influences every stage of cardiovascular disease from the initiation of early endothelial injury to later arterial obstruction or plaque rupture (Libby, Nahrendorf, & Swirski, 2016; Ross, 1999). Two important markers of inflammation include interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP) which predict future risk for cardiovascular disease and related mortality (Bisoendial, Boekholdt, Vergeer, Stoes, & Kastelein, 2010; Hunter & Jones, 2015; O'Hartagh et al., 2013). To date, only three studies appear to have tested the link between life satisfaction and inflammation: Two have found life satisfaction to predict lower CRP and IL-6 levels (Hamer & Chida, 2011; Nowakowski, 2014) while the other study did not (Rissanen et al., 2013). Thus, more research is needed modeling these inflammatory biological pathways.

A second issue is that none of the studies examining life satisfaction and inflammation utilized a dyadic approach which is important for both statistical and conceptual reasons. At a statistical level, it is possible that dyadic approaches provide a more sensitive test of links between life satisfaction and inflammation as they directly model important actor and partner sources of variance. In this context, actor influences represent the extent to which one's own life satisfaction predicts one's own inflammation, whereas partner influences represent the extent to which a partner's life satisfaction influences one's own inflammation (Reed, Butler, & Kenny, 2013). Separating out these unique sources of variance should clarify the relative contribution of each dyad member and whether one member is more highly related to inflammation than the other.

At the conceptual level, dyadic approaches are of importance because they explicitly model how the social context influences the link between psychological factors and health (Pietromonaco, Uchino, & Dunkel Schetter, 2013). Most of the work in this area has focused on how relationships influence life satisfaction (Adams, King, & King, 1996; Burton-Jeangros & Zimmermann-Sloutskis, 2016; Cheng, Li, Leung, & Chan, 2011; Heckman, 2003; Sherman, DeVinney, & Sperling, 2004). This literature demonstrates that better quality relationships are associated with higher levels of life satisfaction (Burton-Jeangros &

Zimmermann-Sloutskis, 2016; Dyrda, Røysamb, Nes, & Vittersø, 2011). In one study, for women in low quality marriages, life satisfaction increased upon divorce (Bourassa, Sbarra, & Whisman, 2015). However, a limitation of this literature is that many of these studies are cross-sectional and thus cannot address whether there are bidirectional associations between life satisfaction and relationship outcomes. Through such mutual influences life satisfaction may also influence relationship outcomes over time (Gustavson, Røysamb, Borren, Torvik, & Karevold, 2016; Luhmann, Lucas, Eid, & Diener, 2013; Norman et al., 2012). In fact, Gustavson and colleagues (2016) in a study of romantic couples found evidence that life satisfaction prospectively predicted better relationship quality over a three year period. Similarly, it has been found that life satisfaction is a prospective predictor of lower divorce rates (Luhmann et al., 2013).

Marriage is an especially important social context in which to study health-relevant dyadic processes. It is one of the most important relationships in adulthood and partners mutually influence each other for better or worse (Robles, Slatcher, Trombello, & McGinn, 2014; Smith et al., 2011). Consistent with this possibility, data from the Seattle Longitudinal Study found that changes in life satisfaction over a 35 year period were linked within couples (Hoppmann, Gerstorf, Willis, & Schaie, 2011; also see King, Canham, Cobb, & O'Rourke, 2016 for a shorter follow-up). Such data illustrate that partners' levels of life satisfaction can influence each other over time. However, no studies to date appear to have examined if actor-partner life satisfaction scores are related to health-relevant biological processes. Given links between life satisfaction and health-relevant social processes, directly modeling dyadic processes can provide unique insight into the social context of health (Pietromonaco et al., 2013).

The present study thus examined actor-partner models linking life satisfaction to inflammatory outcomes (i.e., IL-6 and CRP) in married couples. Based on the larger epidemiological literature (Boehm & Kubzansky, 2012), it was predicted that one's own perceptions of life satisfaction (actor influences) would be related to lower levels of both IL-6 and CRP. Different predictions regarding partner influences of life satisfaction on inflammation were possible. On the one hand, dyadic models suggest that partners mutually influence each other in health-relevant ways so one might expect partner levels of life satisfaction to predict lower inflammation (Pietromonaco et al., 2013). On the other hand, life satisfaction reflects a broad assessment of satisfaction across different domains of life (e.g., marriage, work; Diener et al., 2013). Thus it is possible that a partner's level of life satisfaction might not be related to inflammation because it primarily reflects partner influences within the marital domain. Ancillary analyses thus considered if marital satisfaction mediated any significant links between life satisfaction and inflammation. It is also possible that actor-partner life satisfaction influences inflammation via health behaviors (Diener & Chan, 2011). For instance, actors and partners who have greater life satisfaction might be more likely to exercise together. Thus, secondary mediational analyses also considered if any associations were due to health behaviors.

Method

Participants

Ninety-four relatively healthy married middle-age to older adult couples were included in this study ($M_{age}=56.2$, $SD=7.30$, range 42 to 78 years). Couples were married on average for 27 years ($sd=11.5$). The present study was part of a larger study that investigated social interactions and cardiovascular health in middle-aged and older adults because they have an elevated cardiovascular disease risk (Uchino et al., 2013). Most were White (94.6%) and had an income over \$40,000 per year (87.6%). Many older adults are on some form of medication so only individuals who (a) were on strong immunosuppressive treatment (e.g., corticosteroid therapy) and/or (b) had cancer or HIV were excluded due to concerns about potential effects of treatment on inflammation.

Procedure

Eligible participants were screened via phone interviews and scheduled for a laboratory appointment at the Social Neuroscience II laboratory during a three-hour late morning block (9 am to 12 pm) to control for diurnal influences on inflammation. Following informed consent, participants were first rechecked against the exclusion criteria upon their arrival for their session. Participants then completed demographic and health questionnaires and life satisfaction/marital assessments (see below). Approximately 20 cc of blood was also drawn and treated with EDTA to prevent clotting. Plasma was separated via centrifuge and levels of IL-6 and CRP were determined at a research laboratory at the University of Amsterdam (see below). Couples were then debriefed and received \$60.00 each for their participation.

Measures

Health Assessment—A standardized health questionnaire provided information on the following potential health-related variables: medications / medical condition (0=no, 1=yes), frequency of exercise, use of tobacco products (0=no, 1=yes), weekly alcohol consumption, and body mass index. The health behavior questionnaire has been used in a large longitudinal study on the chronic stress of caregiving for a relative with Alzheimer's Disease and its effects on physiological function (see Kiecolt-Glaser, Dura, Speicher, Trask, & Glaser, 1991).

Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS)—The SWLS is a 5 item scale that measures general life satisfaction (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985). The SWLS is characterized by high internal consistency (e.g., .alpha of .89) and good test-retest reliability (e.g., 4 year test-retest reliability of .54, Pavot & Diener, 1993). The internal consistency of the scale in this study was likewise high (alpha=.84).

Marital Adjustment Test (MAT)—The MAT contains 15 items and is a widely used measure of overall marital quality (Beach, Fincham, Amir, & Leonard, 2005; Locke & Wallace, 1959). Its psychometric properties are well documented and reliably distinguishes distressed from non-distressed couples (Beach et al., 2005). The internal consistency of the scale in the present study was high (alpha=.80).

Inflammation Assessments—High sensitivity CRP (hsCRP) was measured by immunonephelometry using a Behring Nephelometer II. The limit of detection for C-reactive protein is 0.015 mg/L (High Sensitivity CRP, Dade Behring). All samples were assayed in the same run, yielding a within-assay CV% of <4.5% for hsCRP. IL-6 was determined using a commercially available high-sensitivity ELISA (hsIL-6 Quantikine, R&D systems), which has a lower detection limit of 0.15 pg/ml and yielded an intra-assay CV% of <6%. Consistent with prior work, CRP and IL-6 were natural log transformed to normalize the distribution prior to analyses (Mezuk, Diez Roux, & Seeman, 2010). The non-normality of the data was confirmed by significant Shapiro-Wilk tests for CRP ($W=.67, p<.001$) and IL-6 ($W=.83, p<.001$) which is one of the most powerful tests of normality based on Monte Carlo simulations (Razali & Wah, 2011).

Statistical Model

Proc mixed (SAS institute) was utilized in order to test these actor-partner models (Campbell & Kashy, 2002). All factors were treated as fixed (Nezlek, 2008) and proc mixed treats the unexplained variation within individuals as a random factor. All variables were grand mean centered prior to analyses (Wu & Wooldridge, 2005). The covariance structure for the repeated measures factors of dyad (i.e., 1=husband, 2=wife) was modeled using the compound symmetry structure (Campbell & Kashy, 2002). The outputs of these models were unstandardized parameter estimates (b) using the Satterthwaite approximation to determine the appropriate degrees of freedom (Campbell & Kashy, 2002). Mediation analyses were conducted using the Monte Carlo method (Preacher & Selig, 2012). The MCMED macro (Hayes, 2013) was used to construct 95% confidence intervals for each indirect effect using 20,000 resamples.

Results

Descriptive Analyses

Descriptive data and correlations among the main study variables are included in Tables 1 and 2. The mean untransformed CRP and IL-6 level in the sample were .19 ($sd=.25$) and 1.57 pg/ml ($sd=1.05$), respectively. Consistent with prior work, IL-6 and CRP levels were positively correlated ($r=.52, p<.001$). The average level of life satisfaction in the sample was 27.5 ($sd=4.85$). Actor-partner life satisfaction scores were also positively correlated as expected ($r=.34, p<.001$). However, the correlation suggests only a moderate association within dyads for life satisfaction.

Main Analyses

Analyses aimed at testing the major aims of the study were conducted next. These analyses statistically controlled for extraneous factors related to inflammation including age, gender, and body mass (O'Connor et al., 2009). Specific medications linked to inflammation (i.e., statins, anti-inflammatory, hormone replacement therapy, Georgiadou & Sbarouni, 2009; Libby et al., 2002; O'Connor et al., 2009) were also statistically controlled. As shown in Table 3, after considering basic demographics and medication use, actor levels of life satisfaction were a consistent predictor of lower inflammation. One's own satisfaction with life predicted both lower IL-6 ($b=-.02, SE=.008, p=.03$) and CRP ($b=-.05, SE=.02, p=.01$)

levels (see Figure 1). In comparison, partner levels of life satisfaction did not predict either IL-6 ($p=.68$) or CRP ($p=.93$).

Given the pattern of results, the mediating contribution of marital satisfaction was examined. Life satisfaction has the potential to influence inflammation through many routes, one of which may be marital functioning. All analyses included eight covariates: Partner satisfaction with life, body mass index, age, household income, gender, and medication use (3 variables). Actor satisfaction with life was a strong predictor of marital satisfaction, $b = 1.66$, $SE = .36$, $p < .001$. However, marital satisfaction did not in turn predict IL-6, $b = -.00007$, $SE = .001$, $p = .97$, or CRP, $b = .006$, $SE = .004$, $p = .11$. Monte Carlo simulations revealed that marital satisfaction did not mediate the associations between actor satisfaction with life and either of the indicators of inflammation. The direct effect of actor satisfaction with life on IL-6 remained significant with marital satisfaction included in the model, $b = -.02$, $SE = .009$, $p = .04$, and no indirect effects emerged for marital satisfaction, 95% CI $[-.006, .006]$. Similarly, the direct effect of actor satisfaction with life on CRP remained significant with marital satisfaction included in the model, $b = -.05$, $SE = .02$, $p = .007$, and no indirect effects emerged for marital satisfaction, 95% CI $[-.002, .02]$. These ancillary analyses which more directly consider the marital context are consistent with the weaker than anticipated partner life satisfaction influences on inflammation.

Given that health behaviors influence inflammation (O'Connor et al., 2009), multilevel mediational analyses were also examined considering the contribution of exercise frequency, smoking status, and weekly alcohol consumption to the actor life satisfaction and inflammation link. After consideration of the covariates, actor satisfaction with life did not predict exercise, $b = .02$, $SE = .01$, $p = .21$, or smoking, $b = -.003$, $SE = .003$, $p = .26$, although actor satisfaction with life did predict greater alcohol use, $b = .28$, $SE = .11$, $p = .02$. None of the three potential mediators—exercise, $b = -.04$, $SE = .04$, $p = .37$, smoking, $b = .01$, $SE = .24$, $p = .95$, or alcohol use, $b = .004$, $SE = .005$, $p = .38$ —predicted IL-6. Additionally, neither exercise, $b = -.10$, $SE = .10$, $p = .33$, nor alcohol use, $b = -.0004$, $SE = .01$, $p = .97$, predicted CRP, although there was a significant effect of smoking on CRP, $b = 1.35$, $SE = .57$, $p = .02$. Finally, Monte Carlo simulations revealed that none of the three health-related behaviors mediated the associations between actor satisfaction with life and either of the indicators of inflammation. The direct effect of actor satisfaction with life on IL-6 remained significant with the three potential mediators included in the model, $b = -.02$, $SE = .008$, $p = .03$, and no indirect effects emerged for exercise, 95% CI $[-.004, .001]$, smoking, 95% CI $[-.002, .002]$, or alcohol use, 95% CI $[-.002, .005]$. Similarly, the direct effect of actor satisfaction with life on CRP remained significant with the three potential mediators included in the model, $b = -.04$, $SE = .02$, $p = .05$, and no indirect effects emerged for exercise, 95% CI $[-.008, .003]$, smoking, 95% CI $[-.004, .003]$, or alcohol use, 95% CI $[-.007, .007]$.

Finally, two sets of post hoc moderator analyses were run to potentially examine if partner influences of life satisfaction were more important under certain conditions. First, moderator analyses were conducted to examine if actor X partner life satisfaction interactions were present in predicting inflammation. These analyses tested the possibility that it is the combination of actor and partner life satisfaction that might be important. Contrary to this

possibility, there were no significant actor X partner interactions on IL-6 ($p=.19$) or CRP ($p=.37$). The second set of analyses tested if any of these partner results were moderated by marital satisfaction. That is, might partner life satisfaction have more of an impact if marital quality was high? None of these analyses revealed any significant partner life satisfaction X marital quality interactions for IL-6 ($p=.12$) or CRP levels ($p=.80$).

Discussion

The main aim of this study was to consider dyadic links between life satisfaction and inflammation by utilizing actor-partner models. As predicted, one's own life satisfaction was a significant and consistent predictor of lower inflammation as indexed by IL-6 and CRP. However, partner levels of life satisfaction were not related to any of the inflammatory markers, and post hoc moderator analyses also did not reveal conditional effects. Finally, the link between actor levels of life satisfaction and inflammation was not mediated by marital satisfaction or health behaviors. These data add to the broader epidemiological literature by highlighting inflammation as one biological mechanism that may explain how reports of one's own life satisfaction predicts lower mortality rates (Gana, Broc, Saada, Amieva, & Quintard, 2016; Kimm, Sull, Gombojav, Yi, & Ohrr, 2012).

As hypothesized, actor influences of life satisfaction were found on both IL-6 and CRP. These observations confirm and extend the few studies testing inflammation as a potentially important biological pathway underlying the life satisfaction and mortality link. In one of the first studies, Hamer and Chida (2011) found that life satisfaction predicted lower CRP levels in the Scottish Health Survey (also see Nowakowski, 2014). However, the population-based Kuopio Depression Study did not find life satisfaction to be significantly related to either IL-6 or CRP, although it was related to higher levels of the anti-inflammatory adipokine adiponectin (Rissanen et al., 2013). Although more work is needed using a broader panel of inflammatory markers, results of the current study are important because CRP and IL-6 are robust predictors of future cardiovascular risk (Bisoendial et al., 2010; Libby et al., 2002).

The mechanisms responsible for the actor-partner pattern of results obtained in this study will require future work. Life satisfaction has been proposed as a possible causal factor in determining health (Boehm & Kubzansky, 2012). Health behaviors are considered one pathway by which life satisfaction may exert its protective effects. Mediation analyses did not identify health behaviors as a major determinant, and other (currently untested) pathways, such as lower stress exposure or reactivity, may be examined further (Hawkey & Cacioppo, 2004). Alternatively, reverse causality, in which elevated inflammation drives lower satisfaction, remains a theoretical possibility although this would seem less plausible in this cohort of relatively healthy adults. Finally, the association may also reflect a common underlying determinant. For example, twin studies have shown that much individual variance in life satisfaction is due to genetic factors, and it is possible that inflammation and life satisfaction share common genetic determinants, as for example has indeed been identified for the link between inflammation and depression (Bufalino, Hepgul, Aguglia, & Pariante, 2013). An initial study did find that a polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene was related to life satisfaction (De Neve, 2011); however, this association was not replicated in a larger sample (De Neve, Christakis, Fowler, & Frey, 2012). Interestingly,

polymorphisms in the serotonin transporter gene have been linked to inflammation (Fredericks et al., 2010).

It is possible that these data were strongest for actor influences because life satisfaction taps into chronically accessible information across several important dimensions of life (Diener et al., 2013). For instance, general life satisfaction is correlated with domain-specific life satisfaction regarding relationships/marriage, health, and work (Zou, Schimmack, & Gere, 2013). These data appear consistent with analyses showing that marital satisfaction did not mediate the association between actor life satisfaction and inflammation. Of course, these data do not imply that specific domains of satisfaction are unimportant but that their influence is likely to be smaller compared to a more general index of life satisfaction. Future work which models the separate and combined influences of these domains on general life satisfaction using larger sample sizes would be important to test these hypotheses.

The lack of partner influences was nevertheless surprising in light of recent relationship models of health that suggest partners' interpersonal exchanges (e.g., support, negativity, caregiving) have downstream consequences for biomarkers of health such as inflammation (Pietromonaco et al., 2013; Reed et al., 2013). Studies that model important social transitions specific to romantic relationships (e.g., having children) might identify stronger links between partner levels of life satisfaction, relationship quality, and subsequent biological health (Dyrda et al., 2011). It is also possible that partner influences were weaker given this study examined relatively healthy participants. Individuals with chronic conditions (e.g., cancer, diabetes) rely on the spouse more heavily for support and assistance given the myriad of medical and adjustment issues they face (Berg & Upchurch, 2007). The greater use of dyadic coping in such chronic disease populations might reveal stronger evidence for partner influences of life satisfaction on inflammation. Finally, a speculative reason why partner effects were not found might be related to the observation of twin studies (Bartels & Boomsma, 2009). These studies suggest that genes and non-shared experiences explain by far most of the variance in life satisfaction, and the shared environment between siblings (e.g., family life) had a negligible contribution (Bartels, 2015; Bartels & Boomsma, 2009). Extrapolating, this may imply that the shared family/marital environment in adulthood may likewise be a modest determinant of life satisfaction and any down-stream effects (e.g., inflammation).

There are several important limitations of the present study. Although important as an outcome, inflammation is only one of several relevant biological mediators of disease (Uchino, Smith, Holt-Lunstad, Campo, & Reblin, 2007). Other studies do suggest dyadic links to cortisol in couples during lab-based interactions and daily life (Powers, Pietromonaco, Gunlicks, & Sayer, 2006; Saxbe & Repetti, 2010). Thus, future work will be needed to model dyadic influences of life satisfaction across a number of conceptually-appropriate biological mechanisms. The study also used a primarily White sample. This is an important limitation because ethnicity/race is related to how one views the self in relation to others which is salient in a dyadic context (Markus & Kitayama, 2010). Future work that directly utilizes a more diverse sample will be need to examine the generalizability of these findings. Finally, the study sample was relatively healthy with limited variability on the health behavior measures. This might have decreased the power of the statistical mediation

tests so future research will be needed to address this issue. Despite these limitations, this study advances the literature by showing that actor influences on CRP and IL-6 are stronger than partner influences, and that inflammation is one important biological mechanisms potentially linking actors' life satisfaction to health outcomes.

Acknowledgments

This research was generously supported by grant number R01 HL085106 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (PI: Bert N. Uchino).

References

- Adams GA, King LA, King DW. Relationships of job and family involvement, family social support, and work-family conflict with job and life satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1996; 81(4): 411–420. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.81.4.411>.
- Bartels M. Genetics of Wellbeing and Its Components Satisfaction with Life, Happiness, and Quality of Life: A Review and Meta-analysis of Heritability Studies. *Behavior Genetics*. 2015; 45:137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-015-9713-y>. [PubMed: 25715755]
- Bartels M, Boomsma DI. Born to be happy? the etiology of Subjective well-being. *Behavior Genetics*. 2009; 39(6):605–615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-009-9294-8>. [PubMed: 19728071]
- Beach SRH, Fincham FD, Amir N, Leonard KE. The taxometrics of marriage: Is marital discord categorical? *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2005; 19:276–285. [PubMed: 15982105]
- Berg CA, Upchurch R. A developmental-contextual model of couples coping with chronic illness across the adult life span. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2007; 133(6):920–954. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.6.920>. [PubMed: 17967089]
- Bisoendial RJ, Boekholdt SM, Vergeer M, Stroes ESG, Kastelein JJP. C-reactive protein is a mediator of cardiovascular disease. *European Heart Journal*. 2010; 31(17):2087–2095. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq238>. [PubMed: 20685682]
- Boehm JK, Kubzansky LD. The heart's content: The association between positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular health. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2012; 138(4):655–691. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027448>. [PubMed: 22506752]
- Bourassa KJ, Sbarra DA, Whisman MA. Women in Very Low Quality Marriages Gain Life Satisfaction Following Divorce. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2015; 29(3):490–499. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000075>. [PubMed: 25868007]
- Bufalino C, Hepgul N, Aguglia E, Pariante CM. The role of immune genes in the association between depression and inflammation: A review of recent clinical studies. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2013; 31:31–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2012.04.009>.
- Burton-Jeangros C, Zimmermann-Sloutskis D. Life satisfaction trajectories of elderly women living in Switzerland: An age – period – cohort analysis. *Ageing and Society*. 2016; 36(1):106–132. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X14001044>.
- Campbell L, Kashy DA. Estimating actor, partner, and interaction effects for dyadic data using PROC MIXED and HLM: A user-friendly guide. *Personal Relationships*. 2002; 9(3):327–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6811.00023>.
- Cheng ST, Li KK, Leung EMF, Chan ACM. Social exchanges and subjective well-being: Do sources of positive and negative exchanges matter? *Journals of Gerontology - Series B Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*. 2011; 66 B(6):708–718. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbr061>.
- De Neve JE. Functional polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) in the serotonin transporter gene is associated with subjective well-being: evidence from a US nationally representative sample. *Journal of Human Genetics*. 2011; 56(6):456–459. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jhg.2011.39>. [PubMed: 21562513]
- De Neve JE, Christakis NA, Fowler JH, Frey BS. Genes, economics, and happiness. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics*. 2012; 5(4):193–211. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030292>.

- Diener E, Chan MY. Happy People Live Longer: Subjective Well-Being Contributes to Health and Longevity. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*. 2011; 3(1):1–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2010.01045.x>.
- Diener, E., Emmons, RA., Larsen, RJ., Griffin, S. The Satisfaction With Life Scale. *J Pers Assess*. 1985. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13
- Diener E, Inglehart R, Tay L. Theory and Validity of Life Satisfaction Scales - Springer Theory and Validity of Life Satisfaction Scales Theory and Validity of Life Satisfaction Scales - Springer 2 Validity of Life Satisfaction Judgments. *Social Indicators Research*. 2013; 112:497–527.
- Dyrdal GM, Røysamb E, Nes RB, Vittersø J. Can a Happy Relationship Predict a Happy Life? A Population-Based Study of Maternal Well-Being During the Life Transition of Pregnancy, Infancy, and Toddlerhood. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 2011; 12(6):947–962. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-010-9238-2>. [PubMed: 24955032]
- Erdogan B, Bauer TN, Truxillo DM, Mansfield LR. Whistle While You Work: A Review of the Life Satisfaction Literature. *Journal of Management*. 2012; 38(4):1038–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311429379>.
- Fredericks CA, Drabant EM, Edge MD, Tillie JM, Hallmayer J, Ramel W, Dhabhar FS. Healthy young women with serotonin transporter 5-HTT polymorphism show a pro-inflammatory bias under resting and stress conditions. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2010; 24(3):350–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2009.10.014>.
- Gana K, Broc G, Saada Y, Amieva H, Quintard B. Subjective wellbeing and longevity: Findings from a 22-year cohort study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2016; 85:28–34. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.04.004>. [PubMed: 27212667]
- Georgiadou P, Sbarouni E. Effects of hormone replacement therapy on inflammatory biomarkers. *Advances in Clinical Chemistry*. 2009; 47:59–93. [PubMed: 19634777]
- Gustavson K, Røysamb E, Borren I, Torvik FA, Karevold E. Life Satisfaction in Close Relationships: Findings from a Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 2016; 17(3):1293–1311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-015-9643-7>.
- Hamer M, Chida Y. Life satisfaction and inflammatory biomarkers: The 2008 Scottish Health Survey. *Japanese Psychological Research*. 2011; 53(2):133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5884.2011.00460.x>.
- Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT. Stress and the aging immune system. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2004; 18(2):114–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2003.09.005>.
- Hayes, AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and condition process analysis: A regression-based approach. New York: Guilford Press; 2013.
- Heckman TG. The chronic illness quality of life (CIQOL) model: Explaining Life Satisfaction in People Living with HIV Disease. *Health Psychology*. 2003; 22(2):140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.22.2.140>. [PubMed: 12683734]
- Hoppmann CA, Gerstorf D, Willis SL, Schaie KW. Spousal interrelations in happiness in the Seattle Longitudinal Study: considerable similarities in levels and change over time. *Developmental Psychology*. 2011; 47(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020788>. [PubMed: 21038942]
- Hunter CA, Jones SA. IL-6 as a keystone cytokine in health and disease. *Nature Immunology*. 2015; 16(5):448–457. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3153>. [PubMed: 25898198]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Dura JR, Speicher CE, Trask OJ, Glaser R. Spousal caregivers of dementia victims: longitudinal changes in immunity and health. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 1991; 53:345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199107000-00001>. [PubMed: 1656478]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Gouin JP, Hantsoo L. Close relationships, inflammation, and health. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2010; 35(1):33–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.09.003>. [PubMed: 19751761]
- Kimm H, Sull JW, Gombojav B, Yi S, Ohrr H. Life satisfaction and mortality in elderly people: The Kangwha Cohort Study, 0–5. 2012
- King, DB., Canham, SL., Cobb, RJ., O'Rourke, N. Reciprocal Effects of Life Satisfaction and Depressive Symptoms Within Long-Wed Couples Over Time; The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2016 Feb. p. gbv162 <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbv162>

- Kohler Giancola J, Grawitch MJ, Borchert D. Dealing With the Stress of College: A Model for Adult Students. *Adult Education Quarterly*. 2009; 59(3):246–263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741713609331479>.
- Libby P, Nahrendorf M, Swirski FK. Leukocytes link local and systemic inflammation in ischemic cardiovascular disease an expanded cardiovascular continuum. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2016; 67(9):1091–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.12.048>. [PubMed: 26940931]
- Libby P, Ridker P, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2002; 105(9):1135–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1161/hc0902.104353>. [PubMed: 11877368]
- Locke HJ, Wallace KM. Short Marital-Adjustment and Prediction Tests: Their Reliability and Validity. *Marriage And Family Living*. 1959; 21(3):251–255. <https://doi.org/10.2307/348022>.
- Luhmann M, Hofmann W, Eid M, Lucas RE. Subjective well-being and adaptation to life events: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2012; 102(3):592–615. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025948>. [PubMed: 22059843]
- Luhmann M, Lucas RE, Eid M, Diener E. The Prospective Effect of Life Satisfaction on Life Events. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*. 2013; 4(1):39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612440105>.
- Markus HR, Kitayama S. Cultures and Selves: A Cycle of Mutual Constitution. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2010; 5(4):420–430. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691610375557>. [PubMed: 26162188]
- Mezuk B, Diez Roux AV, Seeman T. Evaluating the buffering vs. direct effects hypotheses of emotional social support on inflammatory markers: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2010; 24(8):1294–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2010.06.006>.
- Nezlek JB. An introduction to multilevel modeling for Social and Personality Psychology. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2008; 2:842–860.
- Norman GJ, Hawkey L, Luhmann M, Ball AB, Cole SW, Berntson GG, Cacioppo JT. Variation in the oxytocin receptor gene influences neurocardiac reactivity to social stress and HPA function: A population based study. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2012; 61(1):134–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2011.11.006>. [PubMed: 22146101]
- Nowakowski ACH. Chronic inflammation and quality of life in older adults: a cross-sectional study using biomarkers to predict emotional and relational outcomes. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2014; 12:141. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-014-0141-0>. [PubMed: 25260501]
- O'Connor MF, Bower JE, Cho HJ, Creswell JD, Dimitrov S, Hamby ME, Irwin MR. To assess, to control, to exclude: Effects of biobehavioral factors on circulating inflammatory markers. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2009; 23(7):887–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2009.04.005>.
- O'Hartaigh B, Bosch JA, Carroll D, Hemming K, Pilz S, Loeferbrooks A, Thomas GN. Evidence of a synergistic association between heart rate, inflammation, and cardiovascular mortality in patients undergoing coronary angiography. *European Heart Journal*. 2013; 34(12):932–41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs396>. [PubMed: 23178644]
- Pavot W, Diener E. Review of the satisfaction with life scale. *Psychological Assessment*. 1993; 5(2): 164–172. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.5.2.164>.
- Pavot W, Diener E. The satisfaction with life scale and the emerging construct of life satisfaction. *Journal of Positive Psychology*. 2008; 3:137–152.
- Pietromonaco PR, Uchino BN, Dunkel Schetter C. Close relationship processes and health: implications of attachment theory for health and disease. *Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association*. 2013; 32(5):499–513. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029349>.
- Powers SI, Pietromonaco PR, Gunlicks M, Sayer A. Dating couples' attachment styles and patterns of cortisol reactivity and recovery in response to a relationship conflict. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2006; 90(4):613–628. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.4.613>. [PubMed: 16649858]
- Preacher KJ, Selig JP. Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. *Communication Methods and Measures*. 2012; 6:77–98.

- Razali NM, Wah YB. Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*. 2011; 2(1):21–33. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/bile-2015-0008>.
- Reed RG, Butler EA, Kenny DA. Dyadic models for the study of health. [References]. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2013; 7(4):1–18.
- Rissanen T, Lehto SM, Hintikka J, Honkalampi K, Saharinen T, Viinamäki H, Koivumaa-Honkanen H. Biological and other health related correlates of long-term life dissatisfaction burden. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2013; 13(1):202. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-13-202>. [PubMed: 23902899]
- Robles TF, Slatcher RB, Trombello JM, McGinn MM. Marital quality and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2014; 140(1):140–187. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031859>. [PubMed: 23527470]
- Ross, R. Atherosclerosis - An inflammatory disease; *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1999. p. 115-126. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199901143400207>
- Saxbe D, Repetti RL. For better or worse? Coregulation of couples' cortisol levels and mood states. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2010; 98(1):92–103. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016959>. [PubMed: 20053034]
- Sherman JE, DeVinney DJ, Sperling KB. Social support and adjustment after spinal cord injury: Influence of past peer-mentoring experiences and current live-In partner. *Rehabilitation Psychology*. 2004; 49(2):140–149. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0090-5550.49.2.140>.
- Smith TW, Cribbet MR, Nealey-Moore JB, Uchino BN, Williams PG, Mackenzie J, Thayer JF. Matters of the variable heart: respiratory sinus arrhythmia response to marital interaction and associations with marital quality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2011; 100(1):103–119. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021136>. [PubMed: 20954783]
- Trepte S, Dienlin T, Reinecke L. Influence of Social Support Received in Online and Offline Contexts on Satisfaction With Social Support and Satisfaction With Life: A Longitudinal Study. *Media Psychology*. 2014; 18(1):74–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2013.838904>.
- Uchino BN, Bosch JA, Smith TW, Carlisle M, Birmingham W, Bowen KS, O'Hartaigh B. Relationships and cardiovascular risk: perceived spousal ambivalence in specific relationship contexts and its links to inflammation. *Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association*. 2013; 32(10):1067–75. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033515>.
- Uchino, BN., Smith, TW., Holt-Lunstad, J., Campo, R., Reblin, M. Stress and illness. In: Cacioppo, J. Tassinary, LG., Berntson, GG., editors. *Handbook of Psychophysiology*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007. p. 608-632.
- Wu YW, Wooldridge PJ. The impact of centering first-level predictors on individual and contextual effects in multilevel data analysis. *Nursing Research*. 2005; 54:212–216. [PubMed: 15897797]
- Zou C, Schimmack U, Gere J. The validity of well-being measures: A multiple-indicator-multiple-rater model. *Psychological Assessment*. 2013; 25(4):1247. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033902>. [PubMed: 23914958]

Figure 1. Predicted IL-6 (top panel) and CRP (bottom panel) as a function of actor satisfaction with life (SWL) one SD below and above the mean.

Table 1

Sample characteristics.

Variable	Sample
Mean (SD)	
Age	56.2 (7.25)
Body mass	26.4 (4.76)
Life satisfaction	27.5 (4.85)
Marital satisfaction	117.4 (24.96)
Raw IL-6	1.57 (1.05)
Raw CRP	0.19 (0.25)
Exercise frequency (hours/week)	3.9 (0.91)
Alcohol consumption (drinks/week)	3.5 (7.87)
Frequency	
Ethnicity (% White)	94.4%
Income Over \$40,000	87.7%
Statin use (% yes)	7.8%
Anti-inflammatory use (% yes)	26.8%
Hormone replacement use (% yes)	5.6%
Smoker (% yes)	2.2%

Table 2

Zero order correlations among main study variables for women (top panel) and men (bottom panel).

Variable	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
1. SWL	1.00	-.02	.00	.07	-.14	.00	.15	.35**	.01	-.18
2. BMI	-.25	1.00	-.05	.03	.20	-.04	.18	-.10	.48**	.51**
3. Age	-.05	-.04	1.00	.19	-.17	.09	.11	-.06	.06	-.11
4. Statin	.06	-.01	.24*	1.00	.03	.05	.03	.07	.08	.01
5. Inflamm.	-.06	.04	-.05	.08	1.00	.02	-.15	-.18	-.09	.21
6. HRT	.	.	.	.	.	1.00	.06	.00	-.14	.13
7. Income	-.07	-.02	-.01	.08	.08	.	1.00	.03	.06	-.07
8. MAT	.39**	-.21*	-.05	.06	-.10	.	-.02	1.00	.01	.10
9. IL-6	-.32**	.48**	.10	.09	-.04	.	.07	-.18	1.00	.47**
10. CRP	-.23*	.33**	-.04	.05	.02	.	.06	-.13	.59**	1.00

Note: SWL=satisfaction with life, BMI=body mass index, Inflamm.=anti-inflammatory, HRT=hormone replacement therapy, MAT=marital adjustment test.

* p<.05,

** p<.01.

Table 3

Main actor-partner life satisfaction results on inflammation.

Variable	IL-6			CRP		
	b	SE	p	b	SE	p
Body Mass	.06	.008	.001	.10	.02	.001
Age	.005	.006	.42	-.01	.01	.24
Gender (male-female)	.36	.08	.001	.33	.18	.07
Statin	.14	.15	.34	.22	.33	.51
Anti-Inflammatory	-.19	.09	.03	.10	.19	.60
Hormone replacement	-.30	.16	.06	.58	.37	.12
Income	.02	.04	.64	-.03	.08	.69
Actor Life Satisfaction	-.02	.008	.03	-.05	.02	.01
Partner Life Satisfaction	-.003	.008	.68	.002	.02	.93