Published in final edited form as:

J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2017 August ; 23(7): 577–583. doi:10.1017/S135561771700042X.

Is Emotional Intelligence Impaired in Unaffected Siblings of Patients with Schizophrenia?

Beatrice Frajo-Apor¹, Georg Kemmler¹, Silvia Pardeller¹, Markus Huber², Christian Macina², Anna-Sophia Welte¹, and Alex Hofer¹

¹Medical University Innsbruck; Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Division of Psychiatry I, Innsbruck, Austria

²General Hospital Brunico, Department of Psychiatry, Brunico, Italy

Abstract

Objectives—Social cognitive deficits have been discussed to be endophenotypes for schizophrenia and other serious mental illnesses. The current study aimed to assess emotional intelligence (EI) in unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients to investigate its potential role as endophenotype for schizophrenia.

Methods—EI was measured in 56 schizophrenia patients, 57 unaffected siblings, and 127 healthy control subjects by using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT). In addition, non-social cognition was assessed with the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS). Linear mixed models with compound symmetric correlation structure were used for of the three groups with respect to EI and non-social cognition.

Results—Schizophrenia patients showed significantly lower overall EI and performed significantly worse in three out of four MSCEIT branches compared to unaffected siblings and control subjects, whereas the two latter groups had comparable EI levels. Similar performance patterns (patients < unaffected siblings = control subjects) were found with respect to non-social cognition. Solely in the “Tower of London” test, siblings achieved significantly lower task scores compared to control subjects.

Conclusions—Based on our results, EI as measured with the MSCEIT does not seem to represent a marker of risk for schizophrenia. Further investigations should concentrate on other EI measures to reassess this finding.

Keywords

Endophenotype; Emotion; Cognition; Family; Psychotic disorder; Affect

Introduction

Generally, the concept of endophenotypes is a promising research target that could help to decode etiopathology and genetic determinants of serious mental illnesses (Allen, Griss,

Folley, Hawkins, & Pearlson, 2009; Braff, 2015). An endophenotype is characterized by being a reliably measurable, heritable, and state-independent marker that can be found in both patients as well as their unaffected family members (Gottesman & Gould, 2003). Next to neurophysiological markers, such as prepulse inhibition or P50 gating, several neurocognitive (Seidman et al., 2015) measures have been suggested to qualify as endophenotypes for schizophrenia. Moreover, previous studies have shown social cognitive deficits in unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients (Bediou et al., 2007; Ho et al., 2015; Kee, Horan, Mintz, & Green, 2004; Lavoie et al., 2013; Leppänen et al., 2008; Montag et al., 2011). These impairments lie on a continuum between patients and healthy control subjects and affect different domains of social cognition, for example, Theory of Mind (Ho et al., 2015; Montag et al., 2011), social perception (Baas, van't Wout, Aleman, & Kahn, 2008; Toomey, Seidman, Lyons, Faraone, & Tsuang, 1999), and emotional processing (Allott et al., 2015; Leppänen et al., 2008; Surguladze et al., 2012).

These findings led to the hypothesis, that social cognitive deficits could represent a potential trait marker for a vulnerability for schizophrenia and reflect functional aberrations in relatively specialized neural systems (Habel et al., 2004). However, the scientific literature dealing with this topic is not consistent: some studies resulted in conflicting findings of intact social cognitive performance in first degree relatives (Fett & Maat, 2013; Goghari, Macdonald, & Sponheim, 2011; Tucker, Farhall, Thomas, Groot, & Rossell, 2013) and further research is needed to clarify the role of social cognitive impairments as potential endophenotypes for schizophrenia.

The current study focuses on the emotion processing part of social cognition. We assessed emotional intelligence (EI) levels in schizophrenia patients, unaffected siblings of patients, and healthy control subjects by using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) to investigate whether EI may represent a marker of risk for schizophrenia. Albacete and co-workers have recently reported on significantly lower “perceiving emotions” abilities in first-degree relatives of patients suffering from schizophrenia compared to control subjects and concluded that these findings support the endophenotypic role of social cognition in schizophrenia (Albacete et al., 2016). The present investigation aimed to re-evaluate this finding in a larger sample consisting exclusively of unaffected siblings of patients suffering from schizophrenia.

Methods

All procedures contributing to this work complied with the standards of the local Ethics Committee and were conducted according to Good Clinical Practice standards on human experimentation and the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Study procedures were performed by a trained research team consisting of psychiatrists and master-level clinical psychologists.

Participants

Schizophrenia patients were recruited at a specialized outpatient unit of the Department for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics of the Medical University Innsbruck. All of them met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia as assessed by the Mini Mental

Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998). Patients had to be clinically stable without hospitalization for at least 6 months and without any change in psychopharmacological treatment within 3 months before study inclusion. Psychopathology was assessed by means of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (Kay, Fiszbein, & Opler, 1987). Exclusion criteria included any other axis I disorder as well as axis II disorders as assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for Axis-II-Disorders according to DSM-IV (SCID II) (Wittchen, Wunderlich, Gruschwitz, & Zaudig, 1996).

Unaffected siblings were recruited through the outpatient unit and advertisements in local newspapers. Eighteen siblings were relatives of participating patients; the others were not related to the patients enrolled in the study. The diagnosis of their affected relatives had to be confirmed through written documentation of a licensed psychiatrist. Healthy control subjects were also recruited through advertisements in local newspapers. They had to have a negative personal or family history of any DSM-IV psychotic disorder.

A brief medical screening interview was used in all groups to exclude subjects with any physical or neurological illness or any condition affecting neural or cerebrovascular function. All participants signed informed consent forms.

Emotional Intelligence

To assess EI, the German pencil-and-paper version (Steinmayr, Schütz, Hertel, & Schröder-Abé, 2011) of the MSCEIT (Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso, 2002a, 2002b) was used. This instrument consists of 141 items and provides eight task scores that measure the four branches of EI: perceiving, using, understanding, and managing emotions. Whereas the “perceiving emotions” part measures the ability to recognize emotions accurately, the “using emotions” part is about using emotions to enhance cognitive processes. The “understanding emotions” part tests the knowledge how emotions interact with each other and change over time, and the “managing emotions” part measures the ability to deal with and regulate emotions. These branches cover all aspects of EI and can be assigned to the areas of emotional experiencing (perceiving + using emotions) and emotional reasoning (“strategic” EI; understanding + managing emotions). Similar to other intelligence tests, the average score is 100 with a standard deviation of 15.

The MSCEIT is both content and structurally valid (overall reliability $r = 0.93$), showing discriminate validity from measures of analytic intelligence and many personality constructs (Brackett & Salovey, 2006).

Non-social Cognition

Non-social cognition was measured with the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS) (Keefe et al., 2004). Neurocognitive functions which are covered by this battery are: verbal memory, working memory, motor speed, attention and processing speed, executive functioning, and verbal fluency. The composite score is calculated by standardizing the average of those six measures by dividing that average by the standard deviation of the average in the normative sample.

Statistical Methods and Data Analysis

Metric variables were checked for significant deviations from normality by means of the Shapiro-Wilk test. Non-normally distributed metric variables were transformed to approximate normality by an appropriate transformation, for example, square root transformation. As the three groups (schizophrenia patients, unaffected siblings, and healthy control subjects) consisted of partially dependent and partially independent samples, the analysis was based on models allowing for correlated (patient-sibling pairs) as well as unpaired (healthy control subjects) observations. Hence, linear mixed models with compound symmetric correlation structure were used for comparison of the three groups with respect to metric variables, in particular EI and non-social cognition. As the three groups differed significantly in the distribution of age and sex, these variables were entered as covariates into the model. Generalized estimation equation models with compound symmetric correlation structure were applied for group comparisons with regard to binary variables.

Post hoc comparisons of pairs of groups were performed by means of the least significant difference method provided that the overall comparison of the three groups had yielded statistical significance ($p < .05$). In the case of three groups, this sequential procedure yields valid p -values without further adjustment (Levin, Serlin, & Seaman, 1994). To account for multiple testing regarding the test instruments used (the MSCEIT consists of four subscales, the BACS of six), Bonferroni corrected p -values are reported beside the uncorrected ones.

In addition to these test statistical methods, mean group differences were quantified on a descriptive level by means of effect sizes (Cohen's d).

Results

Sample Characteristics

Fifty-six schizophrenia patients, 57 unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients, as well as 127 healthy control subjects were included into the study. None of the unaffected siblings and healthy control subjects met criteria for any DSM-IV axis I or axis II disorder as assessed by MINI or SCID II. Demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Unaffected siblings and healthy control subjects were comparable with respect to age, sex, and education, whereas schizophrenia patients differed significantly from the other groups in all three parameters. To account for these differences, we statistically adjusted for age, sex, and education which left the results unchanged.

Emotional Intelligence

Compared to unaffected siblings and control subjects schizophrenia patients achieved significantly lower MSCEIT total scores and performed significantly worse in three out of four branches of EI (using, understanding, and managing emotions). In contrast, unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients and control subjects had comparable EI levels. Merely the difference in the understanding emotions branch showed a tendency toward statistical significance ($p = .087$) pointing to a lower level in siblings. In terms of effect sizes, the mean difference in MSCEIT total score between unaffected siblings and control subjects amounted

to $d = 0.13$ as compared to $d = 1.13$ for the difference between schizophrenia patients and control subjects (Table 2).

Adjustment for age and sex left these findings virtually unchanged. Additional adjustment for education yielded slightly increased significance levels ($p < .005$ rather than $p < .001$ for several of the pairwise comparisons, $p < .05$ rather than $p < .01$ for pairwise comparisons regarding experiential EI) but did not affect the principal findings. Following Bonferroni correction for multiple testing by reducing the general threshold for significance to $0.05/4 = 0.0125$ all statistically significant differences in Table 2 were retained. Only when additionally adjusting for education, significance of the differences involving experiential EI was lost.

Non-social Cognition

Data on non-social cognitive functions were available for 44 schizophrenia patients, 50 siblings, and 127 control subjects. A comparison of the three groups is given in Table 3. Schizophrenia patients showed significantly poorer performance in all subtests compared to both unaffected siblings and control subjects. Siblings and healthy control subjects did not differ significantly in BACS performance except in the Tower of London test where siblings exhibited lower scores ($p = .030$). Adjustment for age, sex, and education did not change these findings in terms of significance levels. Following Bonferroni correction (adjusted significance level: $0.05/6 = 0.0083$), all significances were retained except for the above-mentioned difference between siblings and control subjects in the Tower of London test.

In terms of effect sizes mean differences between schizophrenia patients and healthy controls were by far larger than those between siblings and control subjects. For the BACS composite score the corresponding values were $d = 1.88$ for patients *versus* control subjects and $d = 0.30$ for siblings *versus* control subjects.

Discussion

The present study addressed the question, whether Emotional Intelligence measured with the MSCEIT is impaired in unaffected siblings of patients suffering from schizophrenia and whether it may constitute a potential endophenotype for schizophrenia. Based on the findings of previous studies (Lavoie et al., 2013) that had reported on emotion processing deficits in unaffected relatives of schizophrenia patients, we hypothesized that MSCEIT performance of relatives lies between that of patients and healthy control subjects.

Against our expectations, task performance of siblings and control subjects did not differ in the four branches of EI and the MSCEIT total score, thereby indicating intact EI in non-affected relatives of schizophrenia patients. By contrast, emotion perception deficits have previously been shown in relatives when the displayed emotions were rather subtle and of low intensity (Phillips & Seidman, 2008). The “perceiving emotions” branch of the MSCEIT requires not only the identification of emotions in faces and pictures but also a rating on the intensity of these emotions. However, it does not measure the effects of different intensities on the perception of emotions. Accordingly, these studies are not entirely comparable. On the other hand, using the MSCEIT, Albacete and co-workers found significantly lower

“perceiving emotions” abilities in relatives compared to control subjects (Albacete et al., 2016). However, the sample of relatives included into that study was comparably small ($n = 37$) and consisted of both parents and siblings of patients with schizophrenia and, therefore, clearly differs from ours.

The “managing emotions” branch of the MSCEIT assesses emotion regulation abilities. Our results do not point to impaired emotion regulation in siblings compared to control subjects, whereas a functional magnetic resonance imaging study by van der Meer et al. found reduced levels of emotion regulation processing in both schizophrenia patients and, to a lesser extent, in siblings (van der Meer et al., 2014). Further studies are needed to clarify this issue.

In line with previous studies (Dawson, Kettler, Burton, & Galletly, 2012; Eack et al., 2010; Frajo-Apor, Pardeller, Kemmler, Welte, & Hofer, 2016; Green et al., 2012; Horan et al., 2012; Kee et al., 2009), patients scored significantly lower in most MSCEIT branches. A very similar pattern was observed in terms of non-social cognitive performance. Patients achieved significantly lower BACS (sub)scores than both unaffected siblings and control subjects, which corroborates previous findings (Heinrichs & Zakzanis, 1998; Kalkstein, Hurford, & Gur, 2010). Surprisingly and contrary to the results of previous meta-analyses (Sitskoorn, Aleman, Ebisch, Appels, & Kahn, 2004; Snitz, Macdonald, & Carter, 2006), overall non-social cognitive performance was comparable between unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients and control subjects. This may be due to the relatively small sample size in our study, which may also have impacted the missing differences in EI levels.

On the other hand, the significantly lower executive functioning levels in siblings of schizophrenia patients as measured by the “Tower of London” test support earlier studies (Birkett et al., 2008; Schulze-Rauschenbach et al., 2015; Snitz et al., 2006) and underscore that impaired executive functioning may be an endophenotype for schizophrenia. Schulze-Rauschenbach et al. have recently demonstrated that the extent of the “genetic load” is an important determinant regarding cognitive deficits in relatives of schizophrenia patients (Schulze-Rauschenbach et al., 2015). Clearly, such a differentiation cannot be made on the basis of our data but may have influenced our results, both with respect to social and non-social cognitive performance.

The MSCEIT is a widely used tool to measure so-called “ability based” EI, a concept which operationalizes EI as a matter of emotion specific abilities and individual performance. In contrast, the “trait model” of EI proposes that EI is based on self-perceptions of one’s emotional abilities, which are grounded in an individual’s personality and are assessed with self-report measures. In the context of this debate on the conceptualization of emotional intelligence, several issues which may have had an impact on our findings have to be considered. Essentially, the MSCEIT has been criticized for measuring social knowledge rather than the ability to use this knowledge and for not being sufficiently valid and independent from personality traits or general intelligence (Brody, 2004). In this context, our group has recently reported that MSCEIT performance in patients suffering from serious mental illnesses is largely influenced by non-social cognition (Frajo-Apor et al., 2016; Frajo-Apor et al., 2017).

On the other hand, results from previous studies indicate that this instrument can reliably assess social cognition in schizophrenia patients (Eack et al., 2010) and that the test is both content and structurally valid next to showing discriminate validity from measures of analytic intelligence and many personality constructs (Brackett & Salovey, 2006). Hence, the subscale assessing “managing emotions” found its way in the Matrics Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) (Nuechterlein et al., 2008) as a measure of social cognition and has been shown to relate to social functioning levels in schizophrenia patients (August, Kiwanuka, McMahon, & Gold, 2012; Shamsi et al., 2011).

It has to be considered that both siblings’ and control subjects’ EI scores lay within general population norms and we cannot rule out that the MSCEIT was not sufficiently sensitive to detect differences in this performance range, which could be another explanation for the missing differences between siblings and control subjects in our study. This has also been suggested by Fiori and coworkers, who stated that: “the MSCEIT is best suited to discriminate persons at the low end of the trait” and “fails to detect differences among individuals that score average and above average” (Fiori et al., 2014).

Moreover, intact task performance in relatives of patients may also be a sign of compensatory mechanisms. For example, a recent functional magnetic resonance imaging study has shown that unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients have abnormal activation in brain regions linked to emotion processing albeit showing intact performance in behavioral emotion processing tasks (Li et al., 2012). This suggests that siblings need a higher effort to complete those tasks and that some kinds of compensatory mechanisms come into play. Future neuroimaging studies are needed to clarify whether our finding of unremarkable MSCEIT performance in siblings of patients suffering from schizophrenia may be a result of such compensatory mechanisms.

Beyond these issues, the current study has some further limitations. To begin with, the cross sectional design clearly only provides snap-shots of intellectual abilities. Moreover, further studies using additional instruments which assess both ability-based as well as trait based self-reported EI are needed to investigate EI from other perspectives and to do justice to the debate about conceptualization of EI.

To conclude, EI as measured with the MSCEIT does not seem to represent a genetic marker of risk for schizophrenia. However, as it can be expected that the concept of EI will be developed further and new instruments for its measurement will come up in the future, the potential role of impairments in EI as an endophenotype for schizophrenia has to be re-evaluated.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (grant number KLI 366). A.H. & B.F.A. designed the study and wrote the protocol. The recruitment was performed by B.F.A., S.P., M.H., C.M., & A.W. G.K. undertook the statistical analysis and BFA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript. *Financial Disclosures:* Beatrice Frajo-Apor has received travel reimbursements from AOP Orphan, Lundbeck, Eli Lilly, and Janssen-Cilag. Anna-Sophia Welte has received travel reimbursements from AOP Orphan, Astra Zeneca, and Pfizer. Alex Hofer has received a research grant from Janssen-Cilag; he has received speaker’s or consultancy fees from AOP Orphan, BMS, Janssen-Cilag, and Lundbeck as well as reimbursements for travel and meeting expenses from AOP Orphan, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Pfizer, and Roche. Georg Kemmler, Silvia

Pardeller, Markus Huber & Christian Macina have no conflicts of interest. The authors thank Prof. W. Wolfgang Fleischhacker, Head of the Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics of the Medical University Innsbruck, for his thoughtful comments.

References

- Albacete A, Bosque C, Custal N, Crespo JM, Gilabert E, Albiach A, et al. Contreras F. Emotional intelligence in non-psychotic first-degree relatives of people with schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2016; 175:103–108. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2016.04.039 [PubMed: 27177808]
- Allen AJ, Griss ME, Folley BS, Hawkins KA, Pearlson GD. Endophenotypes in schizophrenia: A selective review. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2009; 109(1-3):24–37. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2009.01.016 [PubMed: 19223268]
- Allott KA, Rice S, Bartholomeusz CF, Klier C, Schlogelhofer M, Schafer MR, Amminger GP. Emotion recognition in unaffected first-degree relatives of individuals with first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2015; 161(2-3):322–328. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2014.12.010 [PubMed: 25533595]
- August SM, Kiwanuka JN, McMahon RP, Gold JM. The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB): Clinical and cognitive correlates. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2012; 134(1):76–82. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2011.10.015 [PubMed: 22093182]
- Baas D, van't Wout M, Aleman A, Kahn RS. Social judgement in clinically stable patients with schizophrenia and healthy relatives: Behavioural evidence of social brain dysfunction. *Psychological Medicine*. 2008; 38(5):747–754. DOI: 10.1017/s0033291707001729 [PubMed: 17988413]
- Bediou B, Asri F, Brunelin J, Krolak-Salmon P, D'Amato T, Saoud M, Tazi I. Emotion recognition and genetic vulnerability to schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2007; 191:126–130. 191/2/126 [pii]. DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.106.028829 [PubMed: 17666496]
- Birkett P, Sigmundsson T, Sharma T, Touloupoulou T, Griffiths TD, Reveley A, Murray R. Executive function and genetic predisposition to schizophrenia–The Maudsley family study. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2008; 147(3):285–293. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30594
- Brackett MA, Salovey P. Measuring emotional intelligence with the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test MSCEIT. *Psicothema*. 2006; 18(Suppl):34–41. [PubMed: 17295955]
- Braff DL. The importance of endophenotypes in schizophrenia research. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2015; 163(1-3):1–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.02.007 [PubMed: 25795083]
- Brody N. What cognitive intelligence is and what emotional intelligence is not. *Psychological Inquiry*. 2004; 15(3):234–238. DOI: 10.1207/s15327965pli1503_03
- Dawson S, Kettler L, Burton C, Galletly C. Do people with schizophrenia lack emotional intelligence? *Schizophr Res Treatment*. 2012; 2012:495174.doi: 10.1155/2012/495174 [PubMed: 23304499]
- Eack SM, Greeno CG, Pogue-Geile MF, Newhill CE, Hogarty GE, Keshavan MS. Assessing social-cognitive deficits in schizophrenia with the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2010; 36(2):370–380. sbn091 [pii]. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbn091 [PubMed: 18648021]
- Fett AK, Maat A. Social cognitive impairments and psychotic symptoms: What is the nature of their association? *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2013; 39(1):77–85. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbr058 [PubMed: 21697150]
- Fiori M, Antonietti JP, Mikolajczak M, Luminet O, Hansenne M, Rossier J. What is the Ability Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) good for? An evaluation using item response theory. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(6):e98827.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098827 [PubMed: 24901541]
- Frajo-Apor B, Kemmler G, Pardeller S, Plass T, Muehlbacher M, Welte AS, et al. Hofer A. Emotional intelligence and non-social cognition in schizophrenia and bipolar-I-disorder. *Psychological Medicine*. 2017; 47:35–42. [PubMed: 27640523]
- Frajo-Apor B, Pardeller S, Kemmler G, Welte AS, Hofer A. Emotional Intelligence deficits in schizophrenia: The impact of non-social cognition. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2016; 172:131–136. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2016.02.027 [PubMed: 26924619]

- Goghari VM, Macdonald AW III, Sponheim SR. Temporal lobe structures and facial emotion recognition in schizophrenia patients and nonpsychotic relatives. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2011; 37(6):1281–1294. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbq046 [PubMed: 20484523]
- Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: Etymology and strategic intentions. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(4):636–645. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.4.636 [PubMed: 12668349]
- Green MF, Bearden CE, Cannon TD, Fiske AP, Helleman GS, Horan WP, et al. Nuechterlein KH. Social cognition in schizophrenia, part 1: Performance across phase of illness. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38:854–864. sbq171 [pii]. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbq171 [PubMed: 21345917]
- Habel U, Klein M, Shah NJ, Toni I, Zilles K, Falkai P, Schneider F. Genetic load on amygdala hypofunction during sadness in nonaffected brothers of schizophrenia patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2004; 161(10):1806–1813. 161/10/1806 [pii]. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.10.1806 [PubMed: 15465977]
- Heinrichs RW, Zakzanis KK. Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: A quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology*. 1998; 12(3):426–445. [PubMed: 9673998]
- Ho KK, Lui SS, Hung KS, Wang Y, Li Z, Cheung EF, Chan RC. Theory of mind impairments in patients with first-episode schizophrenia and their unaffected siblings. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2015; 166(1–3):1–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.05.033 [PubMed: 26049215]
- Horan WP, Green MF, Degroot M, Fiske A, Helleman G, Kee K, et al. Nuechterlein KH. Social cognition in schizophrenia, part 2: 12-month stability and prediction of functional outcome in first-episode patients. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38:865–872. sbr001 [pii]. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbr001 [PubMed: 21382881]
- Kalkstein S, Hurford I, Gur RC. Neurocognition in schizophrenia. *Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences*. 2010; 4:373–390. [PubMed: 21312407]
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 1987; 13(2):261–276. [PubMed: 3616518]
- Kee KS, Horan WP, Mintz J, Green MF. Do the siblings of schizophrenia patients demonstrate affect perception deficits? *Schizophrenia Research*. 2004; 67(1):87–94. S0920996403002172 [pii]. [PubMed: 14741328]
- Kee KS, Horan WP, Salovey P, Kern RS, Sergi MJ, Fiske AP, et al. Green MF. Emotional intelligence in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2009; 107(1):61–68. S0920-9964(08)00348-4 [pii]. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2008.08.016 [PubMed: 18805674]
- Keefe RS, Goldberg TE, Harvey PD, Gold JM, Poe MP, Coughenour L. The brief assessment of cognition in schizophrenia: Reliability, sensitivity, and comparison with a standard neurocognitive battery. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2004; 68(2–3):283–297. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2003.09.011 [PubMed: 15099610]
- Lavoie MA, Plana I, Bedard Lacroix J, Godmaire-Duhaime F, Jackson PL, Achim AM. Social cognition in firstdegree relatives of people with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*. 2013; 209(2):129–135. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.11.037 [PubMed: 23375626]
- Leppänen JM, Niehaus DJ, Koen L, Du Toit E, Schoeman R, Emsley R. Deficits in facial affect recognition in unaffected siblings of Xhosa schizophrenia patients: Evidence for a neurocognitive endophenotype. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2008; 99(1–3):270–273. S0920-9964(07)00510-5 [pii]. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.11.003 [PubMed: 18055176]
- Levin JR, Serlin RC, Seaman MA. A controlled, powerful multiple-comparison strategy for several situations. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1994; 115(1):153–159.
- Li HJ, Chan RC, Gong QY, Liu Y, Liu SM, Shum D, Ma ZL. Facial emotion processing in patients with schizophrenia and their non-psychotic siblings: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2012; 134(2–3):143–150. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2011.10.019 [PubMed: 22113155]
- Mayer, JD., Salovey, P., Caruso, DR. Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) item booklet Toronto. Ontario, Canada: MHS Publishers; 2002a.
- Mayer, JD., Salovey, P., Caruso, DR. Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) user's manual. Toronto, Ontario: Canada: MHS Publishers; 2002b.

- Montag C, Neuhaus K, Lehmann A, Krüger K, Dziobek I, Heekeren HR, et al. Gallinat J. Subtle deficits of cognitive theory of mind in unaffected first-degree relatives of schizophrenia patients. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*. 2011; 262:217–226. DOI: 10.1007/s00406-011-0250-2 [PubMed: 21892777]
- Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, et al. Marder SR. The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery, part 1: Test selection, reliability, and validity. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008; 165(2):203–213. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010042 [PubMed: 18172019]
- Phillips LK, Seidman LJ. Emotion processing in persons at risk for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2008; 34(5):888–903. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbn085 [PubMed: 18644853]
- Schulze-Rauschenbach S, Lennertz L, Ruhrmann S, Petrovsky N, Ettinger U, Pukrop R, et al. Wagner M. Neurocognitive functioning in parents of schizophrenia patients: Attentional and executive performance vary with genetic loading. *Psychiatry Research*. 2015; 230(3):885–903. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2015.11.031 [PubMed: 26619916]
- Seidman LJ, Hellemann G, Nuechterlein KH, Greenwood TA, Braff DL, Cadenhead KS, et al. Green MF. Factor structure and heritability of endophenotypes in schizophrenia: Findings from the Consortium on the Genetics of Schizophrenia (COGS-1). *Schizophrenia Research*. 2015; 163(1–3):73–79. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.01.027 [PubMed: 25682549]
- Shamsi S, Lau A, Lencz T, Burdick KE, DeRosse P, Brenner R, et al. Malhotra AK. Cognitive and symptomatic predictors of functional disability in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2011; 126(1–3):257–264. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2010.08.007 [PubMed: 20828991]
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. Dunbar GC. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 1998; 59(Suppl 20):22–33. quiz 34–57.
- Sitskoorn MM, Aleman A, Ebisch SJ, Appels MC, Kahn RS. Cognitive deficits in relatives of patients with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2004; 71(2–3):285–295. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2004.03.007 [PubMed: 15474899]
- Snitz BE, Macdonald AW III, Carter CS. Cognitive deficits in unaffected first-degree relatives of schizophrenia patients: A meta-analytic review of putative endophenotypes. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2006; 32(1):179–194. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbi048 [PubMed: 16166612]
- Steinmayr, R., Schütz, A., Hertel, J., Schröder-Abé, M. Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Test zur Emotionalen Intelligenz (MSCEIT™). Mayer, John D. Salovey, Peter, Caruso, David R., editors. Bern: Hans Huber; 2011.
- Surguladze SA, Chkonia ED, Kezeli AR, Roinishvili MO, Stahl D, David AS. The McCollough effect and facial emotion discrimination in patients with schizophrenia and their unaffected relatives. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38(3):599–607. DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbq141 [PubMed: 21123854]
- Toomey R, Seidman LJ, Lyons MJ, Faraone SV, Tsuang MT. Poor perception of nonverbal social-emotional cues in relatives of schizophrenic patients. *Schizophrenia Research*. 1999; 40(2):121–130. DOI: 10.1016/s0920-9964(99)00036-5 [PubMed: 10593452]
- Tucker R, Farhall J, Thomas N, Groot C, Rossell SL. An examination of auditory processing and affective prosody in relatives of patients with auditory hallucinations. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2013; 7:531.doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00531 [PubMed: 24046737]
- van der Meer L, Swart M, van der Velde J, Pijnenborg G, Wiersma D, Bruggeman R, Aleman A. Neural correlates of emotion regulation in patients with schizophrenia and non-affected siblings. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(6):e99667.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099667 [PubMed: 24941136]
- Wittchen, H-U., Wunderlich, U., Gruschwitz, S., Zaudig, M. Strukturiertes Klinisches Interview für DSM-IV (SKID). Göttingen: Beltz-Test; 1996.

Table 1

Sample characteristics

Variable		Group			Comparison ^a		
		(1) Schizophrenia patients (N = 56)	(2) Siblings of schizophrenia patients (N = 57)	(3) Control subjects (N = 127)	Statistic	p-Value	Pairwise comparison
Age, mean $\pm$ SD	Years	45.3 $\pm$ 10.2	43.1 $\pm$ 13.7	40.0 $\pm$ 11.0	F = 4.15	0.021	(1) > (3)
Sex, N (%)	Male	35 (60.3)	21 (36.2)	45 (35.4)	$\chi^2 = 10.5$	0.005	(1) > (2), (3)
	Female	23 (39.7)	36 (63.8)	82 (64.6)			
Education, mean $\pm$ SD	Years	12.7 $\pm$ 3.2	14.6 $\pm$ 4.1	14.8 $\pm$ 3.0	F = 7.06	0.001	(1) < (2), (3)
Duration of Illness, mean $\pm$ SD	Years	15.5 $\pm$ 10.6	—	—			
PANSS, mean $\pm$ SD							
Positive symptoms		12.4 $\pm$ 5.1	—	—			
Negative symptoms		14.8 $\pm$ 5.0	—	—			
General symptoms		26.8 $\pm$ 6.6	—	—			
Total score		53.9 $\pm$ 13.0	—	—			

^a Analysis by linear mixed models (age, education) or by generalized estimation equation models (sex).

^b PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

Table 2

Comparison of schizophrenia patients, unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients, and healthy control subjects with respect to emotional intelligence

MSCEIT subscale	Group						Statistics				
	(1) Schizophrenia patients (N = 56)		(2) Siblings of schizophrenia patients (N = 57)		(3) Control subjects (N = 127)		Linear mixed model adjusting for family membership				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	P overall	1 vs. 2	1 vs. 3	2 vs. 3
Perceiving emotions	100.5	17.9	105.5	15.1	104.2	14.7	1.7	0.193	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Using emotions	96.2	17.7	105.0	15.5	107.7	12.4	12.2	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Understanding emotions	77.8	22.6	96.5	18.1	101.6	14.3	27.5	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.087
Managing emotions	84.2	18.7	105.7	13.1	106.4	13.9	47.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Experiential EI	98.7	18.3	106.2	15.9	106.6	14.2	5.4	0.005	0.008	0.002	n.s.
Strategic EI	76.3	22.4	101.6	15.3	105.2	14.5	59.3	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
MSCEIT total score	88.0	19.6	105.3	16.0	107.4	14.5	30.0	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.

n.s = not significant ($p > 0.10$).

MSCEIT = Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test; EI = Emotional Intelligence.

Table 3

Comparison of schizophrenia patients, unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients, and healthy control subjects with respect to non-social cognition

	(1) Schizophrenia patients (N = 44)		(2) Siblings of schizophrenia patients (N = 50)		(3) Control subjects (N = 127)		Statistics				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	p _{overall}	1 vs. 2	1 vs. 3	2 vs. 3
Verbal memory	43.8	12.7	57.2	10.5	57.9	11.2	26.3	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Digit sequencing	44.1	12.1	54.8	13.9	56.4	8.1	21.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Token motor task	39.0	11.7	53.1	11.2	55.5	8.9	45.7	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Verbal fluency	42.5	11.0	52.7	10.2	55.5	11.0	23.7	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Symbol coding	35.4	12.4	48.2	10.4	50.4	8.4	39.4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s.
Tower of London	44.4	9.8	49.7	8.7	52.6	6.8	16.9	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.030
BACS composite score	36.4	13.5	54.4	12.6	57.7	8.6	65.8	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	n.s. (0.112)

n.s = not significant ($p > 0.10$).

BACS = Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia.