Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2017 February 21; 56(7): 907–918. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.6b00870.

Arthropod Innate Immune Systems and Vector-Borne Diseases

Richard H. G. Baxter^{*,iD}, Alicia Contet, and Kathryn Krueger

Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biophysics & Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, United States

Abstract

Arthropods, especially ticks and mosquitoes, are the vectors for a number of parasitic and viral human diseases, including malaria, sleeping sickness, Dengue, and Zika, yet arthropods show tremendous individual variation in their capacity to transmit disease. A key factor in this capacity is the group of genetically encoded immune factors that counteract infection by the pathogen. Arthropod-specific pattern recognition receptors and protease cascades detect and respond to infection. Proteins such as antimicrobial peptides, thioester-containing proteins, and transglutaminases effect responses such as lysis, phagocytosis, melanization, and agglutination. Effector responses are initiated by damage signals such as reactive oxygen species signaling from epithelial cells and recognized by cell surface receptors on hemocytes. Antiviral immunity is primarily mediated by siRNA pathways but coupled with interferon-like signaling, antimicrobial peptides, and thioester-containing proteins. Molecular mechanisms of immunity are closely linked to related traits of longevity and fertility, and arthropods have the capacity for innate immunological memory. Advances in understanding vector immunity can be leveraged to develop novel control strategies for reducing the rate of transmission of both ancient and emerging threats to global health.

Abstract

^{*}Corresponding Author Address: 225 Prospect St., New Haven, CT 06520-8107. Telephone: +1 203 432 9576. richard.baxter@yale.edu.

iDORCID

Richard H. G. Baxter: 0000-0001-5140-1726

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

The phylum arthropoda—crustaceans, arachnids, and insects—is by far the largest in the animal kingdom. Insects play key roles in natural ecosystems, pollinate our crops, make honey, and can even be food! Yet blood-feeding, or hematophagous, arthropods—mosquitoes, sand flies, ticks, etc.—serve as vectors for devastating human diseases, including malaria, sleeping sickness and Chagas disease, leishmaniasis, Dengue, and Zika virus (Table 1). For vector-borne diseases, transmission represents a vulnerable and attractive point of attack.¹ Vector control remains the most generally effective measure for preventing malaria transmission² and is essential for the control of Dengue and Zika outbreaks in the absence of safe and effective vaccines.

A notable feature of arthropod disease vectors is the tremendous variation observed between individuals in their susceptibility to infection by the pathogen (known as vectoral capacity). *Plasmodium* (the causative agent of malaria) infects *Anopheles* mosquitoes, while Dengue virus infects *Aedes* mosquitoes, but not vice versa. A major part of this variation is due to genetically encoded factors such as immunity. In agriculture, beneficial insects such as honey bees are threatened by numerous pathogens, including viruses, bacteria, fungi, protozoa such as *Nosema*, and mites such as *Varroa*. Simultaneously, viruses (e.g., nuclear polyhedrosis virus), bacteria (e.g., *Bacillus thuringiensis*), fungi (e.g., *Beauveria bassiana*), and nematodes (e.g., *Heterorhabditis*) are used for biological control of agricultural and nuisance pests. For all these reasons, a range of researchers have become increasingly interested in the immune response of insects.

Arthropods rely solely on innate immunity to protect themselves from infectious agents. The study of innate immunity in insects has led to major advances in human immunology, such as the discovery of Toll-like receptors.³ Arthropod physiology is simpler than that of vertebrates, with a single internal body cavity (hemocoel) and circulating fluid (hemolymph), but the principal components of innate immunity are conserved between the two phyla. These include (i) physical and chemical barriers to infection at epithelial surfaces, (ii) circulating proteins that detect, bind, or neutralize pathogens (humoral responses) by processes such as lysis, agglutination, and melanization, and (iii) circulating cells (hemocytes) that combat pathogens by processes of phagocytosis and encapsulation.⁴

These components communicate in a structured response to infection. First, pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or “danger signals” associated with cell damage or stress.^{5,6} Second, PRRs activate effector proteins in the hemolymph (extracellular pathogen) or cytoplasm (intracellular pathogen) and signal transduction in surrounding tissues via the Toll, Imd, JNK, and JAK/STAT pathways, resulting in multiple transcriptional responses. Third, pathogen-bound PRRs and effector proteins recruit immune cells to phagocytose or encapsulate pathogens, while transcriptional responses ramp up secretion of antimicrobial peptides and other effector proteins to neutralize extracellular infections, RNAi, and other antiviral pathways to restrict viral infection and apoptotic/autophagic pathways to kill intracellular pathogens or the infected cell itself. Finally, wound healing and clearance pathways such as melanization restore the integrity of physiological barriers.

Recent structural and functional studies, leveraged by accompanying advances in genomics and proteomics, show that despite their similarities a vast font of biochemical diversity exists in the immune systems of arthropods compared to that of vertebrates. In the absence of adaptive immunity and under conditions of *r*-selection, a high degree of allelic variation in immune genes and repertoires of immune complexes provide robustness and adaptation of a population in the face of new pathogens. This review covers the molecular mechanisms of innate immunity in arthropods with a specific focus on insect and tick vectors of human disease. First, the physiology of insect immunity and routes of pathogen transmission are outlined. Second, the structure and function of molecules engaged in the principal components of arthropod immunity are presented, and their roles within the different components of the immune response and against distinct classes of pathogens. The review concludes with the current state of application of this knowledge in the control of arthropod populations and an outline of the many unanswered questions in the field.

PHYSIOLOGY, IMMUNITY, AND VECTOR-BORNE PATHOGENS

An overview of arthropod physiology and the life cycles of vector-borne pathogens is shown in Figure 1. An insect's first line of defense is an exoskeleton formed of chitin, a polymer of β -1,4-*N*-acetylglucosamine.⁷ Linear polymers form antiparallel crystalline fibers of α -chitin. These nanofibers are embedded in a protein/lipoprotein matrix cross-linked by two related processes. Sclerotization is the modification and cross-linking of peptides by a range of α -diphenols derived from *N*-acetyl dopamine (NADA) and *N*- β -alanyl dopamine (NBAD), while melanization is the formation of phenolic polymers from tyrosine via the intermediate dopachrome.⁸ Both processes are catalyzed by phenol oxidase (PO), a type 3 dicopper enzyme homologous to catechol oxidase and tyrosinase.^{9,10} The cuticle is formed by hard, impermeable lamellae composites of sclerotized chitin. A porous, nonlamellae chitinous membrane, the peritrophic matrix (PM), is secreted from the epithelium of the gut to physically separate the contents of the lumen,¹¹ and chitin microfibrils run as spirals along the inside of the trachea.

Beneath these barriers lie epithelial cells. Midgut epithelial cells secrete proteins and glycans from their apical surface to form a mucosal extracellular matrix and a layer of carbohydrate known as a glycocalyx to insulate the plasma membrane from the lumen. Epithelial cells form tight junctions, known as septate junctions (SJ), to create an impermeable barrier between the exterior and the hemocoel and secrete immune sensing/effector proteins into the lumen and the hemocoel. Beneath the epithelium, a second physical barrier, the basal lamina, composed of collagen, laminin, and proteoglycans separates the epithelium from the circulatory fluid, or hemolymph.

The insect hemolymph volume and cell density vary greatly among insects (0.3–0.4 μ L, 10^3 – 10^4 cells in *Drosophila*, *Anopheles*, and *Aedes*).¹² Hemolymph contains several types of cells, or hemocytes: (i) plasmatocytes and granulocytes, (ii) lamellocytes, and (iii) oenocytoids or crystal cells.¹³ Phagocytosis, the engulfment of bacteria and other small particles, is performed by plasmatocytes (*Drosophila melanogaster*) or granulocytes (*Anopheles gambiae*). Some granulocytes facilitate agglutination, clumping pathogens and hemocytes together, or coagulation of hemolymph in response to wounding. Lamellocytes

encapsulate particles too large to be phagocytosed, forming an impervious barrier several cells thick. Oenocytoids release prophenol oxidase (PPO) that is converted to PO, catalyzing melanization of agglutinated/encapsulated particles and wound healing. Hemocytes secrete immune factors into the hemolymph to both sense and engage pathogens. Additional immune factors are secreted from the fat body, a mesodermal tissue distributed throughout the hemocoel.

Insects face constant assaults by pathogens through ingestion or inhalation. Pathogens follow a variety of life cycles within their insect vector. Baculoviruses, bacteria, fungi, and *Plasmodium* parasites secrete chitinases to penetrate chitinous barriers. A number of pathogens complete their life cycle within the digestive tract. Kinetoplastids escape from the posterior end of the PM, from which they migrate to the mouth (*T. brucei* and *Leishmania major*) or anus (*T. cruzi*) to exit the insect vector upon the next blood meal.^{14,15} *Y. pestis* (plague) forms biofilms in fleas' mouth parts (proventriculus) that result in transmission to a host upon subsequent blood meals, while flea and louse-borne *Rickettsia* (typhus) are excreted in feces.¹⁶

Pathogens, including arboviruses such as Dengue and Zika, bacteria such as *Borrelia* and *Rickettsia*, and *Plasmodium* parasites, can also traverse the hemocoel to reach the mouth parts (horizontal transmission) or ovaries (vertical transmission). Viruses are intracellular pathogens, initially infecting the midgut epithelium, then secondary tissues such as hemocytes and the fat body, and eventually salivary glands and ovaries. The rickettsiae are intracellular pathogens that invade the hemocoel; some species are pathogenic to the insect vector. The rickettsiae, like arboviruses, are capable of transovarial transmission.

The extracellular bacterium *Borrelia* migrates from the midgut of a feeding tick to the salivary gland to infect a vertebrate host and is then reingested and infects the vector.¹⁷ *Plasmodium* parasites are extracellular pathogens, traversing the midgut epithelium to form cysts between the basal labyrinth and the basal lamina. Over a period of 10–21 days, hundreds of parasites develop within cysts, which eventually rupture, releasing parasites into the hemocoel where they traffic to the salivary glands. Helminths invade the hemocoel and migrate to the head but not the salivary glands. Rather, worms break out of the proboscis during feeding and infect the vertebrate host from the skin.

PATTERN RECOGNITION RECEPTORS AND PROTEASE CASCADES

PGRPs and GNBPs for Detecting Infection

Peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs) and Gram-negative binding proteins (GNBPs) are PRRs that detect Gram-positive (Gram +) and Gram-negative (Gram–) bacteria and fungi, leading to intracellular signal transduction (Figure 2).^{18–20} Peptidoglycan (PGN) is an essential component of bacterial cell walls consisting of β -(1–4)-linked *N*-acetylglucosamine and *N*-acetylmuramic acid polymers cross-linked by short peptides. L-Lysine is found at the third position of the peptide chain in most Gram+ bacteria, whereas Gram– bacteria and Bacilli have *meso*-diaminopimelic acid (DAP) at this position. The PGRP PGN binding domain (~165 amino acids) is homologous to bacteriophage T7 lysozyme, a Zn^{2+} -dependent amidase that hydrolyzes the amide bond between *N*-

acetylmuramic acid and L-alanine of PGN, but most PGRPs lack amidase activity because of the absence of a key cysteine for Zn coordination.

Sensing of Gram+ bacteria in the hemolymph is mediated by a complex of PGRP-SA and GNBPI. GNBPI hydrolyzes Lys-type PG to fragments recognized by PGRP-SA. Fungal pathogens are separately detected by GNBPI. Both PGRP-SA/GNBPI (Gram+) and GNBPI (fungi) activate a protease cascade culminating in cleavage of the cysteine knot protein Spätzle (SPZ).²¹ Cleaved Spätzle binds to the cell surface receptor Toll, inducing receptor dimerization and signaling.

A separate system of PGRPs activates the Imd pathway in response to Gram- bacteria. DAP-type PG and 1.6-anhydro MurNac are recognized by PGRP-LC, a transmembrane receptor. PGRP-LC has three splice isoforms (LCa, LCx, and LCy) that heterodimerize upon binding tracheal cytotoxin (TCT) to induce signaling. The structures of the PG domain of PGRP-LE and PGRP-LCx in complex with TCT reveal that the discrimination between DAP-type and Lys-type PGN is achieved by an arginine residue found in both proteins. PGRP-SD, initially reported to detect certain Gram+ bacteria, also binds DAP-PGN was recently shown to enhance activation of the Imd pathway.²²

PGRP-LC signaling is enhanced by PGRP-LE. Full-length PGRP-LE is localized cytoplasmically where it senses intracellular pathogens, while a truncated form of PGRP-LC is localized extracellularly where it binds and recruits TCT to PGRP-LC. Other PGRPs inhibit the Imd pathway, such as the transmembrane receptor PGRP-LF that inhibits signaling by interacting with PGRP-LC. Finally, catalytic PGRPs such as PGRP-LB act as scavengers by hydrolyzing PGN to suppress immune responses.

CLIP Proteases in Sensing and Effector Pathways

Proteolytic cascades in vertebrates regulate complement activation and blood clotting. A similar role is played by the arthropod-specific family of CLIP serine proteases (SP).^{23,24} CLIPs comprise an N-terminal disulfide-rich “clip” domain (resembling a paper clip),^{25,26} a linker of variable length, and a C-terminal chymotrypsin-like protease domain.^{27–29} The clip and SP domains may be tightly or weakly associated. Phylogenetic analysis has identified four clades in arthropod CLIPs, CLIPA–D.³⁰ CLIPAs are serine protease homologues (SPHs) in which the catalytic serine is mutated. CLIPB–D SPs are principally distinguished by homology within the clip domain. CLIPs are secreted as inactive zymogens and activated by proteolysis in a conserved loop near the N-terminus of the SP domain.

A CLIP SP cascade regulating Toll signaling in response to Gram+ bacteria and fungi has been identified in *D. melanogaster*, the mealworm beetle *Tenebrio molitor*, and the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta*. The nonclip protease ModSP is activated by PGRP-SA/GNBPI³¹ and in turn activates CLIPB SP Grass (*D. melanogaster*),³² SAE (*Te. molitor*),³³ or HP6 (*M. sexta*).³⁴ Grass cleaves the CLIP SP *Spirit*,³⁵ though *spirit* mutants retain Toll activity (B. Lemaitre, personal communication). The proteolytic cascade terminates with CLIPB SP Spätzle-processing enzyme (SPE) (*Drosophila* and *Te. molitor*)^{36,37} or HP8 (*M. sexta*), which cleaves the Toll ligand Spätzle. SPE can be independently activated by the CLIP SP

Persephone in response to fungal infection.^{38,39} Persephone is activated by “danger signals” such as proteases secreted by pathogens or indicators of cell stress.^{32,40}

Another CLIP cascade regulates the melanization response to infection and injury, culminating in the conversion of PPO to PO. *D. melanogaster* PPO2 is activated by the CLIPB SPs Sp7/MP2 and MP1,^{41–43} or a CLIPC SP, Hayan, specifically in response to wounding.⁴⁴ Orthologous PPO-activating CLIPB SPs are SPE (*Te. molitor*),³⁷ PAP1–3 (*M. sexta*),^{45,46} CLIPB9 (*A. gambiae*),⁴⁷ IMP-1 (*Aedes aegypti*),⁴⁸ and PPAF-I (*Holotrichia diomphalia*).^{27,28} Some additional upstream CLIPs in the melanization cascade are known. *A. gambiae* CLIPB8 participates in the melanization cascade but cleaves neither CLIPB9 nor PPO directly.⁴⁹ *M. sexta* HP6 cleaves PAP1,³⁴ and HP21 cleaves PAP2 and –3.⁵⁰ *H. diomphalia* PPAF-III acts upstream of PPAF-I.⁵¹

CLIPA SPs also play key roles in CLIP cascades despite not being active proteases. Cleavage of *H. diomphalia* CLIPA SP PPAF-II by PPAF-III or *Te. molitor* SPH1 by SAE results in the formation of an oligomeric complex with PPO.^{27,37} PPAF-II is cleaved in the penultimate loop of the CLIP domain. Disulfide bonds prevent dissociation of the PPAF-II clip domain,^{27,51} unlike the CLIP domain of PPAF-I. In *M. sexta*, SPs are required for efficient cleavage of PPO by PAP-1.⁵² Thus, CLIPA SPs act as proteolysis-inducible binding partners to regulate CLIP proteolytic cascades.

Anopheles genomes have 53–95 CLIPs⁵³ that are involved in lysis and melanization of Gram– bacteria, Plasmodium parasites, and entomopathogenic fungi.^{54,55} Three CLIPA SPs—CLIPA2, CLIPA5, and CLIPA7—are inhibitors of melanization, while CLIPB3, CLIPB4, CLIPB8, CLIPB17, and SPH CLIPA8 are required for robust melanization. Nevertheless, the majority of CLIPs, of which a typical insect has dozens, remain uncharacterized.

MOLECULAR EFFECTORS OF IMMUNITY

Insects have several signaling pathways for detecting infection. The successful detection of infection initiates the Toll, Imd, JNK, and JAK/STAT signal transduction cascades. This results in multiple systemic responses to counter infection.

Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs)

The most well-studied systemic response is secretion of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Dozens of AMP genes in four structural classes have been identified in insect genomes targeting Gram+ bacteria, Gram– bacteria, and fungi.⁵⁶ While AMP gene families show high rates of gene duplication and deletion, they do not typically exhibit rapid evolution compared to that of other immune genes, suggesting they are subject to balancing selection whereby pathogens adapt to the most common genotypes within a population.⁵⁷ AMPs are generally small (<10 kDa), cationic, amphipathic peptides that bind to and disrupt microbial cell membranes. Multiple mechanisms of action have been identified, including pore formation/membrane depolarization,⁵⁸ binding lipid II,^{59,60} and inhibition or delocalization of membrane proteins.⁶¹

Insect Thioester-Containing Proteins (iTEPs)

Thioester-containing proteins (TEPs) make up a superfamily of 150–200 kDa secreted proteins, containing an intramolecular β -cysteinyl- γ -glutamyl thioester bond, that function as effector arms of innate immunity (Figure 3).⁶² Arthropod TEPs have two well-studied vertebrate counterparts, complement factors and α_2 -macroglobulins (A₂Ms).^{63,64} Complement factors are deposited on pathogen surfaces where they enhance phagocytosis (opsonization), recruit immune cells (chemotaxis), and direct the lysis of pathogens. A₂Ms are pan-protease suicide inhibitors that sequester proteases, inhibiting their activity toward macromolecular substrates. The thioester mediates covalent attachment of a TEP to its substrate, though in some TEPs the actual thioester motif is mutated. Complement factors and A₂Ms are found in crustaceans and arachnids but not insects, while arthropods have two distinct TEP families: insect TEPs (iTEPs) and macroglobulin/complement-related (MCR).

The structure of iTEPs comprises eight macroglobulin domains (MG1–8), with nested insertions of a β -sheet domain (CUB), and the α -helical thioester domain (TED) between MG7 and MG8. The thioester bond is sequestered from the solvent by a protein–protein interface between TED and MG8. A large conformational change triggered by cleavage in a protease-sensitive region within the MG6 domain exposes the thioester in the direct proximity of a substrate. The best-studied iTEP is TEP1 from the malaria vector *A. gambiae* (TEP1),⁶⁵ a structural homologue of complement factor C3.⁶⁶ TEP1 opsonizes Gram–bacteria and targets *Plasmodium* parasites for lysis. Distinct TEP1 alleles are found in *A. gambiae* strains that are susceptible (S) or refractory (R) to *Plasmodium* infection.^{67,68}

A major difference between complement factors and iTEPs is the anaphylatoxin domain (ANA). ANAs are cytokines that act as molecular wedges in the inactive conformation of the complement, stabilizing the TED–MG8 interface. Activation by a specific protease complex, known as a convertase, releases ANA and induces a massive conformational change that exposes the thioester bond. In place of ANA, TEP1 is regulated by the leucine-rich-repeat (LRR) proteins LRIM1 and APL1C,^{69,70} members of the mosquito-specific LRIM family.⁷¹ LRIM1 and APL1C form a heterodimeric complex via C-terminal coiled-coil domains with an interposed helix–loop–helix motif.⁷² Cleavage of TEP1 in the protease-sensitive region produces TEP1_{cut}, which requires the LRIM1/APL1C heterodimer for stability both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.^{73,74}

The TEP1_{cut}/LRIM1/APL1C complex is proposed to be recruited to surfaces where TEP1_{cut} is activated and attaches to the substrate, initiating a positive feedback of TEP1 deposition and effector immune responses. The CLIP SPH SPCLIP1 is required for accumulation of TEP1 on microbial surfaces.⁷⁵ In contrast, CLIPA2 is recruited to microbial surfaces but inhibits accumulation of additional TEP1.⁷⁶ SPCLIP1 and CLIPA2 are proposed to interact with a TEP1 “convertase”, a pivot point between immune recognition and effector responses.

Far less is known about the role of iTEPs in other insects. *Ae. aegypti* TEP2 limits infection with Dengue virus (DENV), an emerging infectious disease of major global significance.⁷⁷ *D. melanogaster* contains four iTEPs (*Tep1–4*) with a canonical thioester motif.⁷⁸ *Tep1–4* are upregulated in the fat body following immune stimulation. The TEPs appear to be

differentially involved in directed immune responses to a wide variety of pathogens. *Tep2* knockdown (kd) in *Drosophila* S2 cells reduces the phagocytosis of *Escherichia coli*, while *Tep3* kd reduces the phagocytosis of *C. albicans*,⁷⁹ *Tep2–Tep4* ko in flies did not result in reduced phagocytosis or survival for a number of pathogens, suggesting iTEP function is dependent on the infection model.⁸⁰ For instance, *Tep2* and *Tep4* ko flies show impaired survival relative to that of wild-type flies when challenged with *Porphyromonas gingivalis*,⁸¹ and *Tep3* reduces mortality from infection by the entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*.⁸²

Transglutaminases

Transglutaminases (TGs) catalyze the deamidation and transamidation of glutamine, cross-linking of proteins by formation of ϵ -(γ -glutamyl)lysine isopeptide bonds, and play vital roles in blood clotting, regulating cellular responses to stress, and formation of the epithelium.⁸³ Most insects, including *Drosophila* and *Aedes*, have a single TG that promotes hemolymph coagulation upon injury, trapping pathogens entering through the cuticle.⁸⁴ TGs are also involved in cuticle morphogenesis; *D. melanogaster* kd has an abnormal morphology and a pupal semilethal phenotype.⁸⁵

D. melanogaster TG kd also leads to a significant reduction in life span in adults reared under normal, but not germ-free, conditions.⁸⁶ Interestingly, the latter effect is proposed to result from a lack of immune tolerance to commensal bacteria rather than suppression of immune responses to infection. *Culex* and *Anopheles* share a second TG. The role of the second TG in *Culex* and *Anopheles* is still ambiguous. However, *A. gambiae* TG2 was recently shown to be upregulated by wounding and involved in injury-induced immune responses that are cross-reactive against human malaria,⁸⁷ suggesting its role is similar to that of *A. gambiae* TG1.

EPITHELIAL AND CELL-MEDIATED IMMUNE RESPONSES

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Signaling

Pathogenic invasion or injury of barrier epithelia leads to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the Nox/Duox family of oxidases. *Drosophila* Duox is responsible for limiting microbial proliferation in the gut,⁸⁸ stimulates epithelial renewal,⁸⁹ and promotes cuticle formation by catalyzing the cross-linking of tyrosine.⁹⁰ Duox expression and activity are “fine-tuned” to induce ROS in the case of infection yet limit oxidative damage under physiological conditions.⁹¹ In the malaria vector *A. gambiae*, Duox and the peroxidase HPX15/IMPer act as negative regulators of the immune response; RNAi kd of Duox and IMPer results in a significant reduction in the extent of *Plasmodium* infection.⁹²

Invasion of *Plasmodium* parasites damages epithelial cells, resulting in nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and peroxidase, NO production, and ultimately apoptosis.^{93,94} NOS has been proposed to act as a chemical “time bomb” for the host cell that is toxic to the invading parasite,⁹⁵ but evidence suggests most parasites are killed in the extracellular space between the epithelium and the basal lamina.⁹⁶ However, epithelial nitration modifies *Plasmodium* surface proteins on parasites that are subsequently targeted for lysis by TEPI.⁹⁷ RNAi

silencing of the peroxidase HPX2 or NADPH oxidase NOX5 eliminates subsequent lysis of parasites. Furthermore, African *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites evade destruction by expressing a surface protein Pfs47,⁹⁸ which acts to suppress JNK signaling that regulates HPX2/NOX5-mediated nitration.⁹⁹

Phagocytosis and Opsonization

ROS signaling arising from epithelial wounding also attracts hemocytes that rapidly commence phagocytosis of invading bacteria.¹⁰⁰ While plasmatocytes or granulocytes are generally phagocytic, a wide range of immune effectors influence phagocytosis.^{101,102} Opsonins bind directly to pathogens to enhance phagocytosis; known arthropod opsonins include iTEPs,¹⁰³ lectins,¹⁰⁴ fibrinogen-related proteins (FREPs),¹⁰⁵ and the hypervariable IgG domain protein Dscam.^{106,107}

Opsonins are detected by cell surface receptors on hemocytes, some of which are orthologs of vertebrate macrophage receptors. The putative receptor for TEP1 is an ortholog of mammalian A₂M receptor LRP1,¹⁰³ while another family, scavenger receptor class B, consists of orthologs of mammalian CD36.¹⁰⁸ Arthropod-specific receptors include scavenger receptor class C (Sr-C) and the EGF-repeat receptors Eater and Nimrod.^{109,110} Hemocytes may also phagocytose fragments of self-tissues, termed autophagy, stimulated by the distinct EGF-repeat receptor Draper,^{111,112} an ortholog of *Caenorhabditis elegans* CED-1 and mammalian MEGF10.

Macroglobulin Complement-Related (MCR)

The arthropod TEP MCR is highly conserved with almost exclusively a single gene and strict 1:1 orthologs throughout Insecta. Compared to other TEPs, MCR has insertions within the N-terminal chain, an LDLa domain in place of the complement ANA, a mutated thioester motif, and a C-terminal transmembrane helix in most known sequences. The closest vertebrate ortholog is CD109, a GPI-linked TEP localized to the surface of thrombocytes, activated T-cells, and endothelial cells that serves as a negative regulator of TGF- β signaling.

D. melanogaster Mcr has an essential role in epithelial development, the proper formation of septate junctions between cells, without which the epithelium is malformed and permeable.^{113,114} Mcr is also reported to function in hemocytic immune responses. Mcr is expressed in plasmatocytes. *Mcr* kd in S2 cells led to a defect in the phagocytosis of *C. albicans*,⁷⁹ although no phenotype was observed when *dsMcr* flies were challenged *in vivo*.⁸⁰ Notably, hemocytes form septate junctions during the process of encapsulation, where layers of cells form an impermeable barrier around a foreign body too large to be phagocytosed.¹¹⁵ Thus, it seems likely that MCR, like Toll, plays a dual role in both development and immunity.

ANTIVIRAL IMMUNITY

Arboviruses, viruses transmitted to humans via arthropod vectors, are of great historic and topical significance, including yellow fever (YFV), Dengue (DENV), Japanese encephalitis (JEV), Rift Valley fever (RFV), West Nile virus (WNV), O'nyong'nyong (ONNV),

Chikungunya (CHIKV), and most recently Zika (ZIKV). Most arboviruses are (+)ssRNA viruses of the genera *Flavivirus* (YFV, JEV, DENV, WNV, and ZIKV) and *Alphavirus* (ONNV and CHIKV). The dominant arbovirus vectors are mosquitoes of the genus *Aedes* (primarily *Ae. aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*) and *Culex*, while anopheline mosquitoes are primary vectors only for ONNV. Ticks are vectors for several arboviruses, mainly tick-borne encephalitis (TBE).

The main immune response of insects to arbovirus infection is from small interfering RNA (siRNA).¹¹⁶ Small viral RNAs are found in infected *Ae. aegypti*,¹¹⁷ and silencing of siRNA components—*Dcr2*, *Ago2*, *TSN*, and *r2d2*—increases viral titers in *Ae. aegypti* and *A. gambiae*.^{118,119} Infecting *Ae. aegypti* with recombinant Sindbis containing DENV RNA protected them from subsequent DENV infection. A second, Dicer-independent, form of RNAi is Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), 24–30-nucleotide small RNAs with a bias for 5' U on antisense and 10A on sense strands that restricts transposon activity. The Piwi gene family is greatly expanded in arbovirus vectors *Aedes* and *Culex* compared to *Drosophila*. piRNAs are detected in virus-infected *Ae. aegypti* cell lines and mosquitoes.

The importance of RNAi-mediated immunity is evidenced by the rapid evolution and positive selection of genes in RNAi pathways.¹²⁰ Arboviruses infect only a fraction of the population and so are unlikely to be common drivers of selective pressure, but genetic diversity does correlate with lower vector competence in the field.¹²¹ Flaviviruses can suppress RNAi immune responses in the host through transcription of a small structured noncoding RNA, sfRNA.¹²² Arboviruses can persist in mosquitoes either as a latent infection during diapause or by transovarial transmission to future generations. Despite lacking reverse transcriptases, it has recently been shown that arboviruses may even persist by integration into host genomes through the action of endogenous reverse transcriptases.¹²³

Viral infection also leads to activation of other effector immune responses such as the JAK-STAT pathway,¹²⁴ leading to secretion of antiviral effectors such as the interferon-like cytokine Vago,¹²⁵ and AMPs.¹²⁶ TEPs also play a role in antiviral immunity. *Drosophila* employs transcriptional pausing to regulate a rapid antiviral immune response, including rapid transcription of *Tep2*.^{127,128} *Ae. aegypti* TEPs, and specifically *Ae. aegypti* MCR, restrict Dengue infection via an interaction with scavenger receptor class C (SR-C).^{77,129}

FERTILITY, LONGEVITY, AND IMMUNOLOGICAL MEMORY

The arthropod immune system changes with age, an important factor given infectious vectors are significantly older than the population average. RNA transcripts upregulated in *Drosophila* at 4 weeks of age versus 1 week of age are enriched with immunity-related genes, including PGRPs, the NF- κ B homologue Relish, and effector genes such as AMPs and *Tep4*.¹³⁰ Upregulation of *Drosophila* immune genes is observed upon mating,^{131,132} yet in general, immunity trades off with reproductive output.¹³³ An age-related decline in *Anopheles* fecundity is correlated with reactive oxygen species, countered by administration of antioxidants and catalase activity.¹³⁴

This trade-off occurs at the molecular level where proteins play dual roles in development, immunity, and/or fertility. *A. gambiae* TEP1 targets not only pathogens but also damaged spermatogonia in the male.¹³⁵ TEP1 alleles associated with higher fertility are associated with increased susceptibility to *Plasmodium*. This suggests that common danger signals arise from damaged spermatogonia and epithelial damage from invasion by malaria parasites and moreover that selective pressure to maximize male fertility might have undesired consequences on the vectoral capacity of females for malaria.

Activation of innate immunity causes long-lasting changes that can protect insects from future infection. This is explained by two related immunological concepts once considered exclusive to adaptive immunity. Immune priming is enhancement of the immune response to infection by prior immune system activation.¹³⁶ Immune memory is the ability of the immune system to store or use information on a previously encountered pathogen,¹³⁷ implying a degree of specificity in the secondary response.^{138,139} Immune priming by bacteria causes hemocyte differentiation in *Anopheles* mosquitoes that suppresses subsequent *Plasmodium* infection,¹⁴⁰ mediated by a soluble factor within the hemolymph identified as a lipoxin/lipocalin complex.¹⁴¹ Gut microbiota also activate the immune responses of *Aedes* to arboviruses.¹⁴² However, priming comes at a cost for fecundity,¹⁴³ again suggesting that selective pressure to reproduce has undesirable consequences for vectoral capacity.

Priming is actually required for immune system development. The tsetse fly *Glossina morsitans* harbors the maternally transferred endosymbiont *Wigglesworthia* and commensal *Sodalis*. Flies raised under aseptic conditions are immunocompromised as evidenced by reduced populations of hemocytes, a lack of ROS/iTEP/AMP induction upon infection, and increased susceptibility to bacteria and trypanosomes.^{144,145} *Drosophila* hemocytes are primed by autophagy of cell corpses during larval development, mediated by Ca²⁺-triggered JNK signaling and the cell death receptor Draper.¹⁴⁶ The obligate endosymbiont *Wolbachia* is common in numerous insects, and the engineered life-shortening strain wMelPop primes *Ae. aegypti* for refractoriness to Dengue, Chikungunya, and Zika virus, *Plasmodium gallinaceum*, and filarial nematodes.^{147–149} Natural and deliberate *Wolbachia* infection stimulates immune gene expression in anopheline mosquitoes and reduces vectoral capacity for *Plasmodium*.^{150–152}

Priming does not fully explain the role of *Wolbachia* infection, however, because in its natural host *Drosophila* wMelPop does not lead to Toll or Imd activation, yet infection still induces an antiviral response.^{153–155} Indeed, the presence of *Wolbachia* blocks viral genome replication without evidence of a transcriptional response in the canonical siRNA pathway.¹⁵⁶ Other potential mechanisms for the immune enhancement of *Wolbachia* are resource competition, cytoplasmic remodeling, and other intrinsic factors.

CONCLUSION

Several strategies for translating the expanding knowledge of arthropod innate immune responses to reduce the rate of transmission of human pathogens are being explored. One approach is the use of entomopathogens for biological control. *Bacillus thuringiensis*

israelensis (Bti) is a broad-spectrum and cost-effective mosquito larvicide.^{157,158} Fungal biopesticides are also being investigated for control of mosquitoes, triatomines, and ticks.^{159–162} A second effort is focused on developing transgenic mosquitoes refractory to malaria transmission by the expression of anti-*Plasmodium* factors, blocking invasion of the midgut of salivary gland epithelia, or manipulation of the mosquito immune system.¹⁶³ Given the known trade-off among immunity, longevity, and fertility, the spread of a transgene through wild populations is a significant hurdle; this can be overcome with gene drive mechanisms.¹⁶⁴

Paratransgenesis, the modification of symbiotic organisms to deliver anti-pathogen effector molecules, combines the strategies described above using viral, bacterial, and fungal pathogens.^{165–167} *Wolbachia* in particular, besides its immune effect, contains an intrinsic genetic drive mechanism, and life-shortening strains of *Wolbachia* reduce population life span, effectively preventing transmission by eliminating the older, infectious members of the population. This approach has proven effective in multiple field trials with negligible risk.^{168,169}

Nevertheless, there are numerous questions outstanding. Many humoral immune factors—PGRPs, GNBP, CLIPs, etc.—remain uncharacterized. Given the many species-specific expansions in these gene sets, it will be a significant task to determine functional redundancy and crosstalk in extracellular sensing and effector pathways. Similarly, the function of iTEPs is largely undescribed outside *A. gambiae*; the precise function of LRIMs is not known, nor have their orthologs (if any) outside mosquito genomes been identified. The dual roles of iTEPs and MCR in development and immunity remain to be elucidated. While biopesticides, transgenesis, and paratransgenesis are promising strategies for translating knowledge from lab to field, in the future, advances may result in the development of small molecules (e.g., lipoxin derivatives) that stimulate arthropod immune systems to block disease transmission. If these strategies can be deployed as part of an integrated disease eradication program, we may look forward to the day when bug bites are just a nuisance, not a deadly threat.

Acknowledgments

Funding

This work was supported in part by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (1R01GM114358) of the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Matthews KR. Controlling and coordinating development in vector-transmitted parasites. *Science*. 2011; 331:1149–1153. [PubMed: 21385707]
2. World Health Organization. World Health Organization Technical Report Series. World Health Organization; Geneva: 2006. Malaria Vector Control and Personal Protection; p. 62
3. Bryant CE, Monie TP. Mice, men and the relatives: cross-species studies underpin innate immunity. *Open Biol*. 2012; 2:120015. [PubMed: 22724060]
4. Abbas, AK., Lichtman, AH. Cellular and Molecular Immunology. 5th. Elsevier Saunders; Philadelphia: 2005.
5. Janeway CA Jr. Approaching the asymptote? Evolution and revolution in immunology. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol*. 1989; 54:1–13. Part 1.

6. Matzinger P. Tolerance, danger, and the extended family. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 1994; 12:991–1045. [PubMed: 8011301]
7. Doucet D, Retnakaran A. Insect Chitin: Metabolism, Genomics and Pest Management. *Adv Insect Physiol.* 2012; 43:437–511.
8. Sugumaran M. Chemistry of Cuticular Sclerotization. *Adv Insect Physiol.* 2010; 39:151–209.
9. Gerdemann C, Eicken C, Krebs B. The crystal structure of catechol oxidase: new insight into the function of type-3 copper proteins. *Acc Chem Res.* 2002; 35:183–191. [PubMed: 11900522]
10. Li Y, Wang Y, Jiang H, Deng J. Crystal structure of *Manduca sexta* prophenoloxidase provides insights into the mechanism of type 3 copper enzymes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:17002–17006. [PubMed: 19805072]
11. Lehane MJ. Peritrophic matrix structure and function. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 1997; 42:525–550. [PubMed: 15012322]
12. Jones, JC. *The Circulatory System of Insects.* Charles C. Thomas; Springfield, IL: 1977.
13. Hillyer JF. Insect immunology and hematopoiesis. *Dev Comp Immunol.* 2016; 58:102–118. [PubMed: 26695127]
14. Roditi I, Lehane MJ. Interactions between trypanosomes and tsetse flies. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2008; 11:345–351. [PubMed: 18621142]
15. Dostálová A, Volf P. *Leishmania* development in sand flies: parasite-vector interactions overview. *Parasites Vectors.* 2012; 5:276. [PubMed: 23206339]
16. Perlman SJ, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E. The emerging diversity of *Rickettsia*. *Proc R Soc London, Ser B.* 2006; 273:2097–2106.
17. Hovius JWR, van Dam AP, Fikrig E. Tick-host-pathogen interactions in Lyme borreliosis. *Trends Parasitol.* 2007; 23:434–438. [PubMed: 17656156]
18. Lemaitre B, Hoffmann JA. The host defense of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2007; 25:697–743. [PubMed: 17201680]
19. Charroux B, Rival T, Narbonne-Reveau K, Royet J. Bacterial detection by *Drosophila* peptidoglycan recognition proteins. *Microbes Infect.* 2009; 11:631–636. [PubMed: 19344780]
20. Kurata S. Peptidoglycan recognition proteins in *Drosophila* immunity. *Dev Comp Immunol.* 2014; 42:36–41. [PubMed: 23796791]
21. Hoffmann A, Neumann P, Schierhorn A, Stubbs MT. Crystallization of Spatzle, a cystine-knot protein involved in embryonic development and innate immunity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect F: Struct Biol Cryst Commun.* 2008; 64:707–710.
22. Iatsenko I, Kondo S, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Lemaitre B. PGRP-SD, an Extracellular Pattern-Recognition Receptor, Enhances Peptidoglycan-Mediated Activation of the *Drosophila* Imd Pathway. *Immunity.* 2016; 45:1013–1023. [PubMed: 27851910]
23. Kanost MR, Jiang H. Clip-domain serine proteases as immune factors in insect hemolymph. *Curr Opin Insect Sci.* 2015; 11:47–55. [PubMed: 26688791]
24. Veillard F, Troxler L, Reichhart JM. *Drosophila melanogaster* clip-domain serine proteases: Structure, function and regulation. *Biochimie.* 2016; 122:255–269. [PubMed: 26453810]
25. Muta T, Hashimoto R, Miyata T, Nishimura H, Toh Y, Iwanaga S. Proclotting enzyme from horseshoe crab hemocytes. cDNA cloning, disulfide locations, and subcellular localization. *J Biol Chem.* 1990; 265:22426–22433. [PubMed: 2266134]
26. Smith CL, DeLotto R. A common domain within the proenzyme regions of the *Drosophila* snake and easter proteins and *Tachypleus* proclotting enzyme defines a new subfamily of serine proteases. *Protein Sci.* 1992; 1:1225–1226. [PubMed: 1304399]
27. Piao S, Song YL, Kim JH, Park SY, Park JW, Lee BL, Oh BH, Ha NC. Crystal structure of a clip-domain serine protease and functional roles of the clip domains. *EMBO J.* 2005; 24:4404–4414. [PubMed: 16362048]
28. Piao S, Kim S, Kim JH, Park JW, Lee BL, Ha NC. Crystal structure of the serine protease domain of prophenoloxidase activating factor-I. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:10783–10791. [PubMed: 17287215]

29. Kellenberger C, Leone P, Coquet L, Jouenne T, Reichhart JM, Roussel A. Structure-function analysis of grass clip serine protease involved in *Drosophila* Toll pathway activation. *J Biol Chem*. 2011; 286:12300–12307. [PubMed: 21310954]
30. Christophides GK, Zdobnov E, Barillas-Mury C, Birney E, Blandin S, Blass C, Brey PT, Collins FH, Danielli A, Dimopoulos G, Hetru C, Hoa NT, Hoffmann JA, Kanzok SM, Letunic I, Levashina EA, Loukeris TG, Lycett G, Meister S, Michel K, Moita LF, Muller HM, Osta MA, Paskewitz SM, Reichhart JM, Rzhetsky A, Troxler L, Vernick KD, Vlachou D, Volz J, von Mering C, Xu J, Zheng L, Bork P, Kafatos FC. Immunity-related genes and gene families in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Science*. 2002; 298:159–165. [PubMed: 12364793]
31. Buchon N, Poidevin M, Kwon HM, Guillou A, Sottas V, Lee BL, Lemaitre B. A single modular serine protease integrates signals from pattern-recognition receptors upstream of the *Drosophila* Toll pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:12442–12447. [PubMed: 19590012]
32. El Chamy L, Leclerc V, Caldelari I, Reichhart JM. Sensing of 'danger signals' and pathogen-associated molecular patterns defines binary signaling pathways 'upstream' of Toll. *Nat Immunol*. 2008; 9:1165–1170. [PubMed: 18724373]
33. Kim CH, Kim SJ, Kan H, Kwon HM, Roh KB, Jiang R, Yang Y, Park JW, Lee HH, Ha NC, Kang HJ, Nonaka M, Soderhall K, Lee BL. A three-step proteolytic cascade mediates the activation of the peptidoglycan-induced toll pathway in an insect. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:7599–7607. [PubMed: 18195005]
34. An C, Ishibashi J, Ragan EJ, Jiang H, Kanost MR. Functions of *Manduca sexta* hemolymph proteinases HP6 and HP8 in two innate immune pathways. *J Biol Chem*. 2009; 284:19716–19726. [PubMed: 19487692]
35. Kambris Z, Brun S, Jang IH, Nam HJ, Romeo Y, Takahashi K, Lee WJ, Ueda R, Lemaitre B. *Drosophila* immunity: a large-scale in vivo RNAi screen identifies five serine proteases required for Toll activation. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:808–813. [PubMed: 16631589]
36. Jang IH, Chosa N, Kim SH, Nam HJ, Lemaitre B, Ochiai M, Kambris Z, Brun S, Hashimoto C, Ashida M, Brey PT, Lee WJ. A Spätzle-processing enzyme required for toll signaling activation in *Drosophila* innate immunity. *Dev Cell*. 2006; 10:45–55. [PubMed: 16399077]
37. Kan H, Kim CH, Kwon HM, Park JW, Roh KB, Lee H, Park BJ, Zhang R, Zhang J, Söderhäll K, Ha NC, Lee BL. Molecular control of phenoloxidase-induced melanin synthesis in an insect. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:25316–25323. [PubMed: 18628205]
38. Ligoxygakis P, Pelte N, Hoffmann JA, Reichhart JM. Activation of *Drosophila* Toll during fungal infection by a blood serine protease. *Science*. 2002; 297:114–116. [PubMed: 12098703]
39. Gottar M, Gobert V, Matskevich AA, Reichhart JM, Wang C, Butt TM, Belvin M, Hoffmann JA, Ferrandon D. Dual detection of fungal infections in *Drosophila* via recognition of glucans and sensing of virulence factors. *Cell*. 2006; 127:1425–1437. [PubMed: 17190605]
40. Ming M, Obata F, Kuranaga E, Miura M. Persephone/Spätzle pathogen sensors mediate the activation of Toll receptor signaling in response to endogenous danger signals in apoptosis-deficient *Drosophila*. *J Biol Chem*. 2014; 289:7558–7568. [PubMed: 24492611]
41. Castillejo-López C, Häcker U. The serine protease Sp7 is expressed in blood cells and regulates the melanization reaction in *Drosophila*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2005; 338:1075–1082. [PubMed: 16256951]
42. Tang H, Kambris Z, Lemaitre B, Hashimoto C. Two proteases defining a melanization cascade in the immune system of *Drosophila*. *J Biol Chem*. 2006; 281:28097–28104. [PubMed: 16861233]
43. An C, Zhang M, Chu Y, Zhao Z. Serine protease MP2 activates prophenoloxidase in the melanization immune response of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e79533. [PubMed: 24260243]
44. Nam HJ, Jang IH, You H, Lee KA, Lee WJ. Genetic evidence of a redox-dependent systemic wound response via Hsan protease-phenoloxidase system in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J*. 2012; 31:1253–1265. [PubMed: 22227521]
45. Jiang H, Wang Y, Yu XQ, Kanost MR. Prophenoloxidase-activating proteinase-2 from hemolymph of *Manduca sexta*. A bacteria-inducible serine proteinase containing two clip domains. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278:3552–3561. [PubMed: 12456683]

46. Jiang H, Wang Y, Yu XQ, Zhu Y, Kanost MR. Prophenoloxidase-activating proteinase-3 (PAP-3) from *Manduca sexta* hemolymph: a clip-domain serine proteinase regulated by serpin-1J and serine proteinase homologs. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2003; 33:1049–1060. [PubMed: 14505699]
47. An C, Budd A, Kanost MR, Michel K. Characterization of a regulatory unit that controls melanization and affects longevity of mosquitoes. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2011; 68:1929–1939. [PubMed: 20953892]
48. Zou Z, Shin SW, Alvarez KS, Kokoza V, Raikhel AS. Distinct melanization pathways in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *Immunity.* 2010; 32:41–53. [PubMed: 20152169]
49. Zhang X, An C, Sprigg K, Michel K. CLIPB8 is part of the prophenoloxidase activation system in *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2016; 71:106–115. [PubMed: 26926112]
50. Wang Y, Jiang H. Reconstitution of a branch of the *Manduca sexta* prophenoloxidase activation cascade in vitro: snake-like hemolymph proteinase 21 (HP21) cleaved by HP14 activates prophenoloxidase-activating proteinase-2 precursor. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2007; 37:1015–1025. [PubMed: 17785189]
51. Kim MS, Baek MJ, Lee MH, Park JW, Lee SY, Soderhall K, Lee BL. A new easter-type serine protease cleaves a masquerade-like protein during prophenoloxidase activation in *Holotrichia diomphalia* larvae. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:39999–40004. [PubMed: 12185078]
52. Gupta S, Wang Y, Jiang H. *Manduca sexta* prophenoloxidase (proPO) activation requires proPO-activating proteinase (PAP) and serine proteinase homologs (SPHs) simultaneously. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2005; 35:241–248. [PubMed: 15705503]
53. Neafsey DE, Waterhouse RM, Abai MR, Aganezov SS, Alekseyev MA, Allen JE, Amon J, Arca B, Arensburg P, Artemov G, Assour LA, Basseri H, Berlin A, Birren BW, Blandin SA, Brockman AI, Burkot TR, Burt A, Chan CS, Chauve C, Chiu JC, Christensen M, Costantini C, Davidson VLM, Deligianni E, Dottorini T, Dritsou V, Gabriel SB, Guelbeogo WM, Hall AB, Han MV, Hlaing T, Hughes DST, Jenkins AM, Jiang X, Jungreis I, Kakani EG, Kamali M, Kemppainen P, Kennedy RC, Kirmizoglou IK, Koekemoer LL, Laban N, Langridge N, Lawniczak MKN, Lirakis M, Lobo NF, Lowy E, MacCallum RM, Mao C, Maslen G, Mbogo C, McCarthy J, Michel K, Mitchell SN, Moore W, Murphy KA, Naumenko AN, Nolan T, Novoa EM, O'Loughlin S, Oringanje C, Oshaghi MA, Pakpour N, Papathanos PA, Peery AN, Povelones M, Prakash A, Price DP, Rajaraman A, Reimer LJ, Rinker DC, Rokas A, Russell TL, Sagnon NF, Sharakhova MV, Shea T, Simao FA, Simard F, Slotman MA, Somboon P, Stegny V, Struchiner CJ, Thomas GWC, Tojo M, Topalis P, Tubio JMC, Unger MF, Vontas J, Walton C, Wilding CS, Willis JH, Wu YC, Yan G, Zdobnov EM, Zhou X, Catteruccia F, Christophides GK, Collins FH, Cornman RS, Crisanti A, Donnelly MJ, Emrich SJ, Fontaine MC, Gelbart W, Hahn MW, Hansen IA, Howell PI, Kafatos FC, Kellis M, Lawson D, Louis C, Luckhart S, Muskavitch MAT, Ribeiro JM, Riehle MA, Sharakhov IV, Tu Z, Zwiebel LJ, Besansky NJ. Mosquito genomics. Highly evolvable malaria vectors: the genomes of 16 *Anopheles* mosquitoes. *Science.* 2015; 347:1258522. [PubMed: 25554792]
54. Barillas-Mury C. CLIP proteases and *Plasmodium* melanization in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Trends Parasitol.* 2007; 23:297–299. [PubMed: 17512801]
55. Yassine H, Kamareddine L, Osta MA. The Mosquito Melanization Response Is Implicated in Defense against the Entomopathogenic Fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012; 8:e1003029. [PubMed: 23166497]
56. Yi HY, Chowdhury M, Huang YD, Yu XQ. Insect antimicrobial peptides and their applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2014; 98:5807–5822. [PubMed: 24811407]
57. Unckless RL, Lazzaro BP. The potential for adaptive maintenance of diversity in insect antimicrobial peptides. *Philos Trans R Soc.* 2016; B 371:2015.0291.
58. Rangarajan N, Bakshi S, Weisshaar JC. Localized permeabilization of *E. coli* membranes by the antimicrobial peptide Cecropin A. *Biochemistry.* 2013; 52:6584–6594. [PubMed: 23988088]
59. Dias, RdO, Franco, OL. Cysteine-stabilized alphabeta defensins: From a common fold to antibacterial activity. *Peptides.* 2015; 72:64–72. [PubMed: 25929172]
60. Oppedijk SF, Martin NI, Breukink E. Hit 'em where it hurts: The growing and structurally diverse family of peptides that target lipid-II. *Biochim Biophys Acta, Biomembr.* 2016; 1858:947–957.

61. Wenzel M, Chiriac AI, Otto A, Zweyick D, May C, Schumacher C, Gust R, Albada HB, Penkova M, Kramer U, Erdmann R, Metzler-Nolte N, Straus SK, Bremer E, Becher D, Brotz-Oesterheld H, Sahl HG, Bandow JE. Small cationic antimicrobial peptides delocalize peripheral membrane proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014; 111:E1409–1418. [PubMed: 24706874]
62. Williams M, Baxter RHG. The structure and function of thioester-containing proteins in arthropods. *Biophys Rev*. 2014; 6:261–272.
63. Ricklin D, Hajishengallis G, Yang K, Lambris JD. Complement: a key system for immune surveillance and homeostasis. *Nat Immunol*. 2010; 11:785–797. [PubMed: 20720586]
64. Rehman AA, Ahsan H, Khan FH. Alpha-2-macroglobulin: a physiological guardian. *J Cell Physiol*. 2013; 228:1665–1675. [PubMed: 23086799]
65. Levashina EA, Moita LF, Blandin S, Vriend G, Lagueux M, Kafatos FC. Conserved role of a complement-like protein in phagocytosis revealed by dsRNA knockout in cultured cells of the mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae*. *Cell*. 2001; 104:709–718. [PubMed: 11257225]
66. Baxter RHG, Chang CI, Chelliah Y, Blandin S, Levashina EA, Deisenhofer J. Structural basis for conserved complement factor-like function in the antimalarial protein TEP1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:11615–11620. [PubMed: 17606907]
67. Blandin S, Shiao SH, Moita LF, Janse CJ, Waters AP, Kafatos FC, Levashina EA. Complement-like protein TEP1 is a determinant of vectorial capacity in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Cell*. 2004; 116:661–670. [PubMed: 15006349]
68. Blandin SA, Wang-Sattler R, Lamacchia M, Gagneur J, Lycett G, Ning Y, Levashina EA, Steinmetz LM. Dissecting the genetic basis of resistance to malaria parasites in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Science*. 2009; 326:147–150. [PubMed: 19797663]
69. Fraiture M, Baxter RHG, Steinert S, Chelliah Y, Frolet C, Quispe-Tintaya W, Hoffmann JA, Blandin S, Levashina EA. Two mosquito LRR proteins function as complement control factors in the TEP1-mediated killing of *Plasmodium*. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2009; 5:273–284. [PubMed: 19286136]
70. Povelones M, Waterhouse RM, Kafatos FC, Christophides GK. Leucine-rich repeat protein complex activates mosquito complement in defense against *Plasmodium* parasites. *Science*. 2009; 324:258–261. [PubMed: 19264986]
71. Waterhouse RM, Povelones M, Christophides GK. Sequence-structure-function relations of the mosquito leucine-rich repeat immune proteins. *BMC Genomics*. 2010; 11:531. [PubMed: 20920294]
72. Baxter RHG, Steinert S, Chelliah Y, Volohonsky G, Levashina EA, Deisenhofer J. A heterodimeric complex of the LRR proteins LRIM1 and APL1C regulates complement-like immunity in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; 107:16817–16822. [PubMed: 20826443]
73. Povelones M, Upton LM, Sala KA, Christophides GK. Structure-function analysis of the *Anopheles gambiae* LRIM1/APL1C complex and its interaction with complement C3-like protein TEP1. *PLoS Pathog*. 2011; 7:e1002023. [PubMed: 21533217]
74. Le BV, Williams M, Logarajah S, Baxter RHG. Molecular basis for genetic resistance of *Anopheles gambiae* to *Plasmodium*: structural analysis of TEP1 susceptible and resistant alleles. *PLoS Pathog*. 2012; 8:e1002958. [PubMed: 23055931]
75. Povelones M, Bhagavatula L, Yassine H, Tan LA, Upton LM, Osta MA, Christophides GK. The CLIP-domain serine protease homolog SPCLIP1 regulates complement recruitment to microbial surfaces in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLoS Pathog*. 2013; 9:e1003623. [PubMed: 24039584]
76. Yassine H, Kamareddine L, Chamat S, Christophides GK, Osta MA. A serine protease homolog negatively regulates TEP1 consumption in systemic infections of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *J J Innate Immun*. 2014; 6:806–818. [PubMed: 25012124]
77. Cheng G, Liu L, Wang P, Zhang Y, Zhao YO, Colpitts TM, Feitosa F, Anderson JF, Fikrig E. An *in vivo* transfection approach elucidates a role for *Aedes aegypti* thioester-containing proteins in flaviviral infection. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e22786. [PubMed: 21818390]
78. Lagueux M, Perrodou E, Levashina EA, Capovilla M, Hoffmann JA. Constitutive expression of a complement-like protein in toll and JAK gain-of-function mutants of *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; 97:11427–11432. [PubMed: 11027343]

79. Stroschein-Stevenson SL, Foley E, O'Farrell PH, Johnson AD. Identification of *Drosophila* gene products required for phagocytosis of *Candida albicans*. PLoS Biol. 2006; 4:e4. [PubMed: 16336044]
80. Bou Aoun R, Hetru C, Troxler L, Doucet D, Ferrandon D, Matt N. Analysis of thioester-containing proteins during the innate immune response of *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Innate Immun. 2011; 3:52–64. [PubMed: 21063077]
81. Igboin CO, Tordoff KP, Moeschberger ML, Griffen AL, Leys EJ. *Porphyromonas gingivalis*-host interactions in a *Drosophila melanogaster* model. Infect Immun. 2011; 79:449–458. [PubMed: 21041486]
82. Arefin B, Kucerova L, Dobes P, Markus R, Strnad H, Wang Z, Hyrsi P, Zurovec M, Theopold U. Genome-wide transcriptional analysis of *Drosophila* larvae infected by entomopathogenic nematodes shows involvement of complement, recognition and extracellular matrix proteins. J Innate Immun. 2014; 6:192–204. [PubMed: 23988573]
83. Lorand L, Graham RM. Transglutaminases: crosslinking enzymes with pleiotropic functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2003; 4:140–156. [PubMed: 12563291]
84. Wang Z, Wilhelmsson C, Hyrsi P, Loof TG, Dobes P, Klupp M, Loseva O, Morgelin M, Ikle J, Cripps RM, Herwald H, Theopold U. Pathogen entrapment by transglutaminase—a conserved early innate immune mechanism. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6:e1000763. [PubMed: 20169185]
85. Shibata T, Ariki S, Shinzawa N, Miyaji R, Suyama H, Sako M, Inomata N, Koshiba T, Kanuka H, Kawabata SI. Protein crosslinking by transglutaminase controls cuticle morphogenesis in *Drosophila*. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13477. [PubMed: 20976106]
86. Shibata T, Sekihara S, Fujikawa T, Miyaji R, Maki K, Ishihara T, Koshiba T, Kawabata S-i. Transglutaminase-catalyzed protein-protein cross-linking suppresses the activity of the NF- κ B-like transcription factor relish. Sci Signaling. 2013; 6:ra61.
87. Nsango SE, Pompon J, Xie T, Rademacher A, Fraiture M, Thoma M, Awono-Ambene PH, Moyou RS, Morlais I, Levashina EA. AP-1/Fos-TGase2 axis mediates wounding-induced *Plasmodium falciparum* killing in *Anopheles gambiae*. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:16145–16154. [PubMed: 23592781]
88. Ha EM, Oh CT, Bae YS, Lee WJ. A direct role for dual oxidase in *Drosophila* gut immunity. Science. 2005; 310:847–850. [PubMed: 16272120]
89. Buchon N, Broderick NA, Chakrabarti S, Lemaitre B. Invasive and indigenous microbiota impact intestinal stem cell activity through multiple pathways in *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. 2009; 23:2333–2344. [PubMed: 19797770]
90. Edens WA, Sharling L, Cheng G, Shapira R, Kinkade JM, Lee T, Edens HA, Tang X, Sullards C, Flaherty DB, Benian GM, Lambeth JD. Tyrosine cross-linking of extracellular matrix is catalyzed by Duox, a multidomain oxidase/oxidoreductase with homology to the phagocyte oxidase subunit gp91phox. J Cell Biol. 2001; 154:879–891. [PubMed: 11514595]
91. Ha EM, Lee KA, Seo YY, Kim SH, Lim JH, Oh BH, Kim J, Lee WJ. Coordination of multiple dual oxidase-regulatory pathways in responses to commensal and infectious microbes in *Drosophila* gut. Nat Immunol. 2009; 10:949–957. [PubMed: 19668222]
92. Kumar S, Molina-Cruz A, Gupta L, Rodrigues J, Barillas-Mury C. A peroxidase/dual oxidase system modulates midgut epithelial immunity in *Anopheles gambiae*. Science. 2010; 327:1644–1648. [PubMed: 20223948]
93. Han YS, Thompson J, Kafatos FC, Barillas-Mury C. Molecular interactions between *Anopheles stephensi* midgut cells and *Plasmodium berghei*: the time bomb theory of ookinete invasion of mosquitoes. EMBO J. 2000; 19:6030–6040. [PubMed: 11080150]
94. Kumar S, Gupta L, Han YS, Barillas-Mury C. Inducible peroxidases mediate nitration of *Anopheles* midgut cells undergoing apoptosis in response to *Plasmodium* invasion. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:53475–53482. [PubMed: 15456781]
95. Kumar S, Barillas-Mury C. Ookinete-induced midgut peroxidases detonate the time bomb in anopheline mosquitoes. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2005; 35:721–727. [PubMed: 15894189]
96. Shiao SH, Whitten MMA, Zachary D, Hoffmann JA, Levashina EA. Fz2 and cdc42 mediate melanization and actin polymerization but are dispensable for *Plasmodium* killing in the mosquito midgut. PLoS Pathog. 2006; 2:e133. [PubMed: 17196037]

97. Oliveira, GdA, Lieberman, J., Barillas-Mury, C. Epithelial nitration by a peroxidase/NOX5 system mediates mosquito antiplasmodial immunity. *Science*. 2012; 335:856–859. [PubMed: 22282475]
98. Molina-Cruz A, Garver LS, Alabaster A, Bangiolo L, Haile A, Winikor J, Ortega C, van Schaijk BCL, Sauerwein RW, Taylor-Salmon E, Barillas-Mury C. The human malaria parasite Pfs47 gene mediates evasion of the mosquito immune system. *Science*. 2013; 340:984–987. [PubMed: 23661646]
99. Ramphul UN, Garver LS, Molina-Cruz A, Canepa GE, Barillas-Mury C. *Plasmodium falciparum* evades mosquito immunity by disrupting JNK-mediated apoptosis of invaded midgut cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; 112:1273–1280. [PubMed: 25552553]
100. Moreira S, Stramer B, Evans I, Wood W, Martin P. Prioritization of competing damage and developmental signals by migrating macrophages in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Curr Biol*. 2010; 20:464–470. [PubMed: 20188558]
101. Blandin S, Levashina EA. Phagocytosis in mosquito immune responses. *Immunol Rev*. 2007; 219:8–16. [PubMed: 17850478]
102. Stuart LM, Ezekowitz RA. Phagocytosis and comparative innate immunity: learning on the fly. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2008; 8:131–141. [PubMed: 18219310]
103. Moita LF, Wang-Sattler R, Michel K, Zimmermann T, Blandin S, Levashina EA, Kafatos FC. *In vivo* identification of novel regulators and conserved pathways of phagocytosis in *A. gambiae*. *Immunity*. 2005; 23:65–73. [PubMed: 16039580]
104. Wang XW, Wang JX. Diversity and multiple functions of lectins in shrimp immunity. *Dev Comp Immunol*. 2013; 39:27–38. [PubMed: 22561073]
105. Dong Y, Dimopoulos G. *Anopheles* fibrinogen-related proteins provide expanded pattern recognition capacity against bacteria and malaria parasites. *J Biol Chem*. 2009; 284:9835–9844. [PubMed: 19193639]
106. Watson FL, Puttmann-Holgado R, Thomas F, Lamar DL, Hughes M, Kondo M, Rebel VI, Schmucker D. Extensive diversity of Ig-superfamily proteins in the immune system of insects. *Science*. 2005; 309:1874–1878. [PubMed: 16109846]
107. Dong Y, Taylor HE, Dimopoulos G. AgDscam, a hypervariable immunoglobulin domain-containing receptor of the *Anopheles gambiae* innate immune system. *PLoS Biol*. 2006; 4:e229. [PubMed: 16774454]
108. Philips JA, Rubin EJ, Perrimon N. *Drosophila* RNAi screen reveals CD36 family member required for mycobacterial infection. *Science*. 2005; 309:1251–1253. [PubMed: 16020694]
109. Midega J, Blight J, Lombardo F, Povelones M, Kafatos F, Christophides GK. Discovery and characterization of two Nimrod superfamily members in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Pathog Global Health*. 2013; 107:463–474.
110. Estévez-Lao TY, Hillyer JF. Involvement of the *Anopheles gambiae* Nimrod gene family in mosquito immune responses. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*. 2014; 44:12–22. [PubMed: 24200842]
111. Manaka J, Kuraishi T, Shiratsuchi A, Nakai Y, Higashida H, Henson P, Nakanishi Y. Draper-mediated and phosphatidylserine-independent phagocytosis of apoptotic cells by *Drosophila* hemocytes/macrophages. *J Biol Chem*. 2004; 279:48466–48476. [PubMed: 15342648]
112. McPhee CK, Logan MA, Freeman MR, Baehrecke EH. Activation of autophagy during cell death requires the engulfment receptor Draper. *Nature*. 2010; 465:1093–1096. [PubMed: 20577216]
113. Hall S, Bone C, Oshima K, Zhang L, McGraw M, Lucas B, Fehon RG, Ward REt. Macroglobulin complement-related encodes a protein required for septate junction organization and paracellular barrier function in *Drosophila*. *Development*. 2014; 141:889–898. [PubMed: 24496625]
114. Bätz T, Forster D, Luschig S. The transmembrane protein Macroglobulin complement-related is essential for septate junction formation and epithelial barrier function in *Drosophila*. *Development*. 2014; 141:899–908. [PubMed: 24496626]
115. Keebaugh ES, Schlenke TA. Insights from natural host-parasite interactions: The *Drosophila* model. *Dev Comp Immunol*. 2014; 42:111–123. [PubMed: 23764256]
116. Olson KE, Blair CD. Arbovirus-mosquito interactions: RNAi pathway. *Curr Opin Virol*. 2015; 15:119–126. [PubMed: 26629932]

117. Myles KM, Wiley MR, Morazzani EM, Adelman ZN. Alphavirus-derived small RNAs modulate pathogenesis in disease vector mosquitoes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:19938–19943. [PubMed: 19047642]
118. Keene KM, Foy BD, Sánchez-Vargas I, Beaty BJ, Blair CD, Olson KE. RNA interference acts as a natural antiviral response to O'nyong-nyong virus (Alphavirus; Togaviridae) infection of *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:17240–17245. [PubMed: 15583140]
119. Sánchez-Vargas I, Scott JC, Poole-Smith BK, Franz AWE, Barbosa-Solomieu V, Wilusz J, Olson KE, Blair CD. Dengue virus type 2 infections of *Aedes aegypti* are modulated by the mosquito's RNA interference pathway. *PLoS Pathog*. 2009; 5:e1000299. [PubMed: 19214215]
120. Obbard DJ, Jiggins FM, Halligan DL, Little TJ. Natural selection drives extremely rapid evolution in antiviral RNAi genes. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:580–585. [PubMed: 16546082]
121. Bernhardt SA, Simmons MP, Olson KE, Beaty BJ, Blair CD, Black WC. Rapid intraspecific evolution of miRNA and siRNA genes in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e44198. [PubMed: 23028502]
122. Moon SL, Dodd BJT, Brackney DE, Wilusz CJ, Ebel GD, Wilusz J. Flavivirus sfRNA suppresses antiviral RNA interference in cultured cells and mosquitoes and directly interacts with the RNAi machinery. *Virology*. 2015; 485:322–329. [PubMed: 26331679]
123. Goic B, Vodovar N, Mondotte JA, Monot C, Frangeul L, Blanc H, Gausson V, Vera-Otarola J, Cristofari G, Saleh MC. RNA-mediated interference and reverse transcription control the persistence of RNA viruses in the insect model *Drosophila*. *Nat Immunol*. 2013; 14:396–403. [PubMed: 23435119]
124. Souza-Neto JA, Sim S, Dimopoulos G. An evolutionary conserved function of the JAK-STAT pathway in anti-dengue defense. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:17841–17846. [PubMed: 19805194]
125. Paradkar PN, Trinidad L, Voysey R, Duchemin JB, Walker PJ. Secreted Vago restricts West Nile virus infection in *Culex* mosquito cells by activating the Jak-STAT pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109:18915–18920. [PubMed: 23027947]
126. Luplertlop N, Surasombatpattana P, Patramool S, Dumas E, Wasinpiyamongkol L, Saune L, Hamel R, Bernard E, Sereno D, Thomas F, Piquemal D, Yssel H, Briant L, Misse D. Induction of a peptide with activity against a broad spectrum of pathogens in the *Aedes aegypti* salivary gland following infection with Dengue Virus. *PLoS Pathog*. 2011; 7:e1001252. [PubMed: 21249175]
127. Gilchrist DA, Nechaev S, Lee C, Ghosh SKB, Collins JB, Li L, Gilmour DS, Adelman K. NELF-mediated stalling of Pol II can enhance gene expression by blocking promoter-proximal nucleosome assembly. *Genes Dev*. 2008; 22:1921–1933. [PubMed: 18628398]
128. Xu J, Grant G, Sabin LR, Gordesky-Gold B, Yasunaga A, Tudor M, Cherry S. Transcriptional pausing controls a rapid antiviral innate immune response in *Drosophila*. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2012; 12:531–543. [PubMed: 23084920]
129. Xiao X, Liu Y, Zhang X, Wang J, Li Z, Pang X, Wang P, Cheng G. Complement-related proteins control the flavivirus infection of *Aedes aegypti* by inducing antimicrobial peptides. *PLoS Pathog*. 2014; 10:e1004027. [PubMed: 24722701]
130. Felix TM, Hughes KA, Stone EA, Drnevich JM, Leips J. Age-specific variation in immune response in *Drosophila melanogaster* has a genetic basis. *Genetics*. 2012; 191:989–1002. [PubMed: 22554890]
131. McGraw LA, Gibson G, Clark AG, Wolfner MF. Genes regulated by mating, sperm, or seminal proteins in mated female *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Curr Biol*. 2004; 14:1509–1514. [PubMed: 15324670]
132. Innocenti P, Morrow EH. Immunogenic males: a genome-wide analysis of reproduction and the cost of mating in *Drosophila melanogaster* females. *J Evol Biol*. 2009; 22:964–973. [PubMed: 19228270]
133. Schwenke RA, Lazzaro BP, Wolfner MF. Reproduction-immunity trade-offs in insects. *Annu Rev Entomol*. 2016; 61:239–256. [PubMed: 26667271]
134. DeJong RJ, Miller LM, Molina-Cruz A, Gupta L, Kumar S, Barillas-Mury C. Reactive oxygen species detoxification by catalase is a major determinant of fecundity in the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:2121–2126. [PubMed: 17284604]

135. Pompon J, Levashina EA. A New Role of the Mosquito Complement-like Cascade in Male Fertility in *Anopheles gambiae*. PLoS Biol. 2015; 13:e1002255. [PubMed: 26394016]
136. Milutinovi B, Peuss R, Ferro K, Kurtz J. Immune priming in arthropods: an update focusing on the red flour beetle. Zoology. 2016; 119:254–261. [PubMed: 27350318]
137. Milutinovi B, Kurtz J. Immune memory in invertebrates. Semin Immunol. 2016; 28:328–342. [PubMed: 27402055]
138. Pham LN, Dionne MS, Shirasu-Hiza M, Schneider DS. A specific primed immune response in *Drosophila* is dependent on phagocytes. PLoS Pathog. 2007; 3:e26. [PubMed: 17352533]
139. Schulenburg H, Boehnisch C, Michiels NK. How do invertebrates generate a highly specific innate immune response? Mol Immunol. 2007; 44:3338–3344. [PubMed: 17391764]
140. Rodrigues J, Brayner FA, Alves LC, Dixit R, Barillas-Mury C. Hemocyte differentiation mediates innate immune memory in *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. Science. 2010; 329:1353–1355. [PubMed: 20829487]
141. Ramirez JL, de Almeida Oliveira G, Calvo E, Dalli J, Colas RA, Serhan CN, Ribeiro JM, Barillas-Mury C. A mosquito lipoxin/lipocalin complex mediates innate immune priming in *Anopheles gambiae*. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:7403. [PubMed: 26100162]
142. Ramirez JL, Souza-Neto J, Torres Cosme R, Rovira J, Ortiz A, Pascale JM, Dimopoulos G. Reciprocal tripartite interactions between the *Aedes aegypti* midgut microbiota, innate immune system and dengue virus influences vector competence. PLoS Neglected Trop Dis. 2012; 6:e1561.
143. Contreras-Garduño J, Rodríguez MC, Rodríguez MH, Alvarado-Delgado A, Lanz-Mendoza H. Cost of immune priming within generations: trade-off between infection and reproduction. Microbes Infect. 2014; 16:261–267. [PubMed: 24291714]
144. Weiss BL, Wang J, Aksoy S. Tsetse immune system maturation requires the presence of obligate symbionts in larvae. PLoS Biol. 2011; 9:e1000619. [PubMed: 21655301]
145. Weiss BL, Maltz M, Aksoy S. Obligate symbionts activate immune system development in the tsetse fly. J Immunol. 2012; 188:3395–3403. [PubMed: 22368278]
146. Weavers H, Evans IR, Martin P, Wood W. Corpse engulfment generates a molecular memory that primes the macrophage inflammatory response. Cell. 2016; 165:1658–1671. [PubMed: 27212238]
147. Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC, Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA, van den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL. A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, Plasmodium. Cell. 2009; 139:1268–1278. [PubMed: 20064373]
148. Kambris Z, Cook PE, Phuc HK, Sinkins SP. Immune activation by life-shortening *Wolbachia* and reduced filarial competence in mosquitoes. Science. 2009; 326:134–136. [PubMed: 19797660]
149. Dutra HLC, Rocha MN, Dias FBS, Mansur SB, Caragata EP, Moreira LA. *Wolbachia* blocks currently circulating Zika virus isolates in Brazilian *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. Cell Host Microbe. 2016; 19:771–774. [PubMed: 27156023]
150. Kambris Z, Blagborough AM, Pinto SB, Blagrove MSC, Godfray HCJ, Sinden RE, Sinkins SP. *Wolbachia* stimulates immune gene expression and inhibits *Plasmodium* development in *Anopheles gambiae*. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6:e1001143. [PubMed: 20949079]
151. Bian G, Joshi D, Dong Y, Lu P, Zhou G, Pan X, Xu Y, Dimopoulos G, Xi Z. *Wolbachia* invades *Anopheles stephensi* populations and induces refractoriness to *Plasmodium* infection. Science. 2013; 340:748–751. [PubMed: 23661760]
152. Shaw WR, Marcenac P, Childs LM, Buckee CO, Baldini F, Sawadogo SP, Dabire RK, Diabate A, Catteruccia F. *Wolbachia* infections in natural *Anopheles* populations affect egg laying and negatively correlate with *Plasmodium* development. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:11772. [PubMed: 27243367]
153. Rancés E, Ye YH, Woolfit M, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL. The relative importance of innate immune priming in *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue interference. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8:e1002548. [PubMed: 22383881]

154. Rancés E, Johnson TK, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Zakir T, Warr CG, O'Neill SL. The Toll and Imd pathways are not required for *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue virus interference. *J Virol*. 2013; 87:11945–11949. [PubMed: 23986574]
155. Ye YH, Woolfit M, Rancès E, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA. *Wolbachia*-associated bacterial protection in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Neglected Trop Dis*. 2013; 7:e2362.
156. Rainey SM, Martinez J, McFarlane M, Juneja P, Sarkies P, Lulla A, Schnettler E, Varjak M, Merits A, Miska EA, Jiggins FM, Kohl A. *Wolbachia* blocks viral genome replication early in infection without a transcriptional response by the endosymbiont or host small RNA pathways. *PLoS Pathog*. 2016; 12:e1005536. [PubMed: 27089431]
157. Ibrahim MA, Griko NB, Bulla LAJ. The Cry4B toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* kills Permethrin-resistant *Anopheles gambiae*, the principal vector of malaria. *Exp Biol Med*. 2013; 238:350–359.
158. Dambach P, Schleicher M, Stahl HC, Traore I, Becker N, Kaiser A, Sie A, Sauerborn R. Routine implementation costs of larviciding with *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* against malaria vectors in a district in rural Burkina Faso. *Malar J*. 2016; 15:380. [PubMed: 27449023]
159. Bukhari T, Takken W, Koenraadt CJM. Development of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* formulations for control of malaria mosquito larvae. *Parasites Vectors*. 2011; 4:23. [PubMed: 21342492]
160. Fernandes ÉKK, Bittencourt VREP, Roberts DW. Perspectives on the potential of entomopathogenic fungi in biological control of ticks. *Exp Parasitol*. 2012; 130:300–305. [PubMed: 22143088]
161. Forlani L, Pedrini N, Girotti JR, Mijailovsky SJ, Cardozo RM, Gentile AG, Hernández-Suarez CM, Rabinovich JE, Juárez MP. Biological control of the Chagas disease vector *Triatoma infestans* with the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* combined with an aggregation cue: field, laboratory and mathematical modeling assessment. *PLoS Neglected Trop Dis*. 2015; 9:e0003778.
162. Alkhaibari AM, Carolino AT, Yavasoglu SI, Maffei T, Mattoso TC, Bull JC, Samuels RI, Butt TM. *Metarhizium brunneum* blastospore pathogenesis in *Aedes aegypti* larvae: attack on several fronts accelerates mortality. *PLoS Pathog*. 2016; 12:e1005715. [PubMed: 27389584]
163. Wang S, Jacobs-Lorena M. Genetic approaches to interfere with malaria transmission by vector mosquitoes. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2013; 31:185–193. [PubMed: 23395485]
164. Gantz VM, Jasinskiene N, Tatarenkova O, Fazekas A, Macias VM, Bier E, James AA. Highly efficient Cas9-mediated gene drive for population modification of the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; 112:E6736–6743. [PubMed: 26598698]
165. Fang W, Vega-Rodriguez J, Ghosh AK, Jacobs-Lorena M, Kang A, St Leger RJ. Development of transgenic fungi that kill human malaria parasites in mosquitoes. *Science*. 2011; 331:1074–1077. [PubMed: 21350178]
166. Mancini MV, Spaccapelo R, Damiani C, Accoti A, Tallarita M, Petraglia E, Rossi P, Cappelli A, Capone A, Peruzzi G, Valzano M, Picciolini M, Diabaté A, Facchinelli L, Ricci I, Favia G. Paratransgenesis to control malaria vectors: a semi-field pilot study. *Parasites Vectors*. 2016; 9:140. [PubMed: 26965746]
167. Suzuki Y, Barik TK, Johnson RM, Rasgon JL. *In vitro* and *in vivo* host range of *Anopheles gambiae* densovirus (AgDNV). *Sci Rep*. 2015; 5:12701. [PubMed: 26220140]
168. Hoffmann AA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Callahan AG, Phillips BL, Billington K, Axford JK, Montgomery B, Turley AP, O'Neill SL. Stability of the *w*Mel *Wolbachia* infection following invasion into *Aedes aegypti* populations. *PLoS Neglected Trop Dis*. 2014; 8:e3115.
169. O'Neill SL. *Wolbachia* mosquito control: Tested. *Science*. 2016; 352:526.

Figure 1.

Physiology of the insect immune system and infection by different disease vectors.

Kinetoplastids and some bacteria live in the gut lumen; *T. brucei* and *Y. pestis* infect a new host by regurgitation, while *T. cruzi* and typhus are excreted onto the new host's skin.

Arboviruses infect cells of the gut epithelium and progress to the esophagus and eventually the salivary glands. *Plasmodium* parasites traverse the epithelium and form oocysts, from which they emerge and invade the salivary glands from the hemocoel. *Borrelia* spirochetes also invade the salivary glands. Helminths invade the insect head parts, exit the cuticle, and infect new hosts by the exterior of the proboscis.

Figure 2.

Pattern recognition receptors and protease cascades. Proteins of known structure are shown in surface representation and unknown structures in silhouette. (A) Peptidoglycan (Lys-PGN) from Gram+ bacteria is detected by PGRP-SA/GNBP, stimulating a CLIP protease cascade terminating with Spätzle-processing enzyme (SPE). Yeast and fungi independently activate SPE via GNBP3 and Persephone (Psh), respectively. SPE cleaves the cysteine knot protein Spätzle, which dimerizes and binds the LRR receptor Toll. Peptidoglycan (DAP-PGN) from Gram- bacteria is detected by the membrane receptors PGRP-LC. The PGRP-LCa/LCx heterodimer detects the PGN fragment TCT; PGRP-LCx homodimers detect polymeric DAP-PGRN. PGRP-LE binds TCT, enhancing signaling, while PGRP-LFz inhibits PGRP-LC dimerization. (B) The final elements of the protease cascade terminating in CLIPB-dependent activation of PPO are conserved in flies, mosquitoes, beetles, and moths. Numerous CLIPAs, CLIPBs, and serpins regulate melanization cascades but are less conserved among insects.

Figure 3.

Thioester-containing proteins and epithelial/cellular immunity. (A) *A. gambiae* TEP1 is cleaved to TEP1_{cut} in the hemolymph and stabilized by a heterodimeric complex of proteins LRIM1 and APL1C. Activation of TEP1_{cut} results in deposition on a pathogen surface. The CLIPA SPHs CLIPA2 and SPCLIP1 act to inhibit and promote, respectively, further deposition of TEP1 via a putative convertase. CLIPA7 and CLIPA8 inhibit and promote, respectively, melanization downstream of TEP1 deposition. Unknown proteases are indicated by a question mark. (B) Epithelial injury results in ROS signaling that promotes local activation of iTEP immune responses and chemotaxis of hemocytes. Pathogens are opsonized by iTEPs, FREPs, and Dscam, recognized by EGF receptors Eater, Nimrod, and Draper. Foreign particles that too large to engulf are encapsulated by hemocytes. MCR is essential for the formation of septate junctions (SJs) between epithelial cells, is reported to effect *in vitro* phagocytosis of *Candida albicans*, and is anticipated to be involved in SJ formation during encapsulation.

Table 1

Common Vector-Borne Diseases and Their Vectors

pathogen	vector	genus or species
flaviruses		
yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, West Nile virus Dengue, Zika	mosquitoes	<i>Aedes, Culex</i>
O'nyong'nyong, Chikungunya		<i>Anopheles, Aedes</i>
bacteria		
<i>Yersinia pestis</i> (plague)	fleas	<i>Xenopsylla</i>
<i>Rickettsia prowazekii</i> (typhus)	lice	<i>Pediculus humanus</i>
<i>Rickettsia</i> (e.g., Rocky Mountain spotted fever), <i>Borrelia</i> (Lyme disease)	ticks	<i>Dermacentor, Ixodes</i>
protozoa		
<i>Plasmodium</i> (malaria)	mosquitoes	<i>Anopheles</i>
<i>Trypanosoma</i>		
<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i> (Chagas disease)	kissing bugs	<i>Rhodnius, Triatoma</i>
<i>Trypanosoma brucei</i> (sleeping sickness)	tsetse flies	<i>Glossina morsitans</i>
<i>Leishmania</i> (leishmaniasis)	S flies	<i>Phlebotomus, Lutzomyia</i>
filarial worms		
<i>Onchocerca</i> (river blindness)	black flies	<i>Simulium</i>
<i>Loa loa</i>	deer flies	<i>Chrysops</i>
<i>Wucheria bancrofti, Brugia malayi</i>	mosquitoes	<i>Culex, Anopheles, Aedes, Mansonia</i>