Published in final edited form as:

CrystEngComm. 2017 August 21; 19(31): 4528–4534. doi:10.1039/C7CE00885F.

The Crystalline Sponge Method: A Solvent-Based Strategy to Facilitate Noncovalent Ordered Trapping of Solid and Liquid Organic Compounds

Timothy R. Ramadhar^{a,*}, Shao-Liang Zheng^b, Yu-Sheng Chen^c, and Jon Clardy^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, 240 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, USA

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA

^cChemMatCARS, Center for Advanced Radiation Sources, The University of Chicago c/o Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois, 60439, USA

Abstract

A strategy that leverages solvent effects to noncovalently trap solid and unstable liquid organic compounds within a crystalline sponge ($\{[(\text{ZnI}_2)_3(\text{tris}(4\text{-pyridyl})\text{-}1,3,5\text{-triazine})_2] \cdot x(\text{CHCl}_3)\}_n$) in a simple, mild, and efficient fashion for target molecule structure determination via X-ray diffraction is disclosed. Host-guest structures were obtained using third-generation synchrotron radiation, and new beamline hardware allowed rapid data collection in ~5–24 minutes. This is 40–90% faster than previously reported crystalline sponge synchrotron datasets collected by us, and approximately a 150–720-fold decrease in time versus using a typical in-house diffractometer, effectively enabling the potential for high-throughput analysis. The new target molecule inclusion method using methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) solvent was demonstrated by trapping (*E*)-stilbene, vanillin, 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl azide, and (+)-artemisinin (an antimalarial drug). The potential of guests to maximize intermolecular interactions with the crystalline sponge framework at the expense of attenuating intramolecular interactions (e.g., π -conjugation) was observed for (*E*)-stilbene. Trapping of vanillin and (+)-artemisinin elicited single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformations where space group symmetry reduced from $C2/c$ to $P\bar{1}$ and $C2$, respectively, and the absolute configuration of (+)-artemisinin was determined through anomalous dispersion.

Graphical Abstract

timothy_ramadhar@hms.harvard.edu; Phone: +1-617-432-3801. jon_clardy@hms.harvard.edu; Phone: +1-617-432-2845.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Crystallographic data tables, solvent accessible void space analysis. CCDC 1545812, 1545813, 1545814, 1545815. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

A new simple procedure for inclusion of solid and unstable liquid compounds into crystalline sponges for rapid elucidation is described.

Introduction

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) is one of the most powerful analytical techniques for small-molecule structure determination. One experiment can provide accurate information on atom types, bond lengths, relative stereochemistry, and absolute configuration. However, a major limitation for SC-XRD is the requirement of a single crystal, which traditionally precluded analysis of liquids and amorphous solids. A technique known as the “crystalline sponge method”¹ reported by Fujita and co-workers circumvents this limitation by incorporating target molecules inside a crystalline metal organic framework (MOF), such as the commonly used crystal sponge $\{[(\text{ZnI}_2)_3(\text{tris}(4\text{-pyridyl})\text{-}1,3,5\text{-triazine})_2] \cdot x(\text{solvent})\}_n$ (**1**) (Fig. 1),² where target molecules become trapped in the MOF pores through intermolecular interactions with the host framework. The resulting host-guest complex can then be subjected to SC-XRD to determine the structure of the target molecule. Our work in this area has focused on improving the operational ease and reliability of the technique by reducing host preparation time, establishing crystallographic guidelines to ensure that chemically and physically-sensible models are constructed from high-quality data, and investigating the beneficial effects of changing the MOF terminal halide ligand.^{3,4}

The guest inclusion method that we previously disclosed involves soaking **1**·CHCl₃ with neat liquid compound.³ However, the ability to trap amorphous and crystalline solids in a rapid and operationally simple fashion in **1**·CHCl₃, which is easy and fast to synthesize, would expand the scope of compounds that can be analysed. Inclusion of crystalline solids is important if the native crystals exhibit poor shape (habit), diffract poorly, are prone to problematic twinning, or one wishes to determine absolute configuration of a molecule that does not contain heavy atoms and access to a diffractometer with a CuK α radiation source is unavailable. Furthermore, inclusion of solids allows for a unique opportunity to study reaction mechanisms for those compounds *in situ* by turning the crystalline sponges into “molecular flasks”.⁵ Fujita and co-workers have reported a guest inclusion protocol for solid and liquid compounds that uses cyclohexane with up to 10% CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, or 1,2-dichloroethane to solubilize microgram quantities of a target compound.^{6,7} The resulting solution is added to **1**-cyclohexane crystals and heated at 50 °C for multiple days to concentrate the target and facilitate guest penetration. While the procedure has been demonstrated by Fujita and co-workers, it is technically challenging to execute and typically

requires multiple trials, which if conducted in parallel requires significant amounts of material. With extensive disorder and low occupancies it could also be possible to confuse residual solvent as target molecules if the target contains cyclohexyl rings, complicating structure refinement.³ In addition, cyclohexane (polarity index: 0, water insoluble)⁸ is not an optimal solvent for dissolving a wide array of compounds even if a small quantity of halogenated solvent is added. A recent report by Santarsiero and co-workers describing the fastest synthesis of **1**·PhNO₂ to date noted the use of *n*-Bu₂O to solvate liquid diisopropylaniline for inclusion.⁹ While **1**·PhNO₂ exhibits a limited scope for guest trapping versus **1**·cyclohexane and **1**·CHCl₃ due to the affinity of PhNO₂ for the host pores, the authors demonstrated that **1**·PhNO₂ can be converted to **1**·cyclohexane and **1**·CHCl₃. However, like cyclohexane, the polarity of *n*-Bu₂O (polarity index: 1.7, water insoluble)⁸ is not poised to dissolve a wide range of functionalized compounds. Our attempts to include targets in CHCl₃ into **1**·CHCl₃ have failed likely due to higher solvent polarity (polarity index: 4.4, water solubility: 0.795 g/100 mL)⁸ that may favour target solvation and disfavour penetration and ordering within **1**·CHCl₃ (however, Carmalt and co-workers¹⁰ recently included naphthalene and anthracene saturated in CHCl₃ into **1**·CHCl₃ over 1 week, presumably facilitated through strong guest $\pi\cdots\pi$ and CH $\cdots\pi$ interactions with the host).

To increase the scope of our procedure, we sought to find a solvent with a polarity that could strike a balance between compound solubilisation and allowing successful inclusion and ordering within **1**·CHCl₃. We believe that target solubilisation is important because maximizing target concentration can aid inclusion and identification as shown by Fujita and co-workers for nobiletin.⁷ We found that MTBE (polarity index: 2.5, water solubility: 5.1 g/100 mL),⁸ which is chemically tolerant of **1**·CHCl₃ unlike THF (where the latter presumably interacts with Zn²⁺ and displaces the tpt ligand), could strike that balance, and is contrary to a point raised by Fujita and co-workers stressing the necessity of using a poor solvent.⁷ Herein we report the simplest method to date for trapping solid and unstable liquid organic compounds in **1**·CHCl₃ (a “soak it and forget it” procedure), describe the use of third-generation synchrotron radiation with recent beamline hardware advances to drastically reduce the collection time and obtain high-quality data, demonstrate the method’s effectiveness by trapping (*E*)-stilbene (**2**), vanillin (**3**), 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl azide (**4**) and (+)-artemisinin (**5**), and analyse the inclusion complexes.

Experimental

Guest Inclusion Procedure

The synthesis of crystalline sponge **1**·CHCl₃ was performed in 3 days as previously reported.³ Target compounds (**2**–**5**) were commercially obtained and solvated to maximum concentration up to 0.5 M in MTBE at room temperature (**2**: 0.14 M, **3**: 0.5 M, **4**: 0.5 M, **5**: 0.07 M) (these are unoptimized; therefore it is possible to use lower concentrations), and **2** was also dissolved in CHCl₃ at 0.5 M for comparison. For guest inclusion, multiple crystals of **1**·CHCl₃ were placed into a vial and the residual CHCl₃ was removed through careful pipetting. Enough target compound in MTBE (or CHCl₃) was added to submerge **1**·CHCl₃. At no point should the crystals ever become dry; thus, addition of the target compound in solvent should occur immediately after removal of residual CHCl₃. The vial was capped,

sealed with plastic paraffin film, and immediately shipped to the synchrotron. Soaking occurred at ambient temperature, and the soaking time was dependent on shipping and start of the synchrotron data collection shift. Compounds **2**, **3**, and **5** were soaked into **1**·CHCl₃ for 3 days, and **4** was performed for 2 days.

Crystallographic Procedure

Soaked crystalline sponges exhibiting prismatic habit were picked in NVH immersion oil at the beamline with polyimide loops. SC-XRD was performed using high-flux third-generation synchrotron radiation at the ChemMatCARS Sector 15 beamline (undulator insertion device) of the Advanced Photon Source in Argonne National Laboratory. For **1-2**, **1-3**, and **1-5**, loops were mounted on a Huber three-circle goniometer with free κ with a Dectris PILATUS3 X CdTe 1M shutterless[‡] pixel array detector and a 100 K N₂ cold stream generated by an Oxford Cryostream at experimental station 15-ID-D.¹¹ An unattenuated beam with a wavelength of ~ 0.41 Å (30 keV) was used with 0.2–1 s exposure times, and data were collected with multiple ϕ scans at 0.5° increments with ω and κ offsets using a 13 cm detector distance. For **1-4**, the loop was mounted on a Bruker D8 three-circle fixed χ goniometer with an APEX II charge coupled device (CCD) detector and an Oxford Cryostream generated 100 K N₂ cold stream at experimental station 15-ID-B,¹² where a wavelength of ~ 0.41 Å was used with a 0.2 s exposure time, and data was collected with multiple ϕ scans at 0.5° increments with ω offsets using a 7 cm detector distance. It is important to mention that the new experimental setup at station 15-ID-D allowed collection times of ~ 5 –24 minutes, which is at least a 40–90% reduction in time versus prior crystal sponge synchrotron data collected by us at 15-ID-B,^{3,4,12} and is roughly a 150–720-fold improvement in time versus collecting datasets using a typical in-house diffractometer with a sealed-tube anode source and a CCD detector (assuming a 2.5 day collection with 2 min/frame exposure time).^{11,13} Evaluation of the initial diffraction images and reciprocal lattice construction was performed to ascertain crystal quality. Data collection for the occasional crystal that appeared to be cracked was aborted. There was no need to diffract additional crystals of **1-2**–**1-5** after data collection for the first good-quality crystal since those crystals afforded publishable structures.

Data were processed in the Bruker APEX3 software suite,¹⁴ where data integration was performed in SAINT,¹⁵ and SADABS¹⁶ was used for multi-scan absorption correction. The resolution cut-off for integration was set to 0.84 Å ($\sin(\Theta)/\lambda = 0.6$), where $I/\sigma \geq 3.00$ for **1-2** was 0.88 Å, **1-3** was 0.91 Å, **1-4** was 0.87 Å, and **1-5** was 0.97 Å. The data was solved using intrinsic phasing (SHELXTL XT-2014)¹⁷ and least-squares refinement on F^2 was performed using SHELXL.¹⁸ The riding model was used for hydrogen addition, and the Brennan and Cowan anomalous scattering coefficients (f' , f'' , and cross-section in b/atom) were calculated for the irradiation wavelength and applied during refinement. Absolute structure parameters (Flack x , Hooft y , $p3(\text{true})$, $p3(\text{false})$, $p3(\text{racemic twin})$) for **1-5** were calculated in PLATON/BIJVOETPAIR.¹⁹ Molecular visualization was performed in Olex2.²⁰

[‡]Shutterless detectors do not require closure of the X-ray shutter for signal readout; it is done concurrently with irradiation. Thus, the readout step is eliminated making collection times shorter.

Specific procedures and guidelines for crystalline sponge system refinement as previously reported were observed.³ As specifically rationalized in our guidelines and in congruence with our previous crystalline sponge structures,^{3,4} and as recommended by Spek for crystalline sponge systems,²¹ programs to treat residual density in solvent accessible voids for the reported and deposited structures were not used. For **1·2**, there was a two-part disorder of **2** over an inversion center and one molecule of **2** involved in another two-part disorder was found on another inversion center. For these molecules it was necessary to manually insert the symmetry-related atoms and refine using negative SHELXL PART instructions. For all molecules of **2** in the asymmetric unit, it was necessary to use a SHELXL SAME directive with a smaller effective standard deviation (e.s.d.) for 1,2 and 1,3 distances (0.01 and 0.02, respectively) than the default values in order to rectify convergence issues (max. shift and max. shift/e.s.d. not converging to 0) and to deal with a level B checkCIF alert on phenyl C–C distances. For **1·3**, it was necessary in some cases to change SHELXL AFIX 137 and AFIX 147 to AFIX 33 and AFIX 83, respectively, to deal with max. shift and max. shift/e.s.d. not converging to 0. For **1·5**, disorder and the level of guest inclusion led to the normalized structure factor amplitudes exhibiting an $\langle |E^2 - 1| \rangle$ of 0.899 (centric distribution) for space group determination; thus, it was necessary to force data processing in *C2* versus *C2/c*, *C2/m*, and *Cc*. Electron density for what appears to be a heavily disordered molecule of **5** and MTBE was observed in the asymmetric unit; however, it could not be reasonably modelled and was left unmodelled in accordance with our reported guidelines.³

Results and discussion

Proof-of-concept for the facile trapping of solid organic compounds in **1·CHCl₃** was established with (*E*)-stilbene (**2**). Compound **2** was dissolved in MTBE to 0.14 M and added to crystals of **1·CHCl₃**, upon which the crystals immediately turned a deep yellow colour. Soaking occurred at ambient temperature for 3 days before subjecting **1·2** to SC-XRD, and its structure was solved in centrosymmetric space group monoclinic *C2/c* where an *R*₁ of 5.24% was afforded after refinement (Figure 2a). The largest change in unit cell axis dimension upon guest inclusion was observed for the *c*-axis (**1·2**: *c*=34.9049(14) Å versus **1·CHCl₃**[§]: *c*=31.081(3) Å). Three molecules of **2** were observed in the asymmetric unit where one was involved in a two-part disorder over an inversion centre (total occupancy: 50(1)%), one exhibited a two-part disorder where one disordered portion fell on an inversion centre (total occupancy: 73(1)%), and another molecule was observed at 81(1)% occupancy, where all were stabilized through host-guest intermolecular interactions.^{10,22} No molecules of MTBE or residual CHCl₃ solvent were readily noticed. While the phenyl ring pairs for most guests have twist angles ranging between 2(2)°–7(5)°, one disordered guest at 63.8(9)% occupancy exhibited an unusually large twist angle of 41(1)° (its disordered component exhibited a twist angle of 7(5)° and has a lower occupancy (9.5(5)%)) (Fig. 3a). The large twist angle for that guest mainly arises from its phenyl groups forging favourable contacts with the host and another proximal guest (Fig. 3b). This illustrates that guests can attenuate intramolecular interactions (π -conjugation in this case) in order to maximize

[§]See reference 3 and CCDC 1007932 for **1·CHCl₃**

favourable intermolecular contacts. When soaking was done with **2** solvated in CHCl_3 to a higher concentration of 0.5 M, the crystals also immediately turned a deep yellow colour. However, **2** was not observed – only CHCl_3 was found. Presumably while **2** penetrated **1**· CHCl_3 and elicited a colour change, the polarity of the CHCl_3 solvent and/or its interactions with the framework precluded sufficient intermolecular stabilization of **2** with the host. Thus, **2** remained heavily disordered in the MOF voids and was not detectable by SC-XRD. In contrast, MTBE did not severely interfere with ordering of **2** and the latter was detectable at a *lower concentration* than when CHCl_3 was used. It should be noted that a complex of **1** with **2** was previously reported by Fujita and co-workers, where **1**· PhNO_2 was soaked in (*Z*)-stilbene in cyclohexane (0.10 mmol/4 mL) and reacted using a Xe lamp ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 400\text{--}500\text{ nm}$) for 155 h with **1** catalysing photoisomerization.²³ The corresponding crystal structure of **1** with trapped **2** (R_1 : 12.21%, no disorder depicted) exhibits a similar arrangement of **2** versus our reported **1**·**2** upon visually inspecting the packing models. However, large differences in inclusion method, data collection and refinement, presence of residual solvent in the voids (cyclohexane/ PhNO_2 versus CHCl_3 /MTBE), and significant residual electron density in the former (max. peak: $9.1\text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$) makes drawing meaningful conclusions from detailed comparative analysis between the two complexes difficult.

The new procedure was applied to incorporate other solids and liquids into **1**· CHCl_3 . Vanillin (**3**), a phenolic aldehyde that can be synthesized or isolated from processed *Vanilla planifolia* and *Vanilla tahitensis* seed pods and used as a flavouring/fragrance agent or in a popular TLC stain,^{24,25} was included into **1**· CHCl_3 . Crystals of **1**· CHCl_3 were soaked in a 0.5 M solution of **3** in MTBE at ambient temperature for 3 days, where the crystals immediately developed a light yellow colour. Inclusion resulted in a single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformation affording a reduction in space group symmetry from $C2/c$ to centrosymmetric triclinic $P\bar{1}$ and different unit cell dimensions (**1**·**3**: $a=14.9223(8)\text{ \AA}$, $b=18.9078(11)\text{ \AA}$, $c=32.5910(18)\text{ \AA}$, $\alpha=102.7281(10)^\circ$, $\beta=91.7744(10)^\circ$, $\gamma=110.7963(9)^\circ$ versus **1**· CHCl_3 ⁸: $a=34.655(3)\text{ \AA}$, $b=14.7307(14)\text{ \AA}$, $c=31.081(3)\text{ \AA}$, $\alpha=\gamma=90^\circ$, $\beta: 101.031(2)^\circ$) (Fig. 2b). Inspection of **1**·**3**, exhibiting an R_1 of 7.18%, revealed the presence of four molecules of **3** in the asymmetric unit with occupancies of 35(1)%, 41(1)%, 49(1)%, and 82(1)%. One molecule of **3** at 82(1)% occupancy had significant $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking with a host pyridine ring (centroid $\cdots$ centroid: $3.801(8)\text{ \AA}$), and its phenolic hydroxyl group hydrogen bonded to the aldehyde group in another molecule of **3** at 49(1)% occupancy to help anchor the latter within the sponge.

Trapping of 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl azide (**4**) in **1**· CHCl_3 through the new procedure was also successful. While organic azides are important precursors that participate in reactions such as Huisgen cycloadditions/click reactions, Staudinger ligations, Curtius rearrangements, Schmidt rearrangements, and [3,3]-sigmatropic shifts to afford useful molecules such as heterocycles and peptides, they are also high energy compounds that are potentially explosive.²⁶ Azide **4** exists as an oil, however it is available for purchase as a 0.5 M solution in MTBE as a safer alternative for shipment and handling. We included **4** as a 0.5 M solution in MTBE into **1**· CHCl_3 upon soaking for 2 days at ambient temperature. The crystal structure of complex **1**·**4** was solved and refined in space group $C2/c$ with an R_1 of 5.76% (Fig. 2c). One molecule of **4** with a two-fold rotationally disordered CF_3 group at

roughly similar occupancy was observed in the asymmetric unit at 66(3)% occupancy, and one MTBE was present at 68(2)% occupancy. The electron density for the azide was unmistakably observed with an N–N–N angle of 170(3)°. Examination of the packing model revealed that the aryl-appended azide N forged an N... π contact with a pyridine (N...pyridine centroid: 3.86(2) Å), the central azide N formed a contact with an MTBE methoxy group (N...HC: 2.58(2) Å) and the terminal azide N formed contacts with the aforementioned MTBE methoxy group (N...HC: 2.80(3) Å) and a pyridyl C atom (N...C: 3.33(3) Å) (Fig. 4a). The MTBE also forged a CH... π interaction with the phenyl ring of **4** via its *tert*-butyl methyl (CH...phenyl centroid: 2.939(9) Å), and the host framework established two additional CH... π interactions (CH...phenyl centroid: 3.06(1) Å, 3.29(1) Å). An alternating series of **4** and MTBE occurs in the channels where a F...HC contact between the CF₃ of **4** and *tert*-butyl methyl of MTBE aids in its stabilization (minimum H...F: 2.76(5) Å) (Fig. 4b). The MTBE *tert*-butyl and methoxy groups can also be seen forging ancillary intermolecular contacts with the host. Overall, the success of this trapping experiment allows us to posit that liquid compounds that are unstable²⁷ at high concentration can be included into **1**·CHCl₃ under mild dilute conditions using MTBE.

Finally, the new inclusion method was used to trap solid (+)-artemisinin (**5**) inside **1**·CHCl₃. Artemisinin (qinghaosu) is a tetracyclic sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide natural product that is produced by sweet wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) and can be synthesized.^{28,29} It is used as a drug against *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria alongside other antimalarial agents (i.e., artemisinin-based combination therapies, ACT). Its use has saved millions of lives, and its discovery by Youyou Tu was part of the subject of the 2015 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.³⁰ For the guest inclusion experiment, **5** was solubilized in MTBE to 0.07 M, added to **1**·CHCl₃, and soaking occurred at ambient temperature for 3 days. A single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformation occurred where the space group symmetry lowered from centrosymmetric *C2/c* to noncentrosymmetric monoclinic *C2* – due to necessary destruction of inversion/*c*-glide symmetry upon chiral guest inclusion – to afford a chiral crystal system, and the largest change in unit cell axis was observed for the *c*-axis (**1**·**5**: *c*=35.233(2) Å versus **1**·CHCl₃: *c*=31.081(3) Å). An *R*₁ of 7.00% was obtained after refinement of **1**·**5**, and one molecule of **5** was clearly observed in the asymmetric unit with an occupancy of 93(1)% and one MTBE at 62(2)% occupancy (Fig. 2d). No anisotropic displacement parameter (ADP) restraints/constraints on **5** were required; only one soft C–C pairwise distance restraint was applied. In comparison to a single crystal structure of **5**,³¹ the key peroxide O–O bond lengths and C–O–O–C torsion angles are similar (guest: 1.46(3) Å and 48(2)°, single crystal: 1.469(2) Å and 47.8(2)°). Some interesting interactions were observed in the packing model for **1**·**5** where the lactone carbonyl oxygen of **5** forged a C=O... π interaction with a host pyridine (O...pyridyl centroid: 3.22(2) Å) and the endocyclic oxygen in the oxepane ring that bears the endoperoxide forged an O... π interaction with a host triazine (O...triazyl centroid: 2.93(2) Å) (Fig. 5). The endocyclic lactone oxygen appears to form two O... π interactions (O...pyridyl centroid: 3.75(2) Å, O...triazyl centroid: 3.69(2) Å) and an oxepane methylene hydrogen formed a CH... π interaction with a pyridine (CH...pyridine centroid: 3.246(9) Å). These and other ancillary interactions, especially the first two described O... π contacts, play a significant role in anchoring **5** in the sponge. The Flack *x* and Hooft *y* absolute configuration parameters^{32,33} (0.18(3) and 0.15(2) respectively) are

larger mainly due to unresolved disorder along with the use of a high-energy beam (30 keV, ~ 0.41 Å) that diminishes the magnitude of the f' and f'' anomalous scattering coefficients and (to a lesser extent) “bad” reflections. The dextrorotatory absolute configuration of **5** was established through analysis of a three-hypothesis probabilistic model derived through Bayesian statistical analysis on Bijvoet differences using a Student's t -distribution ($p3(\text{true}) = 1$, $p3(\text{false}) = 0$, $p3(\text{racemic twin}) = 3 \times 10^{-38}$, Bijvoet pair coverage: 97%, correlation coefficient: 0.999) indicating that the absolute structure should not be inverted.³³

Conclusions

Overall, we have reported a simple procedure to include solids and unstable organic liquid compounds in crystalline sponge **1**·CHCl₃ using MTBE. We demonstrated the new method by trapping both electron-rich and electron-deficient aromatic ((*E*)-stilbene, vanillin, 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl azide) and aliphatic ((+)-artemisinin) compounds. Analysis of the inclusion complexes revealed the intermolecular interactions involved in stabilizing guests within the pores of the crystalline sponge, and that guests can partially mitigate intramolecular interactions in order to maximize intermolecular contacts with the host and other guest molecules. The use of high-flux third-generation synchrotron radiation with a high dynamic range large-area shutterless detector reduced collection times to 5–24 minutes, which is an approximate 150–720-fold decrease in time versus using a typical in-house source. This increase in speed allows for high-throughput processing of various soaked crystalline sponges, and the use of a high-flux beam allows for high-quality data collection. It should be noted that the guest concentrations and soaking time have not been optimized; therefore, it is possible that these can be minimized. It is also very important to mention that not all compounds may enter the sponge through this method, or that they will enter and not be sufficiently stabilized through intermolecular interactions with the host framework to be detectable via SC-XRD.³ In cases where partial guest density is observed, we suggest trying other halide analogs of **1**·CHCl₃ as their contributions to the structure factors will be lower and thus may be easier to see guest density.⁴ It may also be advantageous to carefully attempt other known inclusion methods in especially problematic cases to determine whether a more successful result can be obtained. Finally, some compounds will be incompatible with **1** and cannot be analyzed through the current technique. Therefore the future of the crystalline sponge method must entail the development of new sponges to expand the scope of analyzable compounds.³⁴ Nevertheless, the role of solvent in inclusion cannot be neglected, and we believe that the points made in this report will be applicable for use with other newly developed crystalline sponges.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for financial support via the U.S. National Institutes of Health (U19-AI109673 and U19-TW009872 to J.C. and F32-GM108415 to T.R.R.). ChemMatCARS Sector 15 is principally supported by the Divisions of Chemistry (CHE) and Materials Research (DMR), National Science Foundation, under grant number NSF/CHE-1346572. Use of the PILATUS3 X CdTe 1M detector is supported by the National Science Foundation under the grant number NSF/DMR-1531283. Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility

operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We thank Dr. Su-Yin Grass Wang (University of Chicago) for assistance at the beamline.

Notes and references

1. Inokuma Y, Yoshioka S, Ariyoshi J, Arai T, Hitora Y, Takada K, Matsunaga S, Rissanen K, Fujita M. *Nature*. 2013; 495:461. [PubMed: 23538828]
2. Biradha K, Fujita M. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2002; 41:3392.
3. Ramadhar TR, Zheng SL, Chen YS, Clardy J. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect A: Found Adv*. 2015; 71:46. [PubMed: 25537388]
4. Ramadhar TR, Zheng SL, Chen YS, Clardy J. *Chem Commun*. 2015; 51:11252.
5. Ikemoto K, Inokuma Y, Rissanen K, Fujita M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136:6892. [PubMed: 24787543]
6. Inokuma Y, Yoshioka S, Ariyoshi J, Arai T, Fujita M. *Nat Protoc*. 2014; 9:246. [PubMed: 24407353]
7. Hoshino M, Khutia A, Xing H, Inokuma Y, Fujita M. *IUCrJ*. 2016; 3:139.
8. (a) Snyder LR. *J Chromatogr Sci*. 1978; 16:223. (b) Jones, D., Falk, KJ. *Plant Materials Extraction Method*. WO2005053812 A1. Dec 7. 2004
9. Waldhart GW, Mankad NP, Santarsiero BD. *Org Lett*. 2016; 18:6112. [PubMed: 27934356]
10. Hayes LM, Press NJ, Tocher DA, Carmalt CJ. *Cryst Growth Des*. 2017; 17:858.
11. Chen YS, Brewer H, Meron M, Viccaro J. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect A: Found Adv*. 2014; 70:C1727.
12. Wang S-Y, Stash A, Chen Y-S. Manuscript in preparation.
13. General example of the benefits of using a synchrotron versus an in-house source: Malbrecht BJ, Campbell MJ, Chen Y-S, Zheng S-L. *J Chem Educ*. 2016; 93:1671.
14. Bruker. APEX3 (V2016.1-0). Bruker AXS Inc; Madison, Wisconsin, USA: 2016.
15. Bruker. SAINT (8.37A). Bruker AXS Inc; Madison, Wisconsin, USA: 2016.
16. Bruker. SADABS-2014/5. Bruker AXS Inc; Madison, Wisconsin, USA: 2014.
17. Sheldrick GM. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect A: Found Adv*. 2015; 71:3. [PubMed: 25537383]
18. Sheldrick GM. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect C: Struct Chem*. 2015; 71:3. [PubMed: 25567568]
19. Spek AL. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect D: Biol Crystallogr*. 2009; 65:148. [PubMed: 19171970]
20. Dolomanov OV, Bourhis LJ, Gildea RJ, Howard JAK, Puschmann H. *J Appl Cryst*. 2009; 42:339.
21. Spek AL. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect C: Struct Chem*. 2015; 71:9. [PubMed: 25567569]
22. Hayes LM, Knapp CE, Nathoo KY, Press NJ, Tocher DA, Carmalt CJ. *Cryst Growth Des*. 2016; 16:3465.
23. Ohara K, Inokuma Y, Fujita M. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2010; 49:5507.
24. Gallage NJ, Møller BL. *Mol Plant*. 2015; 8:40. [PubMed: 25578271]
25. Spangenberg, B., Poole, CF., Christel, W. *Quantitative Thin-Layer Chromatography: A Practical Survey*. Springer; Heidelberg: 2011. *Specific Staining Reactions*; p. 155-200.
26. Bräse S, Gil C, Knepper K, Zimmermann V. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2005; 44:5188.
27. Yoshioka S, Inokuma Y, Duplan V, Dubey R, Fujita M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2016; 138:10140. [PubMed: 27479545]
28. Tu Y. *Nat Med*. 2011; 17:1217. [PubMed: 21989013]
29. Example: Zhu C, Cook SP. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:13577. [PubMed: 22866604]
30. Callaway E, Cyranoski D. *Nature*. 2015; 526:174. [PubMed: 26450037]
31. Lisgarten JM, Potter BS, Bantuzeko C, Palmer RA. *J Chem Crystallogr*. 1998; 28:539.
32. Parsons S, Flack HD, Wagner T. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect B: Struct Sci Cryst Eng Mater*. 2013; 69:249.
33. (a) Hooft RWW, Straver LH, Spek AL. *J Appl Cryst*. 2008; 41:96. [PubMed: 19461838] (b) Hooft RWW, Straver LH, Spek AL. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect A: Found Crystallogr*. 2009; 65:319. (c) Hooft RWW, Straver LH, Spek AL. *J Appl Cryst*. 2010; 43:665. (d) Hooft, RWW. [accessed July 7, 2017] *Absolute Structure Determination*. <http://www.absolutestructure.com/>

34. (a) Sanna E, Escudero-Adán EC, Bauzá A, Ballester P, Frontera A, Rotger C, Costa A. Chem Sci. 2015; 6:5466. [PubMed: 28757946] (b) Ning GH, Matsumura K, Inokuma Y, Fujita M. Chem Commun. 2016; 52:7013.(c) Lee S, Kapustin EA, Yaghi OM. Science. 2016; 353:808. [PubMed: 27540171] (d) Inokuma Y, Matsumura K, Yoshioka S, Fujita M. Chem Asian J. 2017; 12:208. [PubMed: 27906511]

Fig. 1.
Structure of crystalline sponge and target molecules

Fig. 2. Asymmetric units for crystal structures of a) **1-2** (CCDC 1545812), b) **1-3** (CCDC 1545813), c) **1-4** (CCDC 1545814), and d) **1-5** (CCDC 1545815). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Guest and Zn/I host framework disorder is shown.

Fig. 3.

(a) Structure of a guest in **1·2** (packing model) with an occupancy of 63.8(9)% and (b) its interactions with host **1** and another molecule of **2** at 81(1)% occupancy. Dashed green lines represent CH... π interactions and dashed black lines represent other van der Waals contacts. Ball-and-stick representation is shown, and other extraneous host/guest atoms have been hidden for clarity.

Fig. 4.

(a) Interaction of **4** with host framework **1** and MTBE in **1-4** (packing model) with extraneous atoms hidden for clarity and (b) an alternating series of **4** and MTBE within a channel of **1** with host framework **1** hidden. Ball-and-stick representation is shown.

Fig. 5. Interactions of **5** with host framework **1** in complex **1·5** as observed in the packing model. Ball-and-stick representation is shown and extraneous atoms have been removed for clarity.