Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Model. 2017 July ; 23(7): 214. doi:10.1007/s00894-017-3385-x.

Effects of Varying the 6-Position Oxidation State of Hexopyranoses: A Systematic Comparative Computational Analysis of 48 Monosaccharide Stereoisomers

Alison E. Vickman¹, Daniel C. Ashley¹, Mu-Hyun Baik^{1,2,3,*}, and Nicola. L. B. Pohl^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

²Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 34141, Korea

³Center for Catalytic Hydrocarbon Functionalizations, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34141, Korea

Abstract

Knowledge of multi-dimensional carbohydrate structure is essential when delineating structure-function relationships in the development of analytical techniques such as ion mobility-mass spectrometry and of carbohydrate-based therapeutics, as well as in rationally modifying the chemical and physical properties of drugs and materials based on sugars. Although monosaccharides are conventionally presumed to adopt the canonical ⁴C₁ chair conformation, it is not well known how altering the substituent identity around the pyranose ring affects the favored conformational state. This work provides a comprehensive and systematic computational comparison of all eight aldohexose isomers in the gas phase with reduction and oxidation at the C-6 position using density functional theory (M05-2X/cc-pVTZ(-f)//B3LYP/6-31G**) to determine the conformational and anomeric preference for each sugar in the gas phase. All 6-deoxyhexose and aldohexose isomers favored the ⁴C₁ chair conformation, while oxidation at C-6 showed a shift in equilibrium to favor the ¹C₄ chair for β-alluronic acid, β-guluronic acid, and β-iduronic acid. The anomeric preference was found to be significantly affected by a remote change in oxidation state, with the alternate anomer favored for several isomers. These findings provide a fundamental platform to empirically test steric and electronic effects of pyranose substituents, with the goal of formulating straightforward rules that govern carbohydrate reactivity and drive quicker, more efficient syntheses.

Graphical Abstract

*Corresponding Authors: npohl@indiana.edu, mbaik2805@kaist.ac.kr.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI:

Supporting Information. DFT functional comparison; atomic numbering scheme; ball-and-stick structures of the lowest energy isomers; number of unique rotamers; Boltzmann distribution functional comparison (M05-2X/B3LYP/B3LYP-D3); free energies, zero point energies, entropies, and enthalpies of all rotamers; Cartesian coordinates of all rotamers

Keywords

carbohydrates; conformational analysis; density functional theory

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding molecular reactivity and selectivity in both synthetic and biological systems often relies on our knowledge of physical and electronic structural features. The basis of many principles of conformational analysis, including ring conformation and stereochemistry, benefited from the study of carbohydrate structures. Extensive investigations of these structure-reactivity relationships have since provided key insights in chemical stability, prediction of reaction products, and reaction mechanism interpretation.¹ However, a detailed, comparative interrogation of the discrete conformational states of the diverse array of carbohydrates has been greatly limited by their inherent conformational heterogeneity.² Knowledge of the rich conformational landscapes of these highly dynamic molecules promises to catalyze more straightforward routes for carbohydrate synthesis at a time of increased interest in automating oligosaccharide synthesis.³

The high degree of flexibility and conformational complexity associated with these molecules due to their ability to adopt several different ring conformations and a large number of low energy rotameric states makes studying their conformational landscape a challenging task. Although pyranose rings predominantly adopt the ⁴C₁ chair conformation, the conversion to other puckered forms (skew-boat, boat, half-chair, envelope) may be energetically feasible depending on the nature and orientation of exocyclic substituents attached to the ring.^{1,4} In addition, the rotational freedom of the secondary hydroxyl groups and hydroxymethyl side-chain of a monosaccharide adds an additional degree of complexity. In principle, a total of 3⁵ = 729 rotamers can be generated for a given pyranose ring considering the three-fold rotation of each substituent bond.⁵ The stereochemical configuration of the anomeric carbon, in either the alpha or beta orientation, must also be taken into account, thereby doubling the number of isomers to evaluate. In an effort to minimize the number of structures in the sugar set and limit the subsequent calculations needed, only the canonical chair (⁴C₁ and ¹C₄) conformations will be investigated in this study since they are commonly found to be the lowest in energy.¹

As an alternative to conventional spectroscopic methods that are limited by conformational averaging and lack detailed structural information, computational methods can provide a theoretical understanding of the broader carbohydrate conformational landscape and thereby more accurately probe the population of conformers present in multiple phases (i.e. gas,

solution, solid-state).⁶ In this respect, computation can also illuminate specific structural features and the relative stability of conformational states can be quantitatively determined. Several studies using both molecular mechanics and quantum mechanical methods at various levels of theory have focused on determining individual monosaccharide conformations, while others have compared the energetic and conformational differences for small subsets of sugars.^{7–31} Not surprisingly though, given the complexity of the calculations required, relatively few calculations have been published to date, with those few primarily focused on the small subset of mammalian sugars. A few computational studies incorporating all eight possible hexopyranose isomers of glucose monosaccharides have been published recently and confirm a predominantly ⁴C₁ chair conformation for these structures,^{32,33} but comparisons to the also common 6-deoxysugars and uronic acids are still lacking. The conformational space of the deoxyhexoses and hexuronic acids—both found in a range of natural materials such as rhamnans, pectins and hyaluronic acid—is still relatively unexplored; with very few of these sugar structures studied in depth or at all (Figure 1).^{34–39}

Other studies have quantitatively compared NMR J-couplings to DFT solvation calculations to validate and refine molecular dynamics simulations and determine substituent conformation in aqueous solution.^{40,41} Although the conformational shape of these highly polarized molecules is fairly flexible in solution and interaction with solvent molecules may cause changes in conformation,^{29,42} accurately incorporating solvation effects in computer models remains a significant challenge. Polarizable continuum models (PCM), which treat the solvent implicitly, have been frequently employed for modeling solvated systems, due to their low computational cost, and often excellent predictive power. Although polarizable continuum models do work well in a number of cases, based on previous computational work on carbohydrates^{43–45} it is likely that molecular dynamics simulations would be required to accurately model aqueous solvation of the sugar substrates studied within this work, due primarily to the neglect of explicit hydrogen-bonding interactions and solvent bulk effects in the PCM models.⁴⁶ Molecular dynamics simulations that promise the most sophisticated handling of solvation effects are unfortunately often time-intensive and computationally expensive. As a result, there are very few DFT-MD studies on sugars found in the literature, with typically only one structure investigated per publication due to the more intensive nature of these simulations. Solvation of sugar substrates is still not well understood and much more work in this area (using both explicit and implicit solvation models) is needed to ensure accurate representation of aqueous solvation of sugars.

With these technical and conceptual limitations in mind, we chose to limit ourselves to gas phase structures to establish a consistent baseline for future comparisons between inherent sugar conformations *in vacuo* and protected sugar derivatives, ultimately providing a reference for conformational changes due to electronic or steric factors, as well as intermolecular interactions. While neglecting solvation will certainly lead to our results being less accurate for making predictions of aqueous behavior, gas-phase calculations provide useful fundamental and practical information. In fact, most protected sugars are not actually soluble in water, but are soluble in nonpolar solvents such as dichloromethane (DCM) and toluene. Gas phase calculations may not drastically differ from results obtained using more commonly applied, low dielectric solvents. Our calculated protected sugar

structures can ultimately be further validated using NMR and solvation models with the low dielectric, non-coordinating solvents commonly used in glycosylation reactions.

The present work therefore aims to elucidate the electronic and steric implications of oxidizing or reducing the hydroxymethyl substituent at the 6-position to the carboxylic acid or methyl group, respectively, in the first systematic computational study of all the possible glucose stereoisomers, as well as answer several key questions. Does this picture of predominantly 4C_1 chair conformations hold for all anomers of all possible glucose isomers even when the oxidation state at the exocyclic carbon changes or do some anomers prefer the alternate 1C_4 chair conformation? Does the anomeric effect always dictate the dominant anomeric isomer regardless of the stereochemistry of the remaining substituents? Does the oxidation state at the remote 6-position affect this anomeric ratio?

2. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The conformational landscape of each stereoisomer was initially investigated by performing a Monte-Carlo type conformational search to generate a series of unique monosaccharide rotamers for a given structure using the Global-MMX program (GMMX) in PC Model 9.30⁴⁷ employing the MMX force field which is derived from MM2 with π -VESCF routines taken from the MMP1 program.^{48–50} The π -VESCF routines were modified for open shell species by McKelvey, whereas Gajewski improved the calculations of heat of formation. A large number of low energy rotamers were located, but only structures that were within 4.00–4.50 kcal/mol from the nearest low energy rotamer were included in the final set. Due to occasional unwanted relaxation of the ring geometry to skew-boat or boat-like structures during the conformational search, a substructure method was employed to freeze the ring atoms and maintain ring conformation throughout the simulation in order to avoid ring interconversion and epimerization. For all chair conformers, a substructure of the ring atoms was created and not minimized during the rotamer search.

The use of GMMX allowed a rapid generation of relevant conformers, but to get a more accurate picture of the energetics, this set of structures was additionally characterized with higher-level electronic structure calculations. Further optimization using density functional theory (DFT) was carried out in the Jaguar 8.1 suite of ab initio quantum chemistry programs.⁵¹ Geometry optimizations and vibrational entropies were calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory,⁵² followed by additional single point calculations using the Minnesota functional M05-2X⁵³ and Dunning's correlation-consistent polarized triple- ζ basis set cc-pVTZ(-f), which includes a double set of polarization functions on all atoms.⁵⁴ Frequency calculations confirmed all structures were true minima. Energy components were calculated as follows:

$$\Delta G(\text{gas}) = \Delta H(\text{gas}) - T \Delta S(\text{gas}) \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta H(\text{gas}) = \Delta E(\text{SCF}) + \Delta \text{ZPE} \quad (2)$$

where $G(\text{gas})$ is the change in gas phase free energy; $H(\text{gas})$ is the change in gas phase enthalpy; T is temperature (298.15K); $S(\text{gas})$ is the change in gas phase entropy; $E(\text{SCF})$ is the self consistent field energy, i.e. —raw electronic energy as calculated at the triple- ζ level using M05-2X unless noted otherwise; ZPE is the change in vibrational zero point energy.

Duplicate structures present after geometry optimization were removed by carrying out a statistical analysis, wherein the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was calculated for all rotamer populations. Quantitative comparison of RMSD values revealed similarity in three-dimensional structure based on atomic coordinate displacement. Duplicate rotamers were subsequently removed from the data set. Anomeric ratios are calculated using relative free-energy values for the total rotamer ensemble. Monosaccharide nomenclature is available in the SI (Figure S2).

The choice of functional for obtaining final Gibbs free energies is based on previous work showing improved accuracy for monosaccharide modeling compared to the popular B3LYP exchange-correlation functional, even with dispersion corrections added.^{55,56} A functional and basis set screen was also performed confirming M05-2X had the best agreement with MP2 calculations (Figure S1). It is important to note that to actually distinguish between small differences in anomeric ratios (i.e. 70:30 vs. 30:70) involves accurately calculating relative energetics on the order of less than 1 kcal/mol. Generally this is considered well beyond the error limit of modern DFT as shown in several benchmarking studies.^{57–59} Despite this, we are optimistic about the accuracy of the results reported herein for several reasons. Previous work by Schnupf and coworkers showed that DFT methods could be used to accurately determine anomeric ratios based on comparison with experimentally determined values.²⁸ We found that despite using different methodology for our conformational searches, we obtained similar results to this work in most cases, suggesting that our computational methods likely have the same level of rigor. Exceptions to this are discussed further on. We believe that the high accuracy of DFT in this case can be ascribed to the fact that we are comparing structures that are both extremely similar to each other, and in all cases relatively simple as well. This leads to massive error cancellations for our purpose of determining the conformational landscape. It is still always dangerous to over-interpret calculated data however, and hence we emphasize that our most important results are the qualitative trends predicted by our calculations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lowest Energy Monosaccharide Conformations

In order to examine the conformational differences between monosaccharides with varying oxidation states at the 6-position, the key findings concerning the lowest energy conformers for each sugar series—including the 6-deoxyhexoses (DO), aldohexoses (AL), and hexuronic acids (UA)—will be discussed first. An in-depth evaluation of the significant changes in anomeric and conformational preference upon oxidation or reduction will follow, in addition to an evaluation of calculated Boltzmann population distributions for each isomer.

The relative gas phase free energies of the lowest energy conformers for each monosaccharide isomer are presented in Table 1, including the α - and β -anomers for both chair conformations, 4C_1 and 1C_4 (a compilation of all three-dimensional ball-and-stick structures for all sugar series, as well as enthalpies, entropies, and zero-point energies are provided in the SI, Figures S3–5). Free energies are calculated relative to the lowest energy structure in each sugar series, as indicated in red (i.e. 6-deoxy- α -glucose, α -galactose, α -glucuronic acid). Not surprisingly, all 6-deoxyhexose and aldohexose isomers were found to favor the 4C_1 chair, regardless of anomeric orientation. However, several hexuronic acid isomers in the 1C_4 chair were found to be lower in energy than those in the 4C_1 chair conformation (*vide infra*), as will be discussed in the next section. Clearly, a picture of predominantly 4C_1 chair conformations does not hold when intrinsic stabilities are evaluated for all anomers of all possible glucose isomers as the C-6 oxidation state changes.

Changes in Conformational Preference Upon Oxidation or Reduction at the 6-Position

A comparison of the relative free energies between both chair conformers reveals that the ring conformational preference is affected for three β -isomers within the series. Oxidation to the carboxylic acid moiety resulted in a shift in equilibrium to favor the 1C_4 chair for β -alluronic acid, β -guluronic acid, and β -iduronic acid. It should be noted that the α -anomers of these structures favor the 4C_1 conformation, as well as all of the 6-deoxyhexoses and aldohexoses, as expected. The switch in conformational preference upon oxidation from the aldohexose to the hexuronic acid is illustrated in Figure 2 for all three sugars. The ball-and-stick structures of the lowest energy rotamers for each isomer are shown, as well as the difference between the relative free energy values for 4C_1 and 1C_4 (ΔG_{FAV}). A negative ΔG_{FAV} value corresponds to favoring of the 4C_1 chair conformation, whereas a positive ΔG_{FAV} value corresponds to the 1C_4 conformation favored. Although all other isomers showed preference for the 4C_1 conformation, β -alluronic acid, β -guluronic acid, and β -iduronic acid favor the 1C_4 chair. It is interesting to note that all three isomers contain an axial hydroxyl group at the 3-position and equatorial hydroxyl at the 1-position (β -anomer). However, β -altruonic acid—which also has an axial 3-OH—does not follow this trend, but favors the 4C_1 conformation by 1.38 kcal mol⁻¹.

Previous studies on iduronic acid (IdoA) using molecular dynamics simulations suggest a dynamic equilibrium between the 4C_1 and 1C_4 chairs with a small contribution of the 2S_0 conformer, where ring instability is largely attributed to C5 carboxyl epimerization.^{37–39} The IdoA 1C_4 conformer was previously calculated to be 0.9 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than the 4C_1 chair, comparable to the 1.38 kcal mol⁻¹ difference found within this study.⁴⁰ Neither guluronic nor alluronic acid had been modeled prior to this work and here the 1C_4 conformers have been found to be 0.65 kcal mol⁻¹ and 0.04 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in energy than their 4C_1 conformations, respectively. Given that these energetic differences are relatively small, it is probable that equilibrium between both chair conformations also exists for β -alluronic acid and β -guluronic acid in low dielectric media, as previously found for iduronic acid. These conformational effects related to an increase in oxidation state at C-6 have the potential to be used to direct synthetic strategies—such as use of a β -favoring mannuronic acid as a precursor β -linked mannans⁶⁰—to improve approaches for stereoselective control in glycosylation reactions. In addition, the inherent flexibility of these three structures

suggests a valuable starting point for materials development and also for deconvoluting the structure-function relationships for biomolecules containing these monosaccharide units.

Changes in Anomeric Preference Upon Oxidation or Reduction at the 6-Position

A comparison of the relative free energies for each monosaccharide anomer clearly demonstrates that the preference of the α - or β -anomer can also be affected by remote oxidation or reduction at the C-6 position and any trends depend on the identity of the specific isomer. Although the majority of isomers energetically favor the α -anomer in the gas phase regardless of conformation or oxidation state due to the anomeric effect, changes in anomeric preference are observed for derivatives of allose, idose, mannose, and talose. More specifically, both the aldohexose and hexuronic acid derivatives of allose and idose in the 4C_1 chair favor the α -anomer, while reduction of the 6-position to either 6-deoxy-allose or 6-deoxy-idose results in a shift of preference to the β -anomer (Figure 3). A change in intrinsic anomeric preference upon oxidation is also observed for mannose; mannuronic acid is shown to favor the β -anomer ($0.19 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$), whereas 6-deoxy-mannose and mannose favor the α -anomer by 0.13 and $0.36 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, respectively. A similar trend is observed for talose, where taluronic acid and talose are shown to favor the α -anomer, while 6-deoxy-talose prefers the β -anomer. All other isomers in the 4C_1 conformation favor only the α - or β -anomer regardless of oxidation state variation.

Regarding isomers in the 1C_4 chair conformation, the change in anomeric preference is now observed for derivatives of galactose, gulose and talose (Figure 4). The aldohexose and hexuronic acid structures for galactose and gulose were found to favor the β -anomer, while their 6-deoxy-hexose derivatives favored the α -anomer. Additionally, 6-deoxy-talose and taluronic acid are observed to favor the α -anomer, while a shift in preference for the β -anomer is observed for the talose isomer. It is interesting to note that all of these structures commonly share the presence of an axial hydroxyl group at the 4-position, but that a change in anomeric preference is not observed for idose, which also has a 4-position axial hydroxyl. Idose, however, favors the β -anomer in all oxidation states.

Boltzmann Population Distribution and Predicted Anomeric Ratios

The calculated percentage of each anomer in both chair conformations, as well as anomeric ratios, and conformational preferences are provided in Table 2 (for an expanded version, see Tables S2–7). All values have been calculated using the Boltzmann distribution and include the total ensemble of rotamers for each given isomer.

The anomeric ratios of the aldohexose series match well to those previously reported in the literature, with the exception of idose and mannose, where the opposite anomers are favored.²⁸ These discrepancies can be attributed to inherent error within the calculated free energy values and convergence to multiple other non-canonical conformers resulting in a bias favoring the β -anomer, as reported by the authors. A comparison of the anomeric ratios between the three sugar series [6-deoxyhexoses (DO), aldohexoses (AL), hexuronic acids (UA)], reveals several trends associated with changes in anomeric preference in relation to varying oxidation state at C-6. Notably, the preference for the α -anomer of allose increases upon increasing the oxidation state (49:51 DO/83:17 AL/94:6 UA). A similar result was

observed for glucose (84:16 DO/86:14 AL/99:1 UA) and altrose (40:60 DO/67:33 AL/73:27 UA), where a minor increase in preference for the α -anomer also results from increasing the oxidation state at C-6. The opposite trend is shown for mannose, where the β -anomer becomes slightly more favored upon reduction (63:37 DO/60:40 AL/46:54 UA). Lastly, upon reduction or oxidation at the C-6 position of idose, the β -anomer is highly favored while the α -anomer is preferred for the aldohexose structure (23:77 DO/71:29 AL/16:84 UA). No significant trends were found for the additional isomers within the series where only small changes in anomeric ratios were observed. All structures were also calculated at the B3LYP and B3LYP-D3 level of theory. A comparison of their Boltzmann distributions to the M05-2X functional can be found in the SI (Tables S2–7), where similar results were observed with a few exceptions for select sugars, notably mannose and idose.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Clearly, knowledge of the three-dimensional structure of carbohydrates is not necessarily intuitive based on knowledge of only the canonical glucose structure and is highly dependent on exocyclic substituent stereochemistry and identity. Oxidation or reduction of the hydroxymethyl group has been shown to have a significant influence on the inherent conformation of the pyranose ring and on anomeric stabilities. These results imply that altering the exocyclic substituents on the pyranose ring—such as with hydroxyl protecting groups—likely also has a noticeable effect on the overall shape and electronic structure of the sugar and those changes can lead to differences in reactivity and various physical properties—a focus of future work.

One of the most interesting aspects of this comprehensive comparative computational study showed that changes in the oxidation state at the C-6 position has a considerable effect on conformational preference. Three hexuronic acid isomers including β -alluronic acid, β -guluronic acid, and β -iduronic acid were found to favor the less commonly populated 1C_4 conformation. Factoring in the total rotamer ensemble, interesting results were also found regarding calculated anomeric ratios. In the gas phase, the anomeric effect would be expected to dominate and favor only the α -anomer, regardless of the stereochemistry of the other substituents on the pyranose ring. However, as observed in this study and other more limited studies, several of the hexopyranose isomers were shown to favor the β -form. Specifically, oxidation to the carboxylic acid or reduction to the methyl group for allose, mannose, talose, and idose in the 4C_1 chair altered the anomeric preference significantly, switching preference from one anomer to the other. Those that showed a similar effect in the 1C_4 chair included galactose, gulose, and talose. These intriguing findings point to many future experimental possibilities to test the predictive role of such ground state structures in determining glycosylation reaction outcomes in aprotic, low dielectric media – especially those that proceed through an SN2-type mechanism.

Work is ongoing to investigate alternate modifications of the exocyclic substituents at various positions around the pyranose ring to expand our understanding of the electronic and steric factors influencing preferred conformational states. Using computational methods to quickly uncover these conformational effects can provide the carbohydrate community a more structured and focused approach to experimental design and ultimately lead to the

development of synthetic rules that can be used to drive more efficient carbohydrate syntheses. Applications in the development of analytical techniques can also be envisioned, considering that the increasing use of ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS) to distinguish carbohydrate structures based on their collisional cross-sections in the gas phase requires a good understanding of the shapes of these sugars.^{61–63} Knowledge of three-dimensional carbohydrate structure can also benefit carbohydrate-based therapeutic design and the rational modification of the chemical and physical properties of drugs and materials based on sugars.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under CHE-1362213. A.V. acknowledges partial support for this work as a Quantitative and Chemical Biology Training Program Fellow as part of the National Institutes of Health-supported program (1 T32 GM109825-01). This research was supported in part by Lilly Endowment, Inc. through its support for the Indiana University Pervasive Technology Institute, and in part by the Indiana METACyt Initiative. The Indiana METACyt Initiative at IU is also supported in part by Lilly Endowment, Inc. We thank the Institute for Basic Science in Korea for partial support of this work (IBS-R10-D1).

References

1. Satoh H, Manabe S. Design of chemical glycosyl donors: does changing ring conformation influence selectivity/reactivity? *Chem Soc Rev*. 2013; 42:4297–4309. [PubMed: 23364773]
2. DeMarco M, Woods R. Structural glycobiology: a game of snakes and ladders. *Glycobiology*. 2008; 18:426–440. [PubMed: 18390826]
3. National Research Council (US) Committee on Assessing the Importance and Impact of Glycomics and Glycoscience. Transforming Glycoscience: A Roadmap for the Future. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2012.
4. Widmalm G. A perspective on the primary and three-dimensional structures of carbohydrates. *Carbohydr Res*. 2013; 378:123–132. [PubMed: 23522728]
5. McNaught A. Nomenclature of Carbohydrates. *Pure & Appl Chem*. 1996; 68:1919–2008.
6. Wormald M, Petrescu A, Pao Y, Glithero A, Elliott T, Dwek R. Conformational studies of oligosaccharides and glycopeptides: complementarity of NMR, X-ray crystallography, and molecular modeling. *Chem Rev*. 2002; 102:371–386. [PubMed: 11841247]
7. Gonzalez-Outeirino J, Kirschner KN, Thobhani S, Woods RJ. Reconciling solvent effects on rotamer populations in carbohydrates – a joint MD and NMR analysis. *Can J Chem*. 2006; 84:569–579. [PubMed: 25544777]
8. Kurihara Y, Ueda K. An investigation of the pyranose ring interconversion path of alpha-L-idose calculated using density functional theory. *Carbohydr Res*. 2006; 341:2565–2574. [PubMed: 16920091]
9. Momany F, Appell M, Willett JL, Schnupf U, Bosma W. DFT study of alpha- and beta-D-galactopyranose at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory. *Carbohydr Res*. 2006; 341:525–537. [PubMed: 16414033]
10. Mason PE, Neilson GW, Enderby JE, Saboungi ML, Cuellar G, Brady JW. Neutron diffraction and simulation studies of the exocyclic hydroxymethyl conformation of glucose. *J Chem Phys*. 2006; 125:224505–224509. [PubMed: 17176147]
11. Suzuki T, Kawashima H, Sota T. Conformational properties of and a reorientation triggered by sugar-water vibrational resonance in the hydroxymethyl group in hydrated β -glucopyranose. *J Phys Chem B*. 2006; 110:2405–2418. [PubMed: 16471832]

12. Miura N, Taniguchi T, Monde K, Nishimura S. A theoretical study of α - and β -D- glucopyranose conformations by the density functional theory. *Chem Phys Lett.* 2006; 419:326–332.
13. Schnupf U, Willett JL, Bosma W, Momany F. DFT study of α - and β -D-allopyranose at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory. *Carbohydr Res.* 2007; 342:196–216. [PubMed: 17204259]
14. Biarnes X, Ardevol A, Planas A, Rovira C, Laio A, Parrinello M. The conformational free energy landscape of β -D-glucopyranose. Implications for substrate preactivation in β -glucoside hydrolases. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:10686–10693. [PubMed: 17696342]
15. Kräutler V, Müller M, Hünenberger PH. Conformation, dynamics, solvation and relative stabilities of selected β -hexopyranoses in water: a molecular dynamics study with the GROMOS 45A4 force field. *Carbohydr Res.* 2007; 342:2097–2124. [PubMed: 17573054]
16. Barnett CB, Naidoo KJ. Stereoelectronic and solvation effects determine hydroxymethyl conformational preferences in monosaccharides. *J Phys Chem B.* 2008; 112:15450–15459. [PubMed: 18989909]
17. Roslund MU, Tahtinen P, Niemitz M, Sjöholm R. Complete assignments of the ^1H and ^{13}C chemical shifts and $J_{\text{H,H}}$ coupling constants in NMR spectra of D-glucopyranose and all D-glucopyranosyl-D-glucopyranosides. *Carbohydr Res.* 2008; 343:101–112. [PubMed: 17980865]
18. Suzuki T. The hydration of glucose: the local configuration in sugar-water hydrogen bonds. *Phys Chem Chem Phys.* 2008; 10:96–105. [PubMed: 18075687]
19. Guvench O, Greene S, Kamath G, Brady J, Venable R, Pastor R, Mackerell A. Additive empirical force field for hexopyranose monosaccharides. *J Comput Chem.* 2008; 29:2543–2564. [PubMed: 18470966]
20. Bosma W, Schnupf U, Willett JL, Momany F. Density functional study of the infrared spectrum of glucose and glucose monohydrates in the OH stretch region. *J Mol Struct (Theochem).* 2009; 905:59–69.
21. Spiwok V, Kralova B, Tvaroška I. Modelling of β -D-glucopyranose ring distortion in different force fields: a metadynamics study. *Carbohydr Res.* 2010; 345:530–511. [PubMed: 20053394]
22. Autieri E, Sega M, Pederiva F, Guella G. Puckering free energy of pyranoses: a NMR and metadynamics-umbrella sampling investigation. *J Chem Phys.* 2010; 133:095104. [PubMed: 20831339]
23. Barnett C, Naidoo K. Ring puckering: A metric for evaluating the accuracy of AM1, PM3, PM3CARB-1, and SCC-DFTB carbohydrate QM/MM simulations. *J Phys Chem B.* 2010; 114:17142–17154. [PubMed: 21138284]
24. Mayes H, Broadbelt L, Beckham G. How sugars pucker: electronic structure calculations map the kinetic landscape of five biologically paramount monosaccharides and their implications for enzymatic catalysis. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:1008–1022. [PubMed: 24368073]
25. Silla J, Cormanich R, Rittner R, Freitas M. Does intramolecular hydrogen bond play a key role in the stereochemistry of α - and β -D-glucose? *Carbohydr Res.* 2014; 396:9–13. [PubMed: 25079595]
26. Plazinski W, Drach M. Kinetic characteristics of conformational changes in the hexopyranose rings. *Carb Res.* 2015; 416:41–50.
27. Uddin N, Ghosh M, Choi T, Choi C. Gauche effects of glucopyranose by QM/MM-MD simulations. *Theor Chem Acc.* 2015; 134:122.
28. Schnupf U, Willett JL, Momany FA. DFTMD studies of glucose and epimers: anomeric ratios, rotamer populations, and hydration energies. -511. *Carb Res.* 2010; 345:503.
29. Appell M, Strati G, Willett JL, Momany FA. B3LYP/6-311++G** study of α - and β -D-glucopyranose and 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol: $^4\text{C}_1$ and $^1\text{C}_4$ chairs, $^3\text{O}_B$ and $\text{B}_{3,0}$ boats, and skew. *Carbohydr Res.* 2004; 339:537–551. [PubMed: 15013391]
30. Barrows S, Storer J, Cramer C, French A, Truhlar DG. Factors controlling relative stability of anomers and hydroxymethyl conformers of glucopyranose. *J Comput Chem.* 1998; 19:1111–1129.
31. Polavarapu P, Ewig C. Ab initio computed molecular structures and energies of the conformers of glucose. *J Comput Chem.* 1992; 13:1255–1261.
32. Patel D, He X, MacKerell A. Polarizable empirical force field for hexopyranose monosaccharides based on the classical drude oscillator. *J Phys Chem.* 2015; 119:637–652.

33. Csonka G, Éliás K, Csizmadia I. Ab initio and density functional study of the conformational space of $^1\text{C}_4$ α -L-fucose. *J Comput Chem*. 1996; 18:330–342.
34. Csonka G, Éliás K, Kolossváry I, Sosa C, Csizmadia I. Theoretical study of alternative ring forms of α -L-fucopyranose. *J Phys Chem A*. 1998; 102:1219–1229.
35. Nyerges B, Kovács A. Density functional study of the conformational space of $^4\text{C}_1$ D-glucuronic acid. *J Phys Chem A*. 2005; 109:892–897. [PubMed: 16838961]
36. Remko M, von der Lieth C. Gas-phase and solution conformations of the α -L-iduronic acid structural unit of heparin. *J Chem Inf Model*. 2006; 46:1194–1200. [PubMed: 16711739]
37. Sattelle B, Hansen S, Gardiner J, Almond A. Free energy landscapes of iduronic acid and related monosaccharides. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:13132–13134. [PubMed: 20809637]
38. Ochsenbein P, Bonin M, Schenk-Job K, El-Hajji M. The $^2\text{S}_0$ skew-boat conformation in L-iduronic acid. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2011; 50:11637–11639.
39. Oborsky P, Tvaroška I, Kralova B, Spiwok V. Toward an accurate conformational modeling of iduronic acid. *J Phys Chem B*. 2013; 117:1003–1009. [PubMed: 23286518]
40. Klepach T, Zhao H, Hu X, Zhang W, Stenutz R, Hadad MJ, Carmichael I, Serianni AS. Informing saccharide structural NMR studies with density functional theory calculations. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. 2015; 1273:289–331. [PubMed: 25753718]
41. Turney T, Pan Q, Sernau L, Carmichael I, Wenhui Z, Xiaocong W, Woods R, Serianni AS. O-Acetyl Side-Chains in Monosaccharides: Redundant NMR Spin-Couplings and Statistical Models for Acetate Ester Conformational Analysis. *J Phys Chem B*. 2016 (ASAP).
42. Cramer C. Anomeric and Reverse Anomeric Effects in the Gas Phase and Aqueous Solution. *J Org Chem*. 1992; 57:7034.
43. Molteni C, Parrinello M. Glucose in Aqueous Solution by First Principles Molecular Dynamics. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:2168.
44. Brady J. Molecular-dynamics simulations of alpha-D-glucose in aqueous solution. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1989; 111:5155.
45. Momany FA, Schnupf U. DFT optimization and DFT-MD studies of glucose, ten explicit water molecules enclosed by an implicit solvent, COSMO. *Comput Theor Chem*. 2014; 1029:57–67.
46. Tomasi J, Mennucci B, Cammi R. Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models. *Chem Rev*. 2005; 105:2999–3093. [PubMed: 16092826]
47. Serena Software Box Bloomington, IN 47402–3076; USA.
48. Gajewski J, Gilbert K, McKelvey J. MMX molecular mechanics calculations (enhanced MM2 variant). *Adv Mol Mod*. 1990; 2:65–92.
49. Burkett, U., Allinger, N. Molecular Mechanics. Am Chem Soc; Washington, DC: 1982.
50. Allinger, N. QCPE No 318.
51. Jaguar, version 7.7. Schrodinger, LLC; New York, NY: 2010.
52. Becke A. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *J Chem Phys*. 1989; 98:5648–5652.
53. Zhao Y, Schultz NE, Truhlar DG. Design of density functionals by combining the method of constraint satisfaction with parameterization for thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. *J Chem Theory Comput*. 2006; 2:364–382. [PubMed: 26626525]
54. Dunning T. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. *J Chem Phys*. 1989; 90:1007–1023.
55. Sameera WMC, Pantazis D. A hierarchy of methods for the energetically accurate modeling of isomerism in monosaccharides. *J Chem Theory Comput*. 2012; 8:2630–2645. [PubMed: 26592108]
56. Csonka G, French A, Johnson G, Stortz C. Evaluation of density functionals and basis sets for carbohydrates. *J Chem Theory Comput*. 2009; 5:679–692. [PubMed: 26609572]
57. Zhao Y, Truhlar D. Density functionals with broad applicability in chemistry. *Acc Chem Res*. 2008; 41:157–167. [PubMed: 18186612]
58. Zhao Y, Truhlar D. Assessment of model chemistries for noncovalent interactions. *J Chem Theory Comput*. 2006; 2:1009–1018. [PubMed: 26633060]

59. Zhao Y, Truhlar D. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor Chem Account*. 2008; 120:215–241.
60. Tang SL, Pohl NLB. Automated solution phase synthesis of β -1,4-Mannuronate and β -1,4-Mannan. *Org Lett*. 2015; 17:2642–2645. [PubMed: 25955886]
61. Gaye M, Nagy G, Clemmer DE, Pohl NLB. Multidimensional analysis of 16 glucose isomers by ion mobility spectrometry. *Anal Chem*. 2016; 88:2335–2344. [PubMed: 26799269]
62. Both P, et al. Discrimination of epimeric glycans and glycopeptides using IM-MS and its potential for carbohydrate screening. *Nat Chem*. 2014; 6:65–74. [PubMed: 24345949]
63. Hofmann J, Hahm HS, Seeberger PH, Pagel K. Identification of carbohydrate anomers using ion mobility-mass spectrometry. *Nature*. 2015; 526:241–244. [PubMed: 26416727]

Figure 1.

The ninety-six 6-deoxyhexose, aldohexose, and hexuronic acid structures investigated.

Figure 2.

Changes in ring conformational preference with varying oxidation state at C-6 (sugars not depicted favor the 4C_1 conformation in all oxidation states). Gibbs free energy values (kcal mol⁻¹) are calculated as the difference between the 4C_1 and 1C_4 chair conformations ($(\Delta G)_{FAV} = G_{4C1} - G_{1C4}$).

Figure 3.

Changes in 4C_1 ring anomeric preference with varying oxidation state at C-6 (sugars in which a change in anomeric preference occurs are highlighted in the grey box). Gibbs free energy values (kcal mol⁻¹) are calculated as the difference between the β - and α -anomer ($\Delta(\Delta G)_{FAV} = G_{\beta} - G_{\alpha}$).

Figure 4.

Changes in 1C_4 ring anomeric preference with varying oxidation state at C-6 (sugars in which a change in anomeric preference occurs are highlighted in the grey box). Gibbs free energy values (kcal mol^{-1}) are calculated as the difference between the β - and α -anomer ($\Delta(\Delta G)_{\text{FAV}} = G_{\beta} - G_{\alpha}$).

Relative free energies (kcal mol⁻¹) of the lowest energy rotamers of the 6-deoxyhexose, aldohexose, and hexuronic sugar series in the ⁴C₁ and ¹C₄ chair conformation at the M05-2X/cc-pVTZ(-f) level of theory (*in vacuo*). The lowest energy calculated structure in each of the three series is denoted in red.

Table 1

6-Deoxyhexoses			Aldohexoses			Hexuronic Acids		
Isomer (Conf.)	G		Isomer (Conf.)	G		Isomer (Conf.)	G	
α-dAll (4C1)	0.61		α-All (4C1)	0.19		α-AllA (4C1)	0.60	
β-dAll (4C1)	0.38		β-All (4C1)	0.82		β-AllA (4C1)	3.23	
α-dAll (1C4)	3.84		α-All (1C4)	4.37		α-AllA (1C4)	2.49	
β-dAll (1C4)	4.05		β-All (1C4)	4.64		β-AllA (1C4)	2.58	
α-dAlt (4C1)	2.11		α-Alt (4C1)	1.28		α-AltA (4C1)	0.28	
β-dAlt (4C1)	2.13		β-Alt (4C1)	1.40		β-AltA (4C1)	0.95	
α-dAlt (1C4)	4.44		α-Alt (1C4)	5.23		α-AltA (1C4)	3.51	
β-dAlt (1C4)	3.42		β-Alt (1C4)	3.82		β-AltA (1C4)	2.33	
α-dGal (4C1)	0.56					α-GalA (4C1)	2.38	
β-dGal (4C1)	1.81		β-Gal (4C1)	2.20		β-GalA (4C1)	3.30	
α-dGal (1C4)	6.77		α-Gal (1C4)	7.21		α-GalA (1C4)	4.01	
β-dGal (1C4)	7.39		β-Gal (1C4)	7.11		β-GalA (1C4)	3.94	
			α-Glc (4C1)	0.35				
β-dGlc (4C1)	0.93		β-Glc (4C1)	1.28		β-GlcA (4C1)	2.24	
α-dGlc (1C4)	6.18		α-Glc (1C4)	6.84		α-GlcA (1C4)	3.51	
β-dGlc (1C4)	7.48		β-Glc (1C4)	7.02		β-GlcA (1C4)	4.68	
α-dGul (4C1)	2.66		α-Gul (4C1)	1.63		α-GulA (4C1)	3.14	
β-dGul (4C1)	1.58		β-Gul (4C1)	1.32		β-GulA (4C1)	2.51	
α-dGul (1C4)	4.35		α-Gul (1C4)	5.26		α-GulA (1C4)	3.64	
β-dGul (1C4)	5.27		β-Gul (1C4)	5.06		β-GulA (1C4)	2.47	
α-dIdo (4C1)	3.28		α-Ido (4C1)	1.45		α-IdoA (4C1)	2.97	
β-dIdo (4C1)	2.62		β-Ido (4C1)	1.49		β-IdoA (4C1)	3.71	
α-dIdo (1C4)	4.16		α-Ido (1C4)	4.94		α-IdoA (1C4)	4.13	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

6-Deoxyhexoses			Aldohexoses			Hexuronic Acids		
Isomer (Conf.)	G		Isomer (Conf.)	G		Isomer (Conf.)	G	
β-dIdo (1C4)	3.81		β-Ido (1C4)	3.84		β-IdoA (1C4)	2.33	
α-dMan (4C1)	0.96		α-Man (4C1)	0.75		α-ManA (4C1)	0.21	
β-dMan (4C1)	1.09		β-Man (4C1)	1.11		β-ManA (4C1)	0.02	
α-dMan (1C4)	5.15		α-Man (1C4)	5.93		α-ManA (1C4)	3.37	
β-dMan (1C4)	7.53		β-Man (1C4)	6.91		β-ManA (1C4)	4.59	
α-dTal (4C1)	0.66		α-Tal (4C1)	1.05		α-TalA (4C1)	1.71	
β-dTal (4C1)	1.00		β-Tal (4C1)	0.28		β-TalA (4C1)	2.05	
α-dTal (1C4)	4.46		α-Tal (1C4)	5.80		α-TalA (1C4)	3.57	
β-dTal (1C4)	5.98		β-Tal (1C4)	5.02		β-TalA (1C4)	4.34	

Table 2

Boltzmann distributions of the total ensemble of rotamers for all sugar series at the M05-2X/cc-pVTZ(-f) level of theory (*in vacuo*).

Monosaccharide	$\alpha:\beta$		4C1:1C4	
6-Deoxy-allose	49:51		99.57:0.43	
6-Deoxy-altrose	40:60		96.75:3.24	
6-Deoxy-galactose	92:8		99.99:0.01	
6-Deoxy-glucose	84:16		100:0	
6-Deoxy-gulose	41:59		99.19:0.81	
6-Deoxy-idose	23:77		95.3:4.7	
6-Deoxy-mannose	63:37		99.96:0.04	
6-Deoxy-talose	49:51		99.95:0.04	
Allose	83:17		99.90:0.11	
Altrose	67:33		98.84:1.16	
Galactose	90:10		100:0	
Glucose	86:14		99.99:0.01	
Gulose	33:66		99.64:0.36	
Idose	71:29		97.83:2.17	
Mannose	60:40		99.97:0.03	
Talose	39:61		99.97:0.03	
Alluronic Acid	94:6		91.86:8.13	
Alturonic Acid	73:27		96.18:3.82	
Galacturonic Acid	90:10		93.74:6.26	
Glucuronic Acid	99:1		99.39:0.61	
Guluronic Acid	48:52		48.3:51.7	
Iduronic Acid	16:84		26.78:73.22	
Mannuronic Acid	46:54		99.7:0.3	
Taluronic Acid	49:51		97.36:2.64	