Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2017 July ; 16(7): 487–511. doi:10.1038/nrd.2017.22.

Pharmacological modulation of autophagy: therapeutic potential and persisting obstacles

Lorenzo Galluzzi^{1,2,*}, José Manuel Bravo-San Pedro^{2,3,4,5,6,*}, Beth Levine^{7,8}, Douglas R. Green⁹, and Guido Kroemer^{2,3,4,5,6,10,11}

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York 10065, USA

²Université Paris Descartes/Paris V, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris 75006, France

³Université Pierre et Marie Curie/Paris VI, Paris 75006, France

⁴Equipe 11 labellisée Ligue contre le Cancer, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Paris 75006, France

⁵INSERM, U1138, Paris 75006, France

⁶Metabolomics and Cell Biology Platforms, Gustave Roussy Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Villejuif 94805, France

⁷Center for Autophagy Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390, USA

⁸Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Dallas, Texas 75390, USA

⁹St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee 38105, USA

¹⁰Karolinska Institute, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm 17176, Sweden

¹¹Pôle de Biologie, Hôpital Européen George Pompidou (AP-HP), Paris 75015, France

Abstract

Autophagy is central to the maintenance of organismal homeostasis in both physiological and pathological situations. Accordingly, alterations in autophagy have been linked to clinically relevant conditions as diverse as cancer, neurodegeneration and cardiac disorders. Throughout the past decade, autophagy has attracted considerable attention as a target for the development of novel therapeutics. However, such efforts have not yet generated clinically viable interventions. In this Review, we discuss the therapeutic potential of autophagy modulators, analyse the obstacles that have limited their development and propose strategies that may unlock the full therapeutic potential of autophagy modulation in the clinic.

Correspondence to L.G. deadoc@vodafone.it.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Autophagy is a highly conserved mechanism through which eukaryotic cells deliver dispensable or potentially dangerous cytoplasmic material to lysosomes for degradation¹. Thus far, three major routes for the delivery of autophagic substrates to lysosomes have been characterized: microautophagy, chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) and macroautophagy.

Microautophagy relies on the direct uptake of cytoplasmic material through an invagination of the lysosomal membrane². CMA involves the lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2 (LAMP2)-dependent translocation of autophagic substrates bound to cytosolic chaperones of the heat shock protein family across the lysosomal membrane³. Macroautophagy involves specialized double-membraned vesicles known as autophagosomes, which progressively sequester autophagic cargo and — upon closure — deliver the cargo to lysosomes by membrane fusion¹. The organelle that forms upon the fusion of one autophagosome and one lysosome is generally referred to as an autolysosome¹. Macroautophagy is by far the best-characterized form of autophagy. For this reason, the word autophagy is used throughout this article to refer to macroautophagy, unless otherwise specified.

Autophagy is fundamental to the preservation of organismal fitness, for multiple reasons. Constitutive autophagic responses efficiently degrade products of normal cellular metabolism that can become cytotoxic upon accumulation, such as damaged mitochondria and redox-active protein aggregates⁴. Inducible autophagic responses promote the survival of cells that respond to perturbations of intracellular or extracellular homeostasis⁵. Autophagy is indeed central to adaptation to stress, as demonstrated by the fact that pharmacological or genetic inhibition of autophagy usually precipitates the demise of cells facing infections and nutritional, metabolic, physical and chemical challenges⁶. Furthermore, autophagy is intimately connected with cell-extrinsic circuitries that operate to maintain homeostasis and support healthy ageing at the whole-body level. For instance, autophagic responses in the liver, skeletal muscle and other tissues underlie the beneficial effects of physical exercise on whole-body glucose metabolism⁷. Along similar lines, autophagy induction in malignant cells that succumb to some chemotherapies and radiotherapies results in the emission of danger signals and, ultimately, the initiation of a therapeutically relevant anticancer immune response⁸. Autophagy can also mediate cytotoxic effects, at least in specific pathophysiological settings⁹, although the term *autophagic cell death* should be used with extreme caution (BOX 1). Moreover, components of the autophagic apparatus have recently been shown to participate in processes other than the degradation of cytoplasmic material. These processes include: LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP)¹⁰ (BOX 2), migration (mainly as a result of focal adhesion turnover)¹¹ and unconventional secretion, which is a mechanism by which cytoplasmic entities (including soluble proteins, organellar material and pathogens) are exported from the cell in a manner that does not depend on the conventional secretory route that operates between the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus¹².

The detailed description of the molecular machinery that underlies constitutive and inducible autophagic responses is beyond the scope of this Review (BOX 2). However, it should be noted that the biochemical reactions that enable the generation of autophagosomes, the

recognition of autophagic substrates, their sequestration and the delivery of autophagic cargo to lysosomes for degradation involve at least 100 different proteins¹. Thus, they provide multiple targets for the activation or inhibition of autophagy (FIG. 1). Although alterations in autophagy have been implicated in the aetiology of neurodegeneration, acute neuronal injury, ageing, cardiovascular conditions, hepatic and metabolic disorders, cancer, infectious diseases, inflammatory and autoimmune conditions, and other pathological conditions (as discussed below), no intervention aimed specifically at modulating autophagy is currently available for use in humans (TABLE 1). Indeed, although rapamycin (also known as sirolimus), chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and other drugs that are approved for some indications stimulate or inhibit autophagy, they were not developed for this purpose. Thus, there is considerable, but still unrealized, potential for translating preclinical findings on autophagy modulation into therapeutic benefit for different patient populations¹³. Notably, the key role of autophagy in cell biology and its considerable therapeutic potential recently received one of the most important forms of recognition from the scientific community as the Japanese cell biologist Yoshinori Ohsumi was awarded the 2016 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for his discoveries on the mechanisms of autophagy.

Here, we discuss recent progress on the therapeutic potential of pharmacological and nutritional modulators of autophagy, dissect the obstacles that have limited the development of these interventions thus far, and propose strategies by which such hurdles may be circumvented in the near future to obtain clinically relevant interventions for a variety of human disorders.

Autophagy as a therapeutic target

Whole-body knockout studies in mice have demonstrated that specific components of the autophagic machinery are required for embryonic development or are critical for animals to survive birth and reach adulthood^{14–16}. Three main approaches have been pursued as alternatives to the use of whole-body knockout mice to study the role of autophagy in physiology and disease: the generation of animals with partial autophagic defects at the whole-body level (such as *Becn1*^{+/-} mice); the engineering of tissue-specific or inducible knockout models; and the restoration of autophagic activity in key organs (such as the central nervous system (CNS)) in animals with whole-body autophagic defects¹⁷. Results obtained with these models have implicated alterations of autophagy or autophagy-associated processes in a wide range of clinically relevant disorders (as discussed below), which supports the possibility that pharmacological modulators (activators or inhibitors) of autophagy may be beneficial for large patient populations.

Neurodegeneration

The deletion of autophagy-related 5 (*Atg5*) or *Atg7* in the mouse CNS during embryonic development results in the delivery of viable pups that survive birth, but develop progressive motor and behavioural deficits starting at 3 weeks of age^{18,19}. The cortex and cerebellum of these animals exhibit swelling, markers of regulated cell death (RCD) and ubiquitin-containing inclusions^{18,19}, which are pathological hallmarks of various neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer disease (AD), Parkinson disease (PD),

dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), Huntington disease (HD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and Lafora disease²⁰. Autophagy robustly protects neurons from RCD by preventing the accumulation of cytotoxic protein aggregates and by preserving metabolic homeostasis²¹. In line with this idea, markers of impaired autophagy — such as activation of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), autophagosome accumulation and limited degradation of sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1; also known as p62) — have been detected in samples from patients with multiple forms of neurodegeneration²². Moreover, many of the genes that are mutated in familial variants of PD — including parkin RBR E3 ubiquitin protein ligase (*PARK2*), Parkinsonism-associated deglycase (*PARK7*), PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (*PINK1*) and leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) — are involved in autophagy or aggrephagy^{23,24}. Furthermore, AD-associated variants of presenilin 1 (PSEN1) block autophagy as a result of impaired lysosomal acidification²⁵; mutations in *SQSTM1*, optineurin (*OPTN*, which encodes another autophagic adaptor) and TANK-binding kinase 1 (*TBK1*, which encodes a regulator of both p62 and OPTN) are common among individuals with familial and sporadic ALS^{26–28}, and both laforin glucan phosphatase (*EPM2A*) and NHL repeat-containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 (*NHLRC1*), which are mutated in individuals with Lafora disease, also seem to promote autophagy²⁹. Finally, mutations in WD repeat domain 45 (*WDR45*) — which encodes an ATG9 interactor of the WD repeat domain phosphoinositide-interacting (WIPI) family — have been shown to be involved in the pathogenesis of static encephalopathy of childhood with neurodegeneration in adulthood, which is a rare neurological disorder³⁰. Interventions that promote autophagy or autophagy-associated processes have been shown to mediate beneficial effects in animal models of neurodegeneration.

Alzheimer disease—Administration of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin — which potently activates autophagy — ameliorates cognitive deficits and alleviates the accumulation of β -amyloid in mice expressing mutant amyloid- β precursor protein (APP)³¹ as well as in 3xTg-AD mice (which bear three distinct genetic alterations that are associated with AD in humans)³². Along similar lines, resveratrol, which is a natural polyphenol that promotes autophagy by operating as a caloric restriction mimetic (CRM), decreased β -amyloid overload in mice expressing a chimeric variant of mutant APP and AD-associated human PSEN1. This effect was ascribed to the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent inhibition of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1)³³ (BOX 2). Of note, behavioural alterations that develop in mice engineered to express one or several APP mutations that are linked to AD in humans could also be ameliorated by the concomitant deletion of genes that encode endogenous inhibitors of lysosomal proteases, such as cystatin B (*Cstb*) or cystatin C (*Cst3*)^{34,35}.

Parkinsonism—The intracerebral injection of a lentiviral vector encoding ATG7 or BECN1 decreases neuronal inclusions in synuclein- α (SNCA)-expressing mice (a model of PD and DLB), and this has been associated with reduced neurodegeneration^{22,36}. Along similar lines, the intracerebral administration of an adenoviral vector encoding BECN1 or transcription factor EB (TFEB) — which is a master transcriptional regulator of autophagy and lysosomal functions — to rats expressing SNCA in the brain limited the accumulation

of SNCA aggregates within dopaminergic neurons and prevented behavioural impairment³⁷. Comparable results have been obtained by activating autophagy with systemic or intracerebral administration of rapamycin, trehalose or valproate in several mouse models of PD and DLB, including SNCA-expressing mice²², mice expressing mutant *Park2* (REF. 38), *Park2*^{-/-} mice expressing human microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT)³⁹ as well as mice or rats that develop parkinsonism upon administration of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)^{40–42}, 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)^{43,44} or lactacystin⁴⁵. Rapamycin also alleviated neurotoxicity in *Drosophila melanogaster* with mutations in *parkin* (*park*; the fly orthologue of human *PARK2*) or *Pink1* (REF. 46) as well as in flies treated with the dopaminergic toxin paraquat⁴⁷. Of note, defects in late-stage autophagy leading to PD-like and DLB-like disorders in mice have also been ascribed to loss of type I interferon signalling⁴⁸. Accordingly, intracerebral interferon β 1 (*Ifnb1*) delivery by a lentiviral vector boosted autophagy and limited the loss of dopaminergic neurons in rats expressing human SNCA in the brain⁴⁸. These findings identify cytokine signalling as a potential target for the treatment of PD and DLB through the induction of autophagy. Interestingly, defects in CMA may also be implicated in the development of PD and DLB, as was demonstrated recently in rats⁴⁹. Whether boosting CMA ameliorates the manifestations of disease in animal models of parkinsonism remains to be elucidated.

Huntington disease—In flies and mice expressing HD-associated variants of human huntingtin, rapamycin (and other mTOR inhibitors, including temsirolimus and everolimus) alone or combined with lithium exerts considerable neuroprotective effects, as has been determined histologically and in behavioural tests^{50,51}. However, the adenovirus-mediated intracerebral delivery of either of two mTORC1 activators — namely, RASD family member 2 (RASD2; also known as RHES) and RAS homologue enriched in brain (RHEB) — in a constitutively active form alleviated metabolic, histological and behavioural manifestations of the disease in HD-prone mice⁵². mTORC1 activation by RHEB was paradoxically associated with an increase in multiple biomarkers of autophagy⁵². Although this was not formally investigated, RHEB and/or RHES may be involved in the regulation of autophagy or autophagy-associated processes that are independent or downstream of mTORC1 (TABLE 2).

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis—Activation of autophagy with caloric restriction, trehalose, valproate or lithium delays disease onset, reduces neurological deficits and prolongs survival in mice expressing an ALS-associated variant of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), namely SOD1-G93A^{53–55}. However, rapamycin had detrimental effects on motor neuron degeneration and overall survival in mice expressing SOD1-G93A⁵⁶. Moreover, crossing these mice with *Becn1*^{+/-} mice resulted in a paradoxical increase in lifespan that was accompanied by p62 accumulation and an unexpected interaction between SOD1-G93A and BECN1 (REF. 57). The reasons that underlie these contrasting observations remain to be elucidated. At least theoretically, when lysosomal degradation is congested, limiting the initiation of autophagy may be more beneficial than attempting to boost autophagic responses with interventions that fail to overcome the block in autophagosomal processing (see below). It remains to be determined whether this applies to ALS (TABLE 2).

Acute neuronal injury

Acute challenges to the CNS, including drug and ethanol intoxication, seizures, adult stroke, neonatal asphyxia and traumatic brain injury (TBI), have been associated with biomarkers of ongoing or blocked autophagic responses (see below), which suggests that autophagy modulators might provide therapeutic benefits⁹. However, a clear aetiological link between failing autophagic responses and acute neuronal injury has not yet been confirmed, not only owing to methodological issues but also owing to an inherent heterogeneity in models (see below).

Acute intoxication—Autophagic responses have been documented in the cortex of mice that were exposed to cocaine⁵⁸, but other neuronal populations, including the nucleus accumbens, respond to systemic cocaine with mTORC1 activation (and hence autophagy inhibition)⁵⁹. Depletion of mTOR or regulatory-associated protein of mTOR complex 1 (RPTOR; a key component of mTORC1) from specific neuronal populations reduced locomotor sensitization (one of the symptoms of cocaine administration) in mice⁵⁹, as did rapamycin administration in rats⁶⁰. By contrast, inhibition of autophagy with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting *Atg5* or *Becn1* limited the capacity of cocaine to trigger RCD in mouse primary cortical neurons⁵⁸. Similar results were obtained *in vitro* with chemical inhibitors of autophagy, including 3-methyladenine (3-MA) and wortmannin, which target phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase catalytic subunit type 3 (PIK3C3; also known as VPS34), as well as the lysosomal inhibitor bafilomycin A1 (BafA1)⁵⁸. Thus, some aspects of cocaine neurotoxicity (for example, cocaine-driven RCD) may be aggravated, whereas others (for example, locomotor sensitization) may be alleviated, by efficient autophagic responses. As the pathogenesis of acute cocaine intoxication involves multiple cell-extrinsic components (for example, neuroinflammation), great caution should be taken before extrapolating *in vitro* data to human disease. Likewise, numerous studies suggest that autophagic responses are beneficial in the course of acute brain intoxication with methamphetamine, ethanol or olanzapine (an antipsychotic drug)⁹. However, studies to elucidate the relationship between autophagy or autophagy-associated processes and acute neuronal responses to toxicants *in vivo* are urgently awaited to clarify the potential of modulating autophagy for therapeutic purposes in this setting (TABLE 2).

Seizures—One of the mechanisms by which seizures mediate neurotoxic effects involves the sustained depolarization of postsynaptic terminals and consequent influx of Ca²⁺ ions from the synaptic cleft, which is a process that is commonly known as excitotoxicity⁶¹. Cytosolic Ca²⁺ is a potent activator of autophagy, and several excitotoxic neurotransmitters — including glutamate, *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) and kainic acid — induce the expression of autophagy biomarkers in the rodent brain, possibly as a compensatory response to damage^{62,63}. The depletion of BECN1 by siRNAs as well as pharmacological inhibitors of autophagy aggravated (whereas rapamycin and trehalose limited) the demise of primary rodent neurons and multiple neuronal cell lines of human origin that were exposed to glutamate, NMDA or kainic acid *in vitro*^{64,65}, which suggests that autophagy supports neuronal viability in the course of excitotoxic challenges. Further corroborating this idea, mutations in three different genes encoding endogenous inhibitors of mTORC1 — namely, tuberous sclerosis 1 (*TSC1*), *TSC2* and phosphatase and tensin homologue (*PTEN*) — are

associated with an increased predisposition to epilepsy in humans⁶⁶. Accordingly, mice lacking *Tsc1*, *Tsc2*, *Pten* or *Atg7* in neuronal or glial cell populations experienced spontaneous epileptic episodes that were associated with premature death, which is an outcome that could be limited by systemic rapamycin administration^{67–70}. However, mice receiving an siRNA targeting *Atg7* in the hippocampus were more resistant to the neurotoxic effects of kainic acid than mice receiving a control siRNA⁷¹. Moreover, the stable depletion of *Becn1* or *Atg7* expression as well as the administration of 3-MA, LY294002 (another VPS34-targeting agent) or lysosomal inhibitors limited the death of cultured rodent neurons from the striatum, cerebellum and cortex that were challenged with NMDA or kainic acid^{72,73}. Further experiments are required to understand whether autophagy modulators may indeed benefit patients with seizures and to what extent this may involve autophagy-associated processes (TABLE 2).

Adult stroke—Tissues that are served by an occluded artery experience nutrient deprivation and hypoxia, which are two potent activators of autophagy, and this is potentially followed by excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (at reperfusion), which has also been associated with autophagy activation⁹. Consistent with this, biomarkers of autophagy activation have been detected in the brain of adult rodents experiencing stroke⁹. The intracerebral delivery of a lentiviral vector encoding a *Tsc1*-targeting short hairpin RNA (shRNA) aggravated neuronal loss in rats experiencing permanent middle carotid artery occlusion (pMCAO), which supports the notion that autophagy mediates neuroprotective effects in the course of a stroke⁷⁴. Similarly, inhibition of autophagy through deletion of *Prkaa2* (which encodes AMP-activated, $\alpha 2$ catalytic subunit) or sirtuin 1 (*Sirt1*), or by downregulation of ATG7 or TSC1, aggravated the cytotoxicity of oxygen glucose deprivation (OGD) in primary mouse⁷⁵ or rat^{74,76} cortical neurons. In addition, autophagy activation (with rapamycin) or inhibition (with 3-MA, BafA1 or AMPK inhibitors) improved or worsened, respectively, disease outcome in rodents that were experiencing transient middle carotid artery occlusion (tMCAO) or pMCAO^{76,77}, as well as neuroprotection in multiple models of ischaemic preconditioning *in vivo*^{78–82}. Stable downregulation of *Becn1* in the rat brain by stereotactic injection of a lentiviral vector limited the neurotoxic effects of tMCAO⁸³. Moreover, both 3-MA and BafA1 limited infarct size in various rodent models of tMCAO^{84,85}, pMCAO^{86,87} or four-vessel occlusion⁸⁸, and multiple molecules that protect neurons in adult rodents experiencing stroke (for example, melatonin and edavarone) also seem to inhibit autophagy^{73,89}, possibly through their antioxidant effects. Thus, although autophagy seemingly participates in the pathophysiology of stroke in adults, whether therapeutic interventions should aim to activate or inhibit autophagy remains to be clarified. Although not yet demonstrated, the time at which autophagy modulators are administered to a patient experiencing stroke (for example, before versus after reperfusion) may considerably influence their therapeutic effects (TABLE 2).

Neonatal asphyxia—Although it has been suggested that autophagy would be activated as an adaptive response to neonatal asphyxia⁹⁰, accumulating evidence indicates that autophagy contributes mechanistically to neuronal loss in the course of neonatal asphyxia⁹. Newborn rats that received a *Becn1*-specific shRNA intracerebrally displayed twice the amount of intact striatal tissue 24 hours after ischaemia compared with their control

counterparts (that received a non-targeting shRNA)⁷². In addition, the neuron-specific knockout of *Atg7* in mice provided a high degree of long-term protection from neonatal asphyxia to hippocampal cornu ammonis regions⁹¹. Similarly, the hippocampus, thalamus, cortex and striatum of newborn mice lacking *Atg7* in neurons were considerably protected from RCD following hypoxia–ischaemia, which corresponds to a global decrease in tissue loss of approximately 40%⁹². Finally, newborn rats treated with intracerebroventricular 3-MA up to 4 hours after ischaemia exhibited considerably smaller lesions than did control animals⁹³, as did newborn rats receiving the <m>cardiac glycoside</m> neriifolin, which is an inhibitor of autosis (BOX 1), immediately after carotid artery occlusion⁹⁴. In summary, inhibiting autophagy is expected to limit the severity of hypoxic–ischaemic encephalopathy in newborn rodents (TABLE 2).

Neurotrauma—Consistent with the hypothesis that autophagy is beneficial, but usually impaired, in the context of neurotrauma, rapamycin and other molecules with autophagy-inducing potential (for example, melatonin and retinoic acid) limit CNS damage, support regeneration and improve the restoration of neuromuscular functions in rodents experiencing spinal cord injury (SCI)⁹⁵ or subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH)^{96–98}. Chemical inhibitors of autophagy, including 3-MA, wortmannin and the antimalarial drug chloroquine (which blocks lysosomal degradation), aggravated neurological damage imposed by SCI or SAH^{96,99}, and abolished the neuroprotective effects of autophagy inducers^{98,100}. Activating autophagy with rapamycin or melatonin also had beneficial effects in rodents experiencing several forms of TBI, including closed-head injury¹⁰¹, weight-drop damage¹⁰² and hemicerebellectomy¹⁰³, even when the drug was administered after traumatic injury. In this latter model, neuroprotection by rapamycin was lost in *Becn1*^{+/-} mice, which were a priori more sensitive to hemicerebellectomy-induced damage¹⁰³. Of note, melatonin has been suggested to inhibit autophagy in other settings⁷³. Moreover, post-injury chloroquine administration limited neuronal damage and improved neurological recovery in rats that were subjected to controlled cortical impact¹⁰⁴, as did 3-MA and BafA1 administered as a prophylactic intervention in a mouse weight-drop model¹⁰⁵. Thus, autophagy activators may be beneficial for patients experiencing SCI or SAH, even if administered in a therapeutic (as opposed to prophylactic) setting. It remains to be clarified whether the same holds true for other forms of neurotrauma, including TBI (TABLE 2).

Cardiovascular conditions

The efficient disposal of various autophagic substrates seems to be crucial for the maintenance of cardiovascular homeostasis, in both physiological and pathological conditions¹⁰⁶. For example, ageing *Lamp2*^{-/-} mice, which display defective CMA, develop a disorder that is characterized by cardioskeletal myopathy similar to that associated with Danon disease, and patients with this condition exhibit LAMP2 defects^{107,108}. Similarly, the temporally controlled deletion of *Atg5* from mouse cardiomyocytes promoted cardiac hypertrophy¹⁰⁹, and the hearts of *Park2*^{-/-} mice, which are mitophagy-incompetent, failed to benefit from cardiac ischaemic preconditioning *ex vivo*¹¹⁰. In addition, the conditional knockout of *Atg5* in macrophages of *Ldlr*^{-/-} mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) — which are prone to accumulate atherosclerotic plaques — aggravated arterial lesions (by facilitating apoptotic and necrotic RCD, and by worsening <m>efferocytosis</m>¹¹¹). Furthermore, the

cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of *Dnase2a* (which encodes a lysosomal nuclease that is involved in the autophagic degradation of mitochondrial DNA) sensitized mice to pressure overload-driven hypertrophy that was accompanied by a robust inflammatory response¹¹². Finally, the *Becn1*^{+/-} genotype aggravated the disease progression in mice overexpressing mutant crystallin α B (CRYAB) in the heart (which is a model of desmin-related cardiomyopathy)¹¹³. Conversely, the cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of ATG7 reduced biochemical and functional biomarkers of the disease in this model, as did physical exercise (which is an established activator of autophagy)¹¹⁴. Consistent with this, autophagy activators — including (but not limited to) caloric restriction, physical exercise, rapamycin, spermidine and a peptide derived from the BECN1 region that interacts with the HIV-1 protein Nef (whose mechanism of action has not been characterized yet) — had beneficial effects in models of myocardial ischaemia reperfusion^{115–117}, pressure overload-driven hypertrophy or heart failure^{118–120}, and cardiac senescence¹²¹. Notably, the devices that are currently used in the clinic for coronary angioplasty generally deliver rapamycin¹²², although the underlying rationale resides in the antiproliferative activity of this drug¹²³. Moreover, endurance exercise may preserve cardiovascular and metabolic fitness by activating autophagy in multiple organs⁷. Interestingly, the *Becn1*^{+/-} genotype was associated with some extent of cardioprotection in the setting of myocardial ischaemia reperfusion, pressure overload-driven hypertrophy and diabetic cardiomyopathy, which the authors ascribed to reduced maladaptive autophagy^{124–126}. Data obtained in mice subjected to the inducible cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of *Atg5*, however, argue against these findings¹⁰⁹, which highlights the potential bias introduced by the organismal adaptation to life-long gene knockout (see below).

In summary, activation of autophagy seems to be a main goal not only for the preservation of cardiovascular fitness but also for the management of multiple cardiovascular disorders (TABLE 2).

Hepatic and metabolic disorders

Several reports suggest that the cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic functions of autophagy in the liver and pancreas are crucial not only for the maintenance of organ homeostasis but also for the regulation of neuroendocrine circuitries that control systemic metabolism¹²⁷. Thus, acutely activating autophagy with caloric restriction, physical exercise, rapamycin, CRMs (that is, metformin or resveratrol), AMPK-targeting agents or hydrogen sulfide limits non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and/or improves various metabolic parameters (including body weight, circulating glucose or triglyceride levels, and insulin sensitivity) in 24-month-old rats¹²⁸, rodents that had been fed a HFD^{129–133}, mice acutely or chronically exposed to ethanol¹³⁴ as well as in *db/db* mice or *ob/ob* mice (which are two genetic models of hyperphagia and thus metabolic syndrome)^{130,135,136}. Moreover, activation of autophagy with carbamazepine considerably reduced hepatic fibrosis in a model of α 1-antitrypsin deficiency-associated liver disease¹³⁷. However, rapamycin administered according to specific schedules also causes insulin resistance as it inhibits mTORC2 (REFS 138,139). This suggests that adequate administration schedules or alternative autophagy activators are preferable for the treatment of metabolic disorders that are linked to type 2 diabetes.

An *Atg7*-targeting shRNA delivered by an adenoviral vector, an *Atg7*-targeting siRNA that was injected intravenously, the whole-body knockout of forkhead box O3 (*Foxo3*, which encodes a transcription factor that supports autophagic responses), or the conditional co-deletion of *Prkab1* and *Prkab2* (which encode the AMPK subunit $\beta 1$ and the AMPK subunit $\beta 2$, respectively) in adipocytes aggravated hepatic damage and metabolic dysregulation in HFD-fed mice¹⁴⁰, *ob/ob* mice¹⁴¹ and mouse models of ethanol intoxication^{142,143}, which further corroborates the beneficial role of autophagic responses in hepatic and metabolic conditions. The hepatocyte-specific deletion of serine/threonine kinase 11 (*Stk11*, which encodes the main AMPK activator and is also known as *Lkb1*) compromised glucose homeostasis in mice and rendered them insensitive to metformin¹⁴⁴. Furthermore, mice expressing a non-phosphorylatable mutant BCL-2, apoptosis regulator (BCL-2) — a model in which inducible autophagy is selectively blocked — were unable to obtain metabolic benefits from physical exercise⁷, whereas *ob/ob* mice specifically lacking *Atg7* in the myeloid compartment were more susceptible to obesity-induced diabetes than their autophagy-proficient littermates¹⁴⁵. These findings are intriguing, as they suggest that systemic metabolic homeostasis may (at least partially) rely on efficient autophagic responses in compartments other than the liver, pancreas and adipose tissue. Interestingly, acute caloric restriction, rapamycin and resveratrol also attenuated multiple manifestations of the diabetic syndrome induced by streptozotocin — including nephropathy — in mice and rats^{146–148}. In addition, *Atg7* was required for pancreatic β -cells to develop normally and to ensure physiological glucose control¹⁴⁹. However, autophagic responses within pancreatic β -cells seem to contribute to the physiological inhibition of insulin release by fasting¹⁵⁰. It remains to be elucidated whether autophagy inhibitors may support insulin secretion and systemic glucose control in patients with type 1 diabetes (which is characterized by a primary defect in insulin secretion). Finally, the deletion of *Atg5* or *Atg12* in UCP1⁺ adipocytes prevented the beige-to-white fat transition in mice, hence limiting HFD-driven obesity and glucose intolerance¹⁵¹. This inhibition of beige-to-white fat transition suggests that — although autophagy seems to globally support metabolic fitness — the development, survival or functions of some detrimental cells (such as white adipocytes) may also rely on efficient autophagic responses.

Taken together, these observations suggest that systemic autophagy activators may mediate therapeutic activity in patients with a variety of metabolic disorders, although the inhibition of autophagic responses in specific cell compartments might amplify such a beneficial effect (TABLE 2).

Cancer

Autophagic responses contribute to preservation of homeostasis and adaptation to stress in both normal and malignant cells¹⁵². Thus, autophagy has been shown to inhibit malignant transformation in a variety of models and by a multitude of mechanisms¹⁵². Accordingly, *Becn1*^{+/-} mice were more prone to developing spontaneous malignancies as they aged than were their wild-type littermates^{15,16}. Mice with a systemic mosaic deletion of *Atg5* or the liver-specific knockout of *Atg7* spontaneously accumulated benign liver adenomas¹⁵³, and the local deletion of *Atg5* or *Atg7* markedly accelerated the onset of KRAS-G12D-driven or BRAF-V600E-driven pancreatic or pulmonary adenomas in

mice^{154–156}. In addition, multiple <m>oncosuppressor genes</m> — including tumour protein 53 (*TP53*) and *PTEN* — support autophagic responses, whereas several <m>proto-oncogenes</m> — such as *BCL2*, AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 (*AKT1*) and epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) — inhibit them^{157–160}. However, autophagy also promotes <m>tumour progression</m> and resistance to treatment, at least at the cancer cell-intrinsic level, through a multitude of mechanisms¹⁵². Thus, the conversion of early KRAS-G12D-driven or BRAF-V600E-driven pancreatic or pulmonary adenomas into advanced, invasive adenocarcinomas was attenuated in the context of local *Atg5* or *Atg7* deletion^{154–156}. Along similar lines, the stable depletion of ATG5, ATG7 or BECN1 with shRNA-coding constructs limited the growth of multiple human cancer cells that were subcutaneously or orthotopically xenografted into athymic immunodeficient mice¹⁶¹. Furthermore, human cancer cells that were implanted in immunodeficient hosts were more sensitive to chemotherapy or radiotherapy in the presence of pharmacological inhibitors of autophagy, including 3-MA, wortmannin, chloroquine and HCQ (which is another antimalarial agent)¹⁶¹. Taken together, these findings suggested that autophagy inhibitors would be useful agents for the clinical management of cancer, either as a standalone intervention or as a means to sensitize malignant cells to therapy¹⁶².

Recent clinical trials testing chloroquine or HCQ (alone or combined with chemotherapy or radiotherapy) in patients with lymphoma, melanoma, glioblastoma and other solid neoplasms established the safety of this approach¹⁶¹, which fostered the initiation of additional phase II and phase III clinical studies in Europe and the United States (ClinicalTrials.gov). However, none of these clinical trials has formally confirmed the hypothesis that inhibiting autophagy in cancer cells provides therapeutic benefits to patients with cancer¹⁶¹. Moreover, preclinical findings indicate that intact autophagic responses in malignant cells are required for appropriate danger signalling (and hence for the initiation of therapeutically relevant anticancer immune responses) in tumours established in syngeneic immunocompetent hosts and treated with immunogenic chemotherapy or radiotherapy^{8,163}. Consistent with this, caloric restriction and various CRMs enhanced (rather than limited) the therapeutic efficacy of mitoxantrone, oxaliplatin and radiotherapy in the same tumour models^{161,163,164}. Furthermore, biomarkers of autophagic responses in malignant cells were associated with intensified <m>immunosurveillance</m> and improved disease outcome in cohorts of patients with breast carcinoma who were treated with anthracyclines¹⁶⁵. Finally, local as well as systemic inhibition of autophagy could have short-term and long-term detrimental effects in patients with cancer for two reasons. First, autophagy is important for the survival, proliferation and effector functions of immune cell subtypes that are involved in tumour control^{166,167}. Second, at least hypothetically, inhibiting autophagy may increase the risk of healthy tissues to undergo malignant transformation or experience other toxic effects. Thus, the activation of autophagy with safe nutritional measures stands out as a promising approach to improve the clinical efficacy of anticancer agents that operate (at least in part) by promoting tumour-specific immune responses (TABLE 2).

Infectious diseases

Autophagy is required for the cellular and organismal control of multiple pathogens, including bacterial, viral and eukaryotic parasites (such as fungi).

Bacterial infections—Autophagic responses that are specific for cytoplasmic bacteria (referred to as xenophagy) are a crucial component of the innate immune system and have been shown to restrict the growth of bacterial pathogens, including *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium¹⁶⁸, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*^{169,170}, *Listeria monocytogenes*¹⁷¹ and group A *Streptococcus* spp.¹⁷². Accordingly, many bacteria have evolved strategies to inhibit autophagic responses in the host. These include (but are not limited to) the production of cAMP-elevating toxins (*Vibrio cholera* and *Bacillus anthracis*)¹⁷³, the normalization of otherwise dwindling amino acid levels at the surface of bacterium-containing vacuoles (*S. Typhimurium*)¹⁷⁴, the deconjugation of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 β (*MAP1LC3B*; also known as LC3) (*Legionella pneumophila*)¹⁷⁵, the inactivation of GTPases that are required for normal vesicular trafficking (*Shigella flexneri* and pathogenic *Escherichia coli*)¹⁷⁶ and the escape from autophagic recognition (*L. monocytogenes*)¹⁷⁷. Activation of autophagy through starvation or treatment with rapamycin, a BECN1-derived peptide or other agents restricted bacterial growth and improved cellular or organismal resistance to infection caused by *M. tuberculosis* (in *D. melanogaster* and mouse macrophages)^{169,178}, *S. enterica* (in human cancer cell lines)¹⁷⁹, or pathogenic *E. coli* (in mice and human cancer cell lines)^{180,181}. Moreover, autophagic responses to carbon monoxide protected mice from sepsis induced by cecal ligation and puncture¹⁸². Of note, LAP (BOX 2) is also involved in the control of intracellular bacteria, including *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *L. monocytogenes*, by monocytes^{10,183,184}.

Interestingly, the ability of mice to control *M. tuberculosis* infection was partially abrogated by the *Park2*^{-/-} genotype (which imposes a selective defect on mitophagy)¹⁸⁵ as well as by the conditional deletion of *Atg5* (but not other autophagy-related genes) from monocytes and neutrophils, possibly as a consequence of exacerbated lung inflammation¹⁸⁶. Thus, caution should be taken when extrapolating data obtained from single-gene knockouts to entire cellular processes (see below). Nevertheless, activators of autophagy, mitophagy and LAP may constitute promising tools for the clinical management of some bacterial infections, whereas molecules with unsuspected autophagy-inhibitory functions may be detrimental (such as azithromycin for patients with cystic fibrosis)¹⁸⁷. That said, other pathogenic bacteria, including *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* (which causes a tick-borne disease with relatively mild symptoms) and *Coxiella burnetii* (which causes a severe endocarditis), stimulate autophagy in the host to support their own metabolic needs^{188,189}. In this situation, autophagy inhibitors (including HCQ) have been shown to provide some clinical benefits¹⁹⁰ (TABLE 2).

Viral infections—Several viruses are efficiently controlled by autophagic responses in host cells¹⁹¹. The autophagic degradation of viruses, which is commonly referred to as ‘virophagy’, relies on core components of the autophagic machinery, including BECN1 (REF. 192), as well as on proteins that also participate in mitophagy, such as SMAD-specific E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 (SMURF1) and Fanconi anaemia complementation group C (FANCC)^{191,193}. Consistent with an important role of virophagy in the control of viral infections, some viruses evolved virulence factors that actively inhibit autophagy, such as the BECN1 inhibitor ICP34.5 from herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1)¹⁹⁴. Interestingly, *Becn*^{+/-}

and *Fanc^{c-/-}* mice were more susceptible to Sindbis virus infection than their wild-type littermates, and *D. melanogaster* that had received an *Atg18*-targeting shRNA exhibited increased sensitivity to vesicular stomatitis virus infection^{192,193,195}. Further findings corroborate the potential therapeutic value of autophagy activators for the control of viral infections: rapamycin and a BECN1-derived peptide efficiently limited HIV-1 replication in a human lymphoblastoid cell line¹⁹⁶, in primary human monocyte-derived macrophages¹⁹⁷ and in peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) from healthy donors^{196,198} as well as in severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice reconstituted with human PBLs (which is a model for the study of HIV-1 infection *in vivo*)¹⁹⁸. The same BECN1-derived peptide restrained viral replication and improved overall survival in mouse models of West Nile virus and chikungunya virus¹⁹⁷. Specific viruses, such as coxsackievirus B3, however, may have a replication advantage linked to autophagy activation, as was demonstrated in mice with a conditional deletion of *Atg5* in pancreatic acinar cells¹⁹⁹ (TABLE 2).

Eukaryotic parasites—Mice lacking *Atg5* or *Atg7* specifically in the myeloid cell compartment are more susceptible to an intravenous challenge with *Candida albicans* (but not with *Cryptococcus neoformans*) than their wild-type counterparts, possibly as a result of defective neutrophil recruitment^{200,201}. Similarly, mice bearing *Atg7*-deficient or *Becn1*-deficient macrophages, as well as *Rubcn^{-/-}* mice, were less resistant to intra-nasal *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection than their control litter mates¹⁰. Moreover, some fungal pathogens, such as *A. fumigatus*, seem to have evolved strategies to block LAP, including the production of a cell wall component that specifically inhibits the activation of NADPH oxidases at the phagosome²⁰². *Atg5*, *Atg7* and autophagy-related 16-like 1 (*Atg16L1*) in macrophages were also required for mice to control infection caused by the eukaryotic parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*, although such a process was not accompanied by autophagic degradation^{203–205}. These data point to an essential contribution of autophagy and, to a greater degree, LAP in the control of fungal infections and eukaryotic parasites. Interestingly, two commonly used inhibitors of autophagy (chloroquine and HCQ) have long been used for the treatment of malaria, which is caused by the eukaryote *Plasmodium falciparum*²⁰⁶. Chloroquine and HCQ, however, inhibit both the autophagic and non-autophagic functions of lysosomes, and have been proposed to exhibit antimalarial properties through inhibition of haem polymerase, which is toxic to the parasite²⁰⁷. Taken together, these observations suggest that autophagy activators may be beneficial for the treatment of fungi and other eukaryotic parasites (TABLE 2). However, it should be borne in mind that autophagy is conserved across the eukaryotic kingdom, which implies that pathogenic eukaryotes may also take advantage of enhanced autophagic responses²⁰⁸. In this setting, specific LAP activators may represent superior therapeutic tools, and efforts should be dedicated to the development of such molecules.

Of note, autophagy engages in extensive crosstalk with Toll-like receptor signalling and plays a crucial part in antigen cross-presentation²⁰⁹, which together underlie optimal innate and adaptive immunity against bacteria, viruses and eukaryotic parasites. Thus, autophagy activators may also support the eradication of invading pathogens by promoting innate and adaptive immune responses.

Inflammatory and autoimmune conditions

Autophagy mediates prominent anti-inflammatory effects, which reflects its ability to degrade inflammasomes as well as to limit the availability of endogenous inflammasome activators, including ROS and mitochondrial DNA^{210,211}. However, intact autophagic responses may also support the survival, proliferation and activity of multiple cells that contribute to the aetiology of autoimmune disorders^{166,167}. Thus, although autophagy represents a promising target for the treatment of multiple inflammatory and autoimmune disorders, including (but not limited to) systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Crohn's disease, rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis, the implementation of autophagy-modulatory interventions for the management of these pathologies may be less straightforward than was initially envisioned.

Systemic lupus erythematosus—Genetic polymorphisms in *ATG5* and possibly *ATG7* have been associated with SLE in multiple studies²¹², which suggests that autophagic defects may contribute to the pathogenesis of disease. Accordingly, mice lacking *Atg5*, *Atg7* or *Becn1* in LysM⁺ cells — which comprise macrophages, monocytes, some neutrophils and some dendritic cells — as well as *Rubcn*^{−/−} and *Cybb*^{−/−} mice (which are characterized by a specific defect in LAP) spontaneously developed an SLE-like autoimmune disorder linked to deficient phagocytic uptake of dying cells and consequent production of pro-inflammatory cytokines²¹³. Importantly, a similar phenotype is not observed in *Ulk1*^{−/−} mice and mice lacking RB1-inducible coiled-coil 1 (*Rb1cc1*) in LysM⁺ cells (which are two genetic alterations that provoke an autophagic defect but spare LAP)²¹³. Thus, similarly to wild-type mice, autophagy-deficient but LAP-competent mice do not develop an SLE-like disease and exhibit a normal phagocytic response to dying cells coupled to the production of anti-inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-10 (IL-10)²¹³. These findings suggest that pharmacological LAP activators may be beneficial for patients with SLE. It remains to be elucidated whether general autophagy activators may compensate for LAP defects. Of note, autophagy has been involved in the production of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which contributes to SLE pathogenesis²¹⁴. Thus, the inhibition of autophagy in specific cell populations may also provide therapeutic benefits to patients with SLE. Indeed, chloroquine and HCQ have been used for the treatment of SLE with some success²¹⁵. However, this clinical activity probably reflects the immunosuppressive effects of these molecules rather than their capacity to block autophagy. Indeed, neither the cell populations nor the cellular processes that are targeted by HCQ have been formally investigated in the context of SLE (TABLE 2).

Crohn's disease—Various non-synonymous polymorphisms that are associated with an increased susceptibility to Crohn's disease (data on prevalence are not available for all polymorphisms and vary considerably in different studies) negatively affect the activity of proteins that participate in autophagic or xenophagic responses, such as ATG16L1, UNC-51-like autophagy-activating kinase 1 (ULK1) and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing 2 (NOD2)^{216,217}. This reflects the multifactorial aetiology of this disorder, which involves both a microbial or epithelial and an autoimmune component²¹⁸, and suggests that autophagy activators may be beneficial for patients with Crohn's disease. Indeed, everolimus ameliorated disease severity in *Il10*^{−/−} mice, which spontaneously develop a Crohn's

disease-like syndrome²¹⁹. Moreover, everolimus has been used with some success in the management of specific SLE cases²²⁰. However, it remains to be determined whether the activity of everolimus in this context truly stems from the activation of autophagy or from its autophagy-independent immunosuppressive effects (TABLE 2).

Rheumatoid arthritis—There are contrasting observations about autophagy in CD4⁺ T cells in the context of rheumatoid arthritis. Naive CD4⁺ T lymphocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis have been reported to exhibit autophagic defects that are secondary to a metabolic reprogramming that affects glycolysis²²¹. By contrast, CD4⁺ T cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis reportedly display increased autophagic responses, hence resembling CD4⁺ T lymphocytes that are activated *in vitro*²²². Whether this apparent discrepancy reflects the CD4⁺ T cell subset under consideration (naive versus total or activated CD4⁺ T cells) or a methodological bias remains unclear. Irrespectively, HCQ limits the resistance to apoptosis displayed by CD4⁺ T cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis *ex vivo* and reduces disease severity in a mouse model of collagen-induced arthritis²²². In addition, the specific deletion of *Atg5* from mouse CD4⁺ T cells limits their proliferation and activation *ex vivo*²²². Taken together, these observations suggest that the inhibition of autophagy in specific immune cell populations, notably CD4⁺ T cells, may limit disease progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (TABLE 2).

Multiple sclerosis—Rapamycin treatment limits skin and lung fibrosis in mouse models of multiple sclerosis induced by bleomycin administration or a hetero zygous mutation in fibrillin 1 (*Fbn1*), which is accompanied by reduced production of fibrogenic cytokines and decreased levels of hypergammaglobulinaemia and anti-DNA topoisomerase 1 antibodies (two circulating markers of disease)²²³. However, these findings were not mechanistically linked to the activation of autophagy, which implies that they could reflect the established antiproliferative and immunosuppressive activity of rapamycin. Conversely, the specific deletion of *Atg7* from dendritic cells in mice ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis — which is a model of CD4⁺ T cell-dependent multiple sclerosis — by reducing the priming of autoimmune responses²²⁴. Consistent with this, chloroquine delays disease progression if administered before disease onset and reduces disease severity if administered after onset²²⁴. These findings suggest that the specific inhibition of autophagy in dendritic cells may constitute a desirable therapeutic objective for the management of some forms of multiple sclerosis (TABLE 2).

Other conditions—Autophagy may also be involved in the pathogenesis of other inflammatory and autoimmune conditions. Rapamycin administration provided beneficial effects in mouse models of autoimmune myositis²²⁵, autoimmune encephalomyelitis²²⁶ and autoimmune uveitis (only when used at high doses)²²⁷, as well as in patients with autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome²²⁸. Conversely, low-dose rapamycin administration aggravated experimental autoimmune uveitis in mice²²⁷. It remains to be clarified to what extent these findings relate to autophagy activation versus immunosuppression by rapamycin (TABLE 2).

Ageing

The healthy lifespan of multiple model organisms, including *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (yeast)^{229,230}, *Caenorhabditis elegans* (worm)^{231–233}, *D. melanogaster* (fly)^{47,230}, *Mus musculus* (mouse)^{234–236} and *Macaca mulatta* (monkey)²³⁷, can be experimentally extended by autophagy-activating measures, and this lifespan extension almost invariably depends on an intact autophagic machinery²³⁸. Indeed, autophagy mediates robust homeostatic functions at both the cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic levels, which directly counteract several processes that are associated with ageing. These processes include (but may not be limited to): the accumulation of macromolecular damage that drives cellular senescence and RCD (which are particularly detrimental in the context of an aged stem cell compartment); systemic metabolic deregulation; chronic, mild inflammation (so-called inflammaging); and accrued oncogenesis²³⁹. It is common knowledge that a balanced dietary regimen coupled with regular exercise preserves organismal fitness and can postpone several, if not all, of the manifestations of ageing. Accumulating evidence suggests that various beneficial effects of a healthy lifestyle depend (at least in part) on the activation of autophagy²⁴⁰. It will therefore be interesting to see whether CRMs or other pharmacological activators of autophagy can be used to extend lifespan (TABLE 2).

Other disorders

Autophagy modulators may also be beneficial for patients that are affected by pathologies such as pulmonary, renal and skeletal diseases.

Pulmonary disorders—On the one hand, autophagic defects have been documented in the lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)²⁴¹, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH)²⁴² and cystic fibrosis²⁴³. Accordingly, rapamycin treatment partially protects mice from IPF caused by bleomycin²⁴¹ or radiation²⁴⁴, as well as from hypoxia-induced PAH²⁴⁵. Similarly, the intranasal delivery of a *Becn1*-coding lentivirus successfully restores autophagy and limits inflammation in *Cfr*^{F508} mice (a model of cystic fibrosis)²⁴³, as does the genetic inactivation of *Rptor* or *Mtor* in mouse models of hyperoxia-induced or lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury^{246,247}. Moreover, *Map1lc3b*^{−/−} mice exhibit aggravated PAH upon chronic hypoxia²⁴². Thus, pharmacological activators of autophagy may be beneficial in patients with some pulmonary disorders (TABLE 2).

On the other hand, autophagy contributes to (rather than counteracts) the aetiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Indeed, *Map1lc3b*^{−/−} and *Becn1*^{+/-} mice develop limited emphysema upon chronic cigarette smoke exposure compared with their wild-type littermates^{248,249}. Similar observations were made in *Pink1*^{−/−} mice as well as in mice receiving the mitophagy inhibitor Mdivi-1 (REF. 250). Interestingly, the transcription factor early growth response 1 (EGR1) seems to be mechanistically involved in detrimental autophagic responses that underlie COPD²⁵¹. However, no direct links between EGR1 signalling and mitophagy have been established yet. Similarly, whether pharmacological inhibitors of EGR1 signalling may be beneficial for individuals with COPD remains to be determined (TABLE 2).

Renal conditions—Autophagy may mediate important homeostatic functions in the kidney. The podocyte-specific deletion of *Atg5* or *Atg7* in mice results in spontaneous glomerulosclerosis that is preceded by mitochondrial alterations (which are also documented in patients with idiopathic focal segmental glomerulosclerosis), excessive ROS production and podocyte loss^{252,253}. Similarly, mice bearing *Atg5*^{−/−} podocytes exhibit increased glomerular degeneration that is caused by puromycin aminonucleoside (PAN) or doxorubicin compared with their wild-type littermates²⁵². In addition, the podocyte-specific knockout of *Atg7* considerably sensitizes mice to kidney overload imposed by unilateral nephrectomy²⁵⁴. Comparable results were obtained in PAN-treated rats receiving chemical autophagy inhibitors (3-MA or chloroquine), whereas autophagy activation with rapamycin limited glomerular degeneration in this model²⁵⁵. Thus, autophagy activators may not only benefit diabetic patients who are at risk of nephropathy (see above) but also individuals with other renal conditions (TABLE 2).

Skeletal disorders—Autophagy has recently been identified as an important mediator of bone growth in response to fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling²⁵⁶. Thus, the bone growth defects that are imposed by the *Fgf18*^{+/−} or *Fgfr4*^{−/−} phenotype can be rescued by intraperitoneal administration of a BECN1-derived peptide²⁵⁶. However, the deletion of *Mtor* or *Rptor* from PRX1⁺ cells (which are found in the limb, cranial and interlimb mesenchymal tissues) significantly impairs skeletal growth in mouse embryos, which results in a severe phenotype that is associated with death shortly after birth²⁵⁷. Similarly, rapamycin administration has been found to mediate beneficial²⁵⁸ and detrimental^{259,260} effects in rodent models of bone fracture. It remains to be determined whether these apparent discrepancies reflect the pleiotropic effects of mTORC1 (which, among various functions, controls cell proliferation). Additional investigation is required to elucidate the potential benefits that are associated with the use of autophagy modulators in skeletal disorders (TABLE 2).

Lysosomal storage disorders—Lysosomal storage disorders (LSDs) are a heterogeneous group of rare inheritable conditions that originate from defects in lysosomal activity, which result in the cytotoxic accumulation of specific lysosomal substrates²⁶¹. The conditional deletion of *Atg5* or *Atg7* from myocytes alleviates lysosomal overload and enables enzyme replacement therapy in a mouse model of Pompe disease (which is characterized by glycogen accumulation)^{262,263}. Similarly, rapamycin is toxic for inducible pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from patients with Gaucher disease (which is characterized by glucosylceramide accumulation)²⁶⁴. Conversely, rapamycin, carbamazepine, trehalose and other autophagy inducers have cytoprotective effects on iPSCs from patients with Niemann–Pick type C disease (which is characterized by the accumulation of cholesterol and glycolipids)²⁶⁵. These observations suggest that the inhibition of autophagy upstream of autophagosome formation may be beneficial for patients with certain LSDs. By contrast, autophagy activators may have detrimental effects, unless they successfully overcome the lysosomal blockage that characterizes these disorders.

Vision disorders—Autophagy and related processes sustain healthy vision by a number of mechanisms. Retinal photoreceptor cells, especially cones, exhibit high mitochondrial

turnover by mitophagy and rely on AMPK-dependent autophagic responses to cope with glucose deprivation²⁶⁶. Consistent with this, the cone-restricted deletion of *Atg5* results in a functional decline that is accompanied by the accumulation of damaged mitochondria, an increased sensitivity to light toxicity and glucose deprivation²⁶⁶. Moreover, the inhibition of autophagy reportedly contributes to photoreceptor cell loss in models of retinitis pigmentosa²⁶⁷. ATG5-dependent and BECN1-dependent LAP has been involved in a process known as the ‘visual cycle’, in which shedding photoreceptor outer segments are engulfed by the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), and all-*trans*-retinoic acid is converted to 11-*cis*-retinal for photoreceptor regeneration. Thus, mice lacking *Atg5* (but not *Ulk1*) in the RPE display defective 11-*cis*-retinal generation and reduced vision, which can be transiently corrected by the administration of all-*trans*-retinol²⁶⁸. Mice with a macrophage-specific deletion of *Atg5* as well as mice carrying the *Atg16l1*^{T300A} mutation exhibit increased susceptibility to lipopolysaccharide-induced uveitis that is associated with increased inflammasome activation²⁶⁹. In humans, the *ATG16L1*^{T300A} mutation is associated with Crohn’s disease (see above), which is also linked to an increased predisposition for uveitis²⁷⁰. Finally, mutations in *OPTN* as well as duplications of *TBK1* are associated with normal-tension glaucoma^{271,272}. One of these mutants (namely, OPTN-E50K) displays an increased interaction with TBK1, and mice that are engineered to express the OPTN-E50K variant develop a disease that phenocopies the human disorder²⁷³. At this point, it is unclear whether these mutations promote normal-tension glaucoma as a consequence of changes in autophagy or other TBK1-related processes. However, activators of autophagy or LAP may counteract the pathogenesis of multiple vision disorders.

Challenges in developing autophagy modulators

In spite of great potential, no interventions that are specifically aimed at modulating autophagy are currently available for use in humans. Indeed, although rapamycin, chloroquine, HCQ and several drugs that are licensed for the use in humans activate or inhibit autophagy, they were not developed for this purpose. What are the obstacles that, until now, have prevented the development of autophagy modulators for clinical use? How can we circumvent them and finally harness the entire therapeutic potential of autophagy activators and inhibitors?

Specificity

Besides being used with limited rigour (that is, at non-standardized concentrations or time points), multiple chemical agents that are currently available to activate or inhibit autophagy have limited specificity for the autophagic process, for either of two reasons. First, some of these molecules have an intrinsically low pharmacological specificity for their target. This is the case for 3-MA and wortmannin, both of which are non-selective phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitors and hence block the catalytic activity of several PI3Ks beyond VPS34 (REF. 274). Along similar lines, although acute rapamycin administration results in the relatively specific inhibition of mTORC1 through FK506-binding protein 1A (FKBP1A), chronic exposure to rapamycin promotes mTORC2 disassembly¹³⁹. Second, several components of the autophagic machinery operate at the interface of multiple cellular processes, that is, they mediate autophagy-independent functions^{203,275}. Thus, the inhibition

of mTORC1 using rapamycin not only activates autophagy but also inhibits translation, cellular growth and proliferation²⁷⁶. Similarly, the blockage of lysosomal functions using chloroquine, HCQ or BafA1 inhibits not only the disposal of autophagosomes but also the degradation of endosomes and impairs vesicular trafficking²⁷⁷. In line with this notion, rapamycin and multiple rapalogues mediate robust immunosuppressive effects, as they block T cell proliferation²⁷⁸, whereas both chloroquine and HCQ exert immunomodulatory as well as antineoplastic effects that are independent of autophagy^{279–281}. Several approaches are being explored to circumvent these specificity issues, including the development of novel autophagy modulators (BOX 3).

An additional specificity-related issue derives from the complex architecture of all tissues, which contain several different cell types that are engaged in extensive homologous and heterologous interactions. Most autophagy modulators available at present are also poorly specific because they do not preferentially target one cell type. Thus, evaluating the actual impact of autophagy activators or inhibitors in disease scenarios in which autophagic responses in different tissue compartments may have opposite effects is challenging. Addressing this complexity by studying the effects of highly targeted autophagy modulators in disease models with cell-specific autophagic defects (see below), is key to the development of clinically viable strategies to activate or inhibit autophagy. Of note, this issue may predominantly concern the development of autophagy inhibitors. Indeed, there is not necessarily a downside to activating autophagy at the whole-body level for the treatment of diseases in which autophagy activation may be useful.

Biomarkers and assays

Multiple biomarkers that are routinely used to monitor autophagy *in vitro* and *in vivo* are intrinsically unsuitable to monitor autophagic flux, that is, the actual degradation of autophagosomes and their content within lysosomes²⁷⁴. Several of these indicators, such as the levels of lipidated LC3 (measured by immunoblotting) or the amount of GFP–LC3⁺ puncta (measured by fluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry upon cell permeabilization), statically monitor the size of the autophagosomal compartment, which expands not only in the course of productive autophagic responses (increased on-rate) but also when the formation or activity of autolysosomes is blocked (decreased off-rate). Such a technical concern *de facto* invalidates the conclusions of multiple studies in which autophagy was monitored only with static autophagosomal biomarkers⁹.

Besides assessing the actual degradation of autophagic substrates (which may be cumbersome and cannot be carried out in all experimental scenarios), several strategies have been developed to circumvent this issue²⁷⁴. One common approach relies on comparing LC3 lipidation (or the accumulation of GFP–LC3⁺ puncta) in the presence or the absence of lysosomal inhibitors, such as BafA1 (REF. 282). This approach can differentiate between situations of increased on-rate and decreased off-rate and can be conveniently applied to human peripheral blood mononuclear cells *ex vivo*²⁷⁴. As an alternative, tandem fluorescence-tagged versions of LC3 have been successfully used to identify cells in which autophagic flux is operational *in vitro* and *in vivo*^{274,283}. In paraffin-fixed formalin-embedded patient samples, encouraging results have been obtained by simultaneously

quantifying LC3⁺ puncta and p62 abundance¹⁶⁵. We are convinced that accurately measuring autophagic flux is key to the development of clinically viable modulators of autophagy.

An additional problem relates to the lack of reliable methods for discriminating between distinct forms of autophagy (for example, general autophagy versus mitophagy), especially *in vivo* and in patient material²⁷⁴. This issue is particularly relevant, as it prevents the establishment of proof of principle (that is, the mechanistic correlation between an autophagy-modulating intervention and actual autophagy modulation) and proof of concept (that is, the mechanistic correlation between an autophagy-modulating intervention and disease outcome) for most pathologies. Moreover, despite considerable efforts towards standardization²⁷⁴, many assays to measure autophagy and autophagy-related processes are still implemented with consistent variability across the scientific community. Thus, new highly standardized approaches that allow for monitoring specific forms of autophagy and autophagy-related processes *in vivo* and in patient material are urgently awaited.

Genetic models

Many of the genetic models that have been used so far for studying the impact of autophagy on the pathogenesis of disease and developing autophagy-targeting agents suffer from limitations that are often overlooked. One of the initial obstacles was the embryonic or perinatal lethality imposed by the whole-body knockout of several components of the autophagic machinery^{14–16}. As mentioned above, this issue has been partially circumvented by the generation of mice with partial autophagic defects at the whole-body level, such as *Becn1*^{+/-} or *Atg4b*^{-/-} mice^{15,16,284}. These animals have been highly instrumental for studying the role of autophagy in multiple disorders. However, they preserve some proficiency in canonical autophagy and mount normal non-canonical autophagic responses in several tissues, including the liver²⁸². This implies that observing normal disease progression or the response to treatment in *Becn1*^{+/-} or *Atg4b*^{-/-} mice does not formally exclude a role for autophagy.

As an alternative approach, multiple models of tissue-specific autophagic incompetence have been generated. Most of these models rely on the deletion of ‘floxed’ *Atg5*, *Atg7* or *Becn1* in selected cell types, which is based on the expression of Cre recombinase under the control of a promoter of choice²⁸⁵. In this scenario, gene knockout occurs upon the physiological activation of the Cre-controlling promoter, which introduces considerable variability. Thus, whereas some promoters — such as the collagen, type II, alpha 1 (*Col2a1*) promoter²⁸⁶ — impose an autophagic defect early during differentiation or embryonic development, others — such as the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (*Cdkn2a*) promoter²⁸⁷ — abolish autophagic proficiency in terminally differentiated cells (in this case, senescent) cells. One of the major issues in this setting is the occurrence of compensatory processes, that is, a general reorganization of cellular functions that partially (if not totally) compensate for the lack of a specific protein. This is generally favoured when gene knockout occurs early during cellular or organismal lifespan as well as when the degree of functional redundancy is high (as is the case for the autophagic machinery)¹.

Two main strategies have been devised to minimize this potential source of bias: the tissue-specific administration of viral vectors coding for Cre recombinase¹⁵⁴ or the use of Cre variants that can be activated pharmacologically (for example, by the systemic administration of tamoxifen)¹⁰⁹. One potential problem with these models relates to the efficiency of Cre activation. Indeed, viral infection may not affect all target cells, or tamoxifen concentrations may be suboptimal in some tissue areas, resulting in partial autophagic defects. However, both these approaches generally display high efficiency and result in widespread gene knockout within a specific tissue.

All types of conditional knockout models (including those that rely on viral Cre delivery) also suffer from some degree of nonspecificity, which may impose autophagic defects in tissues that are unintentionally affected. For instance, genes that are under the control of the *Col2a1* promoter are expressed not only by chondrogenic tissues but also — transiently — in non-chondrogenic tissues, including the notochord, eye, heart, epidermis and discrete areas of the brain²⁸⁶. Although this issue is generally marginal, it may have affected — at least to some degree — data interpretation in specific cases. Finally, it should be kept in mind that most, if not all, components of the autophagic machinery mediate known or hitherto undiscovered autophagy-unrelated functions²⁰³. This implies that great caution should be taken before attributing the phenotype that results from whole-body or conditional knockout of a single component of the autophagic machinery to autophagy as a process.

Developing new models that circumvent these persisting obstacles may be less straightforward than desirable. Nonetheless, careful consideration of the limitations that are associated with each model may enable the correct interpretation of data and hence support drug discovery and development in this field.

Future development of autophagy modulators

There seems to be considerable confusion as to how autophagy modulators should be developed and used for the treatment of several pathologies (BOX 4), which has limited the development of novel therapeutic agents. Here, we summarize some principles that must be carefully considered in the future development and use of autophagy-targeting interventions in the context of three specific scenarios.

Autophagy activation underlies disease

For cases in which the activation of autophagy underlies disease, pharmacological inhibition in specific cell populations constitutes an obvious therapeutic objective. However, the functional outcome and actual therapeutic value of such an intervention may vary considerably depending on the stage of the autophagic cascade that is targeted. For instance, whereas inhibiting autophagy by targeting upstream modulators — such as AMPK (with compound C), ULK1 (with SBI-0206965) or Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (with cardiac glycosides) — seems to be a potentially safe intervention, using lysosomal inhibitors may increase (rather than decrease) the detrimental effects of autophagy activation by causing the accumulation of non-functional autophagosomes and autolysosomes, and hence a general blockage in vesicular trafficking (FIG. 2a).

Autophagy inhibition underlies disease

Autophagic defects can contribute to disease by two general, non-mutually exclusive mechanisms: the accumulation of potentially dangerous autophagic substrates or non-functional autophagosomes and autolysosomes, and the lack of potentially beneficial autophagic products or functions. In this situation, the activation of autophagy with nutritional or pharmacological interventions may ameliorate disease outcome. However, the exact nature of the autophagic defect determines which approach should be undertaken to obtain beneficial (rather than detrimental) effects. Autophagy can be blocked upstream of autophagosome formation, which results in a limited number of autophagosomes and reduced autophagic activity. In this case, nutritional interventions (that are mainly detected by the AMPK–mTORC1 signalling node) or molecules that promote the formation of phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PtdIns3P) by the BECN1–VPS34 complex are expected to mediate positive effects, as they boost autophagy initiation (FIG. 2b). Conversely, interventions that are aimed at the acceleration of lysosomal degradation, such as the inhibition of CST3 or CSTB, may not mediate therapeutic effects. Autophagy can also be blocked downstream of autophagosome formation, for instance, as a consequence of a lysosomal defect. In this case, further boosting the generation of autophagosomes is not only expected to have little therapeutic activity but may also aggravate the homeostatic perturbations imposed by the lysosomal blockage. On the contrary, the acceleration of lysosomal degradation may relieve the blockage in the disposal of autophagosomes and autolysosomes, and mediate beneficial effects. Of note, the inhibition of autophagy upstream of autophagosome formation (by targeting AMPK or ULK1, for instance) may also mediate therapeutic activity in this setting, which reflects a relative normalization of the autophagosomal and autolysosomal compartments (FIG. 2c). Thus, clinically useful autophagy activators should be designed based on careful characterization of the autophagic defect that accompanies each specific disease. At least theoretically, the combination of upstream autophagy activators with molecules that accelerate lysosomal degradation might overcome multiple forms of autophagic blockade. However, it has not yet been formally demonstrated whether this approach mediates superior benefits in models of disease that are caused by autophagic defects.

Autophagy activation compensates for disease

In some cases, autophagy alterations have little (if any) relationship to primary disease aetiology, but autophagy activation may still support compensatory mechanisms, including the degeneration of cells and tissues. Although many preclinical models that have been used thus far do not allow discrimination between these two aspects of pathogenesis (aetiology versus recovery; see below), interventions that boost autophagic activity in cells that are not directly affected by disease may ameliorate the long-term outcome in multiple disorders, even when administered after the primary pathological insult. This is particularly true for conditions with multifactorial aetiology, such as cerebral stroke or neurotrauma. In this scenario, efficient autophagic responses in glial cells that survive stroke considerably limit RCD-driven inflammation and the consequent loss of additional neurons (which is known to participate in the pathogenesis of disease), hence mitigating long-term functional impairment⁹. Thus, the activation of autophagy in cells that survive the primary pathological insult or in non-diseased cells (which exhibit normal autophagic capacity) may constitute a

promising therapeutic intervention for multiple disorders with complex aetiologies (FIG. 2d). It is possible that patients with some of these pathologies may benefit from a sequential approach in which autophagy is inhibited first (or selectively in diseased cells) and activated subsequently (or selectively in non-diseased cells). Future studies are required to elucidate this possibility in specific pathologies.

Conclusions and perspectives

As discussed throughout this Review, the therapeutic potential of interventions that activate or inhibit autophagy is enormous. Nonetheless, multiple obstacles of pharmacological, technical or experimental nature have hampered the straightforward implementation of autophagy modulators in the clinic. We are confident that many of these hurdles can be circumvented upon the development of more selective autophagy modulators, more precise biomarkers of the autophagic flux and more physiological models of autophagy deficiency *in vivo*. The very nature of autophagy imposes obstacles that must also be overcome for the development of clinically viable autophagy activators and inhibitors. Additional work is required to understand how to inhibit or activate autophagy independently of cellular processes including proliferation and RCD, and hence harness the full therapeutic potential of autophagy modulators.

Acknowledgments

Owing to space limitations, the authors apologize to the authors of several high-quality articles in the field for not being able to discuss and cite their work. L.G. is supported by the Department of Radiation Oncology of Weill Cornell Medical College (intramural funds) and Sotio a.c. J.M.B.-S.P. and G.K. are supported by the French Ligue contre le Cancer (équipe labellisée); Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), Projets blancs; ANR under the frame of the programme E-Rare-2, the European Research Area (ERA)-Net for Research on Rare Diseases; Association pour la recherche sur le cancer (ARC); Cancéropôle Ile-de-France; Institut National du Cancer (INCa); Institut Universitaire de France; Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM); the European Commission (ArtForce); the European Research Council (ERC); the LeDucq Foundation; the LabEx Immuno-Oncology; the Site de Recherche Intégrée sur le Cancer (SIRIC) Stratified Oncology Cell DNA Repair and Tumor Immune Elimination (SOCRATE); the SIRIC Cancer Research and Personalized Medicine (CARPEM); and the Paris Alliance of Cancer Research Institutes (PACRI). B.L. is supported by the National Institutes of Health, Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas, and the LeDucq Foundation. D.R.G. is supported by the National Institutes of Health and the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC).

Glossary

Autophagic cell death

A variant of regulated cell death (RCD) that is precipitated by the autophagic machinery and hence can be retarded with pharmacological or genetic inhibitors of autophagy

Regulated cell death (RCD)

A form of cell death that relies on the activation of genetically encoded machinery and hence can be retarded or accelerated with specific pharmacological or genetic interventions

Mitophagy

Autophagic response that is specific for depolarized or otherwise damaged mitochondria.

Aggrephagy

Autophagic response that is specific for intracellular protein aggregates, which often are highly ubiquitylated

Presenilin 1 (PSEN1)

Component of the γ -secretase complex that contributes to the accumulation of amyloid plaques in the brain of patients with Alzheimer disease (AD).

Autophagic adaptor

A protein that directs autophagic substrates to forming autophagosomes through its capacity to bind ubiquitylated structures and lipidated Atg8 family members

Amyloid- β precursor protein

(APP). A protein that — upon cleavage — accounts for the majority of amyloid plaques in the brain of patients with Alzheimer disease (AD).

Caloric restriction mimetic (CRM)

A molecule that mimics the biochemical and cellular effects of fasting, including autophagy activation and cytosolic acetyl-CoA depletion, but does not provoke a sizeable weight loss

Trehalose

A natural α -linked disaccharide that potently activates autophagy through poorly characterized mechanisms

Valproate

A widely used antiepileptic drug that induces autophagy by affecting myo-inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate levels

Lithium

An antidepressant that promotes autophagic responses by altering myo-inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate levels

Locomotor sensitization

Long-lasting exacerbation of a psychostimulant-induced locomotor response, which is brought about by repeated intermittent administration of the same psychoactive agent

Ischaemic preconditioning

An experimental technique for increasing the resistance of neurons or cardiomyocytes to prolonged, severe ischaemia based on the repeated administration of short, mild ischaemic episodes

Cardiac glycoside

A natural compound that exerts positive inotropic effects and retards some forms of autophagic cell death as it inhibits the plasma membrane Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase

Efferocytosis

The removal of dying or dead cells by professional phagocytes

Spermidine

A natural polyamine that potently activates autophagy by operating as a caloric restriction mimetic (CRM)

Coronary angioplasty

A minimally invasive surgical procedure for the treatment of narrowed or weakened arteries, which consists of the insertion of a small mesh tube (stent) through the femoral artery

Metformin

An antidiabetic agent with pleiotropic effects, including the capacity to trigger autophagy by acting as a caloric restriction mimetic (CRM)

***db/db* mice**

Mice homozygous for the spontaneous *db* (for diabetes) mutation in leptin receptor (*Lepr*), which causes limited leptin signalling. These animals are commonly used as models for type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome

***ob/ob* mice**

Mice homozygous for the spontaneous *ob* (for obesity) mutation in leptin receptor (*Lepr*), which causes absent leptin signalling. These animals are commonly used as models for obesity and metabolic syndrome

Carbamazepine

A widely used antiepileptic drug that induces autophagy by altering myo-inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate levels

 α 1-antitrypsin deficiency

A genetic disease that causes the defective production of serpin family A member 1 (SERPINA1; also known as α 1-antitrypsin) in the lungs and liver, which results in pulmonary disorders that are often associated with hepatic symptoms.

Streptozotocin

A naturally occurring toxin that is commonly used to generate rodent models of type 1 diabetes owing to its pronounced selectivity for pancreatic β -cells

Malignant transformation

The conversion of a healthy, normal cell into a neoplastic cell precursor. Malignant transformation is insufficient to drive tumorigenesis

Oncosuppressor genes

Genes mutated or silenced in familial or sporadic forms of cancer. Many of these genes encode proteins that are involved in the maintenance of cellular homeostasis or in the activation of regulated cell death (RCD)

Proto-oncogenes

Genes overexpressed or hyperactivated in familial or sporadic forms of cancer. Many of these genes encode positive regulators of cellular proliferation or proteins that inhibit regulated cell death (RCD)

Tumour progression

A process through which a neoplastic cell precursor acquires additional genetic or epigenetic alterations that allow it to escape cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic control mechanisms and form aggressive tumours

Immunosurveillance

A process in which the immune system recognizes and eliminates a potentially dangerous entity, including invading pathogens as well as pre-malignant and malignant cells

Inflammasomes

Supramolecular platforms that support caspase 1 activation, hence allowing for the proteolytic maturation and secretion of pro-inflammatory interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and IL-18

Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs)

Chromatin-based and granule protein-containing fibres that are released by neutrophils to immobilize and kill invading microorganisms.

Cellular senescence

A permanent proliferative arrest that is generally associated with specific morphological and biochemical features, including the secretion of multiple cytokines and other biologically active factors

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

A progressive lung disease that is characterized by long-term limited airflow, which is often caused or aggravated by tobacco smoke

Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis

A leading cause of kidney failure in adults that is characterized by the degeneration of sections of the glomerulus with a focal (as opposed to diffuse) intrarenal distribution

Glucosylceramide

A sphingolipid that accumulates in patients with Gaucher disease (mostly in the macrophages) as a result of mutations in glucosylceramidase beta (*GBA*)

Retinitis pigmentosa

An inherited, degenerative eye disease that causes severe vision impairment owing to the progressive degeneration of the rod photoreceptor cells

Rapalogues

Rapamycin derivatives with improved pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties

Canonical autophagy

A term commonly used to refer to an autophagic response that is dependent on autophagy-related 5 (ATG5), ATG7, beclin 1 (BECN1) and phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PtdIns3P) production

References

1. Noda NN, Inagaki F. Mechanisms of autophagy. *Annu Rev Biophys.* 2015; 44:101–122. This comprehensive review describes the molecular mechanisms that control autophagic responses and their regulation. [PubMed: 25747593]
2. Li WW, Li J, Bao JK. Microautophagy: lesser-known self-eating. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2012; 69:1125–1136. [PubMed: 22080117]
3. Cuervo AM, Wong E. Chaperone-mediated autophagy: roles in disease and aging. *Cell Res.* 2014; 24:92–104. [PubMed: 24281265]
4. Green DR, Galluzzi L, Kroemer G. Mitochondria and the autophagy-inflammation-cell death axis in organismal aging. *Science.* 2011; 333:1109–1112. [PubMed: 21868666]
5. Sica V, et al. Organelle-specific initiation of autophagy. *Mol Cell.* 2015; 59:522–539. [PubMed: 26295960]
6. Green DR, Levine B. To be or not to be? How selective autophagy and cell death govern cell fate. *Cell.* 2014; 157:65–75. [PubMed: 24679527]
7. He C, et al. Exercise-induced BCL2-regulated autophagy is required for muscle glucose homeostasis. *Nature.* 2012; 481:511–515. [PubMed: 22258505]
8. Michaud M, et al. Autophagy-dependent anticancer immune responses induced by chemotherapeutic agents in mice. *Science.* 2011; 334:1573–1577. This article demonstrates that autophagy is strictly required for mouse cancer cells that succumb to some chemotherapeutics to release danger signals and hence alert the immune system of a threatening situation. [PubMed: 22174255]
9. Galluzzi L, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Blomgren K, Kroemer G. Autophagy in acute brain injury. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2016; 17:467–484. [PubMed: 27256553]
10. Martinez J, et al. Molecular characterization of LC3-associated phagocytosis reveals distinct roles for Rubicon, NOX2 and autophagy proteins. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2015; 17:893–906. This is the first identification of molecules that are specifically required for LAP but dispensable for canonical autophagy, including RUBCN. [PubMed: 26098576]
11. Kenific CM, Wittmann T, Debnath J. Autophagy in adhesion and migration. *J Cell Sci.* 2016; 129:3685–3693. [PubMed: 27672021]
12. Ponpuak M, et al. Secretory autophagy. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2015; 35:106–116. [PubMed: 25988755]
13. Rubinsztein DC, Codogno P, Levine B. Autophagy modulation as a potential therapeutic target for diverse diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2012; 11:709–730. [PubMed: 22935804]
14. Komatsu M, et al. Impairment of starvation-induced and constitutive autophagy in Atg7-deficient mice. *J Cell Biol.* 2005; 169:425–434. [PubMed: 15866887]
15. Yue Z, Jin S, Yang C, Levine AJ, Heintz N. Beclin 1, an autophagy gene essential for early embryonic development, is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2003; 100:15077–15082. [PubMed: 14657337]
16. Qu X, et al. Promotion of tumorigenesis by heterozygous disruption of the beclin 1 autophagy gene. *J Clin Invest.* 2003; 112:1809–1820. References 15 and 16 provide the first demonstration that the *Becn1*^{-/-} genotype is embryonically lethal and that *Becn1*^{+/-} mice are more susceptible to spontaneous carcinogenesis than their wild-type littermates. [PubMed: 14638851]
17. Yoshii SR, et al. Systemic analysis of *Atg5*-null mice rescued from neonatal lethality by transgenic ATG5 expression in neurons. *Dev Cell.* 2016; 39:116–130. [PubMed: 27693508]
18. Hara T, et al. Suppression of basal autophagy in neural cells causes neurodegenerative disease in mice. *Nature.* 2006; 441:885–889. [PubMed: 16625204]
19. Komatsu M, et al. Loss of autophagy in the central nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice. *Nature.* 2006; 441:880–884. References 18 and 19 were the first to demonstrate that neuron-specific deletion of *Atg5* or *Atg7* causes a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that is associated with premature mortality. [PubMed: 16625205]
20. Ross CA, Poirier MA. Opinion: what is the role of protein aggregation in neurodegeneration? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 6:891–898. [PubMed: 16167052]

21. Menzies FM, Fleming A, Rubinsztein DC. Compromised autophagy and neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2015; 16:345–357. [PubMed: 25991442]
22. Crews L, et al. Selective molecular alterations in the autophagy pathway in patients with Lewy body disease and in models of α -synucleinopathy. *PLoS ONE.* 2010; 5:e9313. [PubMed: 20174468]
23. Bang Y, Kim KS, Seol W, Choi HJ. LRRK2 interferes with aggresome formation for autophagic clearance. *Mol Cell Neurosci.* 2016; 75:71–80. [PubMed: 27364102]
24. Vives-Bauza C, et al. PINK1-dependent recruitment of Parkin to mitochondria in mitophagy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2010; 107:378–383. [PubMed: 19966284]
25. Lee JH, et al. Lysosomal proteolysis and autophagy require presenilin 1 and are disrupted by Alzheimer-related PS1 mutations. *Cell.* 2010; 141:1146–1158. [PubMed: 20541250]
26. Fecto F, et al. SQSTM1 mutations in familial and sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Arch Neurol.* 2011; 68:1440–1446. [PubMed: 22084127]
27. Cirulli ET, et al. Exome sequencing in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis identifies risk genes and pathways. *Science.* 2015; 347:1436–1441. [PubMed: 25700176]
28. Freischmidt A, et al. Haploinsufficiency of *TBK1* causes familial ALS and fronto-temporal dementia. *Nat Neurosci.* 2015; 18:631–636. [PubMed: 25803835]
29. Aguado C, et al. Laforin, the most common protein mutated in Lafora disease, regulates autophagy. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010; 19:2867–2876. [PubMed: 20453062]
30. Saitsu H, et al. De novo mutations in the autophagy gene *WDR45* cause static encephalopathy of childhood with neurodegeneration in adulthood. *Nat Genet.* 2013; 45:445–449. [PubMed: 23435086]
31. Spilman P, et al. Inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin abolishes cognitive deficits and reduces amyloid- β levels in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS ONE.* 2010; 5:e9979. [PubMed: 20376313]
32. Caccamo A, Majumder S, Richardson A, Strong R, Oddo S. Molecular interplay between mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), amyloid- β , and Tau: effects on cognitive impairments. *J Biol Chem.* 2010; 285:13107–13120. [PubMed: 20178983]
33. Vingtdoux V, et al. AMP-activated protein kinase signaling activation by resveratrol modulates amyloid- β peptide metabolism. *J Biol Chem.* 2010; 285:9100–9113. [PubMed: 20080969]
34. Yang DS, et al. Reversal of autophagy dysfunction in the TgCRND8 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease ameliorates amyloid pathologies and memory deficits. *Brain.* 2011; 134:258–277. [PubMed: 21186265]
35. Sun B, et al. Cystatin C-cathepsin B axis regulates amyloid beta levels and associated neuronal deficits in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron.* 2008; 60:247–257. [PubMed: 18957217]
36. Spencer B, et al. Beclin 1 gene transfer activates autophagy and ameliorates the neurodegenerative pathology in α -synuclein models of Parkinson's and Lewy body diseases. *J Neurosci.* 2009; 29:13578–13588. [PubMed: 19864570]
37. Decressac M, et al. TFEB-mediated autophagy rescues midbrain dopamine neurons from α -synuclein toxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013; 110:E1817–E1826. [PubMed: 23610405]
38. Siddiqui A, et al. Mitochondrial quality control via the PGC1 α -TFEB signaling pathway is compromised by parkin Q311X mutation but independently restored by rapamycin. *J Neurosci.* 2015; 35:12833–12844. [PubMed: 26377470]
39. Rodriguez-Navarro JA, et al. Trehalose ameliorates dopaminergic and tau pathology in parkin deleted/tau overexpressing mice through autophagy activation. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2010; 39:423–438. [PubMed: 20546895]
40. Liu K, Shi N, Sun Y, Zhang T, Sun X. Therapeutic effects of rapamycin on MPTP-induced Parkinsonism in mice. *Neurochem Res.* 2013; 38:201–207. [PubMed: 23117422]
41. Dehay B, et al. Pathogenic lysosomal depletion in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci.* 2010; 30:12535–12544. [PubMed: 20844148]
42. Li XZ, et al. Therapeutic effects of valproate combined with lithium carbonate on MPTP-induced parkinsonism in mice: possible mediation through enhanced autophagy. *Int J Neurosci.* 2013; 123:73–79. [PubMed: 22978383]

43. Malagelada C, Jin ZH, Jackson-Lewis V, Przedborski S, Greene LA. Rapamycin protects against neuron death in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30:1166–1175. [PubMed: 20089925]
44. Jiang J, Jiang J, Zuo Y, Gu Z. Rapamycin protects the mitochondria against oxidative stress and apoptosis in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Int J Mol Med*. 2013; 31:825–832. [PubMed: 23426728]
45. Pan T, et al. Neuroprotection of rapamycin in lactacystin-induced neurodegeneration via autophagy enhancement. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2008; 32:16–25. [PubMed: 18640276]
46. Tain LS, et al. Rapamycin activation of 4E-BP prevents parkinsonian dopaminergic neuron loss. *Nat Neurosci*. 2009; 12:1129–1135. [PubMed: 19684592]
47. Bjedov I, et al. Mechanisms of life span extension by rapamycin in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cell Metab*. 2010; 11:35–46. [PubMed: 20074526]
48. Ejlerskov P, et al. Lack of neuronal IFN- β -IFNAR causes lewy body- and Parkinson's disease-like dementia. *Cell*. 2015; 163:324–339. This article demonstrates that defective type I interferon signalling promotes parkinsonism in mice, as it imposes an autophagic defect that is accompanied by the accumulation of senescent mitochondria. [PubMed: 26451483]
49. Xilouri M, et al. Impairment of chaperone-mediated autophagy induces dopaminergic neurodegeneration in rats. *Autophagy*. 2016; 12:2230–2247. [PubMed: 27541985]
50. Sarkar S, et al. A rational mechanism for combination treatment of Huntington's disease using lithium and rapamycin. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2008; 17:170–178. [PubMed: 17921520]
51. Ravikumar B, et al. Inhibition of mTOR induces autophagy and reduces toxicity of polyglutamine expansions in fly and mouse models of Huntington disease. *Nat Genet*. 2004; 36:585–595. [PubMed: 15146184]
52. Lee JH, et al. Reinstating aberrant mTORC1 activity in Huntington's disease mice improves disease phenotypes. *Neuron*. 2015; 85:303–315. [PubMed: 25556834]
53. Castillo K, et al. Trehalose delays the progression of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis by enhancing autophagy in motoneurons. *Autophagy*. 2013; 9:1308–1320. [PubMed: 23851366]
54. Zhang K, et al. Food restriction-induced autophagy modulates degradation of mutant SOD1 in an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mouse model. *Brain Res*. 2013; 1519:112–119. [PubMed: 23643856]
55. Feng HL, et al. Combined lithium and valproate treatment delays disease onset, reduces neurological deficits and prolongs survival in an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mouse model. *Neuroscience*. 2008; 155:567–572. [PubMed: 18640245]
56. Zhang X, et al. Rapamycin treatment augments motor neuron degeneration in SOD1(G93A) mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Autophagy*. 2011; 7:412–425. [PubMed: 21193837]
57. Nassif M, et al. Pathogenic role of BECN1/Beclin 1 in the development of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Autophagy*. 2014; 10:1256–1271. [PubMed: 24905722]
58. Guha P, Harraz MM, Snyder SH. Cocaine elicits autophagic cytotoxicity via a nitric oxide-GAPDH signaling cascade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016; 113:1417–1422. [PubMed: 26787898]
59. Sutton LP, Caron MG. Essential role of D1R in the regulation of mTOR complex1 signaling induced by cocaine. *Neuropharmacology*. 2015; 99:610–619. [PubMed: 26314207]
60. Wu J, McCallum SE, Glick SD, Huang Y. Inhibition of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway by rapamycin blocks cocaine-induced locomotor sensitization. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 172:104–109. [PubMed: 20977929]
61. Mehta A, Prabhakar M, Kumar P, Deshmukh R, Sharma PL. Excitotoxicity: bridge to various triggers in neurodegenerative disorders. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2013; 698:6–18. [PubMed: 23123057]
62. Stamoula E, et al. Low dose administration of glutamate triggers a non-apoptotic, autophagic response in PC12 cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2015; 37:1750–1758. [PubMed: 26584276]
63. Wang Y, et al. An autophagic mechanism is involved in apoptotic death of rat striatal neurons induced by the non-*N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor agonist kainic acid. *Autophagy*. 2008; 4:214–226. [PubMed: 18094625]
64. Perez-Carrion MD, et al. Dendrimer-mediated siRNA delivery knocks down Beclin 1 and potentiates NMDA-mediated toxicity in rat cortical neurons. *J Neurochem*. 2012; 120:259–268. [PubMed: 22035151]

65. Kulbe JR, Mulcahy Levy JM, Coultrap SJ, Thorburn A, Bayer KU. Excitotoxic glutamate insults block autophagic flux in hippocampal neurons. *Brain Res.* 2014; 1542:12–19. [PubMed: 24505621]
66. Giorgi FS, Biagioni F, Lenzi P, Frati A, Fornai F. The role of autophagy in epileptogenesis and in epilepsy-induced neuronal alterations. *J Neural Transm (Vienna).* 2015; 122:849–862. [PubMed: 25217966]
67. McMahon J, et al. Impaired autophagy in neurons after disinhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin and its contribution to epileptogenesis. *J Neurosci.* 2012; 32:15704–15714. [PubMed: 23136410]
68. Zeng LH, Xu L, Gutmann DH, Wong M. Rapamycin prevents epilepsy in a mouse model of tuberous sclerosis complex. *Ann Neurol.* 2008; 63:444–453. [PubMed: 18389497]
69. Zhou J, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of mTORC1 suppresses anatomical, cellular, and behavioral abnormalities in neural-specific Pten knock-out mice. *J Neurosci.* 2009; 29:1773–1783. [PubMed: 19211884]
70. Zeng LH, et al. Tsc2 gene inactivation causes a more severe epilepsy phenotype than Tsc1 inactivation in a mouse model of tuberous sclerosis complex. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2011; 20:445–454. [PubMed: 21062901]
71. Chang CF, et al. Melatonin attenuates kainic acid-induced neurotoxicity in mouse hippocampus via inhibition of autophagy and α -synuclein aggregation. *J Pineal Res.* 2012; 52:312–321. [PubMed: 22212051]
72. Ginet V, et al. Involvement of autophagy in hypoxic-excitotoxic neuronal death. *Autophagy.* 2014; 10:846–860. [PubMed: 24674959]
73. Zheng Y, et al. Inhibition of autophagy contributes to melatonin-mediated neuroprotection against transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2014; 124:354–364. [PubMed: 24646622]
74. Papadakis M, et al. Tsc1 (hamartin) confers neuroprotection against ischemia by inducing autophagy. *Nat Med.* 2013; 19:351–357. [PubMed: 23435171]
75. Wang P, et al. Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase protects against ischemic stroke through SIRT1-dependent adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase pathway. *Ann Neurol.* 2011; 69:360–374. [PubMed: 21246601]
76. Zhang X, et al. Cerebral ischemia-reperfusion-induced autophagy protects against neuronal injury by mitochondrial clearance. *Autophagy.* 2013; 9:1321–1333. [PubMed: 23800795]
77. Buckley KM, et al. Rapamycin up-regulation of autophagy reduces infarct size and improves outcomes in both permanent MCAL, and embolic MCAO, murine models of stroke. *Exp Transl Stroke Med.* 2014; 6:8. [PubMed: 24991402]
78. Zhang X, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induced by tunicamycin and thapsigargin protects against transient ischemic brain injury: involvement of PARK2-dependent mitophagy. *Autophagy.* 2014; 10:1801–1813. [PubMed: 25126734]
79. Sheng R, et al. Autophagy activation is associated with neuroprotection in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemic preconditioning. *Autophagy.* 2010; 6:482–494. [PubMed: 20400854]
80. Su J, Zhang T, Wang K, Zhu T, Li X. Autophagy activation contributes to the neuroprotection of remote ischemic preconditioning against focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Neurochem Res.* 2014; 39:2068–2077. [PubMed: 25082119]
81. Jiang T, et al. Acute metformin preconditioning confers neuroprotection against focal cerebral ischaemia by pre-activation of AMPK-dependent autophagy. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2014; 171:3146–3157. [PubMed: 24611741]
82. Jiang T, et al. Ischemic preconditioning provides neuroprotection by induction of AMP-activated protein kinase-dependent autophagy in a rat model of ischemic stroke. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2015; 51:220–229. [PubMed: 24809692]
83. Zheng YQ, Liu JX, Li XZ, Xu L, Xu YG. RNA interference-mediated downregulation of Beclin1 attenuates cerebral ischemic injury in rats. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2009; 30:919–927. [PubMed: 19574998]

84. Cui DR, et al. Propofol prevents cerebral ischemia-triggered autophagy activation and cell death in the rat hippocampus through the NF- κ B/p53 signaling pathway. *Neuroscience*. 2013; 246:117–132. [PubMed: 23644056]
85. Zheng C, et al. NAD⁺ administration decreases ischemic brain damage partially by blocking autophagy in a mouse model of brain ischemia. *Neurosci Lett*. 2012; 512:67–71. [PubMed: 22260797]
86. Wen YD, et al. Neuronal injury in rat model of permanent focal cerebral ischemia is associated with activation of autophagic and lysosomal pathways. *Autophagy*. 2008; 4:762–769. [PubMed: 18567942]
87. Kubota C, et al. Constitutive reactive oxygen species generation from autophagosome/lysosome in neuronal oxidative toxicity. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:667–674. [PubMed: 19850931]
88. Xin XY, et al. 2-Methoxyestradiol attenuates autophagy activation after global ischemia. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2011; 38:631–638. [PubMed: 21672704]
89. Liu N, Shang J, Tian F, Nishi H, Abe K. *In vivo* optical imaging for evaluating the efficacy of edaravone after transient cerebral ischemia in mice. *Brain Res*. 2011; 1397:66–75. [PubMed: 21571257]
90. Carloni S, Buonocore G, Balduini W. Protective role of autophagy in neonatal hypoxia-ischemia induced brain injury. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2008; 32:329–339. [PubMed: 18760364]
91. Koike M, et al. Inhibition of autophagy prevents hippocampal pyramidal neuron death after hypoxic-ischemic injury. *Am J Pathol*. 2008; 172:454–469. [PubMed: 18187572]
92. Xie C, et al. Neuroprotection by selective neuronal deletion of Atg7 in neonatal brain injury. *Autophagy*. 2016; 12:410–423. This report provides compelling evidence in support of the concept that efficient autophagic responses in the neurons of newborns contribute to the aetiology of neonatal asphyxia. [PubMed: 26727396]
93. Puyal J, Vaslin A, Mottier V, Clarke PG. Postischemic treatment of neonatal cerebral ischemia should target autophagy. *Ann Neurol*. 2009; 66:378–389. [PubMed: 19551849]
94. Liu Y, et al. Autosis is a Na⁺, K⁺-ATPase-regulated form of cell death triggered by autophagy-inducing peptides, starvation, and hypoxia-ischemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013; 110:20364–20371. This is the first description of the mechanisms and pathophysiological relevance of autosis, which is an instance of autophagic cell death that also relies on the plasma membrane Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and hence can be inhibited by cardiac glycosides. [PubMed: 24277826]
95. Wang ZY, Liu WG, Muharram A, Wu ZY, Lin JH. Neuroprotective effects of autophagy induced by rapamycin in rat acute spinal cord injury model. *Neuroimmunomodulation*. 2014; 21:257–267. [PubMed: 24603048]
96. Jing CH, et al. Autophagy activation is associated with neuroprotection against apoptosis via a mitochondrial pathway in a rat model of subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neuroscience*. 2012; 213:144–153. [PubMed: 22521819]
97. Chen J, et al. Melatonin-enhanced autophagy protects against neural apoptosis via a mitochondrial pathway in early brain injury following a subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Pineal Res*. 2014; 56:12–19. [PubMed: 24033352]
98. Shao A, et al. Enhancement of autophagy by histone deacetylase inhibitor Trichostatin A ameliorates neuronal apoptosis after subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2016; 53:18–27. [PubMed: 25399954]
99. Zhao H, et al. Role of autophagy in early brain injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats. *Mol Biol Rep*. 2013; 40:819–827. [PubMed: 23054025]
100. Zhou Y, et al. Retinoic acid prevents disruption of blood-spinal cord barrier by inducing autophagic flux after spinal cord injury. *Neurochem Res*. 2015; 41:813–825. [PubMed: 26582233]
101. Erlich S, Alexandrovich A, Shohami E, Pinkas-Kramarski R. Rapamycin is a neuroprotective treatment for traumatic brain injury. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2007; 26:86–93. [PubMed: 17270455]
102. Song Q, Xie D, Pan S, Xu W. Rapamycin protects neurons from brain contusion-induced inflammatory reaction via modulation of microglial activation. *Mol Med Rep*. 2015; 12:7203–7210. [PubMed: 26458361]

103. Viscomi MT, et al. Stimulation of autophagy by rapamycin protects neurons from remote degeneration after acute focal brain damage. *Autophagy*. 2012; 8:222–235. [PubMed: 22248716]
104. Cui CM, et al. Chloroquine exerts neuroprotection following traumatic brain injury via suppression of inflammation and neuronal autophagic death. *Mol Med Rep*. 2015; 12:2323–2328. [PubMed: 25872478]
105. Luo CL, et al. Autophagy is involved in traumatic brain injury-induced cell death and contributes to functional outcome deficits in mice. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 184:54–63. [PubMed: 21463664]
106. Martinet W, Knaapen MW, Kockx MM, De Meyer GR. Autophagy in cardiovascular disease. *Trends Mol Med*. 2007; 13:482–491. [PubMed: 18029229]
107. Tanaka Y, et al. Accumulation of autophagic vacuoles and cardiomyopathy in LAMP-2-deficient mice. *Nature*. 2000; 406:902–906. [PubMed: 10972293]
108. Nishino I, et al. Primary LAMP-2 deficiency causes X-linked vacuolar cardiomyopathy and myopathy (Danon disease). *Nature*. 2000; 406:906–910. [PubMed: 10972294]
109. Nakai A, et al. The role of autophagy in cardiomyocytes in the basal state and in response to hemodynamic stress. *Nat Med*. 2007; 13:619–624. [PubMed: 17450150]
110. Huang C, et al. Preconditioning involves selective mitophagy mediated by Parkin and p62/SQSTM1. *PLoS ONE*. 2011; 6:e20975. [PubMed: 21687634]
111. Liao X, et al. Macrophage autophagy plays a protective role in advanced atherosclerosis. *Cell Metab*. 2012; 15:545–553. [PubMed: 22445600]
112. Oka T, et al. Mitochondrial DNA that escapes from autophagy causes inflammation and heart failure. *Nature*. 2012; 485:251–255. [PubMed: 22535248]
113. Tannous P, et al. Autophagy is an adaptive response in desmin-related cardiomyopathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:9745–9750. [PubMed: 18621691]
114. Bhuiyan MS, et al. Enhanced autophagy ameliorates cardiac proteinopathy. *J Clin Invest*. 2013; 123:5284–5297. [PubMed: 24177425]
115. Xie M, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibition blunts ischemia/reperfusion injury by inducing cardiomyocyte autophagy. *Circulation*. 2014; 129:1139–1151. [PubMed: 24396039]
116. Sala-Mercado JA, et al. Profound cardioprotection with chloramphenicol succinate in the swine model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Circulation*. 2010; 122:S179–S184. [PubMed: 20837911]
117. Sciarretta S, et al. Rheb is a critical regulator of autophagy during myocardial ischemia: pathophysiological implications in obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Circulation*. 2012; 125:1134–1146. [PubMed: 22294621]
118. Finckenberg P, et al. Caloric restriction ameliorates angiotensin II-induced mitochondrial remodeling and cardiac hypertrophy. *Hypertension*. 2012; 59:76–84. [PubMed: 22068868]
119. Bostrom P, et al. C/EBP β controls exercise-induced cardiac growth and protects against pathological cardiac remodeling. *Cell*. 2010; 143:1072–1083. [PubMed: 21183071]
120. Shirakabe A, et al. Drp1-dependent mitochondrial autophagy plays a protective role against pressure overload-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and heart failure. *Circulation*. 2016; 133:1249–1263. [PubMed: 26915633]
121. Eisenberg T, et al. Cardioprotection and lifespan extension by the natural polyamine spermidine. *Nat Med*. 2016; 22:1428–1438. This paper shows that the CRM spermidine extends lifespan in mice as it mediates autophagy-dependent cardioprotective effects, and that a spermidine-rich diet is associated with a lower incidence of cardiovascular disorders in humans. [PubMed: 27841876]
122. Garg S, Bourantas C, Serruys PW. New concepts in the design of drug-eluting coronary stents. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2013; 10:248–260. [PubMed: 23419901]
123. Marx SO, Marks AR. Bench to bedside: the development of rapamycin and its application to stent restenosis. *Circulation*. 2001; 104:852–855. [PubMed: 11514367]
124. Zhu H, et al. Cardiac autophagy is a maladaptive response to hemodynamic stress. *J Clin Invest*. 2007; 117:1782–1793. [PubMed: 17607355]
125. Matsui Y, et al. Distinct roles of autophagy in the heart during ischemia and reperfusion: roles of AMP-activated protein kinase and Beclin 1 in mediating autophagy. *Circ Res*. 2007; 100:914–922. [PubMed: 17332429]

126. Xu X, et al. Diminished autophagy limits cardiac injury in mouse models of type 1 diabetes. *J Biol Chem*. 2013; 288:18077–18092. [PubMed: 23658055]
127. Schneider JL, Cuervo AM. Liver autophagy: much more than just taking out the trash. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014; 11:187–200. [PubMed: 24192609]
128. Sequea DA, Sharma N, Arias EB, Cartee GD. Calorie restriction enhances insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and Akt phosphorylation in both fast-twitch and slow-twitch skeletal muscle of 24-month-old rats. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2012; 67:1279–1285. [PubMed: 22454372]
129. Marcinko K, et al. High intensity interval training improves liver and adipose tissue insulin sensitivity. *Mol Metab*. 2015; 4:903–915. [PubMed: 26909307]
130. Cool B, et al. Identification and characterization of a small molecule AMPK activator that treats key components of type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. *Cell Metab*. 2006; 3:403–416. [PubMed: 16753576]
131. Hatori M, et al. Time-restricted feeding without reducing caloric intake prevents metabolic diseases in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Cell Metab*. 2012; 15:848–860. [PubMed: 22608008]
132. Leontieva OV, Paszkiewicz G, Demidenko ZN, Blagosklonny MV. Resveratrol potentiates rapamycin to prevent hyperinsulinemia and obesity in male mice on high fat diet. *Cell Death Dis*. 2013; 4:e472. [PubMed: 23348586]
133. Sun L, et al. Hydrogen sulfide reduces serum triglyceride by activating liver autophagy via the AMPK-mTOR pathway. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2015; 309:E925–E935. [PubMed: 26442880]
134. Lin CW, et al. Pharmacological promotion of autophagy alleviates steatosis and injury in alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver conditions in mice. *J Hepatol*. 2013; 58:993–999. [PubMed: 23339953]
135. Kim KE, et al. Caloric restriction of db/db mice reverts hepatic steatosis and body weight with divergent hepatic metabolism. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 6:30111. [PubMed: 27439777]
136. Song YM, et al. Metformin alleviates hepatosteatosis by restoring SIRT1-mediated autophagy induction via an AMP-activated protein kinase-independent pathway. *Autophagy*. 2015; 11:46–59. [PubMed: 25484077]
137. Hidvegi T, et al. An autophagy-enhancing drug promotes degradation of mutant α 1-antitrypsin Z and reduces hepatic fibrosis. *Science*. 2010; 329:229–232. [PubMed: 20522742]
138. Lamming DW, et al. Rapamycin-induced insulin resistance is mediated by mTORC2 loss and uncoupled from longevity. *Science*. 2012; 335:1638–1643. [PubMed: 22461615]
139. Schreiber KH, et al. Rapamycin-mediated mTORC2 inhibition is determined by the relative expression of FK506-binding proteins. *Aging Cell*. 2015; 14:265–273. [PubMed: 25652038]
140. Mottillo EP, et al. Lack of adipocyte AMPK exacerbates insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis through brown and beige adipose tissue function. *Cell Metab*. 2016; 24:118–129. [PubMed: 27411013]
141. Yang L, Li P, Fu S, Calay ES, Hotamisligil GS. Defective hepatic autophagy in obesity promotes ER stress and causes insulin resistance. *Cell Metab*. 2010; 11:467–478. [PubMed: 20519119]
142. Ding WX, et al. Autophagy reduces acute ethanol-induced hepatotoxicity and steatosis in mice. *Gastroenterology*. 2010; 139:1740–1752. [PubMed: 20659474]
143. Ni HM, Du K, You M, Ding WX. Critical role of FoxO3a in alcohol-induced autophagy and hepatotoxicity. *Am J Pathol*. 2013; 183:1815–1825. [PubMed: 24095927]
144. Shaw RJ, et al. The kinase LKB1 mediates glucose homeostasis in liver and therapeutic effects of metformin. *Science*. 2005; 310:1642–1646. [PubMed: 16308421]
145. Lee HY, et al. Autophagy deficiency in myeloid cells increases susceptibility to obesity-induced diabetes and experimental colitis. *Autophagy*. 2016; 12:1390–1403. [PubMed: 27337687]
146. Sakaguchi M, et al. Inhibition of mTOR signaling with rapamycin attenuates renal hypertrophy in the early diabetic mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2006; 340:296–301. [PubMed: 16364254]
147. Ding DF, et al. Resveratrol attenuates renal hypertrophy in early-stage diabetes by activating AMPK. *Am J Nephrol*. 2010; 31:363–374. [PubMed: 20332614]

148. Tikoo K, Tripathi DN, Kabra DG, Sharma V, Gaikwad AB. Intermittent fasting prevents the progression of type I diabetic nephropathy in rats and changes the expression of Sir2 and p53. *FEBS Lett.* 2007; 581:1071–1078. [PubMed: 17316625]
149. Jung HS, et al. Loss of autophagy diminishes pancreatic beta cell mass and function with resultant hyperglycemia. *Cell Metab.* 2008; 8:318–324. [PubMed: 18840362]
150. Goginashvili A, et al. Insulin granules. Insulin secretory granules control autophagy in pancreatic beta cells. *Science.* 2015; 347:878–882. This article provides compelling evidence in support of the concept that insulin granules are degraded by autophagy in pancreatic β -cells as a mechanism that negatively regulates insulin secretion under fasting conditions. [PubMed: 25700520]
151. Altshuler-Keylin S, et al. Beige adipocyte maintenance is regulated by autophagy-induced mitochondrial clearance. *Cell Metab.* 2016; 24:402–419. This article shows that efficient autophagic responses are required for the beige-to-white fat transition, which is generally coupled to HFD-induced obesity and insulin resistance. [PubMed: 27568548]
152. Galluzzi L, et al. Autophagy in malignant transformation and cancer progression. *EMBO J.* 2015; 34:856–880. [PubMed: 25712477]
153. Takamura A, et al. Autophagy-deficient mice develop multiple liver tumors. *Genes Dev.* 2011; 25:795–800. [PubMed: 21498569]
154. Rao S, et al. A dual role for autophagy in a murine model of lung cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2014; 5:3056. [PubMed: 24445999]
155. Strohecker AM, et al. Autophagy sustains mitochondrial glutamine metabolism and growth of BrafV600E-driven lung tumors. *Cancer Discov.* 2013; 3:1272–1285. [PubMed: 23965987]
156. Rosenfeldt MT, et al. p53 status determines the role of autophagy in pancreatic tumour development. *Nature.* 2013; 504:296–300. References 154–156 provide solid genetic data demonstrating that autophagy inhibits malignant transformation but accelerates tumour progression in the setting of KRAS-driven or BRAF-driven pulmonary or pancreatic carcinogenesis. [PubMed: 24305049]
157. Pattingre S, et al. Bcl-2 antiapoptotic proteins inhibit Beclin 1-dependent autophagy. *Cell.* 2005; 122:927–939. [PubMed: 16179260]
158. Wei Y, et al. EGFR-mediated Beclin 1 phosphorylation in autophagy suppression, tumor progression, and tumor chemoresistance. *Cell.* 2013; 154:1269–1284. [PubMed: 24034250]
159. Maiuri MC, et al. Autophagy regulation by p53. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2010; 22:181–185. [PubMed: 20044243]
160. Wang RC, et al. Akt-mediated regulation of autophagy and tumorigenesis through Beclin 1 phosphorylation. *Science.* 2012; 338:956–959. [PubMed: 23112296]
161. Galluzzi L, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Demaria S, Formenti SC, Kroemer G. Activating autophagy to potentiate immunogenic chemotherapy and radiation therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2016; 14:247–258. This recent review summarizes preclinical and clinical data indicating that autophagy activators, rather than inhibitors, may potentiate the therapeutic effects of anticancer agents that promote, or at least are compatible with, tumour-targeting immune responses. [PubMed: 27845767]
162. Guo JY, Xia B, White E. Autophagy-mediated tumor promotion. *Cell.* 2013; 155:1216–1219. [PubMed: 24315093]
163. Pietrocola F, et al. Caloric restriction mimetics enhance anticancer immunosurveillance. *Cancer Cell.* 2016; 30:147–160. [PubMed: 27411589]
164. Di Biase S, et al. Fasting-mimicking diet reduces HO-1 to promote T cell-mediated tumor cytotoxicity. *Cancer Cell.* 2016; 30:136–146. [PubMed: 27411588]
165. Ladoire S, et al. Combined evaluation of LC3B puncta and HMGB1 expression predicts residual risk of relapse after adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *Autophagy.* 2015; 11:1878–1890. [PubMed: 26506894]
166. Puleston DJ, et al. Autophagy is a critical regulator of memory CD8⁺ T cell formation. *eLife.* 2014; 3:e03706.
167. Pua HH, Dzhalgalov I, Chuck M, Mizushima N, He YW. A critical role for the autophagy gene Atg5 in T cell survival and proliferation. *J Exp Med.* 2007; 204:25–31. [PubMed: 17190837]

168. Thurston TL, Ryzhakov G, Bloor S, von Muhlinen N, Randow F. The TBK1 adaptor and autophagy receptor NDP52 restricts the proliferation of ubiquitin-coated bacteria. *Nat Immunol.* 2009; 10:1215–1221. [PubMed: 19820708]
169. Gutierrez MG, et al. Autophagy is a defense mechanism inhibiting BCG and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* survival in infected macrophages. *Cell.* 2004; 119:753–766. [PubMed: 15607973]
170. Travassos LH, et al. Nod1 and Nod2 direct autophagy by recruiting ATG16L1 to the plasma membrane at the site of bacterial entry. *Nat Immunol.* 2010; 11:55–62. [PubMed: 19898471]
171. Py BF, Lipinski MM, Yuan J. Autophagy limits *Listeria monocytogenes* intracellular growth in the early phase of primary infection. *Autophagy.* 2007; 3:117–125. [PubMed: 17204850]
172. Nakagawa I, et al. Autophagy defends cells against invading group A *Streptococcus*. *Science.* 2004; 306:1037–1040. [PubMed: 15528445]
173. Shahnazari S, Namolovan A, Mogridge J, Kim PK, Brumell JH. Bacterial toxins can inhibit host cell autophagy through cAMP generation. *Autophagy.* 2011; 7:957–965. [PubMed: 21606683]
174. Tattoli I, et al. Amino acid starvation induced by invasive bacterial pathogens triggers an innate host defense program. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2012; 11:563–575. [PubMed: 22704617]
175. Choy A, et al. The Legionella effector RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible Atg8 deconjugation. *Science.* 2012; 338:1072–1076. This paper identifies a protein from *L. pneumophila* that operates as a virulence factor by inhibiting autophagy in host cells upon LC3 deconjugation. [PubMed: 23112293]
176. Dong N, et al. Structurally distinct bacterial TBC-like GTPases link Arf GTPase to Rab1 inactivation to counteract host defenses. *Cell.* 2012; 150:1029–1041. [PubMed: 22939626]
177. Yoshikawa Y, et al. *Listeria monocytogenes* ActA-mediated escape from autophagic recognition. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009; 11:1233–1240. [PubMed: 19749745]
178. Kim JJ, et al. Host cell autophagy activated by antibiotics is required for their effective antimycobacterial drug action. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2012; 11:457–468. [PubMed: 22607799]
179. Kuo SY, et al. Small-molecule enhancers of autophagy modulate cellular disease phenotypes suggested by human genetics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2015; 112:E4281–E4287. [PubMed: 26195741]
180. Lapaquette P, Bringer MA, Darfeuille-Michaud A. Defects in autophagy favour adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* persistence within macrophages leading to increased pro-inflammatory response. *Cell Microbiol.* 2012; 14:791–807. [PubMed: 22309232]
181. Miao Y, Li G, Zhang X, Xu H, Abraham SNA. TRP channel senses lysosome neutralization by pathogens to trigger their expulsion. *Cell.* 2015; 161:1306–1319. [PubMed: 26027738]
182. Lee S, et al. Carbon monoxide confers protection in sepsis by enhancing beclin 1-dependent autophagy and phagocytosis. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2014; 20:432–442. [PubMed: 23971531]
183. Gong L, et al. The Burkholderia pseudomallei type III secretion system and BopA are required for evasion of LC3-associated phagocytosis. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6:e17852. [PubMed: 21412437]
184. Lam GY, Cemma M, Muise AM, Higgins DE, Brumell JH. Host and bacterial factors that regulate LC3 recruitment to *Listeria monocytogenes* during the early stages of macrophage infection. *Autophagy.* 2013; 9:985–995. [PubMed: 23584039]
185. Manzanillo PS, et al. The ubiquitin ligase parkin mediates resistance to intracellular pathogens. *Nature.* 2013; 501:512–516. [PubMed: 24005326]
186. Kimmey JM, et al. Unique role for ATG5 in neutrophil-mediated immunopathology during *M. tuberculosis* infection. *Nature.* 2015; 528:565–569. This report demonstrates that ATG5, but not several other components of the canonical autophagic machinery, in monocytes is required for mice to normally control *M. tuberculosis* infection. [PubMed: 26649827]
187. Renna M, et al. Azithromycin blocks autophagy and may predispose cystic fibrosis patients to mycobacterial infection. *J Clin Invest.* 2011; 121:3554–3563. [PubMed: 21804191]
188. Gutierrez MG, et al. Autophagy induction favours the generation and maturation of the Coxiella-replicative vacuoles. *Cell Microbiol.* 2005; 7:981–993. [PubMed: 15953030]
189. Niu H, Xiong Q, Yamamoto A, Hayashi-Nishino M, Rikihisa Y. Autophagosomes induced by a bacterial Beclin 1 binding protein facilitate obligatory intracellular infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2012; 109:20800–20807. This study provides robust evidence in support of the notion that

A. phagocytophilum actively promotes BECN1-dependent autophagic responses in host cells to acquire nutrients for growth. [PubMed: 23197835]

190. Raoult D, et al. Treatment of Q fever endocarditis: comparison of 2 regimens containing doxycycline and ofloxacin or hydroxychloroquine. *Arch Intern Med*. 1999; 159:167–173. [PubMed: 9927100]
191. Orvedahl A, et al. Image-based genome-wide siRNA screen identifies selective autophagy factors. *Nature*. 2011; 480:113–117. [PubMed: 22020285]
192. Liang XH, et al. Protection against fatal Sindbis virus encephalitis by beclin, a novel Bcl-2-interacting protein. *J Virol*. 1998; 72:8586–8596. [PubMed: 9765397]
193. Sumpter R Jr, et al. Fanconi anemia proteins function in mitophagy and immunity. *Cell*. 2016; 165:867–881. This is the first demonstration that members of the FANC protein family participate not only in the DNA damage response but also in virophagy and mitophagy. [PubMed: 27133164]
194. Orvedahl A, et al. HSV-1 ICP34.5 confers neurovirulence by targeting the Beclin 1 autophagy protein. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2007; 1:23–35. [PubMed: 18005679]
195. Shelly S, Lukinova N, Bambina S, Berman A, Cherry S. Autophagy is an essential component of *Drosophila* immunity against vesicular stomatitis virus. *Immunity*. 2009; 30:588–598. [PubMed: 19362021]
196. Roy J, Paquette JS, Fortin JF, Tremblay MJ. The immunosuppressant rapamycin represses human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002; 46:3447–3455. [PubMed: 12384349]
197. Shoji-Kawata S, et al. Identification of a candidate therapeutic autophagy-inducing peptide. *Nature*. 2013; 494:201–206. [PubMed: 23364696]
198. Nicoletti F, et al. Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) infection in human peripheral blood leucocytes-SCID reconstituted mice by rapamycin. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2009; 155:28–34. [PubMed: 19076826]
199. Alirezaei M, Flynn CT, Wood MR, Whitton JL. Pancreatic acinar cell-specific autophagy disruption reduces coxsackievirus replication and pathogenesis *in vivo*. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2012; 11:298–305. [PubMed: 22423969]
200. Nicola AM, et al. Macrophage autophagy in immunity to *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Candida albicans*. *Infect Immun*. 2012; 80:3065–3076. [PubMed: 22710871]
201. Kanayama M, et al. Autophagy enhances NFκB activity in specific tissue macrophages by sequestering A20 to boost antifungal immunity. *Nat Commun*. 2015; 6:5779. [PubMed: 25609235]
202. Akoumianaki T, et al. Aspergillus cell wall melanin blocks LC3-associated phagocytosis to promote pathogenicity. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2016; 19:79–90. [PubMed: 26749442]
203. Zhao Z, et al. Autophagosome-independent essential function for the autophagy protein Atg5 in cellular immunity to intracellular pathogens. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2008; 4:458–469. [PubMed: 18996346]
204. Choi J, et al. The parasitophorous vacuole membrane of *Toxoplasma gondii* is targeted for disruption by ubiquitin-like conjugation systems of autophagy. *Immunity*. 2014; 40:924–935. [PubMed: 24931121]
205. Selleck EM, et al. A noncanonical autophagy pathway restricts *Toxoplasma gondii* growth in a strain-specific manner in IFN-γ-activated human cells. *mBio*. 2015; 6:e01157–15. [PubMed: 26350966]
206. Flannery EL, Chatterjee AK, Winzeler EA. Antimalarial drug discovery — approaches and progress towards new medicines. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2013; 11:849–862. [PubMed: 24217412]
207. Sullivan DJ Jr, Gluzman IY, Russell DG, Goldberg DE. On the molecular mechanism of chloroquine's antimalarial action. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1996; 93:11865–11870. [PubMed: 8876229]
208. Roetzer A, Gratz N, Kovarik P, Schuller C. Autophagy supports *Candida glabrata* survival during phagocytosis. *Cell Microbiol*. 2010; 12:199–216. [PubMed: 19811500]
209. Deretic V, Saitoh T, Akira S. Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013; 13:722–737. [PubMed: 24064518]

210. Nakahira K, et al. Autophagy proteins regulate innate immune responses by inhibiting the release of mitochondrial DNA mediated by the NALP3 inflammasome. *Nat Immunol.* 2011; 12:222–230. [PubMed: 21151103]
211. Shi CS, et al. Activation of autophagy by inflammatory signals limits IL-1 β production by targeting ubiquitinated inflammasomes for destruction. *Nat Immunol.* 2012; 13:255–263. [PubMed: 22286270]
212. Dang J, et al. Gene–gene interaction of ATG5, ATG7, BLK and BANK1 in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Int J Rheum Dis.* 2016; 19:1284–1293. [PubMed: 26420661]
213. Martinez J, et al. Noncanonical autophagy inhibits the autoinflammatory, lupus-like response to dying cells. *Nature.* 2016; 533:115–119. This report elegantly proves that LAP defects, but not defects in canonical autophagy, are associated with impaired efferocytosis, secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and spontaneous onset of an SLE-like disorder in mice. [PubMed: 27096368]
214. Remijsen Q, et al. Neutrophil extracellular trap cell death requires both autophagy and superoxide generation. *Cell Res.* 2011; 21:290–304. [PubMed: 21060338]
215. Lee SJ, Silverman E, Bargman JM. The role of antimalarial agents in the treatment of SLE and lupus nephritis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2011; 7:718–729. [PubMed: 22009248]
216. Rioux JD, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for Crohn disease and implicates autophagy in disease pathogenesis. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:596–604. [PubMed: 17435756]
217. Henckaerts L, et al. Genetic variation in the autophagy gene ULK1 and risk of Crohn’s disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011; 17:1392–1397. [PubMed: 21560199]
218. Kaul A, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2016; 2:16039. [PubMed: 27306639]
219. Matsuda C, et al. Therapeutic effect of a new immunosuppressive agent, everolimus, on interleukin-10 gene-deficient mice with colitis. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2007; 148:348–359. [PubMed: 17437423]
220. Dumortier J, et al. Everolimus for refractory Crohn’s disease: a case report. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2008; 14:874–877. [PubMed: 18275074]
221. Yang Z, Fujii H, Mohan SV, Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Phosphofructokinase deficiency impairs ATP generation, autophagy, and redox balance in rheumatoid arthritis T cells. *J Exp Med.* 2013; 210:2119–2134. [PubMed: 24043759]
222. van Loosdregt J, et al. Increased autophagy in CD4⁺ T cells of rheumatoid arthritis patients results in T-cell hyperactivation and apoptosis resistance. *Eur J Immunol.* 2016; 46:2862–2870. [PubMed: 27624289]
223. Yoshizaki A, et al. Treatment with rapamycin prevents fibrosis in tight-skin and bleomycin-induced mouse models of systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2010; 62:2476–2487. [PubMed: 20506342]
224. Bhattacharya A, Parillon X, Zeng S, Han S, Eissa NT. Deficiency of autophagy in dendritic cells protects against experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Biol Chem.* 2014; 289:26525–26532. [PubMed: 25077962]
225. Prevel N, Allenbach Y, Klatzmann D, Salomon B, Benveniste O. Beneficial role of rapamycin in experimental autoimmune myositis. *PLoS ONE.* 2013; 8:e74450. [PubMed: 24265670]
226. Esposito M, et al. Rapamycin inhibits relapsing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by both effector and regulatory T cells modulation. *J Neuroimmunol.* 2010; 220:52–63. [PubMed: 20149931]
227. Zhang Z, et al. Low dose rapamycin exacerbates autoimmune experimental uveitis. *PLoS ONE.* 2012; 7:e36589. [PubMed: 22574188]
228. Teachey DT, et al. Treatment with sirolimus results in complete responses in patients with autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Br J Haematol.* 2009; 145:101–106. [PubMed: 19208097]
229. Howitz KT, et al. Small molecule activators of sirtuins extend *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lifespan. *Nature.* 2003; 425:191–196. [PubMed: 12939617]

230. Eisenberg T, et al. Induction of autophagy by spermidine promotes longevity. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009; 11:1305–1314. [PubMed: 19801973]
231. Morselli E, et al. Caloric restriction and resveratrol promote longevity through the Sirtuin-1-dependent induction of autophagy. *Cell Death Dis.* 2010; 1:e10. [PubMed: 21364612]
232. Melendez A, et al. Autophagy genes are essential for dauer development and life-span extension in *C. elegans*. *Science.* 2003; 301:1387–1391. [PubMed: 12958363]
233. Lapierre LR, et al. The TFEB orthologue HLH-30 regulates autophagy and modulates longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Commun.* 2013; 4:2267. [PubMed: 23925298]
234. Harrison DE, et al. Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in genetically heterogeneous mice. *Nature.* 2009; 460:392–395. This is the first demonstration that administering rapamycin late in life extends the lifespan of mice independently of their genetic background. [PubMed: 19587680]
235. Baur JA, et al. Resveratrol improves health and survival of mice on a high-calorie diet. *Nature.* 2006; 444:337–342. [PubMed: 17086191]
236. Pyo JO, et al. Overexpression of Atg5 in mice activates autophagy and extends lifespan. *Nat Commun.* 2013; 4:2300. [PubMed: 23939249]
237. Colman RJ, et al. Caloric restriction reduces age-related and all-cause mortality in rhesus monkeys. *Nat Commun.* 2014; 5:3557. [PubMed: 24691430]
238. Madeo F, Zimmermann A, Maiuri MC, Kroemer G. Essential role for autophagy in life span extension. *J Clin Invest.* 2015; 125:85–93. [PubMed: 25654554]
239. Lopez-Otin C, Galluzzi L, Freije JM, Madeo F, Kroemer G. Metabolic control of longevity. *Cell.* 2016; 166:802–821. This recent review dissects the intimate relationship between metabolism and ageing, highlighting several points of intervention at which strategies that support metabolic fitness may extend healthy lifespan in humans. [PubMed: 27518560]
240. Yang L, et al. Long-term calorie restriction enhances cellular quality-control processes in human skeletal muscle. *Cell Rep.* 2016; 14:422–428. [PubMed: 26774472]
241. Patel AS, et al. Autophagy in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *PLoS ONE.* 2012; 7:e41394. [PubMed: 22815997]
242. Lee SJ, et al. Autophagic protein LC3B confers resistance against hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011; 183:649–658. [PubMed: 20889906]
243. Luciani A, et al. Defective CFTR induces aggresome formation and lung inflammation in cystic fibrosis through ROS-mediated autophagy inhibition. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010; 12:863–875. [PubMed: 20711182]
244. Chung EJ, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibition with rapamycin mitigates radiation-induced pulmonary fibrosis in a murine model. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016; 96:857–866. [PubMed: 27663762]
245. Wang W, et al. mTORC1 is involved in hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension through the activation of Notch3. *J Cell Physiol.* 2014; 229:2117–2125. [PubMed: 24825564]
246. Sureshbabu A, et al. Inhibition of regulatory-associated protein of mechanistic target of rapamycin prevents hyperoxia-induced lung injury by enhancing autophagy and reducing apoptosis in neonatal mice. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2016; 55:722–735. [PubMed: 27374190]
247. Hu Y, et al. Activation of MTOR in pulmonary epithelium promotes LPS-induced acute lung injury. *Autophagy.* 2016; 12:2286–2299. [PubMed: 27658023]
248. Chen ZH, et al. Autophagy protein microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain-3B (LC3B) activates extrinsic apoptosis during cigarette smoke-induced emphysema. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2010; 107:18880–18885. [PubMed: 20956295]
249. Lam HC, et al. Histone deacetylase 6-mediated selective autophagy regulates COPD-associated cilia dysfunction. *J Clin Invest.* 2013; 123:5212–5230. [PubMed: 24200693]
250. Mizumura K, et al. Mitophagy-dependent necroptosis contributes to the pathogenesis of COPD. *J Clin Invest.* 2014; 124:3987–4003. This paper shows that mitophagic responses participate in the pathogenesis of COPD. [PubMed: 25083992]
251. Chen ZH, et al. Egr-1 regulates autophagy in cigarette smoke-induced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *PLoS ONE.* 2008; 3:e3316. [PubMed: 18830406]

252. Hartleben B, et al. Autophagy influences glomerular disease susceptibility and maintains podocyte homeostasis in aging mice. *J Clin Invest.* 2010; 120:1084–1096. [PubMed: 20200449]
253. Kawakami T, et al. Deficient autophagy results in mitochondrial dysfunction and FSGS. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2015; 26:1040–1052. [PubMed: 25406339]
254. Oliva Trejo JA, et al. Transient increase in proteinuria, poly-ubiquitinated proteins and ER stress markers in podocyte-specific autophagy-deficient mice following unilateral nephrectomy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2014; 446:1190–1196. [PubMed: 24680677]
255. Zeng C, et al. Podocyte autophagic activity plays a protective role in renal injury and delays the progression of podocytopathies. *J Pathol.* 2014; 234:203–213. [PubMed: 24870816]
256. Cinque L, et al. FGF signalling regulates bone growth through autophagy. *Nature.* 2015; 528:272–275. [PubMed: 26595272]
257. Chen J, Long F. mTORC1 signaling controls mammalian skeletal growth through stimulation of protein synthesis. *Development.* 2014; 141:2848–2854. [PubMed: 24948603]
258. Yang GE, et al. Rapamycin-induced autophagy activity promotes bone fracture healing in rats. *Exp Ther Med.* 2015; 10:1327–1333. [PubMed: 26622487]
259. Alvarez-Garcia O, et al. Rapamycin induces growth retardation by disrupting angiogenesis in the growth plate. *Kidney Int.* 2010; 78:561–568. [PubMed: 20555322]
260. Holstein JH, et al. Rapamycin affects early fracture healing in mice. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2008; 154:1055–1062. [PubMed: 18454167]
261. Futerman AH, van Meer G. The cell biology of lysosomal storage disorders. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2004; 5:554–565. [PubMed: 15232573]
262. Raben N, et al. Suppression of autophagy permits successful enzyme replacement therapy in a lysosomal storage disorder — murine Pompe disease. *Autophagy.* 2010; 6:1078–1089. [PubMed: 20861693]
263. Raben N, et al. Suppression of autophagy in skeletal muscle uncovers the accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins and their potential role in muscle damage in Pompe disease. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2008; 17:3897–3908. [PubMed: 18782848]
264. Awad O, et al. Altered TFEB-mediated lysosomal biogenesis in Gaucher disease iPSC-derived neuronal cells. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2015; 24:5775–5788. [PubMed: 26220978]
265. Maetzel D, et al. Genetic and chemical correction of cholesterol accumulation and impaired autophagy in hepatic and neural cells derived from Niemann–Pick Type C patient-specific iPSC cells. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2014; 2:866–880.
266. Zhou Z, et al. Autophagy supports color vision. *Autophagy.* 2015; 11:1821–1832. [PubMed: 26292183]
267. Rodriguez-Muela N, et al. Lysosomal membrane permeabilization and autophagy blockade contribute to photoreceptor cell death in a mouse model of retinitis pigmentosa. *Cell Death Differ.* 2015; 22:476–487. [PubMed: 25501597]
268. Kim JY, et al. Noncanonical autophagy promotes the visual cycle. *Cell.* 2013; 154:365–376. This article was the first to demonstrate that LAP is essential for the degradation of photoreceptor outer segments phagocytosed by the retinal epithelium in the course of the visual cycle. [PubMed: 23870125]
269. Santeford A, et al. Impaired autophagy in macrophages promotes inflammatory eye disease. *Autophagy.* 2016; 12:1876–1885. [PubMed: 27463423]
270. Selmi C. Diagnosis and classification of autoimmune uveitis. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2014; 13:591–594. [PubMed: 24424168]
271. Rezaie T, et al. Adult-onset primary open-angle glaucoma caused by mutations in optineurin. *Science.* 2002; 295:1077–1079. [PubMed: 11834836]
272. Fingert JH, et al. Copy number variations on chromosome 12q14 in patients with normal tension glaucoma. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2011; 20:2482–2494. [PubMed: 21447600]
273. Chi ZL, et al. Overexpression of optineurin E50K disrupts Rab8 interaction and leads to a progressive retinal degeneration in mice. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010; 19:2606–2615. [PubMed: 20388642]

274. Klionsky DJ, et al. Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (3rd edition). *Autophagy*. 2016; 12:1–222. [PubMed: 26799652]
275. Lee IH, et al. Atg7 modulates p53 activity to regulate cell cycle and survival during metabolic stress. *Science*. 2012; 336:225–228. This study characterizes one of the autophagy-independent functions of ATG7, namely, the regulation of p53 activity in the course of metabolic stress. [PubMed: 22499945]
276. Laplante M, Sabatini D. M mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. *Cell*. 2012; 149:274–293. [PubMed: 22500797]
277. Rodriguez-Boulán E, Kreitzer G, Musch A. Organization of vesicular trafficking in epithelia. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2005; 6:233–247. [PubMed: 15738988]
278. Calne RY, et al. Rapamycin for immunosuppression in organ allografting. *Lancet*. 1989; 2:227.
279. Taherian E, Rao A, Malemud CJ, Askari AD. The biological and clinical activity of anti-malarial drugs in autoimmune disorders. *Curr Rheumatol Rev*. 2013; 9:45–62. [PubMed: 25198367]
280. Maes H, et al. Tumor vessel normalization by chloroquine independent of autophagy. *Cancer Cell*. 2014; 26:190–206. This report elegantly demonstrates that chloroquine exerts robust anticancer effects through its ability to promote tumour vessel normalization independent of autophagy. [PubMed: 25117709]
281. Eng CH, et al. Macroautophagy is dispensable for growth of KRAS mutant tumors and chloroquine efficacy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016; 113:182–187. [PubMed: 26677873]
282. Niso-Santano M, et al. Unsaturated fatty acids induce non-canonical autophagy. *EMBO J*. 2015; 34:1025–1041. [PubMed: 25586377]
283. Kaizuka T, et al. An autophagic flux probe that releases an internal control. *Mol Cell*. 2016; 64:835–849. [PubMed: 27818143]
284. Cabrera S, et al. ATG4B/autophagin-1 regulates intestinal homeostasis and protects mice from experimental colitis. *Autophagy*. 2013; 9:1188–1200. [PubMed: 23782979]
285. Barinaga M. Knockout mice: round two. *Science*. 1994; 265:26–28. [PubMed: 8016653]
286. Blaney Davidson EN, van de Loo FA, van den Berg WB, van der Kraan PM. How to build an inducible cartilage-specific transgenic mouse. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2014; 16:210. [PubMed: 25166474]
287. Baker DJ, et al. Naturally occurring p16Ink4a-positive cells shorten healthy lifespan. *Nature*. 2016; 530:184–189. [PubMed: 26840489]
288. Kroemer G, et al. Classification of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2009. *Cell Death Differ*. 2009; 16:3–11. [PubMed: 18846107]
289. Galluzzi L, et al. Essential versus accessory aspects of cell death: recommendations of the NCCD 2015. *Cell Death Differ*. 2015; 22:58–73. [PubMed: 25236395]
290. Berry DL, Baehrecke EH. Growth arrest and autophagy are required for salivary gland cell degradation in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 2007; 131:1137–1148. [PubMed: 18083103]
291. Tsuboyama K, et al. The ATG conjugation systems are important for degradation of the inner autophagosomal membrane. *Science*. 2016; 354:1036–1041. [PubMed: 27885029]
292. Galluzzi L, Pietrocola F, Levine B, Kroemer G. Metabolic control of autophagy. *Cell*. 2014; 159:1263–1276. [PubMed: 25480292]
293. Nishida Y, et al. Discovery of Atg5/Atg7-independent alternative macroautophagy. *Nature*. 2009; 461:654–658. This was the first characterization of a non-canonical, ATG5-independent and ATG7-independent autophagic response in mouse cells. [PubMed: 19794493]
294. McAfee Q, et al. Autophagy inhibitor Lys05 has single-agent antitumor activity and reproduces the phenotype of a genetic autophagy deficiency. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109:8253–8258. [PubMed: 22566612]
295. Ronan B, et al. A highly potent and selective Vps34 inhibitor alters vesicle trafficking and autophagy. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2014; 10:1013–1019. [PubMed: 25326666]
296. Bago R, et al. Characterization of VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34, reveals that the phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate-binding SGK3 protein kinase is a downstream target of class III phosphoinositide 3-kinase. *Biochem J*. 2014; 463:413–427. [PubMed: 25177796]

297. Akin D, et al. A novel ATG4B antagonist inhibits autophagy and has a negative impact on osteosarcoma tumors. *Autophagy*. 2014; 10:2021–2035. [PubMed: 25483883]
298. Egan DF, et al. Small molecule inhibition of the autophagy kinase ULK1 and identification of ULK1 substrates. *Mol Cell*. 2015; 59:285–297. [PubMed: 26118643]
299. Petherick KJ, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of ULK1 kinase blocks mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-dependent autophagy. *J Biol Chem*. 2015; 290:11376–11383. [PubMed: 25833948]
300. Yap TA, Sandhu SK, Workman P, de Bono JS. Envisioning the future of early anticancer drug development. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2010; 10:514–523. [PubMed: 20535131]

Box 1**Autophagic cell death in development and disease**

When light microscopy was the main — if not the sole — technique to study cell biology, investigators noted that the cytoplasm of dying eukaryotic cells sometimes becomes clogged with vacuoles. Soon thereafter, the term ‘autophagic cell death’ (also known as type II cell death) was introduced to indicate instances of cellular demise that are accompanied by cytoplasmic vacuolization²⁸⁸. This expression rapidly acquired a causal implication and has subsequently been extensively used, which led to the assumption that autophagy aetiologically contributes to cell death. With the advent of modern molecular biology techniques, however, it became clear that autophagy generally mediates cytoprotective — rather than cytotoxic — effects. Indeed, pharmacological or genetic inhibition of core components of the autophagic machinery most often accelerates — rather than retards — the death of mammalian cells that experience perturbations of homeostasis²⁸⁹. Thus, autophagic responses often accompany the cellular demise (as an ultimate attempt of cells to cope with stress and to survive), but rarely cause it.

However, instances of cell death that are precipitated by the autophagic machinery have been described, both in developmental scenarios and during adaptation to stress²⁸⁹. Various autophagy-related (Atg) genes were shown to be required for the physiological demise of cells from developing *Drosophila melanogaster* larvae²⁹⁰. The neuron-specific knockout of *Atg7* limited tissue loss in a mouse model of severe neonatal hypoxia–ischaemia⁹. Similarly, pharmacological inhibition of autophagy with 3-methyladenine (3-MA) as well as the depletion of various components of the autophagic machinery, including beclin 1 (BECN1), protected human cancer cells from a Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase-dependent form of autophagic cell death known as ‘autosis’ (REF. 94). In line with this notion, cardiac glycosides (which are potent inhibitors of the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase) mediated robust neuroprotective effects in a rat model of neonatal hypoxia–ischaemia⁹⁴. Thus, autophagy may precipitate cell death in some circumstances. However, this possibility must be addressed experimentally with specific pharmacological and genetic tools.

Box 2**Mechanisms of autophagy**

Canonical autophagy relies on two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems. One involves autophagy-related 7 (ATG7) and ATG10, and is responsible for the formation of a supramolecular protein complex containing ATG5, ATG12 and autophagy-related 16-like 1 (ATG16L1)¹. The other ubiquitin-like conjugation system, which involves ATG3, ATG4 and ATG7, promotes the cleavage of members of the Atg8 protein family, including human LC3, and their conjugation to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE)¹. Lipidated LC3 (LC3-II) and LC3-like molecules such as GABA type A receptor-associated protein (GABARAP) are recruited to forming autophagosomes, to operate as receptors for autophagic substrates or autophagic adaptors like p62 and have largely been exploited for monitoring autophagy *in vitro* and *in vivo*²⁷⁴. ATG9, another member of the ATG protein family, has a crucial function in autophagosome nucleation, which is initiated by a supramolecular complex that contains UNC-51-like autophagy-activating kinase 1 (ULK1), RB1-inducible coiled-coil 1 (RB1CC1; also known as FIP200), ATG13 and ATG101 (REF. 1). Of note, recent data indicate that the ATG conjugation systems are less important for autophagosome formation than previously thought but are crucial for the degradation of the inner autophagosomal membrane²⁹¹ (see the figure).

Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 (mTORC1) exerts prominent autophagy-suppressing functions by catalysing the inactivating phosphorylation of ATG13 and ULK1 (REF. 276). Such an inhibition can be relieved upon the inactivation of mTORC1 by AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which is sensitive to cAMP accumulation (a consequence of ATP consumption) and also catalyses the activating phosphorylation of ULK1 and beclin 1 (BECN1)²⁹². ULK1 promotes autophagic responses by activating a multiprotein complex with phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity that contains BECN1, VPS34 and phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulatory subunit 4 (PIK3R4; also known as VPS15)¹. The BECN1–VPS34 complex can interact with a variety of additional regulatory factors, including UV radiation resistance-associated (UVRAG) and autophagy and beclin 1 regulator 1 (AMBRA1), which stimulate the catalytic activity of VPS34, as well as BCL-2, apoptosis regulator (BCL-2), which mediates VPS34-inhibitory effects^{1,157}. The expansion of autophagosomes in the course of canonical autophagic responses indeed relies on phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PtdIns3P) production and PtdIns3P-binding proteins of the WD-repeat domain phosphoinositide-interacting (WIPI) family¹ (see the figure). Finally, closed autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes to generate autolysosomes, followed by luminal acidification and consequent activation of lysosomal hydrolases¹.

Several non-canonical instances of autophagy that proceed independently of specific components of the autophagic apparatus have also been described^{204,205,282,293}. This suggests the existence of functional redundancy in the molecular mechanisms that underlie autophagic responses (at least in mammals). One of these pathways, that is, LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP), involves the recruitment of parts of the autophagic machinery to single-membraned phagosomes that form in the context of danger signalling, followed by LC3 lipidation and delivery of phagosomes to lysosomes for

degradation^{10,213}. LAP proceeds independently of the ULK1 complex, AMBRA1 and ATG14 (which are required for canonical autophagy) but it relies on LC3, RUN and cysteine-rich domain-containing beclin 1 interacting protein (RUBCN) and NADPH oxidase 2, which are dispensable for canonical autophagy^{10,213}. Finally, the molecular machineries for canonical autophagy and LAP share multiple components, including BECN1, VPS34, UVRAG, ATG3, ATG5, ATG7, ATG12 and ATG16L1 (REFS 10,213). Thus, the role of LAP in various processes might have been overlooked based on the pharmacological or genetic modulation of these shared factors. AKT1S1, AKT1 substrate 1; DEPTOR, DEP domain-containing mTOR-interacting protein; MLST8, mTOR-associated protein, LST8 homologue; RPTOR, regulatory-associated protein of mTOR complex 1.

Box 3**Emerging autophagy modulators with increased specificity**

Considerable efforts are being dedicated to the development of agents with increased pharmacological specificity (TABLE 1), including new lysosomal inhibitors (such as Lys05)²⁹⁴ as well as new mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)-targeting and VPS34-targeting agents (including SAR405 and VPS34-IN1)^{295,296} (see also Navitor Pharmaceuticals). In parallel, increasing attention has been dedicated to components of the autophagic machinery that seem to have limited roles in other processes, such as autophagy-related 4B cysteine peptidase (ATG4B; which can be targeted by NSC185058)²⁹⁷ and UNC-51-like autophagy-activating kinase 1 (ULK1; which can be targeted by SBI-0206965, MRT67307 and MRT68921)^{298,299}, as well as to specific autophagic pathways, including mitophagy and LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP)^{193,213}. Lys05, SAR405, NSC185058, and SBI-0206965 have been shown to mediate anticancer effects *in vitro* and *in vivo*^{294,295,297}. Lys05 had single-agent antineoplastic activity in xenograft models of human metastatic melanoma (1205Lu cells) and colorectal carcinoma (HT29 cells)²⁹⁴, as did NSC185058 in xenograft models of human osteosarcoma (Saos-2 cells)²⁹⁷. SAR405 and SBI-0206965 synergized with mTORC1 inhibitors in arresting the proliferation of human lung (H1299 and A549) and kidney (ACHN and 786-O) cells *in vitro*^{295,298}. Conversely, the potential therapeutic activity of VPS34-IN1 (which has been characterized biochemically in human osteosarcoma U2OS cells), MRT67307 and MRT68921 (both of which have been studied for their biochemical properties in mouse embryonic fibroblasts) has not yet been tested in relevant disease models^{296,299}. Thus, the actual therapeutic potential of these approaches remains largely unexplored.

Box 4**Improving the pharmacological audit trail for autophagy modulators**

The so-called pharmacological audit trail (PhAT) is a conceptual guide to the preclinical and clinical development of novel therapeutic agents that sequentially assesses the risk of failure at key steps of the entire process as it guides decision-making³⁰⁰. It is clear that therapeutic interventions aimed at modulating autophagy or autophagy-related processes cannot be developed according to a generic PhAT (see the figure, left). On the one hand, it will be imperative to identify with precision: the pathologies that are mechanistically determined or aggravated by alterations in autophagy (patient selection); the cell population or populations in which such alterations actually underlie disease, as opposed to bystander cell populations that may exhibit secondary autophagic defects (cell type identification); and how autophagy is specifically altered in such cells (for example, hyperactivation, inhibition before autophagosome formation or inhibition after autophagosome formation) (autophagic pathway characterization). This will enable the development of a therapeutic strategy that is aimed at activating or inhibiting autophagy in specific cell types (including diseased and bystander cells) with one (or more) targeted drug candidate or candidates. On the other hand, besides carrying out conventional pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies, it will be important to determine whether and how the autophagic flux changes in response to the drug candidates in both target and bystander cells, followed by a step of hypothesis validation with intermediate end points of clinical efficacy. In case of disease progression, compensatory mechanisms (related to autophagy) that are possibly operating in diseased or bystander cells will have to be assessed, which may lead to a complete re-evaluation of the initial therapeutic strategy (see the figure, right).

Figure 1. Autophagic processes amenable to therapeutic modulation

Several pharmacological and nutritional interventions are available to inhibit autophagy at the nucleation, elongation, fusion or degradation phase. In addition, several agents modulate autophagy through multipronged or hitherto uncharacterized molecular mechanisms. For additional details, please refer to TABLE 1. 3-MA, 3-methyladenine; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; ATG4B, autophagy-related 4B cysteine peptidase; BafA1, bafilomycin A1; BECN1, beclin 1; CRM, caloric restriction mimetic; H₂S, hydrogen sulfide; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; IFN γ , interferon- γ ; Ins(1,4,5)P₃, inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; mTORC1, mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1; ROS, reactive oxygen species; ULK1, UNC-51-like autophagy activating kinase 1.

Figure 2. Principles of autophagy modulation

a | When autophagy mechanistically contributes to the aetiology of the disease, inhibiting autophagy initiation in diseased cells is expected to restore normal autophagic degradation and mediate therapeutic effects, whereas blocking lysosomal degradation may favour a detrimental accumulation of non-functional autophagosomes and autolysosomes. **b** | In the presence of initiation defects, stimulating autophagy upstream of autophagosome formation (in diseased cells) is expected to normalize autophagic flux (at least in part) and hence mediate beneficial effects, whereas boosting lysosomal degradation may exert limited (if

any) therapeutic activity. **c** | In the presence of degradation defects, activating autophagy upstream of autophagosome formation (in diseased cells) may aggravate disease severity by exacerbating (thick arrows) the accumulation of non-functional autophagosomes and autolysosomes. Conversely, accelerating lysosomal degradation or inhibiting initiation (in diseased cells) may exert beneficial effects, at least to some degree (dashed arrow). **d** | Boosting autophagic flux in cells that survived a pathological insult or in non-diseased cells may favour functional recovery and/or mediate beneficial effects linked to improved inflammatory tissue homeostasis. Beneficial and detrimental interventions are indicated by green and red arrows, respectively.

Table 1

Main modulators of autophagy available to date and their limitations

Agent	Mode of action	Blood–brain barrier permeant	Status	Major limitations	Refs
<i>Activators</i>					
A-769662	AMPK activation?	Unknown	In preclinical development	Unclear MOA (requires upstream AMPK-activating kinase)	130
Antimycobacterial antibiotics	Altered ROS production	Yes	Approved for treatment of mycobacterial infections	Potentially interferes with many ROS-sensitive processes	178
BECN1-derived peptide	BECN1 activator	Yes	In preclinical development	Limitations associated with chemical nature (shelf stability and potential immunogenicity)	256
BRD5631	Unknown	Unknown	In preclinical development	Unclear MOA (independent of mTORC1)	179
Caloric restriction	Multiple	NA	NA	Potentially dangerous for subjects with weight problems (for example, patients with cachectic cancer); compliance issues	10,54,118,128,131,135,148,150,164,180,188,231,237,240
Carbamazepine	Reduction in Ins(1,4,5)P ₃ and inositol levels	Yes	Approved for treatment of seizures and bipolar disorders	Psychoactive agent, inhibits various neuronal functions	134,137
Carbon monoxide	Altered ROS production	Yes	Experimental agent	Potentially interferes with many ROS-sensitive processes	182
Chloramphenicol	Unknown	Yes	Approved for second-line treatment of bacterial infections	Unclear MOA and potentially mitochondriotoxic	116
Everolimus (also known as RAD-001)	mTORC1 inhibition	Yes	Approved for cancer therapy	Inhibits multiple mTORC1-dependent processes and has robust	219,220

Agent	Mode of action	Blood–brain barrier permeant	Status	Major limitations	Refs
				immunosuppressive effects	
Hydrogen sulfide	AMPK activation?	Yes	Experimental agent	Unclear MOA and potentially toxic for the respiratory tract	133
Hydroxycitrate	CRM	Unknown	Clinically tested for treatment of diabetes, now discontinued	May cause weight loss upon chronic administration	163
IFN γ	MAPK activation?	No	In clinical trials, mainly for cancer immunotherapy	Unclear MOA (involves MAPK signalling)	169
Lithium	Reduction in Ins(1,4,5)P ₃ and inositol levels	Yes	Approved for treatment of bipolar disorders	Psychoactive agent, inhibits various neuronal functions	42,50,55
Melatonin *	Altered ROS production	Yes	In clinical trials for the treatment of a wide range of conditions	Potentially interferes with ROS-sensitive processes and has been associated with autophagy inhibition in some models	97
Metformin	AMPK activation?	Yes	Approved for treatment of type 2 diabetes	Mediates multiple AMPK-unrelated effects, including inhibition of respiratory complex I	136
Physical exercise	Multiple	NA	NA	Not appropriate for patients affected by cardiovascular or skeletal disorders but safe in most other cases	7,119,129
Rapamycin (also known as sirolimus)	mTORC1 inhibition	Yes	Approved for use in coronary stents (to prevent transplant rejection) and to treat a rare pulmonary disease	Inhibits multiple mTORC1-dependent processes, has robust immunosuppressive effects and may cause mTORC2 inhibition on chronic administration	10,22,31,32,38,40, 41,43–47,50,51,56, 60,68–70,77,79,80, 90,95,96,99, 101–103,132,134, 146,180,183,184, 196,198,219,220, 223,225–228,234, 241,244,245, 255,258–260

Agent	Mode of action	Blood–brain barrier permeant	Status	Major limitations	Refs
Resveratrol	CRM	Yes	Nutritional supplement that is available over the counter; in clinical trials for treatment of several disorders	Potentially causes nephrotoxicity at high concentrations	33,132,147,229,235
Retinoic acid	Unknown	Yes	Approved for cancer therapy (ATRA)	Reported to specifically inhibit CMA in some settings	100
Simvastatin	AMPK activation?	Yes	Approved for treatment of obesity	Unclear MOA (associated with AMPK activation) and potentially mitochondriotoxic	99
Spermidine	CRM	Yes	Nutritional supplement that is available over the counter	Degradation products include ROS and potentially cytotoxic aldehydes	163,230
Tenisirolimus (also known as CCI-779)	mTORC1 inhibition	Yes	Approved for cancer therapy	Inhibits multiple mTORC1-dependent processes and has robust immunosuppressive effects	51
Torins	mTORC1 inhibition	Unknown	Experimental agents	Inhibit multiple mTORC1-dependent processes	246
Trehalose	Unknown	Yes	In clinical trials for treatment of bipolar disorders, dry eye syndrome and vascular ageing	Unclear MOA (independent of mTORC1) but safe	39,53,65
Trichostatin A	Unknown	No	Discontinued from clinical tests	Unclear MOA, potentially linked to transcriptional effects	98
Vorinostat	Unknown	Yes	Approved for cancer therapy	Unclear MOA, potentially linked to transcriptional effects	115
Inhibitors					
3-MA	VPS34 inhibition	Yes	Experimental agent	Inhibits various class III PI3Ks	10,36,63,76,78–80, 82,84–88,93,96,98, 99,105,243,255

Agent	Mode of action	Blood–brain barrier permeant	Status	Major limitations	Refs
Azithromycin	Unknown	Yes	Approved for treatment of multiple bacterial infections	Unclear MOA, blocks autophagic flux	187
Bafilomycin A1	Lysosomal inhibition	Yes	Experimental agent	Inhibitor of lysosomal functions	36,58,79,86–88,105,180,255
Cardiac glycosides	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase inhibition	Yes	Extensively used in the past for treatment of cardiac disorders	Narrow therapeutic window but specific for autosis	94
Chloroquine	Lysosomal inhibition	Yes	Extensively used in the past as an antimalarial agent	Inhibitor of lysosomal functions	100,104,134, 206,215,224
Compound C (also known as dorsomorphin)	AMPK inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Potentially interferes with AMPK-dependent processes	82
Edavarone	ROS scavenger	Unknown	Experimental agent	Potentially interferes with many ROS-sensitive processes	89
HCQ	Lysosomal inhibition	Yes	Extensively used in the past as an antimalarial agent	Inhibitor of lysosomal functions	190,206,215
LY294002	VPS34 inhibition	Yes	In clinical trials for the treatment of refractory neuroblastoma	Exhibits improved selectivity compared with 3-MA and wortmannin but commonly considered nonspecific	84
Lys05	Lysosomal inhibition	Yes	In preclinical development	Exhibits increased potency compared with HCQ	294
Mdivi-1	Mitophagy inhibition	Yes	In preclinical development	Inhibitor of mitochondrial fragmentation	250
Melatonin [*]	Altered ROS production	Yes	In clinical trials for treatment of a wide panel of conditions	Potentially interferes with ROS-sensitive processes and has been associated with autophagy activation in some models	73

Agent	Mode of action	Blood–brain barrier permeant	Status	Major limitations	Refs
MRT67307	ULK1 inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Also inhibits ULK2, IKK and TBK1	299
MRT68921	ULK1 inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Also inhibits ULK2	299
NSC185058	ATG4B inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Exhibits improved selectivity for the autophagic pathway	297
SAR405	VPS34 inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Exhibits improved selectivity compared with 3-MA and wortmannin	295
SBI-0206965	ULK1 inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Exhibits improved selectivity for the autophagic pathway	298
VPS34-IN1	VPS34 inhibition	Unknown	In preclinical development	Exhibits improved selectivity compared with 3-MA and wortmannin	296
Wortmannin	VPS34 inhibition	No	Experimental agent	Inhibits various class III PI3Ks	99

3-MA, 3-methyladenine; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; ATG4B, autophagy-related 4B cysteine peptidase; ATRA, all-*trans*-retinoic acid; BECN1, beclin 1; CMA, chaperone-mediated autophagy; CRM, caloric restriction mimetic; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; IFN γ , interferon- γ ; IKK, inhibitor of nuclear factor- κ B kinase; Ins(1,4,5)P₃, inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MOA, mode of action; mTORC, mechanistic target of rapamycin complex; NA, not applicable; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; ULK, UNC-51-like autophagy activating kinase.

* Conflicting data exist on the ability of melatonin to modulate autophagy. Adapted with permission from REF. 9, Macmillan Publishers Limited.

Table 2

Pathologies potentially responding to autophagy-modulatory interventions

Disease	Approach	Observations	Refs
Acute brain intoxication	Debated	• Role of autophagy in neuronal responses to toxicants has not been studied in appropriate models	9,58–60
Ageing	Activation	• Most lifespan-extending interventions activate autophagy, and their beneficial effects depend on an intact autophagic machinery in multiple model organisms	47,229–240
Atherosclerosis	Activation	• Efficient autophagic responses in macrophages from arterial lesions limit disease progression • Coronary angioplasty generally involves rapamycin-eluting stents	111
Autoimmune disorders	Debated	• LAP defects cause an SLE-like disease in mice • Autophagy activators mediate therapeutic effects in multiple autoimmune diseases • Autophagy supports cell populations or processes that underlie disease	166,167,210–228
Bacterial infections	Activation	• Elimination of intracellular bacteria relies on xenophagic responses, which couple danger signalling to autophagosome formation	10,168–190
Cancer	Debated	• Autophagy inhibition may exacerbate effect of cytotoxic therapies • Autophagy underlies the activation of therapeutically relevant immune responses	8,15,16,152–167
Cardiac stroke	Debated	• Both autophagy activators and the <i>Becn1</i> ^{+/-} genotype are associated with cardioprotection in models of stroke	110,115–117,22,125
Cardiomyopathy	Debated	• Autophagic defects in cardiomyocytes provoke cardiomyopathies • Autophagy activators mediate beneficial effects in multiple disease models	107–109,112–114, 118–121,124,126
Cerebral stroke	Debated	• There is conflicting literature on the impact of autophagy on disease outcome in models of 4VO, pMCAO and tMCAO	9,73–89
COPD	Inhibition	• Mitophagy may participate in the pathogenesis of cigarette smoke-associated COPD	248–251
Diabetes	Debated	• Autophagy activation improves insulin sensitivity in type 2 diabetes models • Insulin release by β -cells is negatively regulated by autophagy during fasting	130,141,145–150
Eukaryotic parasites	Debated	• LAP activation may control fungal and eukaryotic parasites	10,200–208
Hepatic disorders	Activation	• Autophagy activators limit hepatic fat accumulation and damage in models of steatosis and α 1-antitrypsin deficiency-associated liver disease	127,129,133–137, 140,142,143
Lysosomal storage disorders	Debated	• The inhibition of autophagy upstream of autophagosome formation may ameliorate lysosomal overload. Similar effects may be achieved with autophagy activators that overcome lysosomal blockage	262–265
Metabolic syndrome	Activation	• Exercise links intact autophagic responses in multiple organs with leanness and improved systemic metabolism	7,128–133,135,140, 141,144,145,151
Neonatal asphyxia	Inhibition	• Pharmacological and genetic inhibition of autophagy mediates neuroprotective effects	9,72,90–94

Disease	Approach	Observations	Refs
Neurodegenerative disorders	Activation	• Autophagy is inhibited in the ageing brain, which contributes to the accumulation of pathogenic and pathognomonic neuronal inclusions	18–20, 22–57
Neurotrauma	Debated	• Autophagy activation limits functional impairment and promotes recovery in models of SCI and SAH, whereas data are conflicting in models of TBI	95–105
Pulmonary disorders other than COPD	Activation	• Autophagic defects have been documented in patients with certain pulmonary disorders. Preclinical data support the benefit of autophagy activation in mouse models of cystic fibrosis, IPF and PAH	241–247
Renal conditions	Activation	• Deletion of <i>Atg5</i> or <i>Atg7</i> in podocytes induces glomerulosclerosis and aggravates renal degeneration that is caused by PAN administration or kidney overload	252–255
Seizures	Activation	• Preclinical data and epidemiological studies link excitotoxicity to defective autophagic responses	61–73
Skeletal conditions	Debated	• Autophagy is crucial for bone growth • Administration of rapamycin has been associated with both beneficial and detrimental effects in mouse models of bone fracture	256–260
Viral infections	Debated	• Preclinical data link autophagic responses to improved cellular and organismal control of viruses • Some viruses exploit autophagic responses in the host	191–199
Vision disorders	Activation	• Efficient autophagic responses and LAP are required for the survival and function of retinal photoreceptors	266–269, 271–273

4VO, 4-vessel occlusion; *Atg*, autophagy-related; *Becn1*, beclin 1; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; LAP, LC3-associated phagocytosis; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PAN, puromycin aminonucleoside; pMCAO, permanent middle carotid artery occlusion; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage; SCI, spinal cord injury; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; TBI, traumatic brain injury; tMCAO, transient middle carotid artery occlusion.