Published in final edited form as:

Trends Genet. 2017 December ; 33(12): 933–942. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2017.08.005.

Playing well with others: extrinsic cues regulate neural progenitor temporal identity to generate neuronal diversity

Mubarak Hussain Syed, Brandon Mark, and Chris Q. Doe

Institute of Neuroscience, Institute of Molecular Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

Abstract

During neurogenesis, vertebrate and *Drosophila* progenitors change over time as they generate a diverse population of neurons and glia. Vertebrate neural progenitors have long been known to use both progenitor-intrinsic and progenitor-extrinsic cues to regulate temporal patterning. In contrast, virtually all temporal patterning mechanisms discovered in *Drosophila* neural progenitors (neuroblasts) involve progenitor-intrinsic temporal transcription factor cascades. Recent results, however, have revealed several extrinsic pathways that regulate *Drosophila* neuroblast temporal patterning: nutritional cues regulate the timing of neuroblast proliferation/quiescence and a steroid hormone cue that is required for temporal transcription factor expression. Here we discuss newly discovered extrinsic cues regulating neural progenitor temporal identity in *Drosophila*, highlight conserved mechanisms, and raise open questions for the future.

Temporal patterning generates neuronal diversity

Neural diversity is essential for proper brain function including sensory perception, motor control and consciousness. Neural diversity is generated by both spatial and temporal cues acting combinatorially on neural progenitors. Spatial cues assign progenitor regional identity, whereas temporal cues or temporal patterning mechanisms allow single progenitors to make a sequence of different neurons and glia over time. Vertebrate neural progenitors have long been known to respond to changing environmental cues to undergo temporal patterning [1], although the molecular identity of these extrinsic cues are often still unknown. In contrast, *Drosophila* neural progenitors (neuroblasts) undergo a well-characterized, neuroblast-intrinsic transcription factor cascade that generates temporal identity [reviewed in 2, 3-6]. Importantly, recent work has identified extrinsic cues that regulate *Drosophila* neuroblast temporal patterning, raising the possibility of conserved mechanisms used by vertebrate and *Drosophila* progenitors for the generation of neural diversity. Here we briefly summarize what is known about temporal patterning in *Drosophila* and vertebrates, and then discuss newly discovered extrinsic signaling pathways that generate temporal patterning and increase neural diversity in *Drosophila* central brain neuroblasts.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Temporal patterning in mammalian and *Drosophila* neural progenitors

In mammals, most neural progenitors throughout the CNS (cortex, retina, spinal cord) can generate multiple neuronal subtypes over time, followed by a later phase of gliogenesis [5, 7-12]. Unlike early findings in *Drosophila*, temporal patterning mechanisms characterized in mammals primarily involve extrinsic signals -- either feedback cues from previously generated neurons or cues from unknown sources -- although some evidence from in vitro culture suggests the presence of intrinsic cues [13, reviewed in 14]. Nevertheless, the best characterized temporal patterning mechanisms involve extrinsic cues, as briefly summarized in the following four examples (Figure 1). First, early cortical progenitors transplanted into older hosts switch to making late-born neurons [15]. Second, ablation of early-born deep layer neurons leads to prolonged early-born neuron production and a delay in generating late-born upper layer neurons, suggesting a negative feedback signal from early-born neurons to ventricular zone progenitors [16]. Third, TGF β signaling is required in three different brain regions to trigger a switch from early-born to late-born neural subtypes [17]. Fourth, the Wnt7 ligand provides a feedback signal from newly-born neurons to cortical progenitors to trigger a switch from neurogenesis to gliogenesis [18]. Additional examples are reviewed elsewhere [19-22]. Thus, many aspects of vertebrate neural temporal patterning are regulated by extrinsic signals.

In *Drosophila*, most neuroblasts in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and brain produce a type I lineage (see glossary) and undergo several important temporal transitions: they switch from proliferation to quiescence at the embryo/larval transition, resume proliferation in early larvae, and terminate their lineage soon after pupariation (Figure 2). They also make a stereotyped sequence of neural subtypes during each phase of proliferation [reviewed in 4]. Temporal patterning has been best characterized in the embryonic VNC neuroblasts. Embryonic neuroblasts sequentially express a series of five “temporal transcription factors” (TTFs) -- Hunchback, Krüppel, Pdm (the redundant Nubbin and Pdm2 proteins), Castor, and Grainy head -- that are each necessary and sufficient to specify the unique temporal identity of neurons born during each expression window [23-25](Figure 2A). The TTF cascade can occur in single cultured neuroblasts and thus is regulated by a lineage-intrinsic mechanism [26, 27]. Interestingly, the early TTF Hunchback and the late TTF Castor have mammalian orthologs (Ikaros and CasZ1, respectively); Ikaros specifies early-born neuronal identity in the cortex and retina, while CasZ1 specifies late born neuronal identity in the retina [28, 29]. Recent work has uncovered similar TTF cascades in optic lobe neuroblasts and the Intermediate Neural Progenitors (INPs) within larval type II neuroblast lineages; all of these TTF cascades are characterized by feed-forward transcriptional activation and feedback repression [30-32], conceptually similar to extrinsic feedback repression that triggers early-late cortical neuron switching and neuron-glial switching [16, 18]. Thus, the initial characterization of *Drosophila* temporal patterning revealed primarily lineage-intrinsic mechanisms. Below we review recent work showing that extrinsic cues are used to generate temporal patterning throughout the prolonged stages of post-embryonic neurogenesis.

Glial-derived cues regulate the timing of neuroblast quiescence

All *Drosophila* neuroblasts undergo quiescence in the late embryo/early larva, with the exception of five central brain neuroblasts (four mushroom body neuroblasts and one ventrolateral neuroblast) [33]. Entry and exit from quiescence occurs in a stereotyped sequence: embryonic neuroblast proliferation, neuroblast size reduction, neuroblast quiescence, neuroblast enlargement and proliferation in the young larva, and finally neuroblast size reduction and terminal differentiation in the early pupa [34-36]. Here we discuss new findings showing that these temporal transitions are regulated, in part, by extrinsic signals that reflect organismal nutritional status (Figure 2).

Neuroblast entry into quiescence involves cell size reduction and an internal timer (completion of the TTF cascade) followed by nuclear import of the Prospero transcription factor which represses cell cycle gene expression and initiates quiescence [37, 38]. In contrast, neuroblast enlargement and exit from quiescence requires multiple extrinsic signals, at least one activated by larval feeding. As the newly-hatched larvae begins feeding on protein-rich food, amino acids are detected by the liverlike fat body via the amino acid transporter Slimfast (Slif), which in turn leads to a currently unknown fat-body derived signal to the subperineurial glia that contact each neuroblast [34, 35, 39]. Consequently, glia secrete insulin/IGF like peptides (ILPs) that activate the neuroblast insulin receptor (InR), driving them out of quiescence [34, 35]. More recently it has been shown that the subperineurial glial require calcium waves and the gap junction proteins Innexin 1 and 2 to trigger neuroblast reactivation [40, 41], although the mechanism remains undefined.

In addition, nutritional cues and glia promote neuroblast enlargement and re-entry into the cell cycle by suppressing the Hippo pathway, a conserved pathway for inhibiting cell growth [42]. Both glia and neuroblasts express the cell surface proteins Crumbs and Echinoid, which are required to activate the Hippo pathway thereby keeping the Yorkie effector in the neuroblast cytoplasm and preventing neuroblast enlargement. Loss of Crumbs, Echinoid, or Hippo results in premature translocation of Yorkie into the neuroblast nucleus where it stimulates neuroblast growth and proliferation [43, 44]. Finally, glia also secrete the Anachronism (Ana) protein, which is required to maintain neuroblast quiescence by an unknown mechanism [45]. Thus, multiple extrinsic cues converge on neuroblasts to regulate the precise timing of enlargement and exit from quiescence; it will be interesting to see if these pathways also regulate exit from quiescence of mammalian neural stem cells. In the future, it will be important to identify the fat body-derived signal, and understand how glial calcium dynamics, gap junctions, and IGF, Hippo, Ana signaling pathways are all integrated to regulate neuroblast quiescence.

Mushroom body neuroblasts generate three major classes of neurons: early-born γ neurons, middle-born α'/β' neurons, and late-born α/β neurons [46]. Larvae fed a sucrose diet (no amino acids) show severe growth deficits, and as described above, most neuroblasts fail to exit quiescence. Yet the four mushroom body neuroblasts will continue dividing under these conditions, perhaps because they never entered quiescence in the embryo. Interestingly, under these conditions, the mushroom body neuroblasts continue making first-born γ neurons, make fewer later-born α'/β' neurons, and fail to make the last-born α/β neurons

[46]. Thus, nutritional cues are required for temporal patterning in larval mushroom body neuroblast lineages. It remains unknown how amino acid uptake is communicated to the mushroom body neuroblasts to trigger the early-late switch in neuronal identity.

Hormonal cues regulate larval neuroblast temporal identity

Embryonic neuroblasts use an intrinsic TTF cascade to generate neuronal diversity -- this mechanism is ideally suited for rapid, invariant, short cell lineages of just 3-10 progenitor divisions [4]. In contrast, larval neuroblasts can divide >50 times over 120h to generate hundreds of neurons and glia [47] -- this likely requires a completely different temporal patterning mechanism, particularly to coordinate the timing of neuron production between different lineages, which might be important for neural circuit assembly. Indeed, work over the past decade has identified several genes with broad expression domains in early-born or late-born neurons [48-50], but few candidate TTFs expressed for just one or two cell divisions [51], as in the embryonic TTF cascade. For example, the Chinmo transcription factor, Lin-28 transcription factor, and Imp RNA-binding protein are expressed in all early-born neurons (0-60h after larval hatching, ALH), whereas the Broad transcription factor and Syncrip RNA-binding protein are expressed in all late-born neurons (60-120h ALH) [48-50]. Two temporal windows are not sufficient to generate the known diversity of neurons made by each larval neuroblast [52-54]. To identify additional, novel candidate temporal factors expressed by larval neuroblasts, unbiased transcriptomic screens were performed [55, 56]. Our screen identified the above-mentioned factors, plus additional factors including the ecdysone receptor, raising the possibility that the steroid hormone ecdysone may be used to generate temporal identity within larval neuroblasts (Figure 3).

The steroid hormone ecdysone governs many developmental transitions in the *Drosophila* life cycle: three small pulses of ecdysone direct each of the larval molts (L1, L2, L3) and a large pulse during the pupal stage is required for metamorphosis [reviewed in 57]. Ecdysone is produced by the prothoracic gland (outside the CNS) and acts systemically throughout the animal, via binding cell type specific Ecdysone receptor (EcR) isoforms. We found that the EcR-B1 isoform was temporally expressed in most or all larval central brain neuroblasts from ~60h ALH onwards [56]. Interestingly, most other temporal factors were expressed fully before or after this mid-larval timepoint: young neuroblasts expressed Castor, Seven-up, Chinmo, Imp, and Lin-28 whereas old neuroblasts expressed EcRB1, Broad, E93 and Syncrip; this raises the hypothesis that ecdysone signaling could induce a major temporal gene expression transition in larval neuroblasts. To test this hypothesis, we took three different approaches. First, we found that *ecd^{ts}* mutant larvae, lacking the ability to synthesize ecdysone, had neuroblasts with defects in the early-late gene expression switch: they maintained early factors Chinmo and Imp and showed a delay or absence of late factors Broad, Syp, and E93. Second, we cultured larval brains from 48-72h ALH with or without exogenously added ecdysone: brains cultured without ecdysone failed to make the early-late temporal factor switch, but adding ecdysone allowed normal switching to occur in these cultures. Importantly, EcR-B1 was expressed normally at 60h ALH, ruling out a general developmental delay. Third, we expressed an EcR dominant negative protein specifically in larval neuroblasts, which delayed the early-late gene expression switch. This experiment also shows that failure to make the neuroblast gene expression switch is not due to an

indirect effect on organismal growth or development, but rather a neuroblast-autonomous effect of ecdysone signaling [56]. As expected, loss of ecdysone signaling had no effect on the timing of EcR expression. Rather, EcR expression was fully dependent on the prior expression of the early temporal factor, Seven-up, an orphan nuclear hormone receptor [56]. Loss of Seven-up not only completely prevented EcR expression, but all late temporal factor expression, with continued expression of early genes such as Imp, Lin-28, and Chinmo [49, 55, 56]. It is likely that the difference in phenotypes between loss of Seven-up and manipulations of ecdysone are due to the fact that we were unable to remove all ecdysone signaling. Even in the case of the dominant-negative EcR, if enough ecdysone was present, it is possible that the early to late switch could eventually occur. Because Seven-up is required for EcR expression, the only manipulation that definitively removes all ecdysone signaling from neuroblasts is loss of Seven-up. It is also possible, however, that redundant pathways exist that induce the early to late gene switch, and these pathways are both Seven-up dependent and ecdysone independent. Cell type specific removal of EcR would be a good way to distinguish between these possibilities.

Ecdysone is required for a distinct late temporal step: the termination of larval neuroblast lineages in early pupal stages. Ecdysone acts together with the Mediator complex and Syncrip to promote neuroblast size reduction, nuclear Prospero localization, and terminal differentiation [36, 58]. This late event also requires Hedgehog signaling [59], and down-regulation of Imp [60]. The detailed mechanism of ecdysone, Mediator, and Hedgehog signaling remains to be determined.

Hormonal cues regulate neuronal temporal identity

Drosophila mushroom body neuroblasts display the longest phase of neurogenesis, beginning their lineage during embryogenesis and continuing until late pupal stages. They sequentially produce three types of neurons; γ , α'/β' , and α/β neurons, each with a unique projection pattern [61, 62]. Early studies showed that the transcription factor Chinmo is detected in a high to low gradient in early-born to late-born neurons, and is required for specification of early-born γ and α'/β' neuron identity [63]. Thus, establishing the gradient of Chinmo is essential to generate neuronal diversity in mushroom body neurons -- how is the Chinmo gradient established? Recent studies from the Lee lab have shown that mushroom body neuroblasts generate opposing temporal gradients of Imp and Syncrip RNA-binding proteins -- Imp highest early and Syncrip highest late, and Syncrip is required to repress Chinmo expression at the post-transcriptional level in late-born neurons [48, 55]. In addition, the microRNA let-7 is also expressed in a temporal gradient, with the highest levels in late-born neurons, where it represses Chinmo expression [64]; thus both let-7 and Syncrip are required to keep Chinmo levels low in late-born neurons. Pushing back the question, what creates the late temporally restricted let-7 expression? Recent work has shown that it is the steroid hormone ecdysone: reduced ecdysone levels eliminate let-7 expression in late-born mushroom body neurons, and the α'/β' to α/β fate transition is abrogated [64-66]. Ecdysone regulates α/β identity cell autonomously, as blocking of ecdysone signaling by expressing an EcR dominant negative transgene or an EcR RNAi transgene in these neurons generated a similar failure in α'/β' to α/β fate transition [65].

Thus, ecdysone can act to regulate neuroblast temporal patterning (see above) as well as directly regulating post-mitotic neuronal temporal identity.

Concluding Remarks

Interestingly, all known extrinsic cues regulating temporal transitions in proliferation or neuronal identity occur in larvae, and not embryos. The short length of embryogenesis, less than one day, may require neuroblast-intrinsic “hard-wired” temporal patterning mechanisms to produce the correct number and type of neurons in a short time interval. In contrast, the relatively long length of larval stages (5 days) and complexity of developmental events (larval molts, initiation of metamorphosis) may require an organismal cue, such as the steroid hormone ecdysone or nutrition, to coordinate neurogenesis with growth and remodeling of non-neural tissues. This may explain why the first examples of extrinsic control of neuronal temporal patterning in *Drosophila* are active during larval neurogenesis.

It has long been known that aspects of vertebrate temporal patterning rely on extrinsic cues, but only recently are these cues being elucidated. In contrast, it has long been thought that *Drosophila* temporal patterning programs are cell intrinsic. The papers covered here demonstrate a shift in our understanding of *Drosophila* temporal patterning, and offer exciting parallels to vertebrate temporal patterning. For example, it will be interesting to explore the similarities between hormonal regulation of temporal identity in *Drosophila* neural progenitors and thyroid hormone regulation of temporal patterning in vertebrates. Both ecdysone and thyroid hormone pathways are known to regulate neural progenitor proliferation and neuronal specification [57, 67-69], and it would be exciting to test whether thyroid hormone also regulates neural progenitor temporal patterning.

References

1. McConnell SK, Kaznowski CE. Cell cycle dependence of laminar determination in developing neocortex. *Science*. 1991; 254:282–285. [PubMed: 1925583]
2. Franco SJ, Muller U. Shaping our minds: stem and progenitor cell diversity in the mammalian neocortex. *Neuron*. 2013; 77:19–34. [PubMed: 23312513]
3. Kohwi M, Doe CQ. Temporal fate specification and neural progenitor competence during development. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2013; 14:823–838. [PubMed: 24400340]
4. Doe CQ. Temporal Patterning in the *Drosophila* CNS. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2017;33. in press.
5. Cepko C. Intrinsically different retinal progenitor cells produce specific types of progeny. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2014; 15:615–627. [PubMed: 25096185]
6. Lodato S, Arlotta P. Generating neuronal diversity in the mammalian cerebral cortex. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2015; 31:699–720. [PubMed: 26359774]
7. Xu HT, Han Z, Gao P, He S, Li Z, Shi W, Kodish O, Shao W, Brown KN, Huang K, et al. Distinct lineage-dependent structural and functional organization of the hippocampus. *Cell*. 2014; 157:1552–1564. [PubMed: 24949968]
8. Brown KN, Chen S, Han Z, Lu CH, Tan X, Zhang XJ, Ding L, Lopez-Cruz A, Saur D, Anderson SA, et al. Clonal production and organization of inhibitory interneurons in the neocortex. *Science*. 2011; 334:480–486. [PubMed: 22034427]
9. Gao P, Postiglione MP, Krieger TG, Hernandez L, Wang C, Han Z, Streicher C, Papusheva E, Insolera R, Chugh K, et al. Deterministic progenitor behavior and unitary production of neurons in the neocortex. *Cell*. 2014; 159:775–788. [PubMed: 25417155]

10. Agathocleous M, Harris WA. From progenitors to differentiated cells in the vertebrate retina. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 2009; 25:45–69. [PubMed: 19575661]
11. Seto Y, Nakatani T, Masuyama N, Taya S, Kumai M, Minaki Y, Hamaguchi A, Inoue YU, Inoue T, Miyashita S, et al. Temporal identity transition from Purkinje cell progenitors to GABAergic interneuron progenitors in the cerebellum. *Nat Commun.* 2014; 5:3337. [PubMed: 24535035]
12. Kessaris N, Pringle N, Richardson WD. Ventral neurogenesis and the neuron-glia switch. *Neuron.* 2001; 31:677–680. [PubMed: 11567609]
13. Okano H, Temple S. Cell types to order: temporal specification of CNS stem cells. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2009; 19:112–119. [PubMed: 19427192]
14. Shen Q, Wang Y, Dimos JT, Fasano CA, Phoenix TN, Lemischka IR, Ivanova NB, Stifani S, Morrissey EE, Temple S. The timing of cortical neurogenesis is encoded within lineages of individual progenitor cells. *Nature neuroscience.* 2006; 9:743–751. [PubMed: 16680166]
15. Desai AR, McConnell SK. Progressive restriction in fate potential by neural progenitors during cerebral cortical development. *Development.* 2000; 127:2863–2872. [PubMed: 10851131]
16. Toma K, Kumamoto T, Hanashima C. The timing of upper-layer neurogenesis is conferred by sequential derepression and negative feedback from deep-layer neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2014; 34:13259–13276. [PubMed: 25253869]
17. Dias JM, Alekseenko Z, Applequist JM, Ericson J. Tgfbeta signaling regulates temporal neurogenesis and potency of neural stem cells in the CNS. *Neuron.* 2014; 84:927–939. [PubMed: 25467979]
18. Wang W, Jossin Y, Chai G, Lien WH, Tissir F, Goffinet AM. Feedback regulation of apical progenitor fate by immature neurons through Wnt7-Celsr3-Fzd3 signalling. *Nat Commun.* 2016; 7:10936. [PubMed: 26939553]
19. Rossi AM, Fernandes VM, Desplan C. Timing temporal transitions during brain development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2016; 42:84–92. [PubMed: 27984764]
20. Bandler RC, Mayer C, Fishell G. Cortical interneuron specification: the juncture of genes, time and geometry. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology.* 2017; 42:17–24. [PubMed: 27889625]
21. Llorens-Bobadilla E, Martin-Villalba A. Adult NSC diversity and plasticity: the role of the niche. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology.* 2017; 42:68–74. [PubMed: 27978480]
22. Bielen H, Pal S, Tole S, Houart C. Temporal variations in early developmental decisions: an engine of forebrain evolution. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology.* 2017; 42:152–159. [PubMed: 28092740]
23. Isshiki T, Pearson B, Holbrook S, Doe CQ. Drosophila neuroblasts sequentially express transcription factors which specify the temporal identity of their neuronal progeny. *Cell.* 2001; 106:511–521. [PubMed: 11525736]
24. Tran KD, Doe CQ. Pdm and Castor close successive temporal identity windows in the NB3-1 lineage. *Development.* 2008; 135:3491–3499. [PubMed: 18832394]
25. Novotny T, Eiselt R, Urban J. Hunchback is required for the specification of the early sublineage of neuroblast 7-3 in the Drosophila central nervous system. *Development.* 2002; 129:1027–1036. [PubMed: 11861485]
26. Grosskortenhaus R, Pearson BJ, Marusich A, Doe CQ. Regulation of temporal identity transitions in Drosophila neuroblasts. *Dev Cell.* 2005; 8:193–202. [PubMed: 15691761]
27. Brody T, Odenwald WF. Programmed transformations in neuroblast gene expression during Drosophila CNS lineage development. *Dev Biol.* 2000; 226:34–44. [PubMed: 10993672]
28. Elliott J, Jolicoeur C, Ramamurthy V, Cayouette M. Ikaros confers early temporal competence to mouse retinal progenitor cells. *Neuron.* 2008; 60:26–39. [PubMed: 18940586]
29. Mattar P, Ericson J, Blackshaw S, Cayouette M. A conserved regulatory logic controls temporal identity in mouse neural progenitors. *Neuron.* 2015; 85:497–504. [PubMed: 25654255]
30. Bayraktar OA, Doe CQ. Combinatorial temporal patterning in progenitors expands neural diversity. *Nature.* 2013; 498:445–455.
31. Li X, Erclik T, Bertet C, Chen Z, Voutev R, Venkatesh S, Morante J, Celik A, Desplan C. Temporal patterning of Drosophila medulla neuroblasts controls neural fates. *Nature.* 2013; 498:456–462. [PubMed: 23783517]

32. Bertet C, Li X, Erclik T, Cavey M, Wells B, Desplan C. Temporal patterning of neuroblasts controls Notch-mediated cell survival through regulation of Hid or Reaper. *Cell*. 2014; 158:1173–1186. [PubMed: 25171415]
33. Britton JS, Edgar BA. Environmental control of the cell cycle in *Drosophila*: nutrition activates mitotic and endoreplicative cells by distinct mechanisms. *Development*. 1998; 125:2149–2158. [PubMed: 9570778]
34. Sousa-Nunes R, Yee LL, Gould AP. Fat cells reactivate quiescent neuroblasts via TOR and glial insulin relays in *Drosophila*. *Nature*. 2011; 471:508–512. [PubMed: 21346761]
35. Chell JM, Brand AH. Nutrition-responsive glia control exit of neural stem cells from quiescence. *Cell*. 2010; 143:1161–1173. [PubMed: 21183078]
36. Homem CC, Steinmann V, Burkard TR, Jais A, Esterbauer H, Knoblich JA. Ecdysone and mediator change energy metabolism to terminate proliferation in *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *Cell*. 2014; 158:874–888. [PubMed: 25126791]
37. Lai SL, Doe CQ. Transient nuclear Prospero induces neural progenitor quiescence. *Elife*. 2014; 3
38. Tsuji T, Hasegawa E, Isshiki T. Neuroblast entry into quiescence is regulated intrinsically by the combined action of spatial Hox proteins and temporal identity factors. *Development*. 2008; 135:3859–3869. [PubMed: 18948419]
39. Koyama T, Mirth CK. Growth-Blocking Peptides As Nutrition-Sensitive Signals for Insulin Secretion and Body Size Regulation. *PLoS Biol*. 2016; 14:e1002392. [PubMed: 26928023]
40. Speder P, Brand AH. Gap junction proteins in the blood-brain barrier control nutrient-dependent reactivation of *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *Dev Cell*. 2014; 30:309–321. [PubMed: 25065772]
41. Otsuki L, Brand AH. The vasculature as a neural stem cell niche. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2017
42. Richardson HE, Portela M. Tissue growth and tumorigenesis in *Drosophila*: cell polarity and the Hippo pathway. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 2017; 48:1–9. [PubMed: 28364663]
43. Ding R, Weynans K, Bossing T, Barros CS, Berger C. The Hippo signalling pathway maintains quiescence in *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7:10510. [PubMed: 26821647]
44. Poon CL, Mitchell KA, Kondo S, Cheng LY, Harvey KF. The Hippo Pathway Regulates Neuroblasts and Brain Size in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Curr Biol*. 2016; 26:1034–1042. [PubMed: 26996505]
45. Ebens AJ, Garren H, Cheyette BN, Zipursky SL. The *Drosophila* anachronism locus: a glycoprotein secreted by glia inhibits neuroblast proliferation. *Cell*. 1993; 74:15–27. [PubMed: 7916657]
46. Lin S, Marin EC, Yang CP, Kao CF, Apenteng BA, Huang Y, O'Connor MB, Truman JW, Lee T. Extremes of lineage plasticity in the *Drosophila* brain. *Curr Biol*. 2013; 23:1908–1913. [PubMed: 24055154]
47. Truman JW, Bate M. Spatial and temporal patterns of neurogenesis in the central nervous system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev Biol*. 1988; 125:145–157. [PubMed: 3119399]
48. Liu Z, Yang CP, Sugino K, Fu CC, Liu LY, Yao X, Lee LP, Lee T. Opposing intrinsic temporal gradients guide neural stem cell production of varied neuronal fates. *Science*. 2015; 350:317–320. [PubMed: 26472907]
49. Maurange C, Cheng L, Gould AP. Temporal transcription factors and their targets schedule the end of neural proliferation in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 2008; 133:891–902. [PubMed: 18510932]
50. Narbonne-Reveau K, Lanet E, Dillard C, Foppolo S, Chen CH, Parrinello H, Rialle S, Sokol NS, Maurange C. Neural stem cell-encoded temporal patterning delineates an early window of malignant susceptibility in *Drosophila*. *Elife*. 2016; 5
51. Kao CF, Yu HH, He Y, Kao JC, Lee T. Hierarchical deployment of factors regulating temporal fate in a diverse neuronal lineage of the *Drosophila* central brain. *Neuron*. 2012; 73:677–684. [PubMed: 22365543]
52. Ito K, Awasaki T. Clonal unit architecture of the adult fly brain. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. 2008; 628:137–158. [PubMed: 18683643]
53. Yu HH, Chen CH, Shi L, Huang Y, Lee T. Twin-spot MARCM to reveal the developmental origin and identity of neurons. *Nature neuroscience*. 2009; 12:947–953. [PubMed: 19525942]

54. Yu HH, Kao CF, He Y, Ding P, Kao JC, Lee T. A complete developmental sequence of a *Drosophila* neuronal lineage as revealed by twin-spot MARCM. *PLoS biology*. 2010; 8
55. Ren Q, Yan CP, Liu Z, Sugino K, Mok K, He Y, Lee T. Stem cell intrinsic, Seven-up-triggered temporal factor gradients diversify intermediate neural progenitors. *Current Biology*. 2017 in press.
56. Syed MH, Mark B, Doe CQ. Steroid hormone induction of temporal gene expression in *Drosophila* brain neuroblasts generates neuronal and glial diversity. *Elife*. 2017; 6
57. Yamanaka N, Rewitz KF, O'Connor MB. Ecdysone control of developmental transitions: lessons from *Drosophila* research. *Annu Rev Entomol*. 2013; 58:497–516. [PubMed: 23072462]
58. Yang L, Samuels TJ, Arava Y, Robertson F, Järvelin AI, Yang CP, Lee T, Ish-Horowicz D, Davis I. Regulating *prospero* mRNA Stability Determines When Neural Stem Cells Stop Dividing. *bioRxiv*. 2017
59. Chai PC, Liu Z, Chia W, Cai Y. Hedgehog signaling acts with the temporal cascade to promote neuroblast cell cycle exit. *PLoS biology*. 2013; 11:e1001494. [PubMed: 23468593]
60. Yang CP, Samuels TJ, Huang Y, Yang L, Ish-Horowicz D, Davis I, Lee T. Imp/Syp Temporal Gradients Govern Decommissioning Of *Drosophila* Neural Stem Cells. *bioRxiv*. 2017
61. Ito K, Awano W, Suzuki K, Hiromi Y, Yamamoto D. The *Drosophila* mushroom body is a quadruple structure of clonal units each of which contains a virtually identical set of neurones and glial cells. *Development*. 1997; 124:761–771. [PubMed: 9043058]
62. Lee T, Lee A, Luo L. Development of the *Drosophila* mushroom bodies: sequential generation of three distinct types of neurons from a neuroblast. *Development*. 1999; 126:4065–4076. [PubMed: 10457015]
63. Zhu S, Lin S, Kao CF, Awasaki T, Chiang AS, Lee T. Gradients of the *Drosophila* Chinmo BTB-zinc finger protein govern neuronal temporal identity. *Cell*. 2006; 127:409–422. [PubMed: 17055440]
64. Wu YC, Chen CH, Mercer A, Sokol NS. Let-7-complex microRNAs regulate the temporal identity of *Drosophila* mushroom body neurons via chinmo. *Dev Cell*. 2012; 23:202–209. [PubMed: 22814608]
65. Kucherenko MM, Barth J, Fiala A, Shcherbata HR. Steroid-induced microRNA let-7 acts as a spatio-temporal code for neuronal cell fate in the developing *Drosophila* brain. *EMBO J*. 2012; 31:4511–4523. [PubMed: 23160410]
66. Chawla G, Sokol NS. Hormonal activation of let-7-C microRNAs via EcR is required for adult *Drosophila melanogaster* morphology and function. *Development*. 2012; 139:1788–1797. [PubMed: 22510985]
67. Thompson CK, Cline HT. Thyroid Hormone Acts Locally to Increase Neurogenesis, Neuronal Differentiation, and Dendritic Arbor Elaboration in the Tadpole Visual System. *J Neurosci*. 2016; 36:10356–10375. [PubMed: 27707971]
68. Gilbert ME, Goodman JH, Gomez J, Johnstone AF, Ramos RL. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is impaired by transient and moderate developmental thyroid hormone disruption. *Neurotoxicology*. 2017; 59:9–21. [PubMed: 28048979]
69. Bernal, J. Thyroid Hormones in Brain Development and Function. In: De Groot, LJ, Chrousos, G, Dungan, K, Feingold, KR, Grossman, A, Hershman, JM, Koch, C, Korbonits, M, McLachlan, R, New, M., et al., editors. *Endotext*. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc; 2000.
70. Yu HH, Awasaki T, Schroeder MD, Long F, Yang JS, He Y, Ding P, Kao JC, Wu GY, Peng H, et al. Clonal development and organization of the adult *Drosophila* central brain. *Curr Biol*. 2013; 23:633–643. [PubMed: 23541733]
71. Bello BC, Izergina N, Caussinus E, Reichert H. Amplification of neural stem cell proliferation by intermediate progenitor cells in *Drosophila* brain development. *Neural Dev*. 2008; 3:5. [PubMed: 18284664]

Glossary

Temporal patterning

specification of cell fate by temporally restricted intrinsic or extrinsic cues

Temporal transitions

changes in gene expression or proliferation mode occurring at stereotyped times of development

Temporal identity

a cell fate that is specified by a temporal patterning mechanism. Temporal identity combined with spatial identity (e.g. the spatial location of the progenitor) can generate a highly specific or even unique neuronal identity

Temporal transcription factor

a transcription factor that is transiently expressed in a progenitor and specifies progeny temporal identity.

TTF cascade

A temporal transcription factor cascade, typically regulated by feed-forward activation and feedback repression acting intrinsically within the progenitor

Type I neuroblast

the canonical *Drosophila* neuroblast present in throughout the CNS that divides asymmetrically to produce a smaller ganglion mother cell (GMC) that typically produces two post-mitotic neurons. There are ~100 type I neuroblasts in each brain lobe, each producing 30-150 progeny [70]

Type II neuroblast and INPs

there are 8 type II neuroblasts per brain lobe that divide asymmetrically to produce an intermediate neural progenitor (INP) with each division. Each INP divides asymmetrically to generate 4-6 GMCs, and thus type II neuroblasts each produce larger clones with 400-500 progeny [71]. Both type II neuroblasts and INPs exhibit temporal patterning

Ecdysone signaling pathway

the steroid hormone ecdysone, made in the prothoracic gland, binds the ecdysone receptor (A, B1, B2 isoforms) which dimerizes with the common co-receptor Ultraspiracle to regulate gene expression in many or all embryonic, larval, and pupal tissues. Pulses of ecdysone trigger a diverse spectrum of developmental events and coordinate development between tissues

Trends Box

- Temporal patterning in both vertebrates and *Drosophila* is regulated by extrinsic cues.
- In mammals, TGF β signaling regulates temporal patterning of neural progenitors in the midbrain and hindbrain.
- In mammals, Wnt7 from early-born deep layer neurons induces cortical neural progenitors to switch to late-born superficial layer neuron production.
- In *Drosophila*, nutrition (amino acids) initiate a signaling cascade leading to glial secretion of insulinlike peptides that induce timely neural stem cell exit from quiescence.
- In *Drosophila*, the steroid hormone ecdysone is required to trigger a switch from larval neuroblast production of early-born Chinmo⁺ Imp⁺ progeny to late-born Broad⁺ Syp⁺ progeny. Ecdysone may synchronize the generation of neural diversity with non-neuronal tissue development.

Outstanding questions

Do all temporally expressed transcription factors act as true TTFs to specify neuronal identity based on birth-order? To date nearly every candidate TTF has been shown to specify neuronal temporal identity when assayed, but many new candidate TTFs remain to be tested.

How do TTFs specify neuronal identity? In some cases they may act as simple transcription factors that regulate gene expression (perhaps for neuroblast-intrinsic cascades); in other cases they may regulate the competence to respond to an extrinsic cue, such as the role of the EcR in allowing a mid-larval response to ecdysone. We note that the late candidate TTF E93 has been shown to regulate cell competence in other cell types [62, 63], perhaps it acts in this way in neuroblasts as well.

What are the downstream targets of the TTFs? This has begun to be dissected in the late embryonic neuroblast cascade, in elegant work from the Thor lab [64, 65], and in optic lobe neuroblasts in recent work from the Desplan lab [30, 31]. But in most cases the downstream effectors of TTFs remain uncharted.

How are spatial and temporal factors integrated to specify unique neuronal identity? For example, the TTF Hunchback specifies a first-born motor neuron in the embryonic neuroblast 7-1 lineage, but a firstborn serotonergic interneuron in the adjacent neuroblast 7-3 lineage [22]. Similarly, each of the eight type II neuroblasts in the larval brain generates a distinct clone of neurons, despite progressing through the same TTF cascade.

To what degree does cell cycle progression regulate TTF expression? In embryonic neuroblasts, most of the TTF transitions can occur in G2-arrested neuroblasts [25]. Is this a general property of neuroblast TTF cascades or do larval neuroblasts in the VNC, central brain, or optic lobe use a different mechanism?

Figure 1. Temporal patterning by extrinsic cues in mammalian neural progenitors

Grey box represents the progenitor population at the ventricular zone. Time flows from left to right. Young progenitors, white circles; old progenitors, black circles. DL, deep layer early-born neurons (white ovals); UL, upper layer late-born neurons (black ovals). Dashed red arrows or T-bars represent the extrinsic cues that regulate temporal patterning. See text for details.

(A) Wild type cortical development.

(B) Transplantation of young cortical progenitors into an older VZ shows that environmental cues can transform progenitor temporal identity to an "older" state [15].

(C) Ablation of early-born neurons reduces the number of deep layer early-born neurons and delays production of late-born upper layer neurons [16].

(D) Cortical Wnt7 induces expression of the Notch ligand Jag1 in late-born neurons which induces a switch from neurogenesis to gliogenesis [18].

(E) TGFβ signaling terminates production of early-born fates and induces production of late born fates in three brain regions [17]. MN, motor neuron; 5HTN, serotonergic neuron; OLP, oligodendrocyte precursor cell; OMN, oculomotor neuron; RNN, red nucleus neurons; SMN, somatic motor neuron.

Figure 2. Temporal patterning by nutrition cues in *Drosophila*

(A) Summary of neuroblast temporal patterning events from embryogenesis through the end of the neuroblast lineage in the early pupal stage. In the embryo, a neuroblast-intrinsic TTF cascade generates temporal patterning (see text for gene abbreviations). In the early larva, extrinsic nutritional cues generate the properly timed exit from quiescence. In the late larva, extrinsic hormonal cues generate a switch from early candidate TTFs to late candidate TTFs).

(B) The nutritional extrinsic signaling pathway that triggers neuroblast exit from quiescence (see text for gene abbreviations).

(C) Comparison of mushroom body neuroblast (MB NB) temporal patterning in the presence of dietary amino acids (top) or in the absence of dietary amino acids (bottom). Note the failure to undergo proper temporal patterning in the absence of extrinsic nutritional cues.

Figure 3. Temporal patterning of larval neuroblasts by hormonal cues in *Drosophila*

Larval stages from 0-120 hours after larval hatching (ALH) shown from left to right.

(A) The non-neuronal ring gland releases the hormone ecdysone at all stages of larval life, but neuroblasts only respond to ecdysone after they express the ecdysone receptor (EcR-B1) at ~60h ALH.

(B) Simplified diagram of candidate TTFs expressed by larval central brain neuroblasts. Grey and red, TTFs not requiring ecdysone for their expression. Black, TTFs requiring ecdysone for their expression.

(C) Type II neuroblasts at the beginning of their larval lineages make INPs that produce both neurons and glia and express Chinmo and Imp; type II neuroblasts later in their lineage produce INPs that generate neurons only and express Broad and Syncrin -- this transition illustrates how the type II neuroblasts change over time to generate neural diversity. INPs undergo temporal patterning over time (color coded).