Published in final edited form as:

Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016 September ; 71: 102–109. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.05.006.

Executive Functioning and Diabetes: The Role of Anxious Arousal and Inflammation

Kyle W. Murdock¹, Angie S. LeRoy^{1,2}, Tamara E. Lacourt³, Danny C. Duke⁴, Cobi J. Heijnen³, and Christopher P. Fagundes^{1,3,5}

¹Department of Psychology, Rice University, Bioscience Research Collaborative Room 773, 6500 Main Street, Houston, Texas 77005

²Department of Psychology, University of Houston, 3695 Cullen Boulevard Room 126 Houston, Texas 77204

³Department of Symptoms Research, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard, Unit 1450, Houston, Texas 77030

⁴Division of Psychology, Institute on Development and Disability, Oregon Health and Science University, 707 SW Gaines Street, Portland, Oregon 97239

⁵Department of Psychiatry, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza - BCM350, Houston, Texas 77030

Abstract

Individuals who perform poorly on measures of the executive function of inhibition have higher anxious arousal in comparison to those with better performance. High anxious arousal is associated with a pro-inflammatory response. Chronically high anxious arousal and inflammation increase one's risk of developing type 2 diabetes. We sought to evaluate anxious arousal and inflammation as underlying mechanisms linking inhibition with diabetes incidence. Participants ($N = 835$) completed measures of cognitive abilities, a self-report measure of anxious arousal, and donated blood to assess interleukin-6 (IL-6) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c). Individuals with low inhibition were more likely to have diabetes than those with high inhibition due to the serial pathway from high anxious arousal to IL-6. Findings remained when entering other indicators of cognitive abilities as covariates, suggesting that inhibition is a unique cognitive ability associated with diabetes incidence. On the basis of our results, we propose several avenues to explore for improved prevention and treatment efforts for type 2 diabetes.

Corresponding Author: Christopher P. Fagundes, Rice University, BRC 773, 6100 Main Street, Houston, Texas 77005. christopher.fagundes@rice.edu; Telephone: 713-348-2187; Fax: 713-348-5221.

Contributors

K.W.M. was involved in data analysis and manuscript writing for the present study. A.S.L., T.E.L., D.C.D., C.J.H., and C.P.F. provided critical feedback and edited the manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

executive functioning; inhibition; anxious arousal; inflammation; diabetes

1. Introduction

Emotional stress is a risk factor for poor physical health. Indeed, high anxiety is associated with diabetes incidence (Li et al., 2008). A better understanding of the association between anxiety and blood glucose is important given that approximately 347 million people worldwide have diabetes, which has imposed a growing economic strain on health care systems (Zhang et al., 2010). Moreover, approximately 90% of all diabetes is type 2, which can be prevented or delayed via lifestyle changes (e.g., physical activity, diet; Tuomilehto & Wolf, 1987; WHO, 2014) that are more difficult to achieve when stressed or anxious (Stults-Holehmainen & Sinha, 2014). Therefore, a further understanding of the association between anxiety and blood glucose is needed to improve interventions. The present study draws from the psychoneuroimmunology literature to examine how cognitive processes and immune functioning underlie the association between anxious arousal and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), a well-known biomarker used to estimate blood glucose during the preceding 2–3 months.

The executive function of inhibition (also known as attentional control or inhibitory control) is associated with the experience of stressful emotions such as anxiety. Inhibition is the ability to refrain from responding or attending to tempting/distracting information, objects, thoughts, or activities. Indeed, those who have low inhibition are more likely to attend to anxious thoughts, and have greater difficulty shifting their attention away from such thoughts, than those with high inhibition (DeGutis et al., 2015; Joormann & Gotlib, 2010; Schmeichel & Tang, 2015). Others have argued that better inhibition is associated with less anxiety via the ability to flexibly and adaptively respond to one's environment using optimal coping strategies (e.g., Martel, Nokolas, & Nigg, 2007). Indeed, inflexibility in thinking is associated with increased anxiety (e.g., Britton et al., 2010), which may explain why those with better inhibition report less anxiety than those with poor inhibition. The neurovisceral integration model (e.g., Thayer & Lane, 2009) also indicates that those with poor inhibition are less physiologically capable of responding and adapting to their environment in comparison to those with better inhibition. As a result, those with poor inhibition are more likely to have a pre-attentive bias to threat information than those with better inhibition due to an inability to flexibly respond, and are more likely to report increased arousal due to this bias (Thayer & Friedman, 2004). Therefore, both cognitive and physiological processes may link poor inhibition with increased anxiety. High anxiety is associated with poor overall physical health in adults (Needham, Mezuk, Bareis, Lin, Blackburn, & Epel, 2015), which is notable given that those with low inhibition as children exhibit poor physical health outcomes in adulthood (Moffitt et al., 2011).

The underlying mechanisms linking low inhibition and poor health have not been adequately studied. In addition to increased anxiety (Schmeichel & Tang, 2015), low inhibition is also a risk factor for poor diabetes management because of an inability to consistently adhere to

and complete diabetes management tasks (Wasserman, Hilliard, Schwartz, & Anderson, 2015). Therefore, it is important to generate a further understanding of how inhibition is linked to diabetes to improve prevention and intervention efforts.

Inflammation may play an important role in linking inhibition and diabetes. Those with high stress demonstrate a greater inflammatory response than individuals who are less stressed at the moderate effect size level (i.e., $r = .42$ to $.57$; Fagundes, Glaser, Hwang, Malarkey, & Kiecolt-Glaser, 2013). Anxiety, as well as stress more generally, leads to inflammation via activation of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-KB). Activation of NF-KB increases production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (Bierhaus et al., 2003). Further, chronic inflammation is a reliable predictor of diabetes onset at the small effect size level (i.e., $r = .28$ to $.33$; Chen et al., 2009; Perticone et al., 2008; Weng et al., 2010), as well as morbidity and mortality with small to moderate effect sizes typically identified (i.e., $r = .10$ to $.40$; Kiecolt-Glaser, Gouin, & Hantsoo, 2010). In addition to inflammation being a predictor of diabetes onset, diabetes progression is associated with increased inflammation over time (Stehouwer et al., 2002). Chronic elevated inflammation is associated with increased risk of heart disease ($OR = 1.45$; Hansson, 2005), a major complication of diabetes (Grundy et al., 1999). Indicators of anxious arousal (e.g., racing heart, chest pain) are stronger predictors of inflammation than cognitive anxiety (e.g., fearful of dying or losing control) at the small effects size level ($r = .12$; Duivis, Vogelzangs, Kupper, de Jonge, & Penninx, 2013), possibly due to activation of the sympathetic nervous system (Janig, 2014).

As low inhibition is associated with high anxious arousal at the medium effect size level ($r = .38$; DeGutis et al., 2015), low inhibition may also be associated with high inflammation; however, it is unclear if inhibition is associated with inflammation in the general population as the literature has primarily been focused on the natural decline of cognitive skills and increase in inflammation near the end of human life (Magaki, Mueller, Dickson, & Kirsch, 2007; Simen, Bordner, Martin, Moy, & Barry, 2011). Furthermore, inhibition is typically combined with other cognitive abilities in statistical analyses, limiting the ability to understand the unique effects of inhibition (Marsland et al., 2015). Specifically, indicators of executive functioning (i.e., working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibition) are often combined into a latent construct of executive functioning as opposed to being evaluated as individual predictors of targeted outcomes. Accordingly, it is important to determine if inhibition is associated with diabetes through anxious arousal and inflammation.

We expected that low inhibition would be associated with high anxious arousal and, in turn, high inflammation. It was predicted that the serial pathway from anxious arousal to inflammation would explain the association between inhibition and diabetes incidence (i.e., serial mediation). Furthermore, we expected that this pathway would remain significant above and beyond other indicators of cognitive abilities (i.e., performance on digit span, backwards counting, and number series measures).

2. Material and methods

2.1 Participants and procedure

Data were obtained from the Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) study in which mental and physical health outcomes were examined among a nationally representative sample of middle aged adults. Data from two time points were utilized in the present study. Specifically, measures of cognitive ability described below were completed during a baseline visit. All other measures were completed at the follow-up visit, which occurred an average of 23.39 months ($SD = 14.28$) after participants completed cognitive measures. A total of 4,512 participants completed cognitive assessments. Of those who completed the cognitive assessments, a 1,255 agreed to participate, and were identified as being medically safe to travel to Madison, WI, Los Angeles, CA, or Washington, DC, for the follow-up assessment. Complete data was obtained from a total of 835 participants ($M_{age} = 57.62$, $SD = 11.60$). Significant mean differences were not identified among those with complete vs. incomplete data for the vast majority of study variables (i.e., inhibition, anxious arousal, interleukin-6, diabetes incidence, age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, and time lag between assessments); however, participants with incomplete data were older ($t = 2.34$, $p = .02$) and more likely to have a history of smoking ($t = 2.84$, $p = .01$) in comparison to those with complete data, consistent with the need to be medically safe to travel to the follow-up assessment.

All cognitive tests were administered over the telephone in the MIDUS study (Tun & Lachman, 2006). The reliability and validity of conducting the cognitive measures over the phone in the MIDUS study was recently evaluated and excellent test-retest reliability was identified (Lachman et al., 2014). Moreover, moderate to large correlations ranging from .55 to .95 were identified between a telephone administration and an in-person administration in a subsample of 30 participants. In addition, moderate to large correlations ranging from .42 to .54 were identified between measures on the telephone administration and participant performance for similar measures on the Boston Cognitive Battery (Lachman et al., 2014) which is administered in person.

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Inhibition—The stop and go switch task (SGST) was designed to measure inhibition and attention shifting (Lachman, Agrigoroaei, Tun, & Weaver, 2014; Tun & Lachman, 2006, 2008) and consists of three conditions. In the first condition (i.e., normal), participants were asked to respond by stating “stop” when presented with the stimulus word “red” or “go” when presented with the stimulus word “green” across 20 trials. For the second condition (i.e., reverse), participants were instructed to state the word “go” when presented with the stimulus word “red” and “stop” when presented with the stimulus word “green” across 20 trials. In the third and final condition (i.e., mixed), participants were provided with a cue of either “normal” or “reverse” before being presented with a stimulus word across 32 trials. For example, if a participant was provided with the cue of “normal” and the stimulus word “green,” the correct response was “go”; however, if they were presented with the cue of “reverse” and the stimulus word “green,” the correct response was “stop.” Response latency for the SGST in the present study reflects the average time it took to correctly respond to

each stimulus in the reverse and mixed condition. In the normal condition, inhibition skills are not utilized, and as such, response latency in the normal condition was not included in present study analyses. During follow-up analyses, we included the number of errors from each condition as additional indicators of inhibition to determine if there were any speed/error tradeoffs. Indicators of inhibition were reverse coded such that higher scores indicated better inhibition.

Using response latency as the primary indicator of inhibition, Lachman et al. (2014) demonstrated excellent psychometric characteristics for the SGST. For instance, response latency for the mixed condition of the SGST was moderately correlated (i.e., $r = .52$) with Task Switching from the Boston Cognitive Battery, a 90 minute in person assessment, using a subsample of MIDUS participants ($n = 299$) who also participated in the Boston Longitudinal Study (BOLOS; Miller & Lachman, 2000). The SGST was also tested in person among a subsample ($n = 30$) of participants who had also completed the measure over the telephone. The correlation between the telephone and in person administrations of the SGST was .71 (Lachman et al., 2014).

2.2.2 Anxious Arousal—The 62 item version of the Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire (MASQ; Clark & Watson, 1991) was utilized to measure anxious arousal. On the MASQ, individuals reported the degree to which they experienced various feelings or sensations (e.g., “was short of breath”) during the previous week on a scale ranging from 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (extremely). A total of 16 items comprise the anxious arousal scale, with the remaining items assessing general distress and anhedonia. In the present study, the reliability coefficient for the anxious arousal scale was acceptable ($\alpha = .75$).

2.2.3 Interleukin-6—Serum IL-6 levels were evaluated via high-sensitivity enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) with a sensitivity of detection at 0.16 pg/mL. Values were log transformed to normalize the distribution of scores. IL-6 was selected as an indicator of inflammation as it has been linked with anxious arousal (O’Donovan et al., 2010) and type 2 diabetes (Doyle et al., 2013). Serum IL-6 is also a marker of acute and chronic stress (Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2003). Moreover, animal work has demonstrated that vagus nerve stimulation, which is associated with improved inhibition (Thayer & Lane, 2009), reduces production of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha and IL-6 (Wang et al., 2004). Other immune biomarkers that were analyzed during the MIDUS study (i.e., C-Reactive protein, IL-6 receptor, E-selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1)) are not strongly linked with vagus nerve stimulation and stress regulation, two important mechanisms for the association between inhibition and IL-6 (Stephens, Hamer, & Chida, 2007; Wang et al., 2004).

2.2.4 Hemoglobin HbA1c—An HbA1c assay was performed by Meriter Labs in Madison, WI using the Cobas Integra® analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Percent HbA1c, which is represented in the descriptions and analyses below, is determined by the quotient of HA1C by total hemoglobin. Higher scores represent worse glycemic control. Consistent with the International Expert Committee’s suggestions for using HbA1c to diagnose diabetes (The International Expert Committee, 2009), scores above 6.5% were considered to be consistent with a diagnosis of diabetes; however, the committee suggested

that a repeat measurement of HbA1c is needed for diagnosis of diabetes if clinical symptoms and blood glucose levels below 200 mg/dl (11.1 mmol/l) are identified. A second measurement was not conducted for such individuals in the present study. In the analyses described below, diabetes incidence was defined as whether or not participants demonstrated HbA1c levels greater than 6.5% and/or self-reported using medication to manage diabetes.

2.2.5 Demographics—Participants provided self-reported age, gender, ethnicity, smoking history, and current use of insulin. Participant height and weight was measured in order to calculate body mass index (BMI).

2.2.6 Digit span—The backward digit span task from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition (WAIS-III; Wechsler, 1997) was also used as a covariate in the present study. The digit span task involved the participant listening to a series of numbers ranging from two to eight digits that increased in length as they progressed through the trials. After listening to the series of numbers, the participants were asked to repeat each series in the reverse order. Participants were given two separate opportunities, using a different order of digits, to provide the correct response for a given series length. The task was discontinued if the participant did not provide the correct response to a trial after two attempts for a given series length. The number of digits in the longest series correctly recalled was utilized as an indicator of digit span ability. The backward digit span task was chosen as a covariate in the present study as it is related to the executive function of updating/monitoring of information in working memory (Hilbert, Nakagawa, Puci, Zech, & Bühner, 2015).

2.2.7 Backwards counting task—For 30 seconds, participants were asked to count backwards from 100 as quickly as possible. The number of correct responses was utilized as an indicator of processing speed, a covariate in the present study. The number of errors due to repeating or skipping numbers was subtracted from the total score. This measure was chosen as a covariate given that processing speed is a predictor of performance on cognitive measures associated with aging that is separate, but related, to inhibition (e.g., Baudouin, Clarys, Vanneste, Isingrini, 2009).

2.2.8 Number series task—Participants completed a number series task (Salthouse & Prill, 1987) which was utilized as a covariate. For this task, participants were presented five-number series, one at a time, and were asked to indicate the correct number that should appear next in the series. Total scores reflected the number of series completed correctly after a five trials. It has been argued that measures of fluid reasoning, such as number series tasks, are related to the majority of measures of executive functioning and intelligence (e.g., Unsworth et al., 2009). As a result, this measure was chosen as a covariate to determine if broad cognitive skills explain hypothesized associations. This is important given that anxiety symptoms associated with post-traumatic stress have been found to be specific to inhibition as opposed to general executive dysfunction (DeGutis et al., 2015).

2.3 Analytic strategy

SPSS statistical software (IBM, 2012) was utilized for all analyses. Moreover, the model represented in Figure 1 was tested using the PROCESS macro for SPSS (Hayes, 2013)

which allows for evaluation of serial mediation. Indirect effects were evaluated using 5,000 bootstrap samples. An indirect effect is significant if the 95% confidence interval does not contain zero (Hayes, 2013). Mediation models reflect that one variable (i.e., the mediator) explains, or partially explains, an association between an independent and dependent variable. Of note, mediation models can be tested without longitudinal data if hypotheses are theoretically driven (MacKinnon, 2008). Furthermore, in serial mediation models, it is hypothesized that one mediator is serially associated with another mediator, and that this chain explains, or partially explains, an association between an independent and dependent variable (e.g., Hayes, 2012). Importantly, the PROCESS macro automatically identifies dichotomous dependent variables, such as diabetes incidence in the present study, and utilizes logistic regression. Participant age, gender, ethnicity, BMI, smoking history, and the time lag between assessments were utilized as covariates. Additionally, participant performance on the cognitive tasks representing digit span, backwards counting, and number series were entered as covariates in follow-up analyses.

3. Results

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1 and bivariate correlations are presented in Table 2. As expected, better inhibition was associated with less anxious arousal, IL-6, diabetes incidence, and HbA1c. Moreover, all indicators of cognitive ability were significantly positively associated. Furthermore, in line with hypotheses, higher anxious arousal was associated with greater IL-6. As expected, higher IL-6 was associated with a greater likelihood of diabetes incidence and higher HbA1c. Interestingly, increased anxious arousal was associated with a higher BMI and likelihood of reporting a history of regular cigarette use. Moreover, greater IL-6 was associated with higher BMI, and worse performance on all measures of cognitive ability.

As seen in Figure 1, lower inhibition was associated with greater anxious arousal and plasma IL-6. Higher anxious arousal was also associated with greater IL-6. Furthermore, serial mediation was supported, indicating that the sequential pathway from anxious arousal to IL-6 explained the association between inhibition and diabetes incidence. Covariates significantly associated with diabetes incidence included participant age ($B = .53, p < .05$) and BMI ($B = .54, p < .05$), as well as the time lag between assessment time points ($B = -.28, p < .05$). Primary findings remained consistent when examining HbA1c as a continuous dependent variable with insulin use included as a covariate (Figure 2), in addition to when adding the number of errors made during the reverse and mixed tasks as additional indicators of inhibition. Furthermore, serial mediation was not supported when the sequence was reversed such that IL-6 led to anxious arousal (.0013, $SE = .0013$; 95% $CI = -.0005, .0054$). We also examined if diabetes incidence was associated with inflammation through the pathway from anxious arousal to inhibition given that management of diabetes is associated with potentially anxiety providing situations (Duke & Harris, 2014). Results did not support this serial mediational pathway (.0004, $SE = .0006$; 95% $CI = -.0001, .0027$).

In follow-up analyses, digit span, backwards counting and number series performance were examined as covariates in the model depicted in Figure 1 to determine if primary findings were unique to inhibition. All significant paths, as well as indirect effects, remained.

Moreover, better number series performance was associated with lower anxious arousal ($\beta = -.13, p < .05$) and decreased likelihood of meeting criteria for diabetes ($B = -.35, p < .05$); however, further analysis revealed that number series performance was not associated with diabetes incidence through anxious arousal ($-.0082, SE = .0163$; 95% $CI = -.0434, .0224$) or IL-6 ($-.0113, SE = .0122$; 95% $CI = -.0452, .0051$). Moreover, inhibition was the only cognitive skill directly associated with IL-6.

4. Discussion

Our findings indicate that those with better inhibition evidence lower anxious arousal, IL-6, and HbA1c, in addition to a lower likelihood of meeting criteria for diabetes, than those with poor inhibition. Additionally, those with higher anxious arousal had higher IL-6. As expected, higher IL-6 was associated with higher HbA1c and likelihood of diabetes incidence. In the full model (see Figure 1), poor inhibition was associated with increased diabetes incidence through the serial pathway from anxious arousal to IL-6 as hypothesized. These findings were unique to inhibition as outcomes did not change when other indicators of cognitive ability were included in the model. Findings extend the literature by demonstrating that low inhibition is a risk factor for diabetes incidence as opposed to being a consequence of disease processes (e.g., Bottiroli et al., 2014; Tran, Baxter, Hamman, & Grigsby, 2014). Better number series performance was independently associated with lower anxious arousal and decreased likelihood of meeting criteria for diabetes, suggesting that number series performance and inhibition should be differentiated within models of diabetes incidence.

Parasympathetic nervous system and NF- κ B activation are potential mechanisms linking inhibition with anxious arousal and inflammation. Indeed, those with poor inhibition are more likely to have stressful thoughts enter and continue to occupy working memory than those with better inhibition (Joorman, 2010). Moreover, those with poor inhibition are more likely to demonstrate maladaptive physiological and psychological responses to stressors than those with better inhibition (Lackschewitz, Hüther, & Kröner-Herwig, 2008). Therefore, as a result of being unable to block stressful thoughts from being attended to, and an inability to shift attention away from distressing thoughts, those with poor inhibition may be more likely to experience anxious arousal and demonstrate heightened inflammation when exposed to stressors than those with better inhibition. Although inhibition is a hypothesized precursor to anxious arousal and inflammation, further research is needed to evaluate if inhibition is associated with a general arousal system as opposed to being a precursor to anxious arousal and inflammation given this speculative hypothesis.

Maladaptive health behaviors associated with poor inhibition may also explain the association between inhibition and diabetes. Indeed, individuals with poor inhibition are less likely to eat healthy foods (Limbers & Young, 2015; Segerstrom & Nes, 2007) or engage in healthy amounts of physical activity than those with high inhibition, even when they have the intention of doing so (Hall, Fong, Epp, & Elias, 2008). Those with poor inhibition are less likely to consume fruits/vegetables (Allom & Mullan, 2014), adhere to a weight loss plan (Pauli-Pott, Özgür, Hebebrand, & Pott, 2010), utilize sunscreen (Allom, Mullan, & Sebastian, 2013), or demonstrate adaptive sleeping habits than those with better inhibition

(Kor & Mullan, 2011). Impaired inhibition also leads to alcohol relapse following treatment (Gardland, Franken, & Howard, 2012). High anxiety is also associated with poor health behaviors (e.g., diet, exercise; Bonnet et al., 2005). As high anxiety and poor health behaviors are known risk factors for type 2 diabetes (Li et al., 2008; WHO, 2014), future work should target health behaviors as an underlying mechanism linking inhibition with type 2 diabetes.

Findings point to several intervention opportunities that may reduce diabetes incidence. Mindfulness-based therapeutic techniques can improve neural networks associated with poor inhibition, such as in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; Gard et al., 2012) which facilitates executive attention by detecting and mitigating distracting thoughts (Van Veen & Carter, 2002). Low inhibition is also improved via stimulant medication (Rosch et al., 2015). Anxious arousal is reduced through cognitive behavioral therapy, and in particular, exposure and response prevention (Arch et al., 2013). Use of anti-inflammatory medication may also reduce risk of diabetes given present study findings (Serhan, Chiang, & Van Dyke, 2008). Further research is needed to determine if implementing such interventions can reduce diabetes incidence among at risk individuals.

Inhibition, anxious arousal, and inflammation are also important for diabetes management. Individuals with low inhibition are at risk for poor glycemic control leading to enhanced inhibition difficulties (Duke & Harris, 2014; Gailliot et al., 2007). Indeed, high blood glucose levels are associated with decreased inhibition (Flint & Turek, 2003). Furthermore, diabetes management is often associated with anxiety provoking behaviors (e.g., daily insulin self-injections, finger-pricks, social interactions) that are imperative to achieving optimal diabetes control (Snoek & Skinner, 2006). Anxious arousal contributes to avoidance of diabetes management behaviors (Kendzor et al., 2014). As a result, interventions designed to improve inhibition and/or decrease anxious arousal may also result in improved glycemic control.

The present study is limited given that IL-6 was the only immune marker available in the MIDUS study that is strongly linked with hypothesized mechanisms underlying the association between inhibition and inflammation (e.g., Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2004). We would expect similar findings if TNF-alpha was available and future studies would benefit from attempting to replicate present study findings using TNF-alpha as an additional marker of inflammation. The present study is also limited by the predominantly white sample and the reliance on self-report, in a yes or no question format, for whether or not participants were currently taking insulin for diabetes. Furthermore, the study did not include measurement of physical activity or other health behaviors outside of smoking. However, our findings are consistent with empirical and theoretical evidence linking inhibition, stress, and health (Rostamian et al., 2015) and we controlled for BMI, which is associated with the majority of health behaviors. Education may be important to consider in future work as the vast majority of the original MIDUS sample had at least a high school diploma (e.g., Tun & Lachman, 2008), which may have limited the variance in cognitive performance observed. Additionally, the present study is limited by measurement of inhibition an average of nearly two years prior to measurement of anxious arousal, inflammation, and HbA1c. Future work should examine how these associations change over

time; however, it should be noted that inhibition skills have been found to be relatively stable over time (e.g., Ettenhofer, Hambrick, & Abeles, 2006; Harms, Zayas, Meltzoff, & Carlson, 2014), supporting present study findings. Moreover, as study variables were not assessed over multiple time points, directionality of associations cannot be determined. Longitudinal designs can address this limitation in future work.

5. Conclusions

Consistent with theoretical models linking inhibition, stress, and health, poor inhibition is associated with increased diabetes incidence through the serial pathway from high anxious arousal to high inflammation. These findings have important implications for the prevention and treatment of diabetes by identifying underlying mechanisms contributing to elevated blood glucose.

Acknowledgments

Role of the Funding Source

Data collection for the present study was funded by the National Institute on Aging (P01-AG020166). Preparation of the manuscript was supported by a grant from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (1R01HL127260-01) to C.P.F.

References

- Allom V, Mullan B. Individual differences in executive function predict distinct eating behaviours. *Appetite*. 2014; 80:123–130. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.05.007 [PubMed: 24845785]
- Allom V, Mullan B, Sebastian J. Closing the intention–behaviour gap for sunscreen use and sun protection behaviours. *Psychology & Health*. 2013; 28(5):477–494. DOI: 10.1080/08870446.2012.745935 [PubMed: 23252669]
- Arch JJ, Ayers CR, Baker A, Almklov E, Dean DJ, Craske MG. Randomized clinical trial of adapted mindfulness-based stress reduction versus group cognitive behavioral therapy for heterogeneous anxiety disorders. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2013; 51(4–5):185–196. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2013.01.003 [PubMed: 23419887]
- Baudouin A, Clarys D, Vanneste S, Isingrini M. Executive functioning and processing speed in age-related differences in memory: Contribution of a coding task. *Brain and Cognition*. 2009; 71(3): 240–245. DOI: 10.1016/j.bandc.2009.08.007 [PubMed: 19796862]
- Bierhaus A, Wolf J, Andrassy M, Rohleder N, Humpert PM, Petrov D, ... Nawroth PP. A mechanism converting psychosocial stress into mononuclear cell activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003; 100(4):1920–1925. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0438019100 [PubMed: 12578963]
- Bonnet F, Irving K, Terra JL, Nony P, Berthezene F, Moulin P. Anxiety and depression are associated with unhealthy lifestyle in patients at risk of cardiovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2005; 178(2): 339–344. DOI: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2004.08.035 [PubMed: 15694943]
- Bottiroli S, Vecchi T, Campanella F, Varetta A, Gallotti MC, Perego E, ... Cavallini E. Executive functioning in older adult outpatients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus: A preliminary study. *Clinical Gerontologist: The Journal of Aging and Mental Health*. 2014; 37(2):91–107. DOI: 10.1080/07317115.2013.868846
- Britton JC, Scott LR, Isabelle MR, Kilgore WDS, Price LM, Ragan J, ... Stewart SE. Cognitive inflexibility and frontal-cortical activation in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2010; 49(9):944–953. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.05.006

- Chen BH, Song YQ, Ding EL, Roberts CK, Manson JE, Rifai N, ... Liu SM. Circulating levels of resistin and risk of type 2 diabetes in men and women: Results from two prospective cohorts. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32(2):329–334. DOI: 10.2337/dc08-1625 [PubMed: 18957529]
- Clark LA, Watson D. Tripartite model of anxiety and depression - Psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1991; 100(3):316–336. DOI: 10.1037/0021-843x.100.3.316 [PubMed: 1918611]
- DeGutis J, Esterman M, McCulloch B, Rosenblatt A, Milberg W, McGlinchey R. Posttraumatic psychological symptoms are associated with reduced inhibitory control, not general executive dysfunction. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2015; 21(5):342–352. DOI: 10.1017/S1355617715000235 [PubMed: 26126233]
- Doyle TA, de Groot M, Harris T, Schwartz F, Strotmeyer ES, Johnson KC, Kanaya A. Diabetes, depressive symptoms, and inflammation in older adults: Results from the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2013; 75(5):419–424. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.08.006 [PubMed: 24182629]
- Duivis HE, Vogelzangs N, Kupper N, de Jonge P, Penninx BWJH. Differential association of somatic and cognitive symptoms of depression and anxiety with inflammation: Findings from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA). *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013; 38(9):1573–1585. DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.01.002 [PubMed: 23399050]
- Duke DC, Harris MA. Executive function, adherence, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes: A literature review. *Current diabetes reports*. 2014; 14(10):1–10. DOI: 10.1007/s11892-014-0532-y
- Ettenhofer ML, Hambrick DZ, Norman A. Reliability and stability of executive functioning in older adults. *Neuropsychology*. 2006; 20(5):607–613. DOI: 10.1037/0894-4105.20.5.607 [PubMed: 16938023]
- Fagundes CP, Glaser R, Hwang BS, Malarkey WB, Kiecolt-Glaser JK. Depressive symptoms enhance stress-induced inflammatory responses. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. 2013; 31:172–176. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2012.05.006
- Flint RW Jr, Turek C. Glucose effects on a continuous performance test of attention in adults. *Behavioural Brain Research*. 2003; 142(1–2):217–228. DOI: 10.1016/S0166-4328(03)00002-0 [PubMed: 12798283]
- Fonseca V, Inzucchi SE, Ferrannini E. Redefining the diagnosis of diabetes using glycated hemoglobin. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32(7):1344–1345. DOI: 10.2337/dc09-9034 [PubMed: 19564477]
- Gailliot MT, Baumeister RF, DeWall CN, Maner JK, Plant EA, Tice DM, ... Schmeichel BJ. Self-control relies on glucose as a limited energy source: willpower is more than a metaphor. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 2007; 92(2):325. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.92.2.325 [PubMed: 17279852]
- Gard T, Hölzel BK, Sack AT, Hempel H, Lazar SW, Vaitl D, Ott U. Pain attenuation through mindfulness is associated with decreased cognitive control and increased sensory processing in the brain. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2012; 22(11):2692–2702. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhr352 [PubMed: 22172578]
- Garland EL, Franken IA, Howard MO. Cue-elicited heart rate variability and attentional bias predict alcohol relapse following treatment. *Psychopharmacology*. 2012; 222(1):17–26. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2618-4.
- Green, DM., Swets, JA. Signal detection theory and psychophysics. New York, New York: Wiley; 1974.
- Grundey SM, Benjamin IJ, Burke GL, Chait A, Eckel RH, Howard BV, ... Sowers JR. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease - A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 1999; 100(10):1134–1146. DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.100.10.1134 [PubMed: 10477542]
- Hall PA, Fong GT, Epp LJ, Elias LJ. Executive function moderates the intention-behavior link for physical activity and dietary behavior. *Psychology & Health*. 2008; 23(3):309–326. DOI: 10.1080/14768320701212099 [PubMed: 25160480]

- Hansson GK. Mechanisms of disease - Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2005; 352(16):1685–1695. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra043430 [PubMed: 15843671]
- Harms MB, Zayas V, Meltzoff AN, Carlson SM. Stability of executive function and predictions to adaptive behavior from middle childhood to pre-adolescence. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2014; 5:331.doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00331 [PubMed: 24795680]
- Hayes, AF. A versatile computational tool for observed variable mediation, moderation, and conditional process modeling [White paper]. 2012. Retrieved from <http://www.afhayes.com/public/process2012.pdf>
- Hayes, AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2013.
- Hilbert S, Nakagawa TT, Puci P, Zech A, Bühner M. The digit span backwards task: Verbal and visual cognitive strategies in working memory assessment. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*. 2015; 31(3):174–180. DOI: 10.1027/1015-5759/a000223
- IBM. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2012.
- Janig W. Sympathetic nervous system and inflammation: A conceptual view. *Autonomic Neuroscience-Basic & Clinical*. 2014; 182:4–14. DOI: 10.1016/j.autneu.2014.01.004 [PubMed: 24525016]
- Joorman J. Cognitive inhibition and emotion regulation in depression. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2010; 19(3):161–166. DOI: 10.1177/0963721410370293
- Joormann J, Gotlib IH. Emotion regulation in depression: Relation to cognitive inhibition. *Cognition & Emotion*. 2010; 24(2):281–298. DOI: 10.1080/02699930903407948 [PubMed: 20300538]
- Kendzor DE, Chen MX, Reininger BM, Businelle MS, Stewart DW, Fisher-Hoch SP, ... McCormick JB. The association of depression and anxiety with glycemic control among Mexican Americans with diabetes living near the US-Mexico border. *Bmc Public Health*. 2014; 14:1–9. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-176 [PubMed: 24383435]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Gouin JP, Hantsoo L. Close relationships, inflammation, and health. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2010; 35(1):33–38. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.09.003 [PubMed: 19751761]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Preacher KJ, MacCallum RC, Atkinson C, Malarkey WB, Glaser R. Chronic stress and age-related increases in the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003; 100(15):9090–9095. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1531903100 [PubMed: 12840146]
- Lachman ME, Agrigoroaei S, Tun PA, Weaver SL. Monitoring cognitive functioning: Psychometric properties of the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone. *Assessment*. 2014; 21(4):404–417. DOI: 10.1177/1073191113508807 [PubMed: 24322011]
- Lackschewitz H, Hütther G, Kröner-Herwig B. Physiological and psychological stress responses in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2008; 33(5):612–624. DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.01.016 [PubMed: 18329819]
- Latzman RD, Markon KE. The Factor Structure and Age-Related Factorial Invariance of the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS). *Assessment*. 2010; 17(2):172–184. DOI: 10.1177/1073191109356254 [PubMed: 20040723]
- Li C, Barker L, Ford ES, Zhang X, Strine TW, Mokdad AH. Diabetes and anxiety in US adults: Findings from the 2006 behavioral risk factor surveillance system. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2008; 25(7):878–881. DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02477.x [PubMed: 18644077]
- Limbers CA, Young D. Executive functions and consumption of fruits/vegetables and high saturated fat foods in young adults. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2015; 20(5):602–611. DOI: 10.1177/1359105315573470 [PubMed: 25903247]
- MacKinnon, DP. Introduction to statistical mediation analysis. New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2008.
- Magaki S, Mueller C, Dickson C, Kirsch W. Increased production of inflammatory cytokines in mild cognitive impairment. *Experimental Gerontology*. 2007; 42(3):233–240. DOI: 10.1016/j.exger.2006.09.015 [PubMed: 17085001]

- Marsland AL, Gianaros PJ, Kuan DCH, Sheu LK, Krajina K, Manuck SB. Brain morphology links systemic inflammation to cognitive function in midlife adults. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. 2015; 48:195–204. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.03.015
- Martel M, Nikolas M, Nigg JT. Executive function in adolescents with ADHD. *Journal of the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2007; 46(11):1437–1444. DOI: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31814cf953
- Miller LMS, Lachman ME. Cognitive performance and the role of control beliefs in midlife. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition*. 2000; 7(2):69–85. DOI: 10.1076/1382-5585(200006)7:2;1-U;FT069
- Mitchell RLC, Phillips LH. The psychological, neurochemical and functional neuroanatomical mediators of the effects of positive and negative mood on executive functions. *Neuropsychologia*. 2007; 45(4):617–629. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.06.030 [PubMed: 16962146]
- Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, Belsky D, Dickson N, Hancox RJ, Harrington H, ... Caspi A. A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011; 108(7):2693–2698. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1010076108 [PubMed: 21262822]
- Needham BL, Mezuk B, Bareis N, Lin J, Blackburn EH, Epel ES. Depression, anxiety, and telomere length in young adults: Evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Study. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2015; 20:520–528. DOI: 10.1038/mp.2014.89 [PubMed: 25178165]
- O'Donovan A, Hughes BM, Slavich GM, Lynch L, Cronin MT, O'Farrelly C, Malone KM. Clinical anxiety, cortisol and interleukin-6: Evidence for specificity in emotion-biology relationships. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. 2010; 24(7):1074–1077. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2010.03.003
- Pauli-Pott U, Albayrak Ö, Hebebrand J, Pott W. Does inhibitory control capacity in overweight and obese children and adolescents predict success in a weight-reduction program? *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2010; 19(2):135–141. DOI: 10.1007/s00787-009-0049-0 [PubMed: 19644731]
- Perticone F, Maio R, Sciacqua A, Andreozzi F, Iemima G, Perticone M, ... Sesti G. Endothelial dysfunction and C-reactive protein are risk factors for diabetes in essential hypertension. *Diabetes*. 2008; 57(1):167–171. DOI: 10.2337/db07-1189 [PubMed: 17928395]
- Reinert KRS, Po'e EK, Barkin SL. The relationship between executive function and obesity in children and adolescents: A systematic literature review. *Journal of obesity*. 2013; 2013:1–10. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/820956>.
- Rosch KS, Fosco WD, Pelham WE, Waxmonsky JG, Bubnik MG, Hawk LW. Reinforcement and stimulant medication ameliorate deficient response inhibition in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2015; Advance online publication. doi: 10.1007/s10802-015-0031-x
- Rostamian S, van Buchem MA, Westendorp RG, Jukema JW, Mooijaart SP, Sabayan B, de Craen AJ. Executive function, but not memory, associates with incident coronary heart disease and stroke. *Neurology*. 2015; 85(9):783–789. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001895 [PubMed: 26245926]
- Salthouse TA, Prill KA. Inferences About Age Impairments in Inferential Reasoning. *Psychology and Aging*. 1987; 2(1):43–51. DOI: 10.1037/0882-7974.2.1.43 [PubMed: 3268191]
- Schmeichel BJ, Tang D. Individual differences in executive functioning and their relationship to emotional processes and responses. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2015; 24(2):93–98. DOI: 10.1177/0963721414555178
- Segerstrom SC, Nes LS. Heart rate variability reflects self-regulatory strength, effort, and fatigue. *Psychological Science*. 2007; 18(3):275–281. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01888.x [PubMed: 17444926]
- Serhan CN, Chiang N, Van Dyke TE. Resolving inflammation: dual anti-inflammatory and pro-resolution lipid mediators. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2008; 8(5):349–361. DOI: 10.1038/nri2294
- Simen AA, Bordner KA, Martin MP, Moy LA, Barry LC. Cognitive dysfunction with aging and the role of inflammation. *Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease*. 2011; 2(3):175–195. DOI: 10.1177/2040622311399145 [PubMed: 23251749]
- Snoek FJ, Skinner TC. Psychological aspects of diabetes management. *Medicine*. 2006; 34(2):61–62.

- Stehouwer CDA, Gall MA, Twisk JWR, Knudsen E, Emeis JJ, Parving HH. Increased urinary albumin excretion, endothelial dysfunction, and chronic low-grade inflammation in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002; 51(4):1157–1165. DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.51.4.1157 [PubMed: 11916939]
- Steptoe A, Hamer M, Chida Y. The effects of acute psychological stress on circulating inflammatory factors in humans: A review and meta-analysis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2007; 21(7):901–1012. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2007.03.011
- Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Sinha R. The effects of stress on physical activity and exercise. *Sports Medicine*. 2014; 44(1):81–121. DOI: 10.1007/s40279-013-0090-5 [PubMed: 24030837]
- Thayer, JF., Friedman, BH. A neurovisceral integration model of health disparities in aging. In: Anderson, NB, Bulato, RA., Cohen, B., editors. *Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Late Life*. The National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2004. p. 567–603.
- Thayer JF, Lane RD. Claude Bernard and the heart-brain connection: Further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2009; 33(2):81–88. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004 [PubMed: 18771686]
- The International Expert Committee. International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32(7):1327–1334. DOI: 10.2337/dc09-9033 [PubMed: 19502545]
- Tran D, Baxter J, Hamman RF, Grigsby J. Impairment of executive cognitive control in type 2 diabetes, and its effects on health-related behavior and use of health services. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2014; 37(3):414–422. DOI: 10.1007/s10865-013-9499-4 [PubMed: 23430355]
- Tun PA, Lachman ME. Age differences in reaction time and attention in a national telephone sample of adults: Education, sex, and task complexity matter. *Developmental Psychology*. 2008; 44(5):1421–1429. DOI: 10.1037/a0012845 [PubMed: 18793073]
- Tun PA, Lachman ME. Telephone assessment of cognitive function in adulthood: The Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone. *Age and Ageing*. 2006; 35:629–633. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/af1095 [PubMed: 16943264]
- Tuomilehto J, Wolf E. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 1987; 10(2):238–248. [PubMed: 3556107]
- Unsworth N, Miller JD, Lakey CE, Young DL, Meeks JT, Campbell WK, Goodie AS. Exploring the relations among executive functions, fluid intelligence, and personality. *Journal of Individual Differences*. 2009; 30(4):194–200. DOI: 10.1027/1614-0001.30.4.194
- Van Veen V, Carter CS. The timing of action-monitoring processes in the anterior cingulate cortex. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*. 2002; 14(4):593–602. [PubMed: 12126500]
- Wang H, Liao H, Ochani M, Justiniani M, Lin X, Yang L, Al-Abed Y, Wang H, Metz C, Miller EJ. Cholinergic agonists inhibit HMGB1 release and improve survival in experimental sepsis. *Nature Medicine*. 2004; 10(11):1216–1221. DOI: 10.1038/nm1124
- Wasserman RM, Hilliard ME, Schwartz DD, Anderson BJ. Practical strategies to enhance executive functioning and strengthen diabetes management across the lifespan. *Current Diabetes Reports*. 2015; 15(8)doi: 10.1007/s11892-015-0622-5
- Weng CM, Chou CH, Huang YY, Lin CC, Liu YW, Tsai WC. Increased C-reactive protein is associated with future development of diabetes mellitus in essential hypertensive patients. *Heart and Vessels*. 2010; 25(5):386–391. DOI: 10.1007/s00380-009-1218-2 [PubMed: 20676960]
- WHO. WHO Fact Sheet. 2014. from <http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/diabetes/en/>
- Zhang P, Zhang X, Brown J, Vistisen D, Sicree R, Shaw J, Nichols G. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2010; 87(1):293–301. DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2010.01.026 [PubMed: 20171754]

Highlights

- The executive function of inhibition is associated with diabetes.
- Higher inhibition is associated with lower inflammation.
- The serial pathway from anxious arousal to inflammation explained the association between inhibition and diabetes.

Fig. 1.

A mediation model of associations between inhibition, anxious arousal, interleukin-6, and diabetes incidence. Standardized regression coefficients are represented when predicting anxious arousal and interleukin-6, while logistic regression coefficients are represented when predicting diabetes incidence. 95% confidence intervals are depicted in parentheses. Indirect effects using 5,000 bootstrap samples: anxious arousal (.0089, $SE = .0144$, 95% $CI = -.0181, .0407$), interleukin-6 (.0220, $SE = .0142$, 95% $CI = .0023, .0616$), and serial mediation (i.e., mediation in sequence; .0028, $SE = .0022$, 95% $CI = .0003, .0103$). Control variables included participant age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, and smoking history, as well the time lag between assessments. * $p < .05$.

Fig. 2.

A mediation model of associations between inhibition, anxious arousal, interleukin-6, and HbA1c. Values represent standardized regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals are depicted in parentheses. Indirect effects using 5,000 bootstrap samples: anxious arousal ($-.0015$, $SE = .0038$, 95% $CI = -.0111, .0047$), interleukin-6 ($.0049$, $SE = .0034$, 95% $CI = .0005, .0154$), and serial mediation (i.e., mediation in sequence; $.0007$, $SE = .0006$, 95% $CI = .0001, .0028$). Control variables included participant age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, smoking history, insulin use, as well the time lag between assessments. * $p < .05$.

Table 1

Participant characteristics

Variable	Met criteria for diabetes (<i>n</i> = 103) Mean (SD) or number (%)	Did not meet criteria for diabetes (<i>n</i> = 737) Mean (SD) or number (%)
Participant age at biological assessment	62.55 (10.88)	57 (11.58)
Participant gender		
Male	54 (52.4)	319 (43.6)
Female	49 (47.6)	413 (56.4)
Participant ethnicity		
White	93 (90.3)	686 (93.8)
Non-white	10 (9.7)	46 (6.2)
Body mass index	32.51 (7.57)	28.69 (5.65)
Ever smoked cigarettes regularly?		
Yes	51 (49.5)	428 (58.4)
No	52 (50.5)	304 (41.6)
Inhibition	– .21 (2.02)	.35 (1.94)
Log transformed interleukin-6	.45 (0.31)	.30 (0.32)
Hemoglobin A1c percentage	7.53 (1.35)	5.73 (0.36)
Fasting glucose mg/dL	133.22 (49.19)	95.16 (10.40)
Digit span	4.71 (1.27)	5.08 (1.42)
Backwards counting	36.51 (11.45)	39.80 (10.82)
Number series	2.01 (1.40)	2.64 (1.50)

Note. Inhibition reflects the z-standardization and combination of average reaction time and number of errors on the reversed and mixed conditions of the go-no go task.

Table 2

Bivariate correlations between study variables

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Inhibition-reverse	--														
2. Inhibition-mixed	.61 [*]	--													
3. AA	-.11 [*]	-.13 [*]	--												
4. IL-6	-.14 [*]	-.13 [*]	.14 [*]	--											
5. Diabetes	-.08 [*]	-.08	.10 [*]	.16 [*]	--										
6. HbA1c	-.09	-.14 [*]	.12 [*]	.17 [*]	.57 [*]	--									
7. Age	-.19 [*]	-.25 [*]	.02	.25 [*]	.16 [*]	.18 [*]	--								
8. Sex	.04	-.07	.10 [*]	.04	-.06	.01	-.03	--							
9. Ethnicity	.03	-.06	.04	.04	.05	.07	-.11 [*]	.02	--						
10. Body mass index	-.01	-.06	.12 [*]	.31 [*]	.18 [*]	.19 [*]	-.05	-.07 [*]	.06	--					
11. Smoking History	-.04	-.05	.11 [*]	.07	.05	.03	.11 [*]	-.08 [*]	.03	.02	--				
12. Current insulin use	-.10 [*]	-.11 [*]	.10 [*]	.10 [*]	.59 [*]	.38 [*]	.09 [*]	-.06	.02	.20 [*]	-.01	--			
13. Time lag	.07 [*]	.13 [*]	-.03	.09 [*]	-.11 [*]	-.12 [*]	-.04	.12 [*]	.01	-.09 [*]	-.06	-.11 [*]	--		
14. Digit span	.23 [*]	.18 [*]	-.08 [*]	-.07 [*]	-.09 [*]	-.06	-.15 [*]	.05	-.03	-.08 [*]	.07 [*]	-.08 [*]	.09 [*]	--	
15. Backwards counting	.37 [*]	.41 [*]	-.06	-.13 [*]	-.11 [*]	-.09 [*]	-.38 [*]	-.11 [*]	-.06	-.05	-.07 [*]	-.07	.08 [*]	.28 [*]	--
16. Number series	.24 [*]	.27 [*]	-.15 [*]	-.10 [*]	-.13 [*]	-.13 [*]	-.21 [*]	-.09 [*]	-.11 [*]	-.02	-.05	-.09 [*]	.05	.32 [*]	.40 [*]

Note. Pearson correlations are presented for correlations between continuous variables; Spearman's coefficients are presented for categorical variables. AA = anxious arousal; IL-6 = interleukin 6.

Participant gender coded as 1 = male and 2 = female. Participant ethnicity coded as 0 = non-white and 1 = white. Smoking history coded as 0 = never smoked cigarettes regularly and 1 = have smoked cigarettes regularly. Current insulin use coded as 0 = no and 1 = yes.

* $p < .05$.

Table 3

Logistic regression analyses predicting diabetes incidence

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Inhibition	– .11	– .01	– .04
Anxious Arousal	.08	.07	.04
Interleukin-6	.44 [*]	.26 [*]	.42 [*]

Note. Values represent logistic regression coefficients (i.e., odds ratios). Model 1 = unadjusted. Model 2 = adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, smoking history, and the time lag between cognitive and biological assessments. Model 3 = adjusted for performance on digit span, backwards counting and number series tasks.

^{*}
 $p < .05$