Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Support Palliat Care. 2017 December ; 11(4): 259–260. doi:10.1097/SPC.0000000000000297.

Cancer-Associated Weight Loss: Releasing Its Firm Grip on Negative Clinical Outcomes

Naima Yusuf¹ and Aminah Jatoi^{2,3}

¹Postbaccalaureate Program, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

²Department of Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

What does the future hold for treating weight loss in advanced cancer patients? The past 5 years have yielded immense disappointment in efforts to treat the cancer-associated weight loss syndrome. As reviewed by Garcia in this issue of *Current Opinions in Supportive and Palliative Care*, two large registration trials, which, in total, included over 1500 patients and cost an estimated \$100 million, failed to achieve their primary dual endpoints [1–3]. Neither of these trials — one which tested the selective androgen receptor modulator, enobosarm, and the other of which tested the oral ghrelin mimetic, anamorelin — resulted in a prescribable agent, leading to the same stagnant conclusion that modest palliation with older agents such as progestones and corticosteroids remains the only proven therapeutic approach for select weight-losing cancer patients with an incurable malignancy [4,5]. In essence, over the past four decades, the standard of care for treating cancer-associated weight loss in incurable cancer patients remains unchanged. Hence, the question posed above is not only provocative but also relevant and timely.

In this issue of the journal, investigators begin to ponder this question further. Summarizing a compendium of previously-published data, Lau and Iyengar take the novel approach of focusing on weight loss in cancer patients who are receiving radiation [6]. Only recently, Fakhry and others added yet another such study that looks at radiation in over 600 patients with oropharyngeal cancer and observed that weight loss is associated with shorter cancer progression-free survival [7]. Such studies join countless others that have reached the exact same conclusion: weight loss in cancer patients is associated with poor clinical outcomes. However, this focus on radiation-treated cancer patients is innovative; it draws attention to cancer patients who often receive aggressive multi-modality therapy, suffer high rates of severe weight loss, and yet appear to be a captive audience for a therapeutic intervention to help manage weight loss because of daily travel to the clinic to receive radiation treatments. Furthermore, relatively little has been done to rigorously study this group of patients. In their thorough paper, Lau and Iyengar have put in place the groundwork for future interventional trials for cancer-associated weight loss in patients receiving radiation [6].

Also in this issue, Dunne and others suggest that exercise merits further study to treat cancer-associated weight loss [8]. Indeed, these investigators have spearheaded exercise

³Address correspondence to: Aminah Jatoi, M.D. 200 First Street SW, Rochester, Minnesota 55905; jatoi.aminah@mayo.edu.

Conflicts of interest: none

programs in cancer patients. Completing a three-arm randomized, small pilot study that recruited older patients with prostate cancer, these investigators tested a home-based walking and resistance training intervention *versus* technology-mediated walking and resistance intervention *versus* standard of care [9]. These exercise interventions generated some very early signals of promise compared to standard care – but, first and foremost, these investigators demonstrated feasibility. Importantly, this demonstration of feasibility is a salient achievement in its own right, particularly when other investigators have been hesitant to study exercise in weight-losing cancer patients and when instead they have published studies entitled, for example, “Patients with established cancer cachexia lack the motivation and self-efficacy to undertake regular structured exercise” [10]. Moreover, implementing exercise interventions in weight-losing cancer patient appears challenging: screening patients prior to their successful enrollment in an exercise-based clinical trial yields a patient accrual rate of less than 15% [11]. Despite such obstacles, exercise may yield broad-based benefit. Hypothetically, it could favorably impact fatigue [12]. Exercise also appears to provide a mechanism-based approach to the maintenance of muscle mass, as suggested by prior studies which suggest exercise reduces muscle autophagy and tamps down the inflammatory cascade that appears to drive muscle wasting [13,14]. Studying exercise might also eventually give rise to novel investigational drugs that simulate exercise, yield the putative benefits of exercise, but circumvent the presumed hesitation on the part of both patients and healthcare providers to recommend exercise interventions to weight-losing cancer patients with incurable cancer [13]. Summarizing the state-of-the-science as relevant to therapeutics for cancer-associated weight loss and providing a framework for future direction, Dunne and others make a strong argument for further studying exercise [8].

Finally, Le-Rademacher and others from our group have reviewed how rigorous study design is pivotal to answering the question above [15]. In this context, Penna and others provide a thoughtful summary of the role of vitamin D in treating cancer-associated weight loss, making the point that the evidence supporting its role for further testing is limited and further underscoring the point that the strength of a data in support of launching a large phase 3 trial is the best predictor of trial outcome [16]. In addition, Del Fabbro provide an in depth discussion of state-of-the-art practical approaches to treating cancer-associated weight loss; this discussion nicely outlines a standard of care that is not only helpful in caring for patients on a day-to-day basis but also in designing the control arm in a comparative study [17].

In summary, all the papers in the current issue of *Current Opinions in Supportive and Palliative Care* attempt to answer, “What does the future hold for treating weight loss in advanced cancer patients ?” – whether by means of identifying clinical circumstances, such as with radiation, where cancer-associated weight loss is common but not as readily recognized; whether by means of studying interventions such as exercise; whether by means of suggesting that certain interventions, such as vitamin D, should be bypassed for study in future clinical trials; or whether by means of defining a state-of-the-art control arm for a future comparative clinical trial. Taken together, these papers provide clear direction for future clinical trials with the goal of palliating weight loss in patients with advanced cancer and with the goal of enabling future therapeutics to result in the release of the firm grip this entity holds on negative clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgments

none

Funding and financial sponsorship: R01CA195473 from the United States' National Institute of Health

References

1. Garcia JM. What's next after anamorelin? *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*.
2. Temel JS, Abernethy AP, Currow DC, et al. Anamorelin in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and cachexia (ROMANA 1 and ROMANA 2): results from two randomized, double blind phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncology*. 2016; 17:519–31. [PubMed: 26906526]
3. Garber K. The enobosarm saga: no longer going to waste. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2016; 34:458–461.
4. Jatoi A, Windschitl HE, Loprinzi CL, et al. Dronabinol versus megestrol acetate versus combination therapy for cancer-associated anorexia: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20:567–73. [PubMed: 11786587]
5. Moertel CG, Schutt AJ, Reitemeier RJ, Hahn RG. Corticosteroid therapy of preterminal gastrointestinal cancer. *Cancer*. 1974; 33:1607–9. [PubMed: 4135151]
6. Lau SKM, Iyengar P. Implications of weight loss for cancer patients receiving radiotherapy. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*. (in press).
7. Fakhry C, Zhang Q, Nguyen-Tan PF, et al. Development and validation of nomograms predictive of overall and progression-free survival in patients with oropharyngeal cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2017 Aug 4.
8. Dunne RF, Mustian KM, Garcia JM, et al. Research priorities in cancer cachexia: The University of Rochester Cancer Center NCI Community Oncology Research Program (NCORP) Research Base symposium on cancer cachexia and sarcopenia. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*. (in press).
9. Sajid S, Dale W, Mustian K, et al. Novel physical activity interventions for older patients with prostate cancer on hormone therapy: a pilot randomized study. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2016; 7:71–80.
10. Wasley D, Gale N, Roberts S, et al. Patients with established cancer cachexia lack the motivation and self-efficacy to undertake regular structured exercise. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2017 Jul 31.
11. Solheim TS, Laird BJA, Balstad TR, et al. A randomized phase II feasibility trial of a multimodal intervention for the management of cachexia in lung and pancreatic cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017 Jun 14.
12. Mustian KM, Alfano CM, Heckler C, et al. Comparison of pharmaceutical, psychological, and exercise treatments for cancer-related fatigue: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol*. 2017; 3:961–968. [PubMed: 28253393]
13. Pigna E, Berardi E, Aulino P, et al. Aerobic exercise and pharmacological treatments counteract cachexia by modulating autophagy in colon cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2016 May 31.
14. Khamoui AV, Park BS, Kim DH, et al. Aerobic and resistance training dependent skeletal muscle plasticity in the colon-26 murine model of cancer cachexia. *Metabolism*. 2016; 65:685–98. [PubMed: 27085776]
15. Le-Rademacher JG, Crawford J, Evans WJ, Jatoi A. Overcoming obstacles in the design of cancer anorexia/weight loss trials. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*. (in press).
16. Penna F, Camperi A, Muscaritoli M, et al. The role of vitamin D in cancer cachexia. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*. (in press).
17. Del Fabbro E. Practical approaches to managing cancer patients with weight loss. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*. (in press).