Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2017 March 03; 19(5): 1252–1254. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00343.

Asymmetric Allylation of Glycidols Mediated by Allyl Acetate *via* Iridium Catalyzed Hydrogen Transfer

Seung Wook Kim, Wonchul Lee, and Michael J. Krische*

University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry, Austin, TX 78712

Abstract

Glycidols prepared via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation participate in asymmetric redox-neutral carbonyl allylation with good levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity. Equally stereoselective allylations may be performed from the aldehyde oxidation level using 2-propanol as the terminal reductant. An epoxide ring opening reaction using AlMe_3 -*n*-BuLi is used to prepare the propionate-based stereotetrad spanning C17–C23 of dictyostatin, illustrating how this method may be applied to polyketide construction.

Graphical abstract

Epoxides are important building blocks in chemical synthesis, including the construction of polyketide natural products where they also appear as native structural motifs.¹ Accordingly, several methods have been reported for the asymmetric allylation² of glycidic aldehydes using reagents based on boron,^{3, 4} tin,^{5, 6} silicon,^{7, 8} indium⁹ and magnesium.¹⁰ These methods have proven effective in certain contexts,^{3–10} however, due to pronounced mismatch effects only one diastereomer of the secondary homoallylic glycidol is generally accessible in highly diastereomerically enriched form.¹¹ Additionally, indirect formation of secondary homoallylic glycidols *via* enantioselective allylation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes followed by Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation is problematic, as modest diastereoselectivities are evident in reactions of secondary (*Z*)-allylic alcohols.¹²

By harnessing the native reducing ability of alcohols, we have discovered a new, redox-economic class of C–C bond formations that merge the characteristics of carbonyl addition and transfer hydrogenation.¹³ These hydrogen auto-transfer processes utilize alcohol

Corresponding Author. mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

ORCID

Michael J. Krische: 0000-0001-8418-9709

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information Available. Spectral data for all new compounds (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, HRMS). This material is available free of charge *via* the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

oxidation to drive reductive generation of transient organometallic nucleophiles. The resulting carbonyl-organometal pair combines to furnish products of addition, directly converting lower alcohols to higher alcohols. Based on this pattern of reactivity, diverse enantioselective alcohol C-H functionalizations have been developed including the C-H allylation¹⁴ and crotylation^{15,16} of primary alcohols to form secondary homoallylic alcohols. In the present account, this allylation method is applied to the conversion of primary glycidols to secondary homoallylic glycidols.

Initial studies were focused on the allylation of glycidol **1a**, which is prepared through Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of geraniol.¹⁷ For the sake of convenience, the cyclometalated π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoate catalysts were generated *in situ* from commercial components. Thus, glycidol **1a** was exposed to allyl acetate in the presence of [Ir(cod)Cl]₂, a series of 4-substituted-3-nitro-benzoic acids, assorted axially chiral chelating phosphine ligands, and various inorganic bases. A small set of optimization experiments quickly led to the identification of effective conditions. Thus, using iridium catalysts (*R*)- or (*S*)-Ir-**I** modified by SEGPHOS, the diastereomeric secondary homoallylic glycidols **2a** and *epi*-**2a** were obtained in excellent yields and diastereoselectivities, respectively (Table 1). Notably, unlike the corresponding allylboration,¹¹ the enantiomeric catalysts delivered **2a** and *epi*-**2a** with roughly equivalent levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity (**2a**:*epi*-**2a** = 12:1 vs 1:11), suggesting the present iridium catalysts are insensitive to match-mismatch effects. Indeed, using an achiral iridium catalyst modified by dppf, a 1:1 mixture of diastereomeric secondary homoallylic glycidols **2b** and *epi*-**2b** were obtained.

The identification of favorable conditions for the asymmetric C-H allylation of glycidol **1a** prompted a more detailed investigation into the scope of this process (Table 1). *cis*-Glycidols **1b–1e** were specifically selected for study as the corresponding secondary homoallylic glycidols **2b–2e** and *epi*-**2b–epi**-**2e** are inaccessible using conventional allylation methods^{2–10} due to the modest diastereoselectivities reported in Sharpless asymmetric epoxidations of secondary (*Z*)-allylic alcohols¹² along with the stereochemically labile nature of (*Z*)- α,β -unsaturated aldehydes. In the event, *cis*-glycidols **1b–1e** were converted to the secondary homoallylic glycidols **2b–2e** and *epi*-**2b–epi**-**2e**, respectively, in good yields and good levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity. In certain cases, the iridium catalysts (*R*)- or (*S*)-Ir-**II** modified by Cl₂MeO-BIPHEP were found to enforce higher yields and diastereoselectivities than the iridium catalysts (*R*)- or (*S*)-Ir-**I** modified by SEGPHOS. To complete this study, the allylation of *trans*-glycidol **1f** was explored. Whereas secondary homoallylic glycidol **2f** was formed with excellent levels of catalyst directed stereoselectivity, the isomeric glycidol *epi*-**2f** was formed in low yield with less pronounced levels of stereocontrol. These data suggest match-mismatch effects may be more important in reactions of *trans*-glycidols. Finally, it is worth noting that due to the Horeau principle, all major reaction products derived from **1a**, **1b** and **1f**, are obtained in >99% enantiomeric excess.¹⁸

The direct C-H allylation of primary glycidols **1a–1e** is both step-economic and redox-economic as it avoids discrete formation and isolation of less tractable glycidic aldehydes. Nevertheless, under certain circumstances it may be desirable to conduct the allylation from the aldehyde oxidation level. To assess the feasibility of utilizing glycidic aldehydes as

reactants, the reductive coupling of allyl acetate with *dehydro-1a* was performed using 2-propanol as the terminal reductant under otherwise standard conditions (eq 1). The respective secondary homoallylic glycidols **2a** and *epi-2a* were formed in good yields with excellent levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity. The efficiencies observed in the reactions of glycidic aldehyde *dehydro-1a* were roughly equivalent to those observed using the corresponding glycidol **1a** (Table 1).

(1)

To illustrate how the present method may be applied to polyketide construction, secondary homoallylic glycidol **2c** was subjected to conditions for regioselective epoxide ring opening using AlMe_3 -*n*-BuLi (eq 2).¹⁹ The desired adduct **3c**, which was obtained in 77% yield, embodies a propionate based stereotetrad spanning C17–C23 of dictyostatin, a marine macrolide that displays antimetabolic activity against multi-drug resistant cancer cell lines at nanomolar levels.^{20,21}

(2)

In summary, we report that glycidols prepared through Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation participate in direct carbinol C-H allylation with excellent levels of catalyst directed diastereoselectivity. This method is redox- and step-economic, as it avoids discrete formation of less tractable glycidic aldehydes. Further, this method overcomes limitations evident in corresponding allylations of glycidic aldehydes using allylboron reagents.^{3a,b,11} Finally, as Sharpless asymmetric epoxidations of secondary (*Z*)-allylic alcohols display low levels of diastereoselectivity,¹² indirect formation of the present secondary homoallylic glycidols through an asymmetric enal allylation-epoxidation sequence is not feasible. Future studies will focus on the use of α -olefins²² as pronucleophiles in alcohol-mediated carbonyl addition.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work is dedicated to Professor Teruaki Mukaiyama in celebration of his 90th birthday (Sotsuju). Partial support for this research was provided by the Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038), the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) and the UT Austin Center for Green Chemistry.

References

1. For selected reviews on the use of glycidic alcohols and aldehydes in chemical synthesis, see: Hanson RM. *Chem. Rev.* 1991; 91:437. Lauret C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2001; 12:2359. Riera A, Moreno M. *Molecules*. 2010; 15:1041. [PubMed: 20335961]
2. For selected reviews on enantioselective carbonyl allylation, crotylation and related processes, see: Ramachandran PV. *Aldrichim. Acta*. 2002; 35:23. Denmark SE, Fu J. *Chem. Rev.* 2003; 103:2763. [PubMed: 12914480] Yu C-M, Youn J, Jung H-K. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2006; 27:463. Marek I, Sklute G. *Chem. Commun.* 2007:1683. Hall DG. *Synlett*. 2007:1644. Hargaden GC, Guiry PJ. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2007; 349:2407. Lachance H, Hall DG. *Org. React.* 2008; 73:1. Han SB, Kim IS, Krische MJ. *Chem. Commun.* 2009:7278. Yus M, González-Gómez JC, Foubelo F. *Chem. Rev.* 2011; 111:7774. [PubMed: 21923136] Moran J, Krische MJ. *Asymmetric Synthesis – The Essentials II*. Christmann M, Bräse S. Wiley-VCH Weinheim 2012:187. Shin I, Krische MJ. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2016; 372:85. [PubMed: 26187028] Feng J, Kasun ZA, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016; 138:5467. [PubMed: 27113543]
3. For methodological studies of the allylboron of glycidic aldehydes, see: Roush WR, Hoong LK, Palmer MAJ, Straub JA, Palkowitz AD. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990; 55:4117. Roush WR, Straub JA, VanNieuwenhze MS. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991; 56:1636. Nowrouzi F, Janetzko J, Batey RA. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:5490. [PubMed: 21070014]
4. For applications of glycidic aldehyde allylboronation in natural product synthesis, see: Murga J, García-Fortanet J, Carda M, Marco JA. *Synlett*. 2004; 15:2830. García-Fortanet J, Murga J, Carda M, Marco JA, Matesanz R, Díaz JF, Barasoain I. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007; 13:5060. [PubMed: 17516610] Ichige T, Okano Y, Kanoh N, Nakata M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:230. [PubMed: 19053598] Murata T, Sano M, Takamura H, Kadota I, Uemura D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:4797. [PubMed: 19480417] Yadav JS, Sukant Kishore Das SK, Sabitha G. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012; 77:11109. [PubMed: 23140482]
5. For methodological studies of the allylstannylation of glycidic aldehydes, see: Howe GP, Wang S, Procter G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987; 28:2629. Pradilla RF, Castellanos A, Fernández J, Lorenzo M, Manzano P, Méndez P, Priego J, Viso A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:1569. [PubMed: 16468807]
6. For applications of glycidic aldehyde allylstannylation in natural product synthesis, see: Jørgensen KB, Suenaga T, Nakata T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999; 40:8855. Bartlett L, Gross L, Péron F, Asby DJ, Selby MD, Tavassoli A, Linclau B. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014; 20:3306. [PubMed: 24519660]
7. For methodological studies of the allylsilylation of glycidic aldehydes, see: Wang S, Howe GP, Mahal RS, Procter G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992; 33:3351.
8. For applications of glycidic aldehyde allylsilylation in natural product synthesis, see: Crimmins MT, Caussanel F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:3128. [PubMed: 16522077]
9. For methodological studies of the allylindiation of glycidic aldehydes, see: Paquette LA, Mitzel TM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995; 36:6863. Paquette LA, Mitzel TM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:1931.
10. For methodological studies of the allylmagnesium of glycidic aldehydes, see: Takeda Y, Matsumoto T, Sato F. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986; 51:4728. Urabe H, Matsuka T, Sato F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992; 33:4179.
11. A systematic investigation of match-mismatch effects may be found in references 3a and 3b.
12. Martin VS, Woodard SS, Katsuki T, Yamada Y, Ikeda M, Sharpless KB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981; 103:6237.

13. For selected reviews on catalytic carbonyl addition *via* alcohol-mediated hydrogen auto-transfer, see: Ketcham JM, Shin I, Montgomery TP, Krische MJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014; 53:9142. Dechert-Schmitt A-MR, Schmitt DC, Gao X, Itoh T, Krische MJ. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2014; 31:504. [PubMed: 24514754] Perez F, Oda S, Geary LM, Krische MJ. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2016; 374:365.
14. For iridium catalyzed enantioselective C-H allylation of primary alcohols, see: Kim IS, Ngai M-Y, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:6340. [PubMed: 18444616] Kim IS, Ngai M-Y, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:14891. [PubMed: 18841896] Lu Y, Kim IS, Hassan A, Del Valle DJ, Krische MJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:5018. Hassan A, Lu Y, Krische MJ. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:3112. [PubMed: 19586067] Schmitt DC, Dechert-Schmitt A-MR, Krische MJ. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:6302. [PubMed: 23231774] Dechert-Schmitt A-MR, Schmitt DC, Krische MJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:3195. Shin I, Wang G, Krische MJ. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014; 13382. [PubMed: 25169904] Liang T, Zhang W, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015; 137:16024. [PubMed: 26671223]
15. For iridium catalyzed enantioselective C-H crotylation of primary alcohols, see: Kim IS, Han SB, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:2514. [PubMed: 19191498] Gao X, Townsend IA, Krische MJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011; 76:2350. [PubMed: 21375283] Gao X, Han H, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:12795. [PubMed: 21739988]
16. For ruthenium catalyzed enantioselective C-H crotylation of primary alcohols, see: Zbieg JR, Moran J, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:10582. [PubMed: 21627316] Zbieg JR, Yamaguchi E, McInturff EL, Krische MJ. *Science.* 2012; 336:324. [PubMed: 22442385] McInturff EL, Yamaguchi E, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:20628. [PubMed: 23234459] Liang T, Zhang W, Chen T-Y, Nguyen KD, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015; 137:13066. [PubMed: 26418572]
17. Katsuki T, Sharpless KB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980; 102:5974.
18. Vigneron JP, Dhaenens M, Horeau A. *Tetrahedron.* 1973; 29:1055. (b) For a historical review, see: Heller D, Drexler H-J, Fischer C, Buschmann H, Baumann W, Heller B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000; 39:495. A 99.5% enantiomeric excess was established for glycidols **2b** through chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.
19. (a) Sasaki M, Tanino K, Miyashita M. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:1765. [PubMed: 11405706] (b) Shang S, Iwaware H, Macks DE, Ambrosini LM, Tan DS. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:1895. [PubMed: 17439132]
20. (a) Pettit GR, Cichacz ZA, Gao F, Boyd MR, Schmidt JM. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1994:1111. (b) Pettit, GR., Cichacz, ZA. WO. 5430053. 1995. *Chem. Abstr.* **1995**, 733500(c) Isbrucker RA, Cummins J, Pomponi SA, Longley RE, Wright AE. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2003; 66:75. [PubMed: 12818367]
21. (a) Pfeiffer B, Kuzniewski CN, Wulschleger C, Altmann K-H. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2009; 286:1. [PubMed: 23563610] (b) Dalby SM, Paterson I. *Curr. Opin. Drug Disc. Devel.* 2010; 13:777.
22. For a review on the metal catalyzed reductive coupling of olefin-derived nucleophiles, see: Nguyen KD, Park BY, Luong T, Sato H, Garza VJ, Krische MJ. *Science.* 2016; 354:300.

Table 1

Iridium catalyzed C-H allylation of glycidols **1a–1f** to form adducts **2a–2f** and *epi*-**2a–2f**.^a

^a Cited yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. ArCO₂H refers to 4-cyano-3-nitrobenzoic acid. Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR of crude reaction mixtures. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

^b On 1 mmol scale, **2a** is formed in 81% yield and 10:1 dr.