Published in final edited form as:

China Q. 2017 June ; 230: 289–322. doi:10.1017/S0305741017000613.

The Educational Gradient in Health in China

Qiulin Chen^{*}, Karen Eggleston[†], Wei Zhang[‡], Jiaying Zhao[§], and Sen Zhou^{**}

^{*}China Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing

[†]Stanford University Shorenstein Asia-Pacific Research Center, and National Bureau of Economic Research

[‡]Tsinghua University, Beijing

[§]The Australian National University, and Shanghai University

^{**}Stanford University Graduate School of Education

Abstract

It has been well established that better educated individuals enjoy better health and longevity. In theory, the educational gradients in health could be flattening if diminishing returns to improved average education levels and the influence of earlier population health interventions outweigh the gradient-steepening effects of new medical and health technologies. This paper documents how the gradients are evolving in China, a rapidly developing country, about which little is known on this topic. Based on recent mortality data and nationally representative health surveys, we find large and, in some cases, steepening educational gradients. We also find that the gradients vary by cohort, gender and region. Further, we find that the gradients can only partially be accounted for by economic factors. These patterns highlight the double disadvantage of those with low education, and suggest the importance of policy interventions that foster both aspects of human capital for them.

Keywords

China; health; education; survival; disparities inequality

It has been extensively documented that those with better education generally enjoy better health, a relationship often referred to as the education–health gradient.¹ Education may affect health and mortality through several mechanisms, such as increasing the marginal productivity of health inputs, improving access to and ability to process information, providing greater economic resources, reducing stress, and interacting with healthier peers.² It has also been noted that the educational gradient in health evolves over time, but the direction is unclear.³ For example, some studies suggest a widening gradient, but selective

corresponding author: karene@stanford.edu.

¹See, among a vast literature, Marmot et al. 1991; Hurt, Ronsmans and Saha 2004; Khang, Lynch and Kaplan 2004; Lleras-Muney 2005; Marmot et al. 2008; Stringhini et al. 2010; Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010; Conti, Heckman and Urzua 2010; Eide and Showalter 2011; Heckman et al. 2014.

²Grossman 1972; Rosenzweig and Schultz 1982; see an excellent review in Lochner 2011.

³Goldman and Smith 2002; 2015.

survival tends to compress gradients at older ages,⁴ limiting what can be deduced about birth cohort gradients from observing the current elderly.

Compared with the rich body of literature for the developed countries, much less is known about developing countries like China, where recent decades have witnessed tremendous social transformations. Although a few studies have reported the presence of an educational gradient in China, they are concerned with the determinants of health in general.⁵ For the very few studies that are exclusively designed to examine the education–health nexus, attention has rarely been paid to its evolution.⁶ It has been unclear whether the educational gradient in China has enlarged or narrowed over time, and why. Yet, answers to these questions are of grave importance for the making of public policies that aim to understand and close health gaps across socio-economic classes.

This study empirically examines the evolution of the educational gradient in health in China. We explore a number of issues of policy relevance, including: to what extent the educational gradient in health exists in contemporary China; whether the gradient has evolved over time across birth cohorts and generations; whether the gradient is sensitive to the measure of health and health-related behaviour used; what are the potential mediating mechanisms at work; and whether the magnitude of the gradient differs among population subgroups.

Our research strategies include presenting the visual trends of how the education–health gradient evolves over time, and also exploring the mediating mechanisms of the gradient through regression analysis. Recent studies of the causal pathways linking education and health tend to be based on “quasi-experimental” designs (such as using compulsory schooling laws as a plausibly exogenous source of variation),⁷ and have not examined the gradient in mortality in China. Our strategy is complementary to that approach.⁸

Drawing from Shanghai mortality datasets (between 1990 and 2005) as well as multiple nationally representative health surveys (between 1998 and 2010), we show that large and, in some cases, steepening educational gradients in health exist in contemporary China, the magnitude of which varies by gender, urban–rural residency, and birth cohort. We also show that the educational gradient can only be partially explained by socio-economic variables; the health effects of education conditional on income are large and appear to be increasing. The remaining portion of the gradient may point to the importance of other policies in addition to those aiming to improve the economic status of the less-advantaged.

The paper is structured as follows. We first provide a brief conceptual framework for generating testable hypotheses about the educational gradient in health and its evolution during rapid socio-economic development. We then discuss data and empirical methods. A results section follows in which we report our key findings. Finally, we discuss the limitations of our study and conclude.

⁴Cutler, Deaton and Lleras-Muney 2006; Costa 2013.

⁵Liang et al. 2000; Li et al. 2004; Banister and Zhang 2005; Yang, Wei, and Kanavos 2012; Lei et al. 2014.

⁶Hu and Hibell 2013; Huang 2015.

⁷Lleras-Muney 2005; Clark and Royer 2013; Huang 2015.

⁸Mazumder 2008; 2012.

Conceptual Framework, Background, and Hypothesis Development

Conceptual framework

The relationship between health and education, although varying across measures of health and across metrics for education, exhibits in general a concave relationship, captured in the schooling variant of the famous “Preston Curve”.⁹ Consider, for example, Figure 1, which illustrates a curvilinear relation between schooling and premature mortality (with survival to age 70 on the vertical axis). As shown by the diamond-dotted curve entitled the “original gradient in survival,” when average schooling of a birth cohort increases, a population moves up the “Preston Curve”: the educational gradient, i.e. the slope of the curve, is less steep when median schooling is 12 years than when it is 4 years. Thus, with a constant technology of health production, we would predict a steeper educational gradient in developing countries than in developed countries, with the gradient becoming less steep as a country develops and its citizens attain more schooling.

However, successive birth cohorts are not only acquiring more schooling but are also exposed to technological changes in the health production function. Figure 1 illustrates two such technological changes: population health technological change and schooling-augmenting technological change. Population health technologies refer to those that have played a central role in global health improvements (such as clean water, sanitation and infectious disease control) over the last century.¹⁰ For example, David Cutler and Grant Miller show the critical importance of water sanitation for reducing urban mortality in the early 20th century.¹¹ Similar points about the importance of population health technologies for early-phase health improvement were raised in the pioneering research on nutrition and mortality of Robert Fogel,¹² and were later summarized by David Cutler, Angus Deaton and Adriana Lleras-Muney, and separately, Dora Costa.¹³ A similar reduction in the steepness of the educational gradient can also arise from a reduction in the price(s) of health input(s) (for example, the price of accessing clean water), since such a price change spurs those of lower schooling to begin buying health inputs that they previously could not have afforded. As shown by the square-dotted curve in Figure 1, population health technological change disproportionately raises survival among those with low schooling, making the educational gradient less steep for a given schooling level.

By contrast, the epidemiologic transition towards chronic diseases, with complex treatment regimens that may advantage those with better education, points to the growing importance of the second type of technological change – schooling-augmenting technological change – in shaping educational gradients in middle- and high-income countries.¹⁴ Research on the educational gradient in chronic disease management, for example, suggests that those with greater schooling might be better able to increase their survival by navigating the

⁹Preston 1975. Those with a higher socio-economic status live longer, but that at some point there must be diminishing returns is implied by the fact that no one has managed to attain immortality through life-long learning.

¹⁰Rosen 1958; Preston 1975; 1980; Fogel and Costa 1997; Cutler and Miller 2005; Cutler, Deaton and Lleras-Muney 2006; Miller 2008; Jayachandran, Lleras-Muney and Smith 2010; Costa 2013.

¹¹Cutler and Miller 2005.

¹²Fogel and Costa 1997.

¹³Cutler, Deaton and Lleras-Muney 2006; Costa 2013.

¹⁴Cutler, Deaton and Lleras-Muney 2006; Costa 2013.

complicated treatment regimens required for diseases like diabetes.¹⁵ Such “schooling-augmenting technological change,” shown by the triangle-dotted line in Figure 1, would disproportionately raise the survival of those with higher education levels, making the educational gradient steeper.¹⁶

The Chinese context and hypotheses

During the lifetime of China’s current elderly, the country has moved rapidly up the Preston curve in terms of both life expectancy and average schooling years, with great changes during the Mao era (when life expectancy increased from below 40 to about 65, with convergence towards high-income countries by the 21st century, over 72 for men and 76 for women). China’s health improvement during the 1950s and 1960s has been compared to those achieved in the US and many other high-income countries in the early 20th century.¹⁷ Further studies on height suggest continuous improvement for China’s later birth cohorts from 1980 onwards.¹⁸ For example, evidence from national surveys of children’s health shows that the national mean height for 17-year-old rural males increased from 164.4cm in 1979 to 169.7cm in 2005.¹⁹ That is, roughly speaking, a 17-year-old rural Chinese boy born in the late 1980s was 5.3cm taller than his counterpart born in the earlier 1960s. The significant and relatively fast improvements suggest that China experienced in a shorter period the “technophysio evolution” that has been documented in the West over the past century.²⁰

The dramatic health improvement between 1950 and 1980 has been linked to China’s increases in educational attainment during that period.²¹ Indeed, between 1950 and 1980, large expansions of education increased the average years of schooling for Chinese adults (aged 15 and older) from 1.61 to 5.31 years, and 5.22 to 7.82 years for those aged 15–19 years old.²² Then, in the early 1990s, the Chinese government began to universalize nine-year compulsory education, and in the late 1990s, it significantly expanded the higher education system. After 2000, new efforts were made to provide free compulsory education with universal financial aid provided to students enrolled in secondary vocational schools. By 2010, primary education in China was virtually universal, 82.5 per cent of the relevant age cohorts were enrolled in upper secondary schools, and the enrolment in higher education reached 26.5 per cent.²³ The average years of education for individuals aged above 15 in 2010 increased to 7.95, and reached 10.23 for those aged 15–19.²⁴

¹⁵Goldman and Smith 2002; 2015.

¹⁶Technological change that reduces treatment complexity (e.g. for HIV/AIDS) would have the opposite impact though, because it would reduce the cost of effective treatment for a given level of schooling.

¹⁷Salaff 1973.

¹⁸Morgan 2000; 2014; Liu, Fang and Zhao 2013.

¹⁹Morgan 2014.

²⁰Fogel and Costa 1997.

²¹Barbier et al. 2014.

²²See Barro-Lee Educational Attainment Dataset, http://www.barrolee.com/data/BL_v2.1/China_revised_v2.1.xlsx. Accessed 10 February 2016. The quite low level of years of schooling in China by 1950 does not necessarily imply that the level of Chinese human capital had been low. Rather, it has been shown that, despite low living standards, in the early 20th century at least some parts of China (such as the lower-Yangtze provinces) had, by world standards, relatively high levels of numeracy and functional literacy. See Baten et al. 2010 for more detail.

²³Gov.cn. 2011. “The national educational development in 2010,” 31 October, http://www.gov.cn/test/2011-10/31/content_1982280.htm. Accessed 6 May 2014.

²⁴Barro-Lee Educational Attainment Dataset.

While China has achieved great improvements in both education and health, to what extent the education–health gradient has evolved in contemporary China has only received sporadic attention. Based on China’s 2000 census, it has been reported that, controlling for demographic differences, GDP per capita and other factors, a one standard deviation increase in average years of schooling was associated with an increase of 0.38 standard deviations (about 1.4 years) in life expectancy.²⁵ The analysis undertaken by Li Shuzhuo et al. of the 2000 census shows that Chinese men and women of the 1940 birth cohort (i.e. at age 60) with a college education were expected to live, respectively, 6.5 years and 7.6 years longer than their counterparts with no formal schooling; in comparison, the 1985 birth cohort (i.e. at age 15) who would go on to get a college education were expected to live 12.5 years longer than those with no formal schooling. Their comparative analysis of China’s 1990 and 2000 censuses shows that the age-standardized mortality ratio between those with no formal schooling and the college-educated had increased from 2.96 times to 3.4 times during the decade. A closer examination reveals that such a change varied by gender: for men, the ratio increased significantly from 3.3 times in 1990 to 4.4 times in 2000, whereas for women, the ratio increased slightly from 3 to 3.2 times.²⁶

It remains unclear how China’s education–health gradients have been evolving since 2000 and how those trends differ by birth cohorts. Because China is still at the lower part of the concave Preston curve compared with the US, one might expect China to have a steeper educational gradient in health than that of the US. One might also expect that China’s educational gradient would be getting less steep over time if dominated by (1) movement up the Preston curve with increases in schooling, and/or (2) the life-long impacts of early public health investments (made during the period of youth of today’s middle-aged and elderly Chinese) that disproportionately increased the health of those with low schooling.

By contrast, one might predict that the educational gradient in health and survival would be steep and getting steeper in China for a variety of reasons. The schooling-augmenting technological change that prevails in contemporary high-income countries may also be at work in China. The lasting impacts of exposures in utero and in childhood would also increase the likelihood of chronic disease in old age.²⁷ Contemporary China may also exhibit a steeper gradient with greater returns to schooling in terms of both survival and earnings, which would imply that later birth cohorts have a greater incentive to invest in schooling as China transitions from a “brawn-based economy” to a “brain-based economy.”²⁸ That such a dynamic may be at work in contemporary China is suggested by the temptations for rural youth to forgo schooling beyond the compulsory schooling level (or even to evade the latter requirement) to undertake lucrative brawn-based jobs in China’s cities.

²⁵Cai 2005; 2009.

²⁶Li et al. 2004.

²⁷Barker et al. 1989; Barker, Bull and Osmond 1990; Fogel 2004. Deaton (2006, 109) notes: “Middle-aged and elderly Chinese who are currently alive are the survivors of a period in which nutritional and other insults killed 20% of their contemporaries in their first year of life. Although they survived, many of them experienced the same insults as those who died, albeit in less severe form, and so will suffer from a particularly high burden of chronic disease in later life (p. 91).” If education was even slightly protective in youth and in adult health compensatory investments, then the high burden of chronic disease among today’s less-educated elderly will reveal a steep gradient.

²⁸Costa 2013.

This study aims to provide evidence about the net effect of these contrasting forces in China and to document how the educational gradient in health and survival has evolved recently. In our analysis, we also pay attention to the heterogeneity of the educational gradient in health among different sub-groups of the population since, as previous research suggests, the educational gradient may have different patterns by gender and age. The pattern can also appear different for rural and urban residents. For example, there is evidence that low educational attainment is significantly associated with undiagnosed hypertension only for rural men.²⁹ While the specific mechanism behind these differences is beyond the scope of our study and merits investigation by further research, our documenting such heterogeneity may help policymakers identify the most disadvantaged and design appropriate policies.

Methodology and Data

The Shanghai mortality database

We first analyse the trend of the educational gradient in mortality between 1991 and 2005. Unfortunately, longitudinal national mortality data stratified by age/gender/education are not available, and interpretation of the national mortality trends is complicated by the Chinese death statistics being incomplete or inaccurate.³⁰ Dictated by data availability and reliability, our analysis focuses on the Shanghai mortality database. Recent research has shown that China has seen changing mortality patterns since 1990 and suggests that Shanghai is leading the way in this transition.³¹ The education–mortality gradient in Shanghai may help us to understand how the gradient will evolve in China’s other areas in the future.

Taking into account that the influx of migrant workers in recent years has considerably changed the age and educational structure of the Shanghai population, we limit our sample to permanent residents with a Shanghai *hukou*. We also limit our sample to those aged 25–64 for data consistency.³² We obtain the age-sex-education-specific number of deaths (i.e. the numerator of mortality stratified by age, sex and education) in 1991, 1995, 2000 and 2005 from the Shanghai vital registration system.³³ Vital registration in Shanghai started as early as the 1950s. A nationwide vital registration system was established in 1987 by the Ministry of Health. When a death is reported, the local vital registration office fills in the death certificate, based on which the local centre for disease control (CDC) codes the cause of death. The coding is based on the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) for the period 1991–2001 and the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) for the period 2002–2005. The data reliability has been shown to be high.³⁴

²⁹Lei et al. 2014.

³⁰Rao et al. 2005.

³¹Zhou et al. 2016.

³²We restrict to this age range because data on population by level of educational attainment for detailed age groups were not available for people aged 65 and older.

³³We use the death statistics of 1991 to proxy for those of 1990. This is because, prior to 1991, annual mortality data in the vital registration system were aggregated by sex, age and cause; mortality data at the individual level (which allow for more detailed analysis) were not available until 1991.

³⁴See Yang, Gonghuan, et al. 2005; Zhao, Jiaying, et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2001 for details.

The estimation of the age-sex-education-specific population (i.e. the population denominator of mortality stratified by age, sex and education) is more complex. We conduct the estimation in three steps. First, we obtain the age-sex-specific population data over time from the Shanghai household registration system. Because data from this source are not stratified by education, we then in the second step obtain the distribution of education from four alternative datasets as proxies. These four datasets include the 1990 and 2000 Shanghai censuses and the 1995 and 2005 Shanghai 1% population sample surveys. (See data appendix for more detailed references.) In the last step, we estimate the age-education-sex-specific population denominator for mortality by multiplying the population in each age-sex group (obtained from the first step) by the distribution of education for each age-sex group (obtained from the second step).

One technical issue arises here: although the population data stratified by education are separated between those with a Shanghai *hukou* and those without (i.e. migrants) in the 2000 census and the 2005 population sample survey, the data for the two groups were not reported separately in the 1990 census and the 1995 population sample survey. For most age groups, such a data issue should not seriously bias our estimation, since the share of migrants in the total population of Shanghai was low during the early-mid 1990s. For instance, the share was only 4.5 per cent in 1992.³⁵ Therefore, our “direct” estimation method is to use the 1990 and 1995 education data which did not exclude migrants to proxy the education distribution of Shanghai residents with *hukou* in these two years. Admittedly, since most migrants were young adults (aged 25–44) with low education, we would have *overestimated* the actual population of the low-educated young residents with a Shanghai *hukou* in 1990 and 1995 (which is the denominator). Consequently, we would have *underestimated* the actual mortality rates in 1990 and 1995 for the low-educated young residents with a Shanghai *hukou*.

As a sensitivity analysis, we alternatively apply the cohort-component method.³⁶ In this “indirect” method, we assume the distribution of education for the same birth cohort to be constant over time, and use the distribution of education for each age-sex group in the 2000 census – in which migrants and non-migrants are separated – to proxy for corresponding figures in 1990 and 1995. For example, we assume that the share of low-educated individuals aged 30–34 years in 1995 was equal to the share of low-educated individuals aged 35–39 years in 2000. Because people with low education tend to have higher mortality (higher probability of dying early), this method would have *underestimated* the actual proportion of those with low education in 1990 and 1995 (which is, again, the denominator). Correspondingly, this method would have *overestimated* the actual mortality rates for those with low levels of education attainment.

We report both of our results below using, respectively, the “direct” and the “indirect” methods. The overall differences appear to be small except for those aged 25–44 years with low education. We believe that the actual mortality rates in 1990 and in 1995 for this specific

³⁵Shanghai Municipal Statistical Bureau 1983–2011.

³⁶Smith, S.K., Tayman and Swanson 2001.

group fall in between the estimates using the two methods, although we urge caution in interpreting the results.

To smooth out any yearly fluctuations, we apply a weighted average strategy to calculate the population denominator for the mortality rate stratified by age, gender, and education. Specifically, we assign a 50 per cent weight on the year under investigation (for example, 1995), a 25 per cent weight on the preceding year (for example, 1994), and a 25 per cent weight on the next year (for example, 1996).³⁷ In addition, to facilitate comparison across time and age groups, we standardized the mortality rates by multiplying the World Health Organization's (WHO) world standard population by the observed Shanghai age-education-sex-specific death rates.³⁸

Nationally representative surveys

As a complement to our visual presentation of the trends in the Shanghai education–mortality gradient, we also applied regression analysis to explore the recent evolution of the gradients in morbidity and health behaviour further. Theoretical and empirical research on the educational gradient in health shows that causality runs both from schooling to health and vice versa, with part of the correlation explained by other components of socio-economic status such as income.³⁹ A recent growing literature uses compulsory school laws as an instrument to address the issue of causality.⁴⁰ In general, studies using this approach conclude that the link between education and health is causal. However, the validity of this method has been challenged. For example, it has been pointed out that it is not always robust to controls for state-specific cohort trends.⁴¹

Cutler and Lleras-Muney use a two-step regression process to explore the pathways between education and health behaviour.⁴² This approach has been recognized as a worthwhile complement to research on the education–health gradient based on “quasi-experimental” designs.⁴³ In the first step, they regress health on education while controlling for basic variables (such as parental traits and health endowments at birth) that “determine education but cannot be affected by it.” If such variables were exhaustively controlled for, the estimated coefficient of education on health and health behaviour, according to the authors, “could be thought of as causal.”⁴⁴ In the second step, they test the potential pathways of the education–health link by adding to the basic regression a set of potential explanatory variables (such as income and cognitive ability) and observing the reduction in the estimated education coefficient on health. Naturally, this approach suffers from endogeneity issues and is “not set in a causal framework.” Yet, adding the variables in the second step could be considered “a sensitive test,”⁴⁵ helping to sort out “which potential pathways may be of first-order importance.”⁴⁶ Based on multiple survey datasets in the US and UK, Cutler and

³⁷As a robustness test, we also did our estimation without applying the weighted average strategy. The results are quite similar.

³⁸Ahmad et al. 2001.

³⁹Grossman 1972; Preston 1975; Fuchs 1982; Deaton 2006; Cutler, Deaton and Lleras-Muney 2006.

⁴⁰Lleras-Muney 2005; Clark and Royer 2013; Huang 2015.

⁴¹Mazumder 2008; 2012.

⁴²Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010.

⁴³Mazumder 2012.

⁴⁴Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010, 3.

⁴⁵Ibid., 7.

Lleras-Muney show that income, health insurance, family background, knowledge, cognitive ability and social networks can explain a majority of the educational gradient in health.

Largely following their study, we estimate the effect of education on health in the following models:

$$H_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 * Education_i + \alpha_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

$$H_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Education_i + \beta_2 X_i + \beta_3 Z_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (2)$$

where H_i is a health/morbidity/health behaviour indicator of individual; $Education_i$ is measured either by schooling years or as a dummy for whether individual i has secondary or above education; X_i includes basic demographic factors such as age, gender, and ethnicity; Z_i denotes additional socio-economic controls such as household income, marital status, family size, health insurance coverage, rural/urban residence, and province. For some analyses, Z_i also includes parental characteristics (their ages and education and whether they are still alive), the level of social integration (frequencies of communicating with neighbours/friends and attending community events), personal well-being (such as depression, attitude towards difficulty), and current and future life satisfaction and forward planning.

Beyond the Cutler and Lleras-Muney paper, our study introduces two additional research dimensions: the time trend and the gender and regional (rural/urban) heterogeneities.⁴⁷ By using cross-sectional data collected at different time points, we are able to quantify the recent trend of the education–health gradients in China. We will also explore to what extent the educational gradient differs among gender and rural/urban population subgroups.

Our analysis marshals data from two nationally representative surveys, including the 1998 and 2008 waves of the China National Health Services Survey (NHSS) and the 2010 wave of the Chinese Family Panel Study (CFPS). The data appendix discusses the surveys in more detail. We restrict our samples to individuals aged 25 and above to capture completed educational attainment.

Empirical Results

Educational gradient in mortality: evidence from Shanghai (1991–2005)

Figure 2 shows the results from Shanghai between 1991 and 2005. First of all, the educational gradient in mortality is substantial: for all years, the population with primary or lower schooling had a much higher mortality rate (two to four fold, varying by age and sex) than their counterparts with high school education. Further, in general, the gradient has been increasing over the 14-year period, primarily because those with high education enjoyed a

⁴⁶Mazumder 2012, 292.

⁴⁷Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010.

clear trend of improving survival, whereas the trend is less clear for those with lower education.⁴⁸ Also, the gradient is greater for men than women in most cases.

For those aged 25–44, the gradient was in general steeper than for the older age cohort. Also, the gradient increased between 1991 and 2000.⁴⁹ However, for those aged 25–44, the 2000–2005 period suggests a closing of Shanghai's mortality gap by schooling level. For example, in 2000, the mortality rate of below-median educated young men was about 12 times that of above-median educated young men (25–44); in 2005, the gap was down to about seven times.⁵⁰ However, since mortality is low among these prime-age adults, the substantial reduction in their mortality accounted for only a small portion of overall mortality and its gradient.

Among the population aged 45–64, the educational gradient in mortality increased over the 14-year period (i.e. for later birth cohorts), including the most recent five-year period. For example, in 1991, the ratio of mortality for men with only a primary school education to those with a high school education was 2.2; the ratio then increased to 2.8 in 2000 and 3.4 in 2005. The corresponding figures for women showed a similar increasing trend, but to a lesser degree.

However, for a given birth cohort, the gradient was narrowing as they aged. Consider the cohort born in the 1950s (the first decade of People's Republic of China) – the beneficiaries of large improvements in nutrition, public health and educational investments.⁵¹ They were in young adulthood (the 25–44 age group) in 1991, and then middle-aged (the 45–64 age group) by 2005. Our analysis of the Shanghai vital statistics reveal that, conditional on survival to the period of this study, the 1950s' birth cohort experienced a declining educational gradient in mortality: the ratio of mortality for men with only a primary school education to those with a high school education declined 20.9 per cent, from 4.3 in 1991 (young adulthood) to 3.4 in 2005 (middle age); the ratio declined 45.9 per cent for women, from 3.7 in 1991 to 2.0 in 2005. Given that we might have underestimated the mortality for those low-educated individuals in 1991 aged 25–44, the decline could have been even more significant. This narrowing of the mortality gap with age may reflect not only selective survival and changing causes of death (for example, from injury among young adults to chronic disease among the elderly) but also the impacts of public health interventions (i.e. population-health technologies) and movement “up the Preston curve” in their lifetimes, both changes associated with a flattening gradient.

⁴⁸For example, the mortality of males (aged 45–64) with low education appeared to be higher in 2005 than in 2000.

⁴⁹That the mortality of young men (aged 25–44) with primary or lower education appeared to be a lot higher in 2000 than in 1995 is unlikely to be only or even primarily an artefact of the data underestimating the mortality rate in the earlier years, since it is consistent between both the direct and indirect estimation methods; the temporary mortality increase may reflect adjustment of this group to the economic transformations China underwent in the 1990s (with the leading cause of death being injuries for this group).

⁵⁰In comparison, Crimmins and Saito (2001) show that mortality rates for white men with primary school education was about two times that of white men with college education in both 1960 and 1979–89; for black men and women, the age-specific mortality rate among those with primary school education was about three times that of those with some high school and above. This reduction can be compared to the narrowing educational gap in mortality among black men in the US aged 25–44 between 1990 and 2000, which was driven by changes in deaths from unintentional injuries and heart disease (Meara, Richards and Cutler 2008, 355). Unfortunately, trends in causes of death by educational attainment are not available for Shanghai, but trauma and cardiovascular diseases are also the leading causes of death among young adults in China (*China Statistical Yearbook 2011*).

⁵¹Although there was the Great Leap Famine of 1959–1961, its consequences for people in large cities such as Shanghai and Beijing were relatively minor. They were largely protected from the severe shortages that inflicted places such as rural Anhui.

Educational gradient in morbidity and health behaviour: evidence from national surveys, 1998–2010

We report in Table 1 the results from the NHSS data over the 1998–2008 decade. We measure the educational gradient in health by the estimated coefficients on the indicator variable for attaining a junior high school (secondary school) education or above – approximately the median level of education for the full sample. With only basic demographic controls, clear gradients exist for all the health variables, with better educational attainment associated with better self-assessed health (SAH), lower likelihood of illness in the past two weeks, smoking (currently or ever), or being a heavy drinker; and higher likelihood of engaging in physical exercise.

Income and other economic controls such as rural residence and locality fixed effects play important roles in explaining the educational gradients in health. For example, as reported in the last column of Table 1, income and other controls account for about one-third of the educational gradient in the likelihoods of smoking and drinking, and account for even more of the gradient in the likelihood of engaging in physical exercise.

Nevertheless, educational gradients in health persist after controlling for these confounders: having above-median education is still associated with a 15–17 percentage point decrease in the likelihood of being a current smoker. Given an average smoking rate of 30 per cent, it suggests a large remaining association of education with smoking: those having above-median education are only half as likely to smoke as their below-median education counterparts.

The correlation of having above-median education with higher likelihood of being diagnosed with chronic diseases can be explained by better-educated individuals receiving more screening for chronic diseases. After controlling for confounders such as rural residence, insurance coverage and locality, the correlation between education and diagnosed chronic disease reversed to be negative: having above-median education is associated with a lower rate of diagnosed chronic diseases.⁵²

The time trend of the educational gradient in health between 1998 and 2008 differs by health measure used but, in general, the gradient did not decrease and, in many cases, it became steeper. For example, controlling for income and other economic factors, those having junior middle education or above in 2008 enjoyed a lower likelihood of feeling ill in the past two weeks than in 1998, compared to their less-educated counterparts.

⁵²We do not find evidence of an educational gradient in treatment for hypertension conditional on diagnosis (data not shown) after controlling for other economic controls – results that are consistent with those on other datasets such as the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) (Lei, Yin and Zhao 2012) and the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) (Lei et al. 2014). The CHNS uses a multistage, random cluster process to draw a sample of about 7,200 households with over 30,000 individuals. It is an ongoing project with the first wave being conducted in 1989. Although not designed to be nationally representative, CHNS has been widely used to examine the socio-economic changes in China. For more details, see Popkin et al. 2009 or visit the official website: <http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/china>. The CHARLS collects nationally representative samples of Chinese residents aged 45 and older and their spouses. Designed as a biennial survey, the baseline survey was fielded in June 2011 and March 2012 and wave 2 in 2013. It examines social, economic and health status in the context of China's rapid ageing. For more details, see Zhao, Yaohui, et al. 2014 or visit the official website: <http://charls.ccer.edu.cn/en>.

Table 2 shows the results from the CFPS 2010 data. The educational gradient in health is indicated by the estimated coefficients on years of schooling. Again, the regressions controlling for basic demographic characteristics reveal a significant educational gradient for most health measures. For instance, an additional year of schooling is correlated with better SAH, higher likelihood of engaging in physical exercise, lower likelihood of smoking and drinking, and lower likelihood of reporting illness in the past two weeks.

Income accounts for a wide range, from 5 per cent (smoking) to over 50 per cent (SAH), of the raw educational gradient, possibly reflecting that the educational gradient responds differently to income for different health measures. Other economic variables are also important, and is consistent with the research that finds that urban/rural and regional differences play an important role in China.⁵³ Income and other economic variables account for 10–18 per cent of the educational gradient in smoking and close to 50 per cent of the gradient in exercise. The raw positive correlation with having a diagnosed chronic disease reverses to be negative once comprehensive economic controls are included, consistent with the finding shown in Table 1 using the NHSS data. The positive educational gradient for obesity is also significantly explained by income and additional controls. The inclusion of other economic controls actually strengthens the educational gradient in SAH and morbidity within the past two weeks. The last two columns of Table 2 report the educational gradient when controlling for parental education. When the parents' education is considered, there is no longer a gradient in SAH or chronic disease. However, the gradients remain for physical exercise and are even stronger for smoking and drinking.

Further following Cutler and Lleras-Muney, in Table 3, we introduce additional measures of social integration, personality, and current/future life satisfaction and future planning. These characteristics do appear to explain some of the educational gradient, the magnitude of which, again, depends on the health measure examined. For example, the additional inclusion of social integration reduces the estimated correlation between SAH and years of schooling by about 34 per cent; for exercise, the reduction is about 8 per cent. In comparison with Cutler and Lleras-Muney's results for overweight, obese, and smoking (shown in the bottom rows of Table 3), the impact of including extra controls appears, in most cases, larger in our analysis.⁵⁴ However, the results should be interpreted with caution given the differences in the survey questions and in how they may differ in capturing the underlying differences in preferences of the survey respondents.

Table 4 explores heterogeneity in the educational gradient by age (birth cohort) using the 2008 NHSS data. The columns of the table report the educational gradient for three age groups (25–44, 45–64, and 65 and above) controlling for basic demographic information, income and other socio-economic indicators. An educational gradient exists in all three age cohorts: those with higher educational attainment are more likely to have good or excellent SAH and to engage in fitness exercise, and are less likely to smoke, drink, fall ill and have a diagnosed chronic disease.

⁵³Smith, James, Tian and Zhao 2013.

⁵⁴Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010, Table 6, 15–16.

A common trend observed across different birth cohorts is that the educational gradients are more statistically significant and larger for the later (younger) cohorts. We show this in Table 4 by calculating the ratios of the estimated coefficients on above-median education for the later born cohorts (i.e. younger) to the coefficients for the earlier born (i.e. older) cohorts. In most cases, the ratios are greater than one. For example, consider the health metric of reporting illness in the last two weeks. The estimated coefficient on above-median education for the 25–44 age group is more than twice of that for the 45–64 age group, and three times of that for the 65 and older age group.

Nonetheless, in very few cases, the coefficient ratios between the younger and older cohorts are less than one: for instance, in the case of the likelihood of smoking, the ratio of the coefficient for the younger two cohorts to the coefficient for the older cohort is less than one. That is, the association between education and lower smoking rate is greater for those aged 65 and above than for their younger counterparts.

Table 5 explores heterogeneity in the educational gradient by urban/rural residence and by gender, also based on the 2008 NHSS. Educational gradients are present for both urban and rural individuals and for both men and women, and the relative steepness of the gradients varies. Unlike for mortality, as discussed above, there is a larger gradient for women than for men for these health measures. For example, the estimated negative correlation between having a junior high education and the probability of falling ill is more than four times greater in magnitude for women than for men. The results for the urban/rural division are a bit more mixed: while, in most cases, the education–health gradient is stronger for rural residents than for the urban counterparts, it appears to be greater for urban residents in terms of smoking and drinking.

Discussion

We explore the educational gradient in health in China based on Shanghai mortality data as well as nationally representative data on health (NHSS 1998 and 2008; CFPS 2010). We have a number of findings. Above all, for both the Shanghai data and national data, we find a significant education–health gradient, with better-educated individuals enjoying better health and survival. The steepness of the gradient depends on what dimensions of health are measured (and how the gradient in education is quantified – the distribution of population by education is changing significantly over time). This pattern may also reflect the fact that the educational gradient responds differently to income for different health measures. The mechanism behind the variation awaits further exploration. We also find that the gradient has been in general increasing over time. In the Shanghai case, the increase in the gradient is primarily attributable to a faster decline in mortality for better-educated individuals during 1991–2005.

Based on the Shanghai mortality data, we find that the gradient for a given birth cohort (for example, the 1950 birth cohort) appears to be declining. This narrowing of the mortality gap may reflect not only selective survival but also the impacts of public health interventions (i.e. population-health technologies) and movement “up the Preston curve” in their lifetimes, both changes associated with a flattening gradient.

Based on the national survey data, income and other economic variables in general are mediating a portion of the association between education and income, the magnitude of which, again, depends on the specific health indicator used. In our analysis, income explains less of the educational gradient in smoking in China than in the US, possibly because smoking is more culturally and occupationally required for Chinese men and/or because the measure of smoking in our survey does not adequately differentiate occasional smoking of high-priced brands from chain smoking of lower-priced brands.

The results also indicate that only a small proportion of the gradient can be accounted for by income and other economic controls, suggesting that the wide and seemingly widening educational gradient in health is not merely the offshoot of greater income returns to education and the burgeoning income disparities in China. Rather, the net association of health with education conditional on income appears to be increasing. Both the monetary and non-monetary returns to education appear to be of independent economic significance and increasing.

The 2008 NHSS shows evident heterogeneities in the education–health gradient by cohort, urban/rural residency, and gender. The educational gradient among the younger cohort is in general greater than that among the older cohort; however, smoking is one exception – the educational gradient in smoking is the greatest among the oldest cohort. Also, in most cases, the gradient is larger for rural residents than for their urban counterparts; again, smoking (and drinking) is an exception – the gradient in smoking (and drinking) is greater among urban residents. Last but not least, the 2008 NHSS shows a larger gradient for women than men; in contrast, our analysis of the Shanghai mortality data shows a greater gradient for men than for women. We suspect that the seemingly contradictory results might be because the underlying mechanisms differ between the education–morbidity/health behaviour profile and the education–mortality profile.

Our study suffers from several limitations. Causality is surely a concern. Ideally, we would like to disentangle the mechanisms by applying rigorous econometric techniques to sufficiently informative data; in reality, however, causal pathways are difficult to establish. As we have previously acknowledged, studies that use compulsory school laws to address this technical issue have been challenged. Theoretically, if we are able to control an exhaustive list of variables that “determine education but cannot be affected by it,” the issue of causality is less prominent.⁵⁵ In reality, however, although we use a variety of survey data that offer rich information regarding health and health behaviour, we simply do not have all variables needed. Nor can we always find proper proxies. For example, while previous literature documents the impacts of cognitive ability and tastes on health, our survey data do not adequately ask such questions.⁵⁶ The choice of variables in the regression models is thus often dictated by data availability in addition to theory. Following Cutler and Lleras-Muney, we acknowledge that we are unable to make causal claims.⁵⁷ Yet, our analyses are informative in understanding potential mechanisms. For future research on the education–

⁵⁵Ibid., 2.

⁵⁶Ibid.; Singh-Manoux et al. 2005; Auld and Sidhu 2005; Grossman and Kaestner 1997; Bijwaard, Kippersluis and Veenman 2015.

⁵⁷Cutler and Lleras-Muney 2010.

health gradient, understanding the impact of the quality of education has been suggested as a worthwhile extension.⁵⁸

Also, the representativeness of the Shanghai data might be an issue. Unfortunately, however, as we have acknowledged previously, longitudinal national mortality data stratified by age, gender and education are not available, and the trends of mortality in Shanghai are informative for China's future as the country rapidly urbanizes. In addition, to complement our analyses, we also calculate the national standard mortality ratio by education in 2000 and 2010 using the 1990 mortality level as the reference. We show the result in Figure 3. It is clear that mortality decreased more significantly for those with greater educational attainment, which is consistent with our previous findings that the education–health gradient became steeper over the decade.

Conclusion

To date, limited evidence has been made available about the extent of the educational gradient in various health measures in China. It is of interest to know to what extent the gradient can be explained by income and other factors. It is also of interest to know how the gradient has been evolving over time: in rapidly developing economies like China, people of different cohorts have been exposed to very different health conditions over their life course. The educational gradient in health could be flattening if diminishing returns to improved average education levels and the influence of earlier population health interventions outweigh the gradient-steepening effects of new medical and health technologies.

Using multiple datasets at the local as well as the national level, we estimate the relationship between education and health. We find large, and in many cases, steepening (worsening) educational gradients in health, although the gradient flattens with age. We also find that the gradients are being mediated, to different extents, by economic and social resource variables. Nevertheless, a significant share of the educational gradient in health remains after controlling for resource variables. We also find that the gradients are, in most cases, larger for the younger cohorts and among rural residents. Moreover, the gradient in mortality is greater for men, whereas the educational gradient in morbidity and health behaviour is often greater for women.

Our study highlights the double disadvantage of those with low education, suggesting synergies in interventions that foster both aspects of human capital. Policies to enhance health and education among the least advantaged, such as the poor rural population, could have manifold returns for China's future social and economic development.

Acknowledgments

Karen Eggleston gratefully acknowledges support from the US National Institutes of Health/National Institute on Aging, Stanford University Center for Demography and Economics of Health and Aging (CDEHA) AG017253 seed project entitled "Socio-economic gradients in health among the elderly in China."

⁵⁸Eide and Showalter 2011; Mazumder 2012.

References

- Ahmad, Omar, Boschi-Pinto, Cynthia, Lopez, Alan, Murray, Christopher, Lozano, Rafael, Inoue, Mie. GPE Discussion Paper Series. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001. Age standardization of rates: a new WHO standard; p. 31 <http://www.who.int/healthinfo/paper31.pdf>
- Auld, Christopher, Sidhu, Nirmal. Schooling, cognitive ability and health. *Health Economics*. 2005; 14(10):1019–34. [PubMed: 16167318]
- Babiarz, Kimberly, Eggleston, Karen, Miller, Grant, Zhang, Qiong. An exploration of China's mortality decline under Mao: a provincial analysis, 1950–1980. *Population Studies*. 2014; 69(1):39–56. [PubMed: 25495509]
- Banister, Judith, Zhang, Xiaobo. China, economic development and mortality decline. *World Development*. 2005; 33(1):21–41.
- Barker, David JP, Bull, AR., Osmond, Clive. Fetal and placental size and risk of hypertension in adult life. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*. 1990; 301(6746):259–262. [PubMed: 2390618]
- Barker, David JP, Osmond, Clive, Winter, PD., Margetts, Barrie. Weight in infancy and death from ischemic heart disease. *The Lancet*. 1989; 334(8663):577–580.
- Baten, Joerg, Ma, Debin, Morgan, Stephen, Wang, Qing. Evolution of living standards and human capital in China in the 18–20th centuries: evidence from real wages, age-heaping, and anthropometrics. *Explorations in Economic History*. 2010; 47(3):347–359.
- Bijwaard, Govert E., van Kippersluis, Hans, Veenman, Justus. Education and health: the role of cognitive ability. *Journal of Health Economics*. 2015; 42:29–43. [PubMed: 25912224]
- Cai, Yong. Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology (CSDE) Working Paper 05-03. 2005. National, provincial, prefectural and county life tables for China based on the 2000 census.
- Cai, Yong. Regional inequality in China: mortality and health. In: Davis, Deborah, Feng, Wang, editors. *Creating Wealth and Poverty in Post-socialist China*. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2009. p. 143–155.
- Clark, Damon, Royer, Health. The effect of education on adult mortality and health: evidence from Britain. *American Economic Review*. 2013; 103(6):2087–2120.
- Conti, Gabriella, Heckman, James, Urzua, Sergio. The education–health gradient. *American Economic Review*. 2010; 100(2):234. [PubMed: 24741117]
- Costa, Dora. Health and the economy in the United States, from 1750 to the present. *Journal of Economic Literature*. 2013; 53(3):503–570.
- Crimmins, Eileen M., Saito, Yasuhiko. Trends in healthy life expectancy in the United States, 1970–1990: gender, racial, and educational differences. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2001; 52(11):1629–41. [PubMed: 11327137]
- Cutler, David, Deaton, Angus, Lleras-Muney, Adriana. The determinants of mortality. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 2006; 20(3):97–120.
- Cutler, David, Lleras-Muney, Adriana. Understanding differences in health behaviors by education. *Journal of Health Economics*. 2010; 29(1):1–28. [PubMed: 19963292]
- Cutler, David, Miller, Grant. The role of public health improvements in health advances: the 20th century United States. *Demography*. 2005; 42(1):1–22. [PubMed: 15782893]
- Deaton, Angus. The great escape: a review of Robert Fogel's *The Escape from Hunger and Premature Death, 1700–2100*. *Journal of Economic Literature*. 2006; 44(1):106–114.
- Eide, Eric R., Showalter, Mark H. Estimating the relation between health and education: what do we know and what do we need to know? *Economics of Education Review*. 2011; 30(5):778–791.
- Fogel, Robert. *The Escape from Hunger and Premature Death, 1700–2100: Europe, America, and the Third World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.
- Fogel, Robert, Costa, Dora. A theory of technophysio evolution, with some implications for forecasting population, health care costs, and pension costs. *Demography*. 1997; 34(1):49–66. [PubMed: 9074831]
- Fuchs, Victor. Time preference and health: an exploratory study. In: Fuchs, Victor, editor. *Economic Aspects of Health*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1982. p. 93–120.

- Goldman, Dana, Smith, James. Can patient self-management help explain the SES health gradient? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2002; 99(16):10929–934.
- Goldman, Dana, Smith, James. The increasing value of education to health. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2015; 72(10):1728–37.
- Grossman, Michael. On the concept of health capital and the demand for health. *Journal of Political Economy*. 1972; 80(2):223–255.
- Grossman, Michael, Kaestner, Robert. Effects of education on health. In: Behrman, Jere R., Stacey, Nevzer, editors. *Social Benefits of Education*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press; 1997. p. 69-123.
- Heckman, James, Humphries, John, Veramendi, Gregory, Urzua, Sergio. IZA Discussion Paper No. 8027. 2014. Education, health and wages.
- Hu, Anning, Hibel, Jacob. Educational attainment and self-rated health in contemporary China: a survey-based study in 2010. *The Social Science Journal*. 2013; 50(4):674–680.
- Huang, Wei. IZA Discussion Paper No. 9225. 2015. Understanding the effects of education on health: evidence from China.
- Hurt, Lisa, Ronsmans, Carine, Saha, Sajal. Effects of education and other socioeconomic factors on middle age mortality in rural Bangladesh. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2004; 58(4):315–320. [PubMed: 15026446]
- Jayachandran, Seema, Lleras-Muney, Adriana, Smith, Kimberley. Modern medicine and the 20th century decline in mortality: evidence on the impact of sulfa drugs. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*. 2010; 2(2):118–146.
- Khang, Young-Ho, Lynch, John, Kaplan, George. Health inequalities in Korea: age- and sex-specific educational differences in the 10 leading causes of death. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2004; 33(2):299–308. [PubMed: 15082630]
- Lei, Xiaoyan, Sun, Xiaoting, Strauss, John, Zhang, Peng, Zhao, Yaohui. Depressive symptoms and SES among the mid-aged and elderly in China: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study national baseline. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2014; 120:224–232. [PubMed: 25261616]
- Lei, Xiaoyan, Yin, Nina, Zhao, Yaohui. Socioeconomic status and chronic diseases: the case of hypertension in China. *China Economic Review*. 2012; 23(1):105–121.
- Li, Shuzhuo, Sun, Fubin, Jiang, Quanbao, Zou, Xufeng, Guan, Renxian. Report of the Study on China's Mortality Changes in the 1990s Based on China's 2000 Population Census. Xi'an: Institute for Population and Economy Studies School of Management, Xi'an Jiaotong University; 2004.
- Liang, Jersey, McCarthy, John, Jain, Arvind, Krause, Neal, Bennett, Joan, Gu, Shengzu. Socioeconomic gradient in old age mortality in Wuhan, China. *Journals of Gerontology: Social Sciences*. 2000; 55B(4):S222–S233.
- Lin, Songbo, Song, Guixiang, Zhou, Feng, Zhang, Shennian. Shanghai shi manxing feichuanranxing jibing liuxingbingxue qushi yanjiu: 1951–1998 nian siwang ziliaox fenxi” (Mortality study of major non-communicable diseases in Shanghai, 1951–1998). *Zhonghua liuxing bingxue zazhi*. 2001; 22(4):265–268.
- Liu, Hong, Fang, Hai, Zhao, Zhong. Urban–rural disparities of child health and nutritional status in China from 1989 to 2006. *Economics & Human Biology*. 2013; 11(3):294–309. [PubMed: 22608863]
- Lleras-Muney, Adriana. The relationship between education and adult mortality in the United States. *The Review of Economic Studies*. 2005; 72(1):189–221.
- Lochner, Lance. Nonproduction benefits of education: crime, health, and good citizenship. In: Hanushek, Eric A. Machin, Stephen J., Woessmann, Ludger, editors. *Handbook of the Economics of Education*. Vol. 4. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 2011. p. 183-282.
- Marmot, Michael, Smith, George Davey, Stansfeld, Stephen, Patel, Chandra, North, Fiona, Head, Jenny, White, Ian, et al. Health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II Study. *The Lancet*. 1991; 337(8754):1387–93.

- Marmot, Michael, Friel, Sharon, Bell, Ruth, Houweling, Tanja, Taylor, Sebastian. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *The Lancet*. 2008; 372(9650):1661–69.
- Mazumder, Bhashkar. Does education improve health? A re-examination of the evidence from compulsory schooling laws. *Economic Perspectives*. 2008; 33(2):1–15.
- Mazumder, Bhashkar. The effects of education on health and mortality. *Nordic Economic Policy Review*. 2012; 12(1):261–301.
- Meara, Ellen, Richards, Seth, Cutler, David. The gap gets bigger: changes in mortality and life expectancy, by education, 1981–2000. *Health Affairs*. 2008; 27(2):350–360. [PubMed: 18332489]
- Miller, Grant. Women's suffrage, political responsiveness, and child survival in American history. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 2008; 123(3):1287–1327. [PubMed: 21373369]
- Ministry of Health of China. [Accessed 2 April 2016] Report of the Second Wave of National Health Services Survey in 1998. 1999. <http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/mohwsbwstjxxzx/s8211/201009/49160.shtml>
- Ministry of Health of China. [Accessed 2 April 2016] Report of the Fourth Wave of National Health Services Survey in 2008. 2009. <http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/mohwsbwstjxxzx/s8211/201009/49166.shtml>
- Morgan, Stephen L. Richer and taller: stature and living standards in China, 1979–1995. *The China Journal*. 2000; 44:1–39.
- Morgan, Stephen L. Growing fat on reform: obesity and nutritional disparities among China's children, 1979–2005. *The China Quarterly*. 2014; 220:1033–68.
- Popkin, Barry M., Du, Shufa, Zhai, Fengying, Zhang, Bing. Cohort profile: the China Health and Nutrition Survey: monitoring and understanding socio-economic and health change in China, 1989–2011. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009; 39:1435–40. [PubMed: 19887509]
- Preston, Samuel. The changing relation between mortality and level of economic development. *Population Studies*. 1975; 29(2):231–248. [PubMed: 11630494]
- Preston, Samuel. Causes and consequences of mortality declines in less developed countries during the twentieth century. In: Easterline, Richard A., editor. *Population and Economic Change in Developing Countries*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press; 1980. p. 289–360.
- Rao, Chalapati, Lopez, Alan D., Yang, Gonghuan, Begg, Stephen, Ma, Jiemin. Evaluating national cause-of-death statistics: principles and application to the case of China. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2005; 83(8):618–625. [PubMed: 16184281]
- Rosen, Leon. Observations on the epidemiology of dengue in Panama. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1958; 68(1):45–58.
- Rosenzweig, Mark, Schultz, Paul. The behavior of mothers as inputs to child health: the determinants of birth weight, gestation, and rate of fetal growth. In: Fuchs, Victor, editor. *Economic Aspects of Health*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press; 1982. p. 53–92.
- Salaff, Janet. Mortality decline in the People's Republic of China and the United States. *Population Studies*. 1973; 27(3):551–576. [PubMed: 22091772]
- Shanghai Municipal Statistical Bureau. *Shanghai Statistics Yearbook*. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 1983–2011.
- Singh-Manoux, Archana, Ferrie, Jane E., Lynch, John W., Marmot, Michael. The role of cognitive ability (intelligence) in explaining the association between socio-economic position and health: evidence from the Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2005; 161(9):831–839. [PubMed: 15840615]
- Smith, James, Tian, Meng, Zhao, Yaohui. Community effects on elderly health: evidence from CHARLS national baseline. *Journal of the Economics of Ageing*. 2013; 1:50–59.
- Smith, SK., Tayman, J., Swanson, DA. *State and Local Population Projections: Methodology and Analysis*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001.
- Stringhini, Silvia, Sabia, Severine, Shipley, Martin, Brunner, Eric, Nabi, Hermann, Kivimaki, Mika, Singh-Manoux, Archana. Association of socio-economic position with health behaviors and mortality. *JAMA*. 2010; 303(12):1159–66. [PubMed: 20332401]
- Xie, Yu, Hu, Jingwei. An introduction to the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS). *Chinese Sociological Review*. 2014; 47(1):3–29.

- Xie, Yu, Lu, Ping. The sampling design of the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS). *Chinese Journal of Sociology*. 2015; 1(4):471–484.
- Yang, Gonghuan, Hu, Jianping, Rao, Ke Quin, Ma, Jeimin, Rao, Chalapati, Lopez, Alan D. Mortality registration and surveillance in China: history, current situation and challenges. *Population Health Metrics*. 2005; 3(3)doi: 10.1186/1478-7954-3-3
- Yang, Wei, Kanavos, Panos. The less healthy urban population: income-related health inequality in China. *BMC Public Health*. 2012; 12:804. [PubMed: 22989200]
- Zhao, Jiaying, Tu, Edward Jow-Ching, Song, Guixiang, Sleight, Adrian. Mortality changes during the transition from planned socialism to state capitalism: the case of Shanghai. *Population*. 2015; 70(4):783–814.
- Zhao, Yaohui, Hu, Yisong, Smith, James P., Strauss, John, Yang, Gonghuan. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2014; 43:61–68. [PubMed: 23243115]
- Zhou, Maigeng, Wang, Haidong, Zhu, Jun, Chen, Wanqing, Wang, Linhong, Liu, Shiwei, Li, Yichong, et al. Cause-specific mortality for 240 causes in China during 1990–2013: a systematic subnational analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet*. 2016; 387(10015):251–272.

Biographies

Qiulin Chen is an associate professor at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing, China. He earned his PhD in economics from Peking University and was a postdoctoral fellow in Asia health policy at the Asia-Pacific Research Center at Stanford University.

Karen Eggleston is senior fellow at the Freeman Spogli Institute for International Studies (FSI) at Stanford University, and director of the Stanford Asia Health Policy Program at the Shorenstein Asia-Pacific Research Center at FSI. Her research focuses on comparative healthcare systems and health reform in Asia, especially China; government and market roles in the health sector; payment incentives; healthcare productivity; and the economics of the demographic transition.

Wei Zhang is an assistant professor at the School of Marxism of Tsinghua University, Beijing. Her work focuses on the political economy of health and health care reform.

Jiaying Zhao is a visiting fellow in the School of Demography at the Australian National University. Her work focuses on long-term mortality and health transition, and health inequity. Her articles have appeared in *Bulletin - World Health Organization*, *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *Population* (English edition), *Population Health Metrics*, and *Journal of Epidemiology*.

Sen Zhou is a doctoral student in the economics of education and international comparative education programme at the Stanford Graduate School of Education. Her interests include higher education opportunities, educational choices, health economics and literacy development.

Appendix: Data Sources and Descriptions

A. Shanghai mortality reference population (denominator)

Shanghai Population Sample Survey Office and Shanghai Bureau of Statistics. 1992. *Shanghai shi 1990 nian renkou pucha ziliao (Tabulation on the 1990 Population Census of Shanghai Municipality)*. Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/Navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2008050033&name=YZPCE&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

Shanghai Population Sample Survey Office. 1997. *1995 nian quan guo 1% renkou chouyang diaocha ziliao Shanghai fence (Tabulation on the 1995 China 1% Population Sample Survey: The Shanghai Volume)*. Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/Navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2013090164&name=YQRDS&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

Shanghai Population Sample Survey Office and Shanghai Bureau of Statistics. 2002. *Shanghai shi 2000 nian renkou pucha ziliao (Tabulation on the 2000 Population Census of Shanghai Municipality)*. Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/Navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2013070349&name=YRKSH&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

Shanghai Population Sample Survey Office and Shanghai Bureau of Statistics. 2007. *2005 nian shanghai shi 1% renkou chouyang diaocha ziliao (Tabulation on the 2005 Shanghai 1% Population Sample Survey)*, <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/Navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2013060031&name=YSRKC&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

B. National death data (numerator) and reference population (denominator)

Population Sample Survey Office under the State Council and Bureau of Statistics. 1993. *Zhongguo 1990 nian renkou pucha ziliao (Tabulation on the 1990 Population Census of China)*. Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2008040085&name=YGSRP&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

Population Sample Survey Office under the State Council and Bureau of Statistics. 2002.

Zhongguo 2000 nian renkou pucha ziliao (Tabulation on the 2000 Population Census of China). Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/navi/HomePage.aspx?id=N2008050075&name=YWXDS&floor=1>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

Population Sample Survey Office under the State Council and Bureau of Statistics. 2012.

Zhongguo 2010 nian renkou pucha ziliao (Tabulation on the 2010 Population Census of China). Beijing: China Statistics Press. <http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/pcsj/rkpc/6rp/indexch.htm>. Accessed 2 April 2016.

C. Comparing the national surveys used in our study

	NHSS 1998, 2008	CFPS, 2010
Sponsor	Ministry of Health of China	Institute of Social Science Surveys (ISSS) of Peking University
Purposes of the survey	To evaluate the health of Chinese residents and the availability and utilization of health services.	To collect data on themes related to China's economic and social changes at the individual, family and community levels.
Information collected	Demographic and socio-economic characteristics, self-reported health status, disease histories, healthcare utilization, household income and expenditures on a variety of commodities, disease-specific medical expenditure, and health risk behaviour.	Demographic and socio-economic characteristics, economic activities, education, family dynamics and relationships, migration and health.
Representativeness	Nationally representative	Nearly nationally representative. Six provinces (Xinjiang, Tibet, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia and Hainan) are not included for the purpose of containing costs.
Waves of available data	1993, 1998, 2003 and 2008	2010, 2011, 2012 and 2014 (Two waves of pilot surveys in 2008 and 2009 were carried out in Beijing, Shanghai and Guangdong.)
Sampling strategy	A multi-stage stratified cluster random sampling method has been adopted: counties (cities or districts) were sampled in the first stage from all provinces; then five townships (or neighbourhoods) were sampled from each county; two villages (or neighbourhood committees) were then sampled from each township; in the last stage, 60 households were sampled from each village. All household residents were interviewed.	A multi-stage probability strategy has been adopted: The target sample size of the baseline 2010 CFPS survey that we use was 16,000 households. Half of the households came from five provinces (Shanghai, Liaoning, Henan, Gansu and Guangdong), each of which sampled 1,600 households; the other 8,000 households were from the remaining 20 provinces, each of which sampled 800 households.
Sample size	NHSS 1998: 56,994 households and 216,101 individuals; NHSS 2008: 56,456 households and 177,501 individuals	CFPS 2010: 14,960 households and 42,590 individuals
References	Ministry of Health 1999; 2009.	Xie and Hu 2014; Xie and Lu 2015. Official website: http://www.iss.edu.cn/cfps/EN/ .

Figure 1.
Educational Gradient in Health with Different Technological Changes in Health Production

Figure 2. Trends of Mortality in Shanghai by Age, Sex and Education, 1991–2005
Sources:

For registered deaths (the mortality numerator), the Shanghai vital registration system; for reference population (the mortality denominator), Population Census of Shanghai 1990 and 2000; 1% Population Sample Survey of Shanghai 1995 and 2005.

Figure 3. Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) in China by Sex and Education, 2000 and 2010 (Mortality Rate in 1990=1)

Data sources:

For deaths (the mortality numerator) for those aged six and above, *Tabulations on Population Census of China 1990; 2000; 2010*. For the reference population (the mortality denominator), *Tabulations on Population Census of China 1990; 2000; 2010*.

Table 1

Educational Gradient in Health (NHSS 1998NHSS 2008)

Year	Obs	Mean	Coefficients on indicator for having junior middle education or above (β)					
			Basic demographic controls		Adding income		Adding income and other economic controls	
			β		β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β
Self-assessed health status								
2008	124,275	0.84	0.418 *** (0.0208)	0.301 *** (0.0216)	0.279 *** (0.0234)	27.99%	0.279 *** (0.0234)	7.31%
1998	133,703	0.55	0.307 *** (0.0142)	0.261 *** (0.0151)	0.236 *** (0.0267)	14.98%	0.236 *** (0.0267)	9.58%
Felt ill within last 2 weeks								
2008	124,431	0.22	-0.096 *** (0.017)	-0.085 *** (0.0173)	-0.182 *** (0.0195)	11.52%	-0.182 *** (0.0195)	-115.38%
1998	132,723	0.17	0.0807 *** (0.0176)	0.112 *** (0.0187)	-0.098 *** (0.0345)	-38.79%	-0.098 *** (0.0345)	187.05%
Have diagnosed chronic disease								
2008	124,777	0.22	0.070 *** (0.0174)	0.063 *** (0.0178)	-0.126 *** (0.0201)	10.20%	-0.126 *** (0.0201)	301.60%
1998	133,703	0.19	0.265 *** (0.0175)	0.257 *** (0.0182)	-0.074 *** (0.0347)	3.02%	-0.074 *** (0.0347)	128.95%
Current smoker								
2008	124,061	0.28	-0.284 *** (0.0173)	-0.270 *** (0.0177)	-0.169 *** (0.0197)	4.93%	-0.169 *** (0.0197)	37.41%
1998	133,635	0.33	-0.268 *** (0.016)	-0.224 *** (0.0171)	-0.145 *** (0.0321)	16.42%	-0.145 *** (0.0321)	35.27%
Current alcohol drinker (> once weekly)								
2008	122,529	0.14	-0.176 *** (0.0195)	-0.207 *** (0.0199)	-0.142 *** (0.0226)	-17.61%	-0.142 *** (0.0226)	31.40%
1998	132,857	0.19	-0.113 *** (0.017)	-0.110 *** (0.0176)	0.002 (0.0343)	2.65%	0.002 (0.0343)	101.61%
Exercise								
2010	18,793	0.25	1.516 *** (0.0449)	1.339 *** (0.0469)	0.730 *** (0.0519)	11.68%	0.730 *** (0.0519)	45.48%
2008	122,770	0.21	1.914 *** (0.0228)	1.737 *** (0.0238)	0.629 *** (0.027)	9.25%	0.629 *** (0.027)	63.79%
Obese (BMI $\geq$ 28) [†]								
2010	18,246	0.06	0.240 *** (0.0676)	0.199 *** (0.0697)	-0.167 *** (0.0826)	17.08%	-0.167 *** (0.0826)	183.92%
Hypertension								
2008	68,915	0.19	0.113 *** (0.0234)	0.094 *** (0.024)	-0.081 *** (0.0282)	17.17%	-0.081 *** (0.0282)	186.97%

Notes:

Demographic controls include age, gender, and ethnicity (for 2008). Economic controls include household income, family size, marital status, working status, whether covered by social health insurance, rural/urban hukou.

*** indicates statistically significant at the 1% level;
** indicates statistically significant at the 5% level.

[†] Our cut-offs for overweight and obesity (BMI 24/28) are Chinese cut-offs from the Working Group on Obesity in China and not the World Health Organization cut-offs (BMI 25/30).

Educational Gradient in Health (2010 CFPS)

Table 2

Coefficients on years of schooling (β)										
	Obs	Year mean	Basic demographic controls		Adding income		Adding income and other economic controls		Adding parental education	
			β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β
Self-assessed health status	18,786	0.275	0.0163 *** (0.00379)		0.00753* (0.00402)	54%	0.0188 *** (0.00469)	−15.34%	0.00722 (0.00767)	56%
Felt ill within 2 weeks			−0.0143 *** (0.00419)		−0.00711 (0.00443)	50%	−0.0148 *** (0.00507)	−3.50%	−0.0109 (0.00907)	24%
Have diagnosed chronic disease	18,783	0.154	0.0238 *** (0.00521)		0.0254 *** (0.00551)	−7%	0.00671 (0.00634)	71.81%	0.00851 (0.013)	64%
Overweight (>3 times weekly)	18,246	0.301	0.0481 *** (0.00393)		0.0404 *** (0.00413)	16%	0.0011 (0.00505)	97.71%	0.0159* (0.00829)	67%
Obese [†] (>3 times weekly)	18,246	0.058	0.193 *** (0.00517)		0.172 *** (0.00559)	11%	0.0964 *** (0.0062)	50.05%	0.154 *** (0.0104)	20%
Current smoker	18,787	0.315	−0.0491 *** (0.00481)		−0.0466 *** (0.0051)	5%	−0.0405 *** (0.00591)	17.52%	−0.0614 *** (0.0109)	−25%
Ever smoked	18,787	0.382	−0.0367 *** (0.00519)		−0.0349 *** (0.00542)	5%	−0.0329 *** (0.00634)	10.35%	−0.0425 *** (0.0114)	−16%
Heavy drinker (>3 times weekly)	18,787	0.315	−0.0491 *** (0.00481)		−0.0466 *** (0.0051)	5%	−0.0405 *** (0.00591)	17.52%	−0.0614 *** (0.0109)	−25%
Exercise	18,793	0.252	0.193 *** (0.00517)		0.172 *** (0.00559)	11%	0.0964 *** (0.0062)	50.05%	0.154 *** (0.0104)	20%

Notes:

Demographic controls include age and gender. Economic controls include household income, family size, marital status, working status, whether covered by social health insurance, rural/urban hukou.

*** indicates statistically significant at the 1% level;

** indicates statistically significant at the 5% level.

[†] Our cutoffs for overweight and obesity (BMI 24/28) are Chinese cut-offs from the Working Group on Obesity in China and not the World Health Organization cut-offs (BMI 25/30).

Educational Gradient in Health (CFPS 2010)

Table 3

Coefficients on years of schooling (β)										
Addition to demographic and economic controls										
	Basic demographic control		Adding income and other economic controls		Social integration		Personality		Current and future life satisfaction and future planning	
	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β	β	Reduction in β
Self-assessed health status	0.0163 *** (0.0036)	0.0188 *** (0.0046)	-15%	0.0125 *** (0.0047)	34%	0.00164 (0.0048)	91%	-0.0139 (0.0198)	174%	
Felt ill within 2 weeks	-0.0143 *** (0.0040)	-0.0148 *** (0.0050)	-4%	-0.0137 *** (0.0051)	7%	-0.00509 (0.0054)	66%	-0.0201 (0.0245)	-36%	
Have diagnosed chronic disease	0.0238 *** (0.0050)	0.00671 (0.0062)	72%	0.00521 (0.0063)	22%	0.0109* (0.0063)	-62%	0.0145 *** (0.0066)	-116%	
Overweight (BMI $\geq$ 24)	0.0481 *** (0.0038)	0.0011 (0.0050)	98%	-0.00121 (0.0050)	210%	-0.0031 (0.0052)	382%	0.0199 (0.0216)	-1709%	
Obese (BMI $\geq$ 28)	0.0343 *** (0.0072)	-0.0149 (0.0098)	143%	-0.0186* (0.0099)	-25%	-0.0122 (0.0102)	18%	-0.0293 (0.0434)	-97%	
Current smoker	-0.0491 *** (0.0048)	-0.0405 *** (0.0059)	18%	-0.0392 *** (0.0060)	3%	-0.0404 *** (0.0059)	0%	-0.0414 *** (0.0062)	-2%	
Ever smoked	-0.0367 *** (0.0051)	-0.0329 *** (0.0063)	10%	-0.0319 *** (0.0064)	3%	-0.0335 *** (0.0066)	-2%	-0.0609 ** (0.0267)	-85%	
Current heavy drinker(>3 times weekly)	-0.0491 *** (0.0048)	-0.0405 *** (0.0059)	18%	-0.0392 *** (0.0060)	3%	-0.0414 *** (0.0062)	-2%	-0.0847 *** (0.0258)	-109%	
Exercise	0.193 *** (0.0048)	0.0964 *** (0.0059)	50%	0.0886 *** (0.0060)	8%	0.0836 *** (0.0061)	13%	0.0800 *** (0.0209)	17%	
Findings of Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010, Table 6, pp. 15–16) based on data from the National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) for US whites aged 25–74, 1995–1996										
Overweight			56%		24%				-6%	5%
Obese			18%		3%				2%	3%
Current smoker			9%		9%				-1%	1%

Findings of Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010, Table 6, pp. 15–16) based on data from the National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) for US whites aged 25–74, 1995–1996

Overweight	56%	24%	-6%	5%
Obese	18%	3%	2%	3%
Current smoker	9%	9%	-1%	1%

Notes:

Demographic controls include age and gender. Economic controls include household income, family size, marital status, working status, whether covered by social health insurance, rural/urban hukou. Social integration measures include frequency of communication with neighbours, of communication with friends, and of attendance of community events. Personality measures include currently perceived depression, the attitude to difficulty, and IQ value.

*** indicates statistically significant at the 1% level;

** indicates statistically significant at the 5% level.

[†] Our cut-offs for overweight and obesity (BMI 24/28) are Chinese cut-offs from the Working Group on Obesity in China and not the World Health Organization cut-offs (BMI 25/30).

Table 4

Educational Gradient in Health: Heterogeneity by Age Cohort (NHSS 2008)

	Coefficients on whether or not have junior middle education and above (β)											
	25~44			45~64			65~					
	Obs	Mean	β	Ratio to 45~64	Ratio to 65+	Obs	Mean	β	Ratio to 65+	Obs	Mean	β
Self-assessed health status	55,168	0.93	0.480*** (0.0432)	2.7	2.21	49,352	0.83	0.178*** (0.033)	0.82	19,755	0.63	0.217*** (0.0494)
Felt ill within 2 weeks	55,247	0.11	-0.286*** (0.0326)	2.67	3.62	49,412	0.25	-0.107*** (0.028)	1.35	19,772	0.41	-0.0790* (0.0457)
Have diagnosed chronic disease	55,433	0.08	-0.329*** (0.0366)	17.78	-54.7	49,523	0.27	-0.0185 (0.0275)	-3.07	19,821	0.47	0.00602 (0.0456)
Current smoker	55,068	0.28	-0.164*** (0.0311)	1.49	0.58	49,267	0.31	-0.110*** (0.0299)	0.39	19,726	0.22	-0.282*** (0.057)
Ever smoked	55,068	0.29	-0.164*** (0.0308)	1.61	0.58	49,267	0.33	-0.102*** (0.0299)	0.36	19,726	0.27	-0.285*** (0.0541)
Current drinker (>1 time weekly)	54,381	0.13	-0.139*** (0.0351)	0.9	1.67	48,670	0.17	-0.154*** (0.0332)	1.85	19,478	0.12	-0.0832 (0.0699)
Exercise	54,510	0.15	0.808*** (0.0512)	1.24	2.14	48,709	0.22	0.653*** (0.0393)	1.73	19,551	0.31	0.377*** (0.0595)

Notes:

Demographic controls include age, gender, and ethnicity. Economic controls include household income, family size, marital status, working status, whether covered by social health insurance, rural/urban hukou.

*** indicates statistically significant at the 1% level;

** indicates statistically significant at the 5% level.

Table 5
Educational Gradient in Health: Heterogeneity by Region and Gender (NHSS 2008)

	Coefficients on having junior middle education and above (β)							
	Rural		Urban		Rural/urban		Female	
	Mean	β	Mean	β	Mean	β	Mean	β
Self-assessed health status	0.84	0.347 ***	0.84	0.141 ***	2.46	0.83	0.314 ***	0.244 ***
Felt ill within 2 weeks	0.21	-0.180 ***	0.23	-0.0988 **	1.82	0.24	-0.287 ***	-0.0672 **
Have diagnosed chronic disease	0.20	-0.144 ***	0.26	-0.000557	258.50	0.24	-0.227 ***	-0.0197
Current smoker	0.29	-0.142 ***	0.24	-0.291 ***	0.49	0.03	-0.441 ***	-0.159 ***
Ever smoked	0.32	-0.150 ***	0.27	-0.258 ***	0.58	0.04	-0.373 ***	-0.162 ***
Current drinker (>1 time weekly)	0.15	-0.130 ***	0.12	-0.242 ***	0.54	0.02	-0.329 ***	-0.142 ***
Exercise	0.07	0.772 ***	0.53	0.375 ***	2.06	0.21	0.654 ***	0.600 ***

Notes:

Demographic controls include age, gender, and ethnicity. Economic controls include household income, family size, marital status, working status, whether covered by social health insurance, rural/urban hukou.

*** indicates statistically significant at the 1% level;

** indicates statistically significant at the 5% level.