Published in final edited form as:

Annu Rev Anal Chem (Palo Alto Calif). 2016 June 12; 9(1): 163–181. doi:10.1146/annurev-anchem-071015-041446.

Reagentless, Structure-Switching Electrochemical Aptamer-Based Sensors

Lauren R. Schoukroun-Barnes, Florika C. Macazo, Brenda Gutierrez, Justine Lottermoser, Juan Liu, and Ryan J. White*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC), 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250

Abstract

The development of structure-switching electrochemical, aptamer-based sensors over the past ~10 years has lead to a variety of reagentless sensors capable of analytical detection in a range of sample matrices. The crux of this methodology is the coupling of target-induced conformation changes of a redox-labeled aptamer with electrochemical detection of the resulting altered charge transfer rate between the redox molecule and electrode surface. Using aptamer recognition expands the highly sensitive detection ability of electrochemistry to a range of previously inaccessible analytes. In this review, we focus on the methods of sensor fabrication and how sensor signaling is affected by fabrication parameters. We then discuss the fundamentals of sensor signaling, as well as quantitative characterization of the analytical performance of electrochemical, aptamer-based sensors. Using illustrative examples, we highlight recent advances in the field that impact important areas of analytical chemistry. Finally, we discuss challenges and prospects for this class of sensors.

Keywords

Biosensor; monolayers; binding affinity; charge transfer; functional nucleic acid

1. Introduction

Electrochemical, aptamer-based (E-AB) sensors that utilize structure-switching nucleic acid aptamers have garnished the attention of researchers as a result of the specific, reagentless, and reversible analyte detection abilities of the sensor platform (for example, see refs (1–10)). Coupling the sensitivity of electrochemical methods with the specificity afforded by aptamer-based recognition opens electrochemical detection to a wide expanse of previously unattainable targets. There are a myriad of electrochemical detection schemes that utilize aptamers as a biorecognition element (11–13). In this review we focus specifically on reagentless sensors that rely on structure-switching aptamers first introduced by Plaxco and coworkers (14) and the advantages provided by this detection scheme. This aptamer sensor

*Corresponding Author: rjwhite@umbc.edu.

Disclosure Statement

The authors are not aware of any affiliations that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

architecture couples a target-induced change in aptamer conformation with a change in electron transfer ability between a distal-appended redox marker and an electrode surface (Fig. 1) much like the electrochemical equivalent to aptamer beacons (15).

Coupling electrochemical signaling to a change in aptamer conformation affords several advantages in analytical sensing. First, because the redox-active molecule is covalently linked to the nucleic acid aptamer, the change in electrochemical signal results solely from the target-induced conformation change of the aptamer. This signaling mechanism is less prone to signals arising from nonspecific interactions between matrix elements and the electrode surface (1, 4, 7, 8, 10, 16, 17). It is often typical to see signal change resulting from nonspecific adsorption of proteins or other contaminants when a sensor is employed in a complex matrix, however, this signal typically equilibrates after ~1 h allowing for specific target quantification (1, 5, 8). Furthermore, because the redox-active reporter is covalently linked to the nucleic acid aptamer, the sensor is reagentless and reversible (5, 7, 14, 18). This allows sensor function without the addition of exogenous reagents, which enables real-time detection of changes in analyte concentration. These attributes render this class of sensor amenable to the development of field deployable devices.

In this review we focus on the fabrication and design of E-AB and the parameters employed during these processes that affect analytical sensor performance. In addition, we emphasize several works that discuss the fundamentals of the electrochemical signaling observed with this class of sensors and provide a quantitative framework for evaluating sensor performance. We then highlight several illustrative examples and recent advances that take advantage of the unique attributes of structure-switching sensors, including some promising alternative strategies using structure-switching aptamers. Finally, we discuss some of the challenges that impede the progress of E-AB type sensors. Despite these challenges, we believe that this class of reagentless and reversible detection shows great analytical promise in the fields of chemical, clinical, biomedical, and environmental sensors.

2. Electrochemical, Aptamer-Based Sensor Fabrication Parameters

2.1. Sensing Self-Assembled Monolayer Chemistry

The fabrication of E-AB sensors is a relatively straightforward process; however, there are a variety of parameters that can affect the signaling and analytical performance of the resulting sensor. A typical gold electrode surface comprises a mixed monolayer of a thiol-modified nucleic acid and a diluent thiol spacer (Fig. 2). The most often used spacer is an alcohol-terminated, six-carbon alkane thiol (6-mercapto-1-hexanol). Early studies by Herne and Tarlov demonstrated that the deposition of thiolated DNA followed by 6-mercapto-1-hexanol as a diluent lifts any nonspecifically bound DNA and enables reproducible control over DNA packing density (19). Using this “*backfill*” method, Herne and Tarlov established that the packing density of the DNA on the surface affects the hybridization efficiency of complementary DNA, demonstrating the importance of this parameter on sensor performance (19). Packing density is likewise an important factor when considering the performance of aptamer-based sensors as discussed in detail below. More recently, Josephs and Ye published a study on mixed monolayer formation using an “*insertion*” method in which the passivating monolayer is deposited followed by the deposition of thiolated DNA

(20). Using atomic force microscopy, Josephs and Ye observed that the insertion method resulted in reproducibly dispersed monolayer surfaces in contrast to the aggregates of DNA observed using the backfill method. Needless to say, the nature of the sensing monolayer has proven to be an important aspect to consider when fabricating sensors (21).

The chemistry of the passivating monolayer represents a compromise between sensor signaling and stability (2, 22). Increasing the number of carbons in an alkane thiol chain results in improved monolayer packing as a result of increased van der Waals interactions between neighboring thiols (23). Consequently, increasing thiol length also increases monolayer thickness that results in a larger separation distance between the redox molecule and electrode surface. An increased separation distance results in a larger tunneling barrier (23) compromising the magnitude of measurable faradaic current. Lai *et al.* published a study exploring the difference in stability and signaling of an electrochemical, DNA-based sensor employing either a six-carbon or eleven-carbon passivating thiol. In their study, they demonstrated that the sensors fabricated with an eleven-carbon thiol exhibited significantly improved lifetimes while maintaining an appreciable single-to-noise ratio (22). While these studies do not employ aptamer sequences, they do use structure-switching nucleic acids for the detection of complementary nucleic acid and serve as a reasonable analogue to E-AB sensors. It should also be noted that in these studies, the number of carbons in the alkane thiol linker at the 5'-end of the DNA matched that of the passivating layer.

In addition to thiol length, the charge on the exposed terminus of the passivating monolayer also affects the signaling abilities of structure-switching nucleic acid sensors. For example, Ricci *et al.* demonstrated that sensor performance improved for both positively (amine-terminated thiols) and negatively charged (sulfonic acid-terminated thiols) monolayers (24). Specifically, both monolayers improved the maximum signal (sensor signal observed at saturating target concentrations) for DNA-based sensors when compared to the sensors fabricated with mercaptohexanol (neutral). The origin of these signal improvements, however, is unclear and mercaptohexanol remains the most widely used passivating thiol.

2.2. Aptamer Packing Density

As suggested in the initial Herne and Tarlov study, the packing density of the nucleic acid aptamer (aptamers/cm²) on an E-AB sensor surface is a readily controllable parameter to optimize sensor performance. Aptamer packing density is controlled by the concentration of thiolated aptamer, incubation time, and temperature employed during monolayer formation. Values reported for aptamer packing density range from $\sim 10^{11}$ – 10^{13} aptamers/cm² (2, 25). These values represent 10% of the maximum packing density of $\sim 9 \times 10^{13}$ molecules/cm² assuming a 0.7 nm cross-sectional radius for fully extended, single-stranded DNA (26). The optimal packing density for a given aptamer sequence, as determined by sensor performance, is dependent on the aptamer geometry (tertiary structure) as well as the size and structure of the target analyte (2). In general, steric interactions between neighboring aptamers can inhibit target accessibility and aptamer folding at high aptamer packing densities. The latter can significantly alter the observed binding affinity (K_d) of the sensor (2, 25). When aptamer packing densities become too low, the number of aptamers on the surface may not produce appreciable faradaic signal above background.

2.3. Covalently-Attached Redox Molecule

The majority of E-AB sensors employ the reversible redox couples methylene blue (1, 10, 27, 28) or ferrocene (9, 10, 16) as the redox-active tag and each molecule offers different advantages and disadvantages (10, 16). The covalent coupling of methylene blue is typically achieved by reacting a 3'-amine-terminated nucleic acid with n-hydroxysuccinimide ester-activated methylene blue (29). Ferrocene can be coupled using similar chemistry with a ferrocene carboxylic acid n-hydroxysuccinimide ester and has been done both pre- and post monolayer formation. Ferapontova demonstrated that the latter coupling procedure provides better coupling efficiency of the redox marker (16).

The convenience of reversible electrochemical behavior and standard conjugation chemistry makes both molecules ideal candidates to use as signaling moieties. Methylene blue undergoes a reversible two-electron, one-proton reduction (29) and has seen the most widespread use. However, the redox reaction is sensitive to changes in pH and thus, care must be taken when working in conditions where pH is unknown or varies. Conversely, ferrocene undergoes a reversible, one-electron oxidation to form ferrocenium. However, ferrocenium is prone to nucleophilic attack even by mild nucleophiles like chloride which ultimately can displace the molecule from the nucleic acid (30). This limits the utility of ferrocene labels in physiologically relevant solutions. This limitation can be avoided by using buffers that do not contain strong nucleophiles (16, 31, 32). Further studies by Ferapontova *et al.* demonstrated that the use of ferrocene as a redox label in physiological matrices is problematic (29). Specifically, their study demonstrated that the positive potential at which ferrocene is oxidized with respect to the point of zero charge on the electrode surface induces nonspecific protein adhesion (10, 29).

The use of these reversible redox couples offers the advantage of reagentless and reusable sensor signaling. More specifically, because aptamer-target binding and the electrochemistry of the redox tags are reversible, E-AB sensors have the ability to report “*real-time*” changes in the concentration of target analyte. However, sensor response time can be limited by aptamer binding kinetics. Reports indicate that association rate constants range from $\sim 10^3$ – $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and dissociation rates range between $\sim 10^{-2}$ – 10^{-3} s^{-1} for small molecule binding (33, 34). The downside to these common redox markers is the finite amount of charge available for measurement; there is a finite number of molecules on the surface and each molecule provides at most either 1 or 2 electrons worth of charge. This ultimately can limit the sensitivity and limit of detection of the E-AB sensor. As we discuss below, the ability to introduce an amplification strategy that maintains the reagentless nature of the sensor could prove to be a major advancement in the development of E-AB sensors.

Several other oligonucleotide-bound redox markers have been employed, such as iron oxide particles (32) and anthraquinones (35), but have seen less widespread use. Introduction of new redox reporters with different standard reduction potentials enables the ability to perform multiplexed detection. For example, Revzin and coworkers recently developed sensors with a mixed monolayer of methylene blue-modified tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α aptamers and anthraquinone-modified interferon- γ aptamers to simultaneously detect both targets using the same electrode surface (35) (Fig. 3). Their study demonstrated several compelling features of E-AB sensors, including the selective detection abilities of

electrochemical detection, the specificity of aptamer-target interactions, and the ability to function in complex media (*e.g.*, cell culture media).

3. Fundamentals of Sensor Signaling

3.1. The Electrochemistry of E-AB Sensors

The packing density of nucleic acids on a typical E-AB sensor surface is such that the dynamic motion of the biopolymer chain becomes important in determining the charge transfer rate between the redox molecule and the electrode surface. This dependence is the basis for signaling in structure-switching, E-AB sensors.

There have been several reports of quantitative models to describe the dynamic motion of end-grafted DNA on an electrode surface (36–41). Several of these, including a model we recently developed, use the electrochemical response of the tethered redox molecules to estimate an apparent diffusion coefficient for the tethered redox marker as a proxy for the motion of short nucleic acid strands (38–41). This measure is achieved by comparing the measured faradaic current at varying voltammetric scan rates. Using redox-labeled DNA, Moiroux and coworkers reported the observation that at slow scan rates, the electrochemical reaction exhibits Nernstian behavior as indicated by symmetrical cathodic and anodic peaks and little-to-no peak separation. This observation suggests that at slow scan rates, the experimental time scale allows all of the attached redox molecules to reach the electrode surface and transfer electrons. Anne *et al.* use the area under the cathodic/anodic peak in this voltammetric regime to quantify the amount of nucleic acid on the surface. This measurement represents a direct, quantitative method for characterizing aptamer surface coverage (40). It is unclear why this method is not used more commonly in this field. Typically the indirect method of measuring the charge consumed relating to nucleic acid associated $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)^{6+}$, first described by Tarlov and coworkers to quantify unlabeled DNA on a surface, has been the method of choice (42). This method, however, was originally used on electrode-grafted nucleic acids without covalently-attached redox molecules.

Much like a thin layer electrochemical cell, as the experimental timescale is shortened via the increase of voltammetric scan rate, the observation of a diffusion-dependent electrochemical response emerges for nucleic acid tethered redox molecules, like those used in E-AB sensors (38–41). Coupling this experimental observation with random walk simulations, we recently reported a quantitative metric for estimating an apparent diffusion coefficient of a flexibly-tethered redox molecule at the distal end of a surface-bound nucleic acid. Typically, in thin layer electrochemistry the electrochemical reaction follows Nernstian behavior when the cell thickness (L – analogous to nucleic acid tether length) is much less than the diffusion layer thickness $((2Dt)^{1/2})$ as determined by the scan rate (v – V/s) and diffusion coefficient (D – cm^2/s) of the molecule. We found that this criteria is met when the diffusion layer thickness is less than 10 times the tether length ($10L < (2Dt)^{1/2}$). Using this criteria, we estimated diffusion coefficients of $\sim 7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for redox molecules tethered to unstructured poly-thymine linkers. These estimated diffusion coefficients are similar to those reported by Anne *et al.* (40) and Ferapontova and co-workers (39).

The dependence of sensor signaling on the voltammetric scan rate leads way to interrogation methodology optimization. E-AB sensors work based on changes to the charge transfer rate as a result of target-induced conformation changes that alter the structure and ultimately apparent diffusion coefficient of the appended redox molecule. Each state – target-bound and unbound – has different charge transfer rates, which is likely limited by aptamer flexibility, allowing for quantitative determination of the presence of target (Fig. 1). This observation can be used to further optimize sensor signaling as we discuss in detail below.

3.2 Quantitative Binding Curves and Analytical Figures of Merit

Quantifying the analytical response of E-AB sensors is necessary for benchmark comparison with existing sensing techniques and strategies. As mentioned previously, there are several factors in the fabrication and analysis of E-AB sensors that can dramatically affect sensor performance. As a baseline, there are several core analytical figures of merit that can be used to describe the performance of these sensors, including sensitivity and limit of detection. Often reports on the binding affinity, specificity, and selectivity are also used for benchmarking. For clarity, specificity is defined as the degree to which the biorecognition element is free from interference by other species, which is an intrinsic property of the aptamer sequence. Selectivity is defined by the degree to which the method (*i.e.*, electrochemical detection) is free from interference by other species in a complex sample matrix.

When tested at the limit of saturating concentrations, equilibrated E-AB sensor response follows a Langmuir-like binding isotherm. For a given electrode surface, there is a finite number of binding sites (aptamers) and a target concentration at which all binding sites are occupied. This type of binding follows the Langmuir isotherm model with several assumptions, including that target binding to one aptamer does not affect target binding to a neighboring aptamer, or the binding sites are non-interacting. This, of course, may not hold true at high packing densities. Moreover, the assumption is made that target binding does not appreciably alter the free target concentration in bulk solution. While this is generally true, when working with low concentration and small volumes, this can become problematic (43). Starting with an equilibrium expression for target (L) binding a receptor aptamer (R), and using the aforementioned assumptions, the fraction of occupied sites (β) can be written as

$$\beta = \frac{K_a[L]}{1 + K_a[L]}$$

where K_a and $[L]$ are the association constant ($[R:L]/[R][L]$) and target concentration, respectively (21). Rewriting β in terms of K_d (the dissociation constant or binding affinity) and sensor signal, the expression for sensor signaling becomes:

$$\frac{S}{S_{\max}} = \frac{[L]}{K_d + [L]} \text{ and } \frac{S}{S_{\max}} = \beta$$

where S and $S_{\max}$ (*i.e.*, peak current) are the sensor signal at a given concentration and sensor signal at saturating concentrations respectively. It should be noted that when binding data is fit to this model, the binding affinity is only an apparent affinity and does not necessarily represent the intrinsic binding affinity between the aptamer and target analyte. This apparent affinity can change based on the sensitivity of the sensor device, which can be significantly altered by changing the voltammetric interrogation frequency or scan rate, or the aptamer geometry as discussed below in more detail.

The Langmuir-type isotherm described above can be expanded by using multiple aptamers that bind the same target but with different affinities. We recently reported that a bi-Langmuir isotherm accurately predicts E-AB sensor response based on the ratio of the different aptamers employed on the sensor surface, the individual observed binding affinities, and S and $S_{\max}$ (Fig. 4) (44). Creating heterogeneously-covered sensor surfaces with multiple aptamers represents a method to rationally tune the sensitivity and dynamic range of the resulting sensor.

3.3. Tuning Analytical Figures of Merit

A compelling aspect of E-AB sensors is the ability to tune the analytical figures of merit. As mentioned above, sensor fabrication parameters can affect the analytical performance of the resulting aptamer sensor, however, the control over the performance is typically minimal in magnitude. Fortunately, there are a variety of other methods to optimize sensor response that have large effects on the analytical performance.

3.3.1. Voltammetric Methods of Interrogation—Given that the motional dynamics and change in the dynamics of the sensing aptamer is an important factor in signaling, it is not surprising that the time scale of the voltammetric interrogation is an important parameter in sensor function. Cyclic (CV), alternating current (ACV), squarewave (SWV), and differential pulse (DPV) voltammetry are all suitable methods for monitoring aptamer conformation change and the resulting change in charge transfer rates. The latter three are particularly well suited for monitoring surface-confined reactions as they reduce non-faradaic background charging currents. The experimental time scale in each method is dictated by the scan rate or frequency used and can have profound effects on signaling – especially in the differential technique of SWV.

The frequency of the excitation potential waveform employed in ACV, SWV, and DPV affects sensor sensitivity, signal change magnitude, polarity, and observed binding affinity (2, 28, 45, 46). Signal polarity refers to either the increase (signal-on sensor) or decrease (signal-off sensor) in faradaic current upon target addition. In the specific case of SWV, the magnitude of signal change at saturating conditions, and the signal polarity of a given sensor can be dramatically altered (2, 28, 45, 46). The dependence of sensor performance on the squarewave frequency employed is attributed to the time scale of the voltammetric measurement (frequency) with respect to differences in apparent rate between the target-bound and unbound states (Fig. 5) (28, 46). In SWV, current is sampled near the end of each squarewave pulse, and thus the magnitude of current measured is related to the rate of the charge transfer reaction. Because the target-bound and unbound states have different charge

transfer rates, the magnitude of current measured for a fixed pulse width (frequency) is different. For example, as an approximation, a sensor in the unbound state with a charge transfer rate of 100 s^{-1} gives a higher measurable current using a frequency of 100 Hz than that of the bound state with a charge transfer rate of 6 s^{-1} (45). Conversely, if using a squarewave frequency of 5 Hz, the sensor in the bound state gives a larger measurable current than that of the unbound state. This leads to a signaling polarity switch from a signal-on to a signal off-sensor. Furthermore, maximizing the difference in measured current between the two states enables tuning of the sensor sensitivity. The interrogation frequency can be optimized for a given aptamer geometry. The frequency dependence of sensor signaling abilities has also been shown for E-AB sensors employing ACV by White *et al.* (2) and E-DNA sensors employing ACV by Ricci *et al.* (46).

3.3.2. Designing Aptamer Conformation for Sensor Signaling—Structure-switching, aptamer-based sensors rely on a target-induced conformation change in the electrode-bound aptamer. It is clear that the difference in charge transfer rate between the target-free state and the target-bound state is important in determining the signaling abilities of E-AB sensors. Unfortunately, current aptamer selection strategies do not select for functionality beyond target binding (*i.e.*, there is no selection pressure for structure-switching aptamer). However, the base-pair programming language of nucleic acids along with the aid of secondary structure prediction software (MFOLD (47, 48)) enable the design of altered aptamer sequences to support reagentless, electrochemical signaling (3, 18, 28, 45, 49–52).

Beyond creating aptamer sequences to support reagentless signaling, altering the aptamer sequence to modify the magnitude of the conformation change can have dramatic effects on the analytical performance of E-AB sensors. There are several strategies for creating alternative aptamer structures. Typical strategies include destabilization of any secondary structure, generating alternative folds of the aptamer, and the introduction of the aptamer into a scaffold (3, 28, 45, 49, 52). Design can be further aided through the use of solution-phase 3-dimensional structures of aptamer-target complexes. For example, we recently published a report that utilized a combination of secondary structure predictions and the NMR structure of the aminoglycoside-binding RNA aptamer (53) to rationally design sequences to undergo larger conformation changes in the presence of tobramycin (28, 54). The NMR structure of the aptamer enabled careful conservation of nucleotides and portions of the RNA backbone postulated to bind tobramycin in order to maintain specific binding ability (28). The aptamer sequence was altered outside of the binding site by removing base pairs to destabilize the secondary structure in the unbound state. Disrupting the stem-loop secondary structure of the parent aptamer forced the aptamer to undergo a larger conformation change upon target addition, significantly improving E-AB sensor sensitivity (28). Interestingly, given the similarities between the target-bound structure and the predicted secondary structure, it was surprising to observe an improvement in the observed binding affinity of all new constructs. This observation indicates that the reported affinity for E-AB sensors is convoluted by the amount of signal the sensor can produce and is not necessarily a direct indication of the intrinsic binding affinity. We are currently investigating this observation to determine a quantitative relationship between signal and apparent affinity.

As an alternative strategy, Bonham and coworkers recently published a potentially universal approach to create reagentless, structure-switching E-AB sensors by incorporating an aptamer sequence into a DNA scaffold (52). Their approach divides the aptamer into sections and incorporates each fragment into a structure-switching DNA scaffold. They demonstrated the universality of their approach by developing E-AB sensors using a ricin-binding and botulism toxin-binding aptamer. As a final note, care should be taken when altering aptamer sequences as alterations to the sequence can detrimentally affect target binding ability (3).

3.4. Function in Complex Media

One of the most compelling attributes of E-AB sensors is the ability to function in complex media. This attribute is a result of specific aptamer-target interactions and selective electrochemical detection of specific redox markers. To date, aptamer-based sensors have been tested in a variety of complex media, including foodstuffs (55), undiluted serum (1, 4, 7), saliva (18, 55), whole blood (8, 50), and real-time in the circulating blood of living animals (17). While detection can be performed, complications can still arise from non-specific binding seen in a recent report by Ferguson *et al.* (17) in which a sensing device is coupled with a microfluidic filter to perform *in vivo* detection as discussed in more detail below. Conversely, E-AB sensors fabricated with RNA aptamers are less able to function in complex media as a result of the inherent instability of RNA and susceptibility to nuclease degradation. RNA-based sensors will function in serum only after the serum has been filtered (using a 3000 molecular weight cutoff filter) or treated with an RNase inhibitor. For example, Ferapontova *et al.* compared RNA E-AB sensor function in buffer and RNase inhibitor-treated serum, while RNA sensors did not function at all in untreated serum (16), further indicating nucleases are the main cause of RNA sensor instability (7, 16).

4. Illustrative Examples of Aptamer-Based Sensing

The growing analytical detection capabilities of E-AB sensors are a direct consequence of their sensitive signaling mechanism, as well as the specific recognition abilities of aptamers. Recent advances in the field have shown significant utility of these unique attributes of structure-switching E-AB sensors. While not all-encompassing, the illustrative reports we discuss below highlight some of the major breakthroughs achieved thus far in the field, which attest to the analytical promise of this class of sensor.

4.1. Ion and Small Molecule Detection

One advantage of conformation-switching aptamers is realized in the detection of low molecular weight targets, including inorganic ions and small molecules. Radi and O'Sullivan first demonstrated a conformation-switching aptamer sensor for the detection of potassium (K^+) ions via the use of guanine-rich (G-rich) DNA aptamers appended to a gold electrode surface. The G-quartet structure is particularly well suited to recognize monovalent cations, specifically K^+ ions (56). The G-rich aptamers undergo recognition-induced conformation changes that promote conversion of loose random coil sequences into a more compact G-quadruplex form. The method was shown to be highly selective for K^+ ions when tested against other mono- or divalent cations (e.g. Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+}) and achieved an LOD of

about 0.015 mM (56). This study validates the potential of these structure-switching E-AB sensors in the field of chemical sensing with good sensitivity and selectivity, but also highlights an issue that may plague E-AB sensors – structural sensitivity to ion composition, since several DNA and RNA structural motifs rely on specific ion composition. Examples include the dependence of the G-quadruplex motif on K⁺ ions (51), as well as the K-turn (kink turn) motif on Mg²⁺ ions (57).

E-AB sensors have made excellent progress beyond the benchtop in the detection of small molecules. Notable publications from Baker, *et al.*, Zayats, *et al.*, Wu, *et al.*, and Zhang, *et al.* demonstrate the utility of these sensors in the detection of small molecules, such as purine nucleosides (58–60) and small drugs (18). As mentioned earlier, work by the groups of Plaxco and Soh, introduced a rapid, reusable, and label-free electrochemical detection of circulating therapeutic agents directly in the flowing blood of a living animal (17). The microfluidic-based electrochemical sensing platform, termed as MEDIC (microfluidic electrochemical detector for in vivo continuous monitoring), detected therapeutic *in vivo* concentrations of doxorubicin and kanamycin in live rats and in human whole blood with high sensitivity and sub-minute temporal resolution (17). This example represents a clear indication of the translational abilities of E-AB sensors and is the first demonstration of in vivo detection abilities.

4.2. Protein Detection

Proteins are yet another type of target that have been successfully studied employing structure-switching E-AB sensors. For example, Plaxco's, Heeger's, and Revzin's groups reported a general electrochemical sensing platform for the detection of the proteins thrombin (14), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) (1), INF- γ (61), and botulism toxin (52) in buffer and in serum. In the presence of the target proteins, the methylene blue (MB)-tagged DNA aptamers undergo target-induced conformational changes from partially unfolded states to more rigid folded states and/or vice versa, which alters the efficiency of the electron transfer between the MB redox molecule and the gold surface. Interestingly, as mentioned above, Revzin's group introduced a way to carry out simultaneous, multiplexed detection of cytokines (tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , INF- γ , and transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1) via fabrication of micropatterned gold electrode arrays functionalized with redox-active DNA aptamers specific for their respective targets and integration with a microfluidic device (35, 62, 63). This emerging microfluidic-based sensing approach that utilizes the specific recognition mechanism of structure-switching E-AB sensors offers advantages of rapid, simultaneous, sensitive, and highly specific detection of immune cell-secreted cytokines.

These studies demonstrate the tunability and highly flexible sensing capabilities of structure-switching E-AB sensors that make these sensors adaptable to the development of transportable sensing devices. These reports also compellingly demonstrate the powerful sensing abilities of this class of sensor, and thus, may very well present a means to detect a broader range of targets *in vitro* and particularly *in vivo*.

5. Alternative Strategies

5.1. Nanopore-Based Sensing

Recently, integration of specific aptamers with single-molecule detection techniques, particularly *stochastic nanopore-based sensing*, is now being explored as an alternative strategy to further improve sensitivity and detection limit. Stochastic nanopore sensing has emerged as a powerful resistive-pulse, single-molecule detection technology that measures the electrical current carried by ions flowing through a resistive nanopore. Single binding events in the nanopore causes an increase in resistance impeding ionic current flow that can readily be measured and used to identify and quantify target analytes (64–66). Coined as *aptamer-based nanopores*, studies to date demonstrate the detection of various targets, such as proteins (67) and biomarkers (68, 69). For example, Rotem *et al.* demonstrated nanomolar (nM) sensitivity of an aptamer-based nanopore sensor via the utility of staphylococcal α -hemolysin (α HL) modified with a 15-mer DNA aptamer that specifically binds thrombin (67). In this approach, binding of thrombin to the aptamer hybridized to the α HL pore produced a cation-stabilized quadruplex that resulted in a modulation in the ionic current flowing through the pore, allowing sensitive and specific quantification of thrombin in the nM concentration range (20 – 350 nM) (67).

6. Perspectives

6.1. Challenges of E-AB Sensors

While it is clear that structure-switching, electrochemical aptamer-based sensors hold a lot of promise as a detection strategy, there are several challenges that need to be considered when designing and employing new sensors.

6.1.1. Aptamer Performance Depends on Environmental Factors—An inherent limitation to using biomolecules as a recognition element, such as nucleic acids, is that structure and function depend on environmental factors such as pH, temperature, and ionic strength. In the body, these factors are tightly regulated homeostatically, however, on the bench or in the field, sensor response can be altered based on changes in environmental conditions. Cho *et al.* directly address these caveats by providing a list of suggested standards when working with and publishing work using aptamers (70). The authors cite that buffer conditions and temperature, among others, are important factors that need to be considered when working with aptamer sequences. A clear example of matrix effects is seen with an E-AB sensor fabricated with the thrombin binding aptamer (14). The thrombin binding aptamer forms a G-quadruplex structure, which requires potassium ions to fold, in order to bind an exo binding site on human α -thrombin. A study by Xiao *et al.* investigated the dependence of signal change of thrombin E-AB sensors on the ionic strength and composition. They determined that at a high ionic strength (300 mM Tris base, 420 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCl, and 60 mM MgCl₂) the apparent binding affinity of an E-AB sensor for thrombin is 50 nM. Conversely, at a low ionic strength, without the presence of potassium (100 mM Tris Base) the sensor exhibits an apparent binding affinity of 21 nM with an increase in sensitivity as well (71). Without potassium, the aptamer is unfolded and thus likely exhibits a larger conformation change in the presence of thrombin, which leads to

better sensitivity. There are several other reports that address the affects of pH and temperature on nucleic acid-based sensors (72, 73). Because matrix effects can affect the performance of a sensor it is prudent to calibrate sensors in the matrix they will be employed. In addition to environmental conditions, the performance of a given aptamer sequence is dramatically altered based on the signal transduction methodology employed. A study recently published by McKeague *et al.* highlights inconsistencies in quantification of aptamer binding parameters as a result of different assays used to perform the characterization (74).

6.1.2. Improving Aptamer Selection—The performance of E-AB sensors is ultimately linked to the quality of the aptamer that is used to fabricate the sensor. While protein-binding aptamers can be extremely specific, aptamers selected for small molecule binding targets, with only a few exceptions, often lack specificity. For example, protein-binding aptamers, including thrombin, interferon gamma, and tumor necrosis factor alpha exemplify target specificity in complex media and when challenged by similar proteins (8, 14, 62). In contrast, the aminoglycoside RNA aptamer will bind several aminoglycoside antibiotics, including tobramycin, kanamycin, neomycin B, gentamycin C, erythromycin, and tobramycin analogs (54). In addition, the cocaine aptamer will bind cocaine, ecgonine methyl ester, lidocaine, and procaine (75). There are counter examples, like the RNA theophylline aptamer that will bind theophylline with a 500-fold better affinity than caffeine even though the targets differ by only a single methyl group (16). Needless to say, as selection procedures improve, the field will greatly benefit from increased specificity and affinity, particularly for low molecular weight targets.

6.1.3. Signal Amplification—The signaling of E-AB sensors is currently limited to only a handful of demonstrated redox markers that can at most provide ~1–2 electrons worth of charge. This limitation in total charge amount ultimately limits the sensitivity and limit of detection of structure-switching, aptamer-based sensors. This signaling limitation can be dramatically improved by adding a signal amplification technique that regenerates the redox molecule for amplified currents. While there are a several of examples of amplification coupled with aptamer recognition (76–79), these examples eliminate one of the most compelling attributes of E-AB sensors – their reagentless nature. An even more difficult task is to apply the amplification to one of the two sensor states – target-bound or unbound. We postulate that the introduction of signal amplification that retains the reagentless nature of E-AB sensors would be a major breakthrough in this class of promising biosensors.

6.1.4. Electrode Miniaturization—Coupling E-AB sensors to micro- and nanoelectrodes has the ability to bring the promising attributes of small electrodes - low IR drop, small background capacitive charging current, rapid steady-state equilibration, high current density, and minimal sample requirement (80) – with aptamer recognition to further expand potential sensor applications. These advantages open up E-AB sensor applications to new areas of biological and chemical sensing (5, 81), such as scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) for spatially-resolved measurements (82). Furthermore, miniaturization of electrodes allows their integration with devices for minimally-invasive *in vivo* measurements and point-of-care diagnosis. This transition, however, has met many

challenges, including limited current signal resulting from the small size and low number of aptamers on the sensor surface. Liu *et al.* reported the first reversible and reproducible use of microelectrodes for E-AB sensing for the detection of ATP and tobramycin quantification directly in 100% undiluted serum (5). This report used deposition of gold nanoparticles to overcome the inherent low signal to noise. The introduction of an amplification technique would greatly facilitate the transition to small electrodes.

7. Summary

Advances in the field of structure-switching E-AB have continued to expand over the past 10 years. The ability to select aptamers for virtually any target analyte coupled with the reagentless detection abilities of E-AB sensors makes them appealing in a broad range of applications. In this review we covered several of the important aspects of E-AB sensor design, fabrication, and use. We also highlighted several illustrations of the utility of E-AB sensors in the direct detection of target molecules in complex environments, including *in vivo* measurements, owing to their reagentless, reusable, and specific nature. While E-AB sensors show promise in the future of analytical detection, there are several hurdles that impede translational progress, but it is likely in the coming years several of these hurdles will be overcome.

The translation of E-AB sensors into areas such as clinical diagnostics and field portable devices is a compelling frontier for this class of reagentless sensor. The field of E-AB sensors will continue to grow with the benefit of ever improving aptamer selection strategies that will further expand the range of accessible targets. New selection strategies to improve specificity of target-aptamer interactions are crucial to this progress. The coupling of small electrode-based sensors can expand the utility of aptamer sensors to study new realms of biological processes and provide minimally invasive tools in the biomedical field. The progress in these areas will also benefit from the introduction of a signal amplification strategy that keeps the reagentless nature of E-AB sensors. In summary, E-AB sensors are poised to impact analytical chemistry beyond the well-controlled laboratory benchtop. Investigators are at the brink of exciting new demonstrated applications of E-AB sensors.

Acknowledgments

The authors greatly acknowledge support from the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under the award number 1R21MH101692 (R.J. White), and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under the award number T32GM066706 (K. Radtke; F. C. Macazo) CBI grant at the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

List of Acronyms/Terms

Electrochemical, aptamer-based sensors (E-AB)

sensors fabricated with redox-labeled, structure-structure switching aptamers for reagentless sensing

Square Wave Voltammetry (SWV)

a differential pulsed potential voltammetry commonly used to interrogated E-AB sensor surfaces

Binding Affinity (K_d)

the dissociation constant (K_d) is often used as a quantitative benchmark in describing the performance of E-AB Sensors

Specificity

is defined as degree to which biorecognition element is free from interference by other species, which is an intrinsic property of the aptamer sequence

Selectivity

is defined by the degree to which the method is free from interference by other species in complex sample matrix

List of Abbreviations

ACV	alternating current voltammetry
CV	cyclic voltammetry
DPV	differential pulse voltammetry
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
DNA	deoxyribose nucleic acid
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SAM	self-assembled monolayer

Literature Cited

1. Lai RY, Plaxco KW, Heeger AJ. Aptamer-based electrochemical detection of picomolar platelet-derived growth factor directly in blood serum. *Anal Chem.* 2007; 79(1):229–33. [PubMed: 17194144]
2. White RJ, Phares N, Lubin AA, Xiao Y, Plaxco KW. Optimization of electrochemical aptamer-based sensors via optimization of probe packing density and surface chemistry. *Langmuir.* 2008; 24(18): 10513–18. [PubMed: 18690727]
3. Schoukroun-Barnes L, White R. Rationally designing aptamer sequences with reduced affinity for controlled sensor performance. *Sensors.* 2015; 15(4):7754–67. [PubMed: 25835184]
4. Swensen JS, Xiao Y, Ferguson BS, Lubin AA, Lai RY, et al. Continuous, real-time monitoring of cocaine in undiluted blood serum via a microfluidic, electrochemical aptamer-based sensor. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131(12):4262–66. [PubMed: 19271708]
5. Liu J, Wagan S, Davila Morris M, Taylor J, White RJ. Achieving reproducible performance of electrochemical, folding aptamer-based sensors on microelectrodes: challenges and prospects. *Anal Chem.* 2014; 86(22):11417–24. [PubMed: 25337781]
6. Porchetta A, Vallee-Belisle A, Plaxco KW, Ricci F. Using distal-site mutations and allosteric inhibition to tune, extend, and narrow the useful dynamic range of aptamer-based sensors. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134(51):20601–4. [PubMed: 23215257]
7. Rowe AA, Miller EA, Plaxco KW. Reagentless measurement of aminoglycoside antibiotics in blood serum via an electrochemical, ribonucleic acid aptamer-based biosensor. *Anal Chem.* 2010; 82(17): 7090–95. [PubMed: 20687587]

8. Liu Y, Zhou Q, Revzin A. An aptasensor for electrochemical detection of tumor necrosis factor in human blood. *Analyst*. 2013; 138(15):4321–26. [PubMed: 23745180]
9. Kang D, Zuo X, Yang R, Xia F, Plaxco KW, White RJ. Comparing the properties of electrochemical-based dna sensors employing different redox tags. *Anal Chem*. 2009; 81(21):9109–13. [PubMed: 19810694]
10. Ferapontova EE, Gothelf KV. Effect of serum on an rna aptamer-based electrochemical sensor for theophylline. *Langmuir*. 2009; 25(8):4279–83. [PubMed: 19301828]
11. Willner I, Zayats M. Electronic aptamer-based sensors. *Angew Chemie - Int Ed*. 2007; 46(34):6408–18.
12. Song S, Wang L, Li J, Fan C, Zhao J. Aptamer-based biosensors. *Trends Anal Chem*. 2008; 27(2):108–17.
13. Liu J, Morris MD, Macazo FC, Schoukroun-Barnes LR, White RJ. The current and future role of aptamers in electroanalysis. *J Electrochem Soc*. 2014; 161(5):H301–13.
14. Xiao Y, Lubin AA, Heeger AJ, Plaxco KW. Label-free electronic detection of thrombin in blood serum by using an aptamer-based sensor. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2005; 44(34):5456–59. [PubMed: 16044476]
15. Rajendran M, Ellington AD. In vitro selection of molecular beacons. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003; 31(19):5700–5713. [PubMed: 14500834]
16. Ferapontova EE, Olsen EM, Gothelf KV. An rna aptamer-based electrochemical biosensor for detection of theophylline in serum. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130(13):4256–58. [PubMed: 18324816]
17. Ferguson BS, Hoggarth DA, Maliniak D, Ploense K, White RJ, et al. Real-time, aptamer-based tracking of circulating therapeutic agents in living animals. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013; 5(213):213ra165.
18. Baker BR, Lai RY, Wood MS, Doctor EH, Heeger AJ, Plaxco KW. An electronic, aptamer-based small-molecule sensor for the rapid, label-free detection of cocaine in adulterated samples and biological fluids. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128(10):3138–39. [PubMed: 16522082]
19. Levicky R, Herne TM, Tarlov MJ, Satija SK. Using self-assembly to control the structure of dna monolayers on gold: a neutron reflectivity study. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120(38):9787–92.
20. Josephs EA, Ye T. Nanoscale spatial distribution of thiolated dna on model nucleic acid sensor surfaces. *ACS Nano*. 2013; 7(4):3653–60. [PubMed: 23540444]
21. Macazo FC, Karpel RL, White RJ. Monitoring cooperative binding using electrochemical dna-based sensors. *Langmuir*. 2015; 31(2):868–75. [PubMed: 25517392]
22. Lai RY, Seferos DS, Heeger AJ, Bazan GC, Plaxco KW. Comparison of the signaling and stability of electrochemical dna sensors fabricated from 6- or 11- carbon self-assembled monolayers. *Langmuir*. 2006; 22(22):10796–800. [PubMed: 17129062]
23. Ulman A. Formation and structure of self-assembled monolayers. *Chem Rev*. 1996; 96(4):1533–54. [PubMed: 11848802]
24. Ricci F, Zari N, Caprio F, Recine S, Amine A, et al. Surface chemistry effects on the performance of an electrochemical dna sensor. *Bioelectrochemistry*. 2009; 76(1–2):208–13. [PubMed: 19362061]
25. Ricci F, Lai RY, Heeger AJ, Plaxco KW, Sumner JJ. Effect of molecular crowding on the response of an electrochemical dna sensor. *Langmuir*. 2007; 23(12):6827–34. [PubMed: 17488132]
26. Steel AB, Levicky RL, Herne TM, Tarlov MJ. Immobilization of nucleic acids at solid surfaces: effect of oligonucleotide length on layer assembly. *Biophys J*. 2000; 79(2):975–81. [PubMed: 10920027]
27. Xiao Y, Lai RY, Plaxco KW. Preparation of electrode-immobilized, redox-modified oligonucleotides for electrochemical dna and aptamer-based sensing. *Nat Protoc*. 2007; 2(11):2875–80. [PubMed: 18007622]
28. Schoukroun-Barnes LR, Wagan S, White RJ. Enhancing the analytical performance of electrochemical rna aptamer-based sensors for sensitive detection of aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Anal Chem*. 2014; 86(10):1131–37. [PubMed: 24377296]
29. Ferapontova EE, Gothelf KV. Optimization of the electrochemical rna-aptamer based biosensor for theophylline by using a methylene blue redox label. *Electroanalysis*. 2009; 21(11):1261–66.

30. Prins R, Korswagen AR, Kortbeek AGTG. Decomposition of the ferricenium cation by nucleophilic reagents. *J Organomet Chem.* 1972; 39(2):335–44.
31. Han SW, Se H, Chung K, Kim K. Electrochemical and vibrational spectroscopic characterization of self-assembled monolayers of 1,1'-disubstituted ferrocene derivatives on gold. *Langmuir.* 2000; 16:9493–9500.
32. Zhang S, Zhou G, Xu X, Cao L, Liang G, et al. Development of an electrochemical aptamer-based sensor with a sensitive fe3o4 nanoparticle-redox tag for reagentless protein detection. *Electrochem commun.* 2011; 13(9):928–31.
33. Chang AL, McKeague M, Liang JC, Smolke CD. Kinetic and equilibrium binding characterization of aptamers to small molecules using a label-free, sensitive, and scalable platform. *Anal Chem.* 2014; 86(7):3273–78. [PubMed: 24548121]
34. Latham MP, Zimmermann GR, Pardi A. Nmr chemical exchange as a probe for ligand-binding kinetics in a theophylline-binding rna aptamer. *J Am Chem Soc Commun.* 2009; 131(14):5052–53.
35. Liu Y, Liu Y, Matharu Z, Rahimian A, Revzin A. Detecting multiple cell-secreted cytokines from the same aptamer-functionalized electrode. *Biosens Bioelectron.* 2015; 64:43–50. [PubMed: 25189099]
36. Pheaney CG, Barton JK. Dna electrochemistry with tethered methylene blue. *Langmuir.* 2012; 28(17):7063–70. [PubMed: 22512327]
37. Uzawa T, Cheng RR, White RJ, Makarov DE, Plaxco KW. A mechanistic study of electron transfer from the distal termini of electrode-bound, single-stranded dnas. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132(45):16120–26. [PubMed: 20964337]
38. Huang K, White RJ. Random walk on a leash: a simple single-molecule diffusion model for surface-tethered redox molecules with flexible linkers. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135(34):12808–17. [PubMed: 23919821]
39. Farjami E, Campos R, Ferapontova EE. Effect of the dna end of tethering to electrodes on electron transfer in methylene blue-labeled dna duplexes. *Langmuir.* 2012; 28(46):16218–26. [PubMed: 23106377]
40. Anne A, Bouchardon A, Moiroux J. 3'-ferrocene-labeled oligonucleotide chains end-tethered to gold electrode surfaces: novel model systems for exploring flexibility of short dna using cyclic voltammetry. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003; 125(5):1112–13. [PubMed: 12553781]
41. Anne A, Demaille C. Dynamics of electron transport by elastic bending of short dna duplexes. experimental study and quantitative modeling of the cyclic voltammetric behavior of 3'-ferrocenyl dna end-grafted on gold. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128(2):542–57. [PubMed: 16402842]
42. Steel AB, Herne TM, Tarlov MJ. Electrochemical quantitation of dna immobilized on gold. *Anal Chem.* 1998; 70(22):4670–77. [PubMed: 9844566]
43. Esteban B, Watkins HM, Pingarro JM, Plaxco KW, Palleschi G, Ricci F. Determinants of the detection limit and specificity of surface-based biosensors. *Anal Chem.* 2013; 85(14):6593–97. [PubMed: 23713910]
44. Schoukroun-Barnes LR, Glaser EP, White RJ. Heterogeneous electrochemical aptamer-based sensor surfaces for controlled sensor response. *Langmuir.* 2015; 31(23):6563–69. [PubMed: 26005758]
45. White RJ, Plaxco KW. Exploiting binding-induced changes in probe flexibility for the optimization of electrochemical biosensors. *Anal Chem.* 2010; 82(1):73–76. [PubMed: 20000457]
46. Ricci F, Lai RY, Plaxco KW. Linear, redox modified dna probes as electrochemical dna sensors. *Chem Commun.* 2007:3768–70.
47. Zuker M, Jacobson AB. Using reliability information to annotate rna secondary structures. using reliability information to annotate rna secondary structures. *RNA.* 1998; 4(6):669–79. [PubMed: 9622126]
48. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003; 31(13):3406–15. [PubMed: 12824337]
49. White RJ, Rowe AA, Plaxco KW. Re-engineering aptamers to support reagentless, self-reporting electrochemical sensors. *Analyst.* 2010; 135(3):589–94. [PubMed: 20174715]
50. White RJ, Plaxco KW. Engineering new aptamer geometries for electrochemical aptamer-based sensors. *Proc Soc Photo Opt Instrum Eng.* 2009; 7321:732105–16.

51. Catherine AT, Shishido SN, Robbins-welty GA, Diegelman-parente A. Rational design of a structure-switching dna aptamer for potassium ions. *FEBS Open Bio*. 2014; 4:788–95.
52. Fetter L, Richards J, Daniel J, Roon L, Rowland TJ, Bonham AJ. Electrochemical aptamer scaffold biosensors for detection of botulism and ricin toxins. *Chem Commun*. 2015 Just Accepted for Publication.
53. Jiang L, Patel D. Solution structure of the tobramycin-rna aptamer complex. *Nat Struct Biol*. 1998; 5(9):769–74. [PubMed: 9731769]
54. Wang Y, Rando RR. Specific binding of aminoglycoside antibiotics to rna. *Chem Biol*. 1995; 2(5): 281–90. [PubMed: 9383430]
55. Lubin AA, Lai RY, Baker BR, Heeger AJ, Plaxco KW. Sequence-specific, electronic detection of oligonucleotides in blood, soil, and foodstuffs with the reagentless, reusable e-dna sensor. *Anal Chem*. 2006; 78(16):5671–77. [PubMed: 16906710]
56. Radi A-E, O'Sullivan CK. Aptamer conformational switch as sensitive electrochemical biosensor for potassium ion recognition. *Chem Commun*. 2006:3432–34.
57. Blouin S, Lafontaine DA. A loop loop interaction and a k-turn motif located in the lysine aptamer domain are important for the riboswitch gene regulation control. *RNA*. 2007; 13(8):1256–67. [PubMed: 17585050]
58. Zayats M, Huang Y, Gill R, Ma CA, Willner I. Label-free and reagentless aptamer-based sensors for small molecules. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128(42):13666–67. [PubMed: 17044676]
59. Wu Z-S, Guo M-M, Zhang S-B, Chen C-R, Jiang J-H, et al. Reusable electrochemical sensing platform for highly sensitive detection of small molecules based on structure-switching signaling aptamers. *Anal Chem*. 2007; 79(7):2933–39. [PubMed: 17338505]
60. Zhang S, Xia J, Li X. Electrochemical biosensor for detection of adenosine based on structure-switching aptamer and amplification with reporter probe dna modified au nanoparticles. *Anal Chem*. 2008; 80(22):8382–88. [PubMed: 18939854]
61. Liu Y, Tuleouva N, Ramanculov E, Revzin A. Aptamer-based electrochemical biosensor for interferon gamma detection. *Anal Chem*. 2010; 82(19):8131–36. [PubMed: 20815336]
62. Liu Y, Kwa T, Revzin A. Simultaneous detection of cell-secreted tnf- α and ifn- γ using micropatterned aptamer-modified electrodes. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33(30):7347–55. [PubMed: 22809645]
63. Matharu Z, Patel D, Gao Y, Haque A, Zhou Q, Revzin A. Detecting transforming growth factor - β release from liver cells using an aptasensor integrated with microfluidics. *Anal Chem*. 2014; 86(17):8865–72. [PubMed: 25105888]
64. Bayley H, Cremer PS. Stochastic sensors inspired by biology. *Nature*. 2001; 413:226–30. [PubMed: 11557992]
65. Bayley H, Martin CR. Resistive-pulse sensing-from microbes to molecules. *Chem Rev*. 2000; 100:2575–94. [PubMed: 11749296]
66. Macazo FC, White RJ. Monitoring charge flux to quantify unusual ligand-induced ion channel activity for use in biological nanopore-based sensors. *Anal Chem*. 2014; 86(11):5519–25. [PubMed: 24794413]
67. Rotem D, Jayasinghe L, Salichou M, Bayley H. Protein detection by nanopores equipped with aptamers. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134(5):2781–87. [PubMed: 22229655]
68. Li T, Liu L, Li Y, Xie J, Wu H-C. A universal strategy for aptamer-based nanopore sensing through host-guest interactions inside α -hemolysin. *Angew Chemie Int Ed*. 2015; 54(26):7568–71.
69. Zhang L, Zhang K, Liu G, Liu M, Liu Y, Li J. Rapid and label-free nanopore proximity bioassay for platelet-derived growth factor detection. *Anal Chem*. 2015; 87(11):5677–82. [PubMed: 25938182]
70. Cho EJ, Lee J-W, Ellington AD. Applications of aptamers as sensors. *Annu Rev Anal Chem*. 2009; 2:241–64.
71. Xiao Y, Uzawa T, White RJ, DeMartini D, Plaxco KW. On the signaling of electrochemical aptamer-based sensors: collision- and folding-based mechanisms. *Electroanalysis*. 2009; 21(11): 1267–71. [PubMed: 20436787]
72. Mao Y, Luo C, Ouyang Q. Studies of temperature-dependent electronic transduction on dna hairpin loop sensor. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003; 31(18):e108. [PubMed: 12954784]

73. Hianik T, Ostatna V, Sonlajtnerova M, Grman I. Influence of ionic strength, pH and aptamer configuration for binding affinity to thrombin. *Bioelectrochemistry*. 2007; 70(1):127–33. [PubMed: 16725379]
74. McKeague M, De Girolamo A, Valenzano S, Pascale M, Ruscito A, et al. Comprehensive analytical comparison of strategies used for small molecule aptamer evaluation. *Anal Chem*. 2015; 87(17):8608–12. [PubMed: 26192270]
75. Stojanovic MN, de Prada P, Landry DW, Prada P, De Landry DW. Aptamer-based folding fluorescent sensor for cocaine. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123(21):4928–31. [PubMed: 11457319]
76. Zuo X, Xiao Y, Plaxco KW. High specificity, electrochemical sandwich assays based on single aptamer sequences and suitable for the direct detection of small-molecule targets in blood and other complex matrices. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131(20):6944–45. [PubMed: 19419171]
77. Zuo X, Song S, Zhang J, Pan D, Wang L, Fan C. A target-responsive electrochemical aptamer switch (treas) for reagentless detection of nanomolar ATP. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129(5):1042–43. [PubMed: 17263380]
78. Ikebukuro K, Kiyohara C, Sode K. Novel electrochemical sensor system for protein using the aptamers in sandwich manner. *Biosens Bioelectron*. 2005; 20(10):2168–72. [PubMed: 15741093]
79. Miao P, Tang Y, Wang B, Han K, Chen X, Sun H. An aptasensor for detection of potassium ions based on recombinant exonuclease mediated signal amplification. *Analyst*. 2014; 139(22):5695–99. [PubMed: 25248650]
80. Cox JT, Zhang B. Nanoelectrodes: recent advances and new directions. *Annu Rev Anal Chem*. 2012; 5(1):253–72.
81. Wang T, Viennois E, Merlin D, Wang G. Microelectrode miRNA sensors enabled by enzymeless electrochemical signal amplification. *Anal Chem*. 2015; 87(16):8173–80. [PubMed: 26241158]
82. Hu K, Gao Y, Wang Y, Yu Y, Zhao X, et al. Platinized carbon nanoelectrodes as potentiometric and amperometric sensors. *J Solid State Electrochem*. 2013; 17(12):2971–77.

Figure 1.

Reagentless structure-switching, aptamer-based sensors employ DNA or RNA aptamers for the specific detection of analytes. To support reagentless sensing, the redox-labeled aptamer undergoes a flexibility and/or conformation change upon target binding that alters the charge transfer rate (k_{CT}) between a redox reporter and electrode surface. This change in charge transfer rate can be readily measured using a variety of different voltammetric methods.

Figure 2.

E-AB sensors comprise mixed monolayers of both a sensing aptamer, labeled at the distal end with a redox-active molecule, and a diluent or spacer thiol on the surface of a gold electrode. The most prevalent surface chemistries utilized in the literature include a six-carbon thiol linker at the 5'-phosphate group and 6-mercapto-1-hexanol as the spacer molecule.

Figure 3.

The use of multiple aptamers and redox reporters enables the detection of multiple analytes simultaneously, highlighting the specificity of aptamer recognition and the selectivity of electrochemical detection. The difference in standard reduction potential of methylene blue (-0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and anthraquinone (-0.37 V vs. Ag/AgCl) is used for simultaneous multiplexed detection of various cytokines released from various cell types using the same electrode. Figure adapted with permission from reference 35. Copyright 2015 Elsevier.

Figure 4.

The use of multiple aptamers with different binding affinities on the same surface enables tunable sensor response with respect to the aptamer ratio used. The ratio of the aptamers on the sensor surface dictates the sensor response and alters the maximum signal change observed at saturating target concentrations. The sensor response of heterogeneously coated surfaces follows a bi-Langmuir binding isotherm. Figure adapted with permission from reference 44. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

Figure 5.

E-AB sensor signaling is dependent on the nature of the voltammetric interrogation methodology employed. A compelling demonstration of this phenomenon is seen when using the differential voltammetric technique of squarewave voltammetry. White and Plaxco demonstrated that sensor sensitivity and signaling polarity is controlled via the frequency of the squarewave potential waveform (top left) used during voltammetric interrogation. Specifically, because sensor measurements compare the charge transfer rate in the bound and unbound state (top right) each state can provide more faradaic current than the other depending on the width of each pulse in the potential waveform. At high frequencies the faster charge transfer process provides more current. Conversely, at low frequencies the slower charge transfer rate provides more current. This observation results in a switch in signaling polarity – signal-off to signal-on – in sensor performance (bottom left and right). Furthermore, maximizing the difference in current observed in each state leads to improvements in sensitivity. Figure adapted with permission from reference 45. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.