Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2017 July 20; 73(29): 4150–4159. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2017.01.048.

Copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangements of allylic iodides and aryl α -diazooacetates

Bin Xu^a, Jackson Gartman^a, and Uttam K. Tambar^a

^aDepartment of Biochemistry, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390-9038, United States

Abstract

The [1,2]- and [2,3]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides are synthetically useful reactions for the generation of functionalized α -iodoesters. Allylic iodides are coupled with α -diazooesters in the presence of a copper catalyst and a ligand to generate iodonium ylides, which undergo metal-mediated rearrangements. By fine-tuning the structure of the ligand, we have reversed the regioselectivity of copper-catalyzed reactions of iodonium ylides from [2,3]- to [1,2]-rearrangements with the use of alternate bipyridine ligands. The preference for [1,2]-rearrangements was further improved by using bulky aryl α -diazooester substrates. Several α -iodoesters with a diverse range of functional groups were generated in good yields (up to 88% yield) and high regioselectivities (up to >95:5 regioisomeric ratio). A deuterium-labeled substrate was utilized to gain insight into the mechanism of the reaction.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

Rearrangement; Iodonium Ylide; Copper Catalysis; Ligand Control; Iodine; Deuterium Labeling

Correspondence to: Uttam K. Tambar.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data (experimental protocols and characterization data for key compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/XXXX>.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Sigmatropic rearrangements are a broad class of reactions that have revolutionized the synthesis of functionalized molecules.¹ Recent advances in metal catalysis have enhanced the synthetic utility of these rearrangements. Ligands have been designed to fine-tune the reactivity of metal complexes, converting unselective sigmatropic rearrangements into highly selective catalytic processes.

We were intrigued by the [1,2]- and [2,3]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides as efficient methods for generating functionalized α -iodoesters.² Despite the potential utility of these reactions, metal-catalyzed regioselective methods have only recently been reported in the literature.³ In the course of our studies on these rearrangements, we discovered that copper-catalyzed reactions of diazoesters and allylic iodides furnish a mixture of [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangement products (Scheme 1a). However, a 6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine ligand **L5** can tune the reactivity of the copper catalyst and facilitate the selective formation of the [2,3]-rearrangement product **3** in high yield and diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1b).³ Based on our observation that other bipyridine ligands yielded different ratios of the two rearrangement products, we hypothesized that we could selectively form the [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** by fine-tuning the steric and electronic properties within the bipyridine class of ligands.⁴ Herein, we describe the discovery and application of an alternate bipyridine ligand that favors copper-catalyzed [1,2]-iodonium ylide rearrangements (Scheme 1c). We also present mechanistic data for this switch in regioselectivity.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Ligand Synthesis

To build up a collection of bipyridine ligands with comprehensive electronic and steric properties, we synthesized ligands **L3**, **L4** and **L6** via a homo-coupling of 6-substituted 2-chloropyridines with catalytic amounts of Ni(PPh₃)Br₂, zinc powder, and tetrabutylammonium bromide (Scheme 2b).⁵ Ligand **L13** was generated from 5-methoxy-2-chloropyridine under slightly modified conditions (Scheme 2c).⁶ Ligands **L1**, **L2**, **L5** and **L7–L12** were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Scheme 2a).

2.2. Substrate Synthesis

We generated a series of α -diazoesters **2a–2f** to assay the effect of the ester functionality on the outcome of the iodonium ylide rearrangements (Scheme 3). Benzyl α -diazoester **2a** was generated in two steps from β -ketoester **7** (Scheme 3a).⁷ Alternatively, aryl α -diazoesters **2b–2f** were synthesized from bromoacetyl bromide **8** via an esterification with various phenol derivatives followed by a diazotization (Scheme 3b).⁸

We devised a convergent assembly of aryl-substituted allylic iodides that commenced with a Sonogashira coupling of various aryl iodides **10** and propargyl alcohol (Scheme 4).⁹ The resulting internal alkynes **11** were subjected to directed reduction with LiAlH₄ to selectively yield (*E*)-cinnamyl alcohols **12**.¹⁰ A subsequent Appel reaction converted these allylic alcohols to the desired (*E*)-allylic iodides **1a–i**.¹¹

To access other classes of allylic iodides, we took advantage of the accessibility of the corresponding *E*-allylic alcohols and the ease of iodination (Scheme 5). For example, commercially available prenol **13** and geraniol **14** were directly converted to allylic iodides **1k** and **1m**, respectively. Trisubstituted allylic iodides **1j** and **1l** were synthesized in three steps from acetophenone and cyclohexanone. Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination¹² with triethyl phosphonoacetate furnished α,β -unsaturated esters **16**, which were reduced with DIBAL-H to allylic alcohols **17**. Subsequent iodination resulted in the formation of the desired allylic iodides **1j** and **1l**.

2.3. Reaction Optimization

With the substrates and bipyridine ligands in hand, we commenced the optimization of the copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides (Table 1). In the absence of an external ligand, cinnamyl iodide **1a** and α -diazoester **2a** reacted with catalytic $[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$ to furnish a 61:39 mixture of [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangement products (entry 1). A survey of bipyridines **L1–L13** revealed a broad range of regioselectivities within this structural class of ligands (entries 2–14). Whereas ligands **L2–L6** favored the formation of the [2,3]-rearrangement product **3** (entries 3–7), bipyridine ligands **L1** and **L7–L13** preferentially formed the [1,2]-rearrangement product **4a** (entries 2 and 8–14). Most notably, ligand **L11** facilitated the formation of the [1,2]-rearrangement product in a regioisomeric ratio of 94:6 (entry 12).

Next, we examined the effect of α -diazoester structure on the regioselectivity of the rearrangement (entries 15–18). Sterically bulky aryl α -diazoesters **2c–2e** were most effective in facilitating a high preference for the [1,2]-rearrangement product **4a** (entries 16–18). We selected 2,6-dimethyl-phenyl α -diazoester **2e** as the optimal substrate for both yield and regioselectivity of the [1,2]-rearrangement product (entry 18).

A screen of alternative copper(I) and copper(II) sources was conducted to identify the best catalyst precursor for the [1,2]-iodonium ylide rearrangement (entries 19–21). $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ was most effective for yield while maintaining a high preference for the [1,2]-rearrangement product **4a** (entry 21). After conducting the reaction at several temperatures (entries 21–23), we established the optimal reaction conditions for the copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement. In the presence of 5 mol% $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ and 6 mol% dimethoxy-bipyridine ligand **L11**, cinnamyl iodide **1a** and 2,6-dimethyl-phenyl α -diazoester **2e** reacted at 40 °C to furnish the desired product **4a** in 86% yield and >95:5 regioisomeric ratio (entry 22).

2.4. Substrate Scope

The copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides in the presence of bipyridine ligand **L11** exhibits a wide substrate scope and functional group tolerance (Table 2). A broad range of aryl-substituted allylic iodides reacted with α -diazoester **2e** to furnish the [1,2]-rearrangement product in high yield and regioselectivity. For example, allylic iodides substituted with aromatic hydrocarbons yielded the rearrangement products in 72–86% yield with >95:5 preference for the [1,2]-rearrangement product (**4a–c**). The reaction is tolerant of phenyl rings with electron-withdrawing groups, such as fluorine (**4d** and **4f**), nitrile (**4e**), chlorine (**4g**), and bromine (**4h**). Substitution at the *ortho* and *para* positions is compatible

with the conditions. Gratifyingly, an allylic iodide with an electron-rich aromatic ring was also a suitable substrate for the copper-catalyzed process (**4i**).

We extended the scope of the [1,2]-rearrangement to include trisubstituted allylic iodides (**4j–m**). Products with multiple aliphatic substituents (**4k–4m**) and a mixture of aliphatic and aromatic substituents (**4j**) were generated in high yields and regioisomeric ratios.

Interestingly, the configuration of the double bond in the starting material allylic iodide was conserved in the product for all examples except for α -iodoester **4m**, which was obtained as 2:1 mixture of *E:Z* olefins.

We also investigated the scope of aryl α -diazoesters (Table 3). Using various ester groups with different steric (**2c** and **2d**) and electronic (**2f**) properties, the desired products were obtained with exceptional regioselectivities (>95:5) and moderate yields.

2.5. Mechanistic Studies

We conducted a deuterium-labeled experiment to gain more insight into the mechanism of the copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement (Scheme 6). Deuterated allylic iodide **18** reacted with 2,6-dimethyl-phenyl α -diazoester **2e** in the presence of catalytic Cu(OTf)₂ and dimethoxy-bipyridine ligand **L11** to yield a mixture of deuterated rearrangement products **19** and **20**. Terminal allylic iodide **18** was utilized in this experiment to avoid any steric bias that may exist for a substituted allylic iodide. The formation of almost equal amounts of isomers **19** and **20** is consistent with a stepwise mechanism that proceeds through an oxidative addition/reductive elimination pathway. We propose that the α -diazoester initially reacts with the copper catalyst to form copper carbenoid **I**, which then engages with the allylic iodide to yield a copper-coordinated iodonium ylide that can exist as two copper enolate tautomers (**II** and **III**).¹³ This intermediate can undergo an oxidative addition to generate a copper(III) complex such as **IV**, **V**, or **VI**.^{14, 15} Reductive elimination of the copper allyl complex would furnish the observed [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** and regenerate the copper catalyst.

We hypothesize that this remarkable switch in regioselectivity by the two bipyridine ligands **L5** and **L11** can be rationalized by examining the steric and electronic properties of these structurally similar yet unique ligands. In the presence of dibromo-bipyridine ligand **L5**, we propose the selective formation of [2,3]-rearrangement product **3** via a concerted rearrangement mechanism. We hypothesize that this reaction pathway is preferred for ligands such as **L5** that are poor σ -donors. Electron-deficient ligands decrease the reactivity of copper-coordinated iodonium ylide **II–III** toward oxidative addition,¹⁶ thus favoring the formation of the [2,3]-rearrangement product through a concerted mechanism. On the other hand, an electron-rich ligand such as dimethoxy-bipyridine **L11** would facilitate oxidative addition of copper-coordinated iodonium ylide **II–III** to form a copper(III) complex such as **IV–VI**. In addition, the sterically unhindered dimethoxy-bipyridine ligand **L11** may favor oxidative addition more than the electronically similar yet sterically more bulky dimethoxy-bipyridine ligands **L4** and **L13**, due to a more congested coordination sphere of the oxidative addition product.¹⁵ The ligand's steric bulk can therefore impact the relative rates of

oxidative addition, which accounts for the lower regioisomeric ratio observed with the less bulky ligands **L4** and **L13** (Table 1, entries 5 and 14).

The ester functionality of α -diazoesters **2a–2e** also impacts the regioselectivity of the copper-catalyzed rearrangement. Although the structures of these esters most likely do not have a drastic effect on the preference for a concerted rearrangement or a stepwise oxidative addition/reductive elimination mechanism, we surmise that the steric bulk of the ester group can effect the stability of the competing isomers of copper(III) complexes such as **IV–VI**.¹⁷ A sterically bulky ester such as 2,6-dimethyl-phenyl α -diazoester **2e** may favor σ -complex **V** over the more congested σ -complex **VI**, which would lead to the formation of [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** after reductive elimination.

3. Conclusion

We have developed a highly selective copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides, in which the regioselectivity is dictated by the steric and electronic properties of the bipyridine ligand and the steric properties of the α -diazoester. Remarkably, we have been able to reverse the regioselectivity of iodonium ylide rearrangements with the use of alternate bipyridine ligands. Originally selective for a [2,3]-rearrangement with **L5**, the outcome of the reaction can be altered to selectively form the [1,2]-rearrangement product with the use of bipyridine **L11**. This drastic change in product distribution upon fine-tuning of ligand structure represents a powerful approach to control other classes of sigmatropic rearrangements. In addition, the combination of copper-catalyzed diazo decomposition and copper(I)–(III) chemistry may represent a new direction in copper catalysis that could lead to the discovery of many new transformations.

4. Experimental Section

4.1 General Information

All reactions were carried out in capped reaction vials or flasks with magnetic stirring unless otherwise indicated. Commercially obtained reagents were used as received. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography with E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates (0.25 mm). Silica gel (particle size 0.032 – 0.063 mm) purchased from SiliCycle was used for flash chromatography. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Inova-400 or Varian Inova-500 spectrometers. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Inova-400 spectrometers. Data for ¹H NMR spectra are reported relative to chloroform as an internal standard (7.26 ppm) and are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Data for ¹³C NMR spectra are reported relative to chloroform as an internal standard (77.0 ppm) and are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ ppm). Melting points were measured on a Fisher ScientificTM melting point apparatus (12–144). APCI-LRMS data were measured using an AB Sciex QTRAP-4500 LC/MS.

4.2 Synthesis of substituted bipyridines

4.2.1 6,6'-di-*tert*-Butyl-2,2'-bipyridine (L3)¹⁸—A 100 mL oven-dried flask was charged with Ni(PPh₃)₂Br₂ (520 mg, 0.7 mmol), zinc dust (137 mg, 2.1 mmol), tetrabutylammonium bromide (676 mg, 2.1 mmol) and 6-*tert*-butyl-2-chloro-pyridine (**5a**, 1.2 g, 7 mmol). After the flask was evacuated and refilled with argon, dry DMF (20 mL) was added and the suspension was stirred at 55 °C. After the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with Et₂O (200 mL). The combined solution was then washed with water (100 mL x 3) and brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1, v/v) to afford desired 6,6'-*di-tert*-Butyl-2,2'-bipyridine as a white solid, 488 mg, 52% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.32 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.72 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 1.42 (s, 18H, 2^tBu).

4.2.2 6,6'-Dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (L4)⁴—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **L3**, 6,6'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained as a white solid, 550 mg (starting from 10 mmol **5b**), 51% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.69 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 4.04 (s, 6H, 2OCH₃).

4.2.3 6,6'-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine (L6)¹⁹—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **L3**, 6,6'-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained as a white solid, 360 mg (starting from 3 mmol **5c**), 82% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.73 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.03 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.74 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH).

4.2.4 5,5'-Dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (L13)⁵—A 100 mL round-bottom flask was charged with NiCl₂·6H₂O (40 mg, 0.15 mmol) and DMF (10 mL). The resulting solution was stirred and heated to 40 °C. Then, 2-chloro-5-methoxypyridine (**6**, 3 mmol), anhydrous LiCl (130 mg, 3 mmol), and zinc dust (230 mg, 3.6 mmol) were added. When the temperature rose to 50 °C, a grain of iodine crystal and two drops of acetic acid were added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at 55–60 °C until complete conversion of 2-halopyridine (monitored by TLC). To the cooled reaction mixture was added 1 N HCl aqueous solution (5 mL) to consume the remaining zinc dust. The resulting mixture was made alkaline with aqueous ammonia (25%) and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layers were collected, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 10:1, v/v) to afford desired 5,5'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine as a light yellow solid, 130 mg, 40% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.33 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.24 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (dd, *J*₁ = 9.0 Hz and *J*₂ = 3.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.91 (s, 6H, 2OCH₃).

4.3 Synthesis of α-diazoesters

NB: For safety considerations, during the synthesis of α-diazo-compounds, TsN₃ or NaN₃ should not be in contact with metals. Special precautions should also be taken when diazo- or azide- compounds are subjected to heat or high pressure.

4.3.1 Benzyl 2-diazoacetate (2a)⁶—A 250 mL oven-dried flask was charged with benzyl 3-oxobutanoate (**7**, 5.8 g, 30 mmol), triethylamine (4.5 g, 45 mmol) and dry acetonitrile (80 mL), followed by slow addition of 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl azide (7.7 g, 39 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC). An aqueous solution of LiOH (100 mmol in 60 mL H₂O) was slowly added. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 6 h and extracted by Et₂O (100 mL x 3). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 15:1, v/v) to afford **2a** as an orange oil, 4.3 g, 82% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.30 (m, 5H, ArH), 5.21 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.80 (brs, 1H, CHN₂).

4.3.2 Phenyl 2-diazoacetate (2b)⁷—Phenol (940 mg, 10 mmol) and pyridine (1.58 g, 20 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (20 mL) and bromoacetyl bromide (3.03 g, 15 mmol) was slowly added at 0 °C. After stirring for 10 min at this temperature, the reaction was quenched with H₂O. The solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL x 3). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to afford a residue, which was dissolved with *N,N'*-ditosylhydrazine (6.8 g, 20 mmol) in THF (50 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, followed by a slow addition of DBU (7.6 g, 50 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C until the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC). The reaction was quenched by saturated NaHCO₃ solution, and extracted with Et₂O (100 mL x 3). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 15:1, v/v) to afford **2b** as a yellow semi-oil, 0.9 g, 56% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.35 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.26–7.21 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.16–7.11 (m, 2H, ArH), 4.97 (brs, 1H, CHN₂).

4.3.3 Naphthalen-1-yl 2-diazoacetate (2c)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **2b**, **2c** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 76–77 °C, 975 mg, 46% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95–7.86 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.77 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.15 (brs, 1H, CHN₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 146.2, 134.6, 128.0, 127.0, 126.5, 126.4, 126.1, 125.3, 121.1, 118.2, 46.8. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3122, 2127, 1684, 1336, 1210, 1080, 924, 783. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₂H₉N₂O₂, M + H]⁺: 213.07, Found 213.11.

4.3.4 Naphthalen-2-yl 2-diazoacetate (2d)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **2b**, **2d** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 55–56 °C, 1.1 g, 52% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.79 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.62 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.53–7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.8 Hz and *J*₂ = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.03 (brs, 1H, CHN₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.0, 133.7, 131.4, 129.4, 127.7, 127.6, 126.6, 125.7, 121.1, 118.6, 46.9. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3114, 2112, 1697, 1362, 1207, 1145, 970, 724. [C₁₂H₉N₂O₂, M + H]⁺: 213.07, Found 213.12.

4.3.5 2,6-Dimethylphenyl 2-diazoacetate (2e)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **2b**, **2e** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 80–81 °C, 684 mg, 36% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.08–7.05 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.02 (brs, 1H, CHN₂), 2.20 (s, 6H,

2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.8, 130.6, 128.5, 126.0, 46.2, 16.3. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3124, 2133, 1693, 1371, 1182, 1148, 970, 729. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₀H₁₁N₂O₂, M + H]⁺: 191.08, Found 191.13.

4.3.6 2,6-Dichlorophenyl 2-diazoacetate (2f)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **2b**, **2f** was obtained as a light yellow oil, 808 mg, 35% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.15 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.12 (brs, 1H, CHN₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.6, 129.4, 128.6, 127.3, 46.8. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3123, 2115, 1700, 1443, 1361, 1338, 1196, 1127, 768. APCI-MS calcd for [C₈H₅Cl₂N₂O₂, M + H]⁺: 230.97, Found 230.99.

4.4 Synthesis of allylic iodides

4.4.1 (E)-(3-Iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (1a)³—A 100 mL flask was charged with Pd(PPh₃)Cl₂ (70 mg, 0.1 mmol) and CuI (38 mg, 0.2 mmol). After the flask was evacuated and refilled with argon, NEt₃ (20 mL) was added and the suspension was stirred at room temperature. A solution of iodobenzene (**10a**, 2.04 g, 10 mmol) and propargyl alcohol (616 mg, 11 mmol) in NEt₃ (10 mL) was added to the suspension. After the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite and washed with EtOAc (20 mL x 3). The combined solution was concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 3:1, v/v) to afford 3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol (**11a**, 1.24 g, 94% yield) as a yellow oil.

A 50 mL oven-dried flask was charged with LiAlH₄ (190 mg, 5 mmol) and dry THF (15 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, followed by a slow addition of **11a** (660 mg, 5 mmol, in 5 mL THF). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete (monitored by ¹H NMR). The mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and carefully quenched by H₂O (1.2 mL), 15% NaOH (1.2 mL) and H₂O (1.2 mL) to afford a suspension, which was filtered through Celite and washed with EtOAc (30 mL x 3). The combined solution was concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 3:1, v/v) to afford (*E*)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (**12a**, 636 mg, 95% yield) as a colorless oil.

A 50 mL flask was charged with PPh₃ (786 mg, 3 mmol), imidazole (224 mg, 3.3 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). To the stirring solution was added iodine (750 g, 3 mmol) in portions. After 30 minutes, the flask was wrapped with aluminum foil and cooled by ice bath, followed by slow addition of **12a** (402 mg, 3 mmol, in 5 mL CH₂Cl₂). After the reaction was complete (monitored by ¹H NMR), the mixture was filtered through a plug of silica gel, which was then washed with Hexane/EtOAc (v/v = 10:1, 20 mL x 2). The combined solution was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 30:1, v/v) to afford **1a** as a bright yellow solid, 622 mg, 85% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.39–7.35 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.34–7.30 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.28–7.23 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.60 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.44 (dt, *J*₁ = 15.5 Hz and *J*₂ = 8.5 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.12 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.2 (E)-2-(3-Iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)naphthalene (1b)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1b** was obtained as a yellow solid, 723 mg, 82% yield. ¹H NMR (500

MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.82–7.76 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.73 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.59–7.55 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.50–7.42 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.57 (dt, J_1 = 15.5 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.3 (E)-4-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)-1,1'-biphenyl (1c)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1c** was obtained as a yellow solid, 816 mg, 85% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.62–7.54 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.47–7.41 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.37–7.32 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.64 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.49 (dt, J_1 = 15.5 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.15 (dd, J_1 = 8.5 Hz and J_2 = 1.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.4 (E)-1-Fluoro-4-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (1d)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1d** was obtained as a yellow solid, 676 mg, 86% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.05–6.97 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.56 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.36 (dt, J_1 = 15.5 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.10 (dd, J_1 = 8.0 Hz and J_2 = 1.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.5 (E)-4-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzonitrile (1e)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1e** was obtained as a yellow solid, 726 mg, 90% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.62–6.51 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.09 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.6 (E)-1-Fluoro-3-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (1f)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1f** was obtained as a yellow solid, 652 mg, 83% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.30–7.23 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.15–7.09 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.08–7.01 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.97–6.90 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.55 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.43 (dt, J_1 = 15.5 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.08 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I).

4.4.7 (E)-1-Chloro-2-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (1g)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1g** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 28–29 °C, 734 mg, 88% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.53 (dd, J_1 = 7.6 Hz and J_2 = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.35 (dd, J_1 = 7.6 Hz and J_2 = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.25–7.17 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.99 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.44 (dt, J_1 = 15.6 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.13 (dd, J_1 = 8.0 Hz and J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂I); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 133.9, 133.1, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.8, 126.9, 126.8, 6.1. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3040, 1468, 1440, 1146, 1035, 959, 749. APCI-MS calcd for [C₉H₉ClI, M + H]⁺: 278.94, Found 278.96.

4.4.8 (E)-1-Bromo-2-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (1h)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1h** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 31–32 °C, 833 mg, 86% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.57–7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.30–7.24 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.14–7.08 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.94 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.40 (dt, J_1 = 15.6 Hz and J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.13 (dd, J_1 = 8.0 Hz and J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂I); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 135.6, 133.0, 131.4, 129.6, 129.3, 127.5, 127.0, 123.7, 5.9. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3038, 1464, 1435, 1145, 1021, 957, 747. APCI-MS calcd for [C₉H₉BrI, M + H]⁺: 322.89, Found 322.90.

4.4.9 (E)-5-(3-iodoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (1i)—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1i** was obtained as a yellow solid, mp: 49–50 °C, 622 mg, 72% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.91 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.80 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.0 Hz and *J*₂ = 1.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.52 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.26 (dt, *J*₁ = 15.6 Hz and *J*₂ = 8.0 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 5.96 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 4.10 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.0 Hz and *J*₂ = 1.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂I); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.1, 147.7, 132.9, 130.3, 125.1, 121.6, 108.3, 105.7, 101.2, 7.4. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2893, 1500, 1486, 1443, 1248, 1038, 928. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₀H₁₀IO₂, M + H]⁺: 288.97, Found 288.97.

4.4.10 (E)-(4-iodobut-2-en-2-yl)benzene (1j)²⁰—Neat triethyl phosphonoacetate (2.24 g, 10 mmol) was added to a suspension of NaH (60%, 400 mg, 10 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL). After 30 min, a solution of acetophenone (960 mg, 8 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (50 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc = 10:1, v/v) to afford ethyl (*E*)-3-phenylbut-2-enoate (**16a**) as a colorless oil, 1.25 g, 82% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.45 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.40–7.33 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.15–6.11 (m, 1H, CH=), 4.22 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.58 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.32 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₃).

To a cooled (–78 °C) solution of **16a** (950 mg, 5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added DIBAL-H (1.2 M in toluene, 9 mL, 11 mmol). When the reduction was complete, the reaction was quenched by Na₂SO₄·10H₂O (4.8 g, 15 mmol). When a precipitate appeared, the mixture was diluted with Et₂O (50 mL) and stirred for 1 h. Solids were filtered off and washed with Et₂O (50 mL × 3). After drying over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, the solution was concentrated to afford (*E*)-3-phenylbut-2-en-1-ol (**17a**) as a crude oil, which underwent an iodination to afford desired **1j** as a yellow oil, 460 mg (2 mmol scale), 89% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.30 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.17 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.19 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I), 2.12 (s, 3H, CH₃).

4.4.11 1-Iodo-3-methylbut-2-ene (1k)³—Following the same iodination procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1k** was obtained from commercially available 3-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (**13**) as a light yellow oil, 764 mg (5 mmol scale), 78% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.56–5.49 (m, 1H, CH), 3.93 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 1.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.65 (s, 3H, CH₃).

4.4.12 (2-Iodoethylidene)cyclohexane (1l)³—Following the same procedure for the synthesis of **1j**, **1l** was obtained as light yellow oil, 448 mg (2 mmol scale), 95% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.48 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, CH=), 3.95 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂I), 2.19–2.14 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.10–2.06 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.58–1.50 (m, 6H, 3CH₂).

4.4.13 (E)-1-Iodo-3,7-dimethylocta-2,6-diene (1m)¹⁰—Following the same iodination procedure for the synthesis of **1a**, **1m** was obtained from commercially available geraniol (**14**) as a light yellow oil, 502 mg (5 mmol scale), 38% yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃):

δ 5.51 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH=), 5.04 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CH=), 3.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂I), 2.12–1.94 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 1.66 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.62 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.58 (s, 3H, CH₃).

4.5 Copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylide

Typical procedure: A 8 mL reaction vial was charged with Cu(OTf)₂ (7.2 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (5.2 mg, 0.024 mmol). The vial was degassed and refilled with argon. After CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was added, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Then the mixture was stirred in a 40 °C oil bath, followed by addition of allylic iodides **1** (0.4 mmol) and α -diazoester **2** (0.48 mmol) in sequence. The mixture was stirred at 40 °C until the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC). The mixture was then concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (PE/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford [1,2]-rearrangement products **4**.

4.5.1 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-2-iodo-5-phenylpent-4-enoate (4a)—White solid, mp: 58–59 °C, 86% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.38–7.29 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.28–7.23 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.09–7.00 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.59 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.19 (dt, J_1 = 16.0 Hz and J_2 = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.68 (dd, J_1 = 9.2 Hz and J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.24–2.94 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.17 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.7, 147.6, 136.6, 134.4, 130.4, 128.8, 128.6, 127.8, 126.3, 126.2, 125.8, 39.6, 17.5, 16.5. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3026, 2923, 1747, 1162, 1108, 965, 769, 744, 691. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₉H₂₀IO₂, M + H]⁺: 407.05, Found 407.05.

4.5.2 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-2-iodo-5-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-enoate (4b)—White solid, mp: 110–112 °C, 82% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.83–7.76 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.72 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.57 (dd, J_1 = 8.8 Hz and J_2 = 1.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.50–7.42 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.07–7.00 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.75 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.32 (dt, J_1 = 16.0 Hz and J_2 = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.71 (dd, J_1 = 9.2 Hz and J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.29–3.00 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.16 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.8, 147.6, 134.5, 134.0, 133.5, 133.0, 130.4, 128.8, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 126.0, 123.2, 39.8, 17.4, 16.5. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3053, 2922, 1747, 1472, 1161, 1108, 964, 809, 770, 744. APCI-MS calcd for [C₂₃H₂₂IO₂, M + H]⁺: 457.07, Found 457.06.

4.5.3 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4c)—White solid, mp: 138–140 °C, 78% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.63–7.54 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.47–7.41 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.38–7.32 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.08–7.00 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.63 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.24 (dt, J_1 = 16.0 Hz and J_2 = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.69 (dd, J_1 = 9.2 Hz and J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.25–2.96 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.18 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.7, 147.6, 140.6, 140.5, 135.6, 133.9, 130.4, 128.8, 128.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.9, 126.7, 126.2, 125.9, 39.7, 17.5, 16.6. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3027, 1747, 1484, 1161, 1109, 968, 758, 697. APCI-MS calcd for [C₂₅H₂₄IO₂, M + H]⁺: 483.08, Found 483.08.

4.5.4 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4d)—White solid, mp: 77–78 °C, 84% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35–7.29 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.08–6.97 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.55 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.10 (dt, J_1 =

16.0 Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.66 (dd, $J_1 = 9.2$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.4$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.21–2.93 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.15 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.7, 162.4 (d, $J = 246.0$ Hz), 147.6, 133.2, 132.8 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 130.3, 128.8, 127.8 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 126.2, 125.5 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz), 115.5 (d, $J = 21.6$ Hz), 39.5, 17.4, 16.5. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3039, 2922, 1747, 1600, 1508, 1473, 1229, 1159, 1109, 967, 771. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₉H₁₉FIO₂, M + H]⁺: 425.04, Found 425.04.

4.5.5 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(4-cyanophenyl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4e)—

Colorless semi-oil, 66% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.61 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.07–7.01 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.60 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.33 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.70 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.25–2.98 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.15 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.5, 147.5, 141.0, 132.7, 132.5, 130.2, 130.0, 128.8, 126.7, 126.3, 118.8, 111.0, 39.4, 16.6, 16.5. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3036, 2922, 2224, 1746, 1604, 1473, 1161, 1110, 969, 772, 731. APCI-MS calcd for [C₂₀H₁₉INO₂, M + H]⁺: 432.05, Found 432.06.

4.5.6 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4f)—

Colorless semi-oil, 78% yield, 93:7 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31–7.24 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.12 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.09–7.01 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.96 (td, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz and $J_2 = 2.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.56 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.20 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.68 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.4$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.23–2.95 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.16 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.6, 163.1 (d, $J = 244.2$ Hz), 147.5, 138.9 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 133.3 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 130.3, 130.1 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 128.8, 127.3, 126.2, 122.2 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz), 114.7 (d, $J = 21.2$ Hz), 112.6 (d, $J = 21.5$ Hz), 39.4, 17.1, 16.5. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1747, 1609, 1582, 1473, 1446, 1248, 1162, 1109, 967, 770. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₉H₁₉FIO₂, M + H]⁺: 425.04, Found 425.04.

4.5.7 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4g)—

Colorless semi-oil, 88% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.52–7.48 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.38–7.33 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.25–7.17 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.07–7.03 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.98 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.20 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.70 (dd, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.25–3.01 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.18 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.6, 147.6, 134.7, 133.0, 130.4, 130.3, 129.8, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 126.9, 126.7, 126.2, 39.5, 17.5, 16.6. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3041, 2921, 1746, 1470, 1162, 1107, 965, 768, 748. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₉H₁₉ClIO₂, M + H]⁺: 441.01, Found 441.01.

4.5.8 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(2-bromophenyl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate (4h)—

White solid, mp: 52–53 °C, 78% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55 (dd, $J_1 = 8.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 0.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.49 (dd, $J_1 = 8.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.30–7.24 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.16–7.10 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.08–7.01 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.94 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.16 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.70 (dd, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.25–3.01 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.19 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.6, 147.6, 136.5, 133.0, 130.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 127.5, 126.9, 126.2, 123.5, 110.0, 39.4, 17.5, 16.6. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3042, 2922, 1746, 1466, 1163, 1107, 1022, 964, 769, 749. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₉H₁₉BrIO₂, M + H]⁺: 484.96, Found 484.97.

4.5.9 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-5-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-iodopent-4-enoate

(4i)—White solid, mp: 94–96 °C, 45% yield, >95:5 rr. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.07–7.00 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.90 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.79 (dd, J_1 = 8.0 Hz and J_2 = 1.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.49 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.01 (dt, J_1 = 16.0 Hz and J_2 = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 5.96 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 4.64 (dd, J_1 = 8.8 Hz and J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.19–2.91 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.16 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 168.7, 148.0, 147.6, 147.3, 134.0, 131.1, 130.4, 128.8, 126.2, 124.0, 121.1, 108.3, 105.4, 101.1, 39.5, 17.7, 16.5. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2920, 1746, 1503, 1488, 1445, 1249, 1162, 1037, 963, 929, 770. APCI-MS calcd for $[\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{20}\text{IO}_4, \text{M} + \text{H}]^+$: 451.04, Found 451.05.

4.5.10 2,6-Dimethylphenyl (E)-2-iodo-5-phenylhex-4-enoate (4j)

—Colorless semi-oil, 82% yield, >95:5 rr. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.40–7.36 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.35–7.30 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.06–7.01 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.75 (td, J_1 = 7.2 Hz and J_2 = 1.2 Hz, 1H, =CH), 4.67 (dd, J_1 = 8.8 Hz and J_2 = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.27–2.96 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.16 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 168.9, 147.6, 143.0, 139.2, 130.4, 128.8, 128.3, 127.2, 126.2, 125.7, 124.1, 35.8, 17.7, 16.5, 16.4. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2920, 1747, 1473, 1157, 1108, 768, 756, 696. APCI-MS calcd for $[\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{IO}_2, \text{M} + \text{H}]^+$: 421.07, Found 421.09.

4.5.11 2,6-Dimethylphenyl 2-iodo-5-methylhex-4-enoate (4k)

—Colorless oil, 74% yield, >95:5 rr. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.08–7.02 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.20–5.12 (m, 1H, =CH), 4.53 (dd, J_1 = 9.6 Hz and J_2 = 6.0 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.06–2.73 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.19 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.71 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.67 (s, 3H, CH₃); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 169.0, 147.6, 136.7, 130.4, 128.7, 126.1, 121.0, 35.4, 25.8, 18.2, 18.1, 16.4. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2967, 2923, 1750, 1473, 1342, 1164, 1139, 1107, 768. APCI-MS calcd for $[\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{IO}_2, \text{M} + \text{H}]^+$: 359.05, Found 359.05.

4.5.12 2,6-Dimethylphenyl 4-cyclohexylidene-2-iodobutanoate (4l)

—Colorless oil, 42% yield, 94:6 rr. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.07–7.01 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.12 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CH), 4.50 (dd, J_1 = 9.2 Hz and J_2 = 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.01–2.75 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.19 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.26–2.00 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 1.60–1.48 (m, 6H, 3CH₂); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 168.9, 147.6, 144.7, 130.4, 128.7, 126.1, 117.6, 37.1, 34.4, 29.1, 28.3, 27.6, 26.7, 18.7, 16.5. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2925, 2852, 1751, 1473, 1445, 1342, 1164, 1148, 1103, 768. APCI-MS calcd for $[\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{IO}_2, \text{M} + \text{H}]^+$: 399.08, Found 399.08.

4.5.13 2,6-Dimethylphenyl 2-iodo-5,9-dimethyldeca-4,8-dienoate (4m, E/Z = 2:1)

—Colorless oil, 74% yield, >95:5 rr, E/Z = 2:1. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.08–7.03 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, =CH), 5.14–5.06 (m, 1H, =CH), 4.56–4.48 (m, 1H, CHI), 3.05–2.75 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.19 (brs, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.14–1.97 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 1.72–1.66 (m, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.63–1.59 (m, 3H, CH₃); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 169.0, 147.6, 140.2, 131.7, 130.4, 128.7, 126.1, 123.8, 120.7, 39.7 (35.0), 35.2 (32.2), 26.4 (26.2), 25.7 (23.4), 18.3 (18.4), 17.7 (17.6), 16.6 (16.4), 16.5. IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2965, 2921, 1751, 1163, 1137, 1105, 768. APCI-MS calcd for $[\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{28}\text{IO}_2, \text{M} + \text{H}]^+$: 427.11, Found 427.11.

4.5.14 Naphthalen-1-yl (E)-2-iodo-5-phenylpent-4-enoate (4n)

—Colorless semi-oil, 76% yield, >95:5 rr. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.87 (d,

$J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.76 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.52–7.45 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.39–7.32 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.32–7.27 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.23 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz and $J_2 = 0.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.65 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.27 (dt, $J_1 = 15.6$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.78 (dd, $J_1 = 9.2$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.4$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.30–3.02 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.6, 146.2, 136.6, 134.6, 134.5, 128.7, 127.9, 127.8, 126.8, 126.6, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 125.7, 125.2, 121.1, 117.5, 39.6, 18.1. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3055, 3025, 1752, 1598, 1389, 1219, 1144, 1106, 966, 794, 770, 744, 692. APCI-MS calcd for [C₂₁H₁₈IO₂, M + H]⁺: 429.03, Found 429.03.

4.5.15 Naphthalen-2-yl (E)-2-iodo-5-phenylpent-4-enoate (4o)—Colorless semi-oil, 70% yield, >95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.83 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.81–7.76 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.56 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.53–7.45 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.43–7.38 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.37–7.31 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.23 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz and $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.62 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.22 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.66 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.22–2.96 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.7, 148.1, 136.7, 134.2, 133.6, 131.6, 129.5, 128.7, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.7, 126.3, 125.9, 125.8, 120.2, 118.1, 39.4, 18.7. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3024, 1747, 1628, 1508, 1207, 1155, 1100, 964, 740. APCI-MS calcd for [C₂₁H₁₈IO₂, M + H]⁺: 429.03, Found 429.03.

4.5.16 2,6-Dichlorophenyl (E)-2-iodo-5-phenylpent-4-enoate (4p)—Colorless oil, 61% yield, 95:5 rr. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.41–7.31 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.16 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.59 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, ArCH=), 6.22 (dt, $J_1 = 16.0$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, =CHCH₂), 4.70 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, CHI), 3.25–2.95 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 167.5, 143.3, 136.7, 134.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.7, 127.5, 126.3, 125.7, 39.5, 16.4. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3025, 2921, 1762, 1576, 1445, 1226, 1138, 1090, 964, 795, 770, 741, 692. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₇H₁₄Cl₂IO₂, M + H]⁺: 446.94, Found 446.95.

4.6 Deuterium Study

A 8 mL reaction vial was charged with Cu(OTf)₂ (7.2 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (5.2 mg, 0.024 mmol). The vial was degassed and refilled with argon. After CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then the mixture was stirred in a 40 °C oil bath, followed by addition of **18**³ (0.4 mmol) and **2e** (0.48 mmol) as a mixed solution in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at 40 °C until the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC). The mixture was then concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (PE/EtOAc = 30:1) to afford a colorless semi-oil as a mixture of **19** and **20**, 77% yield, **19**: **20** = 40%: 60% (monitored by ¹H NMR). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.08–7.03 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.86–5.76 (m, 1H, CH=), 5.29–5.21 (m, 2(H/D), =C(H/D)₂), 4.64–4.57 (m, 1H, CHI), 3.06–2.80 (m, 2(H/D), C(H/D)₂), 2.20 (s, 6H, 2CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.7, 147.6, 134.4 (134.5), 130.4, 128.8, 126.2, 119.3, 40.2, 17.4 (17.2), 16.6. APCI-MS calcd for [C₁₃H₁₄D₂IO₂, M + H]⁺: 333.03, Found 333.03.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by W. W. Caruth, Jr. Endowed Scholarship, Welch Foundation (I-1748), National Institutes of Health (R01GM102604), National Science Foundation (1150875), and Sloan Research Fellowship.

References and notes

- (a) Ilardi EA, Stivala CE, Zakarian A. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2009; 38:3133–3148. [PubMed: 19847347] (b) Sweeney JB. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2009; 38:1027–1038. [PubMed: 19421580] (c) Seashore-Ludlow, B., Somfai, P. *Sigmatropic Rearrangements in Stereoselective Synthesis.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2013. p. 475-499. (d) Jones AC, May JA, Sarpong R, Stoltz BM. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2014; 53:2556–2591. (e) Rojas, CM., editor. *Molecular Rearrangements in Organic Synthesis.* John Wiley & Sons; New Jersey: 2016. (f) Pine, SH. *Org React.* Dauben, WG., editor. Vol. 18. Wiley; Huntington, NY: 1970. p. 403-464. (g) West TH, Spoehrle SSM, Kasten K, Taylor JE, Smith AD. *ACS Catal.* 2015; 5:7446–7479. (h) Hoffmann RW. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1979; 18:563–572. (i) Brückner, R. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis.* Fleming, I., editor. Pergamon; Oxford: 1991. p. 873-908.
- (a) Giddings PJ, D'Ivor J, Thomas EJ. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1980; 21:395–398. (b) Doyle MP, Tamblyn WH, Bagheri V. *J Org Chem.* 1981; 46:5094–5102. (c) Giddings PJ, John DI, Thomas EJ, Williams DJ. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1.* 1982:2757–2766. (d) Doyle MP, Forbes DC, Vasbinder MM, Peterson CS. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1998; 120:7653–7654. (e) Doyle MP, Hu W, Phillips IM, Moody CJ, Pepper AG, Slawin AGZ. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2001; 343:112–117. (f) Krishnamoorthy P, Browning RG, Singh S, Sivappa R, Lovely CJ, Dias HVR. *Chem Commun.* 2007:731–733. (g) Deng QH, Chen J, Huang JS, Chui SSY, Zhu N, Li GY, Che CM. *Chem Eur J.* 2009; 15:10707–10712. [PubMed: 19760736]
- Xu B, Tambar UK. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:12073–12076. [PubMed: 27603216]
- For other recent examples of preferentially forming regioisomeric products by changing the ligand in a metal-catalyzed reaction, see: Alderson JM, Phelps AM, Scamp RJ, Dolan NS, Schomaker JM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:16720–16723. [PubMed: 25386769] Ding J, Rybak T, Hall DG. *Nat Commun.* 2014; 5:5474. [PubMed: 25403650]
- Nieto I, Livings MS, Sacci JB III, Reuther LE, Zeller M, Papish ET. *Organometallics.* 2011; 30:6339–6342.
- Liao LY, Kong XR, Duan XF. *J Org Chem.* 2014; 79:777–782. [PubMed: 24367890]
- Mao HB, Lin AJ, Shi Y, Mao ZJ, Zhu XB, Li WP, Hu HW, Cheng YX, Zhu CJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013; 52:6288–6292.
- Toma T, Shimokawa J, Fukuyama T. *Org Lett.* 2007; 9:3195–3197. [PubMed: 17629296]
- Montgomery TD, Rawal VH. *Org Lett.* 2016; 18:740–743. [PubMed: 26824482]
- Kocsis LS, Kagalwala HN, Mutto S, Godugu B, Bernhard S, Tantillo DJ, Brummond KM. *J Org Chem.* 2015; 80:11686–11698. [PubMed: 25671399]
- Alvarez-Manzaneda EJ, Chahboun R, Cabrera Torres E, Alvarez E, Alvarez-Manzaneda R, Haidoura A, Ramos López JM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005; 46:3755–3759.
- Szczeniak P, Pieczykolan M, Stecko S. *J Org Chem.* 2016; 81:1057–1074. [PubMed: 26726732]
- (a) Li Z, Boyarskikh V, Hansen JH, Autschbach J, Musaev DG, Davies HML. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:15497–15504. [PubMed: 22924394] (b) Guo X, Hu W. *Acc Chem Res.* 2013; 46:2427–2440. [PubMed: 24246000] (c) Xu B, Zhu SF, Zhang ZC, Yu ZX, Ma Y, Zhou QL. *Chem Sci.* 2014; 5:1442–1448.
- Yoshikai N, Nakamura E. *Chem Rev.* 2012; 112:2339–2372. [PubMed: 22111574]
- Although the optimized reaction is conducted with a copper(II) source, we propose the in situ reduction of the metal complex to copper(I), which can then engage in the copper(I–III) redox cycle: Salomon RG, Kochi JK. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1973; 95:3300–3310. Moniotte PG, Hubert AJ,

Teyssie P. J Organomet Chem. 1975; 88:115–120. Balili MNC, Pintauer T. Inorg Chem. 2010; 49:5642–5649. [PubMed: 20441209]

16. Thompson WH, Sears CT. Inorganic Chemistry. 1977; 16:769–774.
17. Falcicola CA, Alexakis A. Eur J Org Chem. 2008:3765–3780.
18. Hintermann L, Xiao L, Labonne A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2008; 47:8246–8250.
19. Chan KS, Tse AKS. Synth Commun. 1993:1929–1934.
20. Jackowski O, Alexakis A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:3346–3350.

(a) Unselective Sigmatropic Rearrangements of Iodonium Ylides **(b) Previous Work: [2,3]-Rearrangement** **(c) This Work: [1,2]-Rearrangement** **Scheme 1.**

Regiodivergent [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides generated by diazo compounds

Scheme 2.
 Synthesis of substituted bipyridines

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of α -diazoesters

Scheme 4.
 Synthesis of aryl allylic iodides

Scheme 5.
 Synthesis of more complex allylic iodides

Scheme 6.
Mechanistic studies

Table 1

Optimization of copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylide^a

Entry	Ligand	[Cu]	2	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	3/4
1	None	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	0.5	13	61 : 39
2	L1	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	60	14 : 86
3	L2	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	70	85 : 15
4	L3	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	8	32	65 : 35
5	L4	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	64	62 : 38
6	L5	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	0.5	68	>95 : 5
7	L6	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	0.5	42	91 : 9
8	L7	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	70	10 : 90
9	L8	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	73	9 : 91
10	L9	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	73	10 : 90
11	L10	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	68	33 : 67
12	L11	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	70	6 : 94
13	L12	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	68	33 : 67
14	L13	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2a	25	2	71	17 : 83
15	L11	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2b	25	2	74	20 : 80
16	L11	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2c	25	2	70	6 : 94
17	L11	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2d	25	2	33	<5 : 95
18	L11	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	2e	25	2	76	<5 : 95
19	L11	CuBr	2e	25	24	24	<5 : 95
20	L11	CuOTf	2e	25	2	50	<5 : 95
21	L11	Cu(OTf) ₂	2e	25	8	81	<5 : 95
22	L11	Cu(OTf) ₂	2e	40	2	86	<5 : 95

Entry	Ligand	[Cu]	2	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	3/4
23	L11	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$	2e	5	48	ND ^b	--

^a Reaction conditions: allylic iodide **1a** (0.4 mmol), α -diazoester **2** (1.2 equiv), copper catalyst (5 mol%), ligand (6 mol%), CH_2Cl_2 (0.1 M).

^b ND: No product was detected, and α -diazoester substrate remained.

Table 2

Substrate scope of copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides

L11

Allylic iodide	Product	Allylic iodide	Product	Allylic iodide	Product
	 86% yield, >95:5 rr		 78% yield, 93:7 rr		 82% yield, >95:5 rr
	 82% yield, >95:5 rr		 88% yield, >95:5 rr		 74% yield, >95:5 rr
	 78% yield, >95:5 rr		 78% yield, >95:5 rr		 42% yield, 94:6 rr
	 84% yield, >95:5 rr		 45% yield, >95:5 rr		 74% yield, >95:5 rr E:Z = 2:1
	 66% yield, >95:5 rr				

Table 3

Aryl α -diazoesters scope of copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides