Published in final edited form as:

AAPS J. 2016 September ; 18(5): 1182–1191. doi:10.1208/s12248-016-9923-0.

A Novel Physiology-Based Mathematical Model to Estimate Red Blood Cell Lifespan in Different Human Age Groups

Guohua An^{1,*}, John A. Widness², Donald M Mock³, and Peter Veng-Pedersen¹

¹Division of Pharmaceutics and Translational Therapeutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Iowa

²Department of Pediatrics, Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa

³Departments of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Pediatrics, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences

Abstract

Direct measurement of red blood cell (RBC) survival in humans has improved from the original accurate but limited differential agglutination technique to the current reliable, safe, and accurate biotin method. Despite this, all of these methods are time consuming and require blood sampling over several months to determine the RBC lifespan. For situations in which RBC survival information must be obtained quickly, these methods are not suitable. With the exception of adults and infants, RBC survival has not been extensively investigated in other age groups. To address this need, we developed a novel, physiology-based mathematical model that quickly estimates RBC lifespan in healthy individuals at any age. The model is based on the assumption that the total number of RBC recirculations during the lifespan of each RBC (denoted by $N_{\max}$) is relatively constant for all age groups. The model was initially validated using the data from our prior infant and adult BioRBC (i.e. biotin-labeled RBC) studies and then extended to other age groups. The model generated the following estimated RBC lifespans in 2-year old, 5-year old, 8-year old, and 10-year old children: 62, 74, 82, and 86 days, respectively. We speculate that this model has useful clinical applications. For example, HbA1c testing is not reliable in identifying children with diabetes because HbA1c is directly affected by RBC lifespan. Because our model can estimate RBC lifespan in children at any age, corrections to HbA1c values based on model generated RBC lifespan could improve diabetes diagnosis as well as therapy in children.

Keywords

RBC lifespan prediction; erythrocyte kinetics; biotin-labeled red blood cells; red cell survival

INTRODUCTION

Understanding of RBC survival is useful in both clinical care and research. For example, information about RBC survival is useful in the evaluation of new RBC storage media, in

*Corresponding author: Guohua An, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Division of Pharmaceutics and Translational Therapeutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Iowa, S227 Phar, 115 S. Grand Ave, Iowa City, IA 52242.

optimizing RBC transfusion therapy, in elucidating mechanisms of RBC senescence, and in understanding the pathophysiology of hemoglobinopathies such as sickle cell disease (1–3). RBC survival information is also important in diabetes mellitus because HbA1c, an important marker of long-term glycemic control, is directly affected by RBC lifespan (4, 5).

Although the direct measurement of RBC survival has progressed from the original accurate but limited differential agglutination technique (6) to the current reliable, safe and accurate biotin method (7), all the methods for direct measurement of RBC survival are time consuming and require several months to determine the RBC lifespan. This is not practical for situations in which RBC survival information is needed for immediate clinical decision making (such as anemic neonates). In addition, RBC survival has not been investigated for age groups other than infants and adults. To address this need, we developed a novel, physiology-based mathematical model that can quickly estimate RBC lifespan in any human age group. This model is based on the assumption that the total number of recirculations of a RBC during a life time (i.e. $N_{\max}$) is a relatively constant value in different age groups. We first validated this model using RBC lifespan data from our BioRBC studies conducted in infants (8) and adults (9) and then extended the model to estimate RBC lifespan at any age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prior Clinical Studies

In the development of the model, previous studies that we conducted in adults and infants were evaluated separately. The clinical study methods employed in these prior studies, including the study design and details of biotin method have been previously published (8, 9) and are summarized below.

For adults, aliquots of autologous RBC were labeled with biotin and BioRBC was infused as previously described (9). Survival of BioRBC was determined at 20 minutes, 24 hours, and 1- to 2-week intervals until the BioRBC enumerated by flow cytometry had decreased to the limit of detection, i.e., < 0.06% of total RBC. For infants, aliquots of allogeneic RBC were transfused as 15 ml/kg of packed RBC; the first 14 ml/kg of allogeneic donor RBC were transfused over 3–4 hours. The remaining 1 ml/kg of donor RBC and an additional 0.5 to 3 ml/kg of autologous blood from the study infants were biotinylated at either of two biotin densities between 6 and 36 ug/ml and transfused sequentially within 10 min after completion of the 14 mg/kg clinical transfusion (8). Beginning at 24 hours post-transfusion, leftover anticoagulated whole blood from clinically ordered lab testing was salvaged up to four times per week for quantitation of the proportions of BioRBC by flow cytometric enumeration as previously described (8).

Physiologically-based mathematical modeling

Calculation of $N_{\max}$ —The total number of times an erythrocyte is oxygenated and deoxygenated (i.e., the total number of recirculations during its life time), $N_{\max}$, before being taken out of the circulation is calculated assuming a constant blood volume (BV) and cardiac output (CO) as follows:

$$N_{max} = \frac{CO \times LS}{BV} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

where LS is the average erythrocyte lifespan.

Central Hypothesis—Our overall hypothesis: **inter- and intra-species variability of N_{max} is small enough to enable accurate RBC lifespan estimations based on cardiac output and blood volume alone.** This concept did not originate with our group. In 1960 Allison evaluated the total number of RBC recirculations in three different species—rat, dog, and man (10)—and found that the total number of recirculations of an erythrocyte during its life time is almost the same. This was observed in these three species despite more than 100-fold difference in cardiac output, more than 250-fold difference in blood volume, and more than 2-fold RBC survival difference (10). The average value of N_{max} that Allison observed was $\sim 1.75 \times 10^5$ recirculations, with small variability ($CV = 7.5\%$) (Figure 1A). Based on this we hypothesized that N_{max} is a relatively constant number not only in different animal species, but even more so in different age groups within a single species, thus making the N_{max} equation (eq.1) a suitable starting point for estimation of RBC lifespan. The schematic representation of our hypothesis is illustrated in Figure 1B where erythrocytes reach their lifespan when their number of recirculations reaches N_{max} . The slope, which is calculated as CO/BV , represents the “circulatory speed,” i.e., the number of erythrocyte recirculations per unit time. Accordingly, if the circulation speed in one age group is shorter than another, then the RBC will make more trips per unit time, and will reach same N_{max} more quickly, thereby resulting in shorter lifespan.

Testing our Hypothesis using published RBC lifespan data—To test our hypothesis, we estimated the N_{max} value of a neonatal RBC based on our data obtained from a RBC survival study conducted in infants using biotin-labeled RBC (8). Based on Eq 1, the information needed to estimate N_{max} includes cardiac output, blood volume, and RBC lifespan. Based on our infant RBC study, the estimated average lifespan of neonatal RBC is 52.1 ± 10.8 days. For the cardiac output and blood volume, as it is difficult to obtain reliable values for each infant subject, literature reported values from newborn were used (11, 12). Unlike older children and adults, cardiac output and blood volume in rapidly growing infants undergo substantial change over short periods of time (12, 13). It has been reported that both cardiac output and blood volume, even after body weight normalization, are not constant in infants (12, 13). Because these body weight (BW)-normalized parameters change substantially with maturation, infant body weight also needs to be taken into account. In doing so, the equation for N_{max} estimation in newborns becomes:

$$N_{max} = \int_0^{LS} \frac{CO \times BW}{BV \times BW} dt = \int_0^{LS} \frac{CO}{BV} dt \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

where CO in Eq.2 now (in contrast to Eq.1) specifically represents *BW-normalized* cardiac output and BV represents *BW-normalized* blood volume.

The literature information on BW-normalized cardiac output and blood volume of newborn is limited. Using published data for these two parameters in newborns within a few days of birth and in infants at around 1 year old (11, 12), we assume that over the first year of life, the change in BW-normalized CO is linear with BW and change in BW-normalized blood volume is linear with respect to age.

The BW-normalized cardiac output was found to decreases linearly with increasing BW (13). Accordingly, the following equation captures the BW-related change of cardiac output in infants:

$$\text{BW-normalized } CO = a - b \times (BW - BW_0) \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

where a is the BW-normalized cardiac output value of newborns and b represents the decrease of CO per unit of BW during the first year of life. BW_0 is BW at birth. For newborn, $BW = BW_0$

Similarly, the BW-normalized blood volume of infants decreases with increasing postnatal age (12). Thus, the following linear equation estimates the change in infant blood volume:

$$\text{BW-normalized } BV = c - d \times t \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

where c is the BW-normalized blood volume value of newborn and d represents the decrease of blood volume per unit of time after birth.

For estimating the BW of infants, Leffler's formula (14) was used to capture the change in BW over time:

$$BW = m + h \times t \quad (\text{Eq.5})$$

where m is the BW of newborn and h represents the increase of BW per unit of time during the first year of life.

Incorporating Eqs 3, 4, and 5 into Eq 2 gives:

$$N_{max} = \int_0^{LS} \frac{[a - b(m + h \times t)] \times BW(t)}{[c - d \times t] \times BW(t)} dt = \int_0^{LS} \frac{a - b(m + h \times t)}{c - d \times t} dt \quad (\text{Eq.6})$$

After integration, the final equation for calculation of N_{max} of a RBC in infants becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{max} = & \left(\frac{b \times h \times c}{d^2} - \frac{a - b \times m}{d} \right) \\
& \times \ln(c - d \times LS) - \left(\frac{b \times h \times c}{d^2} - \frac{a - b \times m}{d} \right) \\
& \times \ln c + \frac{b \times h}{d} \times LS = \left(\frac{b \times h \times c}{d^2} - \frac{a - b \times m}{d} \right) \\
& \times \ln \left(1 - \frac{d \times LS}{c} \right) + \frac{b \times h}{d} \times LS
\end{aligned} \tag{Eq.7}$$

where the value of LS is 74,880 minutes (i.e., 52 days) based on data from our infant BioRBC study (15) and parameters a , b , c , d , m and h are the parameters defining the relationships in Eqs. 3–5.

Physiologically-based mathematical model for different age groups—After validating our hypothesis in newborns (see Results), we extended the physiologically-based mathematical model to older age groups. Because N_{max} is relatively constant across different age groups, we fixed N_{max} to the average value in the rat, dog, man, and human infant. Using this N_{max} value, RBC lifespan can then be estimated at any age as long as cardiac output and blood volume information are known at that age. This is simply done numerically by solving Eq. 6 for LS, which can be done by many software tools including Excel. Fortunately, ample data on cardiac output and blood volume have been published in humans. According to literature information we divided age into following four groups: 0–1 year, 1–10 years, 10–18 years, and >18 years.

- For BW-normalized cardiac output, based on the literature reports (11, 13), following equations are used to describe its change in different age groups:

$$\text{BW-normalized CO} = \begin{cases} a_0 & AGE=0(i.e., \text{ newborn}) \\ a_1 - b_1 \times BW & 0 < AGE \leq 12 \text{ months} \\ a_2 \times BW^{b_2} & 1 < AGE \leq 10 \text{ years} \\ a_3 \times BW^{b_3} & 10 < AGE \leq 18 \text{ years} \\ a_4 \times BW^4 & AGE > 18 \text{ years} \end{cases} \tag{Eq.8}$$

, $\times (BW - BW_0)$, with a_0 and BW_0 being BW-normalized CO and BW_0 respectively, at birth.

- For BW-normalized blood volume, the value is relatively constant after the first year of life. Following equations were used to describe BW-normalized blood volume in different age groups (12, 16, 17):

$$\text{BW-normalized BV} = \begin{cases} c_0 & AGE=0(i.e., \text{ newborn}) \\ c_1 - d_1 \times t & 0 < AGE \leq 12 \text{ months} \\ c_2 & 1 < AGE \leq 10 \text{ years} \\ c_3 & 10 < AGE \leq 18 \text{ years} \\ c_4 & AGE > 18 \text{ years} \end{cases} \tag{Eq.9}$$

In this equation, c_I represents BW-normalized BV at the start of the study and is calculated as $c_0 - d_I \times \text{AGE}_0$, with c_0 being BW-normalized BV at birth and AGE_0 is the postnatal age at the start of the study. AGE_0 is the postnatal age at the start of the study. t is time since the subject enters the study.

- BW increases linearly during the first year of life and during 1–10 years, albeit the growth rates are different in these two age groups (14). For child older than 10 years, we assumed that the increase in BW is negligible during the lifespan of an erythrocyte. The following equations were used to describe change in BW in different age groups.

$$\text{BW} = \begin{cases} m_0 & \text{AGE}=0(\text{i.e., newborn}) \\ m_1 + h_1 \times t & 0 < \text{AGE} \leq 1 \text{ year} \\ m_2 + h_2 \times t & 1 < \text{AGE} \leq 10 \text{ years} \\ m_3 & 10 < \text{AGE} \leq 18 \text{ years} \\ m_4 & \text{AGE} > 18 \text{ years} \end{cases} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

, where m_I represents BW at the start of the study and is calculated as $m_0 + h_I \times \text{Age}_0$, m_0 is BW at birth, and Age_0 is the postnatal age at the start of the study.

For a red cell that is produced (i.e., enters blood circulation) at time T (relative to $T=0$ at birth), the $N_{\max}$ during that red cell's lifespan is given by:

$$N_{\max} = \int_T^{T+LS} \frac{CO}{BV} dt \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

, where CO represents BW-normalized cardiac output in the time period from T to $T+LS$ and BV represents BW-normalized blood volume in the time period from T to $T+LS$.

Incorporating Eqs. 8, 9, and 10 into Eq. 11 gives following final equations for calculation of RBC lifespan (LS) in different age groups:

- For 0–1 year old:

$$N_{\max} = \left(\frac{b_1 \times h_1 \times (c_0 - d_1 \times T)}{d_1^2} - \frac{(a_0 - b_1 \times h_1 \times T) - b_1 \times m_1}{d_1} \right) \times \ln \left(1 - \frac{d_1}{(c_0 - d_1 \times T)} \times LS \right) + \frac{b_1 \times h_1}{d_1} \times LS \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

- For 1–10 year old:

$$N_{\max} = \frac{a_2}{c_2 \times (b_2 + 1) \times h_2} \times [(m_2 + h_2 \times LS)^{b_2 + 1} - m_2^{b_2 + 1}] \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

where BV is a constant value during 1- to 10-year old population

- For 10–18 year old:

$$N_{max} = \frac{a_3 \times BW^{b_3}}{c_3} \times LS \quad (\text{Eq. 14})$$

- For > 18- year old:

$$N_{max} = \frac{a_4 \times BW^{b_4}}{c_4} \times LS \quad (\text{Eq. 15})$$

T ranges for equation 12 is 0 to (1-RBC lifespan) year; T ranges for equation 13 is 1 year to (10-RBC lifespan) year; T ranges for equation 14 is 10 year to (18-RBC lifespan) year; and T ranges for equation 15 is >18 year. The unit of RBC lifespan is in years.

For the above equations in different age groups, N_{max} was fixed to 1.75×10^5 . BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW, along with their dynamic change, in these four different age groups were obtained from the literature. T is the age of the individual relative to birth. The only unknown information is LS. The equations were solved for LS in MatLab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). However, any other software that is able to solve a nonlinear equation for one variable such as Excel could be employed instead.

RESULTS

Clinical Studies

A summary of subject demographics is presented in Table 1. For infants, the mean postnatal age was 3.2 d, with a range of 0.2 to 14 d. The mean postnatal weight was 741 g, with a range of 513 to 1042 g.

Figure 2 depicts the survival curves for 1) autologous adult BioRBCs transfused into an individual adult, 2) autologous neonatal BioRBCs transfused into an individual infant, and 3) allogeneic adult BioRBCs transfused in the same individual infant. Long term survival of the autologous neonatal BioRBCs in infants was considerably shorter than that of autologous adult RBCs in adults. The estimated lifespan of autologous adult BioRBC in adult and autologous neonatal BioRBC in neonate are 120 days and 52 days, respectively, consistent with literature reports. Contrary to current understanding, the survival of adult donor BioRBCs in infants was also shortened and indeed was almost identical to that of autologous neonatal BioRBCs. We infer that RBC survival is primarily controlled by extrinsic environmental factors, e.g., circulatory environmental events.

Physiologically-based mathematical modeling

As shown in Figure 1A, the RBC lifespans in the rat, dog, and man range from 55 d in rat to 120 d in man. The slope of each curve is calculated as CO/BV and reflects the number of trips that the RBCs make per unit time. The slope is steepest in rats and shallowest in humans indicating that the RBC makes more trips per unit time in rats than humans.

Although the slopes and RBC lifespans differ among the three species, the total number of trips that a RBC can make before removal from circulation (i.e., $N_{\max}$ as shown on the y-axis) are very similar.

When we estimated the $N_{\max}$ of neonatal RBC, the dynamic changes of BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW were all incorporated in our model. The initial values of BW-normalized cardiac output and BW-normalized blood volume (the intercepts of Eqs. 3 and 4, i.e., parameters a and c) are 273 ml/min/kg and 109 ml/kg, respectively, and were obtained directly from the newborn literature (11, 12). The dynamic changes of BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW (the slopes of Eqs. 3, 4 and 5; i.e., parameters b , d , and h) during the first year of life are shown in Table 2. Parameters m and LS are 0.81 kg and 52 d, respectively, and were obtained from our infant BioRBC studies (15). When all of these parameters were entered into Eq. 7, the estimated $N_{\max}$ of neonatal RBCs was 1.84×10^5 cycles (Figure 3), a value which is remarkably similar to those for the rat, dog and man (10).

After this validation of the $N_{\max}$ principle, a physiologically-based mathematical model was built to estimate RBC lifespan in different age groups. As BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW undergo specific changes at different ages, age specific equations were applied in four age groups: : 0–1 year; 1–10 years; 10–18 years; and >18 years. The initial values, as well as the dynamic changes of BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW were obtained from the literature (Table 2), and estimates are provide in Table 3 and Figure 4. The RBC lifespans are estimateed to be 62, 74, 82, and 86 d at age 2, 5, 8, and 10 y, respectively. Our model estimates that RBC lifespan is longer in males than females (even after body weight corrections). For example, the mean estimateed RBC lifespan is 133 and 110 days, respectively, in men and women. This gender difference in RBC lifespan estimation is mainly caused by the gender difference in BW normalized blood volume. As the BW- normalized blood volume in women is less than that in men (65 vs 75 ml/kg) (16, 17), RBC in women will make more trips per unit time and reach $N_{\max}$ more quickly resulting in shorter RBC lifespan. The observation that RBC senescence is accelerated in the female of the species by about 10 to 15% (18) potentially arises from the circulatory mechanism postulated here. Further investigations are warranted to evaluate gender effects on RBC lifespan.

Figure 4 depicts RBC lifespan as a continuous function from infants to adulthood. Because different equations were used for the four different age groups, there are discontinuities between the RBC lifespan estimates at the age group transitions (Figure 4a). Because the best equation for estimating the true RBC lifespan at the transition points is currently unclear, the mean value of the two estimates offered as the best estimate, and linear correction functions were utilized such that the final predictions of RBC lifespan at 1-year, 10-year, and 18-year are equal to the mean value of the estimates obtained by the two equations. The linear correction function is expressed as $Y=a-b*t$ (t is age in years). Children at different age will have a specific Y value which is obtained by plugging age (in years) in the equation. The corrected RBC lifespan in each age group is then calculated as: Estimated RBC lifespan $\times Y$.

For 0–1 year old group, the correction function is $y=1-0.1265*t$ (in years). For 1–10 year old group, the correction function is $y=1.1897-0.0204*t$ (in years). For 10–18 year old group, the correction function are $y=1.0362-0.00214*t$ (in years) and $y=1.3502-0.01793*t$ (in years), for boys and girls, respectively. The final predicted RBC lifespan with correction factors is also provided in Figure 4b.

The final estimated RBC lifespan with correction factors was further evaluated against the square root of age (i.e. $\sqrt{t}$). The fitting was done in Sigmaplot using the build-in Weibull function. Weibull function used to fit the data is listed as following:

$$LS=d+a*(1-\exp(-\frac{|\sqrt{t}-e+b*(\ln 2)^{\frac{1}{c}}|}{b})^c) \quad (\text{Eq.16})$$

As shown in Figures 5a and 5b, Weibull function can capture the whole data range sufficiently. The estimated parameters (a, b, c, d, and e) for male and female are listed in Table 4. The advantage of using this Weibull equation is that RBC lifespan can be quickly estimated as long as the age is known and the estimates across all age groups can be made using only one equation.

DISCUSSION

In the current study we successfully developed a novel physiology-based mathematical model capable of easily and quickly estimating human RBC lifespan at any age. Consistent with the $N_{\max}$ assumption, the model estimates that the younger the age, the shorter the RBC lifespan (Figure 4). For example, the model generated the following estimated RBC lifespans in 2-year old, 5-year old, 8-year old, and 10-year old children: 62, 74, 82, and 86 days, respectively. Our model may have important clinical implications in anemic neonates, where RBC survival information needs to be quickly applied, and diabetic pediatric patients, whose HbA1c level ties closely to RBC lifespan.

Several methods for measuring RBC lifespan have been developed (19, 20). The first reliable method to determine RBC lifespan was developed by Winifred Ashby in 1919 using differential agglutination of RBC with antibodies to antigens on the RBC surface (6). This technique is accurate but cumbersome to perform and cannot be applied to transfusion of autologous RBC (e.g., to assess the effect of RBC storage media). As a result, the differential agglutination was largely replaced in the 1950s by methods based on labeling of RBC with a radioactive isotope. Among the radioactive isotopes, the one most commonly used is chromium 51 (^{51}Cr) (20). Although the ^{51}Cr method has been the reference method for determination of RBC survival for the several decades, ^{51}Cr method has disadvantages including: 1) ^{51}Cr binds reversibly to hemoglobin and elutes from RBCs at rates that vary unpredictable among individuals from 0.5% to 2.5% per day, leading to substantial error in measurement of RBC survival; 2) only one population of RBC can be studied simultaneously in the same subject; and 3) exposure of subjects to radiation (21, 22). Because radiation exposure for research purposes has become ethically unacceptable in studies of vulnerable populations such as infants, children, and pregnant women (20),

studies in these populations are no longer acceptable to regulatory bodies in Europe and the US.

In the past two decades, multiple studies have provided strong evidence that labeling RBC with nonradioactive biotin is practical, safe, and accurate for measuring RBC survival, even in vulnerable populations (7, 23, 24). Recently our group successfully measured autologous RBC in adults and the post-transfusion survival of both neonatal autologous and adult allogeneic RBC in infants using the biotin method (8, 9); the mean lifespan of autologous neonatal RBC was 52 days (15), which is considerably shorter than the approximately 120-day RBC lifespan observed for autologous and allogeneic adult RBC by us (9, 23) and others (25). The phenomenon of shorter lifespan of fetal RBC in the fetus than that of adult RBC in adults has been reported previously (26, 27). Although the mechanism(s) of the RBC lifespan differences remain to be elucidated, both intrinsic and extrinsic factors have been proposed as contributors (28, 29). Our observations using the multi-density biotin method allowed a novel head-to-head comparison and provides strong evidence that extrinsic factors (e.g., factors and events in the circulatory environment) are the primary determinants of RBC survival in healthy humans at different ages.

Human RBCs in healthy adults exhibit nonrandom removal (20), exhibiting senescence that is highly dependent on RBC age, i.e., as if there were an “alarm clock” for removal of senescent cells. Although RBC senescence has been extensively studied and several mechanisms governing senescence have been proposed, there is no widely accepted model of RBC senescence (19, 20). Allison reported that the total number of RBC recirculations was remarkably similar ($\sim 1.75 \times 10^5$ cycles) in three very different animal species (rat, dog, and man) and speculated that the oxygenation/deoxygenation that RBCs experience with each circulation contributes to membrane stress and senescence (10). Li and Li (29) proposed that the RBC goes through an osmotic shrinking and swelling cycle with each circulation of the body leading to mechanical failure of the red cell membrane. These investigators performed an *in vitro* experiment to evaluate the effect of osmotic stress on cell lysis (29). They found that 50% of human RBCs lyse after 1.0×10^5 osmotic cycles (i.e. $N_{\max} = 2.0 \times 10^5$ cycles), a number very close to that of Allison (10).

In the present study we extrapolated the $N_{\max}$ concept of limited work capacity (i.e., $N_{\max}$ is relatively constant) to neonatal RBCs and found that it also holds true in human infants. Therefore, although the exact mechanism of RBC senescence is not completely understood, it appears that the “alarm clock” for cell senescence and removal is triggered when a normal RBC circulates approximately 1.75×10^5 times. This phenomenon allows us to estimate RBC lifespan simply based on the two physiological parameters—cardiac output and blood volume—that control the number of circulatory cycles that RBCs experience per unit time.

Importantly, because of this purely *extrinsic* design feature of the model, we speculate that the model offers a useful tool for comparative evaluation of the contribution of *intrinsic* factors expressed as differences in the LS parameter. Our model for RBC LS estimation may have important clinical applications in diabetes research. For example, it is known that the level of HbA1c is dependent on not only blood glucoses but also the mean age of RBC in the circulation. It has been reported that HbA1c increases linearly with the increase in mean

RBC age, with the values ranging from very low in reticulocytes to several fold higher in the oldest RBC (4). Although RBC lifespan is a known determinant of HbA1c level, its variation has been considered insignificant to impact clinical decisions. This may not be the case based on our model estimation, which clearly indicates that RBC lifespans are much shorter in the pediatric population compared to adults. For example, based on our model estimation, the RBC lifespan in an 8-year old child is around 82 days, which is only two-third of the adult RBC lifespan (120 days). As the mean age of RBC in the circulation of children is considerably younger, even the blood glucose level is same as that in adults, the percentage of HbA1c will be lower in children. Therefore, we estimate that, without taking RBC lifespan into account, with current cut-off values of HbA1c for diagnosis, children are prone to have false negatives for diabetes diagnosis compared to adults, and the younger the child, the higher the risk of missing cases of diabetes. Indeed, in line with our estimation, it has been reported that HbA1c is not as reliable a test for identifying children with diabetes and pre-diabetes compared with adults and using of the current cut-off value of HbA1c in children may lead to missed cases (30–32). In addition, Hosking et al reported that HbA1c level rises with age through childhood (33). Except for infants, RBC lifespan in other children groups has not been evaluated before. As our physiologically-based mathematical model can estimate RBC lifespan in different ages of children, our model could play important role in improving diabetes diagnosis as well as improving clinical care decisions to the treatment of diabetes in children.

Cohen et al evaluated RBC survival in 6 healthy adults and 6 adults with diabetes mellitus (4). They found that the RBC mean age (i.e. T_{50}) in these two populations is very close: $T_{50} = 50.7 \pm 6.9$ days in healthy adults and 47.9 ± 6.0 days in subjects with diabetes mellitus (the difference is only 5.5%, $p=0.47$). Based on Cohen's data, we infer that that diabetes has minimal impact on cardiac output and blood volume. In addition to diabetes, it seems that cancer also has little impact on cardiac output and blood - Luten et al (2) evaluated the RBC survival in 10 cancer patients and they found that the mean RBC lifespan is 116 days (with CV% of 13.9%), which is in line with the RBC lifespan of 120 days observed in healthy subjects. Additionally, Korell et al evaluated RBC survival in both patient with chronic kidney disease and healthy subjects using ^{51}Cr method and their data showed that there is little difference in disappearance of ^{51}Cr label from the circulation between CKD patients and healthy subjects (34), indicating minimal impact of chronic kidney disease on cardiac output and blood volume. Based on the information listed above, it is reasonable to speculate that our model can be used to estimate RBC lifespan in not only healthy subjects, but also patients with diabetes, cancer patients, and patients with chronic kidney disease. Overall there is very limited information on effect of disease state on cardiac output and blood volume. For other diseases and conditions that have not as yet been tested, cautious are needed when the model is applied to those patients.

The current version of our mathematical model for RBC lifespan estimation has important limitations. The model estimates the age-dependent RBC lifespan uses population mean value of cardiac output and blood volume in different ages of healthy subjects. If a patient has certain diseases where cardiac output and/or blood volume are influenced, the patient specific cardiac output and blood volume need to be obtained before using this mathematical model to estimate the RBC lifespan in that particular patient. In addition, our model is not

suitable for estimating RBC lifespan in patients who has abnormal RBC lifespan due to abnormal RBCs (i.e. intrinsic factors). An example of this is sickle cell disease. For patients with sickle cell disease, their red cells are sickle-shaped, which are not flexible and can not change shape easily. As a result, those cells tend to burst apart or hemolyze and have a much shorter lifespan (10–20 days) than the normal RBCs estimated by our model (35).

Another limitation of our current model is that it only estimates the mean age-dependent RBC lifespan. Overall the variability of RBC survival is reported to be low. Using biotin method, we found that the average RBC lifespan is 52.1 days with CV% of 20.7% in infants (15) and 121.8 days with CV% of 6.9% in adults (9). Cohen et al also found that the variability of RBC mean age is relatively low, with CV% of 13.6% in healthy adults and 12.5% in DM subjects (4). We also evaluated RBC survival using ^{51}Cr method and the RBC lifespan is 116.0 days with CV% of 13.8% (23).

In summary, we constructed a novel physiologically-based mathematical model which can be used to quickly estimate RBC lifespan in different age groups in human. Our model may also have important clinical applications that are especially relevant to neonatal anemia and diabetes in the pediatric population. Future work will require: 1) validating our model by conducting clinical trials to evaluate RBC lifespan in child and adolescence and incorporating the variability of RBC lifespan in the model after the clinical data in child and adolescence is available; 2) strengthening our model by incorporating extrinsic factors (inflammation, oxygen injury, disease states, biomarkers and other as yet unknown factors), along with intrinsic factors (e.g., RBC deformability and mean corpuscular volume) as covariates in our model, potentially allowing estimating RBC lifespan in not only healthy subjects but also patients with specific diseases. Further extension of this research will be important in better defining HbA1c as a biomarker for diabetes by correcting for the influence of RBC lifespan estimable by this and future work.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge helpful discussions with Robert M. Cohen, MD (University of Cincinnati) and Robert S. Franco, PhD (University of Cincinnati), regarding clinical applications of the present study. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) US Public Health Service Program Project Grant 2 P01 HL046925 and the National Center for Research Resources, a part of the NIH, Grant Number UL1TR000039. We acknowledge Sysmex for the loan of their hematology analyzer. We thank Iowa's neonatal nurse research team. We also acknowledge the research laboratory teams in University of Iowa and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences. We are grateful to the families of study subjects in allowing their infants to participate.

Abbreviations

RBC	red blood cell
CO	cardiac output
BV	blood volume
BW	body weight
BioRBC	biotin-labeled red blood cells

References

1. Franco RS, Yasin Z, Lohmann JM, Palascak MB, Nemeth TA, Weiner M, et al. The survival characteristics of dense sickle cells. *Blood*. 2000; 96(10):3610–7. [PubMed: 11071661]
2. Luten M, Roerdinkholder-Stoelwinder B, Schaap NP, de Grip WJ, Bos HJ, Bosman GJ. Survival of red blood cells after transfusion: a comparison between red cells concentrates of different storage periods. *Transfusion*. 2008; 48(7):1478–85. DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2008.01734.x [PubMed: 18482180]
3. Strauss RG, Mock DM, Widness JA, Johnson K, Cress G, Schmidt RL. Posttransfusion 24-hour recovery and subsequent survival of allogeneic red blood cells in the bloodstream of newborn infants. *Transfusion*. 2004; 44(6):871–6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2004.03393.x [PubMed: 15157254]
4. Cohen RM, Franco RS, Khera PK, Smith EP, Lindsell CJ, Ciraolo PJ, et al. Red cell life span heterogeneity in hematologically normal people is sufficient to alter HbA1c. *Blood*. 2008; 112(10):4284–91. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2008-04-154112 [PubMed: 18694998]
5. Khera PK, Smith EP, Lindsell CJ, Rogge MC, Haggerty S, Wagner DA, et al. Use of an oral stable isotope label to confirm variation in red blood cell mean age that influences HbA1c interpretation. *American journal of hematology*. 2015; 90(1):50–5. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23866 [PubMed: 25293624]
6. Ashby W. The determination of the length of life of transfused blood corpuscles in man. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 1919; 29(3):267–81. [PubMed: 19868318]
7. Mock DM, Widness JA, Veng-Pedersen P, Strauss RG, Cancelas JA, Cohen RM, et al. Measurement of posttransfusion red cell survival with the biotin label. *Transfusion medicine reviews*. 2014; 28(3):114–25. DOI: 10.1016/j.tmr.2014.03.003 [PubMed: 24969019]
8. Widness JA, Nalbant D, Matthews NI, Strauss RG, Schmidt RL, Cress GA, et al. Tracking donor RBC survival in premature infants: agreement of multiple populations of biotin-labeled RBCs with Kidd antigen-mismatched RBCs. *Pediatric research*. 2013; 74(6):689–97. DOI: 10.1038/pr.2013.163 [PubMed: 24108188]
9. Mock DM, Matthews NI, Zhu S, Strauss RG, Schmidt RL, Nalbant D, et al. Red blood cell (RBC) survival determined in humans using RBCs labeled at multiple biotin densities. *Transfusion*. 2011; 51(5):1047–57. DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2010.02926.x [PubMed: 21062290]
10. Allison AC. Turnovers of erythrocytes and plasma proteins in mammals. *Nature*. 1960; 188:37–40. [PubMed: 13682590]
11. Hirsimaki H, Kero P, Wanne O, Erkkola R, Makoi Z. Doppler-derived cardiac output in healthy newborn infants in relation to physiological patency of the ductus arteriosus. *Pediatric cardiology*. 1988; 9(2):79–83. DOI: 10.1007/BF02083704 [PubMed: 3399430]
12. Sisson TR, Lund CJ, Whalen LE, Telek A. The blood volume of infants. I. The full-term infant in the first year of life. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 1959; 55(2):163–79. [PubMed: 13673355]
13. de Simone G, Devereux RB, Daniels SR, Mureddu G, Roman MJ, Kimball TR, et al. Stroke volume and cardiac output in normotensive children and adults. Assessment of relations with body size and impact of overweight. *Circulation*. 1997; 95(7):1837–43. [PubMed: 9107171]
14. Leffler S, Hayes M. Analysis of parental estimates of children's weights in the ED. *Annals of emergency medicine*. 1997; 30(2):167–70. [PubMed: 9250640]
15. Kuruvilla DJ, Widness JA, Nalbant D, Schmidt RL, Mock DM, Veng-Pedersen P. A Method to Evaluate Fetal Erythropoiesis from Postnatal Survival of Fetal RBCs. *AAPS J*. 2015; 17(5):1246–54. DOI: 10.1208/s12248-015-9784-y [PubMed: 26017162]
16. Williams L. Reference Values for Total Blood Volume and Cardiac Output in Humans. 1994
17. <http://reference.medscape.com/calculator/estimated-blood-volume>.
18. Landaw SA. Factors that accelerate or retard red blood cell senescence. *Blood cells*. 1988; 14(1):47–67. [PubMed: 3052634]
19. Franco RS. Measurement of red cell lifespan and aging. *Transfusion medicine and hemotherapy: offizielles Organ der Deutschen Gesellschaft fur Transfusionsmedizin und Immunhamatologie*. 2012; 39(5):302–7. DOI: 10.1159/000342232 [PubMed: 23801920]
20. Franco RS. The measurement and importance of red cell survival. *American journal of hematology*. 2009; 84(2):109–14. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.21298 [PubMed: 19025796]

21. Recommended methods for radioisotope red-cell survival studies. A report by the ICSH panel on diagnostic applications of radioisotopes in haematology. *British journal of haematology*. 1971; 21(2):241–50. [PubMed: 5559985]
22. Mollison P, Engelfriet C, Contreras M. *The transfusion of red cells*. Blackwell Scientific Publications. 1987:99–115.
23. Mock DM, Lankford GL, Widness JA, Burmeister LF, Kahn D, Strauss RG. Measurement of red cell survival using biotin-labeled red cells: validation against ⁵¹Cr-labeled red cells. *Transfusion*. 1999; 39(2):156–62. [PubMed: 10037125]
24. Hoffmann-Fezer G, Maschke H, Zeitler HJ, Gais P, Heger W, Ellwart J, et al. Direct in vivo biotinylation of erythrocytes as an assay for red cell survival studies. *Annals of hematology*. 1991; 63(4):214–7. [PubMed: 1932301]
25. Mollison, P. *Blood Transfusion in Clinical Medicine*. 7th. Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1983. p. 93-110.
26. Pearson HA. Life-span of the fetal red blood cell. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 1967; 70(2):166–71. [PubMed: 5334979]
27. Hollingsworth JW. Lifespan of fetal erythrocytes. *The Journal of laboratory and clinical medicine*. 1955; 45(3):469–73. [PubMed: 14354333]
28. Egberts J, Hardeman MR, Luyckx LM. Decreased deformability of donor red blood cells after intrauterine transfusion in the human fetus: possible reason for their reduced life span? *Transfusion*. 2004; 44(8):1231–7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2004.04014.x [PubMed: 15265129]
29. Li CK, Li EK. Mechanical fatigue as a possible determinant of in vivo longevity of red blood cells. *IEEE transactions on bio-medical engineering*. 1983; 30(4):226–8. [PubMed: 6862501]
30. Lee JM, Wu EL, Tarini B, Herman WH, Yoon E. Diagnosis of diabetes using hemoglobin A1c: should recommendations in adults be extrapolated to adolescents? *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2011; 158(6):947–52. e1–3. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.11.026 [PubMed: 21195416]
31. Nowicka P, Santoro N, Liu H, Lartaud D, Shaw MM, Goldberg R, et al. Utility of hemoglobin A(1c) for diagnosing prediabetes and diabetes in obese children and adolescents. *Diabetes care*. 2011; 34(6):1306–11. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-1984 [PubMed: 21515842]
32. Vehik K, Cuthbertson D, Boulware D, Beam CA, Rodriguez H, Legault L, et al. Performance of HbA1c as an early diagnostic indicator of type 1 diabetes in children and youth. *Diabetes care*. 2012; 35(9):1821–5. DOI: 10.2337/dc12-0111 [PubMed: 22699293]
33. Hosking J, Metcalf BS, Jeffery AN, Streeter AJ, Voss LD, Wilkin TJ. Divergence between HbA1c and fasting glucose through childhood: implications for diagnosis of impaired fasting glucose (Early Bird 52). *Pediatric diabetes*. 2014; 15(3):214–9. [PubMed: 25705748]
34. Korell J, Vos FE, Coulter CV, Schollum JB, Walker RJ, Duffull SB. Modeling red blood cell survival data. *Journal of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics*. 2011; 38(6):787–801. DOI: 10.1007/s10928-011-9220-6 [PubMed: 21997468]
35. McCurdy PR, Mahmood L, Sherman AS. Red cell life span in sickle cell-hemoglobin C disease with a note about sickle cell-hemoglobin O ARAB. *Blood*. 1975; 45(2):273–9. [PubMed: 1120186]
36. Widness JA, Kuruvilla DJ, Mock DM, Matthews NI, Nalbant D, Gress GA, et al. Post-transfusion red cell survival of neonatal autologous and adult donor biotin labeled RBC measured concurrently in very low birth weight neonates are not different. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2015 epub ahead of print.
37. Sisson TR, Whalen LE, Telek A. The blood volume of infants. II. The premature infant during the first year of life. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 1959; 55:430–46. [PubMed: 14447226]
38. <http://www.disabled-world.com/artman/publish/height-weight-teens.shtml>.

Figure 1.

A) Calculated $N_{\max}$ and RBC lifespan in the rat, dog and man (10); $N_{\max}$ values were calculated using Eq. 1. B) hypothesized $N_{\max}$ and RBC lifespan across different age groups within a species.

Figure 2.

The survival curves for the autologous adult BioRBC transfused in an individual adult (9), as well as autologous neonatal BioRBC and allogeneic adult donor BioRBC transfused in an individual infant.

Figure 3.

$N_{\max}$ RBC lifespan representation in the rat, dog, man and human infants (10, 15). For the rat, dog, and man, $N_{\max}$ values were calculated using Eq. 1. For human infants, $N_{\max}$ value was calculated using Eq. 7.

Figure 4.

Model estimated RBC lifespan in different age groups in human. Figure 4a shows RBC lifespans that were estimated using only Eqs. 12–15 without a correction function. Figure 4b represents the estimated RBC lifespans with a correction function applied. For 0–1 year old group, the correction function is $y=1-0.1265*t$ (in years). For 1–10 year old group, the correction function is $y=1.1897-0.0204*t$ (in years). For 10–18 year old group, the correction function are $y=1.0362-0.00214*t$ (in years) and $y=1.3502-0.01793*t$ (in years), for boys and girls, respectively.

Figure 5.

The estimated RBC lifespan with correction factors vs the square root of age ($\sqrt{t}$) in a) male and b) female. Weibull function was used to fit the data. The estimated parameters (a, b, c, d, and e) are listed in Table 4.

Table 1

Demographic summary for all subjects

Demographic	Mean $\pm$ SD	Min ~ Max
BioRBC study in adults (N=8)		
Age (yr)	54.0 $\pm$ 12.2	23 – 62
Weight (kg)	82.7 $\pm$ 21.6	57.2 – 115
Height (cm)	173.0 $\pm$ 8.7	164 – 185
Sex	3 males (37.5%), 5 females (62.5%)	
BioRBC study in infants [#] (N=15)		
Gestational Age at birth (wk)	25.4 $\pm$ 1.2	23.1 – 27.1
Postnatal age at study (d)	3.2 $\pm$ 3.4	0.2 – 13.6
Postnatal Weight at study (g)	741 $\pm$ 156	564 – 1102
Autologous RBC initial enrichment	2.35% $\pm$ 0.70%	0.86% – 3.27%
Allogeneic RBC initial enrichment	3.48% $\pm$ 0.83%	2.01% – 4.73%
Sex	5 Males (33.3%), 10 Females (66.7%)	

[#] results in infant study were obtained from previous publication (36)

Table 2

Formula and equations of BW-normalized cardiac output, BW-normalized blood volume, and BW in different age groups

	Age groups (yr)	General formula	Equations	References
BW-normalized cardiac output (ml/min/kg)	0–1	$a_1 - b_1 \times BW$	$(273 - 7.875 \times BW)$	(11)
	1–10	$a_2 \times BW^{b_2}$	$928 \times BW^{-0.621}$	(13)
	10–18	$a_3 \times BW^{b_3}$	$928 \times BW^{-0.621}$	(13)
	>18	$a_4 \times BW^{b_4}$	$235 \times BW^{-0.29}$	(13)
BW-normalized blood volume (ml/kg)	0–1	$c_1 - d_1 \times t$	$(109 - 0.00005556 \times AGE_0) - 0.00001556 \times t$ (in min)	(12, 37)
	1–10	c_2	80	
	10–18	c_3	75 (boy); 65 (girl)	(16, 17, 38)
	>18	c_4	75 (male); 65 (female)	(16, 17)
BW (kg)	0–1	$m_1 + h_1 \times t$	$BW_0^* + 0.00001558 \times t$ (in min)	(14)
	1–10	$m_2 + h_2 \times t$	$10 + 0.0000038 \times t$ (in min)	(14)
	10–18	m_3	BW remains unchanged during RBC lifespan	(38)
	>18	$m_4^{\#}$	BW remains unchanged during RBC lifespan	

* BW_0 is 4 kg

$\#$ assume 70 kg for male and 60 kg for female

Table 3

estimated RBC lifespan (with and without correction functions) in different age groups. The detailed information on the correction function can be found in the Results section.

Age	Estimated RBC lifespan without correction (d)	Estimated RBC lifespan with correction (d)
Term? Newborn	54.6	54.6
6-month old	58.9	55.2
12-month old	66.1	57.7
1-year old	49.4	57.7
2-year old	54.3	62.4
5-year old	67.7	73.6
8-year old	79.6	81.7
10-year old	86.9	85.7
10-year old	84.5	85.7
14-year old (boy)	113	113
14-year old (girl)	93.8	103
16-year old (boy)	126	126
16-year old (girl)	101	107
18-year old (boy)	133	133
18-year old (girl)	104	107
Male adult with 70 kg	133	133
Female adult with 60 kg	110	110

Table 4

Final parameters for RBC lifespan estimation using Weibull function.

Parameters	Male Estimate (Std. Error)	Female Estimate (Std. Error)
a	80.1 (2.2)	56.8 (1.6)
b	1863000 (4122000)	1107000 (3364000)
c	2457000 (5471000)	1190000 (3596000)
d (day)	54.9 (1.2)	51.4 (1.2)
e (year ^{0.5})	3.27 (0.04)	2.67 (0.05)