Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2017 May 19; 19(10): 2518–2521. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00771.

One-Pot Metal-Free Conversion of Anilines to Aryl Bromides and Iodides

Derek A. Leas[†], Yuxiang Dong[†], Jonathan L. Vennerstrom[†], and Douglas E. Stack[‡]

[†]Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska 68198-6125, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Nebraska 68182, United States

Abstract

A metal-free synthesis of aryl bromides and iodides from anilines via halogen abstraction from bromotrichloromethane and diiodomethane is described. This one-pot reaction affords aryl halides from the corresponding anilines in moderate to excellent yields without isolation of diazonium salts. The transformation has short reaction times, a simple workup, and insensitivity to moisture and air and avoids excess halogenation. DFT calculations support a $S_{RN}1$ mechanism. This method represents a convenient alternative to the classic Sandmeyer reaction.

Graphical abstract

Aryl halides are pivotal intermediates in palladium- and copper-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.¹ Haloarenes are also important structural motifs in bioactive compounds.^{2–5} Ever since Sandmeyer reported that aryl diazonium halides undergo copper halide catalyzed decomposition into haloarenes, multiple reaction variants have been developed such as in situ diazotization,⁶ substitutive deamination,⁷ phase-transfer catalysis,^{8,9} and nucleophilic substitution.¹⁰ Although these previous syntheses of aryl halides from aryl amines produce satisfactory yields, they suffer from numerous competing reactions, time-consuming workup, diazonium salt isolation, and excess halogenation.^{7,11–13}

A potentially attractive alternative to conventional aryl halide syntheses is free-radical-mediated halogen abstraction. Halogen abstraction was proposed by Oae et al. in the

Correspondence to: Douglas E. Stack.

Supporting Information: The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00771.

Experimental procedures; spectra for all new compounds; computational details of for the production of Figure 3 (PDF)

Notes: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

conversion of anilines, treated with *tert*-butyl thionitrate in the presence of bromoform and iodide, to aryl bromides and iodides.¹⁴ Free-radical generation offers halogen abstraction in solution under mild reaction conditions compatible with sensitive substrates. Recently Burglova et al.¹⁵ described a procedure for one-step deuterium introduction by deamination of anilines (Scheme 1). Using this as a springboard, we explored the conversion of anilines to the corresponding aryl bromides and iodides. We now describe a convenient one-pot method for the metal-free synthesis of aryl bromides and iodides from anilines by halogen abstraction from BrCCl₃ and CH₂I₂ under weakly acidic conditions.

We began model reaction development by exploring the reaction of 4-aminobenzonitrile (**1a**) (Table 1, entry 1) with NaNO₂ and a large molar excess of BrCCl₃ in the presence of HOAc using a CH₂Cl₂/H₂O two-phase solvent system for 24 h at rt. Under these conditions, 4-bromobenzonitrile (**2a**) was generated in good yield (70%). Using 2 equiv of BrCCl₃ and a reaction time of 1 h (Table 1, entry 5), we found that aniline **1a** was converted to aryl halide **2a** in 84% yield. Thus, excess halogen atom source had a small effect on product formation and was optimal at 2 equiv with 5 equiv of NaNO₂. Changing the acid source from HOAc to HCl (entry 8) diminished the yield of product **2a** slightly (75%).

When CCl₄ was used as the halogen source (entry 10), benzonitrile was formed, suggesting hydrogen abstraction from CH₂Cl₂ is faster than chlorine abstraction. CBr₄ and CH₂I₂ afforded the corresponding halide products **2a** and **3a** in moderate to good yields (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). When we ran the reaction under Ar (Table 1, entry 14), the yield of **2a** increased only slightly (90% vs 84%). Increasing the reaction temperature from rt to 70 °C (Table 1, entry 15) eroded the yield (64%) due to generation of benzonitrile (7%), 4-nitrobenzonitrile (5%), and 4,4'-(1-triazene-1,3-diyl)bis-benzonitrile (21%). Although a single-phase CH₃CN/H₂O system (Table 1, entry 9) gave a yield similar to that of the biphasic CH₂Cl₂/H₂O system (Table 1, entry 5), the latter is preferred due to the solubilizing power of CH₂Cl₂, easy diazotization in the water layer, and convenient separation of final products from inorganics and salts.^{9,15}

We investigated the scope of the new reaction using the conditions from entries 5 and 13 (Table 1) to synthesize a range of aryl bromides and iodides (Figure 1). The reaction worked well with electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) such as trifluoromethyl (**2b**, **2h**, **3k**), ester (**2d**, **3b**), nitro (**2e**, **3c**), ketone (**2k**), aldehyde (**2l**), sulfone (**2s**), and pentafluor-osulfanyl (**3j** and **3l**).

Bromination of *p*-anisidine afforded **2j** in 30% yield and generated several byproducts in the aqueous phase (Figure 2) including the hydrogen abstraction product anisole (**4a**) and the dimeric triazene **4b**, diazo **4c**, and biphenyl **4d**. However, when we added 2 equiv of NaN₃ prior to reaction workup, GC/MS analysis of the reaction mixture revealed generation of **2j** and 1-azido-4-methoxybenzene (**5a**, SI). This indirectly demonstrates that a large amount of 4-methoxybenzenediazo-nium remains unreacted in the aqueous layer, indicating that the electron-donating *p*-methoxy group deters the diazonium from decaying into the aryl radical intermediate. Hence, diazonium decomposition can be considered the rate-limiting step (RLS) for this reaction. When we extended the reaction time from 1 to 24 h, the yield of **2j** increased to 44%. Increasing the reaction temperature to 70 °C improved the yield of **2j** to

84%. These results suggest the lower yields obtained for electron-rich anilines can be attributed to the high stability of the diazonium intermediate at rt. *m*-Anisidine generated **2x** and **3h** in low yields (26% and 24%). Extending the reaction time and increasing the temperature did not increase reaction yields. We detected no azide reaction product after addition of NaN₃ to the aqueous layers, suggesting extensive byproduct formation in these reactions.

Unexpectedly, for electron-rich anilines, reaction yields tended to increase when CH₂I₂ was used as the halogen source (**3d** vs **2j**, **3g** vs **2u**), although for the latter reaction, the major product was 1,3-dimethoxybenzene. Other relatively electron-rich anilines afforded the corresponding iodides **3i**, **3m**, and **3n** in moderate to good yields. We hypothesize that the reaction generates iodide (eq 1) in situ, which can catalyze aryl radical formation as iodide is a better reducing agent than acetate. The presence of iodide is also supported by the observation that the solution developed a burgundy iodine color as a result of iodide oxidation.^{16,17}

4-Bromobenzoic acid (**2c**) was produced in only 10% yield (Figure 1); multiple byproducts were detected via GC/MS, such as the triazene and azo dimers and the hydrogen abstraction product benzoic acid. Adding NaN₃ to the aqueous layer produced a large amount 4-azidobenzoic acid (**5b**, SI), suggesting that the unreacted diazonium intermediate is not highly soluble in CH₂Cl₂. When a water/CH₃CN solvent system (Table 1, entry 9) was used, **2c** was produced in 43% yield. Moreover, when the temperature was increased to 70 °C for 24 h, the yield of **2c** increased to 90%. This result indicates that the low initial yield of **2c** was due to poor solubility and later decomposition of the diazonium intermediate in the organic layer. Amide **2i** was also produced in low yield (34%) using the CH₂Cl₂/water solvent system at rt. However, when we used the water/CH₃CN solvent system (Table 1, entry 9) at 70 °C for 24 h, the yield of **2i** increased to 91%.

4-Bromobenzonitrile (**2a**), 2-bromobenzonitrile (**2p**), and 3-bromobenzonitrile (**2t**) were produced in yields ranging from 80 to 89%. 2-Bromobenzimidazole (**2n**) was produced in 33% yield from the corresponding amine. The unsubstituted 4-aminosulfanilamide gave only low yields (10–27%) of the aryl bromide reaction product **2v**, whereas the fully substituted 4-amino-*N,N*-dimethylbenzenesulfonamide afforded the corresponding reaction product **2w** in high (98%) yield. Pyridines **2m** and **2o** were produced in relatively low yields due to dimerization side products.

For this reaction, it appears that electron-deficient anilines afford higher yields than electron-rich anilines. However, increased reaction times and temperatures can improve the yields for electron-rich anilines. Additionally, a H₂O/CH₃CN solvent system can increase yields of aryl halides from anilines with low solubility in CH₂Cl₂.

To gain insight into the mechanistic details of this conversion, the reaction of **1a** was conducted in the presence of 2 equiv of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl);

under these conditions 4-((2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)-oxy)benzonitrile (Scheme 2, **6a**) was formed in 50% yield. These results indicate the reaction proceeds through an aryl free radical resulting from homolytic bond cleavage of the diazonium cation.

To assess the proposed reaction mechanism (Scheme 3) and to gain further insight into the origin of the effect of aryl substituents on diazonium degradation, we performed a computational study. We have already described that substantial quantities of unreacted diazonium (**A**, Scheme 3) intermediates remain in the aqueous layer for electron-rich anilines, consistent with the low yields for these substrates. Thus, one can consider the RLS to be that of diazonium degradation via homolytic bond cleavage to form a phenyl free radical (Scheme 3).

To explore the substituent effects of this reaction, a linear free energy relationship (LFER) study using DFT calculations was conducted. Relative thermodynamic stabilities of substituted diazonium cations and phenyl radicals compared to the unsubstituted phenyl counterparts were modeled using the UB3LYP functional. Relative free energy differences between substituted and unsubstituted substrates were obtained using the exchange reaction in Scheme S1. A combination of 12 para-substituted electron-donating and -withdrawing groups (EWG) were modeled on aryl radical and diazonium aromatic rings. Hammett plots were prepared by plotting the $\log(K_R/K_H)$, derived from the relative free energy differences, calculated using the exchange reaction in Scheme S1, versus Hammett substituent constants^{20–22} σ_p (Figure 3) and σ_p^+ (Figure S1). Full computational details, calculations, and thermodynamic data are contained in the SI.

On the basis of the overall understanding of Sandmeyer-type reactions and previous mechanistic investigations,^{9,18,19} we propose an $S_{RN}1$ -like mechanism (Scheme 3). First, the aniline reacts with NaNO_2 to produce diazonium salt intermediate **A**. Then, a single-electron transfer from acetate causes diazonium decomposition to afford N_2 , aryl radical **B**, and acetoxy radical **C**. Subsequently, radical **B** abstracts halide from the halogen source to produce the trichloromethyl radical, which then either combines with the remaining acetoxy radical **C** to generate active ester **D** or dimerizes to produce hexachloro-ethane **E**. Active ester **D** decomposes in aqueous conditions, and although we could not directly detect **D**, we were able to detect minute amounts of phenyl acetate and large amounts of **E** during the reaction, data which supports this mechanism.⁹

As seen by the linear correlations in Figure 3 and Figure S1, the etiology of the substituent effects on the degradation of aryl diazoniums to the corresponding aryl radicals is affected in a consistent way. The relative free energy differences of the exchange reaction divided by -1.36 kcal/mol represent the $\log(K_R/K_H)$ used in LFER plots (derivation is shown in the SI). The RLS (Scheme 3) involves a substantial decrease in positive charge in going from diazonium cation to the free radical and should display a LFER with a large positive slope, ρ . Figure 3 shows the $\log(K_R/K_H)$ versus σ_p Hammett substituent constants with good linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.94$), indicating the *para* EWG substituents are generating partial positive character on the *ipso* aryl diazonium carbon with a combination of both inductive and resonance effects. The slope in Figure 3 is greater than that of Figure S1, $\rho = 8.8$ and 6.3 ,

respectively, indicating greater substituent sensitivity to both inductive and resonance effects (contained in the σ_p constants) as opposed to just resonance effects.

We next evaluated the bond lengths in order to establish whether a correlation is present between aryl-substituent and aryldiazonium bond distance since increased bond lengths favor homolytic bond cleavage. Figure S2 shows the change in bond lengths versus σ_p for the substituted diazonium cations which revealed good correlation, $R^2 = 0.91$. Moreover, when we plotted the change in C–N aryldiazonium bond frequency versus σ_p (Figure S3), the electron-rich aromatic rings have higher frequency C–N bond vibrations relative to electron deficit aromatic rings, supporting a mechanism where the RLS involves homolytic bond cleavage.

The picture that emerges from the DFT calculations is one in which *para* EWGs weaken the *ipso* carbon-diazonium bond, prompting homolytic bond cleavage to produce an aryl radical which then abstracts a halogen atom. In cases such as 3d and 3n, we hypothesize that iodide generated in situ catalyzes the formation of aryl radicals. Overall, the results from Figures 1, 3, S1, and S2 demonstrate that the yield of aryl halide product is tuned in response to the electron-withdrawing and electron-donating ability of the aryl-ring substituents.

In conclusion, we have developed a Sandmeyer-type synthesis of aryl halides from anilines. This metal-free transformation is operationally simple, tolerates a variety of functional groups, and can be conducted under mild acidic conditions without the exclusion of air.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the National Institutes of Health (AI097802-02 and AI116723-01) for financial support.

References

1. Nicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2005; 44:4442–4489.
2. Cabrita MT, Vale C, Rauter AP. *Mar Drugs.* 2010; 8:2301–2317. [PubMed: 20948909]
3. Wilcken R, Zimmermann MO, Lange A, Joerger AC, Boeckler FM. *J Med Chem.* 2013; 56:1363–1388. [PubMed: 23145854]
4. Lu Y, Liu Y, Xu Z, Li H, Liu H, Zhu W. *Expert Opin Drug Discovery.* 2012; 7:375–383.
5. Xu Z, Yang Z, Liu Y, Lu Y, Chen K, Zhu W. *J Chem Inf Model.* 2014; 54:69–78. [PubMed: 24372485]
6. Cadogan JIG, Roy DA, Smith DM. *J Chem Soc C.* 1966:1249–1250.
7. Doyle MP, Siegfried B, Dellaria JF Jr. *J Org Chem.* 1977; 42:2426–2431.
8. Bartsch RA, Yang IW. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979; 20:2503–2504.
9. Korzeniowski SH, Blum L, Gokel GW. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977; 18:1871–1874.
10. Lucas HJ, Kennedy ER. *Org Synth.* 1943; 2:351.
11. Hodgson HH, Walker J. *J Chem Soc.* 1933:1620–1621.
12. Karimi Zarchi MA, Mousavi SZ. *J Polym Res.* 2014; 21:1–9.
13. Ku H, Barrio JR. *J Org Chem.* 1981; 46:5239–5241.
14. Oae S, Shinham K, Kim YH. *Chem Lett.* 1979; 8:939–942.

15. Burglova K, Okorochenkova S. Hlavac Org Lett. 2016; 18:3342–3345. [PubMed: 27378277]
16. Abeywickrema AN, Beckwith ALJ. J Org Chem. 1987; 52:2568–2571.
17. Meyer GJ, Roessler K, Stoecklin G. J Am Chem Soc. 1979; 101:3121–3123.
18. Wang S, Qiu D, Mo F, Zhang Y, Wang J. J Org Chem. 2016; 81:11603–11611. [PubMed: 27792351]
19. Kochi JK. J Am Chem Soc. 1957; 79:2942–2948.
20. Hansch C, Leo A, Taft RW. Chem Rev. 1991; 91:165–195.
21. McDaniel DH, Brown HC. J Org Chem. 1958; 23:420–427.
22. Swain CG, Lupton EC Jr. J Am Chem Soc. 1968; 90:4328–4337.

Figure 1.

Aniline substrate scope. Key: (a) 23 °C, 24 h.; (b) 70 °C, 24 h, in a sealed vessel; (c) CH₃CN, 23 °C, 1 h; (d) CH₃CN, 70 °C, 24 h, in a sealed vessel.

Figure 2.
Aqueous phase byproducts.

Figure 3.
Hammet plot of calculated $\log(K_R/K_H)$ values vs σ_p values in CH_2Cl_2 solvent.

(a) Previous work by Burglova et al.

(b) This work

Scheme 1. Aromatic Deamination

Scheme 2. Aryl Radical Trapping Experiment

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism

Table 1

Optimization of the Reaction Conditions

entry	NaNO ₂ (equiv)	halogen source (equiv)	acid (equiv)	solvent system	time (h)	temp (°C)
1	5.7	BrCCl ₃ (57)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	24	23
2	5.7	BrCCl ₃ (57)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
3	5	BrCCl ₃ (5.3)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
4	10	BrCCl ₃ (5)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
5	5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
6	2.5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
7	1.5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
8	5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HCl (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
9	5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O	1	23
10	5	CCl ₄ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
11	5		HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
12	5	CBr ₄ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
13	5	CH ₂ I ₂ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
14	5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	23
15	5	BrCCl ₃ (2)	HOAc (20)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O	1	70
						64 ^e

^aReaction conditions: 1a (1.7 mmol), NaNO₂, halogen source, and solvent system (5 mL each of organic solvent and H₂O) were added to a reaction flask and stirred (5 min). Acid source was slowly added in one portion with stirring, and the reaction was covered with a balloon for the specified time.

^bIsolated yield is listed unless stated otherwise.

^cYield determined by GC/MS.

^dUnder Ar atmosphere.

^eThe reaction was conducted under atmosphere in a sealed vessel.