Prefrontal cortex and sensory cortices during working memory: quantity and quality

Yixuan Ku¹, Mark Bodner², Yong-Di Zhou^{3,4}

¹Key Laboratory of Brain Functional Genomics, Ministry of Education, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Brain Functional Genomics, Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, School of Psychology and Cognitive Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China

²MIND Research Institute, Irvine, California, USA

³Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴NYU-ECNU Institute of Brain and Cognitive Science at NYU Shanghai, Shanghai 200122, China

Corresponding authors: Yixuan Ku. E-mail: yixuanku@gmail.com

© Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, CAS and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

The activity in sensory cortices and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) throughout the delay interval of working memory (WM) tasks reflect two aspects of WM—quality and quantity, respectively. The delay activity in sensory cortices is fine-tuned to sensory information and forms the neural basis of the precision of WM storage, while the delay activity in the PFC appears to represent behavioral goals and filters out irrelevant distractions, forming the neural basis of the quantity of task-relevant information in WM. The PFC and sensory cortices interact through different frequency bands of neuronal oscillation (theta, alpha, and gamma) to fulfill goal-directed behaviors.

Keywords: working memory; prefrontal cortex; capacity limit; memory precision; neuronal oscillations

Introduction

Working memory (WM) refers to the cognitive processes of maintaining and storing information in the short term (usually seconds) for subsequent goal-directed action^[1–3]. Persistent activity in both sensory cortices and association areas (especially the prefrontal cortex, PFC) throughout the delay interval of a WM task after sensory stimulus presentation (sample) are usually considered to be critical for WM maintenance, and to bridge the temporal gap between the sample and the subsequent contingent response (see reviews^[4,5]). However, with regard to WM the role of the delay activity in sensory cortices has been thought to differ from that of the PFC. The former has been thought to represent and store selective sensory information and the latter has been considered to exert attentional bias and cognitive control over the former (see reviews^[6,7]).

Despite the vast storage in human long-term memory, WM has been demonstrated to have a capacity limited by

the number of items^[8,9] and this is strongly correlated with general cognitive ability^[10,11]. Recent advances in studying visual WM have shown a precision limit of representations in WM besides the capacity limit^[12–16].

Combined with the above findings, we propose that delay activity in the sensory cortices and PFC reflect the quality and quantity of representations in WM, respectively. Specifically, in this review, quantity refers to how many items/slots are stored in working memory, and quality refers to how precisely the features of each item/slot are represented in WM.

Sensory Cortices and the Quality of Working Memory

Neurons in the PFC have been shown to respond to sensory stimuli in WM tasks^[17,18]. Compared with those PFC neurons, neurons in sensory cortices appear to be more selectively tuned to stimulus features in WM tasks

and consequently to maintain high-fidelity representations of stimulus information in the service of WM^[19].

Some human imaging as well as neurophysiological studies in non-human primates have indicated an absence of persistent activity in early sensory regions^[20–24]. However, other primate studies have revealed persistent modulation of neuronal activity in the primary visual cortex during the delay period of a WM task, which additionally correlates with the monkeys' memory performance^[25]. Furthermore, Zhou and Fuster found that single units in monkey primary somatosensory cortex (SI) show sustained firing during the retention period of a tactile WM task^[26] (Fig. 1A).

Recent advances in neuroimaging have shown the possibility of accurately decoding the representations of minds (see reviews^[27,28]). Multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA) has been applied to the analysis of neural activity patterns in visual regions, and has revealed content-specific representations during WM^[29,30]. Harrison and Tong have shown that even if the overall delay activity is low in human visual cortices, orientations held in WM can still be clearly decoded from the activity patterns^[29]. This coincides with the neurophysiological data from monkey SI cortex during the delay period of a tactile WM task noted above^[26]. Furthermore, recent neuroimaging studies have shown that trial-specific stimulus information can be decoded from sensory cortices but not from the PFC^[31–33].

Not only do sensory cortices represent fine-tuned modality-specific sensory information during WM, but they can also be tuned to other sensory modalities (cross-modality) after associative training. Zhou and Fuster have shown that the sustained delay activity of SI neurons in monkeys is selective for visual stimuli in a visual-tactile cross-modal WM task^[34] (Fig. 1B). Applying to humans a paradigm similar to that used in monkeys, Ku and colleagues have found that the source of delay activity localized in human SI is modulated by cross-modal associations at the early stage of the delay (100–200 ms after the onset of sample stimuli) and the modulation exhibits a bottom-up pattern^[35]. Our recent transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) study has further shown that SI plays a causal role in performance in tactile-visual cross-modal WM^[36] (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, using MVPA, Christophel and Haynes have decoded motion patterns not only from visual areas, but also from SI, even if the task is a pure visual WM task and the visual-spatial pattern is

without any association with touch^[37]. They have suggested that the tactile cross-modal representations are specific to complex dynamic stimuli^[37].

As the contents of WM can be decoded from sensory cortices but not the PFC^[31–33], we propose here that, compared with the PFC, sensory cortices represent more precise information about the memorandum, and in this way serve as quality assurance in WM.

Prefrontal Cortex and WM Quantity

Compared with relatively few studies on sustained delay activity in sensory cortices in WM tasks, the elevated delay activity in the PFC has long been recognized^[38]. At first, this PFC activity was interpreted as encoding the sensory features of WM items^[3]. However, growing evidence places more emphasis on its role in providing top-down control over the more posterior regions where information is primarily stored^[39,40].

In psychological studies, the quantity limit of WM for human has long been characterized as approximately 7 verbal items^[8] or 4 visual items^[9]. This limit has been attributed to activity in parietal areas^[41–43]. However, further studies have shown that high-capacity individuals are more efficient at filtering out irrelevant items, while low-capacity individuals cannot efficiently filter out such distractions^[44]. This filtering ability seems to be particularly critical since the high- and low-capacity groups tend to have similar capacity limits counting the number of both targets and distractors^[44]. The PFC has been shown to control accesses to WM^[45,46] and can then guarantee the quantity of task-relevant items in WM.

A similar quantity limitation has also been revealed in monkey neurophysiological studies^[46,47]. In addition, studies have shown that neurons in the PFC of numerically naive monkeys tune to a preferred numerosity^[48], independent of sensory modality^[49]. These neurons may potentially subserve the neuronal mechanisms underlying WM quantity.

The persistent delay activity in the PFC during WM has been demonstrated to be critical for maintaining behavioral goals and the means to achieve those goals (see review^[6]). The number of goals simultaneously maintained, considering a goal/rule as an item/slot, can be regarded as the other aspect of WM quantity, the capacity of the central executive. This format of quantity is even more

Fig. 1. (A) A primary somatosensory (SI) unit is activated differentially by touch and retention of the vertical edges (the sample stimulus). The receptive field of the unit is indicated in a diagram of the monkey's hand, and the location of the unit is marked by a triangle in a brain section diagram. In the study, the tactile stimuli used in a delayed matching-to-sample task are a pair of objects that differ in the direction of edges (vertical *versus* horizontal) on their surface (modified from ^[20]). (B) An SI unit favors the horizontal visual cue in the cue period as well as the horizontal ridges in the tactile choice. A pair of icons is used as visual cues in a visual-tactile cross-modal working memory (WM) task; they are black-and-white patterns of parallel stripes, vertical in one icon and horizontal in the other (modified from ^[25]). (C) A possible model of cross-modal WM proposed in a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. The early storage of tactile information is processed and briefly maintained in the contralateral SI, and the information is later transferred to the posterior parietal cortex and PFC^[36]. (D) Schematic showing the representation of sensory input in sensory cortices reflects the quality aspect of WM, and the prefrontal top-down control activity reflects the quantity aspect of WM. The sensory cortices are synchronized in gamma cycles, and interact with the PFC to fulfill the needs of goal-directed behavior. Theta and alpha oscillations serve the interaction between sensory cortices and the PFC.

severely limited. Charron and Koechlin have proposed that the frontal lobes in the two hemispheres represent two concurrent goals^[50]. Similar hemispheric limitation has

also been proposed through neurophysiological data from non-human primates^[47]. Further, the frontal lobe in each hemisphere can be subdivided according to the abstraction

of processed goals, which may result in different capacity limits for each hierarchy^[51]. Although the quantity of the capacity limitation for the central executive in WM is still not well-defined, as pointed out above, the quantity property of WM does exist in the PFC.

Neural Oscillations Serve the Interaction between PFC and Sensory Cortices

A complete WM function requires the combination of quantity and quality, which relies on the coordination of the PFC and sensory cortices. It has been suggested that oscillatory synchronization underlies inter-cortical communications^[52]. In this review, we mainly focus on three frequency bands that are important to WM performance in humans as well as non-human primates: theta (4–8 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz), and gamma (>30 Hz).

Cortical theta rhythms are probably generated in hippocampal-cortical feedback loops^[53]. Frontal theta occurs during WM in both humans and non-human primates^[46,53–55]. The theta power increases during WM encoding, maintenance, and retrieval, compared with its baseline level^[56]. Theta power is modulated proportionally to the number of memoranda^[57,58], which represents the quantity of WM. Long-range theta coupling between the PFC and sensory cortices serves communication between these areas and can also influence behavior, as it has been shown that theta coupling between V4 (sensory association cortex) and the PFC predicts WM performance^[59].

Alpha oscillations have been known to be the most dominant rhythm in scalp electroencephalography since its discovery almost a century ago^[60]. The alpha rhythm was originally associated with an idling mental state, but has recently been found to play a functional inhibitory role in attention and WM (see review^[61]). The cortical alpha rhythm is thought to be generated *via* thalamocortical and cortico-cortical loops^[62]. It has also been shown to increase with the number of items to be remembered in different sensory domains^[63,64], and might represent the quantity of WM. Jensen and colleagues have proposed a model that the magnitude of alpha oscillations actually determines how many representations are processed^[65]. However, the oscillations may mainly serve to protect the information of WM memoranda from distractions^[66,67], as alpha power

also goes up when the number of distractors increases^[68]. A recent TMS study has shown that frontal-parietal alpha oscillations can be modulated by PFC activity^[69], which implies that alpha oscillations could also be a working band for communication between the PFC and sensory cortices during WM. Besides the above studies linking alpha oscillations with WM quantity, two new studies have indicated their role in WM precision^[70,71], so it cannot be ruled out that alpha oscillations are also correlated with WM quality. Future studies to disentangle the roles of alpha power and phase would help to answer this question, since it has been shown that the phase-locked and non-phase-locked parts of alpha oscillations are related to different processes during WM^[72].

Gamma synchronization was first found to subserve perceptual binding^[73,74]. Recently, this synchronization has been suggested to be critical to WM (see reviews^[75,76]). Although there is no direct evidence linking gamma oscillations to WM precision, their functional role in mentally representing objects^[77] and predicting successful memory encoding^[78] has led us to propose a role of gamma oscillations in WM quality. It should also be noted that a large number of studies link WM load to the amplitude of gamma oscillations^[79–82]. However, as the number of memoranda (WM load) increases, more feature information regarding the memoranda needs to be remembered to successfully perform WM tasks. In addition, gamma activity has been suggested to be more important in sensory binding or even in multisensory integration^[83]. It therefore seems that it is a more plausible assumption to connect gamma oscillations to their role in WM quality. Future studies to disentangle the contribution of the number of items/slots or features to the modulation of gamma oscillations will be of interest.

Taken together, these works suggest that WM quality is likely maintained in higher-frequency oscillations, as in the gamma cycle, or to some extent in the alpha band, and WM quantity is related to lower-frequency oscillations, such as theta and alpha. In a recent review, Roux and Uhlhaas have proposed that the cross-frequency coupling of theta-gamma or alpha-gamma codes for distinct WM information: sequentially verbal or visuo-spatial information, respectively^[84]. Therefore, gamma activity likely represents objects in certain phases of theta and alpha activity, in which the PFC and sensory cortices communicate with

each other to accomplish WM performance.

Some Topics Not Completely Covered in This Review

Given space limitations, we could not cover every aspect of the topic of quality and quantity in WM. However, several important issues merit brief consideration.

The posterior parietal cortex (PPC) also plays an important role in WM and is critical for the capacity limit^[43]. Recent studies have suggested that inferior and superior portions of the PPC represent different types of WM information during the delay period, the inferior portion indicating the binding between spatial locations and memoranda, and the superior portion specifying the complexity of sample stimuli^[41,85,86]. Therefore, the PPC may be involved in both the quantity and quality aspects of WM.

Besides the roles of theta, alpha, and gamma oscillations in WM, beta oscillations (20–30 Hz) recorded at frontal sites have also been shown to be parametrically modulated in WM by sensory stimuli^[64,67,87–89]. Therefore, the beta rhythm might be another candidate for representing WM quality.

Drawn from a plethora of studies, we propose here that the WM quality is represented in sensory cortices and the WM quantity is represented in the PFC. However, the opposite cannot be ruled out. It is notable that the quality of representation decoded from sensory cortices declines with increasing quantity of memoranda^[32]. On the other hand, as suggested above, frontal beta oscillations represent parametric sensory information^[64,67,87–89]. Future work on the bi-directional influence between quality and quantity would be helpful to disentangle these intertwined factors.

Concluding Remarks

Here, we propose a framework with the fine-tuned sensory representation in sensory cortices, which reflects the quality aspect of WM and is carried on by higher-frequency neural oscillations (gamma, beta/alpha), and the prefrontal top-down control activity that reflects the quantity aspect of WM and is carried on by lower-frequency neural oscillations (theta/alpha). As quality and quantity are intertwined and essential parts of WM, activity in the sensory cortices and PFC during WM interacts to fulfill the requirements of goal-

directed behavior, and higher- *versus* lower-frequency oscillations might serve as the communication frequency to synchronize both intra- and inter-area activities (Fig. 1D). Future work to assess neuronal activity simultaneously in both the PFC and sensory cortices in WM tasks will be of great interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This review was supported by the National Basic Research Development Program (973 Program) of China (2013CB329501), a Scientific Project of the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality, China (11140900600), the Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology (15ZR1410600), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31100742), and funds from the MIND Research Institute, Irvine, CA, USA.

Received date: 2014-10-01; Accepted date: 2014-11-10

REFERENCES

- [1] Baddeley A. Working memory: theories, models, and controversies. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2012, 63: 1–29.
- [2] Baddeley A. Working memory: looking back and looking forward. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003, 4: 829–839.
- [3] Goldman-Rakic PS. Cellular basis of working memory. *Neuron* 1995, 14: 477–485.
- [4] Curtis CE, D'Esposito M. Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2003, 7: 415–423.
- [5] Sreenivasan KK, Curtis CE, D'Esposito M. Revisiting the role of persistent neural activity during working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2014, 18: 82–89.
- [6] Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2001, 24: 167–202.
- [7] Ruff CC. Sensory processing: who's in (top-down) control? *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2013, 1296: 88–107.
- [8] Miller GA. The magical number seven, plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychol Rev* 1956, 63: 81.
- [9] Cowan N. The magical number 4 in short-term memory: a reconsideration of mental storage capacity. *Behav Brain Sci* 2001, 24: 87–114.
- [10] Engle RW, Tuholski SW, Laughlin JE, Conway ARA. Working memory, short-term memory, and general fluid intelligence: A latent-variable approach. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 1999, 128: 309–331.
- [11] Johnson MK, McMahon RP, Robinson BM, Harvey AN, Hahn B, Leonard CJ, *et al.* The relationship between

- working memory capacity and broad measures of cognitive ability in healthy adults and people with schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology* 2013, 27: 220–229.
- [12] Zhang W, Luck SJ. Discrete fixed-resolution representations in visual working memory. *Nature* 2008, 453: 233–235.
- [13] Bays PM, Husain M. Dynamic shifts of limited working memory resources in human vision. *Science* 2008, 321: 851–854.
- [14] Fukuda K, Awh E, Vogel EK. Discrete capacity limits in visual working memory. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2010, 20: 177–182.
- [15] Luck SJ, Vogel EK. Visual working memory capacity: from psychophysics and neurobiology to individual differences. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2013, 17: 391–400.
- [16] Ma WJ, Husain M, Bays PM. Changing concepts of working memory. *Nat Neurosci* 2014, 17: 347–356.
- [17] Romo R, Salinas E. Flutter discrimination: neural codes, perception, memory and decision making. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003, 4: 203–218.
- [18] Miller EK, Erickson CA, Desimone R. Neural mechanisms of visual working memory in prefrontal cortex of the macaque. *J Neurosci* 1996, 16: 5154–5167.
- [19] Pasternak T, Greenlee MW. Working memory in primate sensory systems. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2005, 6: 97–107.
- [20] Offen S, Schluppeck D, Heeger DJ. The role of early visual cortex in visual short-term memory and visual attention. *Vis Res* 2009, 49: 1352–1362.
- [21] Bisley JW, Zaksas D, Droll JA, Pasternak T. Activity of neurons in cortical area MT during a memory for motion task. *J Neurophysiol* 2004, 91: 286–300.
- [22] Romo R, Brody CD, Hernández A, Lemus L. Neuronal correlates of parametric working memory in the prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 1999, 399: 470–473.
- [23] Salinas E, Hernández A, Zainos A, Romo R. Periodicity and firing rate as candidate neural codes for the frequency of vibrotactile stimuli. *J Neurosci* 2000, 20: 5503–5515.
- [24] Luna R, Hernández A, Brody CD, Romo R. Neural codes for perceptual discrimination in primary somatosensory cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 2005, 8: 1210–1219.
- [25] Super H, Spekreijse H, Lamme VA. A neural correlate of working memory in the monkey primary visual cortex. *Science* 2001, 293: 120–124.
- [26] Zhou YD, Fuster JM. Mnemonic neuronal activity in somatosensory cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996, 93: 10533–10537.
- [27] Haynes JD, Rees G. Decoding mental states from brain activity in humans. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006, 7: 523–534.
- [28] Davis T, Poldrack RA. Measuring neural representations with fMRI: practices and pitfalls. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2013, 1296: 108–134.
- [29] Harrison SA, Tong F. Decoding reveals the contents of visual working memory in early visual areas. *Nature* 2009, 458: 632–635.
- [30] Serences JT, Ester EF, Vogel EK, Awh E. Stimulus-specific delay activity in human primary visual cortex. *Psychol Sci* 2009, 20: 207–214.
- [31] Riggall AC, Postle BR. The relationship between working memory storage and elevated activity as measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurosci* 2012, 32: 12990–12998.
- [32] Emrich SM, Riggall AC, LaRocque JJ, Postle BR. Distributed patterns of activity in sensory cortex reflect the precision of multiple items maintained in visual short-term memory. *J Neurosci* 2013, 33: 6516–6523.
- [33] Lee SH, Kravitz DJ, Baker CI. Goal-dependent dissociation of visual and prefrontal cortices during working memory. *Nat Neurosci* 2013, 16: 997–999.
- [34] Zhou YD, Fuster JM. Visuo-tactile cross-modal associations in cortical somatosensory cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000, 97: 9777–9782.
- [35] Ku Y, Ohara S, Wang L, Lenz FA, Hsiao SS, Bodner M, *et al.* Prefrontal cortex and somatosensory cortex in tactile crossmodal association: an independent component analysis of ERP recordings. *PLoS One* 2007, 2: e771.
- [36] Ku Y, Zhao D, Hao N, Hu Y, Bodner M, Zhou YD. Sequential roles of primary somatosensory cortex and posterior parietal cortex in tactile-visual cross-modal working memory: a single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (spTMS) study. *Brain Stimul* 2015, 8: 88–91.
- [37] Christophel TB, Haynes JD. Decoding complex flow-field patterns in visual working memory. *Neuroimage* 2014, 91: 43–51.
- [38] Fuster JM, Alexander GE. Neuron activity related to short-term memory. *Science* 1971, 173: 652–654.
- [39] D'Esposito M, Detre JA, Alsop DC, Shin RK, Atlas S, Grossman M. The neural basis of the central executive system of working memory. *Nature* 1995, 378: 279–281.
- [40] Smith EE, Jonides J. Storage and executive processes in the frontal lobes. *Science* 1999, 283: 1657–1661.
- [41] Xu Y, Chun MM. Dissociable neural mechanisms supporting visual short-term memory for objects. *Nature* 2006, 440: 91–95.
- [42] Vogel EK, Machizawa MG. Neural activity predicts individual differences in visual working memory capacity. *Nature* 2004, 428: 748–751.
- [43] Todd JJ, Marois R. Capacity limit of visual short-term memory in human posterior parietal cortex. *Nature* 2004, 428: 751–754.
- [44] Vogel EK, McCollough AW, Machizawa MG. Neural measures reveal individual differences in controlling access to working memory. *Nature* 2005, 438: 500–503.

- [45] McNab F, Klingberg T. Prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia control access to working memory. *Nat Neurosci* 2008, 11: 103–107.
- [46] Reinhart RMG, Heitz RP, Purcell BA, Weigand PK, Schall JD, Woodman GF. Homologous mechanisms of visuospatial working memory maintenance in macaque and human: properties and sources. *J Neurosci* 2012, 32: 7711–7722.
- [47] Buschman TJ, Siegel M, Roy JE, Miller EK. Neural substrates of cognitive capacity limitations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011, 108: 11252–11255.
- [48] Viswanathan P, Nieder A. Neuronal correlates of a visual “sense of number” in primate parietal and prefrontal cortices. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, 110: 11187–11192.
- [49] Nieder A. Supramodal numerosity selectivity of neurons in primate prefrontal and posterior parietal cortices. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, 109: 11860–11865.
- [50] Charron S, Koechlin E. Divided representation of concurrent goals in the human frontal lobes. *Science* 2010, 328: 360–363.
- [51] Badre D. Cognitive control, hierarchy, and the rostro-caudal organization of the frontal lobes. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2008, 12: 193–200.
- [52] Varela F, Lachaux JP, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J. The brainweb: phase synchronization and large-scale integration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2001, 2: 229–239.
- [53] Klimesch W. EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: a review and analysis. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 1999, 29(2-3): 169–195.
- [54] Jensen O, Lisman JE. An oscillatory short-term memory buffer model can account for data on the Sternberg task. *J Neurosci* 1998, 18: 10688–10699.
- [55] Kahana MJ, Seelig D, Madsen JR. Theta returns. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2001, 11: 739–744.
- [56] Raghavachari S, Kahana MJ, Rizzuto DS, Caplan JB, Kirschen MP, Bourgeois B, *et al.* Gating of human theta oscillations by a working memory task. *J Neurosci* 2001, 21: 3175–3183.
- [57] Gevins A, Smith ME, McEvoy L, Yu D. High-resolution EEG mapping of cortical activation related to working memory: effects of task difficulty, type of processing, and practice. *Cereb Cortex* 1997, 7: 374–385.
- [58] Jensen O, Tesche CD. Frontal theta activity in humans increases with memory load in a working memory task. *Eur J Neurosci* 2002, 15: 1395–1399.
- [59] Liebe S, Hoerzer GM, Logothetis NK, Rainer G. Theta coupling between V4 and prefrontal cortex predicts visual short-term memory performance. *Nat Neurosci* 2012, 15: 456–62, S1–2.
- [60] Berger H. Über das Elektroencephalogramm des Menschen. *Archiv f Psychiatrie* 1929, 87: 527–570.
- [61] Palva S, Palva JM. New vistas for alpha-frequency band oscillations. *Trends Neurosci* 2007, 30: 150–158.
- [62] da Silva FL. EEG and MEG: relevance to neuroscience. *Neuron* 2013, 80: 1112–1128.
- [63] Jensen O, Gelfand J, Kounios J, Lisman JE. Oscillations in the alpha band (9–12 Hz) increase with memory load during retention in a short-term memory task. *Cereb Cortex* 2002, 12: 877–882.
- [64] Spitzer B, Fleck S, Blankenburg F. Parametric alpha- and beta-band signatures of supramodal numerosity information in human working memory. *J Neurosci* 2014, 34: 4293–4302.
- [65] Jensen O, Gips B, Bergmann TO, Bonnefond M. Temporal coding organized by coupled alpha and gamma oscillations prioritize visual processing. *Trends Neurosci* 2014, 37: 357–369.
- [66] Haegens S, Osipova D, Oostenveld R, Jensen O. Somatosensory working memory performance in humans depends on both engagement and disengagement of regions in a distributed network. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2010, 31: 26–35.
- [67] Spitzer B, Blankenburg F. Supramodal parametric working memory processing in humans. *J Neurosci* 2012, 32: 3287–3295.
- [68] Bonnefond M, Jensen O. Alpha oscillations serve to protect working memory maintenance against anticipated distracters. *Curr Biol* 2012, 22: 1969–1974.
- [69] Capotosto P, Babiloni C, Romani GL, Corbetta M. Frontoparietal cortex controls spatial attention through modulation of anticipatory alpha rhythms. *J Neurosci* 2009, 29: 5863–5872.
- [70] Anderson DE, Serences JT, Vogel EK, Awh E. Induced Alpha rhythms track the content and quality of visual working memory representations with high temporal precision. *J Neurosci* 2014, 34: 7587–7599.
- [71] Myers NE, Stokes MG, Walther L, Nobre AC. Oscillatory brain state predicts variability in working memory. *J Neurosci* 2014, 34: 7735–7743.
- [72] Freunberger R, Fellinger R, Sauseng P, Gruber W, Klimesch W. Dissociation Between phaselocked and nonphase-locked alpha oscillations in a working memory task. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009, 30: 3417–3425.
- [73] Eckhorn R, Bauer R, Jordan W, Brosch M, Kruse W, Munk M, *et al.* Coherent oscillations: A mechanism of feature linking in the visual cortex? *Biol Cybern* 1988, 60: 121–130.
- [74] Singer W, Gray CM. Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1995, 18: 555–586.
- [75] Jensen O, Kaiser J, Lachaux JP. Human gamma-frequency oscillations associated with attention and memory. *Trends Neurosci* 2007, 30: 317–324.
- [76] Buzsáki G, Wang XJ. Mechanisms of gamma oscillations.

- Annu Rev Neurosci 2012, 35: 203–225.
- [77] Tallon-Baudry C, Bertrand O. Oscillatory gamma activity in humans and its role in object representation. Trends Cogn Sci 1999, 3: 151–162.
- [78] Osipova D, Takashima A, Oostenveld R, Fernández G, Maris E, Jensen O. Theta and gamma oscillations predict encoding and retrieval of declarative memory. J Neurosci 2006, 26: 7523–7531.
- [79] Howard MW, Rizzuto DS, Caplan JB, Madsen JR, Lisman J, Aschenbrenner-Scheibe R, *et al.* Gamma oscillations correlate with working memory load in humans. Cereb Cortex 2003, 13: 1369–1374.
- [80] Palva JM, Monto S, Kulashekhar S, Palva S. Neuronal synchrony reveals working memory networks and predicts individual memory capacity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107: 7580–7585.
- [81] Palva S, Kulashekhar S, Hamalainen M, Palva JM. Localization of cortical phase and amplitude dynamics during visual working memory encoding and retention. J Neurosci 2011, 31: 5013–5025.
- [82] Roux F, Wibral M, Mohr HM, Singer W, Uhlhaas PJ. Gamma-band activity in human prefrontal cortex codes for the number of relevant items maintained in working memory. J Neurosci 2012, 32: 12411–12420.
- [83] Senkowski D, Talsma D, Grigutsch M, Herrmann CS, Woldorff MG. Good times for multisensory integration: Effects of the precision of temporal synchrony as revealed by gamma-band oscillations. Neuropsychologia 2007, 45: 561–571.
- [84] Roux F, Uhlhaas PJ. Working memory and neural oscillations: alpha-gamma versus theta-gamma codes for distinct WM information? Trends Cogn Sci 2014, 18: 16–25.
- [85] Xu Y. The role of the superior intraparietal sulcus in supporting visual short-term memory for multifeature objects. J Neurosci 2007, 27: 11676–11686.
- [86] Xu Y. Distinctive neural mechanisms supporting visual object individuation and identification. J Cogn Neurosci 2009, 21: 511–518.
- [87] Spitzer B, Wacker E, Blankenburg F. Oscillatory correlates of vibrotactile frequency processing in human working memory. J Neurosci 2010, 30: 4496–4502.
- [88] Spitzer B, Blankenburg F. Stimulus-dependent EEG activity reflects internal updating of tactile working memory in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108: 8444–8449.
- [89] Spitzer B, Gloel M, Schmidt TT, Blankenburg F. Working memory coding of analog stimulus properties in the human prefrontal cortex. Cereb Cortex 2014, 24: 2229–2236.