Published in final edited form as:

Transplant Proc. 2016 ; 48(6): 1911–1915. doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2016.02.071.

Is Signature Size Associated With Organ Donor Designation on Driver's Licenses?

N.K.R. Sehgal^a, C. Sullivan^b, C. Scallan^c, M. Figueroa^b, J.A. Pencak^b, J. Kirkland^d, K. Scott^b, and J.D. Thornton^{b,c,e,*}

^aUniversity School, Chagrin Falls, Ohio, United States

^bCenter for Reducing Health Disparities, MetroHealth Campus of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

^cDepartment of Internal Medicine, MetroHealth Campus of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

^dCase Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

^eDivision of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, MetroHealth Campus of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

Abstract

Introduction—Previous studies suggest that large signature size is associated with narcissistic characteristics. By contrast, organ donation is an indicator of altruism. Because altruism and narcissism may be viewed as opposites, we sought to determine if smaller signature size is associated with willingness to be an organ donor.

Methods—Using a cross-sectional study design, we reviewed the health records of 571 randomly selected primary care patients at a large urban safety-net medical system to obtain their demographic and medical characteristics. We also examined driver's licenses that were scanned into electronic health records as part of the patient registration process. We measured signature sizes and obtained the organ donor designation from these driver's licenses.

Results—Overall, 256 (45%) patients were designated as donors on their driver's licenses. Signature size averaged 113.3 mm² but varied greatly across patients (10th percentile 49.1 mm², 90th percentile 226.1 mm²). On multivariate analysis, donor designation was positively associated with age 18–34 years, non-black race, having private insurance, and not having any comorbid conditions. However, signature size was not associated with organ donor designation.

Conclusions—Signature size is not associated with verified organ donor designation. Further work is needed to understand the relationship between personality types and willingness to be an organ donor.

Previous research suggests that larger signature sizes are associated with narcissistic personality characteristics. Specifically, larger signature sizes are associated with ego,

* Address correspondence to J. Daryl Thornton, MD, MPH, Center for Reducing Health Disparities, 2500 MetroHealth Drive, Rammelkamp R209A, Cleveland, OH 44109. daryl.thornton@case.edu.

dominance, status, and self-esteem [1–3]. A study of 53 graduate students found a strong correlation ($r = 0.45$; $P < .001$) between signature size and a validated narcissistic personality questionnaire [4]. Two recent studies of more than 500 publicly traded companies found that larger signature size among chief executive officers is associated with lower company sales and larger signature size among chief financial officers is associated with lower quality of financial reporting [4,5]. Therefore, signature size may be a convenient marker of narcissism. By contrast, organ donation is an indicator of altruism as donors and their families are forbidden from being compensated for donation [6,7]. Because altruism and narcissism may be viewed as opposites, we sought to determine if smaller signature size is associated with willingness to be an organ donor.

METHODS

This study was conducted at an urban safety-net medical system in northeast Ohio that includes a large tertiary care hospital and more than a dozen community centers located in both poor and wealthy communities. We randomly selected 671 active patients from the electronic health record on March 5, 2014. Active patients were defined as those who saw their primary care physician at least twice in the preceding 2 years. Only patients older than the age of 18 years were eligible for this study.

From electronic health records, we obtained patient demographic characteristics including age, gender, race, ethnicity, language, marital status, employment status, health insurance, and zip code. We also determined the presence of specific common comorbid conditions based on relevant ICD-9 codes, including hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, cancer, cerebrovascular accident, chronic kidney disease, congestive heart failure, connective tissue disease, peripheral vascular disease, myocardial infarction, peptic ulcer disease, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), and liver disease. Because we did not have data on individual income, we used census data to obtain the median household income of each patient's zip code.

Two researchers independently examined scanned driver's licenses, state identification cards, or learner's permits to determine each patient's organ donor designation and signature size. A third researcher resolved any discrepancies between the two researchers. We used a digital screen ruler (SnapRuler for Mac) to measure the height and width of each license, card, or permit as well as the height and width of each signature. We also recorded the number of letters or spaces in each signature. To address any magnification or reduction in licenses due to scanning, we determined the ratio of scanned signature height $\times$ width to scanned license height $\times$ width and then multiplied this ratio by the standard license size (4644 mm^2). To account for differences in the lengths of names, we divided this amount by the number of letters or spaces in each signature and then multiplied by 14.3 (the average number of letters or spaces among all names in our sample). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio (approval number 685, protocol number 13-00548).

We used descriptive statistics (percentages, means, and standard deviations) to describe the characteristics of the patients. We used the chi-square test to determine the univariate

relationship between organ donation status and several predictor variables, including demographic characteristics, medical characteristics, and signature size. We used logistic regression to determine the multivariate relationship between organ donation status and all predictor variables. *P* values <.05 or 95% confidence intervals that excluded 1.00 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro 11.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States).

RESULTS

Of the 671 randomly selected patients, 571 (85%) had their organ donation status and signature documented on a state driver's license (387 patients), a state identification card (182 patients), or a learner's permit (2 patients). Eighty-five patients had no license, identification card, or permit scanned into their electronic health records. Fifteen patients had distorted scans that made it impossible to assess donation status or signature size.

The demographic and medical characteristics of patients with a donation status and signature are listed in Table 1. The majority of patients were female, non-Hispanic, spoke English, were unmarried, and were unemployed. The three most common comorbid conditions documented in the electronic health records were hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and diabetes. Signature size averaged 113.3 mm² but varied greatly across patients (10th percentile 49.1 mm², 90th percentile 226.1 mm²; Fig 1).

Overall, 256 (45%) patients were designated as organ donors. On univariate analysis, organ donor consent was associated with a number of patient characteristics (Table 2). For example, 54.8% of patients without any comorbid conditions had consented to organ donation compared with 28.7% of patients with 2 or more comorbid conditions. Organ donor consent was not associated with signature size on univariate analysis. For example, 44.3% of patients with the smallest signatures had consented to organ donation compared with 38.4% of patients with the largest signatures. On multivariate analysis (Table 2), organ donor consent was positively associated with age 18–34 years, non-black race, having private insurance, and having no comorbid conditions. For example, patients without any comorbid conditions had 2.25 (95% confidence interval, 1.39–3.67) times greater odds of consenting to organ donation compared with patients with 2 or more comorbid conditions. Organ donor consent was not associated with signature size on multivariate analysis. Patients with signature size 125 mm² had similar odds of consenting to organ donation compared with patients with signature size <50 mm² (odds ratio, 0.88; 95% confidence interval, 0.45–1.74).

DISCUSSION

Despite the limited space available for signatures on driver's licenses, we found that there was a great deal of variation in signature size in our study sample. However, there was no significant relationship between signature size and organ donor designation. We also found that about half of the patients at a large urban safety-net medical system were designated as donors on their driver's licenses. This is similar to national figures on the percentage of Americans who are designated as donors [8]. In addition, we found that several demographic

and medical characteristics were independently associated with organ donor consent, including younger age, non-black race, having private insurance, and having no comorbid conditions. These findings are also generally consistent with previous studies on willingness to donate [9–16].

The strengths of this study include a large and diverse sample of patients and direct verification of donor designation. Previous studies on organ donation have relied on self-reported measures of willingness to donate, which may be susceptible to social desirability bias, or the tendency of individuals to answer in a manner that will be viewed favorably by others [9–12,14,15,17–21]. Another strength is the use of electronic health records to obtain objective measures of subject demographic and medical characteristics.

There has been relatively little previous work on the relationship between personality types and willingness to donate organs. A recent study of 336 students found that of the big 5 personality dimensions, only agreeableness was positively associated with intention to register as an organ donor [22]. A study of 94 students found that donors scored higher on acceptance of death and on self-efficacy compared with nondonors [23]. Another study of 220 students found that donors were less fearful of death and had a better body image perception than nondonors [24]. A Spanish study of 117 individuals found that intention to donate was associated with being more social, empathetic, and willing to persevere [25]. By contrast, other studies have found little association between personality traits and organ donation [26,27].

Several limitations must be considered in interpreting the study results. First, we did not directly measure narcissism or other personality traits. Second, all of the subjects were individuals receiving care from a single health system so the results may not generalize to patients in other health systems or to the general public. Third, we did not have direct measures of other variables that may be of interest such as income and education.

In conclusion, we found no relationship between signature size and organ donor designation. This may be because signature size is not a good measure of narcissism or because narcissism is not an important determinant of organ donation. Further work is needed to understand the role of personality types in decisions to become organ donors.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by grants MD002265 and TR000439 from the National Institutes of Health and grants R39OT22056 and R39OT26989 from the Health Resources and Services Administration.

References

1. Zweigenhaft R, Marlowe D. Signature size: studies in expressive movement. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1973; 40:469–73. [PubMed: 4708124]
2. Zweigenhaft RL. The empirical study of signature size. *Soc Behav Personal Int J.* 1977; 5:177–85.
3. Jorgenson DO. Signature size and dominance: a brief note. *J Psychol.* 1997; 92(2):269–70.
4. Ham, C., Seybert, N., Wang, S. Narcissism is a bad sign: CEO signature size, investment, and performance. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2144419; [accessed December 28, 2015]

5. Ham, C., Lang, M., Seybert, N., Wang, S. CFO narcissism and financial reporting quality. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Papers.cfm?abstract_id=2581157; [accessed December 28, 2015]
6. Henderson AJZ, Landolt MA, McDonald MF, Barrable WM, Soos JG, Gourlay W, et al. The living anonymous kidney donor: lunatic or saint? *Am J Transplant*. 2003; 3:203–13. [PubMed: 12603214]
7. Marsh AA, Stoycos SA, Brethel-Haurwitz KM, Robinson P, VanMeter JW, Cardinale EM. Neural and cognitive characteristics of extraordinary altruists. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2014; 111:15036–41. [PubMed: 25225374]
8. Donate Life America. National Donor Designation Report Card. 2013. <http://donatelife.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/DLA-Report-Card-39146-FINAL-2013.pdf>; [accessed December 19, 2015]
9. Baughn D, Rodrigue J, Cornell D. Intention to register as organ donors: a survey of adolescents. *Prog Transplant*. 2006; 16:260–7. [PubMed: 17007163]
10. Boulware LE, Ratner LE, Sosa JA, Cooper LA, LaVeist TA, Powe NR. Determinants of willingness to donate living related and cadaveric organs: identifying opportunities for intervention. *Transplantation*. 2002; 73:1683–91. [PubMed: 12042662]
11. Wakefield CE, Reid J, Homewood J. Religious and ethnic influences on willingness to donate organs and donor behavior: an Australian perspective. *Prog Transplant*. 2011; 21:161–8. [PubMed: 21736247]
12. Siminoff LA, Burant CJ, Ibrahim SA. Racial disparities in preferences and perceptions regarding organ donation. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006; 21:995–1000. [PubMed: 16918748]
13. Siddiqui, N., Wylie, M., Hazel, J. Organ and Tissue Donations: Canadian Public Awareness, Knowledge and Attitudes. *Environ Res Gr Ltd*; 2001. <http://www.organsandtissues.ca/s/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Survey-Canadian-Public-Donation.pdf> [accessed December 11, 2015]
14. Thornton JD, Curtis JR, Allen MD. Completion of advanced care directives is associated with willingness to donate. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2006; 98:897–904. [PubMed: 16775911]
15. Thornton JD, Wong KA, Cardenas V, Curtis JR, Spigner C, Allen MD. Ethnic and gender differences in willingness among high school students to donate organs. *J Adolesc Health*. 2006; 39:266–74. [PubMed: 16857540]
16. Siminoff LA, Agyemang AA, Traino HM. Consent to organ donation: a review. *Prog Transplant*. 2013; 23:99–104. [PubMed: 23448829]
17. van de Mortel TF. Faking it: social desirability response bias in self-report research report research. *Aust J Adv Nurs*. 2008; 25:40–8.
18. Rasiah R, Manikam R, Chandarsekaran SK, Thangiah G, Puspharajan S, Swaminathan D. The influence of socioeconomic and demographic variables on willingness to donate cadaveric human organs in Malaysia. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2014; 93:e126. [PubMed: 25415665]
19. Salim A, Schulman D, Ley EJ, Berry C, Navarro S, Chan LS. Contributing factors for the willingness to donate organs in the Hispanic American population. *Arch Surg*. 2010; 145:684–9. [PubMed: 20644132]
20. Padelá AI, Zaganjor H. Relationships between Islamic religiosity and attitude toward deceased organ donation among American Muslims. *Transplantation*. 2014; 97:1292–9. [PubMed: 24646775]
21. Rodrigue J, Krouse J, Carroll C, Giery K, Fraga Y, Edwards E. Organ donation knowledge, willingness, and beliefs of motor vehicle clerks. *Prog Transplant*. 2012; 22:18–24. [PubMed: 22489439]
22. Hill EM. Posthumous organ donation attitudes, intentions to donate, and organ donor status: examining the role of the big five personality dimensions and altruism. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2016; 88:182–6.
23. Robbins R. Signing an organ donor card: psychological factors. *Death Stud*. 1990; 14:219–29. [PubMed: 10104695]
24. Besser A, Amir M, Barkan S. Who signs an organ transplant donor card? A study of personality and individual differences in a sample of Israeli university students. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2004; 36:1709–23.
25. White M, Rando B, Frutos M, López-Montiel G. Psychological profile of potential donors and organ donors. *Psicothema*. 2007; 19:440–5. [PubMed: 17617983]

26. Baluch B, Randhawa G, Holmes SL, Duffy LJ. Signing the organ donor card: the relationship between expressed attitude, the actual behavior, and personality traits. *J Soc Psychol.* 2001; 141:124–6. [PubMed: 11294149]
27. Bekkers R. Traditional and health-related philanthropy: the role of resources and personality. *Soc Psychol Q.* 2006; 69:349–66.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig 1.
Distribution of signature size (n = 571).

Table 1

Demographic and Medical Characteristics of Patients (n = 571)*

Age, y	50.8 (14.2)
Gender	
Female	358 (63%)
Male	213 (37%)
Race	
White	214 (37%)
Black	275 (48%)
Other	82 (14%)
Ethnicity	
Hispanic	28 (5%)
Non-Hispanic	543 (95%)
Language	
English	538 (94%)
Spanish	18 (3%)
Other	15 (3%)
Marital status	
Married	133 (23%)
Not married	438 (77%)
Employment status	
Employed	195 (34%)
Not employed	376 (66%)
Insurance	
Private	268 (47%)
Government	266 (47%)
None	37 (6%)
Annual household income, \$1000	36.4 (16.1)
Specific medical conditions	
Hypertension	231 (40%)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	110 (19%)
Diabetes	83 (15%)
Cancer	34 (6%)
Cerebrovascular accident	23 (4%)
Chronic kidney disease	26 (5%)
Congestive heart failure	27 (5%)
Connective tissue disease	12 (2%)
Peripheral vascular disease	17 (3%)
Myocardial infarction	5 (1%)
Peptic ulcer disease	10 (2%)
AIDS	5 (1%)
Liver disease	1 (0.1%)

Total medical conditions	1.1 (1.4)
Signature size, mm ²	111.9 (77.3)

* Numbers indicate mean (standard deviation) for continuous variables and n (percentage) for categorical variables.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Univariate and Multivariate Relationship Between Patient Characteristics and Donor Designation on Driver's License (n = 571)

	n	Organ Donor, %	Univariate <i>P</i> Value	Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)
Age, y			<.001	
18–34	79	59.5		1.85 (1.02–3.41)
35–54	261	48.2		1.29 (0.84–1.96)
55	231	35.9		1.00
Gender			.03	
Female	358	48.3		1.44 (0.98–2.11)
Male	213	39.0		1.00
Race			<.001	
White	214	54.7		2.57 (1.66–4.01)
Black	275	35.3		1.00
Other	82	51.2		2.09 (1.07–4.13)
Ethnicity			.86	
Hispanic	28	46.4		1.00
Non-Hispanic	543	44.8		1.20 (0.42–3.49)
Language			.60	
English	538	45.0		2.11 (0.63–7.81)
Spanish	18	50.0		3.25 (0.62–18.44)
Other language	15	33.3		1.00
Marital status			.79	
Married	133	45.9		0.82 (0.52–1.28)
Not married	438	44.5		1.00
Employment			<.001	
Employed	195	55.4		1.33 (0.89–1.98)
Not employed	376	39.4		1.00
Insurance			<.001	
Private	268	54.9		1.72 (1.15–2.57)
Government	266	34.6		1.00
None	37	46.0		1.25 (0.58–2.70)
Income, \$			.03	
<30,000	228	40.4		1.00
30,000–44,999	211	43.6		0.87 (0.56–1.35)
45,000	132	54.6		1.18 (0.70–1.97)
Number of medical conditions			<.001	
0	263	54.8		2.25 (1.39–3.67)
1	144	45.2		1.58 (0.94–2.67)
2	164	28.7		1.00
Signature size, mm ²			.33	
<50	61	44.3		1.00

	n	Organ Donor, %	Univariate <i>P</i> Value	Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)
50–74	155	44.5		0.98 (0.51–1.91)
75–99	127	49.6		1.43 (0.73–2.85)
100–124	82	50.0		1.36 (0.65–2.89)
125	146	38.4		0.88 (0.45–1.74)