Published in final edited form as:

Neurobiol Learn Mem. 2017 September ; 143: 77–87. doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2017.05.010.

Influence of postnatal glucocorticoids on hippocampal-dependent learning varies with elevation patterns and administration methods

Dragana I. Claflin^a, Kevin D. Schmidt^{a,c}, Zachary D. Vallandingham^b, Michal Kraszpulski^b, and Michael B. Hennessy^a

^aDepartment of Psychology, Wright State University, 3640 Colonel Glenn Hwy, Dayton, OH 45435, USA

^bDepartment of Neuroscience, Cell Biology, and Physiology, Wright State University, 3640 Colonel Glenn Hwy, Dayton, OH 45435, USA

^cApplied Neuroscience Branch, Air Force Research Laboratory, 2510 Fifth St., Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433, USA

Abstract

Recent interest in the lasting effects of early-life stress has expanded to include effects on cognitive performance. An increase in circulating glucocorticoids is induced by stress exposure and glucocorticoid effects on the hippocampus likely underlie many of the cognitive consequences. Here we review studies showing that corticosterone administered to young rats at the conclusion of the stress-hyporesponsiveness period affects later performance in hippocampally-mediated trace eyeblink conditioning. The nature and even direction of these effects varies with the elevation patterns (level, duration, temporal fluctuation) achieved by different administration methods. We present new time course data indicating that constant glucocorticoid elevations generally corresponded with hippocampus-mediated learning deficits, whereas acute, cyclical elevations corresponded with improved initial acquisition. Sensitivity was greater for males than for females. Further, changes in hippocampal neurogenesis paralleled some but not all effects. The findings demonstrate that specific patterns of glucocorticoid elevation produced by different drug administration procedures can have markedly different, sex-specific consequences on basic cognitive performance and underlying hippocampal physiology. Implications of these findings for glucocorticoid medications prescribed in childhood are discussed.

Corresponding Author: D. I. Claflin, dragana.claflin@wright.edu, Department of Psychology, 335 Fawcett Hall, Wright State University, 3640 Colonel Glenn Hwy, Dayton, OH 45435, USA, Tel: 001 937 775-2391, Fax: 001 937 775-3347.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Glucocorticoids; Development; Eyeblink Conditioning; Trace Conditioning; Hippocampus; Neurogenesis; Sex Differences

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Stress, glucocorticoids and cognition

Exposure to stressors in childhood has been linked to a number of later psychopathological conditions, including depression (Agnew-Blais & Danese 2016; Penza et al., 2003), anxiety (Fernandes & Osório, 2015; Penza et al., 2003), schizophrenia (Fernandes & Osório, 2015; Jiang et al., 2013), and cognitive impairment (Hedges & Woon, 2011; Spies et al., 2016). Evidence for cognitive deficits derive from observations such as reductions in measures of attention, memory, and executive function in children who had experienced stress sufficient to induce PTSD (Beers & De Bellis, 2002; Moradi et al., 1999). While confounds in human studies (e.g., current PTSD status) can cloud their interpretation, well-controlled animal experiments support the conclusion of early stress disrupting cognition. Maternal separation and disturbance of normal maternal behavior, for instance, have been found to impair performance of rats in the Morris water maze and novel object recognition tests in adulthood (Aisa et al., 2007; Ivy et al., 2010). One potential mediator of such effects is an increase in circulating glucocorticoids induced by the early stress. Indeed, blockade of glucocorticoid receptors reversed the effect of maternal separation on novel object recognition (Aisa et al., 2007). In humans, there is a wealth of evidence for glucocorticoid medications negatively impacting cognitive processes (Belanoff et al., 2001). However, studies in children are sparse even though glucocorticoid therapy in childhood has been associated with reductions in cognitive ability, particularly verbal memory (Bender et al., 1988; Bender et al., 1991; Mrakotsky et al., 2013), and there is evidence that effects can persist (Hitzert et al., 2014; Lajic et al., 2008; ter Wolbeek et al., 2013). In one clear example, school age children who had been given dexamethasone for respiratory distress syndrome shortly after premature birth scored lower than a matched placebo group on several measures of cognitive ability, including verbal and performance IQ (Yeh et al., 2004).

1.2 Stress, glucocorticoids and hippocampus

The hippocampus likely underlies many of these cognitive consequences of stress- and pharmacologically-induced elevations of glucocorticoids. The hippocampus is rich with glucocorticoid receptors and plays a pivotal role in regulation of the stress response by providing negative feedback to the hypothalamic-pituitary- adrenal (HPA) system. In addition, the hippocampus is a critical structure for learning and memory including short-term memory storage, the formation of new memories, and spatial working memory (Eichenbaum et al., 2016; Mahmoud et al., 2015; Garcia, 2001). Some glucocorticoid activation is necessary for memory formation, and acute elevations can increase hippocampal LTP to promote learning (Beylin & Shors, 2003; Blank et al., 2002). However, the abundance of glucocorticoid receptors also appears to increase the vulnerability of the hippocampus to over-activation in the presence of excess glucocorticoid levels with

potentially toxic effects (You et al., 2009). Cushingoid patients who have pathologically high levels of cortisol exhibit reduced hippocampal volume and deficiencies in cognitive, particularly verbal, tasks, while treatment to lower cortisol levels results in increased hippocampal volume that is associated with improvement in verbal learning (Starkman et al., 1992; 1999; 2001; 2003). Moreover, children suffering from PTSD show significant negative correlation between cortisol levels and hippocampal volume (Carrion et al., 2007). In adult rodents, prolonged elevation of glucocorticoids has been shown to reduce neural volume and LTP, alter dendritic morphology, and inhibit neurogenesis (Lupien et al., 1997; Sousa et al., 1998; Tata & Anderson, 2010). In all, there is substantial evidence for stress effects on cognition mediated by the hippocampus across the lifespan in both humans and animals (for reviews see Belanoff, et al., 2001; Kosten et al., 2012; Lupien et al., 2009; Heffelfinger & Newcomer, 2001).

1.3 Glucocorticoid effects on cognitive development

Early maternal separation or even altered maternal care can also affect hippocampal structure and disrupt its intrinsic physiology in rats (Maccari et al., 2014; Dricks, 2016). Exogenous glucocorticoids administered prenatally can have lasting effects on hippocampus and behavior (Zeng et al., 2015). Early postnatal administration has been largely confined to demonstrations of massive disruption of neural development and behavioral effects when glucocorticoids are given during the first 2 weeks of life in rats (Brummelte et al., 2006; Edwards & Burnham, 2001; Ferguson et al., 2001). This is also the time of the “stress-hyporesponsiveness period” (SHRP), when circulating levels are greatly suppressed and the brain appears to be exceptionally sensitive to glucocorticoid’s catabolic effects on brain growth (Sapolsky & Meaney, 1986; Walker et al., 1991). There is some indication that hippocampal-mediated behavioral effects can be influenced by glucocorticoids later in the preweaning period (Machlor et al., 2004), but this question has received scant attention. In light of the fact that glucocorticoid suppression equivalent to that of rat pups during the SHRP does not occur in human development, and that hippocampal development continues well after the SHRP, it is remarkable that there has been so little investigation of glucocorticoid administration in the preweaning period after the SHRP on the development of hippocampal-mediated cognitive tasks. Glucocorticoid medications are widely used in our society, and altered hippocampal function may compromise development, contributing to deficits in learning and memory in a variety of neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., ADHD, Autism, Major Depressive Disorder). Further, it is important to consider possible differential vulnerabilities in males and females to early glucocorticoid administration. Sex differences in the effects of early-life stress and of glucocorticoid administration, including effects on the hippocampus, have frequently have been observed (e.g., Bale & Epperson, 2015; Gobinath et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2014). A better understanding of how males and females differ in the response to early glucocorticoid treatment may shed light on the differential prevalence of developmental disorders in males and females (e.g., more ADHD and autism in males; more depression in females).

1.4 The eyeblink classical conditioning approach to assessing cognitive development

In our approach, glucocorticoid exposure begins at postnatal day (PND) 15 for Long-Evans rat pups. At this age, the SHRP has ended and there is a peak in endogenous circulating

corticosterone which continues to rise into at least the fourth week of life (Walker, Perrin, Vale, & Rivier, 1986). Cognitive testing begins on PND 28 in order to assess the lasting effects of glucocorticoid treatments on a learning task known to produce robust acquisition at this age. The learning task used in our studies is eyeblink classical conditioning, which we consider to be ideal for examining the interplay between glucocorticoids and hippocampal learning in development for the following reasons. It is a simple associative learning task for which the underlying neural substrates are well known, and different versions of the eyeblink conditioning task rely on different combinations of neurological structures. Several of these brain regions continue to develop postnatally in both rats and humans thereby remaining vulnerable to insult, including the hippocampus as described below (Green and Woodruff-Pak, 2000; Stanton et al., 1994; Thompson and Krupa, 1994). For this reason, selective behavioral deficits might provide clues as to the specific site of action of glucocorticoid effects on cognitive development.

We study two forms of eyeblink classical conditioning: delay and trace (see Figure 1). In delay eyeblink classical conditioning, an auditory conditioned stimulus (CS) overlaps the presentation of a periocular shock, which serves as the unconditioned stimulus (US). Delay eyeblink classical conditioning is mediated chiefly by circuits in the cerebellum and brainstem. In contrast, trace eyeblink classical conditioning engages the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, as well as the basic associative circuits underlying delay eyeblink classical conditioning, and is our primary procedure for assessing hippocampal-mediated glucocorticoid effects on learning. During trace eyeblink classical conditioning the CS terminates before the onset of the US, so that the subject must retain a “memory trace” of the CS to form the association with the subsequently presented shock. Acquisition of the CS-US pairing (i.e., learning) is measured by the percentage and amplitude of conditioned responses (CRs), responses which occur after the CS but before the US and therefore indicate anticipation of the US. The frequency and strength of the responses routinely increase across training trials. A typical conditioning session consists of 100 trials, 90 paired CS-US trials and 10 CS-alone test trials presented as every 10th trial in the sequence. Acquisition data are presented throughout this paper as averages from 90 CS-US trials per session or 9-trial blocks within a session. When the timing of conditioned responses is such that the peak is within the 200 ms immediately preceding the shock US the CRs are labelled as “adaptive” or well-timed responses, although any response within the CS-US window can be counted in a “total” CR measure. All of the data presented in the following figures will be of adaptive conditioned responses.

Based on the known susceptibility of the hippocampus to glucocorticoid effects, we hypothesized that glucocorticoid administration—specifically corticosterone, the primary endogenous glucocorticoid in the rat—would impair trace eyeblink classical conditioning, but have no or less effect on delay eyeblink classical conditioning. Developmentally, the ability to acquire trace eyeblink conditioning emerges postnatally and becomes robust by about 28 days of age in the rat, corresponding to the prolonged maturation of hippocampal and cortical circuits during the first several weeks (Ivkovich et al., 2000b; Travaglia et al., 2016). If corticosterone’s effects are mediated by hippocampus, we should see deficits similar to those reported by Ivkovich and Stanton (2001) where hippocampal lesions on postnatal day 10 impaired subsequent trace eyeblink conditioning on Days 25–26 but not

delay eyeblink conditioning at matching inter-stimulus intervals. In humans with *hyper*cortisolism, trace eyeblink conditioning deficits were observed (Grillon et al., 2004) while metyrapone- induced acute, mild *hypoc*cortisolism facilitated trace but not delay eyeblink conditioning (Nees et al., 2008), confirming that the trace eyeblink conditioning task may be sensitive to glucocorticoid effects mediated by hippocampus.

2.0 Corticosterone effects on eyeblink trace conditioning

Here we begin by reviewing previously published behavioral studies from our group (Claflin et al., 2005; 2014; Wentworth-Eidsaune et al., 2016) in which we examined corticosterone effects on the acquisition of eyeblink conditioning in juvenile rats. This review is an opportunity to weave together disparate findings and share new insights gleaned from examining the data as a whole. In addition we report new physiological data, from plasma assays and immunohistological analysis of the hippocampus, that may begin to address the underlying mechanism(s) contributing to the variability of corticosterone's effects on behavior.

2.1 Corticosterone pellets- chronic high dose

In an initial study (Claflin et al., 2005), corticosterone was administered by way of 21-day timed-release subcutaneous pellets in order to examine the effects of chronic elevation of corticosterone beginning immediately post SHRP on later learning. Either a 35 mg corticosterone pellet or an equivalent-size inert placebo pellet was surgically implanted at the nape of the neck on PND 15. Two weeks later, acquisition of delay and trace eyeblink conditioning was compared between treatment groups and between males and females over a two- day period, PND 28–29 (3 sessions/day, 4–5 hours apart). Although initial acquisition of delay conditioning was slower for corticosterone-treated animals starting from Session 1 after 90 paired CS-US trials, they easily reached asymptotic performance during the 4th of 6 training sessions, with no sex differences (Figure 2, left panel). In contrast, for hippocampal-dependent trace eyeblink conditioning (Figure 2, right panel) there was a clear impairment throughout learning for corticosterone-treated male rat pups only, as measured by the percentage of adaptive conditioned responses. These males showed no significant improvement across trials, whereas control males, as well as corticosterone-treated and control females, exhibited gradual learning that reached asymptote in the 4th or 5th sessions of training. Conditioned-response amplitude measures followed the same patterns.

However, the physical appearance of both males and females was also affected by corticosterone pellet treatment. Their coats were scruffy and weight gain retarded (59 +/- 2 g for treated males and females vs. 84 +/- 2 g for controls on PND 27). Assessment of circulating corticosterone concentrations revealed that hormone treatment had produced a much greater and briefer elevation than intended: pharmacological levels of about 80 µg/dl were detected 3 days after implant on PND 18, but had declined to the levels of animals treated with placebo pellets (~ 20 µg/dl) by 6 days after implant. Inspection of the pellets (designed for 21-day release) indicated a deteriorating pellet mass that appeared to have become encapsulated by scar tissue, potentially preventing further regular release of the hormone. In all, the results of this experiment demonstrated a clear sex-specific vulnerability

of males in a hippocampal-mediated learning task. Furthermore, since the corticosterone elevations were not maintained throughout the study, the deficit we observed was due to a lasting effect produced by earlier hormone administration. Finally, our results, like those of others (Hermann et al., 2009; Kott et al., 2016), serve as a caveat for the importance of verifying actual blood levels following hormone pellet administration.

2.2 Corticosterone by mini-pumps- steady moderate elevation

If three days of pharmacologically elevated corticosterone could produce a sex-specific, later impairment in a hippocampal-mediated task, the next obvious question seemed to be whether a more physiologically relevant elevation of the same duration would have a similar outcome. To achieve a more-controlled delivery, we turned to osmotic mini-pumps. On PND 15, pups were implanted subcutaneously with pumps containing corticosterone or vehicle that were designed for a 3-day period of delivery. Trace eyeblink conditioning was again evaluated beginning on PND 28 (Claflin et al., 2014).

Unlike the previous experiment, there was no effect of corticosterone on the appearance or body weights of pups. Furthermore, the pumps were successful at achieving an elevation of plasma levels 24 hours following implantation (12 µg/dl vs 6 µg/dl for controls) that might best be considered to be in the low to moderate physiological stress range. During trace conditioning almost 2 weeks later, percentage of adaptive CRs was numerically lower for corticosterone-treated animals relative to controls, but not statistically different (Figure 3, left panel). However, the difference as measured by percentage of “total” CRs did reach significance and resembled the data for amplitude of the adaptive conditioned responses which were also found to be significantly different (Figure 3, right panel). Both males and females were impaired in this study, but visual inspection of the data separated by sex suggest a tendency again for males to exhibit greater impairment than females (Figure 4). In summary, a short-term, modest elevation of corticosterone at the conclusion of the SHRP was sufficient to disrupt acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning at 4 weeks of age. And while there was some suggestion of greater vulnerability in males, animals of both sexes exhibited deficits following corticosterone treatment.

2.3 Corticosterone by injection – fluctuating elevation

Though osmotic mini-pumps successfully achieved circulating corticosterone levels in the normal range, they are not designed to mimic normal temporal variation in hormone levels. For one, they obscure natural circadian and ultradian cycles. Further, because the output is consistent, mini-pumps eliminate the repeated elevation and descent of circulating levels that characterize acute stress exposures or intake of steroid medications. One drug delivery method that better approximates this pattern of temporal fluctuation is injection. Accordingly, pups were injected with corticosterone in our next study. Initial testing revealed that doses of 5 and 20 mg/kg produced peak plasma elevations within an hour of the injection in the physiological range for the low dose (44.2 µg/dl) and in the pharmacological range for the high dose (103 µg/dl), both of which declined precipitously, returning to control levels by 4 hours post-injection (Wentworth-Eidsaune et al., 2016). For this reason, subjects were treated twice daily, at 0900 and 1700, for 3 days—PND 15, 16, and 17. Once again, corticosterone treatment—particularly the higher, pharmacological dose—affected

trace eyeblink performance. However, in this case there was *facilitation* rather than impairment of learning, again only in males (Figure 5). Moreover, it was only the initial acquisition of the response that was enhanced since effects were limited to the first of the six sessions, each of which consisted of 90 paired CS-US trials. Inspection of Figures 2, 3, and 5 show that the overall levels of conditioning among control groups varied considerably across studies, so that the initial level of conditioning reported for the high dose in Figure 5 is not substantially greater than the control groups of the earlier studies. However, since the control procedure differed for each administration method, variation among controls is not unexpected. Importantly, when compared to animals run at the same time and under similar conditions, fluctuating glucocorticoid levels in the period immediately following the end of the SHRP enhanced the males' initial phase of acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning 2 weeks after drug treatment was initiated.

Overall, the three published studies reported here found that the three different methods of administering corticosterone during the post-SHRP portion of the preweaning period all had lasting effects on hippocampal-mediated trace eyeblink conditioning. Yet, the nature of the outcomes observed varied with the pattern of elevation (level, duration, temporal fluctuation) that each administration procedure achieved. Nonetheless, our assessment of blood levels was based on limited samples so as to constrain the conclusions that can be drawn for these results. In the first experiment, we know that pellets dramatically increased corticosterone concentrations at 24 hours and that the increase had disappeared by 3 days, but we do not know how quickly the elevations subsided. Similarly, in the second study, physiological levels were observed 24 hours following implantation of the mini-pumps, but the duration of this elevation is unclear. Further, the time course to corticosterone injection can vary considerably under different conditions (e.g., Abelson, Fard, Nyman, Goldkuhl, & Hau, 2009; McEwen, Weiss, & Schwartz, 1969) so that we cannot comment on how repeated injection at different times of day or on consecutive days may have affected the pattern of elevation in the third study presented above. Therefore, in a more recent and unpublished study, we assessed plasma corticosterone levels following implantation of pellets or mini-pumps, or administration of repeated injections, at multiple time points.

3.0 Corticosterone elevation patterns over time

In this systematic approach to quantifying the time course of plasma corticosterone elevation for each of the three administration methods above, specific procedures and doses were as described for the previous experiments. Implantation or first injection occurred at 0900 on Day 15, with blood samples collected 1, 4, 9, and 12 hours later. Further blood collection was spaced according to the expected reliable duration of effect for a particular treatment method (see Figure 6). For injection, only the high dose of 20 mg/kg was used here, and sampling occurred 1 hour and 4 hours after each injection. Samples from pups undergoing control procedures for each method were collected for comparison. In all, 149 pups from 17 litters were each sampled twice—initially via cardiac withdrawal allowing quick recovery and enabling a second withdrawal by decapitation. A minimum interval of 12 hours separated the two blood draws. Data for each time point for each method are based on between 6 and 9 samples (males and females combined). While providing a thorough time-course sampling, these data were not sufficient to evaluate sex differences reliably. Blood

was collected within 4 minutes of home cage disturbance in order to minimize any effect of the procedure on corticosterone levels in the samples obtained (Coover, Heybach, Lenz, & Miller, 1979). Plasma samples were run in duplicate with radioimmunoassay procedures.

Once again, pellets yielded the largest elevations. Though designed for release over 21 days, plasma corticosterone concentrations of pellet-implanted pups rose to pharmacological levels and then fell sharply on day 2 and were no different than controls by day 5. Mini-pumps yielded a relatively steady elevation in the physiological stress range into the 3rd day. Injections yielded the same oscillating peaks and troughs whenever administered, with significant elevations persisting for less than 4 hours. It is clear, therefore, that the three administration methods result in very different levels, temporal fluctuations, and durations of circulating glucocorticoid elevation, which apparently have distinct individual consequences for underlying brain development.

4.0 Corticosterone effects on neurogenesis

While there are a number of mechanisms by which glucocorticoids may be affecting brain development to produce the different behavioral outcomes we have reported, one of the first to come to mind is by altering hippocampal neurogenesis. To assess this possibility, we have now also examined potential differences in neurogenesis in the dorsal dentate gyrus following corticosterone administration by the same three methods. Neurogenesis in this region of the hippocampus has most frequently been observed in adults to correlate with changes in cognitive performance (Gould et al., 1999; Leuner et al., 2006). As described above, corticosterone administration began on PND 15. Daily bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) injections (i.p., 50mg/kg) began one day later and were administered once a day for 3 days, on PND 16–18, to label newly dividing cells during this critical time window when corticosterone levels were known to be elevated. We examined brain samples of 4–7 pups, approximately balanced for sex, in each corticosterone and corresponding control group. An additional 4 brain samples served as baseline non-manipulated controls. Brains were harvested and preserved on PND 28 to correspond with the day of behavioral testing in our other studies. Coronal sections (80- μ m) were collected, yielding 7–9 sections per animal, for immuno-histochemical staining with Anti-BrdU, anti-NeuN, anti-GFAP, and Sox10 as the primary antibodies followed by secondary antibodies FITC, Cy3, and DyLight649 to allow for confocal imaging (Figure 7). Stereological techniques were used to obtain counts of the total number of newly generated neurons (both mature and immature), their density, and the volume of the dorsal dentate gyrus. Values were estimated using a rare event version of the optical fractionator (Gundersen et al., 1988) and Cavalieri methods (Gundersen et al., 1988b) with Stereo Investigator software.

Among the different administration method groups, statistically significant differences were observed for the pellet groups only and the direction of the effects was sex dependent (Figure 8). There was a significant *decrease* in neurogenesis for corticosterone-treated male pups relative to placebo control males but a significant *increase* in neurogenesis for the female corticosterone-treated group relative to placebo females. These data are consistent with the significant behavioral deficit in learning we observed in corticosterone-pellet-treated males. Interestingly, examination of control groups indicated that for both placebo

pellet controls and untreated controls there was a significantly higher rate of neurogenesis for males than females. Although not significant for the other control groups, this post-SHRP increased rate of neurogenesis for males is consistent with a growth spurt period in hippocampus (Bayer, 1980; Bayer & Altman, 1974; Travaglia et al., 2016) and makes the decrease in neurogenesis for corticosterone-pellet-males even more significant. It is possible that the increased rate of neurogenesis during this developmental window produces a vulnerability to glucocorticoid perturbation specifically in males, though given the relatively high level of neurogenesis in males receiving a placebo pellet and the small sample size of untreated controls, these results must be considered preliminary until replicated. The volume of the dentate and cell density of new neurons did not differ between the corticosterone-treated and control animals, regardless of sex or drug administration method.

5.0 General Discussion

It is clear that the pattern of circulating glucocorticoids (level, duration, temporal fluctuation) is affected by the drug administration method and that even seemingly modest differences (i.e., output of mini-pump vs injection) can determine the direction of a behavioral effect. Our work has focused on exploring the consequences of raising glucocorticoid levels starting on PND 15, immediately after the SHRP, and the potential effect on the developing hippocampus, as assessed 2 weeks later, on PND 28. Although none of the drug administration methods we evaluated affected hippocampal volume, neurogenesis in the dentate was altered by the pharmacologically high levels of corticosterone delivered by pellets but not by the more modest levels produced by mini-pumps and injections. Moreover, the effect was sex specific. Although across all control groups, males generally demonstrated more neurogenesis in the PND 16–28 period assessed, there was a drastic decrease in newly-generated-neurons for corticosterone-pellet-treated males relative to placebo controls. Our results are consistent with those of others who have found that postnatal exposure to glucocorticoids through nursing or early postnatal injections reduced neurogenesis in males and in specific regions of hippocampus, like the dentate gyrus (Brummelte et al., 2006 and Gould, 1991, respectively). So, although pharmacological doses of corticosterone may impair neural development in males, this reduced neurogenesis argument cannot explain the learning deficits observed with lower levels of corticosterone delivered by mini-pump or the facilitated learning observed with injections.

One prevailing view for some time has been that the differential effect of glucocorticoids on hippocampal function is mediated by the ratio of occupied glucocorticoid receptor types, Type I (mineralocorticoid; MR) and Type II (glucocorticoid; GR). Rising glucocorticoid concentrations first saturate the high-affinity MR receptor sites, but with continuing elevation, glucocorticoids increasingly occupy GR receptors, which are found in abundance in the hippocampus. Initial activation of MRs appears to facilitate hippocampal function, enhancing LTP and improving spatial memory in the Y-maze (Conrad et al. 1996, 1997; deKloet et al., 1999). So long as the exposure is short-term and at low-moderate levels, glucocorticoid elevations appear to support neural plasticity and specifically post-training memory consolidation. For example, intra-hippocampal infusion of corticosterone improves memory consolidation in awake but not sleeping rats (Kelemen et al., 2014). Memory consolidation is impaired in the absence of glucocorticoids following adrenalectomy or

administration of antagonists (e.g., Marin et al., 2011; Nees et al., 2008). In contrast, prolonged exposure to stressors activates GRs, which appears to impair hippocampal excitability associated with learning plasticity, altering NMDA receptor expression (Lee et al., 2003), suppressing LTP, and impairing performance on declarative and spatial memory tasks and memory retrieval (see reviews: Conrad et al., 2005; DeKloet et al., 1999; McEwen et al., 2006, 2007; Roosendaal, 2002). One suggestion is that chronic, prolonged elevation produces genomic level changes that specifically affect hippocampal functions during later-stage memory processes (Roosendaal, 2002; Schwabe et al., 2012). In addition, prolonged glucocorticoid elevation and GR stimulation can have neurotoxic effects on the hippocampus in adult humans and in other animals, including rats (for review, see Lupien et al., 1997, 1998; Sousa et al., 1998).

We cannot rule out the possibility that GR activation in the non-hippocampal basic eyeblink conditioning circuits may produce the effects we observed in trace eyeblink conditioning. Certainly Wilber et al., (2007, 2010, 2011) demonstrated that early postnatal maternal separation and corticosterone administration during the SHRP resulted in impaired *delay* eyeblink conditioning for males in adulthood, but not females, and that the deficits corresponded with enhanced GR expression in the interpositus nucleus of the cerebellum, an area critical for this form of associative learning. However, our results obtained by manipulating corticosterone *after* the SHRP are consistent with adult human studies suggesting that hippocampus-mediated trace, but not delay conditioning, is more sensitive to these later variations in glucocorticoid levels. When cortisol levels are endogenously high (Cushing's syndrome) trace eyeblink conditioning is impaired and correlates with hippocampal-declarative memory deficits (Grillon et al., 2004). On the other hand, when cortisol production was inhibited by metyrapone in healthy volunteers, facilitation of trace eyeblink conditioning was observed while delay conditioning remained unaffected (Nees et al., 2008). It is possible that the later, but not earlier, postnatal glucocorticoid effects on cognition are mediated by hippocampus, especially since the immediate post-SHRP period of PND 15–18 has been reported as a time when there is a noticeable spurt in hippocampal cell maturation, in particular in the dentate gyrus (Bayer & Altman, 1974). It has been argued that brain areas are more vulnerable precisely during such developmental spurts than they are either before or after reaching an adult state (Rice & Barone, 2000)

Beyond the potential glucocorticoid effects on hippocampal structure and function are the effects exogenous glucocorticoids can have on endogenous activity via feedback systems in the HPA Axis. The chronic, though low level, corticosterone supplementation provided by minipumps impacts the natural physiological variations in corticosterone levels associated with rhythmic ultradian and circadian cycles of the hormone and regulatory feedback effects on the HPA axis. Minipumps obscure natural circadian rhythms of corticosterone by producing a constant output that raises physiological levels above normal circulating levels for an extended period. In contrast, injections produce rapid fluctuations superimposed on the circadian cycle, thereby adding in additional periods of elevation into the natural cycle, which are likely to better mimic real-life repeated acute stressor effects. In one study in which early-life stress exposure *enhanced* later fear conditioning in adulthood, similar to our injection effects, the diurnal corticosterone cycle was disrupted so as to produce a second daily peak (Poulos et al., 2014). Some researchers are proposing that disruptions of the

natural diurnal corticosterone cycle may prove to be useful in modelling PTSD symptoms in rodents (Hall et al., 2015; Poulos et al., 2014).

Peaks and troughs in the circadian cycle may be particularly important to normal cognitive development. Liston et al. (2013) determined that peaks in circadian glucocorticoid levels promoted dendritic spine growth in cortical tissue of mice whereas troughs in the circadian cycle were periods of stabilization for the new spines. An injection of glucocorticoids during the trough period enhanced spine growth in mice to similar levels as those seen during normal peak circadian periods. A similar beneficial effect on memory function was observed in human young adults administered hydrocortisone during the evening trough period (Lupien et al., 2002). It is increasingly clear that endogenous and exogenous glucocorticoids will interact to alter the natural cyclical patterns of elevation in ways that may produce either facilitative or deleterious effects on cognition.

Although excessive glucocorticoid elevation over a prolonged period is generally detrimental, and likely to result in hippocampal damage, acute elevations such as those produced by injections or by administration at specific times of day, may provide beneficial effects by way of a different mechanism. Facilitative effects on cognition have been demonstrated following cortisol administration in humans, specifically enhanced memory for emotional material (Abercrombie et al., 2003; Buchanan & Lovallo, 2001) and improvement in working memory (Stauble, et al. 2013). Inhibitory avoidance, contextual-fear conditioning, and water maze spatial learning in rodents (reviewed in Roozendaal, 2002) were similarly improved. Acute administration of glucocorticoids also appears to follow the hormetic, or U-shaped, dose-response function, with beneficial effects at lower doses (Lupien et al., 2005). It is proposed that the enhanced learning observed following acute stress or glucocorticoid exposure is the result of better memory encoding and consolidation processes, the early phases of memory formation, which are modulated by amygdalar-noradrenergic interactions with the learning circuits (Roozendaal, 2002). Given the delay between glucocorticoid treatment and assessment of learning in our paradigm, our findings may reflect a lasting increase in amygdalar-noradrenergic activity or excitability that promotes faster acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning at a later time. According to this model, there would also be no negative effect on hippocampal function, so the basic neural substrates for performing the task would remain intact.

6.0 Summary and conclusion

Our results offer caveats not just for the delivery of glucocorticoids in the laboratory, but also for their administration in a clinical setting. Results confirm that hippocampally-mediated cognitive function appears to be especially vulnerable to early glucocorticoid administration, but that the observed effects may be in different directions. Early glucocorticoid manipulation may influence hippocampal development during a critical period of vulnerability for males more so than for females. Our data show fairly consistent evidence for male sensitivity to glucocorticoid effects, both positive and negative, at PND 15, a time that corresponds with a significant growth spurt in the hippocampus in the rat. Glucocorticoids may alter the trajectory of brain development to affect cognitive development in a sex-specific manner (Kosten, 2012). Because the effects of glucocorticoids

on cognition may vary greatly with factors beyond just dose (e.g., timing, developmental period, sex), adverse effects may be difficult to detect without thorough testing. Systematic study of these various parameters using animal models for cognitive assessment may be able to provide clues to increase our understanding of the development, sex specificity, and underlying mechanisms contributing to certain learning disorders.

Acknowledgments

Special gratitude goes out to Leslie Greenfield, Sarah Jensen, and Christine Wentworth-Eidsaune for their role in the data collection presented here. Additional thanks go to Anne Brown, Sean Collins, Christopher Fitch, Lisa Kralich, Molly Miklasevich and Candace Thornburg for their assistance. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant number R15MH081257] and the Wright State University Comprehensive Neuroscience Center to D. I. Claflin. All animal work was conducted in an AAALAC accredited facility under an IACUC approved protocol in accordance with NRC's Guide to Laboratory Animal Care and Use (2013)

Abbreviations (Non-standard In order of appearance)

HPA	Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis
SHRP	Stress Hyporesponsive Period
PND	Postnatal Day
SEM	Standard Error of the Mean

References

- Abelson KSP, Fard SS, Nyman J, Goldkuhl R, Hai J. Distribution of [^3H]-corticosterone in urine, feces and blood of male Sprague-Dawley rats after tail vein and jugular vein injections. *in vivo*. 2009; 23:381–386. [PubMed: 19454502]
- Abercrombie HC, Kalin NH, Thurow ME, Rosenkranz MA, Davidson RJ. Cortisol variation in humans affects memory for emotionally laden and neutral information. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2003; 117:505–16. [PubMed: 12802879]
- Agnew-Blais J, Danese A. Childhood maltreatment and unfavourable clinical outcomes in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016; 3:342–349. [PubMed: 26873185]
- Aisa B, Tordera R, Lasheras B, De Río J, Ramírez MJ. Cognitive impairment associated to HPA axis hyperactivity after maternal separation in rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2007; 32:256–266. [PubMed: 17307298]
- Bale TL, Epperson CN. Sex differences and stress across the life span. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2015; 18:1413–1420. [PubMed: 26404716]
- Bayer SA. Development of the hippocampal region in the rat. I. Neurogenesis examined with 3H-thymidine autoradiography. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1980; 190:87–114. [PubMed: 7381056]
- Bayer SA, Altman J. Hippocampal development in the rat: cytogenesis and morphogenesis examined with autoradiography and low-level X-irradiation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1974; 158:55–79. [PubMed: 4430737]
- Beers SR, De Bellis MD. Neuropsychological function in children with maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002; 159:483–486. [PubMed: 11870018]
- Belanoff JK, Gross K, Yager A, Schatzberg AF. Corticosteroids and cognition. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2001; 35:127–145. [PubMed: 11461709]

- Bender BG, Lerner JA, Kollasch PAC. Mood and memory in asthmatic children receiving corticosteroids. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1988; 27:720–725. [PubMed: 3198559]
- Beylin AV, Shors TJ. Glucocorticoids are necessary for enhancing the acquisition of associative memories after acute stressful experience. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2003; 43:124–31. [PubMed: 12614642]
- Bender BG, Lerner JA, Poland JE. Association between corticosteroids and psychologic change in hospitalized asthmatic children. *Annals of Allergy*. 1991; 66:414–419. [PubMed: 2035905]
- Blank T, Nijholt I, Eckart K, Spiess J. Priming of long-term potentiation in mouse hippocampus by corticotropin-releasing factor and acute stress: implications for hippocampus-dependent learning. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2002; 22:3788–94. [PubMed: 11978854]
- Brummelte S, Pawluski JL, Galea LAM. High post-partum levels of corticosterone given to dams influence postnatal hippocampal cell proliferation and behavior of offspring: a model of post-partum stress and possible depression. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2006; 50:370–382. [PubMed: 16780843]
- Brummelte S, Lieblich SE, Galea LA. Gestational and postpartum corticosterone exposure to the dam affects behavioral and endocrine outcome of the offspring in a sexually-dimorphic manner. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62:406–418. [PubMed: 21867716]
- Buchanan TW, Lovallo WR. Enhanced memory for emotional material following stress-level cortisol treatment in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2001; 26:307–17. [PubMed: 11166493]
- Carrion VG, Weems CF, Reiss AL. Stress predicts brain changes in children: a pilot longitudinal study on youth stress, posttraumatic stress disorder, and the hippocampus. *Pediatrics*. 2007; 119:509–16. [PubMed: 17332204]
- Claflin DI, Greenfield LR, Hennessy MB. Modest elevation of corticosterone in preweanling rats impairs subsequent trace eyeblink conditioning during the juvenile period. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural]. *Behavioural Brain Research*. 2014; 258:19–26. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.10.008 [PubMed: 24140564]
- Claflin DI, Hennessy MB, Jensen SJ. Sex-specific effects of corticosterone on hippocampally mediated learning in young rats. [Comparative Study Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *Physiology & behavior*. 2005; 85(2):159–166. DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.03.015 [PubMed: 15869769]
- Conrad CD, Galea LA, Kuroda Y, McEwen BS. Chronic stress impairs rat spatial memory on the Y maze, and this effect is blocked by tianeptine pretreatment. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 1996; 110:1321–34. [PubMed: 8986335]
- Conrad CD, Lupien SJ, Thanasoulis LC, McEwen BS. The effects of type I and type II corticosteroid receptor agonists on exploratory behavior and spatial memory in the Y-maze. *Brain Research*. 1997; 759:76–83. [PubMed: 9219865]
- Conrad CD. The relationship between acute glucocorticoid levels and hippocampal function depends upon task aversiveness and memory processing stage nonlinearity. *Biological and Toxicological Medicine*. 2005; 3:57–78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2201/nonlin.003.01.004>.
- Coover GD, Heybach JP, Lenz J, Miller JF. Corticosterone "basal levels" and response to ether anesthesia in rats on a water deprivation regimen. *Physiology & behavior*. 1979; 22(4):653–656. [PubMed: 482405]
- De Kloet ER, Oitzl MS, Joels M. Stress and cognition: are corticosteroids good or bad guys? *Trends in Neurosciences*. 1999; 22:422–426. [PubMed: 10481183]
- Dricks S. Effects of neonatal stress on gamma oscillations in hippocampus. *Scientific Reports*. 2016; 6:29007.doi: 10.1038/srep29007 [PubMed: 27363787]
- Edwards HE, Burnham WM. The impact of corticosteroids on the developing animal. *Pediatric Research*. 2001; 50:433–440. [PubMed: 11568284]
- Eichenbaum H, Amaral DG, Buffalo EA, Buzsáki G, Cohen N, Davachi L, Frank L, Heckers S, Morris RG, Moser EI, Nadel L, O'Keefe J, Preston A, Ranganath C, Silva A, Witter M. Hippocampus at 25. *Hippocampus*. 2016; 26:1238–49. DOI: 10.1002/hipo.22616 [PubMed: 27399159]

- Ferguson SA, Paule MG, Holson R. Neonatal dexamethasone on day 7 in rats causes behavioral alterations reflective of hippocampal, but not cerebellar, deficits. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2001; 23:57–69. [PubMed: 11274876]
- Fernandez V, Osório FL. Are there associations between early emotional trauma and anxiety disorders? Evidence from a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *European Psychiatry*. 2015; 30:756–764. [PubMed: 26163920]
- Garcia R. Stress, hippocampal plasticity, and spatial learning. *Synapse*. 2001; 40:180–3. DOI: 10.1002/syn.1040 [PubMed: 11304755]
- Gobinath AR, Workman JL, Chow C, Lieblisch SE, Galea LA. Maternal postpartum corticosterone and fluoxetine differentially affect adult male and female offspring on anxiety-like behavior, stress reactivity, and hippocampal neurogenesis. *Neuropharmacology*. 2016; 101:165–178. [PubMed: 26391064]
- Gould E, Woolley CS, McEwen BS. Adrenal steroids regulate postnatal development of the rat dentate gyrus: I. Effects of glucocorticoids on cell death. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1991; 313:479–85. DOI: 10.1002/cne.903130308 [PubMed: 1770171]
- Gould E, Beylin A, Tanapat P, Reeves A, Shors TJ. Learning enhances adult neurogenesis in the hippocampal formation. *Nature Neuroscience*. 1999; 2:260–265. [PubMed: 10195219]
- Green J, Woodruff-Pak DS. Eyeblink classical conditioning: hippocampal formation is for neutral stimulus associations as cerebellum is for association-response. *Psychology Bulletin*. 2000; 126:138–58.
- Grillon C, Smith K, Haynos A, Nieman LK. Deficits in hippocampus-mediated Pavlovian conditioning in endogenous hypercortisolism. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2004; 56:837–843. [PubMed: 15576060]
- Gundersen HJ, Bendtsen TF, Korbo L, Marcussen N, Møller A, Nielsen K, Nyengaard JR, Pakkenberg B, Sørensen FB, Vesterby A, et al. Some new, simple and efficient stereological methods and their use in pathological research and diagnosis. *APMIS*. 1988; 96:379–94. [PubMed: 3288247]
- Gundersen HJ, Bagger P, Bendtsen TF, Evans SM, Korbo L, Marcussen N, Møller A, Nielsen K, Nyengaard JR, Pakkenberg B, et al. The new stereological tools: disector, fractionator, nucleator and point sampled intercepts and their use in pathological research and diagnosis. *APMIS*. 1988b; 96:857–81. [PubMed: 3056461]
- Hall BS, Moda RN, Liston C. Glucocorticoid mechanisms of functional connectivity changes in stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neurobiology of Stress*. 2015; 1:174–183. [PubMed: 25729760]
- Hedges DW, Woon FL. Early-life stress and cognitive outcome. *Psychopharmacology*. 2011; 214:121–130. [PubMed: 21107538]
- Heffelfinger AK, Newcomer JW. Glucocorticoid effects on memory function over the human life span. *Developmental Psychopathology*. 2001; 13:491–513.
- Herrmann M, Henneicke H, Street J, Modzelewski J, Kalak R, Buttgerit F, Dunstan C, Zhou H, Seibel MJ. The challenge of continuous exogenous glucocorticoid administration in mice. *Steroids*. 2009; 74:245–249. [PubMed: 19071150]
- Hitzert MM, Van Braeckel KNJA, de Bok M, Maathuis CGB, Roze E, Bos AF. Functional outcome at school age of preterm-born children treated with high-dose dexamethasone. *Early Human Development*. 2014; 90:253–258. [PubMed: 24602475]
- Ivkovich D, Stanton ME. Effects of early hippocampal lesions on trace, delay, and long-delay eyeblink conditioning in developing rats. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*. 2001; 76:426–446. [PubMed: 11726246]
- Ivy AS, Rex CS, Chen Y, Dubé C, Maras PM, Grigoriadis DE, Gall CM, Lynch G, Baram TZ. Hippocampal dysfunction and cognitive impairments provoked by chronic early-life stress involve excessive activation of CRH receptors. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2010; 30:13005–13015. [PubMed: 20881118]
- Jiang Z, Cowell RM, Nakazawa K. Convergence of genetic and environmental factors on parvalbumin-positive interneurons in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2013; 7:116. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00116. [PubMed: 24027504]

- Jones NC, O'Brien TJ, Carmant L. Interaction between sex and early-life stress: influence of epileptogenesis and epilepsy comorbidities. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 2014; 72:233–241. [PubMed: 25266701]
- Kelemen E, Barendt M, Born J, Inostroza M. Hippocampal corticosterone impairs memory consolidation during sleep but improves consolidation in the wake state. *Hippocampus*. 2014; 24:510–5. DOI: 10.1002/hipo.22266 [PubMed: 24596244]
- Kosten TA, Kim JJ, Lee HJ. Early life manipulations alter learning and memory in rats. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2012; 36:1985–2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.07.003 [PubMed: 22819985]
- Kott JM, Mooney-Leber SM, Shoubah FA, Brummelte S. Effectiveness of different corticosterone administration methods to elevate corticosterone serum levels, induce depressive-like behavior, and affect neurogenesis levels in female rats. *Neuroscience*. 2016; 312:201–14. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.11.006 [PubMed: 26556064]
- Lajic S, Nordenström A, Hirvikoski T. Long-term outcome of prenatal treatment of congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Endocrine Reviews*. 2008; 13:82–98.
- Lee PR, Brady D, Koenig JJ. Corticosterone alters N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit mRNA expression before puberty. *Brain Research and Molecular Brain Research*. 2003; 115:55–62. [PubMed: 12824055]
- Leuner B, Gould E, Shors TJ. Is there a link between adult neurogenesis and learning? *Hippocampus*. 2006; 16:216–24. [PubMed: 16421862]
- Liston C, Cichon JM, Jeanneteau F, Jia Z, Chao MV, Gan WB. Circadian glucocorticoid oscillations promote learning-dependent synapse formation and maintenance. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2013; 16:698–705. DOI: 10.1038/nn.3387 [PubMed: 23624512]
- Lupien SJ, de Leon M, de Santi S, Convit A, Tarshish C, Nair NPV, Thakur M, McEwen BS, Hauger RL, Meaney MJ. Cortisol levels during human aging predict hippocampal atrophy and memory deficits. *Nature Neuroscience*. 1998; 1:69–73. [PubMed: 10195112]
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS. The acute effects of corticosteroids on cognition: integration of animal and human model studies. *Brain Research Reviews*. 1997; 24:1–27. [PubMed: 9233540]
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009; 10:434–45. DOI: 10.1038/nrn2639 [PubMed: 19401723]
- Lupien SJ, Buss C, Schramek TE, Maheu F, Pruessner J. Hormetic influence of glucocorticoids on human memory. *Nonlinearity in Biology, Toxicology, and Medicine*. 2005; 3:23–56. DOI: 10.2201/nonlin.003.01.003
- Lupien SJ, Wilkinson CW, Brière S, Ménard C, Ng Ying Kin NMK, Nair NP. The modulatory effects of corticosteroids on cognition: studies in young human populations. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2002; 27:401–16. [PubMed: 11818174]
- Maccari A, Krugers HJ, Morley-Fletcher S, Szyf M, Brunton PJ. The consequences of early-life adversity: neurobiological, behavioural and epigenetic adaptations. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*. 2014; 26:707–723. [PubMed: 25039443]
- Machlor N, Balaji T, Raju TNK. Postnatal dexamethasone and long term learning and memory functions in developing rats: effect of postnatal age and gender. *Life Sciences*. 2004; 74:1925–1935. [PubMed: 14761673]
- Mahmoud RR, Sase S, Aher YD, Sase A, Gröger M, Mokhtar M, Höger H, Lubec G. Spatial and Working Memory Is Linked to Spine Density and Mushroom Spines. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10:e0139739. eCollection 2015. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139739doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139739 [PubMed: 26469788]
- Marin MF, Hupbach A, Maheu FS, Nader K, Lupien SJ. Metyrapone administration reduces the strength of an emotional memory trace in a long-lasting manner. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2011; 96:E1221–7. DOI: 10.1210/jc.2011-0226
- McEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators: central role of the brain. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*. 2006; 8:367–81. [PubMed: 17290796]
- McEwen BS. Physiology and Neurobiology of Stress and Adaptation: Central Role of the Brain. *Physiology Review*. 2007; 87:873–904. DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00041.2006

- McEwen BS, Weiss JM, Schwartz LS. Uptake of corticosterone by rat brain and its concentration by certain limbic structures. *Brain Research*. 1969; 16:227–241. [PubMed: 5348850]
- Moradi AR, Doost HT, Taghavi MR, Yule W, Dalgleish T. Everyday memory deficits in children and adolescents with PTSD: performance on the Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1999; 40:357–361.
- Mrakotsky C, Forbes PW, Bernstein JH, Grand RJ, Bousvaros A, Szigethy E, Waber D. Acute cognitive and behavioral effects of systemic corticosteroids in children treated for inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2013; 19:96–109. [PubMed: 23157730]
- Nees F, Richter S, Lass-Hennemann J, Blumenthal TD, Schachinger H. Inhibition of cortisol production by metyrapone enhances trace, but not delay, eyeblink conditioning. *Psychopharmacology*. 2008; 199:183–190. DOI: 10.1007/s00213-008-1155-2 [PubMed: 18478206]
- Owen D, Matthews SG. Glucocorticoids and sex-dependent development of brain glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors. *Endocrinology*. 2003; 144:2775–2784. [PubMed: 12810530]
- Penza KM, Heim C, Nemeroff CB. Neurobiological effects of childhood abuse: implications for the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 2003; 6:15–22.
- Poulos AM, Reger M, Mehta N, Zhuravka I, Sterlace SS, Gannam C, Hovda DA, Giza CC, Fanselow MS. Amnesia for early life stress does not preclude the adult development of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in rats. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2014; 76:306–14. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.10.007 [PubMed: 24231200]
- Ragnarsson O, Berglund P, Eder DN, Zetterberg H, Hietala MA, Blennow K, Johannsson G. Neurodegenerative and inflammatory biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid in patients with Cushing's syndrome in remission. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2013; 169:211–5. DOI: 10.1530/EJE-13-0205 [PubMed: 23733371]
- Rice D, Barone S Jr. Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: evidence from humans and animal models. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2000; 108(Suppl 3):511–33. [PubMed: 10852851]
- Roosendaal B. Stress and memory: opposing effects of glucocorticoids on memory consolidation and memory retrieval. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*. 2002; 78:578–95. [PubMed: 12559837]
- Sapolsky RM, Meaney MJ. Maturation of the adrenocortical stress response: neuroendocrine control mechanisms and the stress hypo-responsive period. *Brain research*. 1986; 396:64–76. [PubMed: 3011218]
- Sousa N, Madeira MD, Paula-Barbosa MM. Effects of corticosterone treatment and rehabilitation on the hippocampal formation of neonatal and adult rats. An unbiased stereological study. *Brain Research*. 1998; 794:199–210. [PubMed: 9622630]
- Spies G, Fennema-Notestine C, Cherner M, Seedat S. Changes in cognitive function in women with HIV infection and early life stress. *AIDS Care*. 2016; 11:1–10.
- Stanton ME, Freeman JH Jr. Eyeblink conditioning in the infant rat: an animal model of learning in developmental neurotoxicology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 1994; 102(Suppl 2):131–9.
- Starkman MN, Giordani B, Gebarski SS, Schteingart DE. Improvement in learning associated with increase in hippocampal formation volume. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2003; 53:233–238. [PubMed: 12559656]
- Starkman MN, Giordani B, Berent S, Schork A, Schteingart DE. Elevated cortisol levels in Cushing's Disease are associated with cognitive decrements. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2001; 63:985–993. [PubMed: 11719638]
- Starkman MN, Giordani B, Gebarski SS, Berent S, Schork MA, Schteingart DE. Decrease in cortisol reverses human hippocampal atrophy following treatment of Cushing's Disease. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1999; 46:1595–1602. [PubMed: 10624540]
- Starkman MN, Gebarski SS, Berent S, Schteingart DE. Hippocampal formation volume, memory dysfunction, and cortisol levels in patients with Cushing's syndrome. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1992; 32:756–765. [PubMed: 1450290]
- Stauble MR, Thompson LA, Morgan G. Stress. 2013; 16:402–10. Epub 2013 Apr 12. DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2013.780236 [PubMed: 23485122]

- Tata DA, Anderson BJ. The effects of chronic glucocorticoid exposure on dendritic length, synapse numbers and glial volume in animal models: implications for hippocampal volume reductions in depression. *Physiology and Behavior*. 2010; 99:186–93. DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.09.008 [PubMed: 19786041]
- ter Wolbeek M, de Sonnevile LMJ, de Vries WB, Kavelaars A, Veen S, Kornelisse RF, van Weissenbruch M, Baerts W, Liem KD, van Bel F, Jeijnen CJ. Early life intervention with glucocorticoids has negative effects on motor development and neuropsychological function in 14–17 year-old adolescents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013; 38:975–986. [PubMed: 23107421]
- Thompson RF, Krupa DJ. Organization of memory traces in the mammalian brain. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 1994; 17:519–49.
- Travaglia A, Bisaz R, Cruz E, Alberini CM. Developmental changes in plasticity, synaptic, glia and connectivity protein levels in rat dorsal hippocampus. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*. 2016; 135:125–138. DOI: 10.1016/j.nlm.2016.08.005 [PubMed: 27523749]
- Walker CD, Perrin M, Vale W, Rivier C. Ontogeny of the stress response in the rat: role of the pituitary and the hypothalamus. *Endocrinology*. 1986; 118:1445–1451. [PubMed: 3004915]
- Walker CD, Scribner KA, Cascio CS, Dallman MF. The pituitary-adrenocortical system of neonatal rats is responsive to stress throughout development in a time-dependent and stressor-specific fashion. *Endocrinology*. 1991; 128:1385–1395. [PubMed: 1847856]
- Wentworth-Eidsaune CL, Hennessy MB, Claflin DI. Short-term, high-dose administration of corticosterone by injection facilitates trace eyeblink conditioning in young male rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*. 2016; 298:62–68. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.07.051 [PubMed: 26239002]
- Wilber AA, Southwood CJ, Sokoloff G, Steinmetz JE, Wellman CL. Neonatal maternal separation alters adult eyeblink conditioning and glucocorticoid receptor expression in the interpositus nucleus of the cerebellum. *Developmental Neurobiology*. 2007; 67:1751–64. [PubMed: 17659594]
- Wilber AA, Lin GL, Wellman CL. Glucocorticoid receptor blockade in the posterior interpositus nucleus reverses maternal separation-induced deficits in adult eyeblink conditioning. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*. 2010; 94:263–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.nlm.2010.06.004 [PubMed: 20558309]
- Wilber AA, Lin GL, Wellman CL. Neonatal corticosterone administration impairs adult eyeblink conditioning and decreases glucocorticoid receptor expression in the cerebellar interpositus nucleus. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 177:56–65. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.01.010 [PubMed: 21223994]
- You JM, Yun SJ, Nam KN, Kang C, Won R, Lee EH. Mechanism of glucocorticoid-induced oxidative stress in rat hippocampal slice cultures. *Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*. 2009; 87:440–7. DOI: 10.1139/y09-027 [PubMed: 19526038]
- Yeh TF, Lin YJ, Lin HC, Huang CC, Hsieh WS, Lin CH, Tsai CH. Outcomes at school age after postnatal dexamethasone therapy for lung disease of prematurity. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2004; 350:1304–1313. [PubMed: 15044641]
- Zeng Y, Brydges NM, Wood ER, Drake AJ, Hall J. Prenatal glucocorticoid exposure in rats: programming effects on stress reactivity and cognition in adult offspring. *Stress*. 2015; 18:353–361. [PubMed: 26383033]

Highlights

- Prewaning glucocorticoids affect later eyeblink trace conditioning
- Varying glucocorticoid elevation patterns differentially affect later cognition
- Greater sensitivity of males to glucocorticoid effects on hippocampal tasks

Figure 1.

Schematic diagram of Trace versus Delay classical conditioning as used in the studies described here. A tone conditioned stimulus is paired with a periorbital shock unconditioned stimulus separated in time for trace conditioning, but overlapping in delay conditioning. Trace conditioning engages forebrain structures, including the hippocampus, in addition to the brainstem structures necessary for simpler delay conditioning.

Figure 2.

Percentage conditioned responses for delay (left) and trace (right) conditioning on PND 28-29, two weeks after corticosterone or placebo pellets were implanted on PND 15. Effects of corticosterone were not significant for delay conditioning but a significant impairment in acquisition of trace was observed for males only. (Adapted from Claflin et al., 2005)

Figure 3. Percentage and amplitude of adaptive conditioned responses for trace conditioning on PND 28-29, two weeks after corticosterone or vehicle mini-pumps were implanted on PND 15. Impairment in CR amplitude was statistically significant. (Adapted from Claflin et al., 2014)

Figure 4. Percentage of CRs plotted separately for males and females. Impairment following corticosterone delivery was not significant, though males administered corticosterone exhibited numerically lower percentages of responses throughout training than did corticosterone-treated females.

Figure 5.

Percentage of adaptive conditioned responses for males only during trace conditioning on PND 28-29. Facilitation in CR percentage was statistically significant in the first session (left). Right panel shows Session 1 data only, across blocks of 9 trials. Facilitation of initial acquisition during Session 1 was statistically significant the high dose corticosterone-treated males relative to the control males. (Adapted from Wentworth-Eidsaune et al., 2016)

Figure 6.

Circulating corticosterone levels over time (hours and days, all starting at PND 15) for the three administration methods, pellets (A), mini-pumps (B), and injection (C). Commercially available pellets contained 35 mg of corticosterone intended to release slowly over 21 days. Osmotic mini-pumps were loaded with 100 µl of 50 mg/ml of corticosterone and designed to release at a steady rate of 1µl/hr over 3 days. Injections were dosed at 20 mg/kg and administered at 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. for 3 days.

Figure 7.

Confocal images of dorsal dentate gyrus showing all fluorescent co-labelling (left). Immunohistochemical staining (right) allowed us to identify newly generated neurons: a) combined fluorochromes, b) BrdU labeled cells (any dividing cells), c) NeuN labeled mature neurons, and d) GFAP/Sox-10 labeled glia. The yellow perimeter tracing was used for calculating the cross-sectional area/volume of the dorsal dentate gyrus.

Figure 8.

Total number of newly generated neurons (includes both mature and immature neurons) on PND 28, two weeks after corticosterone treatments began by pellet, injection, and mini-pump methods. Statistically significant effects of corticosterone treatment were found within the pellet groups only. Untreated control data are provided for comparison.