Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2017 April 04; 56(13): 1932–1942. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.6b01113.

Mechanistic Basis for the Binding of RGD- and AGDV-Peptides to the Platelet Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$

Olga Kononova^{†,§,#}, Rustem I. Litvinov^{‡,⊥}, Dmitry S. Blokhin[⊥], Vladimir V. Klochkov[⊥], John W. Weisel[‡], Joel S. Bennett^{*,||}, and Valeri Barsegov^{†,§,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Lowell, Massachusetts 01854, United States

[§]Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow Region 141700, Russian Federation

[‡]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States

^{||}Division of Hematology-Oncology of the Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States

[⊥]Kazan Federal University, Kazan 420008, Russian Federation

Abstract

Binding of soluble fibrinogen to the activated conformation of the integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ is required for platelet aggregation and is mediated exclusively by the C-terminal AGDV-containing dodecapeptide ($\gamma\text{C-12}$) sequence of the fibrinogen γ chain. However, peptides containing the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequences located in two places in the fibrinogen A α chain inhibit soluble fibrinogen binding to $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and make substantial contributions to $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ binding when fibrinogen is immobilized and when it is converted to fibrin. Here, we employed optical trap-based nanomechanical measurements and computational molecular modeling to determine the kinetics, energetics, and structural details of cyclic RGDFK (cRGDFK) and $\gamma\text{C-12}$ binding to $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$.

*Corresponding Authors: (J.S.B.) Address: 421 Curie Blvd. Room 814, BRB II/III Philadelphia, PA 19104. bennetts@mail.med.upenn.edu. Telephone: +1-215-573-3280. (V.B.) Address: Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Lowell Olney Hall, 402A. Valeri_Barsegov@uml.edu. Telephone: +1-978-934-3661.

[#]Present Address

O.K.: Department of Material Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA.

ORCID

Olga Kononova: 0000-0001-9267-312X

Author Contributions

J.W.W., J.S.B., R.I.L. designed the research, R.I.L., D.S.B., V.V.K. performed experiments; O.K. and V.B. designed and performed computational simulations. O.K., D.S.B., R.I.L., J.W.W., J.S.B., V.B. analyzed data and wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.bio-chem.6b01113.

Text S1: NMR structures for cRGDFK and $\gamma\text{C-12}$; Table S1: ¹H NMR chemical shift assignment of cRGDFK; Table S2: ¹H NMR chemical shift assignment of HHLGGAKQAGDV; Table S3: The transition forces (F^{tr}) and dissociation forces (F^{diss}) extracted from the pulling simulations on the peptide- $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece complexes in the open and closed conformations;

Figures S1–S6 (PDF)

Movie S1 (AVI)

Movie S2 (AVI)

Docking analysis revealed that NMR-determined solution structures of cRGDFK and γ C-12 bind to both the open and closed α IIb β conformers at the interface between the α IIb β -propeller domain and the β 3 β 1 domain. The nanomechanical measurements revealed that cRGDFK binds to α IIb β at least as tightly as γ C-12. A subsequent analysis of molecular force profiles and the number of peptide- α IIb β binding contacts revealed that both peptides form stable bimolecular complexes with α IIb β that dissociate in the 60–120 pN range. The Gibbs free energy profiles of the α IIb β –peptide complexes revealed that the overall stability of the α IIb β –cRGDFK complex was comparable with that of the α IIb β – γ C-12 complex. Thus, these results provide a mechanistic explanation for previous observations that RGD- and AGDV-containing peptides are both potent inhibitors of the α IIb β –fibrinogen interactions and are consistent with the observation that RGD-motifs, in addition to AGDV, support interaction of α IIb β with immobilized fibrinogen and fibrin.

Graphical abstract

Integrins are ubiquitous α/β transmembrane heterodimers that mediate essential cell–matrix and cell–cell adhesion interactions by binding to specific macromolecular protein ligands. Each integrin subunit consists of a large extracellular headpiece, a transmembrane helix, and a short cytoplasmic tail^{1–3} and complementary subunits interacting via a large interface between the α subunit β propeller domain and the β subunit β 1 domain to form a ligand-binding headpiece (Figure 1). Nonactivated low affinity integrins appear to have a bent configuration with a “closed” headpiece, whereas activated high affinity integrins are extended molecules with an “open” headpiece that enables high affinity ligand binding.⁴

The major platelet integrin α IIb β mediates primary hemostasis by enabling the formation of occlusive platelet aggregates at sites of vascular injury. Platelet aggregation occurs when soluble fibrinogen binds to the open conformation of the α IIb β headpiece. Because the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d) for fibrinogen binding to active α IIb β of ~100 nM is 100-fold lower than the concentration of fibrinogen in plasma,⁵ the α IIb β headpiece is immediately occupied by fibrinogen when platelets are activated in a plasma environment. Accordingly, α IIb β activation is tightly regulated to prevent the spontaneous formation of intravascular platelet aggregates.⁶ In this context, it is important to note that the transition of α IIb β from its bent inactive to its fully extended active conformation occurs in a stepwise fashion through a number of discernible intermediate conformational states (Figure 1).^{6,7}

Soluble fibrinogen binding to the open α IIb β headpiece is mediated exclusively by the AGDV-containing sequence located at the C-terminus of the fibrinogen γ chain.⁸ However, the binding site for peptides corresponding to the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequences located in

two places in the fibrinogen A α chain overlaps with the binding site for the γ chain sequence,⁹ and RGD-containing peptides are potent inhibitors of soluble fibrinogen binding to α IIb β 3.¹⁰ The interaction of α IIb β 3 with peptides containing the γ chain and RGD sequences has been extensively studied. NMR studies and constrained molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of RGD peptide binding to α IIb β 3 have revealed the importance of the conformation of the RGD backbone, the spatial orientation of the charged Arg and Asp side chains, and the role of the hydrophobic moiety flanking the Asp residue in their interaction with α IIb β 3.^{11–14} However, these studies have not provided a mechanistic basis for the interaction of RGD sequences with α IIb β 3.

Previously, we observed that either of the two A α chain RGD motifs and the γ chain C-terminus make substantial contributions to α IIb β 3 headpiece binding when fibrinogen is immobilized rather than soluble and when fibrinogen is converted to fibrin by thrombin.¹⁵ Here, we used optical trap-based single-molecule force spectroscopy to measure the nanomechanical strength of complexes between α IIb β 3 and γ C-12, a dodecapeptide corresponding to C-terminus of the fibrin(ogen) γ chain, and cRGDFK, a cyclic RGD-peptide, and found that the resistance of the bimolecular complexes between α IIb β 3 and cRGDFK and α IIb β 3 and γ C-12 to forced dissociation was comparable, although there was a small, but consistent, increase in the stability of the α IIb β 3–cRGDFK complexes. Then, using docking analysis and molecular dynamics (MD)-based molecular modeling, we found that the α IIb β 3–cRGDFK complex was slightly more stable than the α IIb β 3– γ C-12, although the difference was again relatively small. Thus, these observations indicate there is little, if any, difference in the ability of α IIb β 3 to interact with the γ -chain sequence and the RGD motifs in immobilized fibrinogen and fibrin. Nonetheless, because there are twice as many RGD motifs than AGDV sequences, it is likely that RGD assumes an enhanced physiologic importance when platelets interact with immobilized fibrinogen or polymerized fibrin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Proteins and Peptides

The peptide *cyclo*[Arg-Gly-Asp-D-Phe-Lys(Cys)] (cRGDFK) was purchased from Peptides International, Louisville, Kentucky; the fibrinogen γ C-dodeca-peptide (His-His-Leu-Gly-Gly-Ala-Lys-Gln-Ala-Gly-Asp-Val, γ C-12) was obtained from Bachem Americas, Torrance, California. Dextran from *Leuconostoc spp.* (M_w = 110 000) was supplied by Fluka Chemie AG (Switzerland). Sodium periodate (NaIO₄), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), ethanolamine, and *n*-octyl- β -D-glucoside were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO). NH₂-functionalized latex beads were purchased from Bangs Laboratories, Inc. (Fisher, IL). Human α IIb β 3 was purified as described.¹⁶

Optical Trap-Based Force Spectroscopy

To quantify peptide binding to α IIb β 3, we used optical trap-based force spectroscopy, a method to measure single-molecule nanomechanics that we applied previously to study various receptor–ligand interactions, including α IIb β 3-fibrinogen and α IIb β 3-fibrin^{15,17–19} as well as α IIb β 3-peptide¹⁶ and other receptor–ligand^{20–22} complexes. In this method, each

of two contacting surfaces is coated with one type of interacting molecule. Here, purified human $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ was immobilized on micron-size stationary silica beads, whereas peptides were bound covalently to freely moving latex beads. Under visual microscopic control and at room temperature, a bead coated with either cRGDFK or γC -12 was trapped in a fluid chamber by a focused laser beam and moved in an oscillatory fashion so that it tapped a stationary $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -coated pedestal anchored to the bottom surface of the flow chamber. When the immobilized peptide on the bead interacted with $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ on the pedestal, tension was produced when the bead was displaced from the laser focus until the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -peptide bond ruptured. The applied force was recorded and displayed as a signal proportional to the strength of receptor–ligand binding (Figure 2). These rupture force signals were on the order of pico-Newtons, and they quantitatively characterized the bimolecular interactions of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ with the ligand peptides.

To synthesize peptide-coated latex beads, we utilized a double oxidation procedure to couple biologically active peptides to latex beads that is based on the ability of oxidized 110-kDa-dextran to form a Schiff base with primary amines.¹⁶ First, dextran was covalently bound to the latex beads, thereby providing a relatively inert surface with a low nonspecific background when the beads interacted with $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -coated pedestals. The beads were then modified to bind the bioactive peptides cRGDFK and γC -12 as previously described.¹⁶ Purified human $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ was bound covalently to 5- μm spherical silica pedestals anchored to the bottom of a flow chamber as previously described.¹¹ Briefly, pedestals coated with a thin layer of polyacrylamide were activated with glutaraldehyde, after which Mn^{2+} -activated $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ was immobilized for 2 h at 4 °C. $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ was activated using 1 mM Mn^{2+} because we previously found that Mn^{2+} treatment increases the probability of specific $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –ligand interactions.^{17,23} The chamber was then washed to remove noncovalently adsorbed protein, blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA), and equilibrated with 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 7.4, containing 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM CaCl_2 , 1 mM MnCl_2 , 2 mg/mL BSA, and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 before rupture force measurements were performed. The $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ coating concentration at which the cumulative probability of fibrinogen binding reached saturation was determined experimentally.¹⁵

The experimental protocol we used to measure $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –peptide interactions was similar to the one we previously used to study the strength of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –peptide bonds,¹⁶ but with an important modification of the trapped bead motion protocol (Figure 2). Briefly, a latex bead coupled to a peptide was trapped by laser light and brought to a distance of 2–3 μm from an $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -coupled pedestal. After the bead was oscillated at 10 Hz with a 0.8 μm peak-to-peak amplitude (2000 pN/s loading rate), the bead was brought into intermittent contact with the pedestal by micromanipulation using a keyboard-controlled piezoelectric stage. The duration of contact between the interacting surfaces or the stopping time was precisely controlled and arbitrarily varied between 0.01 and 2 s, so that the oscillation frequency changed accordingly but without variations in the pulling or loading rate. Data acquisition was initiated at the first contact between the bead and the pedestal. Rupture force signals following repeated contacts between the pedestal and the bead were collected for periods of about 1 min. Each signal was counted as a discrete binding event provided that the rupture force was >10 pN because optical artifacts observed with or without trapped latex beads produced signals that appeared as forces below 10 pN. Because signals between 10 pN and

20 pN were found to be nonspecific,¹⁶ they were also excluded from subsequent analysis. Only a small percentage of contact/detachment cycles resulted in effective receptor–ligand binding/unbinding events, so that data from 10 experiments representing 10^3 to 10^4 individual measurements were combined. The percentages of binding/unbinding events at a contact duration time T enabled us to plot and analyze the force-free binding probability as a function of T as described below.

Kinetic Analysis of α IIb β –Peptide Interactions

In the binding phase of a measurement, where there is only one receptor–ligand bound state (LR), reversible association–dissociation kinetics is governed by the on-rate k_{on} and the off-rate k_{off} . Further, the binding probability $P_b(T)$ describes the likelihood of observing these transitions during contact duration time T . It can be demonstrated that for single-step kinetics, $P_b(T)$ is given by eq 1:¹⁷

$$P_b(T) = \frac{k_{\text{on}} d_{\text{max}}}{k_{\text{on}} d_{\text{max}} + k_{\text{off}}} (1 - \exp[-(k_{\text{on}} d_{\text{max}} + k_{\text{off}})T]) \quad (1)$$

where $d_{\text{max}} = \max\{d_L, d_R\}$ is the surface density of the species (L for peptide and R for α IIb β) present in excess and k_{on} and k_{off} are the force-free on- and off-rates, respectively. In these experiments, α IIb β is present in excess over γ C-12 and cRGDFK. Hence, $d_{\text{max}} \approx d_R$ and the kinetic rate constants (k_{on} , k_{off}), the equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_d = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$), and the binding probability P_b for the ligand-bound form of α IIb β are obtained by numerically fitting the average experimental kinetic curves to eq 1. Here, d_R , obtained using radioactively labeled proteins, equaled about 3000 molecules/ (μm^2) ¹⁷ and was used to convert the apparent on-rate $k_{\text{on}} d_R$ into the true kinetic on-rate k_{on} . Using the on- and off-rates, we obtained the equilibrium dissociation constant $K_d = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ or the binding affinity constant $K_b = 1/K_d$.

¹H NMR Spectroscopy

To resolve the three-dimensional (3D) structure of γ C-12 and cRGDFK in solution, we used high-resolution ¹H NMR spectroscopy. 1D ¹H and 2D ¹H–¹H NMR spectra of cRGDFK in PBS buffer (pH 7.4) containing 50 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) were recorded in a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker, AVANCE II-500). The 1D ¹H and 2D ¹H–¹H NMR spectra of γ C-12 in PBS were obtained using a 700 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker, AVANCE III-700) equipped with a quadruple resonance (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N, and ³¹P) CryoProbe. For all NMR measurements, the temperature was set to 298 K. The spectrometers operated in the internal stabilization mode for the resonance line ²H. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using 90° pulses, a relaxation delay of 2 s, and a spectral width of 12.00 ppm (Figures S1 and S2). For the assignment of signals in the ¹H NMR spectra, we used 2D TObtal Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY).²⁴ Chemical shifts were measured relative to 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS). Peptides were dissolved in buffer containing a mixture of H₂O and D₂O immediately before the measurements were performed. The 2D ¹H–¹H NOESY NMR spectra²⁵ were recorded in a phase-sensitive mode with 1024

points in the F2-dimension and 256 points in the F1-dimension with exponential filtration. Mixing times were set to $\tau_m = 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.35, 0.40, 0.45$, and 0.50 s (Tables S1 and S2).

For structure determination, NMR-based 3D peptide conformations were subjected to restrained MD simulations with the XPLOR-NIH package.²⁶ Structures were energy-minimized, heated to 1000 K in 6000 steps, and then cooled to 50 K with 100 K increments in 3000 steps. The obtained structures were energy-minimized again over 1000 steps using the steepest descent algorithm, which was followed by 1000 steps of the conjugate gradient minimization. Using the initial set of 200 structures, 20 structures were selected for subsequent MD simulations. Finally, 10 structures with the lowest energy were collected. The program MolProbity^{27,28} was used to assess the overall quality of the structures.

Molecular Modeling of α IIb β –Peptide Interactions

Crystal structures of the open and closed conformations of the α IIb β headpiece were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB). The PDB entry codes are 3ZDX for the closed conformation and 3ZE2 for the open conformation of α IIb β .⁷ The structures were energy-minimized and equilibrated for 10 ns using the AMBER force-field.²⁹ All-atom MD simulations were carried out using the Generalized Born implicit solvent model implemented in the AMBER package accelerated on Graphic Processing Units (GPUs).^{29,30} Equilibrium MD simulations were performed at a constant temperature of 300 K with the integration time step of 2 fs. The final structures of the α IIb β headpiece from the MD equilibrium simulation runs were utilized in subsequent molecular modeling.

To generate structures for cRGDFK and γ C-12 bound to the α IIb β headpiece and to compare their corresponding association energies, we used a docking protocol implemented in AutoDock Vina software.³¹ In the docking analysis, the α IIb β headpiece structures were constrained, whereas the peptides were treated as flexible. To generate the input files, we used the AutoDockTools option in the MGLTools package.³² In “blind docking”, we set a grid size of 15 nm in the x -, y -, and z - directions; the center of the cell was the center of mass of the α IIb β headpiece. For each peptide and α IIb β headpiece conformer pair, we performed four separate docking runs using different random seeds. In each run, we selected a number of peptide conformations (up to nine) with minimum docking energy. The conformations were further analyzed using lab-written scripts. The end-to-end distances were calculated for the C $_{\alpha}$ -atoms of His1 and Val12 of γ C-12 and for the C $_{\zeta}$ -atom of Arg1 and C $_{\gamma}$ -atom of Asp3 of cRGDFK.

Energy of α IIb β Headpiece–Peptide Interactions

To determine the binding energy of the interaction of the α IIb β headpiece with cRGDFK and γ C-12, we performed all-atom MD simulations of the energy-minimized bimolecular complexes obtained from peptide docking to the two α IIb β conformers. We employed the Solvent Accessible Surface Area (SASA) model of implicit solvation³³ implemented in our in-house software package.³⁴

Force-ramp peptide unbinding simulations which mimic dynamic force spectroscopic measurements¹⁶ in vitro were carried out using the time-dependent force protocol $f(t) =$

$\kappa(v_f t - x)$, where v_f is the pulling speed, κ is the cantilever spring constant, and x is the displacement of a tagged residue from its initial position. In the simulations, we constrained the C_α -atoms of Leu1 and Pro452 in α IIB and the C_α -atoms of Glu108 and Arg352 in β 3. The pulling force was applied to the C_α -atoms of His1 or Val12 in γ C-12 and Lys5 in cRGDFK in a direction perpendicular to the peptide- α IIB β 3 binding interface. The output from the simulations for each complex, carried out with $v_f = 2 \times 10^4 \mu\text{m/s}$ and $\kappa = 100$ pN/nm, was used to profile the force for α IIB β 3-peptide noncovalent bond rupture (F) as a function of bond extension (x).

Umbrella Sampling simulations were performed to resolve the free energy landscape (G) for the bimolecular interactions of cRGDFK and γ C-12 with α IIB β 3 as a function of x .^{35,36} This approach has been used previously to access the thermodynamics of protein-ligand interactions in bimolecular complexes.^{37–39} Using this technique requires generation of initial conformations (windows), which span the entire range of reaction coordinates for unbinding, x . Each conformation is subjected to long equilibration simulations. The mean force potential is constructed using the weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM).^{40,41} To obtain a set of initial structures for cRGDFK and γ C-12 bound to each α IIB β 3 headpiece conformation, we ran 10 ns equilibration simulations for each bimolecular complex. To generate a set of structures for an entire range of x , we carried out force-ramp simulations in which we constrained the center of mass of each subunit of the α IIB β 3 headpiece and the C_α -atoms of the N- and C-terminal residues. In these dynamic force measurements in silico, the pulling force was applied to the C_α -atoms of His1 in the γ C-12 and Lys5 in cRGDFK through a virtual cantilever ($\kappa = 100$ pN/nm and $v_f = 2 \times 10^4 \mu\text{m/s}$). To eliminate the contribution to G from the γ C-12 conformational fluctuations, we constrained the C_α -atoms of the peptide relative to each other using the harmonic potential with ~ 1 kcal/mol stiffness. The force was applied to the center of mass of the constrained γ C-12. We generated a total of 240 sampling conformations for γ C-12 (with the width $w = 0.2 \text{ \AA}$) and 90 conformations for cRGDFK (with $w = 0.3 \text{ \AA}$). These constructs were then used in subsequent 50 ns equilibration runs with constrained the displacement of the peptide center of mass.

RESULTS

Two-Dimensional Kinetics of α IIB β 3 Binding to cRGDFK and γ C-12

To compare the time-dependence of γ C-12 and cRGDFK binding and unbinding to α IIB β 3, the probability of forming α IIB β 3-peptide complexes $P_b(T)$ was studied as a function of the precisely controlled duration of contact T between interacting surfaces coated with α IIB β 3 and peptide. This experimental method is a part of a more general binding-unbinding correlation spectroscopy method (BUCS)¹⁷ that can be used to explore the kinetics of formation and dissociation of bimolecular complexes.^{42,43} In the experimental setup, a command signal from an optical trap oscillates a peptide-coated bead between two fixed positions with a truncated triangular waveform, thereby keeping it in contact with an α IIB β 3-coated pedestal for a prescribed contact time T (Figure 2). This is followed by bead retraction, which in the case of successful noncovalent bond formation results in the linear generation of tensile force until a bond rupture occurs. To exclude nonspecific interactions,

only bond rupture forces >20 pN were considered to represent valid $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -peptide interactions, based on control experiments indicating that force signals <20 pN mainly represent nonspecific background.¹⁶

Measurements of binding probability $P_b(T)$ as a function of contact duration T generated characteristic curves for $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and cRGDFK with an exponentially increasing likelihood for $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -peptide binding that reached a plateau when the contact time approached $T \approx 1$ s (Figure 3a), the time required to fully populate the stable high-affinity headpiece conformation (Figure 3b). Nonetheless, while the curves for $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and cRGDFK were similar, they had different slopes and plateau levels reflecting somewhat different kinetics of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ binding. This enabled us to directly estimate model-free two-dimensional kinetic parameters for each peptide using eq 1 and a surface density of reactive $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ molecules (d_R) of ~ 3000 molecules/ μm^2 or 0.5×10^{-12} mol/ cm^2 . The average true first-order binding rate constants (k_{on}) were $(2.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{12}$ $\text{cm}^2/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{s})$ for $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and $(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{12}$ $\text{cm}^2/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{s})$ for cRGDFK, with a difference close to but not reaching the level of statistical significance ($p = 0.063$, a Mann–Whitney test). The average unbinding rate constants k_{off} were 2.05 ± 0.59 s^{-1} for $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and 1.53 ± 0.57 s^{-1} for cRGDFK and were significantly different ($p = 0.012$). The corresponding average binding affinity constants (K_b), calculated as $K_b = k_{\text{on}}/k_{\text{off}}$, were $(1.22 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{12}$ cm^2/mol and $(1.96 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{12}$ cm^2/mol for $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and cRGDFK, respectively. Although the binding affinity constant for cRGDFK was greater than the binding affinity constant for $\gamma\text{C-12}$, the difference is close to but does not reach the level of statistical significance ($p = 0.059$). This indicates that cRGDFK binding to $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ is somewhat stronger, or at least is not weaker, than $\gamma\text{C-12}$ binding to $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$.

In Silico Analysis of the Strength of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -Peptide Binding

For a more comprehensive analysis of the strength of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ binding to cRGDFK and $\gamma\text{C-12}$, we performed dynamic force-ramp measurements in silico, employing the all-atom MD simulations in implicit water and using a time-dependent pulling force to dissociate the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -peptide complexes. To enable these studies, we used NMR to obtain three-dimensional (3D) structures for cRGDFK and $\gamma\text{C-12}$. Ensembles of these structures are shown in Figure 4, and a detailed discussion of the structures is provided in the Supporting Information. To begin the MD studies, we equilibrated the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece in its open and closed conformational states (Figure 1) by performing several 10 ns long equilibrium MD simulations. The equilibrium conformations were then used in conjunction with structure-based molecular docking to explore the association of $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and cRGDFK with both $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ conformational states. As expected, we found that both $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and cRGDFK interacted with $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ via the previously described RGD-binding site located in the interface between the αIIb β -propeller and the $\beta 3$ $\beta 1$ domain (Figure 5).⁹

Next, we selected an $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -peptide complex structure with the largest docking energy and probed the complex molecular response to an applied pulling force as a function of noncovalent bond extension, a measure of the strength of bimolecular interactions (Figure S3). We also analyzed the dependence of noncovalent bond extension on the number of contacts between residues of the peptide and the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece. A pair of amino acids in the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece and in the peptide was considered to form a strong binding contact if

the distance between the centers-of-mass of their side chains was persistently shorter than a commonly accepted 6 Å cutoff for at least 50% of the simulation time.^{37,44}

The profiles of force–bond extension curves (Figure S3) exhibit multiple sharp negative peaks, i.e., distinct force drops, which occur due to partial rupture of binding contacts. They reflect force-induced structural transitions (F^{tr}) that occur prior to complete dissociation of the complex. The last peak, which is accompanied by a force drop to zero, corresponds to complete complex dissociation. For this reason, we call this the dissociation (or rupture) force (F^{diss}). We found that the dissociation of $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece–peptide complexes occurred via multistep transitions with the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ – $\gamma\text{C-12}$ complex dissociating in three steps when the headpiece was open and in two steps when it was closed (Figure S3a–d). By contrast, $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –cRGDFK complexes dissociated via two distinct pathways regardless of the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece conformation (Figure S3e,f).

When $\gamma\text{C-12}$ was bound to the open $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece and His1 of $\gamma\text{C-12}$ was the tagged residue (i.e., the residue to which the force was applied at the C_α -atom), a first structural transition occurred at a tension of $F^{\text{tr}} \approx 69$ pN due to $\gamma\text{C-12}$ unraveling (snapshot 1 in Figure 6), and a second transition at $F^{\text{tr}} \approx 93$ pN corresponded to $\gamma\text{C-12}$ unfolding and the rupture of 17 contacts with the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece (Table S3; Figure 6, snapshot 2). The complex dissociated at $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 112$ pN when persistent contacts between the AGD-motif of $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and $\beta 3$ ruptured. This pattern of dissociation was unchanged when Val12 was the tagged residue instead of His1 (Table S3).

By contrast, when $\gamma\text{C-12}$ was bound to the closed $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece, structural transitions occurred in two, rather than three, steps. The first structural transition occurred at $F^{\text{tr}} \approx 102$ pN and $F^{\text{tr}} \approx 75$ pN when His1 and Val12 were the tagged residues, respectively (Table S3; Figure S3c,d). Further, when His1 was tagged, the complex dissociated at $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 125$ pN, but when force was applied to Val12, 50% of the time the complex dissociated at $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 82$ pN, while in the other trajectories, it dissociated at $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 149$ pN due to the formation of strong interactions between residues His1, His2, Leu3 of $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and Tyr160 and Tyr190 of αIIb .

Regardless of the conformation of the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece, $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –cRGDFK complexes dissociated via two distinct pathways (Figure S3e,f). Pathway 1, observed 66% of the time, was characterized by high bond rupture forces of $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 159$ pN, a large number of binding contacts (~ 20) and a relatively long bond lifetime of ~ 0.24 μs . Pathway 2, observed 34% of the time, was characterized by a smaller rupture force of $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 112$ pN, a smaller number of contacts (~ 10) and a short bond lifetime of ~ 0.17 μs . (Table S3; Figures S3e and S4). Structure analysis revealed that $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta$ –cRGDFK interactions in Pathway 1 were mediated by strong contacts between Arg1 and Lys5 in cRGDFK and residues in the αThr150 – αArg165 loop in $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$, whereas cRGDFK in Pathway 2 formed stable contacts with the αThr150 – αArg165 loop, but only through Arg1 (snapshot 2b in Figure S4). Structure analysis and profiles for the closed $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ –cRGDFK complex were similar, although rupture forces were slightly lower: $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 105$ pN for Pathway 1 and $F^{\text{diss}} \approx 79$ pN for Pathway 2 (Table S3; Figure S3f). Subsequent analysis of the dynamics of stable contacts revealed that cRGDFK formed similar stable binding contacts with $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece as $\gamma\text{C-12}$ (Figures S5 and S6),

but the cRGDFK- α IIB β contacts persisted while the pulling force was ramped up and disrupted simultaneously just before complete ligand dissociation occurred (Figure S6; Supporting Information Movie S2). During dissociation of γ C-12, unlike cRGDFK, the duration of each stable contact was shorter: they dissociated and reformed upon peptide unfolding (Figure S5; Supporting Movie S1). Still, the overall total number of stable binding contacts for γ C-12 was higher than for cRGDFK. This explains the similar dissociation forces but shorter bond lifetimes and lack of intermediate transition states for α IIB β -cRGDFK compared to α IIB β - γ C-12.

Stability of α IIB β Headpiece Binding to cRGDFK and γ C-12

The stability of bimolecular complexes like those of γ C-12 and cRGDFK with α IIB β can be defined by their docking energies. The docking energies for cRGDFK binding to the closed and open α IIB β headpiece were 6.8 ± 0.4 and 7.0 ± 0.3 kcal/mol, with maximum energies of 7.7 and 7.5 kcal/mol, respectively, whereas the docking energies for γ C-12 binding to closed and open α IIB β were 6.5 ± 0.4 and 6.4 ± 0.5 kcal/mol, respectively, with maximum docking energies of 7.1 kcal/mol for both conformational states (Table 1). The differences in the docking energies of cRGDFK and γ C-12 were moderate but statistically significant ($p < 0.05$, a Mann-Whitney test). Thus, the data indicate that RGDFK and γ C-12 interact well with both closed and open α IIB β , although the bimolecular complexes formed by cRGDFK and α IIB β are somewhat more stable.

The Gibbs free energy of dissociation of the α IIB β -peptide complexes (G) was profiled as a function of noncovalent bond extension using Umbrella Sampling simulations, a technique widely used to access the free-energy landscapes of proteins and protein-protein complexes.³⁷ The results of these calculations, shown in Figure 7, enabled us to extract the following quantitative measures of α IIB β -peptide interactions: the average free energy for binding (G_b); the width of the bound state energy well (x_b), which characterizes the flexibility of the protein-peptide complex at equilibrium; and the transition state distance at which the complex dissociates ($x^\ddagger$), which quantifies the conformational tolerance for noncovalent bond dissociation.

The values of G_b , x_b , and $x^\ddagger$ for the complexes of the α IIB β headpiece with γ C-12 and cRGDFK are shown in Table 2. For γ C-12 and the open α IIB β headpiece, $G_b = 29.2$ kcal/mol, $x_b = 0.81$ nm and $x^\ddagger = 5.1$ nm and G_b was 37.5 kcal/mol, $x_b = 0.76$ nm, and $x^\ddagger = 4.6$ nm for γ C-12 with the closed α IIB β headpiece (inset in Figure 7a). These values contain significant entropic contributions due to the extended structure of γ C-12, allowing it to unravel and reduce/adopt tension, thereby prolonging lifetime of the integrin-peptide complex (Figures S3a and S3b). To suppress the entropic contribution of γ C-12 to the binding energy, we carried out Umbrella Sampling simulations with the C α -atoms of γ C-12 constrained relative to each other, thereby making the peptide rigid and preventing it from force-induced elongation and unraveling during dissociation. As a result of these constraints, the Gibbs free energy and the transition distance decreased from $G_b = 29.2$ kcal/mol to $G_b = 13.5$ kcal/mol, implying that the folded conformation of γ C-12 increases the mechanical stability of the γ C-12- α IIB β complex (Figure 7a). These constraints made cRGDFK and γ C-12 equally inflexible. For cRGDFK, G_b was 16.1 kcal/mol for open

$\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and 15.6 kcal/mol for closed $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$, which are slightly greater than for the constrained $\gamma\text{C-12}$ peptide. The bonds in the cRGDFK- $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ complex were also more extensible compared to the constrained $\gamma\text{C-12}$ - $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ complex with $x^\ddagger = 2.6$ nm and $x_b = 0.79$ nm for open $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and $x^\ddagger = 2.0$ nm and $x_b = 0.70$ nm for the closed $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece (Figure 7; Table 2).

To probe the structural bases for the observed differences in thermodynamic stability, we analyzed binding contacts between residues in $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and residues in $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and cRGDFK using the output from the Umbrella Sampling simulations. We identified two types of contacts between residues Arg1, Asp3, and Lys5 of cRGDFK and the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece residues: electrostatic bonds involving Asp159 in αIIb and residues Glu220, Asp251, Arg214 in $\beta 3$, and polar interactions involving $\beta 3$ residues Ser121, Tyr122, Ser123, and Asn215. Three types of contacts were identified between $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece residues: electrostatic bonds between αIIb residue Asp159 and $\gamma\text{C-12}$ residues His1 and His2; hydrophobic interactions involving αIIb residue Val156, $\beta 3$ residue Ala218 and $\gamma\text{C-12}$ residues Gly4, Ala9, Gly10; and polar interactions of $\beta 3$ residues Ser121, Ser123, and Asn215 with $\gamma\text{C-12}$ residue Gln8. Stronger electrostatic interactions dominated the cRGDFK- $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ complex, making it slightly more stable than the $\gamma\text{C-12}$ - $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ complex in which weaker hydrophobic and polar interactions were predominant. However, the overall number and the nature of the binding contacts in these complexes, namely, fewer strong bonds in cRGDFK- $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and more weak bonds in $\gamma\text{C-12}$ - $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ make the difference in overall binding energies insignificant.

It is noteworthy that for cRGDFK bound to open $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$, the profile G vs x plateaued at 1 nm bond extension, corresponding to ~5 kcal/mol due to bond tension (Figure 7b). Thus, there is a lower-affinity bound state for cRGDFK that is captured in the Umbrella Sampling simulations that was undetected in the docking analysis. This explains the emergence of Pathway 1 and Pathway 2 in the unbinding scenarios observed in the force-ramp simulations. Taken together, these results indicate that the strength of the cRGDFK- $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ and $\gamma\text{C-12}$ - $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ complexes is similar. Further, they show that the conformational flexibility of $\gamma\text{C-12}$ and the related entropy penalty reduce the strength and thermodynamic stability of its complex with $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$.

DISCUSSION

The impetus for the studies reported here was our previous observation that whereas platelet aggregation via fibrinogen is exclusively mediated by binding of the γ chain C-terminal dodecapeptide to the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece, $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ appears to interact with both the dodecapeptide and either of the fibrinogen A α chain RGD motifs when fibrinogen is immobilized or converted to fibrin.¹⁵ Thus, to understand the physical bases underlying these observations, we have employed state-of-the-art single-molecule experimental and computational techniques to explore the kinetics and energy of the interactions of a cyclic RGD peptide, cRGDFK, and a peptide corresponding to the C-terminal 12 residues of the fibrinogen γ chain, $\gamma\text{C-12}$, with the closed and open conformations of the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ headpiece.⁷

First, we measured the kinetics and strength of cRGDFK and γ C-12 binding to immobilized α IIb β 3 using optical trap-based force spectroscopy.^{17–20,23,37,45–47} We found the rupture force values for the complex of α IIb β 3 with cRGDFK were comparable to those we observed for the α IIb β 3– γ C-12 complex, indicating that the strength of the complexes is comparable. Further, the on-rate and off-rate of cRGDFK binding were slightly greater and smaller, respectively, than the corresponding parameters for γ C-12, resulting in a slightly greater affinity constant, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. Thus, these results indicate there is little, if any, difference in the ability of α IIb β 3 to interact with the γ -chain sequence and the α chain RGD motifs when fibrinogen is immobilized on fibrinogen or is converted to fibrin.

Previous rupture force measurements of peptides bound to the α IIb β 3 headpiece generally agree with these conclusions, although reported values are slightly less than the ones we determined here, perhaps due to the higher force-loading rate used in our experiments.^{16,48,49} Moreover, the calculated values of the rupture forces reported here are also qualitatively consistent with our previous studies in which we found that cRGD– α IIb β 3 interactions had a greater binding strength than that of α IIb β 3 with γ C-12.¹⁶ Further, the two-pathway unbinding of cRGDFK in the MD simulations agrees with a bimodal distribution of rupture forces observed experimentally.¹⁶ Similar to our results, Lee and Marchant, using atomic force microscopy and live platelets, found that the kinetic off-rate (k_{off}) was significantly greater for γ C-12 than for RGD-containing peptides, indicating that the γ C-12– α IIb β 3 complex dissociates faster under tension than does the cRGDFK– α IIb β 3 complex.⁴⁸ Lastly, based on a theoretical model, Dutta et al.⁴⁹ found that the dissociation energy of a cyclic RGD peptide cHarGD [cyclo (S,S)-L-lysyl-L-tyrosyl-glycyl-L-cystinyl-L-homoarginyl-glycyl-L-aspartyl-L-tryptanyl-L-prolyl-L-cystine] was ~7–9 kcal/mol, less than the value we obtained for cRGDFK (~16 kcal/mol), but within the same order of magnitude.

To decipher the mechanisms underlying the experimental differences we observed using optical force spectroscopy, we turned to computational modeling using the reported crystal structures of the open and closed α IIb β 3 headpiece⁷ and NMR structures for cRGDFK and γ C-12 that we determined experimentally. It is important to note that the structures we found for cRGDFK and γ C-12 peptides were consistent with previously reported NMR and crystallographic structures for cyclic RGD peptides and for γ C-12.^{13,50–55}

Mimicking atomic force microscopy and optical trap-based force spectroscopy experiments,^{56–58} we performed *in silico* dynamic force-ramp measurements to provide information about the kinetics and pathways of dissociation of α IIb β 3–peptide complexes. Profiles of rupture force versus noncovalent bond extension confirmed that cRGDFK and γ C-12 form stable bimolecular complexes with rupture forces in the 60–120 pN range. Further, application of pulling force was accompanied by substantial 2–6 nm bond extensions, indicating that the peptide molecules and their binding interfaces with α IIb β 3 are flexible.

The forced dissociation simulations were complemented by mapping the free energy landscapes for peptide binding and unbinding using the Umbrella Sampling technique.

Umbrella Sampling is an advanced computational tool^{37–39} which can be utilized to quantitatively describe the thermodynamics of the noncovalent interactions. While molecular docking provides fast preliminary screening of energy-minimized stable conformations, Umbrella Sampling is a numerically accurate but more computationally demanding method for the estimation of the Gibbs free energy changes which accompany bimolecular interactions (G_b). In our studies, G_b corresponds to the total equilibrium work required to dissociate the noncovalent bond stabilizing a α IIb β –peptide complex. The higher values of G_b are indicative of stronger coupling, and they correspond to higher binding affinity. We found that the binding energy for the open α IIb β headpiece was insignificantly higher for cRGDFK ($G_b = 16.1$ kcal/mol) than for γ C-12 ($G_b = 13.5$ kcal/mol). This correlates with a difference in the critical bond extension before breakage, $x^\ddagger = 2.6$ nm for cRGDFK and 1.9 nm for the γ C-12. These results agree with the kinetic parameters obtained from the force spectroscopy experiments, indicating that the affinity of the α IIb β headpiece for cRGDFK is slightly greater than its affinity for γ C-12, but this difference does not reach statistical significances.

Our contact analysis is consistent with X-ray data,^{7,9} indicating the importance of α IIb residue Asp159 and β 3 residues Ser121, Tyr122, Ser123, Arg214, Asn215, and Ala218 for binding of γ C-12 and RGD-containing peptides. However, the dynamics displayed in our simulations reveals additional transient contacts not observed in the static X-ray structure (Figures S5 and S6). Analysis of binding contacts showed that the longer γ C-12 molecule formed more contacts with α IIb β compared to the shorter cRGDFK peptide (Figures 5 and S4). However, whereas the cRGDFK– α IIb β complex was stabilized by strong electrostatic interactions, the γ C-12– α IIb β complex was formed from weaker hydrophobic contacts. Moreover, conformational flexibility of the γ C-12 peptide significantly contributed to the thermodynamic stability of the α IIb β headpiece– γ C-12 complex. In particular, stretching γ C-12 by ~ 3.0 nm required a G_b of ~ 16 kcal/mol, suggesting that γ C-12 binding to α IIb β requires that the peptide adopt an energetically costly folded conformation. In other words, the Gibbs free energy for cRGDFK binding to α IIb β headpiece is predominantly enthalpic due to strong electrostatic interactions, whereas the extended structure and unfolding of γ C-12 introduced a significant entropic contribution into the values of G_b we have obtained for γ C-12 binding to α IIb β headpiece (Table 2). Thus, overall, cRGDFK is a little “better α IIb β binder” and, hence, a stronger competitive inhibitor, compared to free γ C-12. Nonetheless, intact ligands such as soluble fibrinogen do not bind to α IIb β on resting platelets,⁵ indicating that the ability of small peptide ligands such as cRGDFK and γ C-12 to bind to α IIb β cannot fully account for the ability of α IIb β to bind large intact macromolecular ligands.⁵⁹

In summary, we have measured the kinetics and have probed the strength of γ C-12 and cRGDFK peptide binding and unbinding to α IIb β using optical trap-based single-molecule force spectroscopy, and we have quantified the energy of these interactions with the crystallographically resolved open and closed conformations of the α IIb β headpiece using advanced molecular modeling techniques. This has enabled us to determine the stability of the α IIb β –peptide complexes and the dynamics of interatomic contacts, suggesting that both the RGD and AGDV motifs in immobilized fibrinogen and fibrin are almost equally important for the interaction of these molecules with platelets. Although the differences we

detected between $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ binding to single cRGDFK and γC -12 molecules were small, their cumulative effect is likely to be biologically significant when $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ on platelets interacts with multiple immobilized fibrinogen molecules and with polymerized fibrin. On the other hand, the relative contribution of RGD motifs to the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -mediated adhesion of platelets to immobilized fibrinogen and fibrin is likely enhanced because there are twice as many RGD sites as there are AGDV sites in these molecules.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding

This work was supported by the American Heart Association (Grant 13GRNT16960013 to V.B.), National Institutes of Health (Grants HL40387 and HL120846 to J.S.B. and UO1-HL116330 and HL090774 to J.W.W.), National Science Foundation (Grant DMR1505662 to J.W.W. and V.B.), and the Program for Competitive Growth at Kazan Federal University.

We acknowledge the computational resources at the Massachusetts Green High Performance Computing Center.

References

1. Bennett JS. Structural biology of glycoprotein IIb-IIIa. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 1996; 6:31–36. [PubMed: 21232272]
2. Arnaout MA, Goodman SL, Xiong JP. Coming to grips with integrin binding to ligands. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2002; 14:641–651. [PubMed: 12231361]
3. Springer TA, Wang JH. The three-dimensional structure of integrins and their ligands, and conformational regulation of cell adhesion. Adv Protein Chem. 2004; 68:29–63. [PubMed: 15500858]
4. Luo BH, Carman CV, Springer TA. Structural basis of integrin regulation and signaling. Annu Rev Immunol. 2007; 25:619–647. [PubMed: 17201681]
5. Bennett JS, Vilaire G. Exposure of platelet fibrinogen receptors by ADP and epinephrine. J Clin Invest. 1979; 64:1393–1401. [PubMed: 574143]
6. Bennett JS. Regulation of integrins in platelets. Biopolymers. 2015; 104:323–333. [PubMed: 26010651]
7. Zhu J, Zhu J, Springer TA. Complete integrin headpiece opening in eight steps. J Cell Biol. 2013; 201:1053–1068. [PubMed: 23798730]
8. Farrell DH, Thiagarajan P, Chung DW, Davie EW. Role of fibrinogen alpha and gamma chain sites in platelet aggregation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992; 89:10729–10732. [PubMed: 1438269]
9. Springer TA, Zhu J, Xiao T. Structural basis for distinctive recognition of fibrinogen gammaC peptide by the platelet integrin alphaIIb beta3. J Cell Biol. 2008; 182:791–800. [PubMed: 18710925]
10. Bennett JS, Shattil SJ, Power JW, Gartner TK. Interaction of fibrinogen with its platelet receptor. Differential effects of alpha and gamma chain fibrinogen peptides on the glycoprotein IIb-IIIa complex. J Biol Chem. 1988; 263:12948–12953. [PubMed: 3417645]
11. Wang Y, Goh SY, Kuczera K. Molecular dynamics study of disulfide bond influence on properties of an RGD peptide. J Pept Res. 1999; 53:188–200. [PubMed: 10195456]
12. McDowell RS, Gadek TR. Structural studies of potent constrained RGD peptides. J Am Chem Soc. 1992; 114:9245–9253.
13. Siahaan TJ, Chakrabarti S, Vander Velde D. Conformational study of cyclo(1,5)-Ac-Pen-Arg-Gly-Asp-Cys-NH₂ in water by NMR and molecular dynamics. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1992; 187:1042–1047. [PubMed: 1530602]

14. Sanderson PN, Glen RC, Payne AW, Hudson BD, Heide C, Tranter GE, Doyle PM, Harris CJ. Characterization of the solution conformation of a cyclic RGD peptide analogue by NMR spectroscopy allied with a genetic algorithm approach and constrained molecular dynamics. *Int J Pept Protein Res.* 1994; 43:588–596. [PubMed: 7928090]
15. Litvinov RI, Farrell DH, Weisel JW, Bennett JS. The Platelet Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ Differentially Interacts with Fibrin Versus Fibrinogen. *J Biol Chem.* 2016; 291:7858–7867. [PubMed: 26867579]
16. Sun J, Vranic J, Composto RJ, Streu C, Billings PC, Bennett JS, Weisel JW, Litvinov RI. Bimolecular integrin-ligand interactions quantified using peptide-functionalized dextran-coated microparticles. *Integr Biol.* 2012; 4:84–92.
17. Litvinov RI, Mekler A, Shuman H, Bennett JS, Barsegov V, Weisel JW. Resolving two-dimensional kinetics of the integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ -fibrinogen interactions using binding-unbinding correlation spectroscopy. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:35275–35285. [PubMed: 22893701]
18. Litvinov RI, Shuman H, Bennett JS, Weisel JW. Binding strength and activation state of single fibrinogen-integrin pairs on living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002; 99:7426–7431. [PubMed: 12032299]
19. Litvinov RI, Bennett JS, Weisel JW, Shuman H. Multi-Step Fibrinogen Binding to the Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ Detected Using Force Spectroscopy. *Biophys J.* 2005; 89:2824–2834. [PubMed: 16040750]
20. Litvinov RI, Yarovoi SV, Rauova L, Barsegov V, Sachais BS, Rux AH, Hinds JL, Arepally GM, Cines DB, Weisel JW. Distinct Specificity and Single-molecule Kinetics Characterize the Interaction of Pathogenic and Non-pathogenic Antibodies against Platelet Factor 4-Heparin Complexes with Platelet Factor 4. *J Biol Chem.* 2013; 288:33060–33070. [PubMed: 24097975]
21. Litvinov RI, Gorkun OV, Owen SF, Shuman H, Weisel JW. Polymerization of fibrin: specificity, strength, and stability of knob-hole interactions studied at the single-molecule level. *Blood.* 2005; 106:2944–2951. [PubMed: 15998829]
22. Litvinov RI, Gorkun OV, Galanakis DK, Yakovlev S, Medved L, Shuman H, Weisel JW. Polymerization of fibrin: direct observation and quantification of individual B:b knob-hole interactions. *Blood.* 2007; 109:130–138. [PubMed: 16940416]
23. Litvinov RI, Nagaswami C, Vilaire G, Shuman H, Bennett JS, Weisel JW. Functional and structural correlations of individual $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ molecules. *Blood.* 2004; 104:3979–3985. [PubMed: 15319287]
24. Berger, S., Braun, S. 200 and More NMR Experiments. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2004.
25. Rule, GS., Hitchens, TK. Fundamentals of Protein NMR Spectroscopy. Springer; Berlin: 2006.
26. Schwieters CD, Kuszewski JJ, Tjandra N, Clore GM. The Xplor-NIH NMR molecular structure determination package. *J Magn Reson.* 2003; 160:65–73. [PubMed: 12565051]
27. Davis IW, Leaver-Fay A, Chen VB, Block JN, Kapral GJ, Wang X, Murray LW, Arendall WB 3rd, Snoeyink J, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. Molprobity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007; 35:W375–W383. [PubMed: 17452350]
28. Chen VB, Arendall WB 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. Molprobity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect D: Biol Crystallogr.* 2010; 66:12–21. [PubMed: 20057044]
29. Case, DA., Berryman, JT., Betz, RM., Cerutti, DS., Cheatham, TE., 3rd, Darden, TA., Duke, RE., Giese, TJ., Gohlke, H., Goetz, AW., Homeyer, N., Izadi, S., Janowski, P., Kaus, J., Kovalenko, A., Lee, TS., LeGrand, S., Li, P., Luchko, T., Luo, R., Madej, B., Merz, KM., Monard, G., Needham, P., Nguyen, H., Nguyen, HT., Omelyan, I., Onufriev, A., Roe, DR., Roitberg, A., Salomon-Ferrer, R., Simmerling, CL., Smith, W., Swails, J., Walker, RC., Wang, J., Wolf, RM., Wu, X., York, DM., Kollman, PA. AMBER. University of California; San Francisco: 2015.
30. Goetz AW, Williamson MJ, Xu D, Poole D, Le Grand S, Walker RC. Routine microsecond molecular dynamics simulations with AMBER - Part I: Generalized Born. *J Chem Theory Comput.* 2012; 8:1542–1555. [PubMed: 22582031]
31. Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization and multithreading. *J Comput Chem.* 2009; 31:455–461.
32. Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, Goodsell DS, Olson AJ. Autodock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J Comput Chem.* 2009; 30:2785–2791. [PubMed: 19399780]

33. Ferrara P, Apostolakis J, Caflisch A. Evaluation of a fast implicit solvent model for molecular dynamics simulations. *Proteins: Struct, Funct, Genet.* 2002; 46:24–33. [PubMed: 11746700]
34. Zhmurov A, Kononova O, Litvinov RI, Dima RI, Barsegov V, Weisel JW. Mechanical transition from α -helical coiled coils to β -sheets in fibrin(ogen). *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:20396–20402. [PubMed: 22953986]
35. Kästner J. Umbrella sampling. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science.* 2011; 1:932–942.
36. Torrie G, Valleau J. Nonphysical sampling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy estimation: Umbrella sampling. *J Comput Phys.* 1977; 23:187–199.
37. Kononova O, Litvinov RI, Zhmurov A, Alekseenko A, Cheng CH, Agarwal S, Marx KA, Weisel JW, Barsegov V. Molecular mechanisms, thermodynamics, and dissociation kinetics of knob-hole interactions in fibrin. *J Biol Chem.* 2013; 288:22681–22692. [PubMed: 23720752]
38. Buch I, Harvey MJ, Giorgino T, Anderson DP, De Fabritiis G. Optimized Potential of Mean Force Calculations for Standard Binding Free Energies. *J Chem Inf Model.* 2010; 50:397–403. [PubMed: 20199097]
39. Kokubo H, Tanaka T, Okamoto Y. *Ab Initio* prediction of protein-ligand binding structures by replica-exchange umbrella sampling simulations. *J Comput Chem.* 2011; 32:2810–2821. [PubMed: 21710634]
40. Grossfield, A. WHAM: the weighted histogram analysis method, version 2.0.7. <http://membrane.urmc.rochester.edu/content/wham>
41. Kumar S, Rosenberg JM, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA. The weighted histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules. I The method. *J Comput Chem.* 1992; 13:1011–1021.
42. Barsegov V, Klimov D, Thirumalai D. Mapping the energy landscape of biomolecules using single molecule force correlation spectroscopy: Theory and applications. *Biophys J.* 2006; 90:3827–3841. [PubMed: 16533852]
43. Barsegov V, Thirumalai D. Probing protein-protein interaction by dynamic force correlation spectroscopy. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2005; 95:168302–168305. [PubMed: 16241846]
44. Best RB, Hummer G, Eaton WA. Native contacts determine protein folding mechanisms in atomistic simulations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; 110:17874–17879. [PubMed: 24128758]
45. Litvinov RI, Vilaire G, Li W, DeGrado WF, Weisel JW, Bennett JS. Activation of individual α IIb β 3 integrin molecules by disruption of transmembrane domain interactions in the absence of clustering. *Biochemistry.* 2006; 45:4957–4964. [PubMed: 16605263]
46. Caputo GA, Litvinov RI, Li W, Bennett JS, DeGrado WF, Yin H. Computationally Designed Peptide Inhibitors of Protein-Protein Interactions in Membranes. *Biochemistry.* 2008; 47:8600–8606. [PubMed: 18642886]
47. Litvinov RI, Barsegov V, Schissler AJ, Fisher AR, Bennett JS, Weisel JW, Shuman H. Dissociation of Bimolecular α IIb β 3-Fibrinogen Complex under a Constant Tensile Force. *Biophys J.* 2011; 100:165–173. [PubMed: 21190668]
48. Lee I, Marchant RE. Molecular interaction studies of hemostasis: fibrinogen ligand-human platelet receptor interactions. *Ultramicroscopy.* 2003; 97:341–352. [PubMed: 12801687]
49. Dutta S, Horita DA, Hantgan RR, Guthold M. Probing α IIb β 3:ligand interactions by dynamic force spectroscopy and surface plasmon resonance. *Nano LIFE.* 2013; 3:1340005.
50. Mayo KH, Fan F, Beavers MP, Eckardt A, Keane P, Hoekstra WJ, Andrade-Gordon P. Integrin Receptor GPIIb/IIIa Bound State Conformation of the Fibrinogen γ -Chain C-Terminal Peptide 400–411: NMR and Transfer NOE Studies. *Biochemistry.* 1996; 35:4434–4444. [PubMed: 8605193]
51. Ware S, Donahue JP, Hawiger J, Anderson WF. Structure of the fibrinogen gamma-chain integrin binding and factor XIIIa cross-linking sites obtained through carrier protein driven crystallization. *Protein Sci.* 1999; 8:2663–2671. [PubMed: 10631982]
52. Mizutani R, Shimada I, Ueno Y, Yoda M, Kumagai H, Arata Y. A 1H-NMR study of the solution conformation of cyclo(GRGDSPA): conformational effects on the physiological activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1992; 182:966–973. [PubMed: 1734894]

53. Smith JW, Le Calvez H, Parra-Gessert L, Preece NE, Jia X, Assa-Munt N. Selection and Structure of Ion-selective Ligands for Platelet Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$. *J Biol Chem*. 2002; 277:10298–10305. [PubMed: 11748219]
54. Aumailley M, Gurrath M, Müller G, Calvete J, Timpl R, Kessler H. Arg-Gly-Asp constrained within cyclic pentapeptides. Strong and selective inhibitors of cell adhesion to vitronectin and laminin fragment P1. *FEBS Lett*. 1991; 291:50–4. [PubMed: 1718779]
55. Xiong JP, Stehle T, Zhang R, Joachimiak A, Frech M, Goodman SL, Arnaout MA. Crystal structure of the extracellular segment of integrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 3$ in complex with an Arg-Gly-Asp ligand. *Science*. 2002; 296:151–155. [PubMed: 11884718]
56. Duan L, Zhmurov A, Barsegov V, Dima RI. Exploring the mechanical stability of the C2 domains in human synaptotagmin 1. *J Phys Chem B*. 2011; 115:10133–10146. [PubMed: 21776988]
57. Kononova O, Kholodov Y, Theisen KE, Marx KA, Dima RI, Ataulakhanov FI, Grishchuk EL, Barsegov V. Tubulin bond energies and microtubule biomechanics determined from nanoindentation *in silico*. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136:17036–17045. [PubMed: 25389565]
58. Kononova O, Snijder J, Brasch M, Cornelissen J, Dima RI, Marx KA, Wuite GJL, Roos WH, Barsegov V. Structural transitions and energy landscape for Cowpea Chlorotic Mottle Virus Capsid mechanics from nanoindentation *in vitro* and *in silico*. *Biophys J*. 2013; 105:1893–1903. [PubMed: 24138865]
59. Dai A, Ye F, Taylor DW, Hu G, Ginsberg MH, Taylor KA. The structure of full-length membrane-embedded integrin bound to a physiological ligand. *J Biol Chem*. 2015; 290:27168–27175. [PubMed: 26391523]
60. Zhu J, Luo BH, Xiao T, Zhang C, Nishida N, Springer TA. Structure of a complete integrin ectodomain in a physiologic resting state and activation and deactivation by applied forces. *Mol Cell*. 2008; 32:849. [PubMed: 19111664]
61. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graphics*. 1996; 14:33–38.

Figure 1.

Schematic representation of the $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ ectodomain in its closed conformation (State 1, panel a), several intermediate conformations (States 2–7; panel b), and its open conformation (State 8; panel c). The closed State 1 and open State 8 were reconstructed using crystal structures from the PDB: 3FCS⁶⁰ and 2VDO,⁹ respectively. Shown in different colors are the subdomains of αIIb and $\beta 3$ ectodomain. Panel b illustrates intermediate structural changes that occur in the αIIb β -propeller domain and the $\beta 3$ βI domain (crystal structures from PDB: entries 3ZDY and 3ZE0) during $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta 3$ activation. As an example, panel b also depicts structural alterations highlighted in red color that accompany the transition from State 2 to State 7.⁷ Headpiece conformations corresponding to States 3–6 are very similar to the conformations associated with States 2 and 7 and are not presented.

Figure 2.

Panel a: Schematic representation of the experimental system used to study bimolecular α IIb β 3–peptide interactions. A peptide-functionalized dextran-coated latex bead is trapped by a focused laser beam and oscillated toward or away from a silica pedestal coated with α IIb β 3, touching it repeatedly. If the surface-bound peptide and α IIb β 3 interact, a tensile (rupture) force is generated when the bead is moved away from the pedestal. Panel b: A typical force trace from single-molecule measurements of α IIb β 3–peptide interactions. The command signal of the optical trap (lower broken line) oscillates the peptide-coated latex bead with a truncated triangular waveform. This brings peptide on the bead and α IIb β 3 on the pedestal into proximity and stops the bead in an extreme position, keeping the peptide and α IIb β 3 in contact for a designated time. When there is no α IIb β 3–peptide contact, there is no rupture force signal (cycle 1). When α IIb β 3 and peptide interact, a rupture force that separates the bead and the pedestal is generated (cycle 2, negative rupture force signal). The approach-retraction cycle can be applied repeatedly, enabling the calculation of a binding probability $P_b(T)$ as a function of contact time T (eq 1, Materials and Methods).

Figure 3.

Panel a: Averaged kinetic curves showing the binding probability $P_b(T)$ as a function of contact duration T for γ C-12 and cRGDFK binding to α IIb β 3. The experimental data (blue circles and red squares) were fitted with an exponential probability function (eq1 in Materials and Methods). Panel b: Profiles of average rupture force $F(n=10)$ as a function of contact duration T for the γ C-12 (blue circles) and cRGDFK (red squares). The data shown are a mean and standard deviation from at least 10 experiments.

Figure 4.

¹H NMR-based solution structures of the α IIb β 3-binding peptides cRGDFK and γ C-12.

Panel a: cRGDFK, an overlay of 10 structures with a minimum energy. Panel b: γ C-12, an overlay of 10 structures with a minimum energy. Calculations were made in X-PLOR-NIH.²⁶ The structures were visualized with VMD.⁶¹

Figure 5. Representative conformations of γ C-12 (Panel a) and cRGDFK (Panel b) bound to the α IIb β 3 headpiece (shown in licorice representation). The α IIb β 3 headpiece is displayed in the open conformation using solvent accessible surface area representation colorized according to the type of amino acid residue: red, acidic amino acids; blue, basic amino acids; green and white, polar and nonpolar (hydrophobic) residues, respectively.

Figure 6.

Dynamics of the force-induced dissociation of the complex between γ C-12 and the α IIb β 3 headpiece in its open conformation. Shown are four representative profiles of the total number of residue–residue contacts stabilizing the bound state (Q) as a function of extension of α IIb β 3– γ C-12 noncovalent bonds (Δx) from the force-ramp simulations (pulling speed $v_f = 2 \times 10^4 \mu\text{m/s}$ and cantilever spring constant $\kappa = 100 \text{ pN/nm}$). The tagged residue in γ C-12 is His1. The structural snapshots numbered 1–4 correspond to the similarly numbered regions in the red curve of Q vs Δx and display the progress of forced dissociation from the initial bound state (structure 1), to the partially stretched state (structure 2), and to the partially and fully dissociated states (structures 3 and 4, respectively). The α IIb β -propeller and the β 3 β 1 domain are colored in green and orange, respectively; γ C-12 is shown in gray with its residues in ball-and-stick representation colorized according to the residue type: red for acidic amino acids, blue for basic amino acids, green and pink for hydrophobic polar and nonpolar residues, respectively.

Figure 7.

Plots of the Gibbs free energy landscape for unbinding G vs noncovalent bond extension x for complexes between the $\alpha IIb\beta 3$ headpiece and $\gamma C-12$ (panel a) and cRGDFK (panel b). G profiles were generated using the Umbrella Sampling simulation technique for the open conformation (blue curve) and closed conformation (red curve) of the $\alpha IIb\beta 3$ headpiece. Inset: G profiles for the $\alpha IIb\beta 3-\gamma C-12$ complex with unsuppressed peptide unraveling.

Table 1
Parameters for γ C-12 and cRGDFK Binding to the Closed and Open Conformations of the α IIb β Headpiece^a

α IIb β headpiece	γ C-12			cRGDFK		
	E_{av} , kcal/mol (n)	E_{max} , kcal/mol	X , Å	E_{av} , kcal/mol (n)	E_{max} , kcal/mol	X , Å
Closed state (3ZDX; molecule 1)	6.5 $\pm$ 0.4 (8)	7.1	14.2 $\pm$ 4.3	6.8 $\pm$ 0.4 (27)	7.7	11.7 $\pm$ 1.4
open state (3ZE2; molecule 2)	6.4 $\pm$ 0.5 (16)	7.1	14.5 $\pm$ 5.1	7.0 $\pm$ 0.3 (6)	7.5	12.4 $\pm$ 1.5

^aShown are the docking energy (E_{QV}) averaged over the number of peptide conformations shown in parentheses (n), the maximum docking energy (E_{max}) across the available peptide conformations, and the peptide end-to-end distances (X). The absolute values of E_{QV} and E_{max} correspond to peptides bound to the canonical RGD-binding sites in the α IIb β headpiece. Note: the difference between the energy parameters for γ C-12 and cRGDFK was significant at $p < 0.05$.

Table 2

Parameters for α IIb β 3–Peptide Complexes Obtained Using the Umbrella Sampling Simulations: G_b , Binding Energy; x_b , Width of the Bound State Basin; $x^\ddagger$, Transition State Distance^a

peptide	G_b , kcal/mol	$x^\ddagger$, nm	x_b , nm
γ C-12 and open α IIb β 3	13.5 (29.2)	1.9 (5.1)	0.75 (0.81)
γ C-12 and closed α IIb β 3	13.9 (37.5)	2.2 (4.6)	0.71 (0.76)
cyclic RGDFK and open α IIb β 3	16.1	2.6	0.79
cyclic RGDFK and closed α IIb β 3	15.6	2.0	0.70

^aValues obtained without suppression of γ C-12 forced unraveling are given in parentheses.