Published in final edited form as:

Methods Enzymol. 2012 ; 513: 29–58. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-391938-0.00002-1.

Unzipping single DNA molecules to study nucleosome structure and dynamics

Ming Li¹ and Michelle D. Wang^{2,3,*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

²Department of Physics, Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

Abstract

DNA-unzipping is a powerful tool to study protein-DNA interactions at the single-molecule level. In this chapter, we provide a detailed and practical guide to performing this technique with an optical trap, using nucleosome studies as an example. We detail protocols for preparing an unzipping template, constructing and calibrating the instrument, and acquiring, processing and analyzing unzipping data. We also summarize major results from utilization of this technique for the studies of nucleosome structure, dynamics, positioning and remodeling.

Keywords

Unzipping; Single molecule; Optical trap; Nucleosome; Protein-DNA interaction

1. Introduction

As the fundamental units of eukaryotic chromatin, nucleosomes are responsible for packaging the genome into the nucleus and regulating access to genetic information during various cellular processes. The nucleosome core particle consists of 147 bp of DNA wrapped ~1.7 times around a histone octamer, containing two copies of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 (Luger et al., 1997). The non-uniform distribution of histone-DNA interactions within a nucleosome governs its dynamic role in regulating access to nucleosomal DNA during transcription, replication and DNA repair (Andrews and Luger, 2011; Korber and Becker, 2010). The position of nucleosomes along the genome are not only influenced by the properties of the underlying DNA sequence (Kaplan et al., 2009), but are also regulated by various histone chaperones (Das et al., 2010; Park and Luger, 2008; Ransom et al., 2010), ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes (Bowman, 2010; Clapier and Cairns, 2009), and other DNA binding proteins, such as transcription factors (Bell et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2009). In addition, several types of epigenetic marks, including covalent histone modifications, affect both the structure and stability of nucleosomes, as well as

*Corresponding author. mwang@physics.cornell.edu, Phone: (607)255-0954, Fax: (607)255-6428.

higher-order chromatin structure (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Campos and Reinberg, 2009; Ray-Gallet and Almouzni, 2010). Thus, a detailed understanding of nucleosome structure and dynamics, as well as the relationship between nucleosomes and relevant regulatory factors, is of great interest to multiple fields and can enhance our knowledge of the basic tenets of biology.

Single-molecule techniques offer the unique ability to both detect the inherent heterogeneities of biomolecules and directly monitor dynamic processes in real time, and are thus important complementary to ensemble studies for understanding various biological systems (Joo et al., 2008; Killian et al., 2011; Moffitt et al., 2008). In particular, DNA stretching experiments utilizing single-molecule manipulation techniques, such as magnetic tweezers or optical tweezers, allow for the direct investigation of the mechanical properties of both single nucleosomes and nucleosome arrays (Brower-Toland et al., 2002; Gemmen et al., 2005; Mihardja et al., 2006; Simon et al., 2011). However, stretching experiments are unable to directly determine the location of a nucleosome on a long DNA template or directly probe the absolute locations of specific histone-DNA interactions in a nucleosome. To overcome these limitations, we developed an optical trapping-based single-molecule unzipping technique as a versatile tool to probe a variety of protein-DNA interactions (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2010; Koch et al., 2002; Koch and Wang, 2003; Shundrovsky et al., 2006). The unzipping technique is a straightforward concept and may be incorporated into different optical trapping configurations (an example is shown in Figure 1). Briefly, a single double stranded DNA (dsDNA) is unzipped in the presence of DNA-binding proteins. Mechanical force is applied to separate dsDNA into two single strands (Figure 1a). DNA-bound proteins or protein complexes act as barriers to the unzipping fork so that resistance to unzipping provides a measure of the strengths of protein-DNA interactions while the amount of DNA unzipped reveals the locations of these interactions along the DNA. These locations may be mapped to near base-pair precision and accuracy, making unzipping a powerful high-resolution technique for mapping these interactions.

Unzipping is a unique and extremely powerful single-molecule technique with many advantages: 1) The interaction map of a protein-DNA complex, as characterized by the strengths and locations of interactions, provides important structural information about the complex (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2010; Shundrovsky et al., 2006); 2) The footprint of a bound complex can be directly measured by unzipping DNA molecules from both directions (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2010); 3) Unzipping directly reveals the presence or absence of a bound protein, making it an ideal method for measuring its equilibrium dissociation constant, even for tight binding in the pM range (Jiang et al., 2005; Koch et al., 2002); 4) Using dynamic force measurements, it is possible to differentiate different bound species which may bind to the same DNA sequence (Koch and Wang, 2003); 5) Unzipping is capable of determining the location of a protein on a very long DNA molecule with near base-pair accuracy, making it ideal for studying the positioning and/or repositioning of proteins and protein complexes along DNA (Shundrovsky et al., 2006).

Unzipping has been successfully utilized to study the binding affinity of restriction enzymes (Koch et al., 2002; Koch and Wang, 2003), mismatch detection by DNA repair enzymes (Jiang et al., 2005), the dynamics of nucleosome structure and positioning (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Shundrovsky et al., 2006), and how RNA polymerase overcomes a nucleosome barrier (Jin et al., 2010). For clarity and brevity, we will focus below on the experimental procedures utilizing our particular single-beam optical trapping system to study nucleosome structure and dynamics (Figure 1b).

2. Sample preparation

2.1 DNA unzipping template design

Here, we detail the construction of unzipping templates that can be used with the optical trapping system shown in Figure 1b. Although different experimental configurations may require somewhat different templates, the general template designs share common features (Bockelmann et al., 1998; Koch et al., 2002). The template generally consists of two segments: an anchoring segment and an unzipping segment, separated by a nick (Figure 2). At one end of the anchor segment is a tag that will bind to the coverslip and, near the nick is a different tag that will bind to a microsphere. By moving the coverslip away from the trapped microsphere, the unzipping segment can be unzipped.

The anchor segment is generally 1–2 kb long and consists of a dsDNA linker arm with an end-labeled digoxigenin tag. This length will provide sufficient distance between the anchor point and the unzipping segment to ensure that the trapped microsphere does not contact the coverslip surface. This will facilitate data analysis. However, an anchor segment that is too long will lead to increased Brownian noise of the trapped microsphere and compromise the accuracy of position measurements.

The unzipping segment consists of an experiment-specific target sequence with an internal biotin tag near the nick. This segment may also contain bound proteins or protein complexes to be studied. The length of the unzipping segment can vary from hundreds to a few thousands of base pairs. Note that a segment that is too short will not allow for optimal data alignment (detailed in a later section). Bound proteins or protein complexes can be located in any region of the unzipping segment, although we typically leave at least 200 bp of flanking DNA on either side of a bound protein to ensure accuracy during data alignment. In some experiments, unzipping should be conducted from both directions (forward and reverse) along the same unzipping segment to investigate possible asymmetric binding. Therefore, both forward and reverse unzipping segments should be prepared.

As an example, we provide below a detailed protocol for constructing a typical forward unzipping template for studying histone-DNA interactions in a single nucleosome (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Shundrovsky et al., 2006). In this template, the anchor segment is 1.1 kb and the unzipping segment is 774 bp. The nucleosome is located near the center of the unzipping template, and is well-positioned on a 147 bp “Widom 601” nucleosome positioning element (601), which has an extremely high affinity for a nucleosome (Figure 2) (Lowary and Widom, 1998). Labeling and producing the DNA templates is accomplished by standard enzymatic reactions and purification methods with biotin and digoxigenin labeled

nucleic acids. These two labels are especially convenient due to the ease of covalent attachment of streptavidin (Sigma) to carboxylated polystyrene microspheres (Polysciences, Inc.) and the availability of high-affinity anti-digoxigenin antibodies (Roche Applied Sciences, Indianapolis, IN). The reverse nucleosomal unzipping segment is prepared using methods nearly identical to those of the forward unzipping segment, except the entire segment is flipped by the use of different primers, such that the ligatable overhang is located on the opposite end.

Anchor segment preparation:

1. PCR amplify the anchor segment from plasmid pRL574 (Schafer et al., 1991). The forward primer contains a 5' digoxigenin label, designed to be ~1.1kb away from the single BstXI cutting site located on the plasmid.
2. BstXI (New England Biolabs) digest the PCR product to generate a 3' overhang for ligation of the unzipping segment.

Unzipping segment preparation:

1. PCR amplify the unzipping segment from plasmid p601 (Lowary and Widom, 1998). The forward primer was designed to be ~200 bp upstream of the 601 element and to contain a BstXI cutting site. This site will be utilized to generate a 3' overhang complementary to the one produced on the anchor segment. The forward primer contains an internal biotin label near the 3' overhang. The reverse primer is located ~400 bp downstream of the 601 element.
2. BstXI (New England Biolabs) digest the PCR product. Follow the digestion with the addition of a stoichiometric amount of Calf Intestinal Alkaline Phosphatase (CIP, New England Biolabs) in the same buffer, to remove the phosphate from the 3' overhang. This allows for the generation of a nick after subsequent ligation (discussed below in 2.3).

To characterize the precision and accuracy of the unzipping method in locating a bound protein along the DNA (discussed later), we have also designed multiple unzipping templates of varying lengths, capped with hairpins at distal ends (Figure 2b). These hairpins act as strong binding sites by preventing further unzipping at well-defined locations along the DNA and allow for a direction comparison with measured locations. In addition, these unzipping templates are also used to determine the elastic parameters of single stranded DNA (ssDNA) under experimental conditions discussed below. Here, we have outlined a protocol for constructing hairpin-capped unzipping segments of various lengths.

Hairpin-capped unzipping segment preparation:

1. PCR amplify the unzipping segment from p601 using the same forward primer specified above in 'unzipping segment preparation'. The reverse primers are located at various distances downstream from the forward primer to generate templates of different lengths. The reverse primer is also designed to contain an EarI cutting site that will generate a 5' overhang.
2. EarI (New England Biolabs) digest the PCR products to generate a 5' overhang.

3. The oligonucleotide (Integrated DNA Technologies) utilized to generate the hairpin is designed to form a 3-base hairpin loop and a short dsDNA stem (~12 bp) with a 5' overhang which is complementary to the overhang in the unzipping segment.
4. Ligate the unzipping segment with a hairpin oligonucleotide (1:10 molar ratio) using T4 Ligase (New England Biolabs). Overnight ligation is normally necessary to maximize the ligation yield. Purify the ligated products using agarose gel purification.
5. BstXI (New England Biolabs) digest the gel purified product to generate an overhang near the biotin-label. Following the digestion, add a stoichiometric amount of Calf Intestinal Alkaline Phosphatase (CIP, New England Biolabs) in the same digestion buffer to remove the phosphate from the 3' overhang. This allows for the generation of a nick after ligation with the anchor segment (discussed below in 2.3).

2.2 Nucleosome reconstitution

It has been well established that *in vitro* assembly of nucleosomes and chromatin arrays from highly purified DNA and histone components can be achieved by either salt-gradient dialysis (Luger et al., 1999) or a chaperone mediated approach (Fyodorov and Kadonaga, 2003). We employ a salt-gradient dialysis method for nucleosome reconstitution. Different types of individual histones can be prepared as previously described (Dyer et al., 2004). Purified histone octamers from several species are also commercially available in forms suitable for reconstitution (Protein Expression/Purification Facility, Colorado State University). In our previous publications (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2010; Shundrovsky et al., 2006), a well-established salt dialysis method (Dyer et al., 2004; Thastrom et al., 2004) was modified to reconstitute a single nucleosome on a long piece of DNA containing one 601 positioning element. The modified protocol uses a small total volume and requires a low concentration of DNA template and histones (100 nM or even lower) that are suitable for single-molecule studies. The modifications are listed below.

1. The dialysis button is constructed following the procedure from Thastrom et al., 2004. This allows us to work with assembly volumes of ~30 μ L, which is much smaller than commercially available dialysis chambers.
2. We include 0.2 g/L sodium azide (Sigma-Aldrich) in both the high and low salt buffers to remove bacteria which may contaminate the buffers and decrease assembly efficiency.
3. We include 0.1 mg/mL acetylated BSA (acBSA) (Ambion), to each dialysis button, as a crowding agent to assist with assembly.
4. The dialysis is performed at 4°C and the dialysis pump is set to 1.2 mL/min for ~18 hours. The flow is then changed to 2.5 mL/min for an additional ~4 hours.
5. After dialysis, the samples are transferred to zero salt buffer and incubated for 2–3 hours at 4°C.

Fine-tuning the molar ratio between the histone octamer and DNA template is critical to achieve a high reconstitution yield and avoid over assembly. In addition, it is also important to remove bubbles from the dialysis button, because bubbles can prevent buffer exchange between the high salt and the sample. After reconstitution, the nucleosome samples may be stored for a few weeks at 4°C.

2.3 Formation of the final unzipping template

The unzipping segment (containing either a nucleosome or a hairpin) is directly ligated to the anchor segment (in a 1:1 molar ratio) immediately prior to use (Figure 2). The CIP dephosphorylation of the unzipping segment ensures that only one strand of the DNA is ligated and a nick is generated on the complementary strand during the ligation step. This complete unzipping template is labeled with a single digoxigenin tag at the 5' end of the anchor segment and a biotin tag located near the nick on the unzipping segment. A complete template lacking a nucleosome (naked DNA) is stable for a few days without DNA nicking at 4°C; a template containing a nucleosome should be used within a few hours of ligation.

2.4 Preparation of experimental sample chambers

For single-molecule studies in general, individual DNA tethers need to be immobilized in a single-molecule sample chamber or a flow cell. These allow the user to sequentially flow in different solutions for use with the optical trapping system. In our nucleosome unzipping studies, sample chambers with a ~15 μ L volume are prepared at room temperature, and then mounted onto an optical trapping setup. By performing incubations in a humid chamber prior to mounting onto the optical setup, buffer evaporation can be minimized.

Buffer solutions:

1. Sample Buffer (SB): 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 3% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/mL acBSA.
2. Blocking Buffer (BB): SB + 5 mg/mL casein sodium salt from bovine milk.
3. Nucleosome Unzipping Buffer (NUB): 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 3% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.02% (v/v) Tween20, 2 mg/mL acetylated BSA (acBSA).

Blocking agents are used to coat the surface of the sample chamber to prevent unwanted protein attachment to the surface. The blocking agent that has been the most successful for us is casein sodium salt from bovine milk (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). AcBSA is thought to mimic conditions of a higher protein concentration in the sample buffer, creating a more 'crowded' environment, and thus prevents protein dissociation from the template (Gansen et al., 2007). We utilize a polyclonal sheep anti-digoxigenin (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) for attachment of digoxigenin-labeled DNA samples to sample chamber surfaces. The complete unzipping template is diluted to a desired concentration in SB immediately before introduction to sample chamber. The detailed procedure of creating a tethered DNA sample chamber is given below:

Creating a DNA tethered sample chamber:

1. Apply two thin pieces of double-stick tape (~0.1 mm thick) to a coverslip (24 mm × 40 mm × 0.15 mm). Orient the pieces parallel to one another and separate them by ~5 mm.
2. Place a glass slide on top of the coverslip and perpendicular to it, to create an ~15 µL channel down the center.
3. Flow in one volume (~15 µL) of antidigoxigenin solution (20 ng/µL in H₂O).
4. Incubate for five min. Wash with five volumes of BB. Incubate with residual blocker for five min.
5. Wash with five volumes of SB. Immediately flow in one volume of diluted unzipping template in SB. Incubate for 10 min.
6. Wash with five volumes of SB. Flow in one volume of streptavidin-coated beads (5 pM in BB). Incubate for 10 min.
7. Wash with 10 volumes of NUB.

The concentration to which the unzipping sample is diluted prior to being added to the sample chamber is critical for achieving an optimal tether density under single-molecule conditions. A concentration that is too high will lead to multiple tethers (one bead attached to multiple DNA molecules) and a concentration that is too low will make it difficult to locate a suitable unzipping tether. In theory, 10 pM of DNA template are needed to achieve an acceptable tether density. However, since the ligated templates are directly diluted without purification, the appropriate 'flow-in' concentration depends heavily on the ligation efficiency. Therefore, for each new template, several chambers with different template concentrations are often made and evaluated to establish an appropriate flow-in concentration.

Consistency in all aspects of sample preparation and utilization is critical to achieve reproducible results among different sample chambers. In this regard, unzipping experiments are conducted in a temperature and humidity controlled soundproof room. Once prepared, the sample chambers should be utilized in a timely fashion, typical within 1 hour, to avoid unnecessary complications such as protein dissociation or sample sticking to the surface of the chamber.

3. Instrumentation and data collection

3.1 Layout of single beam optical trapping apparatus

Since the pioneering work by Arthur Ashkin over 20 years ago (Ashkin et al., 1986), the optical trapping field has grown tremendously due to the unique ability of optical tweezers to monitor and manipulate biological targets with high temporal and spatial resolution. In addition, refinements of established methods and the integration of this tool with other forms of single-molecule manipulation or detection have made this technique of great interest in both physics and biology. The single beam optical trapping instrument that we use has a very straightforward design (Brower-Toland and Wang, 2004; Koch et al., 2002), containing the minimal set of optical components required for the operation of a high precision instrument

of its kind (Figure 3). A 1064 nm laser (Spectra-Physics Lasers, Inc. Mountain View, CA) is transmitted through a single-mode optical fiber (Oz Optics, Carp, ON), expanded by a telescope lens pair, and focused onto the back focal plane of an 100X, 1.4 NA oil-immersion microscope objective that is mounted in a modified Eclipse TE 200 DIC inverted microscope (Nikon USA, Melville, NY). The focused beam serves as a trap for a 500 nm polystyrene microsphere (Polysciences, Inc.). Forward scattered light is collected by a condenser lens and imaged onto a quadrant photodiode (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ). A displacement of a trapped sphere imparts a deflection of the forward scattered light and is captured as a differential voltage signal at the quadrant photodiode. The laser intensity is adjusted by modulating the voltage amplitude applied to an acoustic optical deflector (AOD) (NEOS Technologies, Inc., Melbourne, FL) placed between the laser aperture and the beam expander. Samples are manipulated manually via a micro-stage or via a high precision 3D piezoelectric stage (Mad City Labs, Madison, WI). Analog voltage signals from the position detector and stage position sensor are anti-alias filtered at 5 kHz (Krohn-Hite, Avon, MA) and digitized at 7 to 13 kHz for each channel using a multiplexed analog to digital conversion PCIe board (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX).

3.2 Calibration of the optical trapping system

The instrument calibration methods for our optical trapping setup were detailed in a previous publication (Wang et al., 1997). In brief, they include 1) the determination of the position detector sensitivity and the trap stiffness, 2) the determination of the position of the trap center relative to the beam waist and the height of the trap center relative to the coverslip, 3) The location of the anchor position of the unzipping tether on the coverslip, which is determined prior to each measurement by stretching the anchor segment laterally at a low load (< 5 pN). These calibrations are subsequently used to convert raw data into force and extension values.

3.3 Experimental control – loading-rate clamp and force clamp

In nucleosome unzipping experiments, we often use two approaches to disrupt a nucleosome: loading-rate clamp unzipping and force clamp unzipping. The advantages and disadvantages of these two methods are discussed below.

A loading-rate clamp allows the force to increase linearly at a specific rate until the disruption of an interaction. This approach generates distinct force unzipping signatures (unzipping force as a function of the number of base pair unzipped) which can be used to distinguish a nucleosome from other DNA binding proteins. Because the disruption is a thermally activated process (Evans, 2001), the force needed to disrupt a specific interaction in the nucleosome is dependent on the loading rate as well as the starting force. After a disruption, the force naturally drops but is not allowed to recover to the naked DNA unzipping baseline. Consequently the starting force for a subsequent disruption is higher than for the initial disruption. Thus weak interactions in a nucleosome may be detected if they are first encountered by the unzipping fork. This method may be used to highlight weak histone-DNA interactions near the entrance and exit sites. It will of course detect all strong interactions.

A force clamp allows for the disruption of all interactions in a nucleosome under the same force. This is well-suited to a quantitative analysis of the strength of the detected interactions (Forties et al., 2011). However, more experimentation is normally required to determine an appropriate range of desired unzipping forces. A force that is too small will make the time to disrupt the nucleosome too long to be experimentally accessible, and a force that is too large may overlook specific interactions in the nucleosome. A force clamp is usually implemented with loading rate clamps before and after it to simplify data alignment (discussed further below). A loading rate clamp is most suitable to study the interactions around the periphery of a nucleosome, while the force clamp mode is optimal for determining the interactions around the dyad. More importantly, a loading rate clamp provides a clear force unzipping signature, while a force clamp is more convenient in quantitative analysis of the energy landscape of histone-DNA interactions in a nucleosome.

3.4 Data acquisition

Here we detail the process of data collection using the two aforementioned approaches. When utilizing the loading rate clamp mode (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Koch and Wang, 2003; Shundrovsky et al., 2006), the microscope coverslip velocity is adjusted to produce a constant force-loading rate by controlling the position of the piezo stage, while the position of the microsphere in the trap is kept constant by modulating the light intensity (trap stiffness) of the trapping laser. Unzipping through a nucleosome is visualized as a group of force peaks up to 30–40 pN ramping up linearly above the naked DNA unzipping baseline (13–16 pN). In the force-clamp mode (Hall et al., 2009), the unzipping begins with a loading rate clamp until the desired force (threshold force) is reached within a nucleosome. The unzipping force is then held constant via feedback control of the coverslip position. The threshold force is carefully selected so that it is much higher than the baseline unzipping force of the naked DNA, but is still low enough to allow sufficient dwell time at most histone-DNA interactions for detection. Upon passing through the nucleosome region, the unzipping reverts to the original loading rate clamp. The distinctive naked DNA unzipping signatures, detected by the loading rate clamp before and after the nucleosome, are important for data alignment (see below).

Apart from the two unzipping modes presented above, we can also modulate the unzipping process to allow the DNA to be unzipped and reziped multiple times by controlling the unzipping forces and the corresponding position of the piezo stage. This modulation allows unzipping experiments to potentially mimic important biological process, such as a motor protein progressing into a nucleosome (Hall et al., 2009).

4. Data processing

Data acquired by the optical trapping setup need to be processed according to these steps: 1) determine the elastic parameters of the dsDNA and ssDNA; 2) determine trap height; 3) perform data conversion to force and extension based on geometry; 4) convert data to number of base pairs unzipped; 5) perform data alignment against a theoretical curve. These steps are detailed below.

4.1 DNA elastic parameter determination

Since unzipping experiments involve the extension of dsDNA (the anchoring segment) in series with ssDNA (unzipped DNA) (Figure 1b), elastic parameters of both dsDNA and ssDNA are necessary for data analysis. These parameters are strongly dependent on the buffer conditions used in unzipping experiments. We obtain elastic parameters of dsDNA by stretching dsDNA and fitting the resulting force vs. extension curve to a modified worm-like chain (WLC) model under the same buffer conditions as the actual unzipping experiment following the detailed procedures published previously (Wang et al., 1997). To obtain the elastic parameters of ssDNA, we unzip a template capped with a hairpin at the distal end under the same buffer conditions as the actual unzipping experiment (Koch et al., 2002). Once completely unzipped, the unzipped DNA is then stretched to a high force (up to 50 pN) to obtain the force-extension curve, which reflects elastic contributions from both the dsDNA and ssDNA. Given the elastic parameters of dsDNA under these conditions, this curve allows for the determination of the elastic properties of ssDNA using an extensible freely-jointed chain (FJC) model (Smith et al., 1996).

4.2 Trap height determination for individual unzipping curves

Prior to nucleosome unzipping experiments, we calibrate the height of the trap center relative to the surface of the coverslip when the objective is focused on the coverslip surface (Wang et al., 1997); it is typically found to be ~600 nm. However, the actual trap height during a nucleosome unzipping experiment may differ from the calibrated height by as much as 100 nm due to limited focusing precision. We have therefore implemented a technique to obtain trace-specific trap height of the unzipping data. In this method, we analyze the initial segment of the data prior to strand separation (0–10 pN). Because DNA is not yet unzipped, the expected force-extension curve has been fully characterized as described in section 4.1 and is simply that of the dsDNA anchor segment of known contour length. The trap height is determined when the difference between the converted force-extension curve and the expected curve is minimized.

4.3 Data conversion to force and extension based on geometry

Once the trap height is determined, force and extension of DNA as a function of time may be obtained following a method that has been previously described in detail (Wang et al., 1997). At a given time point, a number of parameters must be detected and/or calibrated: DNA anchor point on the coverslip, the position of the trapped bead relative to the trap center, and the stiffness of the trap. The results of the conversion are the force (F) and extension (x) along the direction of the stretched DNA molecule.

4.4 Conversion to number of base pairs unzipped (j)

Once the unzipping data are converted to force (F) and extension (x) for a given time point (t), the number of base pairs unzipped (j) at each time point may be obtained. The extension of the DNA (x) contains contributions from both the dsDNA (x_{ds}) and ssDNA (x_{ss}) under the same force:

$$x(F) = x_{ds}(F) + x_{ss}(F). \quad (1)$$

$x_{ds}(F)$ is determined because the force-extension curve of the anchor segment is fully characterized. $x_{ss}(F)$ is thus obtained from (1) and is proportional to the number of ssDNA nucleotides. Using the extensible freely-jointed chain (FJC) model, $x_{ss}(F)$ is converted to the number of base pairs unzipped (j).

As the DNA is extended but prior to strand separation, $j = 0$ bp, resulting in a vertical rise in the F versus j plot (Figure 4a). Once strand separation starts, a characteristic force signature, determined by the underlying DNA sequence as discussed below, is detected with an increase in j . In the presence of bound proteins this gently varying baseline is interrupted by sharp force rises. When the unzipping fork encounters a strong protein-DNA interaction, force increases linearly while the number of base pair unzipped remains unchanged until the sudden dissociation of the bound protein, leading to a sudden reduction in the force. Therefore, the F versus j plot provides a direct measure of 1) the location of the bound protein on the DNA (j at which the force rise starts) and 2) the strength of the interaction (force magnitude).

4.5 Unzipping curve alignment

Although the raw F versus j plot already contains critical information about a bound protein, the precision and accuracy of locating a bound protein are limited to ~ 10 bp, due to small but significant uncertainties in a number of parameters (trap height, bead size, and trapped bead position and force). In order to improve on this, we take advantage of the characteristic unzipping force signatures that depend strongly on the DNA sequence and align an experimental unzipping force curve $F_{exp}(j)$ against a theoretical curve $F_{theo}(j)$. $F_{theo}(j)$ is computed based on an equilibrium statistical mechanics model that considers sequence-dependent base pairing energy and DNA elasticity (Bockelmann et al., 1998). During the correlation, the argument of $F_{exp}(j)$ is both shifted by j_0 number of base pairs and stretched by a factor of a . The best values of j_0 and a are obtained by maximizing the following generalized cross-correlation function:

$$R(a, j_0) = \frac{\int dj [F_{theo}(j) - \bar{F}_{theo}] [F_{exp}(aj + j_0) - \bar{F}_{exp}]}{\sqrt{\int dj [F_{theo}(j) - \bar{F}_{theo}]^2 \int dj [F_{exp}(aj + j_0) - \bar{F}_{exp}]^2}} \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{F}_{theo}$ and $\bar{F}_{exp}$ are the mean values of the $F_{theo}(j)$ and $F_{exp}(aj + j_0)$ respectively. The search for optimal j_0 and a may be also facilitated by the use of a SIMPLEX search algorithm.

When using this method to align a trace taken from DNA containing a nucleosome against the known DNA sequence, regions of naked DNA, ~ 100 – 200 bp, adjacent to the nucleosome should be used for correlation. We found that once unzipping passes a nucleosome, the unzipping curve immediately following the nucleosome did not always

show the expected naked DNA pattern (Shundrovsky et al., 2006). Instead, in some traces we observed random high-force peaks that were not present when unzipping naked DNA. We attribute this effect to non-specific interactions between the end of the DNA and the histone proteins removed from the disrupted nucleosome. For those traces, only the naked DNA preceding a nucleosome can be used for correlation, which may result in somewhat lower precision.

Figure 4 is an example of the application of this method to a nucleosome unzipping trace. The correlation was performed using two regions of naked DNA flanking the nucleosome (Figure 4a). The generalized correlation function (Figure 4b) shows a maximum of 0.80 at $j_0 = -14$ bp and $a = 0.93$.

5. Determination of unzipping accuracy and precision

To characterize the ability of the unzipping technique to locate the absolute position of an interaction, we unzip naked DNA templates capped with hairpins at distal ends (Figure 5a) and analyze the measured locations of hairpins (Hall et al., 2009). These hairpins mimic strong binding sites at well-defined locations on DNA. As shown in Figure 5a, three unzipping templates of varying length, each with a hairpin located near where a nucleosome could be assembled, are unzipped. Each unzipping curve follows that of the naked DNA until it reaches the hairpin where the force rises sharply, providing a clear indication of the hairpin location. These unzipping curves are aligned as described above. Figure 5b shows histograms of the detected binding locations for each hairpin template and a comparison with expected locations. Note that accuracy is a measure of the closeness of the measured value with the true value whereas precision is a measure of the repeatability of measurements. For each template, accuracy is given by the difference between the mean measured location and the expected location while precision is given by the standard deviation of the histogram. For all three templates, the accuracy is within 1 bp and the precision is within 2 bp. Therefore we conclude that the unzipping technique has the capability to determine the absolute sequence position of an interaction with near single base pair accuracy and precision.

6. Unzipping in nucleosome studies

Unzipping is ideally suited for the manipulation of protein-DNA interactions and the detection of their dynamics at the single-molecule level. Below, we provide a very brief summary of various studies on nucleosome structure, positioning, and remodeling which we have explored using our unzipping technique. For more specific details regarding these experiments or data analysis, we refer the reader to the original publications (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2010; Shundrovsky et al., 2006)

6.1 Nucleosome unzipping signature

A nucleosome has the most distinctive signature when unzipped with a loading-rate clamp. As an example, we have unzipped a DNA containing a positioned nucleosome in both forward and reverse directions (Dechassa et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2009). In either direction, two regions of strong interactions are detected, one preceding the dyad and one near the

dyad (Figure 6c). When results from both directions are combined, the unzipping force signatures reveal three distinct regions of interactions, one located around the dyad axis and the other two ~ 40 bp on either side of the dyad axis. Within each region, interactions are discretely spaced with ~ 5 bp periodicity (Figure 6b). By comparison with the crystal structure of the nucleosome, the dyad region should correspond to contacts from the (H3/H4)₂ tetramer at superhelical location (SHL) -2.5 to +2.5, and the two off dyad regions should correspond to contacts from the two H2A/H2B dimers between SHL -3.5 to -6.5 and +3.5 to +6.5 respectively. The absence of the last region for each direction of unzipping also indicates that after the first and second regions are disrupted, the nucleosome structure likely becomes unstable and histone dissociation occurs before the last region can be probed. These features are further discussed below.

We have also verified that the unzipping method could clearly distinguish a nucleosome from a tetrasome consisting only of a (H3/H4)₂ tetramer (Figure 6b). Unzipping through a tetrasome exhibits only a single region of strong interactions near the dyad and this region substantially overlaps with the dyad region of interactions for canonical nucleosomes (Dechassa et al., 2011).

The nucleosome unzipping signature characteristic of a positioned nucleosome is also shared by nucleosomes on arbitrary sequences (Hall et al., 2009). This was demonstrated by assembling nucleosomes onto a DNA segment that does not contain any known positioning elements (Figure 7a). The assembly condition was controlled to achieve a relatively low saturation level so that each DNA molecule had at most one nucleosome. When such nucleosomal DNA molecules were unzipped with a loading rate clamp using the same conditions as those of Figure 6, nucleosomes were found at various locations on the template (Figure 7b), likely due to a lack of known nucleosome positioning elements on this DNA sequence. Each unzipping trace contains two major regions of strong interaction, with the second region presumably located near the dyad. These nucleosome unzipping signatures possessed essentially identical characteristics to those of the 601 sequence, except that their peak forces within each region were typically smaller by a few pN, reflecting weaker interactions of histone with non-positioning DNA sequences. The key features remained essentially identical: the three regions of strong interactions with the strongest at the dyad, the 5 bp periodicity, and the loss of nucleosome stability upon dyad disruption (Figure 7b,c).

6.2 High resolution mapping of histone-DNA interactions in a nucleosome

To quantitatively assay the strengths of the histone-DNA interactions, we unzipped through individual nucleosomal DNA molecules with a constant unzipping force (Hall et al., 2009). Under a force clamp (Johnson et al., 2007) (Johnson et al., 2007), the dwell times at different sequence positions measure the strengths of interactions at those positions. Thus this method allows direct mapping of the strengths of interactions. Figure 8a shows example traces for unzipping DNA through a nucleosome under a constant force. DNA molecules were unzipped from both directions along the DNA. In both cases, the unzipping fork did not move through the nucleosomal DNA at a constant rate but instead dwelled at specific locations within the nucleosome, indicating the presence of strong interactions. In particular,

these traces revealed that the fork dwelled with discrete steps spaced by ~5 bp and the longest dwell times tended to occur near the dyad.

An interaction map was generated by averaging dwell time histogram measurements from many traces from both forward and reverse unzipping, as shown in Figure 8b. Several features, consistent with findings using the loading rate clamp, are evident from these plots:

1. Histone-DNA interactions are highly non-uniform within a nucleosome. There are three broad regions of strong interactions: one located at the dyad and two ~ ± 40 bp from the dyad. The locations of all three regions are strongly correlated with those estimated from the crystal structure of the nucleosome (Davey et al., 2002; Luger et al., 1997). The locations of these interactions are also consistent with estimates from our nucleosome stretching experiments (Brower-Toland et al., 2005; Brower-Toland and Wang, 2004; Brower-Toland et al., 2002), although results from those studies are less direct in identifying the absolute locations of strong interactions and are more difficult to interpret.
2. An ~5 bp periodicity occurs within each region of interaction. According to the crystal structure of the nucleosome, histone core domains are expected to make strong contacts with the DNA minor groove every 10 bp (Davey et al., 2002; Luger et al., 1997). The observed 5 bp periodicity demonstrated that two distinct interactions at each minor groove contact, one from each strand, could be disrupted sequentially rather than simultaneously.
3. The interactions near the entry and exit DNA are particularly weak. The unzipping fork did not dwell at a 20 bp region of both entry and exit DNA, indicating that the histones are only loosely bound to the DNA. Note that these weaker interactions are detected by the loading rate-clamp described above.
4. For unzipping in both the forward and reverse directions, the first two regions of interactions encountered were always detected, but not the last region. This indicates that once the dyad region of interactions was disrupted, the nucleosome became unstable and histones dissociated from the 601 sequence.
5. The total dwell time in the nucleosome was longer in the forward direction compared with that in the reverse direction, indicating nucleosomes were more difficult to disrupt when unzipped in the forward direction, likely reflecting the non-palindromic nature of the 601 sequence.

These mechanical unzipping experiments resemble the action of RNA polymerase which opens up a transcription bubble and unzips the downstream DNA while advancing into a nucleosome. The histone-DNA interaction map has significant implications for how RNA polymerases or other motor proteins may gain access to DNA associated with a nucleosome (Hall et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2010).

6.3 Nucleosome remodeling

We have also applied the nucleosome unzipping method to investigate nucleosome remodeling dynamics (Shundrovsky et al., 2006). A major advantage of this method is its ability to accurately locate a nucleosome on a long DNA template such that undesired

effects of close proximity to DNA ends may be minimized. We have used the unzipping technique to probe the structure of individual nucleosomes after SWI/SNF remodeling. A mononucleosome was initially positioned by 601 in the middle of an ~ 800 bp unzipping segment (Figure 9a) and was remodeled by yeast SWI/SNF. We used a loading rate clamp to detect the nucleosome after remodeling. For convenience, we defined the nucleosome position simply as the mean location of the off-dyad region. The precision of this method for nucleosome position determination was 2.6 bp, calculated by fitting a Gaussian function to the position histogram of nucleosomes assembled onto the 601 sequence (Figure 9b, c). This precision is somewhat lower than that for the detection of a hairpin because determining a nucleosome position requires the consideration of multiple histone-DNA interaction peaks that vary in amplitude from trace-to-trace due to the nature of a thermally activated disruption process.

We observed that under our experimental conditions SWI/SNF remodeling does not alter the overall nucleosome structure: the histone octamer remains intact and the overall strength and position of histone-DNA interactions within the nucleosome are essentially unchanged. However, nucleosomes were moved bidirectionally along the DNA with a characteristic spreading of 28 bp per remodeling event (Figure 9d, e). Taken together, these results on SWI/SNF mediated nucleosome remodeling generated by unzipping provide direct measurements of the structure and location of remodeled nucleosomes.

7. Conclusions

An increasing interest in the nucleosome as a key regulator of chromatin structure and many cellular processes has inspired the development of a variety of novel techniques, particularly at the single-molecule level (for a recent review, see Killian et al., 2011). The unzipping method detailed here offers high accuracy and precision in locating a nucleosome as well as the ability to elucidate both structural and dynamic features of protein-DNA interactions, complementing more traditional techniques. We anticipate that the unzipping method will continue to play an important role in the study of nucleosome structure, regulation, and remodeling, and is readily extendable to studies of a wide variety of DNA-based activities.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Shanna M. Fellman, Dr. Robert A. Forties, Dr. Robert M. Fulbright, James T. Inman, Jessie L. Killian, and Maxim Y. Sheinin for critical comments on the manuscript. We wish to acknowledge support from National Institutes of Health grants (GM059849 to M.D.W.) and National Science Foundation grant (MCB-0820293 to M.D.W.).

References

- Andrews AJ, Luger K. Nucleosome structure(s) and stability: variations on a theme. *Annu Rev Biophys.* 2011; 40:99–117. [PubMed: 21332355]
- Ashkin A, Dziedzic JM, Bjorkholm JE, Chu S. Observation of a single-beam gradient force optical trap for dielectric particles. *Opt Lett.* 1986; 11:288. [PubMed: 19730608]
- Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res.* 2011; 21:381–95. [PubMed: 21321607]
- Bell O, Tiwari VK, Thoma NH, Schubeler D. Determinants and dynamics of genome accessibility. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2011; 12:554–64. [PubMed: 21747402]

- Bockelmann U, Essevaz-Roulet B, Heslot F. DNA strand separation studied by single molecule force measurements. *Physical Review E*. 1998; 58:2386–2394.
- Bowman GD. Mechanisms of ATP-dependent nucleosome sliding. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2010; 20:73–81. [PubMed: 20060707]
- Brower-Toland B, Wacker DA, Fulbright RM, Lis JT, Kraus WL, Wang MD. Specific contributions of histone tails and their acetylation to the mechanical stability of nucleosomes. *J Mol Biol*. 2005; 346:135–46. [PubMed: 15663933]
- Brower-Toland B, Wang MD. Use of optical trapping techniques to study single-nucleosome dynamics. *Methods Enzymol*. 2004; 376:62–72. [PubMed: 14975299]
- Brower-Toland BD, Smith CL, Yeh RC, Lis JT, Peterson CL, Wang MD. Mechanical disruption of individual nucleosomes reveals a reversible multistage release of DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002; 99:1960–5. [PubMed: 11854495]
- Campos EI, Reinberg D. Histones: annotating chromatin. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2009; 43:559–99. [PubMed: 19886812]
- Clapier CR, Cairns BR. The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2009; 78:273–304. [PubMed: 19355820]
- Das C, Tyler JK, Churchill ME. The histone shuffle: histone chaperones in an energetic dance. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2010; 35:476–89. [PubMed: 20444609]
- Davey CA, Sargent DF, Luger K, Maeder AW, Richmond TJ. Solvent mediated interactions in the structure of the nucleosome core particle at 1.9 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol*. 2002; 319:1097–113. [PubMed: 12079350]
- Dechassa ML, Wyns K, Li M, Hall MA, Wang MD, Luger K. Structure and Scm3-mediated assembly of budding yeast centromeric nucleosomes. *Nat Commun*. 2011; 2:313. [PubMed: 21587230]
- Dyer PN, Edayathumangalam RS, White CL, Bao Y, Chakravarthy S, Muthurajan UM, Luger K. Reconstitution of nucleosome core particles from recombinant histones and DNA. *Methods Enzymol*. 2004; 375:23–44. [PubMed: 14870657]
- Evans E. Probing the relation between force--lifetime--and chemistry in single molecular bonds. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct*. 2001; 30:105–28. [PubMed: 11340054]
- Forties RA, North JA, Javaid S, Tabbaa OP, Fishel R, Poirier MG, Bundschuh R. A quantitative model of nucleosome dynamics. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011; 39:8306–13. [PubMed: 21764779]
- Fyodorov DV, Kadonaga JT. Chromatin assembly in vitro with purified recombinant ACF and NAP-1. *Methods Enzymol*. 2003; 371:499–515. [PubMed: 14712724]
- Gansen A, Hauger F, Toth K, Langowski J. Single-pair fluorescence resonance energy transfer of nucleosomes in free diffusion: optimizing stability and resolution of subpopulations. *Anal Biochem*. 2007; 368:193–204. [PubMed: 17553453]
- Gemmen GJ, Sim R, Haushalter KA, Ke PC, Kadonaga JT, Smith DE. Forced unraveling of nucleosomes assembled on heterogeneous DNA using core histones, NAP-1, and ACF. *J Mol Biol*. 2005; 351:89–99. [PubMed: 16002089]
- Hall MA, Shundrovsky A, Bai L, Fulbright RM, Lis JT, Wang MD. High-resolution dynamic mapping of histone-DNA interactions in a nucleosome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2009; 16:124–9. [PubMed: 19136959]
- Jiang J, Bai L, Surtees JA, Gemici Z, Wang MD, Alani E. Detection of high-affinity and sliding clamp modes for MSH2-MSH6 by single-molecule unzipping force analysis. *Mol Cell*. 2005; 20:771–81. [PubMed: 16337600]
- Jin J, Bai L, Johnson DS, Fulbright RM, Kireeva ML, Kashlev M, Wang MD. Synergistic action of RNA polymerases in overcoming the nucleosomal barrier. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2010; 17:745–52. [PubMed: 20453861]
- Johnson DS, Bai L, Smith BY, Patel SS, Wang MD. Single-molecule studies reveal dynamics of DNA unwinding by the ring-shaped T7 helicase. *Cell*. 2007; 129:1299–309. [PubMed: 17604719]
- Joo C, Balci H, Ishitsuka Y, Buranachai C, Ha T. Advances in single-molecule fluorescence methods for molecular biology. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2008; 77:51–76. [PubMed: 18412538]
- Kaplan N, Moore IK, Fondufe-Mittendorf Y, Gossett AJ, Tillo D, Field Y, LeProust EM, Hughes TR, Lieb JD, Widom J, Segal E. The DNA-encoded nucleosome organization of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature*. 2009; 458:362–6. [PubMed: 19092803]

- Killian JL, Li M, Sheinin MY, Wang MD. Recent advances in single molecule studies of nucleosomes. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2011
- Koch SJ, Shundrovsky A, Jantzen BC, Wang MD. Probing protein-DNA interactions by unzipping a single DNA double helix. *Biophys J.* 2002; 83:1098–105. [PubMed: 12124289]
- Koch SJ, Wang MD. Dynamic force spectroscopy of protein-DNA interactions by unzipping DNA. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2003; 91:028103. [PubMed: 12906513]
- Korber P, Becker PB. Nucleosome dynamics and epigenetic stability. *Essays Biochem.* 2010; 48:63–74. [PubMed: 20822486]
- Lowary PT, Widom J. New DNA sequence rules for high affinity binding to histone octamer and sequence-directed nucleosome positioning. *J Mol Biol.* 1998; 276:19–42. [PubMed: 9514715]
- Luger K, Mader AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. *Nature.* 1997; 389:251–60. [PubMed: 9305837]
- Luger K, Rechsteiner TJ, Richmond TJ. Preparation of nucleosome core particle from recombinant histones. *Methods Enzymol.* 1999; 304:3–19. [PubMed: 10372352]
- Mihardja S, Spakowitz AJ, Zhang Y, Bustamante C. Effect of force on mononucleosomal dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:15871–6. [PubMed: 17043216]
- Moffitt JR, Chemla YR, Smith SB, Bustamante C. Recent advances in optical tweezers. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2008; 77:205–28. [PubMed: 18307407]
- Park YJ, Luger K. Histone chaperones in nucleosome eviction and histone exchange. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2008; 18:282–9. [PubMed: 18534842]
- Ransom M, Dennehey BK, Tyler JK. Chaperoning histones during DNA replication and repair. *Cell.* 2010; 140:183–95. [PubMed: 20141833]
- Ray-Gallet D, Almouzni G. Nucleosome dynamics and histone variants. *Essays Biochem.* 2010; 48:75–87. [PubMed: 20822487]
- Schafer DA, Gelles J, Sheetz MP, Landick R. Transcription by single molecules of RNA polymerase observed by light microscopy. *Nature.* 1991; 352:444–8. [PubMed: 1861724]
- Shundrovsky A, Smith CL, Lis JT, Peterson CL, Wang MD. Probing SWI/SNF remodeling of the nucleosome by unzipping single DNA molecules. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2006; 13:549–54. [PubMed: 16732285]
- Simon M, North JA, Shimko JC, Forties RA, Ferdinand MB, Manohar M, Zhang M, Fishel R, Ottesen JJ, Poirier MG. Histone fold modifications control nucleosome unwrapping and disassembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011; 108:12711–6. [PubMed: 21768347]
- Thastrom A, Lowary PT, Widom J. Measurement of histone-DNA interaction free energy in nucleosomes. *Methods.* 2004; 33:33–44. [PubMed: 15039085]
- Wang MD, Yin H, Landick R, Gelles J, Block SM. Stretching DNA with optical tweezers. *Biophys J.* 1997; 72:1335–46. [PubMed: 9138579]
- Zhang Y, Moqtaderi Z, Rattner BP, Euskirchen G, Snyder M, Kadonaga JT, Liu XS, Struhl K. Intrinsic histone-DNA interactions are not the major determinant of nucleosome positions in vivo. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2009; 16:847–52. [PubMed: 19620965]

Figure 1. Experimental unzipping configuration

(Adapted from Shundrovsky et al., 2006 and Hall et al., 2009, with permissions from the publishers.) **a.** A simplified cartoon of the unzipping configuration. A DNA double helix is mechanically unzipped in the presence of DNA-binding proteins, such as a nucleosome, by the application of opposing forces on the two strands. **b.** A typical experimental configuration for unzipping. An optical trap is used to apply a force necessary to unzip through the DNA as the coverslip is moved away from the trapped microsphere.

a Construction of nucleosome unzipping template

b Construction of hairpin unzipping template

Figure 2. Unzipping template construction

a. Construction of a nucleosome unzipping template. A DNA template for nucleosome unzipping experiments consists of a digoxigenin-labeled anchor segment and a biotin-labeled nucleosome unzipping segment. As an example, a nucleosome unzipping segment is shown containing a 601 nucleosome positioning sequence. **b.** Construction of a hairpin-capped unzipping template. This template consists of a digoxigenin-labeled anchor segment and a biotin-labeled unzipping segment with a hairpin capped at the distal end.

Figure 3. Layout of the optical trapping apparatus
See text for a detailed description of the setup.

Figure 4. Unzipping curve alignment

a. An example of force vs. number of base pairs unzipped plot for a nucleosome unzipping curve (red) after alignment with the corresponding theoretical curve for naked DNA of the same sequence (black). Unzipping was carried out at a loading rate of 8 pN/s. Regions 1 and 2 flanking the nucleosome were used for correlation. Note also that the initial rise of force is located at $j = 0$ bp, corresponding to stretching of the anchoring segment before strand separation. **b.** A two-dimensional intensity graph of the generalized correlation function $R(a,$

j_0) for the trace shown in **a**. The peak $R = 0.80$ is located at stretching factor $a = 0.93$ and shifting parameter $j = -14$ bp.

Figure 5. Characterization of the accuracy, precision, and resolution of the unzipping method (Adapted from Hall et al., 2009, with permission from the publisher.) **a.** Three hairpin-capped unzipping templates were unzipped using a loading rate clamp (8 pN/s): 258 bp (black, 21 traces), 437 bp (red, 27 traces) and 595 bp (green, 33 traces). **b.** For each template, a histogram was generated from the data points in the vertically rising section only. The measured hairpin location of each template was taken as the mean of the histogram. The accuracy was determined by the difference between the mean of the histogram and the

expected value (dashed vertical line). The precision was determined by the standard deviation of the histogram.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 6. Unzipping through a positioned nucleosome using a loading rate clamp at 8 pN/s (Adapted from Dechassa et al., 2009, with permission from the publisher.) **a.** A sketch of the forward nucleosome unzipping segment. **b.** Representative force unzipping signatures of naked DNA (black), DNA containing a nucleosome (red), and DNA containing a tetrasome (green). Both the nucleosome and the tetrasome were assembled onto an unzipping segment containing the 601 positioning element. The arrow indicates the unzipping direction. Two distinct regions of interactions, as well as a 5 bp periodicity within each region, were observed for the nucleosome. The tetrasome signature exhibits only a single region of interactions, which substantially overlaps the dyad region identified in the nucleosome. **c.** Multiple traces of unzipping through a nucleosome from both forward (upper panel, 31 traces) and reverse (lower panel, 28 traces) directions. Each color represents data obtained from a single nucleosomal DNA molecule. Distinct regions of interactions and a 5 bp periodicity within each region are highly reproducible.

Figure 7. Unzipping through a nucleosome on an arbitrary sequence using a loading rate clamp at 8 pN/s

(Adapted from Hall et al., 2009, with permission from the publisher.) **a.** A sketch of the unzipping segment. **b.** Force unzipping signature of a nucleosome at different locations on a DNA template lacking known strong positioning elements. Each color was obtained from a single nucleosome unzipping trace, with the unzipping force shown in the top panel and the corresponding dwell time histogram shown in the bottom panel. The unzipping signature of a naked DNA molecule of the same sequence is also shown (black), as a reference. Vertical

arrows indicate the observed dyad locations of these nucleosomes. **c.** Close-up of the dwell time histogram for a specific unzipping trace (red) to emphasize the 5 bp periodicity observed in each interaction region of the unzipping signature.

Figure 8. Unzipping through a positioned nucleosome using a force clamp at 28 pN (Adapted from Hall et al., 2009, with permission from the publisher.) **a.** Representative traces of forward (black) and reverse (red) unzipping through a nucleosome under a constant applied force (~ 28 pN). The unzipping fork paused at specific locations when passing through a nucleosome, which are evident from both the traces (left) and their corresponding dwell time histograms (right). **b.** A histone-DNA interaction map is constructed by using a total of 27 traces from the forward direction and 30 traces from the reverse direction. Each peak corresponds to an individual histone-DNA interaction and the heights are indicative of

their relative strengths. Three regions of strong interactions are indicated: one located at the dyad and two located off-dyad. The bottom panel is the crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle (Luger et al., 1997), where dots indicate individual histone binding motifs that are expected to interact with DNA. The two halves of the nucleosome are shown separately for clarity. On the top panel, these predicted interactions are shown as colored boxes.

Figure 9. Unzipping through nucleosomes before and after remodeling

(Adapted from Shundrovsky et al., 2006, with permission from the publisher.) **a.** A sketch of the nucleosome unzipping segment. **b.** Unzipping signatures for 30 unremodeled data curves. A single representative curve is highlighted in black. **c.** Histogram of unremodeled nucleosome positions on the DNA. A nucleosome position is defined as the mean position of interaction of the first off-dyad region. Data (black) and their Gaussian fit (red) are shown. The distribution is centered at 241 bp, on this particular template, with a standard deviation (SD) of 2.6 bp. **d.** Force unzipping signature for 30 data curves obtained after SWI/SNF

remodeling. A single representative curve is highlighted in black. **e.** Histogram of remodeled nucleosome positions on the DNA after remodeling reaction times < 1 min. Data (black) and their fit to two Gaussians (red and green) are shown. The red fit curve represents the nucleosome population that remained at the original 601 position (unremodeled; center = 240 bp, SD = 2.8 bp), while the green curve corresponds to those moved by the action of yeast SWI/SNF (remodeled; center = 247 bp, SD = 28 bp).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript