Impact of thoracic surgery on esophageal motor function—Evaluation by high resolution manometry

Anja Wäsche¹, Arne Kandulski², Peter Malfertheiner², Sandra Riedel¹, Patrick Zardo³, Thomas Hachenberg⁴, Jens Schreiber¹

¹Department of Pneumology, University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany; ³Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany; ⁴Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: A Wäsche, A Kandulski, J Schreiber; (II) Administrative support: A Wäsche, J Schreiber; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: A Wäsche, S Riedel, P Zardo, T Hachenberg, J Schreiber; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: A Wäsche, A Kandulski, J Schreiber; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: A Wäsche, A Kandulski, J Schreiber; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Anja Wäsche, MD. Department of Pneumology, University Hospital Magdeburg, Leipziger Strasse 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany. Email: anja.waesche@med.ovgu.de.

Background: Alteration of esophageal function is a potential risk factor for postoperative complications in thoracic surgery. This prospective study investigates esophageal motility and function during and after thoracic procedures via high resolution manometry (HRM) and impedance technology with spatiotemporal representation of pressure data.

Methods: Twelve consecutive patients eligible for elective thoracic surgery underwent preoperative and postoperative (48 hours and 7 days) esophageal HRM. Swallowing acts were carried out with 5 mL of water, 10 mL of water and 1 cm³ bread in physiological posture to evaluate distal contraction integral (DCI). Length and location of contractile integrity breaks were measured by investigators blinded to the form of surgical intervention. The impact of surgical procedures on esophageal motility was quantified according to current Chicago Classification (CC) criteria. Pre-, intra- and postoperative 24-hour multi-channel impedance pH-metry (MII-pH) was performed to further analyze gastroesophageal reflux patterns.

Results: All patients were investigated 48 hours prior to and 7 days after thoracic procedures, with a total of n=675 swallowing acts being included in our study. Increased motility patterns of the tubular esophagus occurred temporally 48 hours postoperatively. DCI 48 hours after surgery increased significantly (5 mL, P=0.049; solid, P=0.014) and returned to baseline values after seven days (5 mL, P=0.039; solid, P=0.039). Break length was significantly reduced 48 hours postoperatively, especially in the proximal esophageal segment (transition zone). Follow-up measurements after another week were comparable to preoperative baseline findings. The perioperative MII-pH measurement showed numerous artifacts caused by intubation and ventilation during surgery also with increasing short and frequent acidic reflux episodes.

Conclusions: Thoracic procedures cause a transient modulation of esophageal peristalsis with postoperative increased contractility of the tubular esophagus, presumably without affecting intraesophageal reflex arcs. Although limited by the number of patients, we can conclude on our data that postoperative esophageal hypomotility is unlikely to promote secondary pulmonary complications.

Keywords: Esophageal motility; high resolution manometry; thoracic surgery; thoracotomy; thoracoscopy; Chicago Classification

Submitted Jan 10, 2017. Accepted for publication Mar 29, 2017.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.05.43

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2017.05.43>

Introduction

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC), including atelectasis and pneumonia, occur in up to 59 % (1) of patients undergoing thoracic surgery. They are considered a major contributing factor for in-hospital lethality, which ranges from 2–5% and is related to the type of performed resection (lobectomy or pneumonectomy). While certain esophageal motility disorders (EMD) are known to promote chronic aspiration and secondary pneumonia (2), the effects of transient postoperative EMD remains unclear. Most studies just report general clinical outcomes of major resections such as pneumonectomy (3,4) or esophagectomy (5) without explicitly analyzing EMD. The only notable exception is dedicated research in lung transplantation, where acid reflux is known to be associated with decreased time to early allograft injury (6), which even prompted certain workgroups to routinely perform pre-transplant high resolution manometry (HRM).

While conventional esophageal manometry still represents the Criterion Standard in diagnosis of dysphagia and motility disorders (7), recent developments in HRM further improved our understanding of esophageal dysfunction and allowed for true quantitative and qualitative analyses (8–10). The current diagnostic criteria for esophageal pressure topography resulted in the Chicago Classification (CC) (11). The assessment of the breaks in the current CC of 2014 refers to the analysis of large breaks to verify the contraction pattern. The analysis of large breaks (>5 cm), on the other hand, continues to have clinical relevance. Patients complaining of dysphagia are often able to detect large disruptions in swallowing (12). According to the new features of the CC v3.0 (11), a fragmented peristaltic is used if DCI is normal and breaks >5 cm. Compared to conventional manometry, contractile integrity in HRM is associated with isobaric contours for 20 mmHg or 30 mmHg (12). Segmental peristaltic breaks in the 20-mmHg isobaric are associated with weak peristalsis and impaired bolus clearance (12). Beside measurement of breaks to assess peristaltic integrity, the distal contractile integral (DCI) further characterizes contractile force, calculated as integrated pressure throughout a defined swallow (13). The evaluation begins at the lower esophageal sphincter. An important module for diagnosing a function disorder of the esophagus is the EGJ relaxation. Because of the interplay of different anatomical components, the determination of the pressure at the LES is not directly determinable. Therefore, the parameter integrated resting

pressure (IRP4s) was developed to distinguish a normal from a disturbed EGJ relaxation (14).

The aim of this prospective study was to explore modifications of esophageal motility, contractility and function after thoracic surgery.

Methods

The study was approved by institutional ethics committee of the Otto-von-Guericke-University in Magdeburg (No. 110/11) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Twelve patients who underwent thoracic surgery at University Department of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgery at the faculty of Medicine, University Hospital Magdeburg were selected to take part in this study. The health status of the patients was comparable due to strict exclusion criteria. Furthermore, patients with structural esophageal disease, congenital malformations of the esophagus (e.g., esophageal atresia), acquired functional disorders of the esophagus (e.g., achalasia), gastrointestinal or esophageal anamnesis were excluded from the study. In addition, patients with history of EMD such as connective tissue diseases (e.g., dermatomyositis), Parkinson's disease or multiple sclerosis were not permitted. To detect primary esophageal motility disorder, the HRM was performed preoperatively. In addition to routine work-up, all patients underwent preoperative and postoperative (48 hours and 7 days) HRM and 24 hours combined multichannel impedance and pH analysis. 24-h MII-pH was performed during the day of the thoracic procedure to analyze potential perioperative gastroesophageal reflux episodes.

High resolution manometry

High resolution manometry was performed through a 36-channel solid-state catheter with pressure sensors at 10 mm intervals (Solar GI HRM, Medical Measurement Systems, MMS Enschede, Netherlands). After local anesthesia, the catheter was introduced transnasally by simultaneously swallowing water and subsequently fixed in the correct position. After five minutes to adapt to the catheter, upper esophageal sphincter (UES) and lower esophageal sphincter (LES) resting pressure were recorded. All patients were investigated in upright position with 20 swallows of water (10×5 mL, 10×10 mL) and five swallows with pieces of white bread (1 cm³). Each swallow was analyzed for a period of 20–30 seconds. At the end of examination multiple rapid swallows (MRS) were performed

Figure 1 Esophageal pressure topography plot with defined landmarks according to esophageal physiology and Chicago Classification system.

to detect dysfunctions of postdeglutitive inhibitions within the lower high pressure zone. All data was stored and analyzed using dedicated software (MMS Database software, MMS, Enschede, Netherlands). Mean resting UES and LES pressures were determined at the beginning of the study after five minutes without any swallows. Besides DCI, IRP4s, contractile front velocity (CFV) and distal latency were determined.

The transition zone (*Figure 1*) for each swallow was manually measured using the analysis software mouse tool. It was defined as distance (in cm) along the y axis from the most distal portion of the 20-mmHg isobaric contour of the striated muscle contraction to the most proximal portion of the 20-mmHg isobaric contour of the smooth muscle contraction in all swallows. The length of peristaltic breaks was quantified in the 20-mmHg isobaric contour using the contour tool provided by the dedicated software. Normal range for isobaric contour breaks was 0–20% of all swallows for >5 cm breaks (“large breaks”) and 0–30% of all swallows for 2–5 cm breaks (“small breaks”) (12). The contractility of each swallow was categorized as normal, hypotensive or hypertensive.

For each patient and investigation, a diagnosis according to CC criteria was formulated.

24-hours multichannel intraluminal impedance and pH monitoring

Acid exposure to the distal esophagus and bolus transport

was measured with a disposable catheter (Impedance and pH-metry disposable catheter AHCZ61A/5, MMS, Enschede, The Netherlands) according to standard methodology. The catheter was positioned with the pH electrode five cm above the manometrically localized LES. In this position impedance signals were detected at 3, 5, 7, 9, 15 and 17 cm above LES. Patients were instructed to record occurrence of symptoms, as well as time and duration of meals, and time and duration of supine and upright position. Pathological gastroesophageal reflux was diagnosed in case of pathological Johnson-DeMeester Score (>14.7), pathological acid exposure time (>4.2%) or in case of >72 reflux episodes detected with MII. All measurements were made with a portable Omega 4P IR recorder (MMS, Enschede, The Netherlands) and evaluated by MMS software.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS® version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Non-parametric tests (Friedman test and post-hoc Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for paired data) were used to compare data between different time points (preoperative baseline, perioperative and postoperative follow up). Data was expressed as median (range) using 5th and 95th centile of value unless specified otherwise. The graphic images were generated by Origin® version 8.0 (OriginLab Corp. Northhampton, MA, USA).

Table 1 Patient demographic data

Characteristic	Thoracotomy	Thoracoscopy
Gender, male No.	5/9	4/9
Age, y	60.2±2.86	62.75±17.04
BMI, kg/m ²	27.11±3.76	28±4.3
Indications		
Lung cancer	4/9 (44.4%)	2/9 (22.2%)
Pleural effusion	–	2/9 (22.2%)
Chronic inflammation	1/9 (11.1%)	–
Intervention		
Wedge resection	3/5 (60%)	–
Lobectomy	2/5 (40%)	–
Pleurolysis/pleurodesis	–	4/4 (100%)

Results were considered statistically significant for $P < 0.05$, two-sided.

Results

Patient characteristics

From our initial group of 12 patients (all male, age range 48–84 years) three were lost to follow-up due to relevant postoperative pain and unwillingness to further participate in the study. All relevant data are presented in *Table 1*.

Peri- and postoperative changes in esophageal motility

A total of 675 single swallows were analyzed by HRM. Perioperatively, DCI was significantly increased after 48 hours for 5 mL and solid swallows (5 mL, $P = 0.049$; solid, $P = 0.014$). After another week of postoperative follow-up, a significant drop of the DCI values was observed with normalization to preoperative baseline values (5 mL, $P = 0.039$; solid, $P = 0.039$, *Figure 2*). When comparing the measurements of 10 mL swallows, no significant differences can be determined. Perioperatively, corresponding changes of breaks in the contractile integrity were observed as well. Length of contractile breaks (5 mL, 10 mL water, solid) decreased perioperatively 48 hours after surgery. Similar to the changes in DCI, these changes returned to preoperative values within 7 days (*Figure 3*). The main part of contractile integrity interruptions was found in the proximal and mid-esophagus, within the transition zone and S1. Overall, the

breaks in the proximal esophagus are larger than breaks in S2 and S3. Detailed information about values of the Chicago-Classification with perioperative changes were shown in *Table 2*.

Perioperative reflux pattern in 24-h MII-ph

Twenty-four hours MII-pH revealed abnormal gastro-esophageal reflux in only three patients with pathological DeMeester scores. The remaining six patients (66.7%) had normal results on pH-metry and MII analysis. There were no significant differences between patients who underwent thoracotomy or thoracoscopy. All relevant findings are presented in *Table 3*.

The results of each individual patient showed comparable changes in similar way of the manometric parameters and perioperative reflux pattern compared to other patients. The results are consistent in all patients.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess perioperative changes in esophageal motility. Therefore, we decided to analyze esophageal HRM preoperatively, postoperatively after 48 hours and after seven days. Only few publications deal with postoperative changes of esophageal motility after thoracic procedures, and in most of them HRM is performed six months or even longer after surgical interventions (15,16). For example Dougenis *et al.* analyzed oesophageal motility before and after pneumonectomy. They found an alteration of swallowing coordination and a significant increase of UES and LES relaxing pressure. Though only patients subjected to pneumonectomy were included (15). In addition, even fewer studies were aimed at directly comparing esophageal motility with a preoperative baseline investigation, as we did in our case-controlled design (15,16). This is particularly interesting as recent data suggests that acid reflux is associated with poorer outcomes after lung transplantation and that non-acid reflux may also induce a pulmonary inflammatory cascade, leading to acute and chronic rejection (6). A retrospective cohort analysis of 30 patients who underwent pre-transplant MII-pH confirmed that prolonged bolus clearance, increased total distal reflux episodes, and increased total proximal reflux episodes were all associated with decreased time to early allograft injury (6).

Current studies describe a DCI increase while swallowing bread (17,18), which was confirmed for liquid swallows by

Figure 2 Perioperative changes of DCI when compared to preoperative baseline investigation and postoperative follow-up. The results are illustrated as box plot representing the 25-, 50- and 75-percentile and mean (square) values. The vertical lines illustrate the 95% confidence interval. A shows the differences in 5 mL swallows [median (in mmHg-s-cm) and range preoperative: 819.4 (152.7; 1536.6); perioperative: 2132.5 (137.7; 14056.0), postoperative follow up: 736.8 (115.5; 1621.6)]. B shows the comparison of 10 mL swallows [median (in mmHg-s-cm) and range preoperative: 1047.5 (385.9; 1904); perioperative: 1340.9 (401.3; 3393.6), postoperative follow up: 1179.6 (131.1; 2270.0)]. Differences between the solid swallows are shown in C [median (in mmHg-s-cm) and range preoperative: 772.6 (205.8; 3444.8); perioperative: 1369.8 (478.6; 6311.6), postoperative follow up: 1000.8 (145.0; 333.6)]. DCI, distal contractile integral.

Figure 3 Perioperative changes in breaks of contractile integrity. The results are shown as box plot. The box represents the 25-, 50- and 75-percentile. The vertical lines illustrate the 95% confidence interval. Statistical analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon rank test. Significant differences are shown in the figure by means of the P value. (A) shows the differences in 5 mL swallows [median (in cm) and range preoperative: 4.63 (0.03; 7.24); perioperative: 1.93 (0.09; 7.8); postoperative follow up: 3.97 (1.37; 9.23)]. (B) shows the comparison of the 10-mL sip act [median (in cm) and range preoperative: 3.81 (0.01; 4.51); perioperative: 2.25 (0.46; 3.54); postoperative: 2.64 (1.4; 8.84)]. The differences between the solid-swallowing acts can be found in the graph C [median (in cm) and range preoperative: 2.92 (0; 8.22); perioperative: 1.46 (0.02; 2.96); postoperative: 2.40 (0; 8)].

our data. Our results indicate, that there is no impaired esophageal motility following thoracic surgery. In contrast, we could demonstrate even hypercontractile changes in the direct perioperative period. Based on these observations, a surgically induced stimulatory effect on the autonomous nervous system can be assumed, leading to an imbalance between inhibitory and excitatory neurons. In concordance with these findings, severe physical stress is believed to play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of temporary esophageal hypercontractility (16).

In contrast to the hypercontractile changes in our study,

esophageal dysfunction with hypomotility can be caused by severe anatomical and surgical changes such as vagal denervation, local ischemia, scar formation at the esophagus and mediastinum and by radical hilar and mediastinal lymphadenectomy (3). Basseri *et al.* observed a 6-fold increased risk for esophageal peristaltic dysfunction in lung transplant patients compared with healthy subjects (19). This is in line with data provided by Fiorelli and co-workers, who confirmed that pneumonectomy may cause significant EMD with reduction in LES resting pressure, especially when compared to lesser resections (20). Interestingly this did not

Table 2 Results of parameters of HRM with example for 5 mL swallows

5 mL	Preoperative	Perioperative	Postoperative	P value Wilcoxon		
				Pre-peri	Peri-post	Pre-post
DL (s)	6.80 (3.85; 8.13)	6.30 (0.39; 7.52)	6.63 (3.34; 8.60)	0.770	1.000	0.496
CFV (cm s ⁻¹)	4.06 (2.28; 7.51)	4.33 (2.19; 16.39)	3.72 (2.72; 17.16)	0.375	0.820	0.570
DCI (mmHg-s-cm)	819.40 (152.70; 1,536.60)	1,490.90 (137.70; 2,348.10)	736.80 (115.50; 1,621.60)	0.049*	0.039*	0.652
Breaks (cm)	4.63 (0.03; 7.24)	1.93 (0.09; 7.80)	3.97 (1.37; 9.23)	0.193	0.027*	0.652
UES resting pressure (mmHg)	65 (5; 176)	68 (12; 229)	54 (24; 171)	0.711	0.910	0.820
LES resting pressure (mmHg)	34 (7; 59)	26 (2; 39)	22 (2; 40)	0.334	0.551	0.250
IRP4s (mmHg)	14.3 (6.2; 21.9)	12.8 (4.8; 16.8)	12.4 (2.2; 17.9)	0.203	0.734	0.301

The results are given as median including the range. * indicates significances.

Table 3 Analyzed parameter of 24 hours MII-pH

Parameter	Normal values	n (%)	Mean ± SD
Percentage pH < 4 in esophagus	<4.8%	3/9 (33.3)	3.7±3.61
Number of long reflux episodes > 5 minutes	<5	2/9 (22.2)	2.2±3.27
Duration of longest reflux episode	<20 minutes	0/9 (0.0)	7.7±6.24
Number of acid reflux episodes (independent of posture)	<51	2/9 (22.2)	25.2±25.24
DeMeester score	<14.7	3/9 (33.3)	11.2±9.23

lead to clinically relevant morbidity.

Data about breaks of the contractile integrity and their clinical relevance are sparse. Ribolsi *et al.* showed that large breaks are associated with a significant DCI decrease and simultaneously increase the bolus clearance time and duration of acid exposure. Patients with a pathological number of small interruptions also indicated decreases in DCI-values, but in this case the level of significance is not reached (21). The number and length of breaks is thus crucial for esophageal motility and bolus transport through the esophagus body.

In our study, all investigations were performed in a “physiological” upright body position. Various studies found significant changes in sitting position compared to lying posture, causing a reduction of DCI up to 69% (17,18,22). If our investigation would have been performed in supine position, potentially higher values could have been reached.

Similar to our results with “hypercontractile” perioperative changes of esophageal motility, Mohammed Khan investigated the impact of lung transplantation on esophageal motility with HRM and described the case of

a temporary Jackhammer four weeks after major surgery. A measurement after 12 weeks showed a normalized esophageal pressure profile (16) which reflects the results of our investigation with a comparable “hypercontractile” perioperative esophageal motility.

Immediate perioperative complications were checked. Operative and anesthesia-related complications (re-thoracotomies, prolonged respiratory time) or emergency operations were not observed. However, this is not entirely comparable with regard to the limited number of cases. Cardiac arrhythmias or pneumonia did not be occurred. Besides, High resolution manometry was not performed during the surgery. A statement about HRM parameter changes cannot be made directly during the surgery and any missing complications.

Among other things, the surgical procedure in conjunction with the applied anesthesia (drugs, mechanical ventilation, patient support) can cause pathological gastroesophageal reflux. MII-pH allows an interpretation of the nature of the refluat (liquid, gaseous or mixed reflux), reflux symptom correlation, classification into

subcategories of reflux and detection of non-acidic and acid bolus exposure time (23-25). The perioperative MII-pH measurement in our study showed numerous artifacts caused by intubation and ventilation during surgery also with increasing short and frequent acidic reflux episodes.

The patients received oral and sometimes subcutaneous morphine derivatives during surgery or postoperative. Opioids are known to affect esophageal motility. Among other things, opioids can cause a decreased LES relaxation, increased contraction amplitude and speed of contraction with simultaneous esophageal peristalsis (26). The literature describes changes in latency as a parameter for the rate of contraction by drugs that affect the smooth muscle. González et al confirmed these findings in prospective study with patients who received long-term morphine therapy investigated esophageal motility with the high resolution manometry. They described a LES-hypertonia and pathological relaxation in all cases similar to achalasia or functional EGJ obstruction (27). Ratuapli *et al.* were also able to demonstrate retrospective in a comparative study an opioid-induced EGJ outflow obstruction and spastic peristalsis in patients with continued opioid therapy compared to patients with at least 24 hours without pain therapy (28). A double-blind, cross-over study in healthy volunteers from Sweden showed that for example remifentanyl induces dysfunction of esophageal motility with EGJ relaxation and distance latency decreasing (29). In the present work, the influence was not explicitly investigated.

So far, there is no study available that assesses the impact of surgical intervention on changes in length and exact localization of interruptions of the contractile integrity. Our data contributes to the understanding of esophageal motility, pathophysiology, contractility and function after thoracic surgery. We found a transient improved motility of the tubular esophagus postoperatively. The intrinsic intraesophageal reflex arcs appear to be unaffected by surgical intervention. These perioperative hypercontractile changes can be discussed as a protective mechanism in response to severe “stress”.

In light of our results, postoperative esophageal hypomotility is unlikely to promote secondary pulmonary complications. Further research is warranted.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients for their participation in this study.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. García-Miguel FJ, Serrano-Aguilar PG, Lopez-Bastida J. Preoperative assessment. *Lancet* 2003;362:1749-57.
2. O'Neill OM, Johnston BT, Coleman HG. Achalasia: a review of clinical diagnosis, epidemiology, treatment and outcomes. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013;19:5806-12.
3. Suen HC, Hendrix H, Patterson G. Special article: Physiologic consequences of pneumonectomy. *Chest Surgery Clinics of North America* 2002;12:587-95.
4. Vogt-Moykopf I, Zeidler D, Conradi T, et al. Functional disorders of the esophagus due to pneumectomy (Manometric studies). *Bruns Beitr Klin Chir* 1970;218:1-11.
5. D'journo XB, Michelet P, Avaro JP, et al. Complications respiratoires de l'oesophagectomie pour cancer. *Rev Mal Respir* 2008;25:683-94.
6. Lo WK, Burakoff R, Goldberg HJ, et al. Pre-transplant impedance measures of reflux are associated with early allograft injury after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015;34:26-35.
7. Pandolfino JE, Kahrilas PJ. New technologies in the gastrointestinal clinic and research: Impedance and high-resolution manometry. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:131-8.
8. Pandolfino JE, Fox MR, Bredenoord AJ, et al. High-resolution manometry in clinical practice: utilizing pressure topography to classify oesophageal motility abnormalities. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2009;21:796-806.
9. Pandolfino JE, Ghosh SK, Rice J, Clarke JO, Kwiatak MA, Kahrilas PJ. Classifying esophageal motility by pressure topography characteristics: a study of 400 patients and 75 controls. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:27-37.
10. Kahrilas PJ. Esophageal Motor Disorders in Terms of High-Resolution Esophageal Pressure Topography: What Has Changed?. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:981-7.
11. Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox MR, et al. The Chicago Classification of esophageal motility disorders, v3.0. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;27:160-74.
12. Roman S, Lin Z, Kwiatak MA, et al. Weak Peristalsis in Esophageal Pressure Topography: Classification and Association With Dysphagia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106:349-56.
13. Carlson DA, Pandolfino JE. The Chicago criteria for

- esophageal motility disorders. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2012;28:395-402.
14. Kahrilas PJ. Esophageal Motor Disorders in Terms of High-Resolution Esophageal Pressure Topography: What Has Changed? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:981-7.
 15. Dougenis D, Morrit G, Vagianos C, et al. Motility Disorders of the Esophagus before and after Pneumonectomy for Lung Carcinoma. *Eur Surg Res* 1996;28:461-5.
 16. Khan MQ, Nizami IY, Khan BJ, et al. Lung Transplantation Triggered "Jackhammer Esophagus": A Case Report and Review of Literature. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2013;19:390-4.
 17. Zhang X, Xiang X, Tu L, et al. Esophageal Motility in the Supine and Upright Positions for Liquid and Solid Swallows Through High-resolution Manometry. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2013;19:467-72.
 18. Sweis R, Anggiansah A, Wong T, et al. Normative values and inter-observer agreement for liquid and solid bolus swallows in upright and supine positions as assessed by esophageal high-resolution manometry. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;23:509-e198.
 19. Basseri B, Conklin JL, Pimentel M, et al. Esophageal Motor Dysfunction and Gastroesophageal Reflux Are Prevalent in Lung Transplant Candidates. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:1630-6.
 20. Fiorelli A, Vicidomini G, Milione R, et al. The effects of lung resection on physiological motor activity of the oesophagus. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;44:250-6;discussion 257.
 21. Ribolsi M, Balestrieri P, Emerenziani S, et al. Weak Peristalsis With Large Breaks Is Associated With Higher Acid Exposure and Delayed Reflux Clearance in the Supine Position in GERD Patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2014;109:46-51.
 22. Xiao Y, Read A, Nicodème F, et al. The effect of a sitting vs supine posture on normative esophageal pressure topography metrics and Chicago Classification diagnosis of esophageal motility disorders. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012;24:e509.
 23. Sifrim D. Gastro-oesophageal reflux monitoring: review and consensus report on detection and definitions of acid, non-acid, and gas reflux. *Gut* 2004;53:1024-31.
 24. Cho YK. How to Interpret Esophageal Impedance pH Monitoring. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;16:327-30.
 25. Kahrilas PJ, Sifrim D. High-resolution manometry and impedance-pH/manometry: valuable tools in clinical and investigational esophagology. *Gastroenterology* 2008;135:756-69.
 26. Kraichely RE, Arora AS, Murray JA. Opiate-induced oesophageal dysmotility. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31:601-6.
 27. González ES, Bellver VO, Jaime FC, et al. Opioid-induced Lower Esophageal Sphincter Dysfunction. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;21:618-20.
 28. Ratnapli SK, Crowell MD, DiBaise JK, et al. Opioid-Induced Esophageal Dysfunction (OIED) in Patients on Chronic Opioids. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015;110:979-84.
 29. Savilampi J, Magnuson A, Ahlstrand R. Effects of remifentanyl on esophageal motility: a double-blind, randomized, cross-over study in healthy volunteers. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2015;59:1126-36.

Cite this article as: Wäsche A, Kandulski A, Malfertheiner P, Riedel S, Zardo P, Hachenberg T, Schreiber J. Impact of thoracic surgery on esophageal motor function—Evaluation by high resolution manometry. *J Thorac Dis* 2017;9(6):1557-1564. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.05.43