Published in final edited form as:

Curr Oncol Rep. 2016 August ; 18(8): 51. doi:10.1007/s11912-016-0535-8.

Supportive Care in Older Adults with Cancer: Across the Continuum

Thuy Koll¹, Mackenzi Pergolotti², Holly M. Holmes³, Huibrie C. Pieters⁴, G. J. van Londen⁵, Zachary A. Marcum⁶, Amy R. MacKenzie⁷, and Christopher B. Steer⁸

¹Internal Medicine Division of Geriatric Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 986155 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha 68198-6155, NE, USA

²Cancer Outcomes Research Group, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

³Division of Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, UTHealth, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, McGovern Medical School, 6431 Fannin, MSB 5.116, Houston 77030, TX, USA

⁴School of Nursing, UCLA, Los Angeles, USA

⁵University of Pittsburgh, S. 140 Cooper Pavilion, 5115 Centre Ave., Pittsburgh 15232, PA, USA

⁶University of Washington, 1959 NE Pacific Ave, H375G, Box 357630, Seattle 98195, WA, USA

⁷Department of Medical Oncology, Division of Regional Cancer Care, Thomas Jefferson University, 925 Chestnut St., 4th floor, Philadelphia 9107, PA, USA

⁸Border Medical Oncology, Suite 1, 69 Nordsvan Drive, Wodonga 3690, VIC, Australia

Abstract

Supportive care is an essential component of anti-cancer treatment regardless of age or treatment intent. As the number of older adults with cancer increases, and supportive care strategies enable more patients to undergo treatment, greater numbers of older patients will become cancer survivors. These patients may have lingering adverse effects from treatment and will need continued supportive care interventions. Older adults with cancer benefit from geriatric assessment (GA)-guided supportive care interventions. This can occur at any stage across the cancer treatment continuum. As a GA commonly uncovers issues potentially unrelated to anti-cancer treatment, it could be argued that the assessment is essentially a supportive care strategy. Key aspects of a GA include identification of comorbidities, assessing for polypharmacy, screening for cognitive impairment and delirium, assessing functional status, and screening for psychosocial issues. Treatment-related issues of particular importance in older adults include recognition of increased bone marrow toxicity, management of nausea and vomiting, identification of anemia, and

Compliance with Ethical Standard

Conflict of Interest Thuy Koll, Mackenzi Pergolotti, Holly M. Holmes, Huibrie C. Pieters, GJ van Londen, Zachary A. Marcum, Amy R. MacKenzie, and Christopher B. Steer declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

prevention of neurotoxicity. The role of physical therapy and cancer rehabilitation as a supportive care strategy in older adults is important regardless of treatment stage or intent.

Keywords

Supportive care; Geriatric oncology; Older adults; Cancer; Geriatric assessment-guided intervention; Survivorship

Introduction—What Is Supportive Care?

The National Cancer Institute define supportive care as follows:

Care given to improve the quality of life of patients who have a serious or life-threatening disease. The goal of supportive care is to prevent or treat as early as possible the symptoms of a disease, side effects caused by treatment of a disease, and psychological, social, and spiritual problems related to a disease or its treatment. Also called comfort care, palliative care, and symptom management [1].

The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) uses a slightly different definition that potentially goes beyond just the cancer and its treatment.

Supportive care in cancer is the prevention and management of the adverse effects of cancer and its treatment. This includes management of physical and psychological symptoms and side effects across the continuum of the cancer experience from diagnosis through treatment to post-treatment care. Supportive care aims to improve the quality of rehabilitation, secondary cancer prevention, survivorship, and end-of-life care [2].

Supportive Care and Survivorship in the Older Adult with Cancer

Cancer and cancer treatment may result in long-term and late effects that can have a major impact on patient quality of life [3, 4]. Cancer survivorship and supportive care initiatives point us to the importance of the physical, functional, and psychosocial needs for patients and their caregivers from the time of the cancer diagnosis for the remainder of their life [5]. This definition of survivorship has been acknowledged by leading authorities [6] and stresses the importance of supportive care throughout the continuum of the patients' illness.

Survivorship care is particularly important for older cancer survivors who may have baseline vulnerability or frailty. This decrement in functional status potentially heightens the possibility of experiencing cancer and treatment-related toxicity that can further increase the risk for lasting adverse effects. It is especially important for the older person that clinicians take into account the patient's physiological reserve starting at the time of diagnosis and continuing through treatment decision-making, planning, and surveillance. In addition, older cancer patients are to be closely monitored for the development of signs and symptoms of toxicity, allowing for early intervention such as modification of cancer therapy and/or institution of supportive care. The opportunities to intervene with supportive care strategies

occur at all time points along the cancer journey. This review provides a comprehensive outline and practical guidance for age-appropriate survivorship and supportive care.

Geriatric Assessment As a Supportive Care Strategy

Multiple chronic health conditions, functional disabilities, and geriatric syndromes impact prognosis and treatment outcomes in older patients with cancer [7]. Older patients are more vulnerable to treatment side effects [8]. Treatment toxicity can worsen underlying chronic health conditions and may potentiate functional dependence and geriatric syndromes such as depression, dementia, falls, and malnutrition [8]. Supportive care management of older patients with cancer requires an approach that systematically assesses health status, functional abilities, and evaluation of geriatric issues unique to the individual.

Geriatric assessment (GA) is a multidimensional assessment of an older patient's health, functional status, and geriatric syndromes. A GA-guided supportive care process can be used as a strategy to identify evidence-based interventions for specific issues that may interfere with treatment and impact quality of survival [9•]. GA is comprised of validated tools to assess areas of health referred to as domains [7]. Potential domains include the following: (1) medical: evaluation of co-morbidity, polypharmacy, and nutritional status; (2) mental health: evaluation of cognition, depression, and delirium; (3) functional status: assessment of activities of daily living (ADL) [10]; instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) [11]; physical performance (mobility); and falls and (4) social: evaluation of environment, resources, and social support [12].

Implications of the Findings of a Geriatric Assessment for Supportive Care

The findings from a comprehensive geriatric assessment can be used to guide supportive care interventions and an individualized supportive care management plan [9•]. Key domains in this assessment include the following:

Comorbidity

Comorbidity increases with age [13]. Care management decisions must include a careful consideration of the likely impact of chronic conditions on cancer and cancer treatment as well as the possible effect of treatment on underlying comorbidity. For example, increased incidence of treatment-related cardiomyopathy is associated with older age, baseline cardiomyopathy, and history of hypertension in patients treated with anthracyclines and trastuzumab [14]. Patients with existing neuropathy may be at increased risk from falls when given neurotoxic chemotherapy [15]. Baseline renal function must be assessed in older adults prior to commencing potentially nephrotoxic or renally excreted drug therapy [16]. In addition to a simple assessment of the number, type, and severity of comorbidities, the Charlson Comorbidity Index [17] and the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale for Geriatrics [18] are validated tools to characterize comorbidity burden.

Polypharmacy

In addition to having a high comorbidity burden, older adults with cancer are likely to see multiple specialists and receive multiple additional medications for symptoms related to

cancer and its treatment [19, 20]. A high-quality GA should therefore include a full medication reconciliation and individualized evaluation of appropriateness of a patient's entire medication regimen; both prescription and non-prescription including supplements and complementary and alternative medicines (CAM). Complementary and alternative medicine use is a potential source of polypharmacy and drug-drug interactions [21]. Moreover, several cross-sectional studies in geriatric oncology have demonstrated that older patients with cancer are routinely exposed to polypharmacy, or excess medication use, and unnecessary and inappropriate medications, defined as medications with a high risk to benefit ratio [19, 22, 23, 24, 25]. Several tools can be used to identify medications that are considered inappropriate in older adults; the most widely used being the Screening Tool for Older Peoples' Prescriptions (STOPP) and the American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria [26, 27]. In addition, for patients who have advanced disease or a limited life expectancy, tools exist that incorporate frailty, functional status, life expectancy, and goals of care into treatment decisions around discontinuing medications [28–30]. Addressing polypharmacy is an important part of a multidisciplinary supportive care strategy in older adults with cancer.

Nutrition

Malnutrition is associated with poor outcomes in older adults [31] and can have multiple unintended consequences. Chemotherapy-induced nausea/vomiting, anemia, and fatigue can potentiate poor nutrition, and likewise, poor nutrition can worsen fatigue. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) [32] is a six-item questionnaire that can be used to identify patients at risk for malnutrition. Interventions for patients at risk of malnourishment include the following: (1) referral to dietician for nutritional assessment and advice; (2) assessment for depression, access to food, and social support; and (3) implementation of community services such as home-delivered meals and support for meal preparation and shopping [33].

Cognition/Delirium

Patients with preexisting cognitive impairment are at particular risk of complications such as delirium during cancer treatment. It is important to identify cognitive impairment as part of a GA-guided supportive care management plan [9]. The Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE) [34] and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) [35] are available screening tools for cognitive impairment. Patients with cognitive impairment require further evaluation for reversible causes, capacity evaluation, assessment of ADL and IADL, and support for monitoring of toxicity (i.e., home health care). Older patients with cancer are at risk for delirium due to polypharmacy, anemia, dehydration, and electrolyte disturbances. Assessment for delirium is important with acute changes in mental status. Delirium can be diagnosed with high sensitivity and specificity with the Confusion Assessment Method [36].

Depression

Depression is often undertreated and underrecognized in older adults [8]. Anhedonia can impede the ability to adhere to treatment. Late life depression can be effectively treated with antidepressants or psychotherapy [37]. Treatment with pharmacotherapy can be complex and referral to psychiatry is warranted as few older adults receive adequate treatment [38]. Structured psychosocial interventions that include behavioral activation and problem-solving have been shown to be effective management in older adults with cancer [39]. Validated

questionnaires for depression include the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [40], the Center for Epidemiologic Studies on Depression (CESD-20) [41], the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [42], and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [43]. Clinicians caring for older adults with cancer can initiate pharmacotherapy, assess for risk factors for suicide, evaluate for underlying conditions that can contribute to depression such as anemia and thyroid disorders, review medications, and assess for psychosocial factors (ensure adequate social support, caregiver stress, financial burden) that can contribute to depressive symptoms [8].

Functional Status, Mobility, and Falls

Functional impairment is common in older patients with cancer [44]. Functional status includes the ability to complete tasks of basic self-maintenance (ADL) and skills necessary to live independently in the community (IADL). These tasks are evaluated by the Katz ADL Index [10] and Lawton IADL scale [11]. Depression and impairment in IADL predict functional decline following the first cycle of chemotherapy [45]. Longer hospitalization, fatigue, and IADL dependence are found in older patients with functional impairment prior to cancer surgery [46]. Referral to physical and occupational therapy and assessment of social support and cognition are important next steps in the evaluation of functional impairment.

Mobility and fall risks are assessed by physical performance tests. Older patients with cancer are particularly at risk for falls secondary to treatment side effects and advanced stage [47]. Patients with history of falls within the last 6 months should be assessed for gait impairment, balance, and vitamin D level. The Timed Up and Go (TUG) [48] and Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) [49] are physical performance assessments for gait, strength, and balance. Early interventions for patients with a history of falls include the following: (1) medication review, (2) addressing vision impairment, (3) physical therapy and exercise programs with goals to strengthen muscles and improve balance, (4) Vitamin D repletion, and (5) home safety evaluation and implementation of emergency response system for patients who live alone [50].

Social

Assessment of social supports including presence of a caregiver, financial status, and availability of transport is a key component of a GA-based supportive care strategy [9]. A basic question to ask the patient is “who is available to help in case of an emergency?” Informal care-givers can provide support to older cancer patients to compensate for the impact of functional and cognitive decline. They also provide emotional and social support which is essential to the well-being and quality of life of cancer patients [51]. A social worker in a supportive care team can help review resources and available supports. The older patient with cancer may also be a caregiver for others; thus, particular attention is needed to the whole social situation.

Prediction of Toxicity

GA domains can be predictive of chemotherapy toxicity. The Cancer and Aging Research Group (CARG) developed a risk model that includes geriatric factors predictive of grades 3–

5 chemotherapy toxicity. Impairment in IADL, mobility, hearing, falls, and decrease in social activities predicted grades 3–5 chemotherapy toxicity [52]. The Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High-Age Patients (CRASH) model predicts severe hematologic and non-hematologic toxicity. In this model, impairment in IADL predicted hematologic toxicity; malnutrition and abnormal cognition predicted non-hematologic toxicity [53]. Similarly, nutrition, impaired function, and comorbidity predicted interruption of chemotherapy in older patients with solid malignancies receiving chemotherapy [54]. Thus, geriatric assessment at diagnosis and at the initiation of treatment can be used to identify patients with higher risks of toxicity and to guide treatment modifications and supportive care interventions to avoid this toxicity.

Supportive Care for Treatment-Related Issues

Older patients may be at risk of certain treatment-related toxicities. Supportive care strategies are particularly important in the following areas:

Bone Marrow Toxicity

(a) Neutropenia and infection—It is generally accepted that the risk of hematological toxicity is increased in older adults (> 65 years) undergoing myelosuppressive chemotherapy. Older adults are at risk of febrile neutropenia (FN) and life-threatening infections that may in turn lead to reduced dose intensity and decreased effectiveness of treatment. Prophylaxis with granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSFs) serves to reduce the rate of neutropenic infection and improve dose intensity [55].

Clinical guidelines from the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) [56], the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) [57], and the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) [58] recommend that primary G-CSF prophylaxis be given to all patients over the age of 65 if they are receiving chemotherapy which confers a >20 % risk of febrile neutropenia. The 2010 update of the EORTC guidelines for CSF use include a detailed analysis of factors in addition to age which may further increase risk of FN [59]. The use of growth factors may be indicated in older adults with these comorbidities even if the FN risk of a particular chemotherapy regimen is cited as between 10 and 20 %.

This use of prophylactic growth factors is particularly relevant to older patients receiving anthracycline-based regimens as curative treatment for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [60]. A recent analysis of 5884 patients over age 65 using SEER data found that clinicians' adherence to guidelines recommending primary G-CSF prophylaxis in elderly patients with NHL receiving anthracycline-based chemotherapy now approaches 70 % in the USA [61•]. Primary prophylaxis reduced the risk of outpatient encounters for fever or infection but did not have an impact on inpatient encounters or deaths during cycle 1 of chemotherapy.

The MASCC febrile neutropenia risk score can be used to potentially identify patients with established FN who may be at increased risk of complications [62, 63]. It has been used to identify “low risk” patients who could be managed as outpatients. This index uses age over 60 years as a risk factor for FN complications (Table 1) [62].

(b) Anemia—The assessment of anemia is an important part of any supportive care strategy in older adults with cancer as the incidence and prevalence of anemia increases with age. Screening for anemia and the investigation of possible causes is recommended as part of pretreatment assessment. Anemia is a risk factor for chemotherapy-related toxicity and has been associated with functional dependence, falls, cardiac failure, cognitive impairment, and dementia [12].

Causes of anemia in the older adult are often multifactorial [64], but correction of reversible causes such as iron deficiency should be pursued and addressed as a matter of urgency. The NCCN guidelines for management of cancer and chemotherapy-induced anemia include management algorithms that apply to patients regardless of age [65].

Once the cause of anemia has been ascertained, a number of treatment strategies are available. The new formulations of IV iron are associated with fewer side effects and better efficacy than oral iron. There is a suggestion that IV iron may reduce the need for transfusions in some settings [66].

The utility of erythropoietin-stimulating agents (ESAs) in patients with cancer remains contentious and is generally not recommended due to the increased risk of adverse events such as thrombosis and the evidence of possible decreased survival in patients receiving these agents.

Judicious use of red blood cell transfusions remains the mainstay of treatment of symptomatic anemia in older patients with cancer. Transfusions are not generally recommended for asymptomatic patients unless the hemoglobin level is between 7 and 8 g/dL [65].

Treatment-Induced Nausea and Vomiting—Antiemetics

Although chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) is less common in older adults [67], the risk of adverse consequences such as dehydration and renal impairment is higher due to the increased likelihood of comorbidities. Supportive care guidelines for the prevention of CINV are applicable to patients of all ages [68, 69], and with modern therapy, vomiting can be completely prevented in 70–89 % of cases [70, 71]. Antiemetic therapy is highly effective in older adults but care needs to be taken in specific circumstances. The potential for drug-drug interactions with some agents is a theoretical problem that rarely arises in practice. More of a concern is the increased likelihood of unstable diabetes and insomnia with the use of corticosteroids and the increased risk of constipation with 5HT3 antagonists [72]. Careful counseling on the use of laxatives around the time of chemotherapy is an important supportive care strategy.

Treatment-Induced Neurotoxicity and Falls

The evidence for an increased incidence of neurotoxicity in older adults is conflicting and dependent upon the chemotherapy drug in question [73]. While there is no evidence that older patients are at increased risk from platinum-agents such as oxaliplatin, there is some evidence of an increased risk of clinically significant peripheral neuropathy due to paclitaxel in older women with ovarian cancer [74, 75]. There are currently no recommendations for

dose modification of taxanes in older patients, but caution needs to be exercised in patients with preexisting neuropathy.

A recent systematic review of falls in patients with cancer identified 31 studies exploring this topic [76]. The authors reported that falls are more common in older patients with cancer than in community-dwelling older adults without cancer. A number of factors increasing the risk of falls included pain and chemotherapy type. The risk of falls increased with the administration of doublet vs single-agent neurotoxic chemotherapy and with increasing number of cycles of these agents [47, 77]. Other established risk factors for falls in the general population such as prior history of falls and dependence in ADLs are also risk factors in older adults with cancer [76].

Cancer Rehabilitation for the Older Adult

Physical supportive care strategies are important in older adults regardless of the timing and intent of anticancer treatment. Older adults who have cancer are at a higher risk of reporting that they are in poor or fair health, higher levels of disability, increased number of falls, and higher levels of functional decline [78–81]. The ultimate goal of cancer rehabilitation is to improve the quality of life of people with cancer and can be provided at any age and stage of cancer continuum [82]. The cancer rehabilitation team usually consists of occupational and physical therapists who work together and in parallel with other supportive care services. Occupational and physical therapists strive to improve function, decrease disability, decrease risk of falling, and improve quality of life, yet referral to cancer rehabilitation has been underutilized [83, 84]. Improving access to these services can occur through detection of need via a GA or even a simple question about previous falls [85].

Occupational therapy assists people across the lifespan to engage in activities to improve quality of life [86]. Occupational therapists use meaningful activity therapeutically to restore abilities or, through an adaptive model, modify the environment or the activity to allow for participation [86]. For older adults with cancer, participation in ADL and IADL is vital to improved survival and quality of life [87]. Examples of interventions occupational therapists may provide include the following: self-care management, environmental adaptation, cognitive rehabilitation, fall risk prevention, medication management, upper extremity mobility and exercise, lymph-edema care, and management of peripheral neuropathy and of cancer-related fatigue [88, 89].

Exercise can improve overall quality of life [90, 91]. Physical therapy can provide individualized exercise programs to those with a variety of comorbid conditions, physical limitations, or gait and balance disturbances [92]. Physical therapists individualize exercise and mobility treatment plans in order to maintain function and slow down or prevent future disability. Through increasing exercise and physical mobility, physical therapy can also decrease pain and improve balance to decrease fall risk [93–96].

Both physical and occupational therapy can be provided at any stage of the cancer care continuum including pretreatment. Pretreatment can include exercise and endurance training prior to surgery [97] to provide education about the potential impact of treatment, how to

preserve ability to complete daily activities, and the importance of physical activity and mobility after surgery. Furthermore, *prehabilitation* can provide patients with education on how to maintain range of motion and provide specific exercises and fittings for adaptive devices as needed [82, 98].

Supportive Care in the Older Adult with Cancer at the End of Life

In patients of any age with advanced illness end of life supportive care is critical in addressing untreated symptoms that diminish function and quality of life. Symptoms such as pain, fatigue, functional dependence, and delirium are not unique to older patients, but can be more prevalent and challenging to treat in this population [99]. A Swedish study identified older age as a risk factor for poor end-of-life quality in cancer patients because younger patients were routinely informed more often about imminent death, more systematically assessed for pain and other symptoms, and more likely to be assessed by a formal palliative care service. In addition, the families of younger patients were more likely to be informed about imminent death and to be offered support in bereavement [100]. As is the case earlier in the disease trajectory, supportive care strategies need to be individualized to the patient's physiologic and social reserve. Certain medications may be higher risk in older patients due to physiologic changes, altered pharmacokinetics, comorbidity burden, and polypharmacy. While non-pharmacological approaches are thus very important, it should be acknowledged that older patients are also at risk of undertreatment of symptoms due to fear of toxicity. Optimal treatment requires a more cautious approach to initiation and titration, with a commitment to continuing to increase medication use as appropriate to alleviate symptoms. Adequate supportive care at the end of life must also focus on the caregivers [99, 101]. Family and carers are often unaware of the changes that occur at the very end of life and education can serve to alleviate panic and distress [99]. Although prediction of death itself is not possible, providers can educate family members about the signs and symptoms of the terminal phases of death. Helping caregivers to anticipate this can help to lessen the anxiety and stress of the approach of death [102]. Delirium can be present during the dying process and is more likely to happen if the patient has experienced delirium prior to the end of life. It is key to educate families about the potential causes of and interventions for terminal delirium [103]. When patients experience terminal delirium, a shift toward providing comfort through use of sedatives may be appropriate, even if patients become less alert as a result [104]. Elderly patients may present differently in these stages, and close monitoring of symptoms is imperative to ensure the greatest reduction of patient suffering.

Conclusion

Care of the older adult with cancer relies upon adequate assessment as a guide for appropriate treatment. A multidimensional geriatric assessment with guided intervention is a vital part of this strategy. As many of the issues uncovered by a GA are unrelated to treatment of the cancer, it can be argued that a GA is actually a sophisticated approach to providing optimal supportive care. The provision of supportive care is crucial to reduce morbidity and enhance wellbeing throughout the survivorship trajectory: from diagnosis to

end of life. Multidisciplinary teams including oncologists, geriatricians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and physical therapists need to work together to achieve this aim.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. National Cancer Institute. [Accessed 5/3/2016] Dictionary of cancer terms—supportive care. <http://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms?cdrid=46609>
2. [Accessed 05/03/ 2016] Multinational association for supportive care in cancer. What is MASCC?. <http://www.mascc.org/about-mascc>
3. Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, Henry KS, Mackey HT, Cowens-Alvarado RL, et al. American Cancer Society/American Society of Clinical Oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2016; 66(1):43–73. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21319 [PubMed: 26641959]
4. Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, Henry KS, Mackey HT, Cowens-Alvarado RL, et al. American Cancer Society/American Society of Clinical Oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016; 34(6):611–35. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.64.3809 [PubMed: 26644543]
5. National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship. [Accessed 5/3/2016] Defining cancer survivorship. 2014. <http://www.canceradvocacy.org/news/defining-cancer-survivorship/>
6. National Cancer Institute. [Accessed 5/3/2016] Dictionary of cancer terms—survivor. 2016. <http://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms?cdrid=450125>
7. Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, Topinkova E, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Extermann M, et al. International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2014 JCO. 2013.54. 8347.
8. Naeim A, Aapro M, Subbarao R, Balducci L. Supportive care considerations for older adults with cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014; 32(24):2627–34. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.55.3065 [PubMed: 25071112]
- 9•. Mohile SG, Velarde C, Hurria A, Magnuson A, Lowenstein L, Pandya C, et al. Geriatric assessment-guided care processes for older adults: a Delphi consensus of geriatric oncology experts. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2015; 13(9):1120–30. In the absence of randomised trial evidence of the utility of geriatric assessment in older adults with cancer this Delphi consensus of expert opinion provides a framework for GA-guided supportive care interventions in this patient population. [PubMed: 26358796]
10. Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW. Studies of illness in the aged: the index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *Jama.* 1963; 185(12): 914–9. [PubMed: 14044222]
11. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *The Gerontologist.* 1969; 9(3):179–86. [PubMed: 5349366]
12. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). [Accessed 14/2/2016] Older adult oncology. 2016. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/senior.pdf
13. Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G. Prevalence, expenditures, and complications of multiple chronic conditions in the elderly. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002; 162(20):2269–76. [PubMed: 12418941]
14. Perez EA, Suman VJ, Davidson NE, Sledge GW, Kaufman PA, Hudis CA, et al. Cardiac safety analysis of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel with or without trastuzumab in the North Central Cancer Treatment Group N9831 adjuvant breast cancer trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008; 26(8):1231–8. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2007.13.5467 [PubMed: 18250349]
15. Gewandter JS, Fan L, Magnuson A, Mustian K, Peppone L, Heckler C, et al. Falls and functional impairments in cancer survivors with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a

University of Rochester CCOP study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013; 21(7):2059–66. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-013-1766-y [PubMed: 23446880]

16. Launay-Vacher V, Chatelut E, Lichtman SM, Wildiers H, Steer C, Aapro M, et al. Renal insufficiency in elderly cancer patients: International Society of Geriatric Oncology clinical practice recommendations. *Ann Oncol*. 2007; 18(8):1314–21. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdm011 [PubMed: 17631561]
17. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987; 40(5):373–83. [PubMed: 3558716]
18. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative illness rating scale. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1968; 16(5):622–6. [PubMed: 5646906]
19. Riechelmann RP, Krzyzanowska MK, Zimmermann C. Futile medication use in terminally ill cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer*. 2009; 17(6):745–8. [PubMed: 19030900]
20. Currow DC, Stevenson JP, Abernethy AP, Plummer J, Shelby-James TM. Prescribing in palliative care as death approaches. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2007; 55(4):590–5. [PubMed: 17397439]
21. Nightingale G, Hajjar E, Guo K, Komura S, Urnoski E, Sendekci J, et al. A pharmacist-led medication assessment used to determine a more precise estimation of the prevalence of complementary and alternative medication (CAM) use among ambulatory senior adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2015; doi: 10.1016/j.jgo.2015.07.003
22. Maggiore RJ, Dale W, Gross CP, Feng T, Tew WP, Mohile SG, et al. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults with cancer undergoing chemotherapy: effect on chemotherapy-related toxicity and hospitalization during treatment. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014; 62(8):1505–12. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.12942 [PubMed: 25041361]
23. Nightingale G, Hajjar E, Swartz K, Andrel-Sendekci J, Chapman A. Evaluation of a pharmacist-led medication assessment used to identify prevalence of and associations with polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medication use among ambulatory senior adults with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 33(13):1453–9. A key study documenting the important role of the pharmacist in a senior adult oncology clinic. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.58.7550 [PubMed: 25800766]
24. Prithviraj GK, Koroukian S, Margevicius S, Berger NA, Bagai R, Owusu C. Patient characteristics associated with polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing of medications among older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2012; 3(3):228–37. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2012.02.005 [PubMed: 22712030]
25. Todd A, Williamson S, Husband A, Baqir W, Mahony M. Patients with advanced lung cancer: is there scope to discontinue inappropriate medication? *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2013; 35(2):181–4. DOI: 10.1007/s11096-012-9731-2 [PubMed: 23229875]
26. American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 Updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015; 63(11):2227–46. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.13702 [PubMed: 26446832]
27. O'Mahony D, O'Sullivan D, Byrne S, O'Connor MN, Ryan C, Gallagher P. STOPP/START criteria for potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people: version 2. *Age Ageing*. 2015; 44(2):213–8. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afu145 [PubMed: 25324330]
28. Garfinkel D, Mangin D. Feasibility study of a systematic approach for discontinuation of multiple medications in older adults: addressing polypharmacy. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010; 170(18):1648–54. DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.355 [PubMed: 20937924]
29. Holmes HM, Hayley DC, Alexander GC, Sachs GA. Reconsidering medication appropriateness for patients late in life. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006; 166(6):605–9. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.166.6.605 [PubMed: 16567597]
30. LeBlanc TW, McNeil MJ, Kamal AH, Currow DC, Abernethy AP. Polypharmacy in patients with advanced cancer and the role of medication discontinuation. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015; 16(7):e333–41. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00080-7 [PubMed: 26149885]
31. Lilamand M, Kelaiditi E, Cesari M, Raynaud-Simon A, Ghisolfi A, Guyonnet S, et al. Validation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form in a population of frail elders without disability. Analysis of the Toulouse Frailty Platform Population in 2013. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2015; 19(5):570–4. DOI: 10.1007/s12603-015-0457-4 [PubMed: 25923488]

32. Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for undernutrition in geriatric practice: developing the short-form mini-nutritional assessment (MNA-SF). *J Gerontol Ser A Biol Med Sci.* 2001; 56(6):M366–72.
33. Ahmed T, Haboubi N. Assessment and management of nutrition in older people and its importance to health. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2010; 5:207–16. [PubMed: 20711440]
34. Tombaugh TN, McIntyre NJ. The mini-mental state examination: a comprehensive review. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1992; 40(9):922–35. [PubMed: 1512391]
35. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005; 53(4):695–9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x [PubMed: 15817019]
36. Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med.* 1990; 113(12):941–8. [PubMed: 2240918]
37. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Depression in Late Life. Diagnosis and treatment of depression in late life. *JAMA.* 1992; 168:1018–24.
38. Unutzer J, Rubenstein L, Katon WJ, Tang L, Duan N, Lagomasino IT, et al. Two-year effects of quality improvement programs on medication management for depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2001; 58(10):935–42. [PubMed: 11576031]
39. Fann JR, Fan MY, Unutzer J. Improving primary care for older adults with cancer and depression. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2009; 24(Suppl 2):S417–24. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-009-0999-4 [PubMed: 19838842]
40. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1983; 67(6):361–70. [PubMed: 6880820]
41. Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR, Roberts RE, Allen NB. Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. *Psychol Aging.* 1997; 12(2):277–87. [PubMed: 9189988]
42. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 1988; 8(1):77–100. DOI: 10.1016/0272-7358(88)90050-5
43. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1982; 17(1):37–49. [PubMed: 7183759]
44. Mohile SG, Xian Y, Dale W, Fisher SG, Rodin M, Morrow GR, et al. Association of a cancer diagnosis with vulnerability and frailty in older Medicare beneficiaries. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2009; 101(17):1206–15. DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djp239 [PubMed: 19638506]
45. Hoppe S, Rainfray M, Fonck M, Hoppenreys L, Blanc JF, Ceccaldi J, et al. Functional decline in older patients with cancer receiving first-line chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013; 31(31):3877–82. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2012.47.7430 [PubMed: 24062399]
46. Audisio RA, Pope D, Ramesh HS, Gennari R, van Leeuwen BL, West C, et al. Shall we operate? Preoperative assessment in elderly cancer patients (PACE) can help. A SIOG surgical task force prospective study. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2008; 65(2):156–63. DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2007.11.001 [PubMed: 18082416]
47. Ward PR, Wong MD, Moore R, Naeim A. Fall-related injuries in elderly cancer patients treated with neurotoxic chemotherapy: a retrospective cohort study. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2014; 5(1):57–64. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2013.10.002 [PubMed: 24484719]
48. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed “Up & Go”: a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1991; 39(2):142–8. [PubMed: 1991946]
49. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol.* 1994; 49(2):M85–94. [PubMed: 8126356]

50. Kenny R, Rubenstein LZ, Tinetti ME, Brewer K, Cameron KA, Capezuti L, et al. Summary of the updated American Geriatrics Society/British Geriatrics Society clinical practice guideline for prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2011; 59(1):148–57. [PubMed: 21226685]
51. Haley WE. Family caregivers of elderly patients with cancer: understanding and minimizing the burden of care. *J Support Oncol.* 2003; 1(4 Suppl 2):25–9. [PubMed: 15346997]
52. Hurria A, Togawa K, Mohile SG, Owusu C, Klepin HD, Gross CP, et al. Predicting chemotherapy toxicity in older adults with cancer: a prospective multicenter study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29(25): 3457–65. DOI: 10.1200/jco.2011.34.7625 [PubMed: 21810685]
53. Extermann M, Boler I, Reich RR, Lyman GH, Brown RH, DeFelice J, et al. Predicting the risk of chemotherapy toxicity in older patients: the Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High-Age Patients (CRASH) score. *Cancer.* 2012; 118(13):3377–86. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.26646 [PubMed: 22072065]
54. Versteeg KS, Konings IR, Lagaay AM, van de Loosdrecht AA, Verheul HM. Prediction of treatment-related toxicity and outcome with geriatric assessment in elderly patients with solid malignancies treated with chemotherapy: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol.* 2014; 25(10):1914–8. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdu052 [PubMed: 24569912]
55. Wang L, Baser O, Kutikova L, Page JH, Barron R. The impact of primary prophylaxis with granulocyte colony-stimulating factors on febrile neutropenia during chemotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015; 23(11): 3131–40. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-015-2686-9 [PubMed: 25821144]
56. Smith TJ, Bohlke K, Lyman GH, Carson KR, Crawford J, Cross SJ, et al. Recommendations for the use of WBC growth factors: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015; 33(28):3199–212. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.62.3488 [PubMed: 26169616]
57. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). [Accessed 14/2/2016] Myeloid growth factors. NCCN Guidelines. 2015. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/myeloid_growth.pdf
58. Crawford J, Caserta C, Roila F, Group EGW. Hematopoietic growth factors: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the applications. *Ann Oncol.* 2010; 21(Suppl 5):v248–51. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdq195 [PubMed: 20555091]
59. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, Dal Lago L, Donnelly JP, Kearney N, et al. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumours. *Eur J Cancer.* 2011; 47(1):8–32. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2010.10.013 [PubMed: 21095116]
60. Morrison VA, Hamlin P, Soubeyran P, Stauder R, Wadhwa P, Aapro M, et al. Approach to therapy of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the elderly: the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) expert position commentary. *Ann Oncol.* 2015; 26(6):1058–68. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv018 [PubMed: 25635006]
61. Elting LS, Xu Y, Chavez-MacGregor M, Giordano SH. Granulocyte growth factor use in elderly patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in the United States: adherence to guidelines and comparative effectiveness. *Support Care Cancer.* 2016; This population-based study shows that GCSF use in adults over the age of 65 years receiving chemotherapy for NHL decreased outpatient encounters for fever or infection, but not inpatient encounters or deaths during cycle 1. doi: 10.1007/s00520-016-3079-4
62. Klastersky J, Paesmans M. The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) risk index score: 10 years of use for identifying low-risk febrile neutropenic cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2013; 21(5):1487–95. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-013-1758-y [PubMed: 23443617]
63. Klastersky J, Paesmans M, Rubenstein EB, Boyer M, Elting L, Feld R, et al. The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer risk index: a multinational scoring system for identifying low-risk febrile neutropenic cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000; 18(16):3038–51. [PubMed: 10944139]
64. Tettamanti M, Lucca U, Gandini F, Recchia A, Mosconi P, Apolone G, et al. Prevalence, incidence and types of mild anemia in the elderly: the “Health and Anemia” population-based study.

- Haematologica. 2010; 95(11):1849–56. DOI: 10.3324/haematol.2010.023101 [PubMed: 20534701]
65. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). [Accessed 14/2/2016] Cancer- and chemotherapy-induced anemia. NCCN Guidelines. 2016. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/anemia.pdf
 66. Gafter-Gvili A, Rozen-Zvi B, Vidal L, Leibovici L, Vansteenkiste J, Gafter U, et al. Intravenous iron supplementation for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anaemia—systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Acta Oncol*. 2013; 52(1):18–29. DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.702921 [PubMed: 22877242]
 67. Molassiotis A, Aapro M, Dicato M, Gascon P, Novoa SA, Isambert N, et al. Evaluation of risk factors predicting chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting: results from a European prospective observational study. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2014; 47(5):839–48. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2013.06.012.e4 [PubMed: 24075401]
 68. Basch E, Prestrud AA, Hesketh PJ, Kris MG, Feyer PC, Somerfield MR, et al. Antiemetics: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29(31):4189–98. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.34.4614 [PubMed: 21947834]
 69. Roila F, Herrstedt J, Aapro M, Gralla RJ, Einhorn LH, Ballatori E, et al. Guideline update for MASCC and ESMO in the prevention of chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: results of the Perugia consensus conference. *Ann Oncol*. 2010; 21(Suppl 5):v232–43. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdq194 [PubMed: 20555089]
 70. Jordan K, Jahn F, Aapro M. Recent developments in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): a comprehensive review. *Ann Oncol*. 2015; 26(6):1081–90. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv138 [PubMed: 25755107]
 71. Jordan K, Gralla R, Jahn F, Molassiotis A. International antiemetic guidelines on chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): content and implementation in daily routine practice. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2014; 722:197–202. DOI: 10.1016/j.09.073 [PubMed: 24157984]
 72. Jakobsen JN, Herrstedt J. Prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in elderly cancer patients. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2009; 71(3):214–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2008.12.006 [PubMed: 19162507]
 73. Lichtman SM, Wildiers H, Chatelut E, Steer C, Budman D, Morrison VA, et al. International Society of Geriatric Oncology Chemotherapy Taskforce: evaluation of chemotherapy in older patients—an analysis of the medical literature. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007; 25(14):1832–43. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2007.10.6583 [PubMed: 17488981]
 74. Kurtz JE, Kaminsky MC, Floquet A, Veillard AS, Kimmig R, Dorum A, et al. Ovarian cancer in elderly patients: carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus carboplatin and paclitaxel in late relapse: a Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup (GCIg) CALYPSO sub-study. *Ann Oncol*. 2011; 22(11):2417–23. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdr001 [PubMed: 21402619]
 75. Tew, W, Java, J, Chi, D, Menzin, A, Lovecchio, J, Bookman, M., et al., editors. *J Clin Oncol (ASCO Meeting Abstracts)*. 2010. Treatment outcomes for older women with advanced ovarian cancer: results from a phase III clinical trial (GOG182).
 76. Wildes TM, Dua P, Fowler SA, Miller JP, Carpenter CR, Avidan MS, et al. Systematic review of falls in older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2015; 6(1):70–83. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2014.10.003 [PubMed: 25454770]
 77. Toftthagen C, Overcash J, Kip K. Falls in persons with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012; 20(3):583–9. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-011-1127-7 [PubMed: 21380613]
 78. Reeve BB, Potosky AL, Smith AW, Han PK, Hays RD, Davis WW, et al. Impact of cancer on health-related quality of life of older Americans. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009; 101(12):860–8. DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djp123 [PubMed: 19509357]
 79. Hewitt M, Rowland JH, Yancik R. Cancer survivors in the United States: age, health, and disability. *J Gerontol Ser A Biol Med Sci*. 2003; 58(1):82–91.
 80. Guerard EJ, Deal AM, Williams GR, Jolly TA, Nyrop KA, Muss HB. Falls in older adults with cancer: evaluation by oncology providers. *J Oncol Pract Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2015; 11(6):470–4. DOI: 10.1200/jop.2014.003517

81. Williams GR, Deal AM, Nyrop KA, Pergolotti M, Guerard EJ, Jolly TA, et al. Geriatric assessment as an aide to understanding falls in older adults with cancer. *Support Care Cancer*. 2015; 23(8): 2273–80. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-014-2598-0 [PubMed: 25576434]
82. Franklin DJ. Cancer rehabilitation: challenges, approaches, and new directions. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. 2007; 18(4):899–924. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmr.2007.07.007.viii [PubMed: 17967368]
83. Cheville AL, Kornblith AB, Basford JR. An examination of the causes for the underutilization of rehabilitation services among people with advanced cancer. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011; 90(5):S27–37. [PubMed: 21765261]
84. Pergolotti M, Deal AM, Lavery J, Reeve BB, Muss HB. The prevalence of potentially modifiable functional deficits and the subsequent use of occupational and physical therapy by older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2015; This study showed that potentially modifiable functional deficits are common in older adults with cancer but rehabilitation and physical therapy services are underutilized in this population. doi: 10.1016/j.jgo.2015.01.004
85. Huang MH, Shilling T, Miller KA, Smith K, LaVictoire K. History of falls, gait, balance, and fall risks in older cancer survivors living in the community. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2015; 10:1497–503. DOI: 10.2147/CIA.S89067 [PubMed: 26425079]
86. Association AOT. [Accessed February, 18 2016 2016] About occupational therapy. 2016. <http://www.aota.org/about-occupational-therapy.aspx>
87. Hoppe S, Rainfray M, Fonck M, Hoppenreys L, Blanc J-F, Ceccaldi J, et al. Functional decline in older patients with cancer. 2013. receiving first-line chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(31): 3877–82. DOI: 10.1200/jco.2012.47.7430 [PubMed: 24062399]
88. Silver JK, Gilchrist LS. Cancer rehabilitation with a focus on evidence-based outpatient physical and occupational therapy interventions. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011; 90(5):S5–15. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e31820be4ae
89. Pergolotti M, Williams GR, Campbell C, Munoz LA, Muss HB. Occupational therapy for adults with cancer: why it matters. *Oncologist*. 2016; doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0335
90. Knols R, Aaronson NK, Uebelhart D, Fransen J, Aufdemkampe G. Physical exercise in cancer patients during and after medical treatment: a systematic review of randomized and controlled clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005; 23(16):3830–42. [PubMed: 15923576]
91. Speck RM, Courneya KS, Mâsse LC, Duval S, Schmitz KH. An update of controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Surviv*. 2010; 4(2):87–100. DOI: 10.1007/s11764-009-0110-5 [PubMed: 20052559]
92. Association APT. [Accessed February 18th, 2016] Who are physical therapists?. 2016. <http://www.apta.org/AboutPTs/>
93. Shumway-Cook A, Gruber W, Baldwin M, Liao S. The effect of multidimensional exercises on balance, mobility, and fall risk in community-dwelling older adults. *Phys Ther*. 1997; 77(1):46–57. [PubMed: 8996463]
94. Deyle GD, Allison SC, Matekel RL, Ryder MG, Stang JM, Gohdes DD, et al. Physical therapy treatment effectiveness for osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized comparison of supervised clinical exercise and manual therapy procedures versus a home exercise program. *Phys Ther*. 2005; 85(12):1301–17. [PubMed: 16305269]
95. Hayden JA, Van Tulder MW, Tomlinson G. Systematic review: strategies for using exercise therapy to improve outcomes in chronic low back pain. *Ann Intern Med*. 2005; 142(9):776–85. [PubMed: 15867410]
96. Deyo RA, Schall M, Berwick DM, Nolan T, Carver P. Continuous quality improvement for patients with back pain. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2000; 15(9):647–55. [PubMed: 11029679]
97. Morano MT, Araújo AS, Nascimento FB, da Silva GF, Mesquita R, Pinto JS, et al. Preoperative pulmonary rehabilitation versus chest physical therapy in patients undergoing lung cancer resection: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2013; 94(1):53–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.08.206 [PubMed: 22926460]
98. Pehlivan E, Turna A, Gurses A, Gurses HN. The effects of preoperative short-term intense physical therapy in lung cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2011; 17(5):461–8. DOI: 10.5761/atcs.0a.11.01663 [PubMed: 21881371]

99. Alexander K, Goldberg J, Korc-Grodzicki B. Palliative care and symptom management in older patients with cancer. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2016; 32(1):45–62. DOI: 10.1016/j.cger.2015.08.004 [PubMed: 26614860]
100. Lindskog M, Tavelin B, Lundström S. Old age as risk indicator for poor end-of-life quality—a population-based study of cancer deaths from the Swedish Register of Palliative Care. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015; 51(10):1331–9. [PubMed: 25958036]
101. Brighi N, Balducci L, Biasco G. Cancer in the elderly: is it time for palliative care in geriatric oncology? *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2014; 5(2):197–203. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2014.01.007 [PubMed: 24560041]
102. Zlatiev-Scocard, N. Bereavement follow-up: a preventative programme. In: Michel, J-P., HofPR, editors. *Management of ageing*. Basel: Karger; 1999. p. 199-207.
103. Yennurajalingam S, Braiteh F, Bruera E. Pain and terminal delirium research in the elderly. *clin geriatr Med*. 2005; 21(1):93–119. [PubMed: 15639040]
104. Breitbart W, Alici Y. Agitation and delirium at the end of life: “We couldn’t manage him”. *JAMA*. 2008; 300:2898–910. [PubMed: 19109118]

Table 1**MASCC febrile neutropenia risk score**

Characteristic	Weight
Burden of illness: no or mild symptoms	5
No hypotension	5
No chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	4
Solid tumor or no previous fungal infection	4
No dehydration	3
Burden of illness: moderate symptoms	3
Outpatient status	3
Age <60 years	2

A total score of 21 identified patients at low risk of complications from febrile neutropenia. Points attributed to the variable “burden of illness” are not cumulative. The maximum theoretical score is therefore 26. From Klastersky J., Paesmans M., The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) risk index score: 10 years of use for identifying low-risk febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Support Care Cancer. 2013;21(5):1487–95. doi:10.1007/s00520-013-1758-y, with permission of Springer