Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008 October ; 62(5): 753–762. doi:10.1007/s00280-007-0660-z.

The role of topoisomerases and RNA transcription in the action of the antitumour benzonaphthyridine derivative SN 28049

David J.A. Bridewell^{*}, Andrew C.G. Porter⁺, Graeme J. Finlay, and Bruce C. Baguley

Auckland Cancer Society Research Centre, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, the University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

⁺Gene Targeting Group, Medical Research Council Clinical Sciences Centre, Imperial College Faculty of Medicine, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, London W12 ONN, United Kingdom

Abstract

Purpose—SN 28049 (N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-2,6-dimethyl-1-oxo-1,2-dihydrobenzo[b]-1,6-naphthyridine-4-carboxamide) is a DNA intercalating drug that binds selectively to GC-rich DNA and shows curative activity against the Colon 38 adenocarcinoma in mice. We wished to investigate the roles of topoisomerase (topo) I, topo II and RNA transcription in the action of SN 28049.

Methods—We used clonogenic assays to study the cytotoxicity of SN 28049; RNA interference and enzyme assays to examine the role of topo I in SN 28049 action; ³H uridine incorporation and reporter assays to study its effects on transcription; and RT-PCR to examine its ability to reduce endogenous h-TERT expression.

Results—In clonogenic assays, SN 28049 showed a biphasic cytotoxic dose response curve in H460 cells typical of acridine derivatives such as N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide (DACA) although it was approximately 16-fold more potent. Downregulation of topo II α in HTETOP cells reduced the cytotoxicity of SN 28049, establishing its action as a topo II α poison. Surprisingly, downregulation of topo I in H460 cells by RNA interference sensitised them to the actions of SN 28049 and other topo II poisons. SN 28049 also inhibited topo I-mediated relaxation of supercoiled plasmid DNA. SN 28049 was also an inhibitor of transcription in HEK293 cells and was more potent at reducing luciferase expression from a GC-rich SP-1 binding promoter than from a non-GC-rich AP-1 binding promoter. The drug also reduced luciferase reporter gene expression driven by the SP-1-binding survivin promoter as well as reducing endogenous h-TERT expression in HEK293 cells whose promoter also contains SP-1 binding sites.

Conclusion—We conclude that SN 28049 has a complex action that may involve poisoning of topo II α , suppression of topo I and inhibition of gene transcription from promoters with SP-1 sites. These actions may contribute to the promising experimental solid tumour anticancer activity of SN 28049.

^{*}Correspondence to: Auckland Cancer Society Research Centre, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, the University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand. Fax: 64-9-3737-502; E-mail: d.bridewell@auckland.ac.nz.

Keywords

Topoisomerase; siRNA; transcription; DACA; topo poisons

Introduction

Despite recent progress in the chemotherapy of cancer, success in advanced metastatic cancers is still very limited and drugs with novel actions are needed. Considerable attention has been paid to the development of small molecule drugs affecting signal transduction pathways [1] but relatively little attention has been paid to drugs that act by selective modification of gene transcription. Actinomycin D was one of the first DNA binding drugs to be used clinically and is known to bind very selectively to DNA rich in guanine-cytosine base pairs (GC-rich DNA), but suffered from the disadvantage of being highly susceptible to multidrug resistance [2-4]. Mithramycin A and related analogues constituted a second class of antibiotic cytotoxins that bound by a novel mechanism to GC-rich DNA [5] but clinical trials demonstrated limited clinical activity, probably because of multiple cellular actions, poor pharmacological properties and susceptibility to multidrug resistance. N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide (DACA), a compound in which the acridine moiety provides DNA intercalation ability and the carboxamide side chain provides GC-selectivity, was first synthesised in this laboratory and has undergone phase I and II clinical trials [6-13], but low dose potency and an unusual form of toxicity prevented further development [14]. DACA had effects on both topoisomerase (topo) I and topo II [15, 16] but a variety of evidence suggested that the principal target was topo II α [15, 17, 18].

A large number of compounds incorporating a DNA binding chromophore and a sequence-selective carboxamide side chain have been produced [19]. Of particular interest is a series of benzonaphthylidene derivatives, of which SN 28049 is the principal example (structure shown in Fig. 1). Several compounds in this series were able to induce complete regression of subcutaneous implants of the Colon 38 adenocarcinoma, a tumour which is relatively resistant to topo II-directed drugs such as amsacrine, doxorubicin and etoposide [20]. SN 28049 is approximately 50-fold more potent than DACA *in vitro* and 20-fold more dose-potent *in vivo*. Studies in this laboratory have shown that SN 28049 also binds more strongly to DNA and is more GC-selective than DACA (unpublished results). This report describes our investigation of the effect of SN 28049 on both topo activity and RNA synthesis. Modulation of topo II α expression was carried out using the HTETOP cell line, in which the endogenous *TOPOII α* gene is disrupted and transcription of an exogenous *TOPOII α* cDNA is controlled by tetracycline transactivation [21, 22], while modulation of topo I expression was carried out using siRNA. The effect of this drug on gene expression was also carried out using reporter assays and RT-PCR. The results indicate that both DNA topo I and II and RNA polymerases are of potential relevance to its action.

Materials and Methods

Materials

SN 28049 was synthesised in this laboratory and supplies for these studies were kindly provided by Mr. Graham Atwell. Amsacrine and DACA were also synthesised in this laboratory. Aclarubicin, camptothecin, penicillin and streptomycin were obtained from Sigma chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo., USA) and doxorubicin and etoposide were purchased as clinical vials (Farmitalia Carlo Erba, Clayton North, Australia and Bristol-Myers Squibb, Noble Park, Vic., Australia, respectively). Drugs were prepared as 2 mM stock solutions; SN 28049, DACA, aclarubicin and amsacrine in 50% v/v aqueous ethanol, and camptothecin in dimethyl sulphoxide. Drug solutions were stored at -20 °C and clinical vials were stored at 4 °C. Tetracycline was purchased from Calbiochem, EMD Biosciences Inc., (La Jolla, CA., USA) and prepared as a 1 mg/ml stock solution in PBS and stored at -20° C.

Cell lines

The H460 non-small-cell lung carcinoma cell line was purchased from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). HTETOP cells have been described [21] and the HEK293 cells were a kind gift from R Burgeson, (CBRC, MGH, Harvard Medical School, Charleston, MA, USA). H460 cells were cultured in α -modified minimal essential medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum, while the HTETOP and HEK293 cells were cultured in D-modified minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. All cell lines were passaged weekly using trypsin (0.07% w/ v) in citrate-buffered saline.

Clonogenicity assays

Assays were undertaken as described [23]. Briefly, H460 or HTETOP cells in exponential phase growth were exposed to increasing concentrations of cytotoxic agent for 1 hr at 37° C, washed free of drug, and plated in triplicate (10^2 cells) in plastic 60-mm dishes. After 10 days of incubation at 37°C (in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air), cultures were fixed and stained using methylene blue in 50% v/v aqueous ethanol. Colonies comprising 50 or more cells were counted. In the case of HTETOP cells, tetracycline (1 µg/ml) was added 24 hr prior to treatment (where indicated) to reduce topo II expression. Cells were incubated with cytotoxic drugs (and tetracycline where indicated) for 1 hr before being harvested, washed free of drug and tetracycline and assayed for clonogenic survival.

RNAi of topo I

A pool of four pre-designed siRNA molecules ('SmartPool') targeting human topo I and a single validated GAPDH siRNA were purchased from Dharmacon Inc. (Lafayette, Co., USA). A 5'-fluorescein labeled control siRNA was purchased from Qiagen GmbH (Hilden, Germany). Oligofectamine was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). H460 cells in logarithmic-phase growth were harvested with trypsin, and seeded (100,000 cells/well) into the wells of a 24-well plate and allowed to adhere overnight. SiRNA molecules were transfected at the indicated concentrations using Oligofectamine (1 µl per well; final volume 250 µl) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. All transfections were carried out in the absence of serum using OPTIMEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Four hours post-transfection, 3x FBS supplemented α -MEM medium was added to each well and the cells were allowed to continue growth until harvesting the next day for western blotting analysis and IC₅₀ assays.

Western blotting

Cells were recovered 24 hr post-transfection by trypsin digestion, washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and immediately lysed in M-PER mammalian protein extraction reagent in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), and a small aliquot was removed for protein concentration determination using the BCA assay (Sigma-Aldrich, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Samples were prepared for electrophoresis by adding NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (4x) and NuPAGE reducing agent (10x) and heating at 70 °C for 10 min before loading (25 µg protein/ well) in the wells of a 10% pre-cast NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris gel. All NuPAGE reagents were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsberg, CA, USA). After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and the membranes were blocked in PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 (PBS-T) and 5% non-fat dried milk powder. For protein detection, primary and secondary antibodies were diluted 1:5000 and 1:10,000 respectively in PBS-T containing 5% milk powder and incubated overnight at 4°C for primary, and 1 h at room temperature for secondary antibodies. Mouse monoclonal anti-human topo I was purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA), whereas rabbit polyclonal anti-human topo II α and anti-GAPDH was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Anti- mouse and rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz (San Diego, CA, USA). For detection, SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate was purchased from Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA), and used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

IC₅₀ growth inhibition assays

H460 and HTETOP cells with reduced topo I or II content were prepared and harvested as described and added to the wells of 96-well plates (2500 cells/ well) containing cytotoxic drug that had already been serially diluted across the plate. The plates were then incubated for four days at 37°C. Growth inhibition was assessed by sulforhodamine B staining in three independent experiments. IC₅₀ values were determined from the regression line relating the percentage inhibition to logarithmic drug concentration.

[³H]-Uridine incorporation

H460 cells (5×10^5 per well) were added to the wells of a 96-well plate and incubated overnight. Cytotoxic drugs were added, serially diluted across the plate and [5-³H]-uridine (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK) was added (0.04 µCi/ well; final volume 150 µl). For 1 h incubations the plates were placed in a waterbath (37°C) under CO₂ (5% in air) to maintain constant temperature during addition of drug, and then incubated for 1 h. For 24 h incubations, the plates were placed into a standard incubator (37°C in 5% CO₂ in air) for 24 h. At the end of the incubation the cells were aspirated onto glass fibre filters using a multiple automated harvester (LKB Wallac OY Beta Harvester, Skatron A/S, Norway). The filter mats were washed for 15 sec with water to remove non-adherent material, dried, and the amount of [5-³H]-uridine incorporated into the retained RNA was measured using a LKB Wallace Betaplate scintillation counter (Skatron A/S, Norway).

Luciferase reporter assays

The TransLucent AP-1 and SP-1 reporter vectors (pAP1(1)-Luc and pSp1-Luc respectively) and the TransLucent SURVIVIN Gene Promoter Reporter Vector pSURVIVIN-Pluc were purchased from Panomics (Redwood City, CA, USA). HEK293 cells in log-phase growth were plated into the wells of a 24-well plate (1×10^5 cells/well) 24 h prior to transfection. Cells were transfected with 0.2 μ g reporter plasmid using FuGENE 6 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Fresh medium containing drug was added 4 h post-transfection and the cells were then incubated for a further 20 h at 37°C. Luciferase activity was measured using Promega's Luciferase Assay System (Madison, WI, USA) following extraction in Reportasol Extraction Buffer (Merck Biosciences, Darmstadt, Germany). Reporter activity was normalised to protein content of the cellular extracts as measured by the BCA assay.

Topo I relaxation assay

A topo I drug screening kit was purchased from Topogen Inc (Port Orange, FL, USA) and calf thymus topo I was purchased from Invitrogen. Reactions were carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions using 5 units of topo I and have been described [24]. Briefly, reactions were assembled on ice with supercoiled plasmid DNA (0.25 μ g) being added to 1 x relaxation reaction buffer followed by the addition of drug. Topo I was added last, samples were mixed and incubated for 30 min at 37°C before the reaction was terminated by the addition of prewarmed SDS (37°C; final concentration 1%) and additional proteinase K treatment (final concentration 50 μ g/ml) for a further 30 min. Samples were loaded onto 1% agarose gels in TAE buffer (40 mM TRIS acetate buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1 mM EDTA) and electrophoresed at 1.4 V/cm for 14-16h.

Results

Role of topo II in the action of SN 28049

The H460 human non-small cell lung carcinoma cell line was used to compare the cytotoxicities of SN 28049 and DACA in 1 h clonogenic survival assays (Fig.2). SN 28049 showed a biphasic dose-response response curve similar to that of DACA, with reduced cytotoxicity at intermediate drug concentrations and higher cell killing at high concentrations. While the maximum degree of cell killing was similar for the two drugs, SN 28049 was more dose-potent with maximal cell killing occurring at 0.125 μ M, versus 2 μ M for DACA.

To investigate the specific role of topo II α in the activity of SN 28049, we performed 1 hr clonogenic assays in HTETOP human fibrosarcoma cells that were engineered to reduce topo II α expression in the presence of tetracycline (Fig. 3). SN 28049 again showed a biphasic dose-response response curve similar to that for H460 cells. In cells not treated with tetracycline (topo II α on) the maximum degree of cell killing (2% survival) occurred at 0.2 μ M SN 28049. In tetracycline treated cells (reduced topo II α) maximal cell killing was reduced to 60% of control. Reduction of topo II α expression also reduced the effects of the topo II poisons amsacrine and doxorubicin (data not shown).

Growth inhibition assays were also carried out. The IC_{50} value of SN 28049 for H460 cells was 8.8 nM, and the IC_{50} values for HTETOP cells for a range of topo poisons are shown in Table 1. Topo II poisons were more than 2-fold less active in the tetracycline treated cells, whereas camptothecin and aclarubicin, at resistance ratios of 1.2 and 1.3 respectively, were only slightly less active in the tetracycline treated cells. SN 28049 on the other hand was 1.9-fold less active.

Role of topo I in the action of SN 28049

To investigate the role of topo I in the action of SN 28049 we examined its ability to poison, or inhibit, purified calf thymus topo I-mediated relaxation of supercoiled plasmid DNA. The drug did not induce any detectable nicked open circles in this system suggesting that it did not poison topo I activity (Fig. 4B). However, it did inhibit relaxation activity of the enzyme at concentrations of 1 μ M and above (Fig 4A&B).

In an alternative approach to determine whether SN 28049 could function as a topo I poison, we used RNA interference to reduce topo I expression in H460 cells and examined the effect on activity. We hypothesised that if SN 28049 was a topo I poison its activity would be reduced by this treatment. We used a pooled combination of four human topo I-targeting siRNA duplexes (Dharmacon's 'SmartPool') to transfect H460 cells and examined, by immunoblotting, the topo I content in the cells 24 hr post-transfection. The results from a representative repeat experiment show that topo I expression was reduced in a dose-dependent manner with the highest reduction (88%) seen in cells transfected with 200 nM topo I-targeting siRNA (Fig. 5). We did not observe reduction in topo I levels in cells transfected with a positive siRNA control targeting GAPDH expression (50 nM; Fig. 5, Lane 3) or in cells transfected with a negative control siRNA (50 nM; Fig. 5, Lane 2). Moreover in cells transfected with the topo I-targeting siRNA a reduction in the ratio of topo I to GAPDH expression was observed indicating the specificity of the effect. We then examined the consequences of reduced topo I expression (55% using 100 nM siRNA) on the activity of a range of topo I and II-targeting drugs including SN 28049 by IC_{50} assays (Table 2). As expected, the topo I poison camptothecin was less active with an IC_{50} value of 7.5 nM in the topo I reduced cells as compared to an IC_{50} value of 3.6 nM in the negative-control siRNA transfected cells. The IC_{50} values for SN 28049, the topo II poisons DACA, amsacrine, doxorubicin and etoposide, and the topo I/II inhibitor aclarubicin were all at least 2.1-fold lower in the topo I reduced cells as compared to the negative-control cells (Table 1). Repeated experiments gave similar results.

Effects on gene expression

We first examined the ability of SN 28049 to inhibit total RNA expression (predominantly ribosomal RNA expression) by examining its ability to inhibit 3 H-uridine incorporation in H460 cells. The results showed that SN 28049 was highly potent at inhibiting 3 H-uridine incorporation following a 1 h exposure to drug, inhibiting incorporation by 50% at 0.5 μ M and by 100% at 10 μ M (Fig. 6A). In contrast, following a 24 hr exposure to drug (Fig. 6B), while SN 28049 still inhibited incorporation by 90% at 4 μ M, at the highest concentration tested, amsacrine (20 μ M), inhibited incorporation by only 70%.

We next examined the ability of SN 28049 to inhibit luciferase expression from reporter constructs containing defined transcription factor binding and promoter sequences in transfected HEK293 cells. SN 28049 was significantly more efficient ($P < 0.05$) at inhibiting luciferase expression from SP-1 (GC-rich) sequences than at inhibiting expression from non-GC-rich AP-1 sequences (Fig. 7A,C). Furthermore the drug was also highly effective at inhibiting luciferase expression from the pSURVIVIN-Pluc construct that contains ~1kb of the survivin promoter containing multiple SP-1 sites (Fig. 7B) [25]. At equimolar concentrations (50 nM) SN 28049 was more effective at inhibiting luciferase expression than etoposide, DACA and amsacrine (Fig. 7C). It was similar in potency however to doxorubicin and camptothecin.

An inhibitory effect was also observed against endogenous h-TERT expression, whose promoter also contains multiple SP-1 binding sites, as measured by RT-PCR (Fig.8)[26]. Moreover, SN 28049 was more active in inhibiting h-TERT expression than amsacrine.

No inhibitory effect was seen against the housekeeper GAPDH gene, even when the cycle number of the PCR reaction was reduced from 30 to 24 cycles to be well within the linear amplification range (data not shown). The GAPDH promoter does not appear to contain SP-1 binding sites [27].

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the mechanism of action of SN 28049, a GC-selective DNA binding drug with exceptionally high activity against the murine colon tumour Colon 38. Clonogenic survival curves for H460 lung cancer cells exposed to drug for 1 hr had an unusual, biphasic shape (Fig. 2), with two phases of dose-dependent cytotoxicity separated by a phase of self-inhibition of cytotoxicity. The shape of the survival curve is very similar to that reported for the antitumour agent DACA [24] except that SN 28049 is approximately 16-fold more potent (Fig. 2). Previous studies with DACA showed that cell lines with reduced topo II activity failed to show the first phase of dose-dependent cytotoxicity, suggesting that this was topo II-dependent [17]. In this study, we used HTETOP cells which have been engineered such that topo II α expression is reduced in the presence of tetracycline [21]. We have shown cytotoxicity in response to low concentrations of SN 28049 is strongly reduced when expression of topo II α is reduced (Fig. 3), confirming and extending previous results. Cell proliferation assays also showed that addition of tetracycline to HTETOP cells decreased sensitivity to DACA, amsacrine, etoposide and doxorubicin, as well as to SN 28049 (Table 1), consistent with the hypothesis that all these drugs target topo II α .

Previous studies with DACA and analogues with isolated topo enzymes have shown that drug concentrations that poison the activity of topo II (5–10 μ M) also inhibit the relaxation action of topo I [24]. SN 28049 inhibits relaxation activity of purified topo I at 2 μ M (Fig. 4) suggesting a similar action. More importantly, the data in Table 2 show that inhibition of topo I expression with siRNA sensitises cells to growth inhibition by SN 28049 and other topo II poisons. Yeast cells lacking topo I are hypersensitive to topo II poisons [28, 29] including DACA, possibly because of the requirement for topo II to compensate for the loss of topo I function. To the best of our knowledge this is the first demonstration of this

phenomenon in human cells using RNAi. The results suggest a novel action for SN 28049 and DACA; namely that drug-induced suppression of topo I activity acts as a chemosensitiser for topo II-targeted cytotoxicity. This could help explain the *in vivo* high activity of SN 28049 and DACA against the Colon 38 murine adenocarcinoma *in vivo* [20, 30].

Our findings also demonstrate that SN 28049 has selective effects on transcription. Overall RNA expression, which probably comprises mainly ribosomal RNA, was inhibited by 50% at a drug concentration of approximately 0.5 μ M at 1 h and 100 nM at 24 h respectively after adding drug to cultures (Fig. 6A,B). Expression of luciferase under the control of different promoters was measured under comparable conditions. Expression from a promoter containing non GC-rich AP-1 sites was only slightly affected at 100 nM, whereas expression from a promoter containing GC-rich SP-1 sites, as well as that from the SP-1 containing survivin promoter, was inhibited at 25 nM by 50% and 80% respectively. Expression of endogenous h-TERT which also contains SP-1 sites [26], was also inhibited by SN 28049 extending the luciferase results. Moreover in related work conducted in our laboratory endogenous survivin gene expression was also found to be reduced in HCT116 cells following a 24 h exposure to 50 nM SN 28049, as measured by both RT-PCR and western blotting (C. Drummond et al., manuscript in preparation). Furthermore at 50 nM, SN28049 strongly inhibited luciferase expression from both the SP-1 and AP-1 promoter constructs, as did camptothecin and doxorubicin, consistent with their known action as potent inhibitors of transcription. Etoposide and DACA, on the other hand, showed little effect at this concentration consistent with their generally weaker effects on transcription (Bridewell, unpublished). This finding further establishes SN28049 as a strong inhibitor of transcription with effects against GC-rich SP-1 sites.

Our work suggests the presence of novel activities associated with SN 28049 cytotoxicity. We have shown here that SN28049 is not only a topo II poison and a suppressor of topo I activity, but also has selective effects on gene expression. Other compounds that have dual actions on topo I and II, such as aclarubicin, actinomycin D and the pyrazoloacridine NSC 366140, not only demonstrate strong antitumour activity but are also potent inhibitors of gene transcription.[31-35]. The role that perturbations in transcription play in the cytotoxicity of topo targeting drugs is still unclear. Accumulation of p53 and the stimulation of p53-dependent apoptosis following inhibition of transcription has been reported [36-38] demonstrating that disruption of transcription can lead to cytotoxic events. Recently Derheimer et al. (2007) [38] have reported that p53 is strongly induced in human diploid fibroblasts when transcription is blocked, even in the absence of DNA damage, indicating the presence of a response pathway to transcriptional blockage. Compounds such as SN 28049 may be particularly efficient inducers of this pathway, culminating in cell death. Interestingly, work in our laboratory has shown that SN 28049 is a more potent inducer of p53 expression than either doxorubicin or etoposide at equitoxic concentrations despite the commonality of topo II targeting among these drugs (C. Drummond et al., manuscript in preparation).

The role of topo I in removing DNA supercoils that form in front of, and behind, advancing RNA polymerases is well known [39, 40]. Recently Koster et al. (2007) reported that the

accumulation of positive DNA supercoils following exposure to the topo I poison, topotecan, is associated with cytotoxicity [41]. As camptothecin is a potent inhibitor of transcription whereas amsacrine and etoposide (topo II poisons without topo I activity) are not [34, 42-45] disruption of topo I relaxation activity may be a key step in the inhibition of gene transcription. Consequently we hypothesise that topo II poisoning and the suppression of topo I relaxation activity by SN 28049 leads to the accumulation of DNA strand breaks, and possibly DNA supercoils stalling transcription, triggering both DNA damage and transcriptional blockage response pathways at the sites of bound drug. As SN 28049 is a GC-selective DNA binder, with strong activity against SP-1 sites, it is possible that cytotoxic DNA lesions will be localised to GC-rich sequences disrupting the expression of these genes.

Selective inhibition of gene expression may have a significant impact on tumour cell growth and survival [46]. For example, camptothecin exposure leads to selective reduction of expression of EGFR, HIF-1 α and c-Myc [47-49], which are genes that strongly promote tumour cell growth and proliferation. Moreover, the bisphenazine XR5944 has been shown to selectively inhibit gene expression from oestrogen receptor binding sites, although not from SP-1 sites, suggesting that topo-targeting compounds that inhibit gene transcription may have unique gene inhibition signatures [50]. Interestingly SP-1 expression itself is highly elevated in many cancers where it appears to be involved in the promotion of tumourigenesis [51, 52]. Disruption of the expression of SP-1 regulated genes by SN28049 may alter the balance between the expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic survival genes leading to apoptosis [46] and may in part explain the profound loss of viability seen in cells exposed to high concentrations of SN 28049 (Fig. 2). Although further work will be required to determine whether selective or general inhibition of gene expression does indeed form part of the overall mechanism of cytotoxicity of SN 28049 it may be possible to use SN 28049 therapeutically to target high expressing SP-1 cancers. Given that compounds such as actinomycin D, the anthracycline aclarubicin, SN28049, pyrozolacridine, that inhibit topo function and gene transcription all demonstrate strong antitumour activity suggests that mechanisms that disrupt topo function and inhibit gene transcription may be favourable for anticancer activity. These factors may help to explain why the novel DNA binding benzonaphthyridine derivative SN28049 has such high anticancer activity against the Colon 38 carcinoma.

Acknowledgments

Grant Sponsor: This work was supported by the New Zealand Foundation for Research Science and Technology and by the Auckland Cancer Society.

References

- [1]. Sebolt-Leopold JS, English JM. Mechanisms of drug inhibition of signalling molecules. *Nature*. 2006; 441:457–62. [PubMed: 16724058]
- [2]. Van Dyke MW, Hertzberg RP, Dervan PB. Map of distamycin, netropsin, and actinomycin binding sites on heterogeneous DNA: DNA cleavage-inhibition patterns with methidiumpropyl-EDTA.Fe(II). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1982; 79:5470–4. [PubMed: 6291045]
- [3]. Shen J, Wang JC, Van Dyke MW. Identification of preferred actinomycin-DNA binding sites by the combinatorial method REPSA. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2001; 9:2285–93. [PubMed: 11553467]

- [4]. Baguley BC. DNA intercalating anti-tumour agents. *Anticancer Drug Des.* 1991; 6:1–35. [PubMed: 2015039]
- [5]. Sastry M, Patel DJ. Solution structure of the mithramycin dimer-DNA complex. *Biochemistry.* 1993; 32:6588–604. [PubMed: 8329387]
- [6]. Adams A, Guss JM, Collyer CA, Denny WA, Wakelin LP. Crystal structure of the topoisomerase II poison 9-amino-[N-(2-dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide bound to the DNA hexanucleotide d(CGTACG)₂. *Biochemistry.* 1999; 38:9221–33. [PubMed: 10413496]
- [7]. Adams A, Guss JM, Collyer CA, Denny WA, Prakash AS, Wakelin LP. Acridinecarboxamide topoisomerase poisons: structural and kinetic studies of the DNA complexes of 5-substituted 9-amino-(N-(2-dimethylamino)ethyl)acridine-4-carboxamides. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2000; 58:649–58. [PubMed: 10953060]
- [8]. Adams A, Guss JM, Collyer CA, Denny WA, Wakelin LP. A novel form of intercalation involving four DNA duplexes in an acridine-4-carboxamide complex of d(CGTACG)₂. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2000; 28:4244–53. [PubMed: 11058124]
- [9]. Twelves C, Campone M, Coudert B, Van den Bent M, de Jonge M, Ditttrich C, Rampling R, Sorio R, Lacombe D, de Balincourt C, Fumoleau P. Phase II study of XR5000 (DACA) administered as a 120-h infusion in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *Ann Oncol.* 2002; 13:777–80. [PubMed: 12075748]
- [10]. Twelves CJ, Gardner C, Flavin A, Sludden J, Dennis I, de Bono J, Beale P, Vasey P, Hutchison C, Macham MA, Rodriguez A, Judson I, Bleehen NM. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of DACA (XR5000): a novel inhibitor of topoisomerase I and II. CRC Phase I/II Committee. *Br J Cancer.* 1999; 80:1786–91. [PubMed: 10468297]
- [11]. Ditttrich C, Coudert B, Paz-Ares L, Caponigro F, Salzberg M, Gamucci T, Paoletti X, Hermans C, Lacombe D, Fumoleau P. Phase II study of XR 5000 (DACA), an inhibitor of topoisomerase I and II, administered as a 120-h infusion in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 2003; 39:330–4. [PubMed: 12565985]
- [12]. Ditttrich C, Dieras V, Kerbrat P, Punt C, Sorio R, Caponigro F, Paoletti X, de Balincourt C, Lacombe D, Fumoleau P. Phase II study of XR5000 (DACA), an inhibitor of topoisomerase I and II, administered as a 120-h infusion in patients with advanced ovarian cancer. *Invest New Drugs.* 2003; 21:347–52. [PubMed: 14578683]
- [13]. Caponigro F, Ditttrich C, Sorensen JB, Schellens JH, Duffaud F, Ares L Paz, Lacombe D, de Balincourt C, Fumoleau P. Phase II study of XR 5000, an inhibitor of topoisomerases I and II, in advanced colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 2002; 38:70–4. [PubMed: 11750842]
- [14]. McCrystal MR, Evans BD, Harvey VJ, Thompson PI, Porter DJ, Baguley BC. Phase I study of the cytotoxic agent N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1999; 44:39–44. [PubMed: 10367747]
- [15]. Finlay GJ, Riou JF, Baguley BC. From amsacrine to DACA (N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide): selectivity for topoisomerases I and II among acridine derivatives. *Eur J Cancer.* 1996; 32A:708–14. [PubMed: 8695277]
- [16]. Bridewell DJ, Finlay GJ, Baguley BC. Mechanism of cytotoxicity of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide and of its 7-chloro derivative: the roles of topoisomerases I and II. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1999; 43:302–8. [PubMed: 10071981]
- [17]. Finlay GJ, Marshall E, Matthews JH, Paull KD, Baguley BC. In vitro assessment of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide, a DNA-intercalating antitumour drug with reduced sensitivity to multidrug resistance. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1993; 31:401–6. [PubMed: 8381721]
- [18]. Padget K, Stewart A, Charlton P, Tilby MJ, Austin CA. An investigation into the formation of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide (DACA) and 6-[2-(dimethylamino)ethylamino]-3-hydroxy-7H-indeno[2, 1-C]quinolin-7-one dihydrochloride (TAS-103) stabilised DNA topoisomerase I and II cleavable complexes in human leukaemia cells. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2000; 60:817–21. [PubMed: 10930536]
- [19]. Denny WA, Baguley BC. Dual topoisomerase I/II inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Curr Top Med Chem.* 2003; 3:339–53. [PubMed: 12570767]

- [20]. Deady LW, Rodemann T, Zhuang L, Baguley BC, Denny WA. Synthesis and cytotoxic activity of carboxamide derivatives of benzo[b][1,6]naphthyridines. *J Med Chem.* 2003; 46:1049–54. [PubMed: 12620081]
- [21]. Carpenter AJ, Porter AC. Construction, characterization, and complementation of a conditional-lethal DNA topoisomerase II α mutant human cell line. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2004; 15:5700–11. [PubMed: 15456904]
- [22]. Gossen M, Bonin AL, Freundlieb S, Bujard H. Inducible gene expression systems for higher eukaryotic cells. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 1994; 5:516–20. [PubMed: 7765466]
- [23]. Haldane A, Finlay GJ, Gavin JB, Baguley BC. Unusual dynamics of killing of cultured Lewis lung cells by the DNA-intercalating antitumour agent N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1992; 29:475–9. [PubMed: 1568291]
- [24]. Bridewell DJ, Finlay GJ, Baguley BC. Topoisomerase I/II selectivity among derivatives of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide (DACA). *Anticancer Drug Des.* 2001; 16:317–24. [PubMed: 12375884]
- [25]. Li F, Altieri DC. Transcriptional analysis of human survivin gene expression. *Biochem J.* 1999; 344(Pt 2):305–11. [PubMed: 10567210]
- [26]. Horikawa I, Cable PL, Afshari C, Barrett JC. Cloning and characterization of the promoter region of human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene. *Cancer Res.* 1999; 59:826–30. [PubMed: 10029071]
- [27]. Aki T, Yanagisawa S, Akanuma H. Identification and characterization of positive regulatory elements in the human glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene promoter. *J Biochem (Tokyo).* 1997; 122:271–8. [PubMed: 9378702]
- [28]. Nitiss JL, Zhou J, Rose A, Hsiung Y, Gale KC, Osheroff N. The bis(naphthalimide) DMP-840 causes cytotoxicity by its action against eukaryotic topoisomerase II. *Biochemistry.* 1998; 37:3078–85. [PubMed: 9485461]
- [29]. Eng WK, Faucette L, Johnson RK, Sternglanz R. Evidence that DNA topoisomerase I is necessary for the cytotoxic effects of camptothecin. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1988; 34:755–60. [PubMed: 2849043]
- [30]. Baguley BC, Zhuang L, Marshall E. Experimental solid tumour activity of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-acridine-4-carboxamide. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1995; 36:244–8. [PubMed: 7781146]
- [31]. Wassermann K, Markovits J, Jaxel C, Capranico G, Kohn KW, Pommier Y. Effects of morpholinyl doxorubicins, doxorubicin, and actinomycin D on mammalian DNA topoisomerases I and II. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1990; 38:38–45. [PubMed: 2164630]
- [32]. Adjei AA, Charron M, Rowinsky EK, Svingen PA, Miller J, Reid JM, Sebolt-Leopold J, Ames MM, Kaufmann SH. Effect of pyrazoloacridine (NSC 366140) on DNA topoisomerases I and II. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1998; 4:683–91. [PubMed: 9533538]
- [33]. Long BH, Willis CE, Prestayko AW, Crooke ST. Effect of anthracycline analogues on the appearance of newly synthesized total RNA and messenger RNA in the cytoplasm of erythroleukemia cells. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1982; 22:152–7. [PubMed: 6956804]
- [34]. Sebolt JS, Scavone SV, Pinter CD, Hamelhele KL, Von Hoff DD, Jackson RC. Pyrazoloacridines, a new class of anticancer agents with selectivity against solid tumors in vitro. *Cancer Res.* 1987; 47:4299–304. [PubMed: 2440564]
- [35]. Sobell HM. Actinomycin and DNA transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1985; 82:5328–31. [PubMed: 2410919]
- [36]. Ljungman M, Zhang F, Chen F, Rainbow AJ, McKay BC. Inhibition of RNA polymerase II as a trigger for the p53 response. *Oncogene.* 1999; 18:583–92. [PubMed: 9989808]
- [37]. Arima Y, Nitta M, Kuninaka S, Zhang D, Fujiwara T, Taya Y, Nakao M, Saya H. Transcriptional blockade induces p53-dependent apoptosis associated with translocation of p53 to mitochondria. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:19166–76. [PubMed: 15753095]
- [38]. Derheimer FA, O'Hagan HM, Krueger HM, Hanasoge S, Paulsen MT, Ljungman M. RPA and ATR link transcriptional stress to p53. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007
- [39]. Champoux JJ. DNA topoisomerases: structure, function, and mechanism. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2001; 70:369–413. [PubMed: 11395412]

- [40]. Wang JC. Cellular roles of DNA topoisomerases: a molecular perspective. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2002; 3:430–40. [PubMed: 12042765]
- [41]. Koster DA, Palte K, Bot ES, Bjornsti MA, Dekker NH. Antitumour drugs impede DNA uncoiling by topoisomerase I. *Nature.* 2007; 448:213–7. [PubMed: 17589503]
- [42]. Merino A, Madden KR, Lane WS, Champoux JJ, Reinberg D. DNA topoisomerase I is involved in both repression and activation of transcription. *Nature.* 1993; 365:227–32. [PubMed: 8396729]
- [43]. Zhang H, Wang JC, Liu LF. Involvement of DNA topoisomerase I in transcription of human ribosomal RNA genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1988; 85:1060–4. [PubMed: 2829214]
- [44]. Pastwa E, Ciesielska E, Piestrzeniewicz MK, Denny WA, Gniazdowski M, Szmigiero L. Cytotoxic and DNA-damaging properties of N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]acridine-4-carboxamide (DACA) and its analogues. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1998; 56:351–9. [PubMed: 9744573]
- [45]. Nagata T, Higashigawa M, Shimono Y, Cao DC, Mao X Yan, M'Soka T, Inamochi H, Hori H, Kawasaki H, Sakurai M. Aclarubicin inhibits etoposide induced apoptosis through inhibition of RNA synthesis in P388 murine leukemic cells. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 1998; 17:435–42. [PubMed: 10089064]
- [46]. Derheimer FA, Chang CW, Ljungman M. Transcription inhibition: a potential strategy for cancer therapeutics. *Eur J Cancer.* 2005; 41:2569–76. [PubMed: 16213135]
- [47]. Rapisarda A, Uranchimeg B, Scudiero DA, Selby M, Sausville EA, Shoemaker RH, Melillo G. Identification of small molecule inhibitors of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 transcriptional activation pathway. *Cancer Res.* 2002; 62:4316–24. [PubMed: 12154035]
- [48]. Mialon A, Sankinen M, Soderstrom H, Junttila TT, Holmstrom T, Koivusalo R, Papageorgiou AC, Johnson RS, Hietanen S, Elenius K, Westermarck J. DNA topoisomerase I is a cofactor for c-Jun in the regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor expression and cancer cell proliferation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 25:5040–51. [PubMed: 15923621]
- [49]. Collins I, Weber A, Levens D. Transcriptional consequences of topoisomerase inhibition. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 21:8437–51. [PubMed: 11713279]
- [50]. Punchihewa C, De Alba A, Sidell N, Yang D. XR5944: A potent inhibitor of estrogen receptors. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2007; 6:213–9. [PubMed: 17218634]
- [51]. Safe S, Abdelrahim M. Sp transcription factor family and its role in cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 2005; 41:2438–48. [PubMed: 16209919]
- [52]. Black AR, Black JD, Azizkhan-Clifford J. Sp1 and kruppel-like factor family of transcription factors in cell growth regulation and cancer. *J Cell Physiol.* 2001; 188:143–60. [PubMed: 11424081]

Fig. 1.
Structure of SN 28049 (N-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-2,6-dimethyl-1-oxo-1,2-dihydrobenzo[b]-1,6-naphthyridine-4-carboxamide).

Fig. 2.

Survival curves generated for H460 cells following a 1-hr period of exposure to SN 28049 and DACA. Data are taken from single experiments plated in triplicate. To facilitate plotting, plates that did not contain colonies were arbitrarily scored at 0.001% survival.

Fig. 3.

Survival curves generated for HTETOP cells, with reduced topo II α content, following a 1 hr-period of exposure to SN 28049 (A). To reduce topo II α content, HTETOP cells were exposed to tetracycline (1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) 24 hr prior to harvesting for the clonogenic assay. (B) Effects on topo II α content in HTETOP cells following a 24 hr exposure to tetracycline, as measured by western blotting.

Fig. 4. Suppression of topo I-mediated relaxation of supercoiled plasmid DNA by SN 28049. At the end of the assay sample volumes were halved and analysed on separate 1% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide, 0.5 μ g/ml (A), to detect nicked open circles or without ethidium bromide (B), to analyse relaxation activity.

Fig. 5.

Western blot analysis of H460 cells transfected with Dharmacon's 'SmartPool' of four siRNA molecules targeting human topo I, 24-hr post-transfection. The image was scanned and band intensities measured. Percentage reductions in topo I levels with respect to control cells were 88% at 200 nM, 55% at 100 nM, 49% at 80 nM, 36% at 60 nM and 25% at 50 nM.

Fig. 6. Inhibition of ³H-uridine incorporation in HEK293 cells by SN 28049 following a 1 h exposure to drug (A) and SN 28049 and amsacrine (B) following a 24-hr exposure to drug.

Fig. 7.

Reductions in luciferase expression in HEK293 cells transfected with the GC-rich, SP-1 or non-GC-rich AP1 promoter reporter in the presence of SN 28049 (A). Luciferase expression was significantly more reduced from the SP-1 construct than from the AP-1 construct $P < 0.05$. (B) Reductions in luciferase expression in HEK293 cells transfected with a reporter construct containing ~1kb of the SP1 regulated survivin promoter. (C) Effects of an equimolar exposure (50 nM) to topo-targeting drugs on SP-1 and AP-1 driven luciferase expression in HEK293 cells. Cells were exposed to drug for 20 hours.

Fig. 8.
RT-PCR analysis of the expression of endogenous h-TERT in HEK 293 cells following a 24 hr exposure to SN 28049 and amsacrine.

Table 1

IC₅₀ values (nM) for HTETOP cells in the presence and absence of tetracycline.

Drug	-Tetracycline	+Tetracycline	Ratio
SN 28049	6.7	13	1.9
DACA	70	170	2.4
Doxorubicin	9.9	25	2.5
Amsacrine	76	270	3.6
Camptothecin	16	19	1.2
Aclarubicin	2.4	3.0	1.3
Etoposide	44	370	8.6

Table 2

IC₅₀ values (nM) of topo-directed anticancer drugs in H460 cells following RNA interference of topo I. H460 cells were transfected with 100 nM siRNA and harvested 24 hr post-transfection for the assay.

Drug	Negative control siRNA	Topo I siRNA	Ratio ^a
Amsacrine	13	<3	>4.3
SN 28049	3.3	0.89	3.7
Doxorubicin	4	1.3	3.1
Etoposide	150	46	3.3
Aclarubicin	11	4.5	2.5
DACA	360	180	2.1
Camptothecin	3.6	7.5	0.5

^aIC₅₀ value for negative control siRNA treated H460 cells/IC₅₀ value for topo I-silenced H460 cells.