Original Article

Single incision pediatric flexible intramedullary tibial nailing

John G. Coury^{a,*}, Zachary C. Lum^a, Nicholas P. O'Neill^a, Joseph A. Gerardi^b^a Valley Consortium for Medical Education, 1400 Florida Ave Suite 200, Modesto, CA 95350, USA^b Valley Children's Hospital, 9300 Valley Children's Pl., Madera, CA 93636, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 March 2017

Accepted 22 June 2017

Available online 24 June 2017

Keywords:

Tibial shaft fracture

Dual-incision

Single-incision

Flexible nail

ABSTRACT

Background: There has been a trend towards flexible intramedullary nailing for unstable tibial shaft fractures in the pediatric population, traditionally, utilizing a 2-incision technique with passage of one nail medially and one nail laterally. Our study aims to compare a single incision approach for flexible nailing of unstable tibial shaft fractures in pediatric patients to the traditional 2-incision approach.

Methods: Patients were selected for operative fixation if they had a length unstable tibial shaft fracture confirmed by fluoroscopy. Exclusion criteria included length stable tibial fractures that could undergo nonoperative treatment. Single incision technique utilized the medial incision only. Patients were monitored in the hospital for one postoperative day and followed up at 4 week, 8 week, and 12 week marks. Radiographic analysis was performed to evaluate for malunion or nonunion. Operative times, infection rates and complications were recorded and analyzed.

Results: All patients achieved complete fracture healing at the 12-week follow up. There were no delayed unions, nonunions or malunions in either treatment group.

Conclusions: Single medial incision for tibial flexible nails had equivalent outcomes with no difference in primary healing rate, malunion or nonunion rate when compared to the dual incision technique.

© 2017 Prof. PK Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Management of tibial shaft fractures in the pediatric population has historically occurred through non-operative means, such as closed reduction and casting.¹ For stable fractures, this is an acceptable and effective form of treatment and is currently the gold standard of care.² However, the treatment of unstable pediatric tibial shaft fractures continues to develop over time. In the past, plate and screw fixation and external fixation was performed for fractures requiring surgical fixation.^{3–5}

Recently, there has been a trend towards flexible intramedullary nailing for these fractures.⁶ The reason is because there is a significant complication rate that accompanies fixation with plates and screws as well as with external fixation, such as infection, delayed union, refracture, malalignment and joint stiffness.^{4,5,7–10} With the use of flexible intramedullary nailing, unstable pediatric tibial shaft fractures can be safely treated with a much smaller risk of wound or infectious complications.¹¹

Traditionally, the surgery consists of a 2-incision technique with passage of one nail medially and one nail laterally. The 2-incision technique allows for the application of standard biomechanical principles that stress the importance of 2 nails with opposing curves.¹² With this technique, both opposing curves are created with concavities facing each other, while the apex of each curve is located at the fracture site. In addition, it has also been proposed that each nail should be equal in length and should be inserted from opposing sides.¹³ Potential complications of a 2-incision technique include an increased risk of wound complications secondary to a larger number of incisions along with an increased surgical time and time under anesthesia. An increased operative time equates to an increased financial burden to both the patient and hospital. Also, when using the 2-incision approach, the lateral incision is generally made 2–3 cm proximal to the planned entry point; the entry point itself being at the level of the tibial tuberosity. With this in mind, it is reasonable to conclude that the lateral incision poses a potential risk of injury to the peroneal nerve as it courses into the anterior compartment of the leg.

Due to these potential risks, we have developed a single incision flexible intramedullary nail technique for pediatric tibial shaft fractures and have compared this to the more traditional dual incision method. We have hypothesized that a single medial incision will offer equivalent outcomes to dual incisions with improvements in cosmesis and operative time.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: John.coury@tenethealth.com (J.G. Coury), zacharylum@gmail.com (Z.C. Lum), noneil@kcumb.edu (N.P. O'Neill), jgerardi@valleychildrens.org (J.A. Gerardi).

2. Materials and methods

Eleven patients underwent intramedullary fixation of tibial shaft fracture from January 2009 to September 2015. Patients were selected for operative fixation if they had a length unstable tibial shaft fracture confirmed by fluoroscopy (Fig. 1). Exclusion criteria included length stable tibial fractures that could undergo nonoperative treatment.

A total of 6 patients comprised the single incision group of which 5 were male and 1 was female with an average age of 12.17 (10–14; standard deviation of 1.3). The average weight of these 6 patients was 55.6 kg (38.6–89; standard deviation of 18.7). Four of the fractures involved the right tibia and 2 involved the left tibia. Additional demographic data is included in Table 1. Single incision technique utilized the medial incision only. A medial incision approximately 2 cm distal to the growth plate was localized. Dissection was carefully performed down to the periosteum. The periosteum was split, and carefully elevated from the bone. A 4.5 mm drill was passed unicortically at an oblique angle aiming distally. Flexible nails with diameters approximately 40% of the isthmus canal were passed down and fixed into the distal metaphyseal bone. A second 4.5 mm drill hole was placed next to the first hole, and another flexible nail was passed down the canal. The configuration of the nails was such that both nails were apart from each other to provide better rotation control. Final placement of the nails is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

The remaining 5 individuals in the dual incision group included 4 males and 1 female with an average age of 12.8 years (10–14; standard deviation of 1.6). The average weight of these 5 patients was 64.22 kg (49.9–96; standard deviation of 16.9). Three of the fractures involved the right tibia and 2 involved the left. Additional demographic data is available in Table 1. Dual incision included a lateral incision anterior to the fibular head, near the center of the lateral proximal tibia, distal to the growth plate. The anterior compartment fascia was gently elevated and periosteum elevated as well. A starting point, 2 cm distal to the physis was drilled, aiming distal obliquely. The nail was passed down the canal and fixed into the distal metaphyseal bone. Final placement of the nails is demonstrated in Fig. 2.

The nails are cut flush to the skin and advanced by tamp to approximately 1.5 cm outside of the bone. Layered skin closure was performed, and sterile dressings applied. A short leg cast was applied in the operating room.

Patients were monitored in the hospital for one postoperative day, and discharged after physical therapy evaluation. Casts were removed in 6 weeks and initial weight bearing was begun. Patients followed up at 4 week, 8 week, and 12 week marks. Radiographic

analysis was performed to evaluate for malunion or nonunion. Operative times, infection rates and complications were recorded and analyzed.

All statistics were done using SPSS for Mac Version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY). Student *t*-tests were used to compare the 2 groups on continuous variables (age, weight, time to union, and operating room time). χ^2 tests were used to compare categorical variables. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

Eleven cases of pediatric tibial shaft fractures were included in our study, 6 of which were treated with the single medial incision approach. The remaining 5 patients underwent the standard 2-incision approach.

The operative time was 43.34 min (30–50; standard deviation of 7.5) for the single incision group and 45 min (25–55; standard deviation of 10.5) for the dual incision group. There was no statistical significance for operative time ($p = 0.40$). All patients achieved complete fracture healing at the 12-week follow up. The single incision group was 69.83 days (60–85; standard deviation 12.1) and for the dual incision group the average was 72 days (55–92; standard deviation 14.0). Time to union was also not found to be statistically significant ($p = 0.39$) between the two groups. There were no delayed unions, nonunions or malunions in either treatment group (Table 2). None of the patients had a course complicated by infection or any other significant complications.

4. Discussion

Tibial shaft fractures are the third most common type of long bone fractures in the pediatric patient, surpassed only by those of the femur and forearm.^{14,15} Since the arrival of flexible intramedullary nails, many studies have demonstrated their efficacy and treatment technique in the management of unstable tibial fractures.^{1,10,11,16–19} With the continuous enhancement of unstable fracture management, flexible intramedullary nailing is becoming a more commonly used technique. Traditionally, unstable tibial shaft fractures have been stabilized via a 2-incision approach, employing both a medial and lateral nail insertion point in the proximal tibial metaphysis.

Our study demonstrated that a single medial incision for tibial flexible nails had equivalent outcomes with no difference in primary healing rate, malunion or nonunion rate when compared to the dual incision technique. The single medial incision technique is technically easier with less potential risk of peroneal nerve damage. Furthermore, a single incision is preferable to two

Fig. 1. Post-operative films of nail placement after single incision approach for tibial nail insertion.

Table 1
Demographics.

Mean $\pm$ SD or N (%)	Single Incision Group (n = 6)	Dual Incision Group (n = 5)	P
Age (y)	12.17 $\pm$ 1.3	12.8 $\pm$ 1.6	0.17
Sex			
Male	5 (83.3)	4 (80)	0.88
Female	1 (16.7)	1 (20)	
Weight (kg)	56.6 $\pm$ 18.7	64.22 $\pm$ 16.9	0.25
Laterality			
Right	4 (66.7)	3 (60)	0.82
Left	2 (33.3)	2 (20)	
Location			
Midshaft	3 (50)	2 (40)	0.61
Distal	3 (50)	3 (60)	
Type			
Spiral	4 (66.7)	2 (40)	0.23
Oblique	2 (33.3)	1 (20)	
Transverse	0 (0)	2 (40)	
AO Classification			
42 A1	4 (66.7)	2 (40)	0.23
42 A2	2 (33.3)	1 (20)	
42 A3	0 (0)	2 (40)	

AO indicates Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen.

Table 2
Results.

Mean $\pm$ SD	Single incision group, (n = 6)	Dual incision group, (n = 5)	P
Time to union (d)	69.83 $\pm$ 12.1	72 $\pm$ 14.0	0.39
Operative time (min)	43.3 $\pm$ 7.5	45 $\pm$ 10.5	0.40

separate incisions in regards to the removal of hardware during a future operation (9). With a reduction in the number of incisions, it is reasonable to conclude that the operative time will be shorter, although our results did not show a statistically significant reduction in operative time.

The benefits of titanium elastic tibial nailing include decreased scar, decreased infection rate and decreased refracture rate.¹⁷ Kubiak et al. in 2005 performed a retrospective study analyzing the differences in results of pediatric tibial shaft fractures treated with flexible intramedullary nails versus external fixation. Their major findings included a significantly shorter time to union for patients in the flexible nail group (7 vs. 18 weeks), and a higher rate of bone-related complications in the external fixation group. Finally,

flexible intramedullary nails employ a three-point fixation method that allows maintenance of both alignment and rotation for most fractures, thus providing fixation that is stable and elastic.¹⁶

To our knowledge, this is the first documented attempt at introducing this single medial incision technique into both the literature and clinical practice for the use of flexible intramedullary nails in unstable pediatric tibial shaft fractures. This cohort study clearly identified no difference between the single incision and dual incision approaches in regards to primary healing rate, nonunion or malunion rate. Patients treated with single incision flexible intramedullary nails achieved excellent clinical and radiographic bony union and functional outcomes at final follow up.

Fig. 2. Post-operative films of nail placement 2-incision approach for tibial nail insertion.

Limitations of the study are the relatively small patient population; future studies with larger cohorts and longer longitudinal data are necessary to further analyze the potential benefits of the single incision technique. In addition, parent and patient satisfaction were not evaluated during this study; however this creates an opportunity for further research in this area. Our post-operative management may vary from other management protocols in the tibial shaft dual incision literature. None of our patients were allowed to bear weight for 6 weeks despite literature evidence for ability to bear weight after only 2 weeks.²⁰ In addition; all patients were casted with bi-valve casting which could have confounded the results of our study.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the safety and efficacy of the single medial incision flexible intramedullary nail technique in the treatment of unstable tibial shaft fractures. We consider this method of fracture management to be a viable option for pediatric tibial shaft fractures. However, future studies with larger cohorts are required in order to further analyze the validity of these potential benefits as well as the long-term effects of the single incision method.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

1. Setter KJ, Palomino KE. Pediatric tibia fractures: current concepts. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2006;18:30–35.
2. Sankar WN, Jones KJ, Horn BD, et al. Titanium elastic nails for pediatric tibial shaft fractures. *J Child Orthop*. 2007;1:281–286.
3. Siegmeth A, Wruhs O, Vecsei V. External fixation of lower limb fractures in children. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. 1998;8:35–41.
4. Tolo VT. External skeletal fixation in children's fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1983;3:435–442.
5. Hull JB, Sanderson PL, Rickman M, et al. External fixation of children's fractures: use of the orthofix dynamic axial fixator. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1997;6:203–206.
6. Lascombes P, Nespoli A, Poiricuitte JM, et al. Early complications with flexible intramedullary nailing in childhood fracture: 100 cases managed with precurved tip and shaft nails. *Orthop Traumatol: Surg Res*. 2012;98:369–375.
7. Bartlett CS, Weiner LS, Yang EC. Treatment of type II and type III open tibia fractures in children. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1997;11:357–362.
8. Buckley SL, Smith GR, Sponseller PD, et al. Severe (Type III) open fractures of the tibia in children. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1996;16:627–634.
9. Evanoff M. External fixation maintained until fracture consolidation in the skeletally immature. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1993;13:98–101.
10. Goodwin RC, Gaynor T, Mahar A, et al. Intramedullary flexible nail fixation of unstable pediatric tibial diaphyseal fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2005;25:570–576.
11. Pandya NK, Edmonds EW. Immediate intramedullary flexible nailing of open pediatric tibial shaft fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2012;32:770–776.
12. Johnson CW, Carmichael KD, Morris RP, et al. Biomechanical study of flexible intramedullary nails. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2009;29:44–48.
13. Knedel M, Gallego EW, Gerardi J, et al. Preliminary report: pediatric femur fractures: single incision intramedullary stabilization technique. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2015;35:657–660.
14. Heinrich JH, Piercse RO. Comminuted intra-articular fractures of the distal tibia. *J Trauma*. 1979;19:828–832.
15. Shannak AO. Tibial fractures in children: follow-up study. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1988;8:306–310.
16. Vallamshetla VRP, De Silva U, Bache CE, et al. Flexible intramedullary nails for unstable fractures of the tibia in children. *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]*. 2006;88-B:536–540.
17. O'Brien T, Weisman DS, Ronchetti P, et al. Flexible titanium nailing for the treatment of the unstable pediatric tibial fracture. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2004;24:601–609.
18. Kubiak EN, Egol KE, Scher D, et al. Operative treatment of tibial fractures in children: are elastic stable intramedullary nails an improvement over external fixation? *J Bone Joint Surg*. 2005;87:1761–1768.
19. Aslani H, Tabrizi A, Sadighi A, et al. Treatment of open pediatric tibial fractures by external fixation versus flexible intramedullary nailing: a comparative study. *Arch Trauma Res*. 2013;2:108–112.
20. Gordon EJ, Gregush RV, Schoenecker PL. Complications after titanium elastic nailing of pediatric tibial fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2007;27(4):442–446.