Lateral Internal Partial Sphincterotomy Technique for Chronic Anal Fissure

Gurel Nessar¹ · Mevlut Topbas²

Received: 20 November 2015 / Accepted: 4 March 2016 / Published online: 12 March 2016
© Association of Surgeons of India 2016

Abstract Lateral internal sphincterotomy is used for the treatment of a chronic anal fissure. There is a lack of consensus for the amount of internal sphincter division necessary in the surgical treatment of an anal fissure. The anatomy of the anal sphincters and the subcutaneous partial sphincterotomy technique are presented with fresh anal canal specimen photographs. Lateral internal partial sphincterotomy is performed in 43 patients in the office between 2012 and 2013. The patients were questioned about their bowel habitus and any problem with anal control before the operation. Postoperatively, the patients were followed up by office visits and telephone calls at 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months. Data were collected prospectively. Forty of the patients (93 %) were pain free in 1 week after the operation. Further sphincter fibers were divided in three patients (7 %) because of the persistent pain. The most common complication was the sensation of burning ($n=9$, 20.9 %) around the anus. Bleeding in three patients, itching around the anus in two patients, and incontinence to flatus in one patient were the other complications. None of the patients developed fecal incontinence in the follow-up period. Lateral internal partial sphincterotomy is a safe, effective, and reproducible technique for the management of chronic anal fissure pain.

Keywords Fissure *in ano* · Sphincterotomy · Ambulatory surgical procedures · Technique

✉ Gurel Nessar
gurelnessar@hotmail.com

¹ Gastrointestinal Surgery Department, Yuksek Ihtisas Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

² Dr. Mediha Eldem Sokak 73/12, Ankara, Turkey

Introduction

Lateral internal sphincterotomy is the surgical treatment of choice for a chronic anal fissure [1]. Optimal division of the lateral internal sphincter has not yet been devised since the length of the anal canal differs between patients. It is appropriate to describe it according to the position of the dentate line (i.e., division of the internal anal sphincter below the dentate line) [2]. We think that this type of incision is excessive and should be approached in a more conservative manner because of the high percentage of postoperative soiling or incontinence problems published historically [3–5]. This paper introduces the concept of subcutaneous lateral internal partial sphincterotomy required for a chronic anal fissure.

Material and Methods

A total of 43 lateral internal partial sphincterotomies were performed in the outpatient setting between 2012 and 2013. Five of the patients had acute fissures which were treated with topical 0.4 % nitroglycerin ointment. Before the operation, the patients were questioned about their bowel habitus and anal control problems. Postoperatively, all the patients were followed up by office visits and telephone calls at 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months regularly. The procedure is performed in the lithotomy position. Prophylactic antibiotic and rectal enemas are not used. Local anesthesia with lidocaine (40–80 mg) is preferred. A fresh specimen photograph of the surgical anal canal is provided to assist in the understanding of the technique (Fig. 1). Careful palpation of the intersphincteric groove with the index finger is the mainstay of the procedure (Fig. 2). After the internal and external sphincters have been identified, a no. 11 blade is inserted through the intersphincteric plane and advanced cephalad to the level of

Fig. 1 Surgical anal canal photograph. The lumen and the coronal section of a fresh anal canal specimen. The *black arrow* indicates the dentate line, the *yellow arrow* indicates the anocutaneous line (intersphincteric groove), the *white arrows* indicate the internal anal sphincter, and the *red arrows* indicate the subcutaneous part of the external anal sphincter

the dentate line. Then, the sharp edge of the blade is turned toward the anal canal and the incision is made medially, controlled by the index finger (Fig. 3). It is important not to divide more than half of the sphincter's width below the dentate line. The sketches are drawn to compare the classical and partial sphincterotomy techniques (Fig. 4). A finger pressure was applied to the incision for hemostasis for a few minutes. All the patients were advised sitz baths and to resume normal defecation. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Results

Forty of the patients (93 %) were free of pain 1 week after the operation. Three patients suffered from persistent pain and these patients underwent the same operation (7 %). After the second procedure, none of the patients experienced pain. Before the surgery, pain was the clinical hallmark of the patients during and after defecation for a certain period of time (range between 2 min and 10 h). Rectal bleeding was a common problem in 29 patients (67.4 %). There was a history of constipation in 25 of the patients (58 %), normal bowel

Fig. 2 Identification of the intersphincteric groove with the index finger and insertion of the blade through the intersphincteric plane

Fig. 3 Division of the internal anal sphincters, laterally to medially

movements in 16 (37 %), and diarrhea in 2 (5 %). Sentinel skin tags were encountered in 60.5 % ($n=26$) of the patients and excised in 19 % ($n=5$) of them. The median age of the patients at surgery was 39 years (range 17–69 years); 32 (74.4 %) of them were male (Table 1). After the surgery, bleeding from the incision site occurred in 3 patients; all were on anticoagulants (i.e., clopidogrel or acetylsalicylic acid). A digital pressure and proper dressing are applied to the incision for hemostasis. Suturing to close the incision site was not needed. The most common complication was the sensation of burning ($n=9$, 20.9 %) around the anus, and one had incontinence to flatus that all healed completely after 1 month. Two patients (4.6 %) had itching around the anus. None of the patients developed fecal incontinence in the follow-up period.

Discussion

Notaras described subcutaneous lateral internal sphincterotomy in 1971 [6]. In his original description, the scalpel is inserted between the anoderm and internal sphincter, and sphincterotomy is performed medially to laterally below the dentate line. This technique has drawbacks: sphincterotomy that penetrates the anal mucosa may result in

Fig. 4 Comparison of the classic technique with the partial sphincterotomy. *Crosshatched areas* show the amount of internal anal sphincter muscle divisions

Table 1 Patient characteristics

Variable	Number
Gender (M/F)	32/11
Median age (range)	39 (17–69)
Pain during defecation	43 (100)
Rectal bleeding	29 (67,4)
History of constipation	25 (58)
Normal bowel movements	16 (37)
Diarrhea	2 (5)

Figures in the parentheses are percentages

anal abscess and fistula formation. Internal hemorrhoidal plexus damage or external sphincter injury may also ensue.

The classical subcutaneous technique, described by Hoffman and Goligher as the division of all sphincter fibers below the dentate line, creates a prominent groove beneath the anoderm [7]. This leads to soiling because a small amount of feces may accumulate in the defect. Fecal incontinence can also occur due to low resting anal canal pressures. Subcutaneous lateral internal partial sphincterotomy is performed laterally to medially, dividing one fourth of the internal sphincter, and obviates such morbidities.

The concept of a limited division of the internal anal sphincter is not new; a few studies have drawn attention to the subject [8–11]. They all were concerned with the length of the sphincterotomy. This paper addresses an important area in the literature and introduces the concept of the width and length of the internal sphincterotomy required in the setting of a chronic anal fissure. The treatment of a chronic anal fissure is to relieve the pain. The anatomy of the anal canal should not be altered after the sphincterotomy.

We do not perform anal manometry for fissure patients. This might be seen as a limitation in our study; however, it is difficult to perform and evaluate manometry in a patient with severe anal sphincter spasm and pain. The potential disadvantage of the technique is persistence of pain, which is defined as pain that fails to resolve after sphincterotomy (recurrence refers to pain occurring after an intervening period of at least 6 months of bowel movements without pain). Should pain persist after surgery, it is primarily due to inadequate division and further sphincter fibers can be divided safely.

Conclusion

Our results revealed that subcutaneous lateral internal partial sphincterotomy is effective, safe, and a reproducible technique for the management of chronic fissure pain.

Compliance with Ethical Standards Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Perry WB, Dykes SL, Buie WD, Rafferty JF (2010) Practice parameters for the management of anal fissures. *Dis Colon Rectum* 53:1110–15
2. Corman ML (2005) *Colon and rectal surgery*, 5th edn. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia
3. Lewis T, Corman M, Prager E, Robertson W (1988) Long-term results for open and closed sphincterotomy for anal fissure. *Dis Colon Rectum* 31:368–71
4. Khubchandani IT, Reed JF (1989) Sequelae of internal sphincterotomy for chronic fissure in ano. *Br J Surg* 76:431–34
5. Nyam DC, Pemberton JH (1999) Long-term results of lateral internal sphincterotomy for chronic anal fissure with particular reference to incidence of fecal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum* 42:1306–10
6. Notaras MJ (1971) The treatment of anal fissure by lateral subcutaneous internal sphincterotomy: a technique and results. *Br J Surg* 58:96–100
7. Hoffmann DC, Goligher J (1970) Lateral subcutaneous internal sphincterotomy in treatment of anal fissure. *BMJ* 3:673–5
8. Littlejohn DR, Newstead GL (1997) Tailored lateral sphincterotomy for anal fissure. *Dis Colon Rectum* 40:1439–42
9. Rosa G, Lolli P, Piccinelli D et al (2005) Calibrated lateral internal sphincterotomy for chronic anal fissure. *Tech Coloproctol* 9:127–31, discussion 131–2
10. Cho DY (2005) Controlled lateral sphincterotomy for chronic anal fissure. *Dis Colon Rectum* 48:1037–41
11. Montes BB, Guner MK, Leventoglu S, Akyurek N (2008) Fine-tuning of the extent of lateral internal sphincterotomy: spasm-controlled vs up to the fissure apex. *Dis Colon Rectum* 51:128–33