Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2017 April 07; 19(7): 1527–1529. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00449.

Enantioselective Iridium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation Reactions of Masked Acyl Cyanide Equivalents

J. Caleb Hethcox[‡], Samantha E. Shockley[‡], and Brian M. Stoltz

The Warren and Katharine Schlinger Laboratory for Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, MC 101-20, Pasadena, California 91125, United States

Abstract

The first enantioselective iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation reaction of a masked acyl cyanide (MAC) reagent has been developed. The transformation allows for the use of an umpoled synthon, which serves as a carbon monoxide equivalent. The reaction proceeds with good yield and excellent selectivity up to gram scale for a wide range of substituted allylic electrophiles, delivering products amenable to the synthesis of highly desirable, enantioenriched vinylated α -aryl carbonyl derivatives.

Graphical abstract

Since the first report of an asymmetric iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation in 1997,¹ the technology has been widely developed for normal reactivity patterns between electrophilic π -allyl species and nucleophilic enolate equivalents, organo-metallic reagents, or heteroatoms (Figure 1a).² However, the application of an umpolung strategy to stitch together a formally electrophilic group and a π -allyl cation via enantioselective iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation remains underexplored (Figure 1b, left).³ To date, only two examples of reverse-polarity nucleophiles in iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation have been reported (Figure 1b, right). In 2008, Helmchen showed that the extensively explored malononitrile nucleophile could operate as a methoxy carbonyl synthon with the subsequent application of an oxidative degradation process.⁴ More recently, Carreira disclosed the use of formaldehyde *N,N*-dialkylhydrazone as a formyl anion equivalent in iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions.⁵ Herein, we disclose the first use of an acyl cyanide equivalent in

Correspondence to: Brian M. Stoltz.

ORCID: Brian M. Stoltz: 0000-0001-9837-1528

[‡]Author Contributions: J.C.H. and S.E.S. contributed equally.

Supporting Information: The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00449.

Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, HRMS), and SFC/HPLC data (PDF)

Notes: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

asymmetric iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation, which formally serves as the addition of carbon monoxide (Figure 1c, left).

As part of our ongoing research program to develop iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation methods,⁶ we became interested in exploring the reactivity of masked acyl cyanide (MAC) reagents as reverse-polarity nucleophiles with π -allyl electrophiles. Following reaction with an electrophile, these umpoled synthons, developed by Yamamoto and Nemoto,⁷ can be unmasked to reveal a transient acyl cyanide intermediate, which can be further transformed into a carboxylic acid, amide, or ester.^{7,8} We envisioned that the novel application of MAC reagents to iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation chemistry could provide access to highly desirable, enantioenriched vinylated α -aryl carbonyl derivatives, which are otherwise difficult to prepare (Figure 1c, right).⁹

Preliminary studies focused on the identification of a suitable protecting group for MAC nucleophile **1** in order to achieve the allylic alkylation reaction. Using our previously reported conditions for iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylations with cinnamyl-derived electrophiles as a starting point,^{6a,10} we found that the reaction of either silyl ether **1a** or acetate **1b** with cinnamyl carbonate (**2**) furnished desired products **4a** and **4b**, respectively, albeit in low yields (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, the use of methoxymethyl ether **1c** provided allylic alkylation product **4c** in 64% yield (entry 3). Moreover, we were pleased to find that product **4c** was obtained with excellent enantioselectivity (95% ee), obviating the need for further optimization beyond that of conversion. Efforts to increase the reaction conversion revealed that altering the nucleophile-to-electrophile ratio from 1:2 to 2:1 improved the yield to 77% with no erosion of enantioselectivity (entries 4 and 5). We observed that extending the reaction time from 18 to 48 h provided product **4c** in a now synthetically useful 85% yield and 97% ee (entry 6). Ultimately, we found that treatment of a mixture (2:1) of nucleophile **1c** and electrophile **2** with a combination of catalytic Ir(cod)Cl•**3** (4 mol %) and LiBr (200 mol %) at 60 °C delivered allylic alkylation product **4c** in a high 86% yield with an exceptional 96% enantioselectivity in only 18 h (entry 7).

With the optimized conditions identified, the substrate scope of the enantioselective umpolung reaction was explored (Scheme 1).¹¹ Our investigation began by probing the effects of electronics on reaction yield and selectivity. Gratifyingly, we observed that *para*-substituted aryl electrophiles bearing both electron-withdrawing (–Br, –CF₃, –F) and electron-donating (–OMe) groups furnished products **6a–d** with consistently excellent enantioselectivities (>95% ee). While products **6a** (–Br) and **6d** (–OMe) were obtained in high yield (>94% yield), diminished yields (58% and 69% yield, respectively) were obtained for the more electron-poor products **6b** (–CF₃) and **6c** (–F). Further examination of electrophile electronics revealed that *meta*-Cl-substituted **6e**, as well as polyalkoxylated **6f** and **6g**, were each furnished in good yields (>81%) and high enantioselectivities (92–98% ee), despite varying electronics. Studies involving sterically demanding substrates showed that products **6h** (–2-Np) and **6i** (–*ortho*-Me) could be provided with good selectivities (92% and 89% ee, respectively), albeit in moderate yields (41% and 65%, respectively). Additionally, we were pleased to discover that pyridine **6j**, furan **6k**, and thiophene **6l** could each be afforded with excellent enantioselectivities (90–96% ee) and in moderate to high yields (73–94%). To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, a preparatory scale (4

mmol) reaction was performed (Scheme 2). Using cinnamyl carbonate (**2**), both the yield and enantioselectivity of the reaction were unchanged from those obtained at 0.1 mmol scale.

In summary, we have developed the first enantioselective iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation reaction of masked acyl cyanide (MAC) reagents. The umpolung strategy showcased in this reaction diverges from the normal reactivity patterns employed in all but two of the previously reported iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylations and is the first report of a carbon monoxide synthon in iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation. Critical to the success of this new reaction is the identity of the methoxymethyl protecting group on the MAC reagent. The developed methodology proceeds with moderate to excellent yields (up to 95%) and high levels of enantioselectivity (up to 98% ee) up to gram scale for a wide range of aryl- and heteroaryl-substituted allylic electrophiles. Furthermore, MAC adducts bearing resemblance to compound **4c** have been transformed into acids, amides, and esters by unmasking the alkoxy malononitrile moiety.^{7,8} Thus, this methodology serves as an entry to enantioenriched vinylated α -aryl carbonyl derivatives. Further explorations to expand the scope of this chemistry to include additional electrophile classes are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgments

The NIH-NIGMS (R01GM080269) and Caltech are thanked for support of our research program. Additionally, J.CH. thanks the Camille and Henry Dreyfus postdoctoral program in Environmental Chemistry, and S.E.S. thanks the NIH-NIGMS for a predoctoral fellowship (F31GM120804). Dr. Mona Shahgholi (Caltech) and Naseem Torian (Caltech) are thanked for mass spectrometry assistance. Dr. Scott Virgil (Caltech) is thanked for assistance with instrumentation.

References

1. Janssen JP, Helmchen G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997; 38:8025–8026.
2. For selected reviews of asymmetric iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation, see: Helmchen G, Dahnz A, Dubon P, Schelwies M, Weihofen R. *Chem Commun.* 2007:675–691. Hartwig JF, Pouy MJ. *Top Organomet Chem.* 2011; 34:169–208. Liu WB, Xia JB, You SL. *Top Organomet Chem.* 2011; 38:155–208. Hethcox JC, Shockley SE, Stoltz BM. *ACS Catal.* 2016; 6:6207–6213.
3. Nucleophilic additions of methylene imines to iridium π -allyl complexes have been accomplished via an umpolung strategy. However, the products delivered resemble products of standard iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation of enolates; see: Su YL, Li YH, Chen YG, Han ZY. *Chem Commun.* 2017; 53:1985–1988.
4. Förster S, Tverskoy O, Helmchen G. *Synlett.* 2008; 2008:2803–2806.
5. Breitler S, Carreira EM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015; 137:5296–5299. [PubMed: 25848936]
6. (a) Liu WB, Reeves CM, Virgil SC, Stoltz BM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:10626–10629. [PubMed: 23829704] (b) Liu WB, Reeves CM, Stoltz BM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:17298–17301. [PubMed: 24160327] (c) Liu WB, Okamoto N, Alexy EJ, Hong AJ, Tran K, Stoltz BM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:5234–5237. [PubMed: 27052660] (d) Hethcox JC, Shockley SE, Stoltz BM. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2016; 55:16092–16095.
7. (a) Nemoto H, Kubota Y, Yamamoto Y. *J Org Chem.* 1990; 55:4515–4516. (b) Nemoto H, Li X, Ma R, Suzuki I, Shibuya M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003; 44:73–75. (c) Nemoto H, Kawamura T, Miyoshi N. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:14546–14547. [PubMed: 16231887] (d) Nemoto H, Ma R, Kawamura T, Kamiya M, Shibuya M. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 71:6038–6043. [PubMed: 16872186] (e) Nemoto H, Kawamura T, Kitasaki K, Yatsuzuka K, Kamiya M, Yoshioka Y. *Synthesis.* 2009; 2009:1694–1702.

8. (a) Kociolek K, Leplawy MT. *Synthesis*. 1977; 1977:778–780. (b) Kubota Y, Nemoto H, Yamamoto Y. *J Org Chem*. 1991; 56:7195–7196. (c) Nemoto H, Ma R, Ibaragi T, Suzuki I, Shibuya M. *Tetrahedron*. 2000; 56:1463–1468. (d) Yamatsugu K, Kanai M, Shibasaki M. *Tetrahedron*. 2009; 65:6017–6024. (e) Yang KS, Nibbs AE, Türkmen YE, Rawal VH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:16050–16053. [PubMed: 24090310] (f) Yang KS, Rawal VH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136:16148–16151. [PubMed: 25366558] (g) Kagawa N, Nibbs AE, Rawal VH. *Org Lett*. 2016; 18:2363–2366. [PubMed: 27135854]
9. (a) Murphy KE, Hoveyda AH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2003; 125:4690–4691. [PubMed: 12696870] (b) Trost BM, Xu J. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:17180–17181. [PubMed: 16332054] (c) Yan SS, Liang CG, Zhang Y, Hong W, Cao BX, Dai LX, Hou XL. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2005; 44:6544–6546. (d) Zheng WH, Zheng BH, Zhang Y, Hou XL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:7718–7719. [PubMed: 17539637] (e) Lundin PM, Esquivias J, Fu GC. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2009; 48:154–156. (f) Lou S, Fu GC. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:1264–1266. [PubMed: 20050651] (g) Cherney AH, Kadunce NT, Reisman SE. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:7442–7445. [PubMed: 23634932] (h) Poremba KE, Lee VA, Sculimbrene BR. *Tetrahedron*. 2014; 70:5463–5467. (i) Liu Y, Virgil SC, Grubbs RH, Stoltz BM. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2015; 54:11800–11803.
10. As in ref 6a, LiBr was found to improve the regioselectivity of the allylic alkylation reaction. The use of 200 mol % (in comparison to 100 mol % as in ref 6a) provided consistently higher yields of the branched product.
11. The optimized reaction conditions failed to provide high regioselectivities when aliphatic-substituted allylic electrophiles were employed.

a) Standard Iridium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation (> 60 reports)

b) Umpolung Strategy Iridium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation (2 reports)

c) This Research

Figure 1.
Iridium-catalyzed allylic alkylation strategies.

Scheme 1. Electrophile Substrate Scope^a

^aReactions performed on 0.2 mmol scale. ^bIsolated yield. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC or SFC analysis. ^dReaction run for 36 h at 50 °C.

Scheme 2. Preparatory Scale Reaction

Table 1

Optimization of Reaction Parameters^{a,e}

entry	R	I:2	temp (°C)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	TBS (1a)	1:2	23	24	—
2	Ac (1b)	1:2	23	19	—
3	MOM (1c)	1:2	23	64	95
4	MOM(1c)	1:1	23	50	96
5	MOM(1c)	2:1	23	77	96
6 ^d	MOM (1c)	2:1	23	85	97
7	MOM (1c)	2:1	60	86	96

^aReactions performed on 0.1 mmol scale.

^b¹H NMR yield based on internal standard.

^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis.

^dReaction run for 48 h.

^eTBD = 1,3,5-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene.