Published in final edited form as:

Anaerobe. 2017 June ; 45: 40–43. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.02.020.

Bacterial Species Colonizing the Vagina of Healthy Women Are Not Associated with Race

May A. Beamer^a, Michele N. Austin^a, Hilary A. Avolia^a, Leslie A. Meyn^b, Katherine E. Bunge^b, and Sharon L. Hillier^{a,b}

^aMagee-Womens Research Institute, 204 Craft Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA

^bUniversity of Pittsburgh Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, 300 Halket Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA

Abstract

The vaginal microbiota of 36 white versus 25 black asymptomatic women were compared using both cultivation-dependent and -independent identification. Significant differences by race were found in colonization and density of bacterial species. However, exclusion of 12 women with bacterial vaginosis by Nugent criteria resulted in no significant differences by race.

Keywords

asymptomatic BV; race comparisons; vaginal microbiota

Some studies evaluating the microbiome of reproductive age women have reported that black and white women have significant differences in the predominant microbial communities. In these studies, the term “healthy” was used to describe women without genital tract symptoms [1–3]. A limitation of this approach is that “healthy” populations of asymptomatic women can harbor genital tract infections which can alter their microbiome [4–6]. Additionally, there is a racial disparity with bacterial vaginosis (BV) being significantly more common among black versus white women (51% vs 23%), and only 16% of women with BV in the United States report symptoms [7].

Ravel et al.[1] described 5 bacterial communities using 454 pyrosequencing in 98 white, 104 black, 97 Asian, and 97 Hispanic healthy women recruited from Baltimore and Atlanta. These sexually active asymptomatic women were not screened for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) or evaluated for BV using the Amsel criteria, but women reporting vaginal discharge symptoms in the past 48 hours were excluded. The study reported that 90% of white women compared to 60% of black women had one of the four *Lactobacillus*-dominant vaginal communities. The most prevalent vaginal community for white women (45%) was the *L. crispatus*-dominant community group I, which was found in only 22% of black

corresponding author: mbeamer@mwri.magee.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

women [1]. Whereas, community group IV, which was characterized by a diverse microbiome including *Gardnerella vaginalis*, *Lactobacillus* species at non-predominant quantities, *Aerococcus*, *Prevotellaceae* family, *Sneathia*, *Megasphaera*, *Atopobium*, *Porphyromonas*, *Peptoniphilus*, *Mobiluncus*, *Dialister*, *Prevotella* and others, was the most prevalent among black women (40%), but found in only 10% of white women [1]. In a similar study comparing vaginal microbiomes, Fettweiss and colleagues also did not screen for asymptomatic infections of “healthy” non-pregnant women [2]. In their analysis of 243 women of European ancestry versus 419 African American women, women of European ancestry were more likely to be colonized by *L. crispatus*, *L. jensenii*, *L. gasseri*, and *Staphylococcus*, while African American women were more likely to be colonized by *Mycoplasma hominis*, *L. iners*, *Aerococcus*, *Anaerococcus*, BVAB1, BVAB2, *Dialister*, *Peptoniphilus*, *Coriobacteriaceae*, *Parvimonas*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Prevotella amnii*, *Atopobium* and *G. vaginalis*[2]. Both studies concluded that healthy black and white women have vastly different vaginal microbiomes.

The objective of this secondary analysis was to evaluate differences in vaginal microbiota in black versus white women after excluding women having asymptomatic chlamydial or gonococcal infections, as well as *Trichomonas vaginalis* and BV, as diagnosed using Amsel criteria. We hypothesized that many of the reported differences in vaginal microbiota for black versus white women are attributable to the inclusion of women having asymptomatic BV or STIs. In this study, we compared the cultivable microbiota of black and white women after excluding all genital infections, and before and after excluding BV based on Nugent criteria. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was also performed to determine differences in detection of five vaginal microbes.

The study population included the baseline data of a clinical trial of an investigational product [8]. The protocol was approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board, and all women provided written informed consent. Of the 121 participants recruited and screened from gynecology clinics at Magee-Womens Hospital of UPMC and the surrounding community, 61 HIV-negative women were enrolled between September 2012 and July 2013. Inclusion criteria included healthy women aged 18–45, without symptoms. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, hysterectomy, sexually transmitted infection diagnosed by nucleic acid amplification tests for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis*, BV based on 3 of 4 Amsel criteria, or *Trichomonas vaginalis* by positive rapid antigen test. Women were also excluded if they had an abnormal complete blood count or complete metabolic panel, or had used antibiotics or antifungals in the 14 days preceding enrollment.

Swabs were used to obtain samples from the lateral vaginal wall. One Dacron swab was used to prepare a slide that was Gram stained and scored using the Nugent criteria [9]. A flocked swab was collected for qPCR and placed in a 2-mL cryovial for storage at -70°C . For qPCR, specific primers developed previously were utilized to target *L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, *G. vaginalis*, *Atopobium vaginae*, and *Megasphaera*-like bacterium type I and detected with SYBR green technology [10]. For quantitative culture, two Dacron swabs were placed into a solid anaerobic transport medium (BBL Port-A-Cul tube, Becton Dickinson, Sparks,

MD), transported to the laboratory within 24 hours, and serially diluted as previously described [11]. Isolated microorganisms were subcultured for purity and stored at -70°C .

Group B *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* or other enteric bacteria, *Candida albicans* or other yeast, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *G. vaginalis* were identified using Gram stain and colony morphology and biochemical tests [12]. For other isolates, Gram stain and colony morphology and aerobic growth were used for preliminary identification. Identification algorithms based on paired 16S rRNA gene sequencing and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis were generated [13–16]. Restriction enzymes utilized were HpyCH4V (New England Biolab, Ipswich, MA), FastDigest BsuRI (Thermo Scientific) or HaeIII (Promega, Madison, WI), HinfI (Promega), or TaqI (Promega). Repetitive-sequence PCR [17], which was also used for *Lactobacillus* species identification, and RFLP patterns were evaluated independently by at least 2 readers. Equivocal samples were prepared for direct sequencing. Sequencing was performed by The Genomics Research Core Sanger Sequencing Facility at University of Pittsburgh. Sequencing chromatograms were evaluated and considered acceptable for 700 base pairs. Sequences were compared to GenBank using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool and Ribosomal Database Project using Sequence Match.

Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the prevalence of organisms between black and white women. Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare quantities among colonized women.

The study population had a mean age of 26.7 years with a range of 18–44 years. More than half (56%) of the women were single, and most (70%) were employed. Half of the women had a single sexual partner, and the remainder did not report being sexually active. In our analysis of bacterial colonization of all 61 asymptomatic women, we found that by cultivation, *Prevotella amnii* was more likely to colonize black women (P-value = 0.02), which was a similar finding by Fettweiss [2]. *Porphyromonas uenonis* and members of the *Porphyromonadaceae* family approached statistical significance, $P=0.054$ and $P=0.07$, respectively, in colonizing black women more frequently than white women. Based on qPCR, no difference was observed in the frequency of the five species evaluated among white versus black women. However, white women were found to have higher densities of *Lactobacillus* ($P=0.012$) by cultivation, with a trend toward a higher density of *L. crispatus* among white versus black women by both qPCR ($P=0.059$) and cultivation based methods ($P=0.07$) (Table 1). Whereas, concentrations of *A. vaginae* by qPCR ($P=0.051$) and *G. vaginalis* by culture ($P=0.005$) and qPCR (0.04) were significantly higher in black than white women (Table 1).

BV was detected by Gram stain evaluation using Nugent criteria for only 3 (8%) of 36 white women compared to 9 (36%) of 25 black women ($P=0.01$), which were similar to the rates of asymptomatic BV reported by Ravel in white versus black women (10% vs 40%) [1]. Although the Nugent criteria were used in the Ravel study, results were not used to exclude BV from the “healthy” population [1]. In our study, after excluding women having asymptomatic BV and repeating the analyses for the 49 healthy women, there were no statistically significant racial differences in microbiota frequency or density by either

cultivation based methods or qPCR (Table 2). These data suggest that exclusion of women having asymptomatic BV impacts the race related differences in vaginal microbiota. The number of women having asymptomatic STIs in the Ravel study was not evaluated [1]. Thus it is possible that at least some of the differences in the microbiome between white and black women could be attributable to the microbiome associated with asymptomatic STIs and BV, which are more common in black versus white women [7,18–20].

The reasons why black women have higher prevalence of STIs and BV than white women are not fully understood, but it is recognized that it could be due to structural factors such as lack of access to health care and greater exposure to male partners having prevalent STIs. Biological factors which could enhance susceptibility to BV and STIs include a lower prevalence and density of protective lactobacilli and differences in toll-like receptor gene single-nucleotide polymorphisms which may modify immune response to BV-associated bacteria [21,22].

The strengths of the present study are the use of both cultivation-dependent and -independent methods to evaluate key vaginal microbiota and the rigorous definition of “healthy” women, including the use of sensitive tests to exclude STIs and standardized assessment for BV using clinical criteria. The present study has limited power to detect differences between white and black women due to the small sample size. Although this study relied on a combination of cultivation plus sequencing and qPCR, pyrosequencing was not included. Future studies evaluating the microbiome should consider integration of diagnostic testing for all genital infections, and assessments of the microbiome in black versus white women should be presented after accounting for asymptomatic infections.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant AI 082639.

References

1. Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schneider GM, Koenig SSK, McCulle SL, Karlebach S, Gorle R, Russell J, Tacket CO, Brotman RM, Davis CC, Ault K, Peralta L, Forney LJ. Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. PNAS. 2011; 108:4680–4687. [PubMed: 20534435]
2. Fettweiss JM, Brooks JP, Serrano MG, Sheth NU, Girerd PH, Edwards DJ, Strauss JF III, the Vaginal Microbiome Consortium, Jefferson KK, Buck GA. Differences in vaginal microbiome in African American women versus women of European ancestry. Microbiology. 2014; 160:2272–2282. [PubMed: 25073854]
3. Zhou X, Brown CJ, Abdo Z, Davis CC, Hansmann MA, Joyce P, Foster JA, Forney LJ. Differences in the composition of vaginal microbial communities found in healthy Caucasian and black women. ISME Journal. 2007; 1:121–133. published online 10 May 2007. DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2007.12 [PubMed: 18043622]
4. van der Veer C, Bruisten SM, van der Helm JJ, de Vries H, van Houdt R. The cervico-vaginal microbiota in women notified for Chlamydia trachomatis infection: A case-control study at the STI outpatient clinic in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Clin Infect Dis. 2016
5. Balkus JE, Richardson BA, Rabe LK, Taha TE, Mgodhi N, Kasaro MP, Ramjee G, Hoffman IF, Abdool Karim SS. Bacterial vaginosis and the risk of trichomonas vaginalis acquisition among HIV-1-negative women. Sex Transm Dis. 2014; 41:123–128. DOI: 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000075 [PubMed: 24413493]

6. Brotman RM, Klebanoff MA, Nansel TR, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Zhang J, Schwebke JR. Bacterial vaginosis assessed by gram stain and diminished colonization resistance to incident gonococcal, chlamydial, and trichomonal genital infection. *J Infect Dis.* 2010; 202:1907–1915. DOI: 10.1086/657320 [PubMed: 21067371]
7. Koumans EH, Sternberg M, Bruce C, McQuillan G, Kendrick J, Sutton M, Markowitz LE. The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001–2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2007; 34:864–9. [PubMed: 17621244]
8. Bunge KE, Dezzutti CS, Rohan LC, Hendrix CW, Marzinke MA, Richardson-Harman N, Moncla BJ, Devlin B, Meyn LA, Spiegel HML, Hillier SL. A phase 1 trial to assess the safety, acceptability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of a novel dapivirine vaginal film. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2016; 71:498–505. [PubMed: 26565716]
9. Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of Gram stain interpretation. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1991; 29:297–301. [PubMed: 1706728]
10. Zozaya-Hinchliffe M, Lillis R, Martin DH, Ferris MJ. Quantitative PCR assessments of bacterial species in women with and without bacterial vaginosis. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2010; 48:1812–1819. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00851-09 [PubMed: 20305015]
11. Hillier SL, Krohn MJ, Rabe LK, Klebanoff SJ, Eschenbach DA. The normal vaginal flora, H2O2-producing lactobacilli, and bacterial vaginosis in pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1993; 16:S273–S28. [PubMed: 8324131]
12. Murray, PR, Baron, EJ, Landry, ML, Jorgensen, JH., Pfaller, MA., editors. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology.* 9th. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 2007.
13. Wood J, Scott KP, Avgustin G, Newbold CJ, Flint HJ. Estimation of the relative abundance of different Bacteroides and Prevotella ribotypes in gut samples by restriction enzyme profiling of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1998; 64:3683–3689. [PubMed: 9758785]
14. Chandler DP, Li S-M, Spadoni CM, Drake GR, Balkwill DL, Fredrickson JK, Brockman FJ. A molecular comparison of culturable aerobic heterotrophic bacteria and 16S rDNA clones derived from a deep subsurface sediment. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 1997; 23:131–144.
15. Figueras MJ, Levican A, Collado L. Updated 16S rRNA-RFLP method for the identification of all currently characterised *Arcobacter* spp. *BMC Microbiol.* 2012; 12:292–298. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-292 [PubMed: 23244705]
16. Bala A, Murphy P, Giller KE. Distribution and diversity of rhizobia nodulating agroforestry legumes in soils from three continents in the tropics. *Molecular Ecology.* 2003; 12:917–930. [PubMed: 12753212]
17. Antonio MA, Hillier SL. DNA fingerprinting of *Lactobacillus crispatus* strain CTV-05 by repetitive element sequence-based PCR analysis in a pilot study of vaginal colonization. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2003; 41:1881–1887. [PubMed: 12734221]
18. Jaspers V, van de Wijgert J, Cools P, Verhelst R, Verstraelen H, Delany-Moretlwe S, Mwaura M, Ndayisaba GF, Mandaliya K, Menten J, Hardy L, Crucitti T, the Vaginal Biomarkers Study Group. The significance of *Lactobacillus crispatus* and *L. vaginalis* for vaginal health and the negative effect of recent sex: a cross-sectional descriptive study across groups of African women. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015; 15:115–128. DOI: 10.1186/s12879-015-0825-z [PubMed: 25879811]
19. Einwalter LA, Ritchie JM, Ault KA, Smith EM. Gonorrhea and chlamydia infection among women visiting family planning clinics: racial variation in prevalence and predictors. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health.* 2005; 37:135–140. [PubMed: 16150661]
20. Miller WC, Swygard H, Hobbs MM, Ford CA, Handcock MS, Morris M, Schmitz JL, Cohen MS, Harris KM, Udry JR. The prevalence of trichomoniasis in young adults in the United States. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2005; 32:593–598. DOI: 10.1097/01.olq.0000179874.76360.ad [PubMed: 16205299]
21. Mitchell C, Marrazzo J. Bacterial vaginosis and the cervicovaginal immune response. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2014; 71:555–563. DOI: 10.1111/aji.12264 [PubMed: 24832618]
22. Mackelprang RD, Scoville CW, Cohen CR, Ondondo RO, Bigham AW, Celum C, Campbell MS, Essex M, Wald A, Kiarie J, Ronald A, Gray G. Toll-like receptor gene variants and bacterial

vaginosis among HIV-1 infected and uninfected African women. *Genes Immun.* 2015; 16:362–365. DOI: 10.1038/gene.2015.13 [PubMed: 25928881]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- Asymptomatic genital infections impact vaginal microbiome race-related comparison.
- Studies of the microbiome should exclude women having asymptomatic infections.
- No differences found in vaginal microbiome of healthy black versus white women.

Frequency and Density of Microorganisms Detected by Cultivation and qPCR from 61 Asymptomatic Women

Table 1

Vaginal Isolates	Frequency of Detection (N=61)			Quantity median (range)* 10 ⁸ cfu or copies/mL [‡]		
	White (n=36)	Black (n=25)	P value	White (n=36)	Black (n=25)	P value
Cultivation						
Any <i>Lactobacillus</i> species	34 (94.4%)	24 (96.0%)	>0.99	8.3 (4.1, 9.1)	7.5 (6.1, 8.9)	0.012
<i>Lactobacillus crispatus</i>	27 (75.0%)	14 (56.0%)	0.17	8.3 (6.1, 8.9)	7.8 (2.3, 8.7)	0.07
<i>Lactobacillus jensenii</i>	20 (55.6%)	12 (48.0%)	0.61	7.1 (5.1, 9.1)	7.3 (5.5, 8.9)	0.55
<i>Lactobacillus iners</i>	9 (25.0%)	12 (48.0%)	0.10	7.3 (6.5, 7.5)	7.0 (4.1, 7.7)	0.60
<i>Lactobacillus gasseri</i>	6 (16.7%)	5 (20.0%)	0.75	6.4 (5.1, 8.3)	6.1 (2.7, 7.1)	0.79
<i>E.coli</i>	6 (16.7%)	6 (24.0%)	0.53	3.8 (2.1, 5.1)	3.1 (2.5, 4.1)	0.39
Group B <i>Streptococcus</i>	7 (19.4%)	6 (24.0%)	0.76	2.1 (1.1, 5.1)	2.7 (1.1, 6.6)	0.53
<i>Enterococcus</i>	12 (33.3%)	9 (36.0%)	>0.99	2.7 (1.1, 4.7)	3.3 (1.1, 6.1)	0.60
<i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i>	16 (44.0%)	14 (56.0%)	0.44	6.7 (2.1, 8.7)	8.3 (7.1, 9.3)	0.005
Any <i>Atopobium</i> species	4 (11.1%)	7 (28.0%)	0.17	6.6 (5.1, 8.5)	7.1 (3.1, 8.3)	>0.99
<i>Atopobium vaginae</i>	3 (8.3%)	5 (20.0%)	0.25	7.1 (5.1, 8.5)	7.1 (5.1, 8.3)	>0.99
<i>Mycoplasma hominis</i> or <i>Ureaplasma</i> spp	16 (44.4%)	14 (56.0%)	0.44	5.1 (3.9, 8.1)	5.6 (1.1, 7.1)	0.38
Any <i>Prevotella</i> species	18 (50.0%)	16 (64.0%)	0.31	4.2 (2.1, 8.3)	5.1 (2.5, 8.1)	0.30
<i>P. amnii</i>	0	4 (16.0%)	0.02	–	6.3 (5.7, 7.5)	–
<i>P. timonensis</i>	4 (11.1%)	7 (28.0%)	0.17	5.1 (3.7, 8.3)	4.3 (2.1, 8.1)	0.65
<i>P. bivia</i>	14 (38.9%)	10 (40.0%)	>0.99	4.1 (2.1, 6.9)	4.6 (2.1, 7.7)	0.63
Porphyromonadaceae family	6 (16.7%)	10 (40.0%)	0.07	3.8 (3.1, 8.1)	3.4 (2.1, 8.5)	0.71
<i>Porphyromonas uenonis</i>	2 (5.6%)	6 (24.0%)	0.054	5.8 (3.5, 8.1)	4.9 (2.3, 8.5)	>0.99
<i>Dialister microaerophilus</i>	3 (8.3%)	6 (24.0%)	0.14	2.6 (2.1, 3.1)	5.8 (2.1, 7.9)	0.10
<i>Bacteroides</i> species	12 (33.3%)	11 (44.0%)	0.43	3.1 (2.1, 4.1)	3.1 (2.3, 7.7)	0.07
Any <i>Peptoniphilus</i> species	16 (44.4%)	11 (44.0%)	>0.99	4.1 (2.1, 6.5)	4.3 (3.1, 7.9)	0.29
<i>Peptoniphilus harei</i>	13 (36.1%)	8 (32.0%)	0.79	4.1 (2.1, 5.5)	4.6 (3.1, 7.1)	0.50
<i>Anaerococcus</i> species	9 (25.0%)	5 (20.0%)	0.76	5.1 (2.1, 6.3)	3.3 (2.9, 7.7)	0.90
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	12 (33.3%)	11 (44.0%)	0.43	4.1 (2.1, 4.8)	4.1 (3.1, 5.1)	0.35

Vaginal Isolates	Frequency of Detection (N=61)				Quantity median (range) [*] 10 ^x cfu or copies/mL [‡]			
	White (n=36)	Black (n=25)	P value		White (n=36)	Black (n=25)	P value	
Quantitative PCR								
<i>Lactobacillus crispatus</i>	35 (97.2%)	25 (100%)	>0.99		7.8 (2.9, 8.5)	6.4 (2.9, 8.4)		0.059
<i>Lactobacillus iners</i>	29 (80.6%)	24 (96.0%)	0.12		7.7 (3.3, 8.8)	8.0 (3.5, 9.1)		0.26
<i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i>	26 (74.3%)	21 (84.0%)	0.53		5.0 (2.8, 8.3)	6.5 (3.2, 8.7)		0.04
<i>Atopobium vaginae</i>	11 (30.6%)	12 (48.0%)	0.19		5.1 (3.1, 7.2)	6.7 (3.3, 7.5)		0.051
<i>Megasphaera-like bacterium-type I</i>	4 (11.1%)	7 (28.0%)	0.17		7.6 (3.0, 7.9)	7.9 (2.9, 8.5)		0.41

* Quantity assessed among women colonized with microorganism

‡ cfu=colony forming units for cultivation; copies for qPCR

Frequency and Density of Microorganisms Detected by Cultivation and qPCR from 49 Healthy Women

Table 2

Vaginal Isolates	Frequency of Detection (N=49)			Quantity, median (range)* 10 ⁸ cfu or copies/mL [†]		
	White (n=33)	Black (n=16)	P value	White (n=33)	Black (n=16)	P value
Cultivation						
Any <i>Lactobacillus</i> species	33 (100%)	16 (100%)		8.3 (6.7, 9.1)	7.8 (7.1, 8.9)	0.19
<i>Lactobacillus crispatus</i>	27 (81.8%)	10 (62.5%)	0.17	8.3 (6.1, 8.9)	8.1 (6.7, 8.7)	0.41
<i>Lactobacillus jensenii</i>	20 (60.6%)	8 (50.0%)	0.55	7.1 (5.1, 9.1)	7.4 (6.8, 8.9)	0.33
<i>Lactobacillus iners</i>	9 (27.3%)	7 (43.8%)	0.33	7.3 (6.5, 7.5)	7.3 (4.1, 7.7)	0.84
<i>Lactobacillus gasseri</i>	6 (18.2%)	4 (25.0%)	0.71	6.4 (5.1, 8.3)	6.6 (2.7, 7.1)	0.76
<i>E. coli</i>	5 (15.0%)	3 (18.8%)	>0.99	4.1 (2.1, 5.1)	3.1 (2.5, 4.1)	0.57
Group B <i>Streptococcus</i>	7 (21.2%)	4 (25.0%)	>0.99	2.1 (1.1, 5.1)	2.2 (1.1, 3.1)	0.93
<i>Enterococcus</i>	11 (33.3%)	6 (37.5%)	>0.99	2.3 (1.1, 4.7)	3.4 (1.1, 4.1)	0.59
<i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i>	14 (42.4%)	5 (31.3%)	0.54	6.0 (2.1, 8.7)	7.1 (7.1, 7.9)	0.22
Any <i>Atopobium</i> species	3 (9.1%)	2 (12.5%)	>0.99	6.1 (5.1, 7.1)	5.1 (3.1, 7.1)	0.80
<i>Atopobium vaginae</i>	2 (6.1%)	0	>0.99	6.1 (5.1, 7.1)	–	–
<i>Mycoplasma hominis</i> or <i>Ureaplasma</i> spp	14 (42.4%)	8 (50.0%)	0.76	5.1 (3.9, 6.1)	5.0 (3.8, 6.3)	0.97
Any <i>Prevotella</i> species	15 (45.5%)	9 (56.3%)	0.55	4.1 (2.1, 6.3)	3.3 (2.5, 5.3)	0.60
<i>P. amnii</i>	0	0		–	–	–
<i>P. timonensis</i>	3 (9.1%)	4 (25.0%)	0.20	5.1 (3.7, 5.1)	3.2 (2.1, 4.3)	0.23
<i>P. bivia</i>	11 (33.3%)	7 (43.8%)	0.54	4.1 (2.1, 6.3)	3.3 (2.1, 5.3)	0.54
<i>Porphyromonadaceae</i> family	4 (12.1%)	4 (25.0%)	0.41	3.3 (3.1, 4.1)	2.7 (2.1, 3.3)	0.20
<i>Porphyromonas tennisonis</i>	1 (3.0%)	1 (6.3%)	>0.99	3.5	2.3	>0.99
<i>Dialister microaerophilus</i>	3 (9.1%)	3 (18.8%)	0.38	2.6 (2.1, 3.1)	5.3 (2.1, 7.1)	0.40
<i>Bacteroides</i> species	10 (30.3%)	5 (31.3%)	>0.99	2.8 (2.1, 4.1)	3.1 (2.3, 4.6)	0.21
Any <i>Peptoniphilus</i> species	15 (45.5%)	7 (43.8%)	>0.99	4.1 (2.1, 5.5)	4.1 (3.1, 5.1)	0.78
<i>Peptoniphilus harei</i>	12 (36.4%)	5 (31.3%)	>0.99	4.1 (2.1, 5.5)	3.1 (3.1, 5.1)	0.51
<i>Anaerococcus</i> species	9 (27.3%)	1 (6.3%)	0.14	5.1 (2.1, 6.3)	3.3	0.60
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	10 (30.3%)	4 (25.0%)	>0.99	3.6 (2.1, 4.8)	3.8 (3.1, 4.5)	0.54

Vaginal Isolates	Frequency of Detection (N=49)				Quantity, median (range) * 10 ^x cfu or copies/mL ‡			
	White (n=33)	Black (n=16)	P value		White (n=33)	Black (n=16)	P value	
Quantitative PCR								
<i>Lactobacillus crispatus</i>	32 (97.0%)	16 (100%)	>0.99		7.8 (3.1, 8.5)	7.7 (3.1, 8.4)		0.63
<i>Lactobacillus iners</i>	26 (78.8%)	15 (93.8%)	0.24		7.8 (3.8, 8.8)	8.1 (4.0, 9.1)		0.57
<i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i>	24 (72.7%)	12 (75.0%)	>0.99		4.7 (2.8, 7.9)	4.9 (3.2, 7.1)		0.83
<i>Atopobium vaginae</i>	9 (27.3%)	3 (18.8%)	0.73		4.5 (3.1, 6.3)	4.4 (3.3, 5.9)		0.73
<i>Megasphaera-like bacterium-type I</i>	2 (6.1%)	2 (12.5%)	0.59		5.2 (3.0, 7.5)	5.7 (2.9, 8.5)		>0.99

* Quantity assessed among women colonized with microorganism

‡ cfu=colony forming units for cultivation; copies for qPCR