Published in final edited form as:

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2017 May ; 2(4): 355–362. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.11.001.

Increases in Intrinsic Thalamocortical Connectivity and Overall Cognition Following Cognitive Remediation in Chronic Schizophrenia

Ian S. Ramsay, Ph.D.¹, Tasha M. Nienow, Ph.D.^{2,3}, and Angus W. MacDonald III, Ph.D.^{1,3}

¹University of Minnesota Department of Psychology

²Minneapolis VA Health Care System

³University of Minnesota Department of Psychiatry

Abstract

Background—Thalamic projections to the prefrontal cortex (PFC) are critical for cognition, and disruptions in these circuits are thought to underlie the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Cognitive remediation (REM) is a behavioral intervention that holds promise for improving cognition and functioning in schizophrenia, however the extent to which it affects thalamo-prefrontal connections has not been researched. This study sought to determine whether patients with schizophrenia who undergo a placebo-controlled trial of REM show increased functional connectivity between the thalamus and PFC, and whether these changes correspond to improvements in cognition.

Methods—Twenty-six patients with chronic schizophrenia were randomized to either 48 hours (over 16 weeks) of a drill-and-practice working memory-focused REM or an active placebo condition. All participants underwent cognitive assessment (MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery), as well as both resting and task-based fMRI before and after their respective intervention. All clinicians, technicians, and raters were blind to participant condition.

Results—We observed changes in resting-state connectivity in the PFC for the REM group but not the placebo group. Increased intrinsic connectivity between the thalamus and right middle frontal gyrus correlated with improvements in overall cognition. Additionally, lower baseline cognition correlated with greater increases in connectivity between the thalamus and PFC. Similar findings were observed when patients were scanned during a working memory task.

Conclusions—These results suggest that increases in thalamo-prefrontal circuitry correspond with training-related improvements of the cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia.

Contact: Ian S. Ramsay (ramsa045@umn.edu), Elliott Hall Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, 75 East River Road, Minneapolis, MN 55455.

Disclosures: The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Trial Registration: #NCT00995553

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Cognitive Remediation; Schizophrenia; Thalamo-cortical Connectivity; Resting-State; fMRI; Working Memory

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a chronic, debilitating mental illness characterized by neural dysconnectivity (1,2) and marked cognitive deficits (3,4). This disrupted connectivity has been observed to be widespread (5), and may underlie the heterogeneous symptom presentation within schizophrenia (6). While both prefrontal (7) and thalamic disruptions (8) have been identified in patients, a growing literature on resting state functional connectivity has identified thalamocortical circuitry as particularly awry. Thalamic projections to the prefrontal cortex (PFC) show distinct patterns of connectivity in both animals and humans (9). Patients with schizophrenia have been shown to have both reduced prefrontal-thalamic connectivity, as well as hyper-connectivity between the thalamus and temporal, parietal, somatosensory/motor, and visual cortices (10–15). These findings are consistent with animal models and post-mortem studies of schizophrenia (16). More recently, reduced prefrontal-thalamic connectivity has been found not only to correspond with cognitive impairment (17), but also *predict* conversion to psychosis among individuals at clinical high risk of psychosis (18). To our knowledge, no work has examined whether the deterioration of this circuit is reversible.

In the healthy brain, thalamo-prefrontal connections are thought to underlie critical aspects of cognition and consciousness (19,20), while disruptions in these neural pathways have been shown to be associated with cognitive dysfunction (21). For example, animal models have demonstrated that thalamo-prefrontal perturbations selectively disrupt working memory (WM) performance (22–24), and that WM training potentiates the functional synchronization of these regions (24). Thus, it is not surprising that structural and functional abnormalities within thalamo-prefrontal circuits are linked to overall cognitive impairments (17,25), and are thought to be an important treatment target in this population (26). If connections between the thalamus and areas such as the PFC are critical for patients' cognitive functioning, a useful next hypothesis to test is whether these abnormalities change with recovery.

Basic research in behavioral neuroscience has established that the brain undergoes changes in organization and function in response to rehabilitative training (27) and these principles have been applied to treatments for cognitive dysfunction. Cognitive remediation training (REM) is an emerging class of behavioral treatments that aim to rehabilitate cognitive and psychosocial disruptions to facilitate psychiatric recovery in illnesses like schizophrenia. REM interventions typically consist of computerized training tasks that exercise a range of cognitive abilities, with the ultimate goal of generalizing improvements to untrained skills. REM for schizophrenia has demonstrated reliably modest improvements in cognition and psychosocial functioning (28,29), and emerging evidence suggests that neuroplastic changes may underlie these processes. Previous work has found that REM for schizophrenia supports

neural changes during cognitive tasks (30,31) and rest (32). Recent work has demonstrated that prefrontal changes following REM reflected individual differences in improved WM (Ramsay et al., In Press), and meta-analysis suggests both prefrontal and subcortical areas may become more active following REM in schizophrenia (34); however, it is unclear whether REM influences the connectivity between these regions.

The current study used a double-blind, placebo-controlled experimental manipulation of WM-focused REM to evaluate whether thalamocortical connectivity was affected by training, and how this might improve cognition. We first sought to determine whether the REM intervention increased intrinsic thalamocortical connectivity during rest in areas of the bilateral middle frontal gyrus (MFG) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), as these regions are associated with cognitive disruptions in chronic schizophrenia (35–37) and consistently show hypo-connectivity with the thalamus (10,12,17). Next, we examined whether changes in these circuits were linked to patients' cognitive improvements on domains beyond those for which they were trained. We then followed up on these analyses to determine whether cognition prior to training was related to neuroplastic changes in these thalamocortical circuits. To determine whether intrinsic thalamocortical connectivity at rest is similarly relevant to connectivity during cognitive demand, our final analyses examined whether these same relationships were observed during task engagement.

Methods

Participants

Participants in the current study were recruited from a larger clinical trial examining REM (Clinical Trial # NCT00995553). All participants were required to have a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, be between 18 and 60 years old, be clinically stable with no medication changes or hospitalizations in the previous four weeks, have a Wechsler Test of Adult Reading IQ (WTAR-IQ) score greater than 70, have no substance dependence in the last six months, have no substance abuse in the past month, have no history of serious head injury or neurological disorder compromising cognition, and show capacity to give consent.

Forty participants (out of 81 engaged in the full clinical trial) consented to participate in the imaging study, which was approved by both the Minneapolis VAHCS and University of Minnesota IRBs. Three participants were withdrawn prior to scanning after additional review of their medical history found them to be ineligible. Two additional participants were withdrawn due to inability to complete the scans. Six participants chose to withdraw because of lack of interest (N=3) or anxiety in the scanner (N=3). Data from three was lost due to experimenter error. No additional subjects were excluded for in-scanner movement (mean displacement threshold >2mm). This left 26 participants in the current study. All had been randomized to undergo either 16 weeks of a WM-focused REM (N=14) or a computer skills training (CST) placebo condition (N=12). See Supplementary Figure S1 for study flow.

Training Procedure

Training took place at the Minneapolis VAHCS. Participants completed up to 48 hours of drill-and-practice oriented training over 16 weeks (Typically three 1-hour sessions weekly.). The REM and CST groups did not statistically differ with regards to the number of training hours (REM=48.00 (SD=0), CST=47.91 (SD=.28)). Participants randomized into the REM condition completed a computer-based training program consisting of 21 adaptive exercises to place demands on WM in verbal, visual, and spatial modalities (See Supplemental Table S1 for the training curriculum). The tasks were selected from the Psychological Software Services CogRehab program (Indianapolis: Psychological Software Services; 2009), and BrainTrain's educational software (Capitan's Log; 2010). Additionally, 1/3 of training time focused specifically on training with a version of the N-back task (0, 2, 3, or 4-back). Participants were advanced to a higher N-back level after demonstrating mastery performance (85% accuracy) at the previous level across three consecutive task runs.

Participants in the CST condition participated in a course focusing on keyboarding skills and learning to use Microsoft Office 2007 for word processing, spreadsheet management, and presentation creation. The CST condition was designed to have the same level of training time, exposure to computers, and attention from treatment providers as the REM condition, but was devoid of any sort of drill-and-practice approach containing cognitive load.

Master's or Bachelor's level interventionists facilitated both conditions and provided instruction, monitored progress, offered encouragement, and intervened to minimize frustration. Interventionists were unaware of the hypotheses being tested, and both interventionists and patients were told that the study was an examination of how two types of skills training impacted functioning in the community. Additionally, a doctoral level clinician led weekly half-hour bridging sessions for both conditions. In these sessions, participants discussed their reactions to the training, skills they were learning, and how they might apply them in real-world situations.

Assessment Procedure

All enrolled participants underwent clinical, cognitive, and functional assessment at baseline and after 16 weeks of training. For the purposes of the current study, patients were assessed on the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB), which measures functioning in domains of attention, processing speed, working memory, verbal learning, visual learning, reasoning, and social cognition (38). The dependent measure in the current investigation relied on the MCCB overall age and gender-corrected T-score.

Imaging Procedure and Pre-processing

Patients underwent an eight-minute rsfMRI scan (320 scans) immediately after completing a word and picture N-back task (424 scans per task). N-back tasks switched between 0-back and 2-back trials, and were counterbalanced for whether the word or picture condition was given first. All images were collected at the University of Minnesota Center for Magnetic Resonance Research using a 3-Tesla Siemens Trio MRI scanner, and a 32-channel head coil (repeat time (TR) = 1.5 seconds, echo time (TE) = 40, flip angle = 90 degrees, voxel size = $3.4 \times 3.4 \times 5$ mm thickness, FOV= 22 cm, 35 axial slices). T1 reference images were also

collected (voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1.2$ mm thickness, $240 \times 256 \times 160$ dimensions). Data were preprocessed using FSL (see: <http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/>). Images were spatially normalized in a 2-step procedure using rigid body transformations (FLIRT), where the images were first normalized to the individual structural image (in 6 directions), and then to the standard template (in 12 directions). Field maps were collected to carry out B0-unwarping, and motion correction used rigid body transformations (MCFLIRT) and a 6-parameter motion regression. Scans were spatially smoothed at FWHM = 7 mm, normalized using the mean volume intensity, and filtered with a high pass frequency cutoff of 100 seconds. Mean average displacement (movement) across pre and post-training resting scans was .31mm ($SD=.40$) and .30mm ($SD=.33$) in REM and CST. To maximize signal to noise in this randomized retest experiment, further data scrubbing was not conducted, and likely not indicated as group assignment was random, movement did not significantly differ as a function of time, group, or group by time (see Supplemental Table S2), and key comparisons were across-time change scores.

Planned Analyses

A bilateral thalamus region of interest (ROI) was established using the Harvard-Oxford Subcortical Atlas and a conservative probability threshold of 50%. This was chosen to maximize the number of voxels located anatomically within the thalamus, while minimizing those from neighboring brain areas. The ROI was then transformed into individual subject space using FSL's linear transformation tool (FLIRT). Individual subject time courses were extracted from the preprocessed data for each subject's thalamus ROI for both pre- and post-training rest scans. The time course was then entered into individual subject GLMs as a single regressor in FSL (similar to that of a psychophysiological interactions (PPI) analysis), and contrasted within subjects to compare pre- and post-training rest scans.

Following GLM analyses, we performed voxel-wise small volume ROI analyses constrained to previously identified prefrontal thalamocortical disconnections (10) in the left middle frontal gyrus (LMFG), right middle frontal gyrus (RMFG), and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). All three ROIs were established with the Harvard-Oxford Cortical Atlas, and thresholded in a conservative joint mask at 20% likelihood. Participants' duration of illness and WTAR-IQ scores were included as covariates of non-interest in the model. Group images were cluster-thresholded at $Z > 2.3$ and a within-mask significance threshold of $p = 0.05$ to maximize power for detecting changes within the a priori chosen ROIs. Voxels showing a significant group by time interaction in the small volume group analysis were used as masks to extract individual subject connectivity values. Values were extracted at both time 1 and time 2, and Fisher's Z-transformed before being entered into a repeated-measures ANOVA to examine the magnitude and directionality of group by time interactions. We then correlated these Z-transformed values with change in overall cognition scores from the MCCB. We also assessed whether baseline MCCB overall score correlated with change in connectivity.

Last, we sought to conduct the above analysis in a N-back task to determine whether connectivity was modulated in response to WM demands. We focused our analysis on a picture N-back task that showed a clear behavioral effect of training, wherein patients in the

REM group showed improved accuracy following training, while those in the CST group did not (Ramsay et al., In Press). Using the same bilateral thalamus ROI, we extracted the time course from pre- and post-training N-back scans. Time courses were then entered into a GLM as a PPI with the 2-back and 0-back conditions. At the group level, we again performed a voxel-wise small volume ROI analysis constrained to the same joint mask containing LMFG, RMFG, and ACC regions. Group images were again cluster-thresholded at $Z > 2.3$ and a within-mask significance threshold of $p = 0.05$. Last, we extracted and Fisher's Z -transformed individual beta/correlation values from significant voxels observed in the group analysis to examine the directionality of interactions and to examine correlations with MCCB scores.

Results

Participants in the two treatment groups did not differ on demographic, clinical, or cognitive measures at baseline (all p 's $> .12$; See Table 1). Additionally, there were no differences on these variables between those included in the imaging study and those who only participated in the clinical trial (all p 's $> .13$). Baseline resting state connectivity did not differ between groups in the ACC, left MFG, or right MFG ROIs (all p 's $> .55$), and the test-retest reliability of these relationships in the active-placebo group (CST) was found to be robust (ACC, left MFG, and right MFG ICCs $> .67$), indicating reliable network connectivity between scans, mitigating the need for further data scrubbing procedures.

Voxel-wise small-volume ROI analyses revealed differences between groups in the RMFG and ACC (Figure 1; Table 2a). To clarify these observed relationships, we extracted and plotted the beta values from the significant voxels. Connectivity differences in the RMFG reflected a group-by-time interaction ($\eta^2 = .35$) driven by a significant pre vs. post increase in the REM group ($t_{Pre - Post} = -3.10$, $p = .009$), and a trending, but non-significant pre vs. post decrease in the CST group ($t_{Pre - Post} = 2.14$, $p = .06$). A similar group-by-time interaction was observed in the ACC ($\eta^2 = .29$), driven by increased pre vs. post connectivity in the REM group ($t_{Pre - Post} = -2.37$, $p = .03$), and a trend toward decreased pre vs. post connectivity in the CST group ($t_{Pre - Post} = 2.04$, $p = .07$). No significant changes were observed in the LMFG.

Next we examined whether changes in the observed voxels were associated with training-related change in cognition. Increases in thalamus-RMFG connectivity were positively correlated with changes in overall MCCB score in REM ($r = .55$, $p = .043_{\text{Uncorrected}}$; Figure 2a) but not CST ($r = .33$, $p = .30$), although this relationship did not interact with group. Additionally, we observed a trending negative relationship between *baseline* MCCB score and increased thalamus-RMFG connectivity in REM ($r = -.53$, $p = .05_{\text{Uncorrected}}$; Figure 2c), indicating a modest relationship between lower cognition scores at baseline and changes in intrinsic connectivity. Change in MCCB score did not significantly correlate with changes in connectivity in the ACC in REM ($r = .18$, $p = .54_{\text{Uncorrected}}$; Figure 2b), and again, this relationship was not observed in the CST condition ($r = -.11$, $p = .72$). However, lower baseline MCCB score in REM trended with increased connectivity between the thalamus and ACC ($r = -.52$, $p = .05_{\text{Uncorrected}}$; Figure 2d).

Last, we sought to determine whether these changes in intrinsic thalamocortical connectivity during rest were also present during task engagement. As illustrated in Figure 3, we observed connectivity changes during the task between the thalamus and ACC ($\eta^2=.39$), driven by increased pre vs. post connectivity in the REM group ($t_{Pre-Post}=-3.15$, $p=.008$), and decreased pre vs. post connectivity in the CST group ($t_{Pre-Post}=2.52$, $p=.02$). We also observed connectivity changes with the LMFG ($\eta^2=.34$) driven by pre vs. post increases in the REM group ($t_{Pre-Post}=-3.13$, $p=.008$), and a trending pre vs. post decrease in the CST group ($t_{Pre-Post}=2.04$, $p=.07$). No changes were observed in the RMFG. Notably, these changes were not observed in a psychophysiological interaction effect modulated by the 2-back condition, but rather were persistent across the duration of the task. This indicates that these differences are characterized by tonic changes in thalamo-prefrontal connectivity present during cognitive engagement. Also, changes in connectivity with neither the ACC nor LMFG were predictive of changes in MCCB score or improvements in N-back task performance, but lower baseline MCCB score did show a trend level correlation with change in connectivity with the ACC ($r=-.46$, $p=.099$).

Discussion

The current findings suggest that one effect of REM in people with chronic schizophrenia is an increase in functional connectivity between the thalamus and various parts of the executive control network. Patients who underwent 16 weeks of a WM-focused drill-and-practice REM showed increased functional connectivity between the thalamus and both the RMFG and ACC. This is notable given previous evidence for reductions in connectivity between these regions (10,13), and coincides with previous findings that demonstrate that REM influences structural connectivity in the thalamus (39). It also reinforces meta-analytic findings establishing thalamic and prefrontal areas as important neural targets for REM in schizophrenia (34), and offers a plausible mechanism by which these interventions may influence the brain's functional architecture. Specifically, focused cognitive training may induce neuroplastic changes evident at rest that reflect a core improvement in schizophrenia neuropathology observed in individuals vulnerable to psychosis (18) and across the illness' progression (10).

Additionally, increased thalamic-RMFG connectivity correlated with improvements in overall MCCB score, suggesting that plasticity in this circuit relates to training-related generalization to improve cognition. Few studies to date have demonstrated that changes in neural functioning from REM coincide with improvements on distal measures of overall cognition. This is especially relevant, as previous studies have established that disrupted structural and functional circuitry between the thalamus, PFC, and other subcortical regions underlie cognitive disruptions in schizophrenia (17,25). These preliminary findings, albeit in need of replication with more stringent statistical thresholds and larger samples, suggest REM directly influences this mechanism to facilitate treatment-related remediation of the cognitive deficits prevalent in schizophrenia. Our findings also suggest that the REM generalized beyond the working memory domain that was trained, as a post-hoc analysis demonstrated that the relationship between thalamic-RMFG connectivity and a general MCCB score without the working memory domain remained robust ($r=.51$; $p=.06$).

We also demonstrated that lower baseline measures of cognition related to increased thalamocortical intrinsic connectivity following training in both the RMFG and ACC. This indicates that those with poorer cognition before REM were those that showed the most neural plasticity; potentially making them target candidates for this type of treatment. This is encouraging, as previous findings that have identified individuals with higher baseline cognition as more responsive to REM interventions that target multiple cognitive domains (40). In contrast, others have shown that lower functioning patients show more gains in response to functional skill-focused trainings (41) and REM combined with supported employment (42), which coincides with the current findings. In the present intervention, patients trained in a single cognitive domain: working memory. This focused training may have targeted disrupted neural pathways in lower functioning patients, enabling greater change in the underlying neuropathology.

The present findings demonstrated that changes in thalamocortical connectivity might also be present in the face of cognitive demands. However, rather than showing a modulatory effect (where connectivity might fluctuate during increased WM demand), we demonstrate that the ACC and LMFG showed tonic connectivity changes across the duration of a N-back task. This suggests that training initiated changes may not only be present during rest, but persist during cognitive engagement. These changes did not correlate with WM task improvement or changes on the MCCB, making it unclear whether this played a direct role in task approach or ability. Despite the lack of a behavioral correlation with these connectivity changes, this finding suggests that the previously observed intrinsic connectivity changes exist across cognitive states. It also remains unclear why we observed trending decreases in connectivity in the placebo condition during both task and rest, as they did not reflect changes in cognition in post-hoc correlation analyses. Despite this, we continue to note that these observations do not discount the statistically significant increases in connectivity we observed in the REM condition.

A limitation of the current study is that we were constrained to an ROI approach, wherein we examined changes in hypothesis driven brain areas of the PFC. In line with the findings of Anticevic and colleagues (2013) and Atluri and colleagues (2015), we also conducted post-hoc analyses to examine whether REM influenced previously observed hyper-connections to parietal, somatosensory, and temporal areas, though no relationships were observed. Thalamocortical connections between these areas may be important to investigate in future studies, especially as they may coincide with recovery or alleviation of other types of psychiatric symptoms in schizophrenia. To date, it remains unclear whether differential changes to specific regions, even within the prefrontal cortex, may reflect different aspects of recovery. Future studies may consider using a control group to functionally define thalamocortical ROIs and offer better specificity of relevant neural targets.

It is also not clear from the current findings whether WM-focused REM influences other neural circuits, in particular those that may connect via the thalamus. Studies in both humans and animals have highlighted the roles of thalamic, cortical, and striatal circuitry in WM function (22,23,25), and though the current study did not explicitly examine striatal connections, it offers a starting point for such investigations. The thalamus is a particularly complex neural region, composed of subnuclei that project to various cortical structures. In

particular, the dorsomedial nucleus may be especially important in thalamus-prefrontal connectivity, as it is well understood to have direct projections to the PFC (43). Future studies targeting connectivity from these subnuclei more specifically may offer a more nuanced understanding of the nature of REM-induced connectivity changes.

An important constraint of the current study is the sample size, and this likely limited our ability to show that the effects were robust when correcting for multiple comparisons (i.e. using a Bonferroni correction in multiple correlation analyses). Though the current study has a sample size consistent with, if not greater than previous imaging studies of REM (34), larger samples and replication in extant datasets will help to clarify the nature of thalamocortical connectivity, and how REM or other psychiatric treatments may influence these networks. It will also be useful to understand in future studies whether changes in thalamocortical connectivity are durable, and whether they coincide with improvements in long-term cognition and functioning.

We note that there are numerous approaches to examine thalamocortical connectivity; and though our main findings trended in the same direction when adding additional nuisance variables to the model (i.e. signal from white matter and cerebral spinal fluid), future studies will be required to investigate whether this finding is robust across more rigorous methodologies. Similarly, motion may be an especially pernicious confound in studies of connectivity; and though not thought to be a factor in the current findings (see Supplementary Materials), will require careful consideration and control in future examinations of thalamocortical connectivity. It will also be important to replicate the current findings using more stringent statistical thresholds, especially in light of work suggesting that current methods may be vulnerable to false positive findings (44).

The current study found that REM increased thalamocortical connectivity at rest, and that this corresponded to improvements in overall cognitive functioning. Additionally, these changes persisted during task engagement, and were related to lower pre-treatment cognition. These findings offer a theoretical basis for the neural mechanisms supporting REM in schizophrenia, and provide experimental support for animal and human findings that suggest thalamocortical dysconnectivity is linked to cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the time and effort of Matthew P. Marggraf M.A. in helping to collect this data. This research was supported by a Rehabilitation Merit Grant (D6981R) awarded by the Department of Veteran Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, Office of Research and Development and a grant from the Minnesota Veterans Medical Education and Research Foundation. Imaging was conducted at the University of Minnesota Center for Magnetic Resonance Research supported by an Institutional Center Cores for Advanced Neuroimaging Grant #1P30 NS076408. Ian S. Ramsay was supported by a NIMH F31 NRSA Grant #1F31MH106080-01. The contents of this presentation do not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government. Angus W. MacDonald had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Pettersson-Yeo, W., Allen, P., Benetti, S., McGuire, P., Mechelli, A. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* [Internet]. Vol. 35. Elsevier Ltd; 2011 Apr. Dysconnectivity in schizophrenia: where are we now?; p. 1110-24.[cited 2014 Aug 21]Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21115039>
2. Friston KJ, Frith CD. Schizophrenia: a disconnection syndrome? *Clin Neurosci*. 1995; 3:89–97. [PubMed: 7583624]
3. Heinrichs, RW. The primacy of cognition in schizophrenia. *Am Psychol* [Internet]. 2005 Apr. [cited 2011 Jun 20];60(3):229–42. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15796677>
4. MacDonald, AW, III. What kind of a thing is schizophrenia? Specific causation and general failure modes. In: Silverstein, SM.Moghaddam, B., Wykes, T., editors. *Schizophrenia: Evolution and Synthesis*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2013.
5. Lynall M-E, Bassett DS, Kerwin R, McKenna PJ, Kitzbichler M, Muller U, et al. Functional connectivity and brain networks in schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* [Internet]. 2010 Jul 14; 30(28):9477–87. [cited 2013 Nov 7]. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2914251&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
6. Cole, MW., Anticevic, A., Repovs, G., Barch, D. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. Vol. 70. Elsevier Inc; 2011 Jul 1. Variable global dysconnectivity and individual differences in schizophrenia; p. 43-50. [cited 2011 Aug 8]Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21496789>
7. Minzenberg MJ, Laird AR, Thelen S, Carter CS, Glahn DC. Meta-analysis of 41 functional neuroimaging studies of executive function in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2009 Aug; 66(8):811–22. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2888482&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
8. Pergola, G., Selvaggi, P., Trizio, S., Bertolino, A., Blasi, G. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2015 Jan 20. The Role of the Thalamus in Schizophrenia from a Neuroimaging Perspective; p. 1-19.[cited 2015 Jan 26]Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25616183>
9. Klein JC, Rushworth MFS, Behrens TEJ, Mackay CE, de Crespigny AJ, D'Arceuil H, et al. Topography of connections between human prefrontal cortex and mediodorsal thalamus studied with diffusion tractography. *Neuroimage*. 2010; 51(2):555–64. [PubMed: 20206702]
10. Anticevic, A., Cole, MW., Repovs, G., Murray, JD., Brumbaugh, MS., Winkler, AM., et al. Characterizing Thalamo-Cortical Disturbances in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Illness; *Cereb Cortex* [Internet]. 2013 Jul 3. p. 1-15.[cited 2014 Nov 5]Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23825317>
11. Atluri, G., Steinbach, M., Lim, KO., Kumar, V., MacDonald, A. Connectivity cluster analysis for discovering discriminative subnetworks in schizophrenia. *Hum Brain Mapp* [Internet]. 2014 Nov 13. Oct 13. [cited 2014 Nov 18]Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25394864>
12. Woodward ND, Karbasforoushan H, Heckers S. Thalamocortical Dysconnectivity in Schizophrenia. 2012 Oct.:1092–9.
13. Welsh RC, Chen AC, Taylor SF. Low-frequency BOLD fluctuations demonstrate altered thalamocortical connectivity in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* [Internet]. 2010 Jul; 36(4):713–22. [cited 2015 Feb 14]. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2894601&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
14. Klingner CM, Langbein K, Dietzek M, Smesny S, Witte OW, Sauer H, et al. Thalamocortical connectivity during resting state in schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* [Internet]. 2014; 264:111–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23892770>.
15. Mitelman SA, Byne W, Kemether EM, Hazlett EA, Buchsbaum MS. Metabolic disconnection between the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus and cortical brodmann's areas of the left hemisphere in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005; 162(9):1733–5. [PubMed: 16135634]
16. Lewis, Da. Is There a Neuropathology of Schizophrenia? Recent Findings Converge on Altered Thalamo-Prefrontal Cortical Connectivity. *Neurosci*. 2000; 6(3):208–18.
17. Woodward, ND., Heckers, S. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. Elsevier; 2015. Mapping thalamocortical functional connectivity in chronic and early stages of psychotic disorders; p. 1-10.Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S000632231500534X>

18. Anticevic A, Haut K, Murray JD, Repovs G, Yang GJ, Diehl C, et al. Association of Thalamic Dysconnectivity and Conversion to Psychosis in Youth and Young Adults at Elevated Clinical Risk. *JAMA Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2015; 72(9):882–91. Available from: <http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0566>.
19. Tu Y, Yu T, Fu XY, Xie P, Lu S, Huang XQ, et al. Altered thalamocortical functional connectivity by propofol anesthesia in rats. *Pharmacology*. 2011; 88(5–6):322–6. [PubMed: 22116025]
20. Laureys S, Faymonville ME, Luxen A, Lamy M, Franck G, Maquet P. Restoration of thalamocortical connectivity after recovery from persistent vegetative state. *Lancet*. 2000;1790–1. [PubMed: 10832834]
21. Zhang HY, Wang SJ, Xing J, Liu B, Ma ZL, Yang M, et al. Detection of PCC functional connectivity characteristics in resting-state fMRI in mild Alzheimer's disease. *Behav Brain Res*. 2009; 197(1):103–8. [PubMed: 18786570]
22. Hembrook JR, Onos KD, Mair RG. Inactivation of ventral midline thalamus produces selective spatial delayed conditional discrimination impairment in the rat. *Hippocampus*. 2012; 22(4):853–60. [PubMed: 21542055]
23. Duan, AR., Varela, C., Zhang, Y., Shen, Y., Xiong, L., Wilson, M., et al. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. Elsevier; 2015. Delta frequency optogenetic stimulation of a thalamic nucleus reunions is sufficient to produce working memory deficits; relevance to schizophrenia. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006322315001559>
24. Parnaudeau, S., O'Neill, PK., Bolkan, SS., Ward, RD., Abbas, AI., Roth, BL., et al. *Neuron* [Internet]. Vol. 77. Elsevier Inc; 2013. Inhibition of Mediodorsal Thalamus Disrupts Thalamofrontal Connectivity and Cognition; p. 1151–62. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.038>
25. Sui, J., Pearlson, GD., Du, Y., Yu, Q., Jones, TR., Chen, J., et al. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. Elsevier; 2015 Feb. In Search of Multimodal Neuroimaging Biomarkers of Cognitive Deficits in Schizophrenia. [cited 2015 Feb 24]; Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006322315001274>
26. Uhlhaas PJ, Roux F, Singer W. Thalamocortical Synchronization and Cognition: Implications for Schizophrenia? *Neuron* [Internet] Elsevier. 2013; 77(6):997–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.033>.
27. Taub E. Harnessing brain plasticity through behavioral techniques to produce new treatments in neurorehabilitation. *The American psychologist*. 2004;692–704. [PubMed: 15554826]
28. Wykes T, Huddy V, Cellard C, McGurk SR, Czobor P. A meta-analysis of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: methodology and effect sizes. *Am J Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2011 May; 168(5): 472–85. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21406461>.
29. McGurk SR Ph D, Twamley EW, Sitzer DI, Mchugo GJ, Mueser KT. Reviews and Overviews A Meta-Analysis of Cognitive Remediation in Schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Interpers Biol Process*. 2007 Dec.:1791–802.
30. Haut, KM., Lim, KO., MacDonald, A. *Neuropsychopharmacology* [Internet]. Vol. 35. Nature Publishing Group; 2010 Aug. Prefrontal cortical changes following cognitive training in patients with chronic schizophrenia: effects of practice, generalization, and specificity; p. 1850–9. [cited 2011 Jul 16] Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3055638&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
31. Subramaniam, K., Luks, TL., Garrett, C., Chung, C., Fisher, M., Nagarajan, S., et al. *Neuroimage* [Internet]. Elsevier B.V; 2014 May. Intensive cognitive training in schizophrenia enhances working memory and associated prefrontal cortical efficiency in a manner that drives long-term functional gains. [cited 2014 May 26]; Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1053811914004224>
32. Eack SM, Newhill CE, Keshavan MS. Cognitive Enhancement Therapy Improves Resting-State Functional Connectivity in Early Course Schizophrenia. *J Soc Social Work Res*. 2016; 7(2):211–30. [PubMed: 27713804]
33. Ramsay IS, Nienow TM, Marggraf MP, MacDonald AW. Neuroplastic Changes in Schizophrenia Patients Undergoing Cognitive Remediation in a Triple-Blind Trial: A Replication Study. *Under Rev*.

34. Ramsay IS, MacDonald AW. Brain Correlates of Cognitive Remediation in Schizophrenia: Activation Likelihood Analysis Shows Preliminary Evidence of Neural Target Engagement. 2015:1–9.
35. Sanders GS, Gallup GG, Heinsen H, Hof PR, Schmitz C. Cognitive deficits, schizophrenia, and the anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2002:190–2. [PubMed: 11983574]
36. Perlstein WM, Carter CS, Noll DC, Cohen JD. Relation of prefrontal cortex dysfunction to working memory and symptoms in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001; 158(7):1105–13. [PubMed: 11431233]
37. Barch DM, Ceaser A. Cognition in schizophrenia: core psychological and neural mechanisms. *Trends Cogn Sci [Internet]*. 2011 Dec; 16(1):27–34. [cited 2011 Dec 14]. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1364661311002488>.
38. Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, et al. The MATRICS consensus cognitive battery, part 1: Test selection, reliability, and validity. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008; 165:203–13. [PubMed: 18172019]
39. Penadés R, Pujol N, Catalán R, Massana G, Rametti G, García-Rizo C, et al. Brain effects of cognitive remediation therapy in schizophrenia: a structural and functional neuroimaging study. *Biol Psychiatry [Internet]*. 2013 May 15; 73(10):1015–23. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23452665>.
40. Kurtz, MM., Seltzer, JC., Fujimoto, M., Shagan, DS., Wexler, BE. *Schizophr Res [Internet]*. Vol. 107. Elsevier B.V; 2009 Feb. Predictors of change in life skills in schizophrenia after cognitive remediation; p. 267–74. [cited 2013 Aug 26] Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3399665&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
41. Twamley EW, Burton CZ, Vella L. Compensatory cognitive training for psychosis: who benefits? Who stays in treatment? *Schizophr Bull [Internet]*. 2011 Sep; 37(Suppl 2):S55–62. [cited 2013 Aug 26]. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3160125&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
42. Bell MD, Choi K-H, Dyer C, Wexler BE. Benefits of cognitive remediation and supported employment for schizophrenia patients with poor community functioning. *Psychiatr Serv [Internet]*. 2014; 65(4):469–75. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24382594>.
43. Watanabe Y, Funahashi S. Thalamic mediodorsal nucleus and working memory. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2012:134–42. [PubMed: 21605592]
44. Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H. Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. 2016:1–6.

Figure 1.

Group x Time Activation Changes at Rest

Note: Green area denotes the thalamus ROI. Transparent red areas denote the right middle frontal gyrus (RMFG), left middle frontal gyrus (LMFG), and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) ROIs. Hot areas denote increased activation from pre to post intervention in REM > CST. Group by time interactions were observed in the RMFG and ACC, driven by increased thalamocortical connectivity following the REM intervention. Change in connectivity within each group is graphically depicted in the bar charts, where change (post>pre) reflected increases in connectivity in the REM group (red), but no significant changes in the CST group (blue). *= $p<.05$ **= $p<.01$

Figure 2.

Correlations with MCCB

Note: (A) Change in MCCB score significantly correlated with changes in connectivity with the right middle frontal gyrus (RMFG; $r=.55$, $p=.043$). (B) However, change in MCCB score did not significantly correlate with changes in connectivity with the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). (C) Baseline MCCB overall score negatively correlated with changes in connectivity with the right middle frontal gyrus (RMFG; $r=-.53$, $p=.05$). (D) Baseline MCCB overall score negatively correlated with changes in connectivity with the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; $r=-.52$, $p=.05$).

Figure 3.

Group x Time Activation Changes during N-back

Green area denotes the thalamus ROI. Transparent red areas denote the right middle frontal gyrus (RMFG), left middle frontal gyrus (LMFG), and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) ROIs. Hot areas denote increased tonic activation (not modulated by the task) from pre to post intervention in REM > CST. Group by time interactions were observed in the ACC and LMFG, driven by increased connectivity following the REM intervention. Change in connectivity within each group is graphically depicted in the bar charts, where change (post>pre) reflected increases in connectivity in the REM group (red), and a decrease in connectivity in the ACC but not the LMFG for the CST group (blue). *= $p<.05$ **= $p<.01$

Table 1

	REM (SD)	CST (SD)	t-value	p-value
Age (Years)	42.93 (10.6)	45.75 (7.7)	0.8	0.43
Education (Years)	13.47 (1.5)	12.42 (1.04)	1.2	0.24
Parental Education (Years)	12.83 (4.3)	13.21 (1.79)	0.3	0.76
WTAR IQ (Standard Score)	104.00 (10.76)	101.42 (11.56)	0.6	0.56
Duration of Illness (Years)	20.93 (12.73)	18.5 (11.11)	0.53	0.60
Total CPZ	551.80 (466.24)	320.75 (280.81)	1.6	0.12
Baseline BPRS Total (T-Score)	42.53 (9.74)	45 (11.17)	0.6	0.55
Baseline MCCB Overall Score (T-Score)	37.00 (16.34)	34 (15.27)	0.49	0.63

Note: Pre-Treatment Group Demographics. REM = Cognitive Remediation Training (N=14), CST = Computer Skills Training (N=12), WTAR IQ = Wechsler Test of Adult Reading Intelligence Quotient, Total CPZ = Total Chlorpromazine Equivalents, BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale, MCCB = MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery.

Table 2
Brain areas showing a group x time interaction reflecting increased connectivity during rest and N-Back task

Region	N Voxels	Z-Max	x	y	z
A) Connectivity During Rest					
ACC	520	3.49	2	-4	32
		3.31	10	0	38
		3.17	0	34	22
		3.11	-10	16	28
Right MFG	460	3.1	-8	10	32
		3.1	4	2	36
		4.19	42	4	54
		3.75	38	4	58
		3.24	30	30	46
		3.16	26	28	38
		3.15	40	28	46
		3.1	30	0	64
B) Connectivity During N-Back					
ACC	494	4.18	6	4	36
		3.98	2	0	36
		3.41	-2	2	34
		3.38	10	12	42
Left MFG	314	3.37	4	14	44
		2.97	4	6	26
		3.83	-34	32	34
		3.21	-32	10	32
		3.15	-36	4	34
		3.91	-22	30	38
		2.83	-38	34	42

Note: Group x Time interaction reflects Pre<Post REM>CST. ACC = Anterior cingulate cortex; MFG = Middle frontal gyrus.