Published in final edited form as:

Curr Nutr Rep. 2016 September ; 5(3): 168–179. doi:10.1007/s13668-016-0176-4.

A *posteriori* data-derived dietary patterns and incident coronary heart disease: Making sense of inconsistent findings

Lyn M. Steffen and Katie C. Hootman

Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota; Minneapolis, MN

Abstract

Inconsistent findings have been reported from numerous prospective studies for the relations of the ‘Western’ (unhealthy) and ‘Prudent’ (healthy) diet patterns, derived using factor, principle components, or cluster analysis methods, with incident coronary heart disease (CHD). Among contemporary prospective studies, the ‘Prudent’ diet pattern was inversely related to CHD risk in 7 of 12 studies, while the ‘Western’ diet pattern positively related to risk in only 3 of 11 studies. To explain these inconsistent findings, we compared the methods and results from these prospective studies conducted in the U.S., Europe, and Asia. A ‘Prudent’ diet pattern was consistently related to 18–65% lower risk of incident CHD in 7 studies conducted in the U.S., Europe and Asia. In 3 of 4 U.S. studies, but not cohorts in Europe or Asia, the ‘Western’ diet pattern was related to 37–64% greater CHD risk. In Asian cohorts, the ‘Western’ diet pattern was not related to increased CHD risk, which may be partially explained by the overall higher fish intake among Asians. The ‘*a posteriori*’, or data driven, approach to diet patterns is based on reported dietary intake and we found the components of each dietary pattern differed by geographic location and diet assessment instrument. We discuss how the non-standardized methods used to discern diet patterns from the dietary data may contribute to discrepant results. Further, the disparate findings may also be explained by differing sample characteristics, follow-up period, and CHD ascertainment. In summary, ‘*a posteriori*’ derived ‘Prudent’ diet pattern was related to cardiovascular health.

Keywords

diet patterns; Western diet pattern; prudent diet pattern; Mediterranean diet pattern; coronary heart disease; CHD mortality Introduction

Introduction

Dietary intake plays an important role in cardiovascular health [1–3]. Observational studies have shown better cardiovascular health among adults consuming nutrients such as n-3 fatty acids [4], and foods such as fruit and vegetables [5,6], fish [7] and whole grains [5,8]. Other foods, including red and processed meat, have been related to greater risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [9]. More recent studies have focused on the whole diet or dietary patterns,

since we eat combinations of foods and beverages and not just one food or nutrient [10]. Numerous dietary patterns and scores have been created to generally characterize a populations' overall dietary intake and are reviewed in detail elsewhere [11,12]. Briefly, there are three general approaches to characterizing dietary patterns (Table 1). The 'a priori' approach to characterize a pattern of dietary intake or diet quality is based on pre-defined criteria. For example, the Healthy Eating Index (HEI) created in 1995 [13] and recently updated in 2010 [14] reflects the 2010 U.S. Dietary Guidelines for Americans (DGA) [12]. Another 'a priori' diet quality score or diet pattern is the Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension or DASH diet pattern, which was developed to reduce blood pressure [15]. 'A posteriori' diet pattern is a data-driven approach where diet patterns are created using factor analysis, principal components analysis (PCA) or cluster analysis [11,16]. Commonly labeled diet patterns are the 'Western' and 'Prudent' patterns. The 'Western' pattern is characterized by higher intake of red and processed meat, fried foods, sweets, solid fats, and refined grains, while the 'Prudent' pattern, in contrast, is usually characterized by higher intake of a variety of vegetables and fruit, and whole grains. There are many dietary patterns, theoretically limitless combinations of foods and beverages habitually consumed, but common diet patterns are often based on food preferences such as a vegetarian or Mediterranean diet patterns [17,18], religious or philosophical beliefs, and commercial diet plans including the popular diet plans such as Atkins, Pritikin, and South Beach [19].

Identifying healthy diets to prevent the development of CHD or unhealthy diets that increase CHD risk is key for developing and tailoring public health promotion strategies for specific populations. Recently, the Diet Pattern Methods Project (DPMP), a consortium to strengthen research evidence on dietary indices, reported consistency among five 'a priori' diet quality scores/patterns as well as consistency among the associations for each of these diet scores/patterns with mortality from all-causes, CVD, and cancer [20]. However, evidence from prospective studies is inconsistent for 'a posteriori' derived diet patterns relative to CHD [21–32]. Although these study results were recently meta-analyzed [33], questions remain unanswered. The major limitation of a meta-analysis is the aggregation of studies with diverse study populations and methods; combining studies with stark methodologic differences is akin to comparing apples and oranges [34].

The purpose of this review was to explain the inconsistent findings among the studies. We compared and contrasted the methods from 12 published prospective studies that examined the relations of 'a posteriori' derived dietary patterns with incident CHD.

Comparison of Study Methods

Studies included in this comprehensive review were restricted to studies included in a recent meta-analysis [33] plus 2 others published since 2000 [22,24]. All studies had a prospective cohort design, dietary assessment at baseline, derivation of dietary patterns using factor analysis, principal components analysis, or cluster analysis, and follow-up for incident CHD [21–32, studies described in Table 2).

Study Population: Differences in underlying populations

Of the 12 studies, 7 enrolled only white participants [21,22,25–29]; 1 study included 4 race/ethnic groups – white, black, Hispanic, and Chinese [23]; 1 study with black and white adults [24], and 3 studies enrolled Japanese and Chinese adults [30–32]. Participants in most studies were aged 40–75 years at baseline; although younger participants were enrolled in 3 studies [25,28,29]. Exclusion criteria varied somewhat across studies: 1) study participants with prevalent CHD were excluded from most studies except 2 [25,31] and 2) participants with diabetes were also excluded in 3 studies [22,23,27].

Diet Assessment: Differences in the capture of dietary intake

Dietary intake was assessed using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) in 11 studies, while a diet history questionnaire was used in 1 study [28]. The number of foods listed in each FFQ ranged from 26–127 food items. Among study participants responding to the diet history questionnaire, 662 foods were reported. Further, there was considerable variation in the food lists on the FFQs between studies.

Dietary Pattern Construction: Differences in pattern constituents and analytic technique

Many of the procedures and decisions made to create the diet patterns were not standardized among the 12 studies. For example, the number of foods/food groups that made up each of the diet patterns varied considerably; in 5 studies individual food items were categorized into a smaller number of food groups (range: 31–47 food groups) [21–23,28,29]; while in the other 7 studies individual food items were included in the factor or cluster analysis model (range: 40–127 individual food items) [24–27,30–32]. Gender-specific diet patterns were created in 4 studies [21,22,26,31]; while the diet patterns in the other 7 studies were based on both genders [23–25,27–30]. The ‘a posteriori’ ‘Prudent’ diet pattern (or similar ‘healthy’ pattern) was created in all 12 studies, while the ‘Western’ diet pattern (or similar ‘unhealthy’ pattern) was created in 11 studies, without the study by Akesson et al. [26]. Further, in 4 of the studies, up to 3 additional diet patterns were created [23,24,27,31].

Definition of CHD – Differences in the outcome

In U.S. and European studies, incident CHD was defined as 1) confirmed and probable incident nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) and 2) fatal CHD. Incident nonfatal MI was generally defined the same in U.S. [21–24] and European studies [25–29], but the Asian studies [30–32] limited the CHD outcome definition to fatal MI. CHD mortality was assessed similarly in all studies.

Ascertainment of CHD

In U.S. studies, medical records were reviewed for incident MI [21–24]. Fatal CHD was confirmed by medical records, autopsy reports, underlying cause of death on the death certificate, or confirmation by other sources. In European studies, CHD diagnosis was obtained from national hospital registries or population-based MI registries [25,26,28,29] or through study exam, doctor diagnosis, and medical records [27]. Death information was obtained from cause of death registries [25–29]. CHD mortality in Asian countries was

identified by review of death certificates obtained from regional Public Health Centers or death registries [30–32].

Comparison of Study Results

Study participants in the 12 studies were aged 20 to 84 years at baseline and were followed for incident CHD or CHD death over 4.6 to 15 years (Table 2). For the ‘Western’ diet pattern, there was a 37% to 64% higher risk of incident CHD [21,22,24] in 3 U.S. studies; however, null results were observed in 4 European and 3 Asian studies. Results were not reported for the ‘Fat, processed meat’ (or Western) diet pattern relative to CHD in the Nettleton et al. study [23]. For the ‘Prudent’ diet pattern, the risk of incident CHD was lower by 13% to 65% in 3 U.S. and 4 European studies, while no relation was found in 1 U.S. study [24], 1 European study [25], nor in the 3 Asian studies [30–32].

A recent meta-analysis by Rodriguez-Monforte et al. reported findings for factor analysis and cluster analysis derived diet patterns relative to incident CVD, CHD and stroke [33]. In this meta-analysis, the ‘Prudent’ diet pattern was related to a 17% lower risk of CHD, but there was no relation with the ‘Western’ diet pattern [33]. Further, stratification by geographic location (U.S.+Europe; Asia) showed similar findings.

The published factor loadings or correlations of individual foods/food groups for each of the ‘Western’ and ‘Prudent’ diet patterns in 10 studies are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Factor loadings were not reported in the Akesson et al or Brunner et al. papers [26,27]. Loading scores for absolute values <0.30 were not reported in the Hu et al. study and for the other studies, loading scores <0.15 were not reported. Positive factor loadings for a specific item indicate higher intake of that item characterizes the corresponding diet pattern and negative values indicate relatively lower intake of the item characterizes the pattern. For the ‘Western’ diet pattern (Table 3), several studies, but not all, had positive loading scores for red and processed meat, French fries or fried food, eggs, high fat dairy products, refined grain, and added fats (butter, margarine, oils, and olive oil) and negative loading scores for whole grains. For the ‘Prudent’ diet pattern in all studies, positive loading scores were observed for fish, fruit, and fruit juice (Table 4). Further, factor loading scores for many of the U.S. and European studies were positive for poultry, low-fat dairy, nuts/seeds, and whole grains. For U.S. and Asian studies, loading scores were positive for a number of vegetables and legumes (miso, soybean, tofu). For studies conducted in Europe and Asia, positive loading scores were observed for sweets (candy, desserts).

The average number of servings consumed per day for major food groups for the ‘Western’ and ‘Prudent’ diet patterns are shown by lowest and highest quantile of intake in Tables 5 and 6, respectively, for several studies. This information was not published in 6 of the 12 studies [23,25,27–29,31]. For the ‘Western’ pattern, Americans [21,22,24] generally consumed more servings of total meat (red, processed, and poultry), dairy products, fruit and vegetables, and whole grains than Asians [30,32]; however, Asians reported consuming more servings of fish and rice (refined grain) than Americans (Table 5). Servings of food intake were not reported for the ‘Western’ diet pattern in European studies. For the ‘Prudent’ pattern (Table 6), Americans and Europeans consumed more servings of total meat, dairy

products, fruit and vegetables than Asians, but Asians reported consuming more fish and rice. Alcohol consumption was not consistently reported across studies.

Discussion

Meta-analysis results showed a 17% lower risk of incident CHD for the ‘a posteriori’ ‘Prudent’ diet pattern [33]; however, lower CHD risk ranged from 13% to 65% as reported in 7 of 12 individual studies [21–23,26–29]. The ‘Prudent’ diet pattern was characterized by higher intake of fish, poultry, low-fat dairy, fruit, fruit juice, nuts/seeds, and/or whole grains. The ‘Western’ diet pattern was generally characterized by higher intakes of red and processed meat, French fries or fried food, eggs, high-fat dairy products, refined grain, and added fats, and while the ‘Western’ pattern was not associated with CHD in meta-analysis [33], the risk of CHD was significantly higher in 3 of 4 U.S. studies [21,22,24].

We propose several explanations for the inconsistency of findings for the diet pattern-CHD associations. First, ‘a posteriori’ or data-driven derived diet patterns are based on subjective methods and require decisions that have consequences for the analysis and interpretation of the study results. Importantly, the methods to construct diet patterns in the 12 studies were not standardized. These methodological differences likely contribute to the inconsistency of results in this body of evidence. In constructing the diet pattern the choice and treatment of food and beverage variables included in the model may influence study results. For example, the decision to include individual food items or food groups varied among the 12 studies; half of the studies collapsed individual food items into a smaller number of food groups (ranging from 30–50 food groups) [21–23,28,29], while the others input all individual food items in the factor analysis model. Subjective decision-making has also been involved with food grouping. For example, should red meat and processed meat be grouped together or kept separate? Or should all vegetables be grouped into 1 group or grouped into botanically similar groups or grouped according to another scheme. These decisions influence the factor loadings and study results. Another aspect to consider in diet pattern construction is whether to use absolute or density amounts. However, Smith et al. determined no differences between diet patterns derived using absolute and density amounts in a children’s diet study [35]. Finally, there is evidence suggesting that dietary intake differs between men and women; not only amount of food and beverage intake but choices of food and beverages vary [36]. Half of the studies created gender-specific diet pattern scores, while the others created diet patterns inputting data from both genders into the factor analysis/PCA model.

Second, dietary assessment varied between studies, including the instrument to assess dietary intake (FFQ vs. diet history), differences in the FFQ food list (food and beverage items queried), the number of food and beverages listed on the FFQ varied between studies (ranging from 26 to 131 items), interviewer- vs. self-administration of the FFQ, and food and beverage intake not listed on the FFQ (alcohol or other beverages, high calorie snack foods, or other foods to capture total diet). Osler et al. used a short item FFQ (26 items) which did not capture total dietary intake, which may have contributed to the null relation between both food patterns and CHD [25].

Third, ascertainment of CHD cases differed by country. It is possible that the number of cases captured in U.S. studies was underestimated since national hospital charge registries were not available as in Europe. In addition, non-fatal CHD was not captured in the studies conducted in Japan and China [30–32]. Diverse capture of CHD cases, including different CHD definition in Asian studies, may potentially attenuate the diet-CHD point estimate, thus, providing another potential explanation for differing study results.

Furthermore, geographic and/or cultural differences influence eating habits, including availability of food and type of food consumed, food preparation and cooking method (such as roasting, steaming, food preservation using salt), and amount of food consumed. Amount of food intake varied by geographic location; Asians consumed more fish and less meat for both lower (Q1) and higher (Q4 or Q5) ‘Prudent’ and ‘Western’ pattern scores compared to American quantile values. In the individual Asian cohorts, no relation was observed between the ‘Prudent’ diet pattern and CHD, which was somewhat surprising given their higher fish and legume intake, and lower meat intake; although the study samples were relatively young and only CHD mortality was ascertained over 5–12 years of follow-up. In meta-analysis, results showed a statistically significant 18% lower risk of CHD mortality associated with the ‘Prudent’ pattern among Asian cohorts, a result likely driven by the large pooled sample size. Although sodium intake was not queried, sodium intake is high among Chinese and Japanese populations and may have contributed to CHD mortality [37, 38]. The risk of CHD was higher among adults consuming a ‘Western’ diet pattern in U.S. cohorts, but not in Europe or Asia; however, the meta-analysis results did not stratify between U.S. and Europe [33]. Since the European studies did not report average number of servings from each food group for the ‘Western’ diet pattern, we were unable to compare food intake between studies from the two geographic areas. For comparison between studies, it is important to be transparent and report methodology related to constructing the diet patterns as well as the results of pattern analysis, including the factor loading scores and average food intake for all quantiles of diet pattern score. Further, more research is needed to understand the differences in diet pattern-disease associations by geographic location and culture.

In summary, the recommendations of the 2015–20 Dietary Guidelines for Americans [12] and the AHA/ACC guidelines on lifestyle management [1] that a diet pattern characterized by higher intake of fish, vegetables, legumes, fruit, whole grain, nuts, and lower intake of red and processed meat is related to lower risk of CHD. Other studies of the health benefits of this type of healthy diet pattern have been demonstrated in the PREDIMED Study [2]; the Lyon Heart Study [3], the 7th Day Adventist study [17]; the DASH trial, [15], and others. The ‘Western’ dietary pattern has been inconsistently and positively associated with CHD risk and the inconsistency in results across studies is likely explained by the shifting constituents of the pattern from study to study in addition to the other methodological variations discussed. Although studies of diet patterns do not identify mechanisms contributing to lower risk, we may speculate that higher intake of omega3 fatty acids [4,7], bioactive fruit and vegetables [6], whole grains [5,8], nuts [39], and olive oil [2,3] may work synergistically [10] to promote cardiovascular health.

References

1. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, Hubbard VS, de Jesus JM, Lee IM, Lichtenstein AH, Loria CM, Millen BE, Miller NH, Nonas CA, Sacks FM, Smith SC, Svetkey LP, Wadden TW, Yanovski SZ. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013; 00:000–000. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.0000437740.48606.d1
2. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, Covas MI, Corella D, Arós F, Gómez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Fiol M, Lapetra J, Lamuela-Raventós RM, Serra-Majem L, Pintó X, Basora J, Muñoz MA, Sorlí JV, Martínez JA, Martínez-González MA, for the PREDIMED Study Investigators. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368:1279–90. [PubMed: 23432189]
3. de Lorgeril M, Salen P. The Mediterranean-style diet for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases. *Publ Hlth Nutr*. 2006; 9:118–123.
4. Calder PC. n–3 Fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: evidence explained and mechanisms explored. *Clinical Science*. 2004; 107:1–11. [PubMed: 15132735]
5. Steffen LM, Jacobs DR, Stevens J, Shahar E, Carithers T, Folsom AR. Associations of whole grain, refined grain, and fruit and vegetable consumption with all-cause mortality, incident coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke: The ARIC Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 78:383–90. [PubMed: 12936919]
6. He FJ, Nowson CA, MacGregor GA. Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables is related to a reduced risk of coronary heart disease: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Human Hypertension*. 2007; 21:717–728.
7. He K, Song Y, Daviglus ML, Liu K, van Horn L, Dyer AR, Greenland P. Accumulated evidence on fish consumption and coronary heart disease mortality: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Circulation*. 2004; 4(109):2705–2711.
8. Jacobs DR Jr, Meyer KA, Kushi LH, Folsom AR. Is whole grain intake associated with reduced total and cause-specific death rates in older women? The Iowa Women's Health Study. *Am J Public Health*. 1999; 89:322–329. [PubMed: 10076480]
9. Micha R, Michas G, Mozaffarian D. Unprocessed red and processed meats and risk of coronary artery disease and type 2 diabetes—an updated review of the evidence. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2012; 14:515–524. [PubMed: 23001745]
10. Jacobs DR, Steffen LM. Nutrients, foods, and dietary patterns as exposures in research: a framework for food synergy. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 78(Suppl 3):508S–513S.
11. Waijers PMCM, Feskens EJM, Ocke MC. A critical review of pre-defined diet quality scores. *Br J Nutr*. 2007; 97:219–31.
12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2015 – 2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th Dec. 2015 Available at <http://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/>. Accessed 15 June 2016
13. Kennedy ET, Ohls J, Carlson S, Fleming K. The Healthy Eating Index: Design and applications. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1995; 95:1103–1108. [PubMed: 7560680]
14. Guenther PM, Casavale KO, Reedy J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hiza HAB, Kuczyński KJ, Kahle LL, Krebs-Smith SM. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2010. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2013; 113:569–80. [PubMed: 23415502]
15. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, Bray GA, Vogt TM, Cutler JA, Windhauser MM, Lin PH, Karanja N, Simons-Morton D, McCullough M, Swain J, Steele P, Evans MA, Miller ER, Harsha DW, for the DASH Collaborative Research Group. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *N Engl J Med*. 1997; 336:1117–1124. [PubMed: 9099655]
16. Newby PK, Tucker KL. Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. *Nutr Rev*. 2004; 62:177–203. [PubMed: 15212319]
17. Fraser GE. Vegetarian diets: what do we know of their effects on common chronic diseases? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 89(5):1607S–1612S. [PubMed: 19321569]

18. Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348:2599–2608. [PubMed: 12826634]
19. U.S. News Best Ranking Diets. US News and World Report. Jan. 2016 Available at: <http://health.usnews.com/best-diet>. Accessed 15 June 2016
20. Liese AD, Krebs-Smith SM, Subar AF, George SM, Harmon BE, Neuhauser ML, Boushey CJ, Schap TE, Reedy J. The dietary patterns methods project: Synthesis of findings across cohorts and relevance to dietary guidance. *J Nutr*. 2015; 145:393–402. [PubMed: 25733454]
21. Hu FB, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Prospective study of major dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in men. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000; 72:912–21.
22. Fung TT, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Hu FB. Dietary patterns and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001; 161:1857–1862. [PubMed: 11493127]
23. Nettleton JA, Polak JF, Tracy R, Burke GL, Jacobs DR Jr. Dietary patterns and incident cardiovascular disease in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 90(3): 647–54. [PubMed: 19625679]
24. Shikany JM, Safford MM, Newby PK, Durant RW, Brown TM, Judd SE. Southern Dietary Pattern is Associated With Hazard of Acute Coronary Heart Disease in the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *Circulation*. 2015; 132(9):804–14. [PubMed: 26260732]
25. Osler M, Andreasen HA, Heitmann BL, Hoidrup S, Gerdes U, Jorgensen LM, Schroll M. Food intake patterns and risk of coronary heart disease: a prospective cohort study examining the use of traditional scoring techniques. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2002; 56:568–574. [PubMed: 12080395]
26. Akesson A, Weismayer C, Newby PK, Wolk A. Combined effect of low-risk dietary and lifestyle behaviors in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in women. *Arch Intern Med*. 2007; 167(19):2122–7. [PubMed: 17954808]
27. Brunner EJ, Mosdøl A, Witte DR, Martikainen P, Stafford M, Shipley MJ, Marmot MG. Dietary patterns and 15-y risks of major coronary events, diabetes, and mortality. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008; 87(5):1414–21. [PubMed: 18469266]
28. Guallar-Castillon P, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Tormo MJ, Sanchez MJ, Rodriguez L, Quiros JR, Navarro C, Molina E, Martinez C, Marin P, Lopez-Garcia E, Larranaga N, Huerta JM, Dorronsoro M, Chirlaque MD, Buckland G, Barricarte A, Banegas JR, Arriola L, Ardanaz E, Gonzalez CA, Moreno-Iribas C. Major dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in middle-aged persons from a Mediterranean country: the EPIC-Spain cohort study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2012; 22:192–9. [PubMed: 20708394]
29. Stricker MD, Onland-Moret NC, Boer JMA, van der Schouw YT, Verschuren WMM, May AM, Peeters PHM, Beulens JWJ. Dietary patterns derived from principal component- and k-means cluster analysis: long-term association with coronary heart disease and stroke. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2013; 23(3):250–6.
30. Shimazu T, Kuriyama S, Hozawa A, Ohmori K, Sato Y, Nakaya N, Nishino Y, Tsubono Y, Tsuji I. Dietary patterns and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japan: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007; 36(3):600–9. [PubMed: 17317693]
31. Cai H, Shu XO, Gao YT, Li H, Yang G, Zheng W. A prospective study of dietary patterns and mortality in Chinese women. *Epidemiology*. 2007; 18(3):393–401. [PubMed: 17435450]
32. Maruyama K, Iso H, Date C, Kikuchi S, Watanabe Y, Wada Y, Inaba Y, Tamakoshi A, JACC Study Group. Dietary patterns and suicide in Japanese adults: the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2013; 23(6):519–27. [PubMed: 22410388]
33. Rodriguez-Monforte M, Flores-Mateo G, Sanchez E. Dietary patterns and CVD: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Brit J Nutr*. 2015; 114(9):1341–59. [PubMed: 26344504]
34. Leuchts S, Kissling W, Davis JM. How to read and understand and use systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2009; 119:443–450. [PubMed: 19469725]
35. Smith ADAC, Emmett PM, Newby PK, Northstone K. Dietary patterns obtained through principal components analysis: the effect of input variable quantification. *Brit J Nutr*. 2013; 109:1881–1891. [PubMed: 22950853]

36. Arganini, C., Saba, A., Comitato, R., Virgili, F., Turrini, A. Maddock, Prof Jay, editor. Gender Differences in Food Choice and Dietary Intake in Modern Western Societies, Public Health - Social and Behavioral Health. 2012. InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/public-health-social-and-behavioral-health/gender-differences-in-food-choice-and-dietary-intake-in-modern-western-societies> Accessed 18 June 2016
37. Cook NR, Cutler JA, Obarzanek E, Buring JE, Rexrode KM, Kumanyika SK, Appel LJ, Whelton PK, for the Trials of Hypertension Prevention Collaborative Research Group. Long term effects of dietary sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease outcomes: observational follow-up of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention (TOHP). *BMJ*. 2007; 334(7599):885–888. [PubMed: 17449506]
38. Brown IJ, Tzoulaki I, Candeias V, Elliott P. Salt intakes around the world: implications for public health. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014; 38:791–813.
39. Nuts Ros E, Tapsell LC, Sabaté J. Nuts and berries for heart health. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2010; 12:397–406. [PubMed: 20820955]

Table 1

Types of diet patterns and methods of creating them

Approach	Method	Pattern	Composition of the diet pattern
A priori	Scores or indices based on defined criteria	Healthy Eating Index (HEI) [13,14]	The original HEI was developed in 1995 to assess diet quality; the HEI was updated in 2010 to capture key recommendations of the 2010 Dietary Guidelines
		DASH diet [15]	The DASH eating plan is based on the 2010 US Dietary Guidelines, a diet pattern rich in fruits and vegetables, low-fat and nonfat dairy, along with nuts, beans, and seeds, some fish and low in red and processed meat.
		Mediterranean diet [18]	The Mediterranean diet pattern is known for high intakes of olive oil, plant-based foods including fruit, vegetables, legumes, nuts and seeds, and whole grains, along with fish and seafood, moderate amount of dairy products (yogurt and cheese), poultry and eggs, and low amount of red and processed meat. Moderate intake of (red) wine is typically consumed with meals.
A posteriori	Scores based on available data using factor analysis or principal components analysis	Western or Unhealthy diet pattern [16]	Factor analysis or principal component analysis (PCA) is used to create diet patterns from a diet data set. PCA reduces a large number of variables (or foods) to a smaller number of factors that characterize dietary intake in the study population. An individual is assigned a score along the continuum of the distribution of each factor. A Western diet pattern is characterized by higher intakes of red and processed meat, refined grain products, fried foods, sugar-sweetened beverages, and eggs and lower intakes of fruit, vegetables, fish, low-fat dairy products, and whole grain products.
		Prudent or healthy diet pattern [16]	A prudent or healthy diet pattern is characterized by higher intakes of plant-based items including whole grains, fruit, vegetables, legumes, nuts, as well as fish and low-fat dairy, and lower intakes of fried foods and red and processed meat. See factor analysis or PCA described above.
	Scores based on available data using cluster analysis	Western, prudent diet pattern [16]	In cluster analysis, individuals with similar dietary intake (diet pattern) are aggregated into mutually exclusive groups or clusters. The derived diet patterns may include Western (unhealthy) and Prudent (healthy) diet patterns.
Diet pattern based on beliefs, food preferences, health, etc.	Diet pattern includes or excludes certain foods based on beliefs, food preferences, health, popular diets, etc.	Religious belief: Kosher; Food preference: Vegan; Celiac disease: Gluten-free; Popular diets: Atkins, Zone, South Beach [19]	Diet patterns based on individual beliefs that include or exclude certain foods or beverages based on beliefs or preferences, such as vegetarianism, lacto-ovo or vegan diets. Religious beliefs may dictate consuming Kosher foods or excluding certain (but not all) meats from the diet. Health conditions such as celiac disease dictate excluding foods with gluten from the diet. Diet patterns, such as Atkins, Zone, South Beach, etc. are promoted in the popular press.

Table 2

Characteristics of the 13 prospective studies of dietary patterns relative to coronary heart disease (CHD) according to geographic location

Author, year (reference)	Population	Diet Assessment	Diet Pattern Method	Outcome (n=events)	Results: HR (95% CI)
<i>USA</i>					
Hu, 2000 * [21]	Male Health Professional Study, n=44,875 men age 40–75 yr, Free of CVD at baseline	131-item FFQ; 40 food groups in PCA model	PCA; -Western -Prudent	8 yr followup of incident MI/CHD mortality (n=1,089)	Western: 1.64 (1.24, 2.17) Prudent: 0.70 (0.56, 0.86)
Fung, 2001 [22]	Nurses Health Study, n=69,017 women age 38–63 yr, Free of CVD, diabetes, and high cholesterol at baseline	116-item FFQ; 38 food groups in PCA model	PCA; -Western -Prudent	12 yr followup of incident MI/CHD mortality (n=821)	Western: 1.46 (1.07, 1.99) Prudent: 0.76 (0.60, 0.98)
Nettleton 2009 * [23]	MESA, n=5,316, Black, Chinese, Hispanic, White men and women age 45–84 yr, Free of CVD and diabetes at baseline	120-item FFQ; 47 food groups in PCA model	PCA; -Whole grain, fruit -Fat, processed meat -Vegetables, fish -Beans, tomato, refined grain	4.6 yr followup of incident CHD/CHD mortality (n=87)	Whole grain, fruit: 0.35 (0.14, 0.85); Results for the other diet patterns were not reported for CHD.
Shikany, 2015 [24]	REGARDS study, n=17,418 black and white men and women age > 45 yr, Free of CHD at baseline	110-item FFQ; 110 foods in FA model	Factor analysis; -Southern -Convenience (Conv) -Plant-based -Sweets -Alcohol, salads	5.8 yr followup of incident MI/CHD mortality (n=536)	Southern: 1.37 (1.01, 1.85) Conv: 0.95 (0.71, 1.27) Plant: 1.02 (0.76, 1.36) Sweets: 1.18 (0.86, 1.62) Alco/salad: 1.00 (0.76, 1.32)
<i>Europe</i>					
Osler, 2002 * [25]	Copenhagen County, n=5,834 women & men age 30–70 yr, Some with CHD at baseline	26-item FFQ; 28 food groups in FA model	Factor analysis; -Western -Prudent	15 yr followup of CHD /CHD mortality (n=280)	Western: 0.97 (0.85, 1.10) Prudent: 1.06 (0.93, 1.21)
Akesson 2007 * [26]	Sweden, n=24,444 women age 43–83 yr, Free of CVD at baseline	96-item FFQ; 96 individual foods in FA model	Factor analysis; -Prudent	6.2 yr followup of incident non-fatal and fatal MI (n=308)	Prudent: 0.58 (0.39, 0.88)
Brunner 2008 * [27]	London, England; n=6,610 men and women average age 50 yr, Free of CHD and diabetes at baseline	127-item FFQ; 125 individual foods in cluster analysis model	Cluster analysis; -Unhealthy -Healthy -Sweet -Mediterranean-like	15 yr followup of incident CHD/CHD mortality (n=240)	Compared to the Unhealthy pattern: 1.00 Healthy: 0.71 (0.51, 0.98) Sweet: 0.90 (0.59, 1.39) Med-like: 0.77 (0.50, 1.19)
Guallar-Castillon 2012 * [28]	Spain, n=40,757 men and women age 29–69 yr, Free of CHD at baseline	Diet History, 662 foods; 33 food groups in PCA model	Factor analysis; -Western -Mediterranean (Med)	11 yr followup of incident non-fatal MI/CHD mortality (n=606)	Western: 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) Med: 0.73 (0.57, 0.94)

Author, year (reference)	Population	Diet Assessment	Diet Pattern Method	Outcome (n=events)	Results: HR (95% CI)
Stricker 2013 [*] [29]	EPIC-NL cohort, n=35,910 men and women age 20–69 yr. Free of CHD at baseline	79-item FFQ; 31 food groups in PCA model	PCA; -Western -Prudent	13 yr followup of incident CHD/CHD mortality (n=1,843)	Western: 0.91 (0.76, 1.08) Prudent: 0.87 (0.75, 1.00)
<i>Asia</i>					
Shimazu 2007 [*] [30]	Ohsaki National Health Insurance study (Japan), n=40,547 men and women age 40–79 yr. Free of CHD at baseline	40-item FFQ; 40 food items in PCA model	PCA; -Animal (western) -Japanese (prudent)	7 yr followup of CHD mortality (n=181)	Animal: 1.50 (0.95, 2.37) Japanese: 0.82 (0.51, 1.25)
Cai 2007 [*] [31]	Shanghai Women's Health Study, n=74,942 women age 40–70 yr. Some chronic disease at baseline	71-item FFQ; 71 food items in PCA model	PCA; -Western -Vegetable-rich -Fruit-rich	5–7 yr followup of CHD mortality (n=77)	Western: 1.58 (0.81, 3.08) Veggie: 1.10 (0.61, 1.99) Fruit: 0.71 (0.33, 1.53)
Mariyama 2013 [*] [32]	Japanese Collaborative Cohort (JACC) study n=64,037 men and women age 40–79 yr. Free of CHD at baseline	40-item FFQ; 40 food items in FA	Factor analysis; -Western -Prudent	12.6 yr followup of CHD mortality (n=479)	Men Western: 0.72 (0.48, 1.08) Prudent: 0.73 (0.49, 1.08) Similar results for women
Meta-analysis of 10 Cohort Studies [33][*]					
8 cohorts	n=323,334	FFQ, diet history (food lists are different)	-Western	Incident CHD/CHD mortality (n=4,132)	1.03 (0.90, 1.17)
10 cohorts	n=354,388	FFQ, diet history (food lists are different)	-Prudent Incident	CHD/CHD mortality (n=4,680)	0.83 (0.75, 0.92)
Subgroup meta-analysis by geographic location: Western Diet Pattern [33]					
Asia	n=190,642	FFQ (food lists are different)	-Western Incident	CHD/CHD mortality (n=833)	1.13 (0.81, 1.59)
US, Europe	n=132,692	FFQ, diet history (food lists are different)	-Western Incident	CHD/CHD mortality (3,299)	1.02 (0.89, 1.59)
Subgroup meta-analysis by geographic location: Prudent Diet Pattern [33]					
Asia	n=190,642	FFQ (food lists are different)	-Prudent Incident	CHD/CHD mortality (n=833)	0.82 (0.70, 0.96)
US, Europe	n=163,746	FFQ, diet history (food lists are different)	-Prudent Incident	CHD/CHD mortality (n=4,680)	0.82 (0.71, 0.95)

^{*} cohort studies included in the meta-analysis by Rodriguez-Monforte [33]; FFQ=food frequency questionnaire; PCA=principal components analysis; MI=myocardial infarction; CHD=coronary heart disease; NS=not significant;

Table 3
Food group factor loading scores for the Western (or similar) diet pattern of study participants from 10 cohorts

Foods/beverages	U.S. Studies					European Studies			Asian Studies		
	Hu ¹ [21]	Fung [22]	Nettleton [23]	Shikany [24]	Oster [25]	Guallar-Castillon ² [28]	Stricker [29]	Schimazu [30]	Cai [31]	Maruyama [32]	men/women
Red meat	0.63	0.56	0.42	0.26	0.36	0.57		0.48	0.33	0.34/0.34	
Processed meat	0.59	0.56	0.63	0.45	0.53	0.48	0.25	0.56	0.34	0.53/0.56	
Poultry			0.36					0.49	0.47	0.55/0.52	
Organ meats				0.47				0.43	0.42	0.47/0.36	
Fish/shellfish				0.23		0.17			-0.29	0.21/0.16	
Fish paste								0.32		0.49/0.47	
Eggs	0.39	0.29	0.34	0.42	0.28	0.53		0.32		0.23/0.30	
Legumes		0.19				0.52	-0.36			0.20/0.17	
Nuts		0.16									
Chicken/tuna salad			0.30								
Low-fat milk				-0.42		-0.35	-0.52				
High fat dairy ³	0.45	0.36	0.42	0.24	0.43	0.15	-0.33	0.44		0.23/0.22	
Pizza		0.35	0.42								
Fried food				0.56			0.65	0.39		0.54/0.57	
French fries	0.46	0.47	0.60	0.16		0.66	0.70				
Sweets/desserts	0.47	0.43	0.48	0.19	0.43	0.19	-0.42			0.16/0.25	
Sweet bread/muffins			0.41								
Snacks	0.37	0.27	0.50			0.21					
Condiments	0.36	0.44	-								
Refined grain	0.49	0.58	0.28	0.37	0.51	0.66	0.43				
Whole grains				-0.25		-0.23	-0.36				
White rye bread					0.38						
Rice									-0.43	0.18/0.22	
Pasta/potato salad			0.41								
Potatoes	0.33	0.41	0.37		0.28	0.64					

Foods/beverages	U.S. Studies				European Studies			Asian Studies		
	Hu ¹ [21]	Fung [22]	Nettleton [23]	Shikany [24]	Oster [25]	Guallar-Castillon ² [28]	Stricker [29]	Schimazu [30]	Cai [31]	Maruyama [32] men/women
Vegetables							-0.21			0.18/0.13
Edible wild plants										0.32/0.25
Fruit							-0.55			
Fruit juice				0.17	0.21					0.17/0.22
Sugary drinks	0.38	0.32	0.36	0.37			0.52			
Coffee			0.29	-0.16				0.29		
Beer			0.19			0.24	0.35			
Wine						0.53		0.27		
Liquor						0.41	0.35	0.27		
Butter	0.31	0.24			0.41			0.50		0.27/0.31
Mayonnaise		0.31				0.40				
Margarine	0.34	0.32	-		0.24			0.37		0.17/0.15
Added Fats/oils			0.65	0.38		0.38				
Olive oil						0.19				

¹Loading scores for absolute values <0.30 were not reported in Hu et al. and for the other studies loading scores <0.15 were not reported.

²Mediterranean diet

³High fat dairy products or high fat + low fat dairy products

Table 4
Food group factor loading scores for the Prudent (or similar) diet pattern in study participants from 10 cohorts

Foods	U.S. Studies				European Studies			Asian Studies		
	Hu ¹ [21]	Fung [22]	Nettleton [23]	Shikany [24]	Osler [25]	Guallar-Castillon ² [28]	Stricker [29]	Schimazu [30]	Cai [31]	Maruyama [32] Men/women
Red meat							-0.25			
Processed meat							-0.22			
Poultry	0.36	0.43		0.31		0.16	0.21			
Fish	0.51	0.49		0.38	0.27	0.44	0.62	0.51		0.42/0.43
Oily fish						0.22	0.64			
Shellfish						0.20	0.74			
Organ meats		0.24								
Eggs		-0.15						0.34		0.32/0.30
Legumes	0.61	0.55		0.38				0.57	0.52	0.54/0.56
Soybean, tofu										0.35/0.35
Miso soup										
Nuts/seeds		0.19	0.46	0.26		0.19	0.23			
Chicken/tuna salad			0.30							
Pizza				-0.18						
Low-fat dairy		0.35	0.33	0.20	0.24	0.28		0.26		
Cottage cheese			0.30							
Cheese						0.23				
Dairy desserts			0.28	0.20						
Whole grains	0.35	0.41	0.59	0.30	0.61	0.27	0.36			
Whole ryebread					0.56					
White ryebread					-0.46					
Refined grain			-0.19	0.17	-0.38		-0.33			
Porridge, groats					0.30					
Pasta					0.35					
Rice					0.41					

Foods	U.S. Studies					European Studies			Asian Studies		
	Hu ⁷ [21]	Fung [22]	Nettleton [23]	Shikany [24]	Osler [25]	Guallar-Castillon ² [28]	Stricker [29]	Schimazu [30]	Cai [31]	Maruyama [32]	Men/women
Sweets, candy					0.36	-0.19	-0.33	0.27		0.23/0.16	
Fried vegetables		-0.15						0.43		0.35/0.34	
Vegetables ³	0.75	0.67	0.27	0.48		0.64		0.64	0.54		
Green leafy veggies	0.64	0.63	0.38	0.49				0.62	0.38	0.59/0.59	
Dark yellow veggies	0.63	0.60	0.21	0.41				0.59		0.64/0.64	
Cruciferous veggies	0.63	0.61		0.59					0.43		
Tomatoes	0.56	0.45	0.25	0.32				0.45	0.37	0.42/0.40	
Garlic	0.42	0.34									
Raw vegetables					0.53		0.66				
Boiled vegetables					0.39						
Potatoes							-0.43	0.61	0.40	0.64/0.61	
Wild plant								0.27		0.25/0.25	
Mushrooms								0.42	0.32	0.50/0.51	
Seaweeds								0.59		0.63/0.61	
Pickles, radish								0.41		0.35/0.24	
Lotus root									0.32		
Bamboo shoots									0.31		
Cabbage, Chinese									0.42	0.60/0.57	
Fruit	0.56	0.60	0.55	0.58	0.51	0.35	0.28	0.50		0.54/0.45	
Fruit juice		0.25	0.24	0.25	0.36	0.16	0.28			0.22/0.16	
Sugary beverages			-0.16								
Coffee			0.16		-0.26	-0.26					
Tea, green tea					0.36	0.21		0.29			
Beer				-0.16							
Wine							0.48				
Butter							-0.33				
Mayonnaise		0.17									
Veg. salad oils		0.33		0.30		-0.26					

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Foods	U.S. Studies				European Studies			Asian Studies		
	Hu ¹ [21]	Fung [22]	Nettleton [23]	Shikany [24]	Osler [25]	Guallar-Castillon ² [28]	Stricker [29]	Schimazu [30]	Cai [31]	Maruyama [32] Men/women
Olive oil						0.61				

¹Loading scores for absolute values <0.30 were not listed for Hu et al., for the other studies loading scores <0.15 were not listed.

²Mediterranean diet

³Vegetables (in the U.S.) include celery, mushrooms, green pepper, corn, mixed vegetables, eggplant, summer squash

Number of average daily servings of major food groups consumed in the lowest and highest quantile for the Western (or similar) diet pattern in 5 prospective studies

Table 5

Food group	Hu [21]		Fung [22]		Shikany ¹ [24]		Shimazu [30]		Moriyama [32] Men	
	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q5
Red meat	0.2	0.6	0.3	1.0			0.2 ³	0.5 ³	0.3 ³	0.7 ³
Processed meat	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.6						
Poultry	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3						
Fish	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3			0.9	0.9	0.6	1.0
Eggs	0.2	0.6								
Dairy ²	1.2	2.6	1.6	2.5			0.8	0.9	0.4	0.3
Butter	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.7						
Vegetables	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.4	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3
Seaweed							0.1	0.1		
Legumes							0.6	0.5	0.2	0.2
Fruit	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8
Whole grains	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.8	3.7	4.3				
Refined grains	0.6	1.9	0.5	2.1			3.9 ⁴	4.7 ⁴		
Sweets/desserts	0.4	1.9	0.5	1.9						

Q1 = quantile 1; Q4 = quartile 4; Q5 = quintile 5

¹ Servings of vegetables, fruit and whole grain intakes were reported, only

² Dairy = lowfat + high fat dairy products

³ Total meat intake = red and processed meat or poultry

⁴ White rice

Table 6

Number of average daily servings of major food groups consumed in the lowest and highest quantile for the Prudent (or similar) diet pattern in 6 prospective studies.

Food group	Hu [21]		Fung [22]		Shikany ¹ [24]		Akesson [26]		Shimazu [30]		Maruyama [32] Men	
	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q5
Red meat	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6			1.0 ²	1.24 ²	0.3 ²	0.3 ²	0.4 ²	0.5 ²
Processed meat	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3								
Poultry	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5								
Fish	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.5			0.2	0.4	0.7	1.3	0.6	1.0
Eggs	0.4	0.3										
Dairy ³	1.7	1.9	1.4	2.5					0.5	0.9	0.3	0.4
Butter	0.3	0.3										
Vegetables	1.3	5.4	1.4	5.3	1.4	4.6	1.6	6.1	0.8	1.8	0.6	1.7
Seaweed									0.1	0.2		
Legumes							0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.3
Fruit	0.9	3.1	0.6	2.4	0.6	2.1	0.9	3.1	0.7	1.4	0.5	1.0
Whole grains	0.5	1.7	0.3	1.5	2.9	4.5	3.0	4.1				
Refined grains	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1			1.6	1.3	5.2 ⁴	3.6 ⁴		
Sweets/desserts	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0			1.6	1.9				
Beer							0.1	0.1				
Wine							0.1	0.1				
Liquor							0.03	0.03				

Q1 = quantile 1; Q4 = quartile 4; Q5 = quintile 5

¹ Servings of vegetables, fruit and whole grain intakes were reported, only

² Total meat intake = red meat, processed meat and/or poultry

³ Dairy = lowfat + high fat dairy products

⁴ White rice