Published in final edited form as:

Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2017 February ; 110: 20–34. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2016.12.004.

Frontline treatment of acute myeloid leukemia in adults

Gevorg Tamamyan, MD, MSc, PhD^{a,b}, Tapan Kadia, MD^a, Farhad Ravandi, MD^a, Gautam Borthakur, MD^a, Jorge Cortes, MD^a, Elias Jabbour, MD^a, Naval Daver, MD^a, Maro Ohanian, DO^a, Hagop Kantarjian, MD^a, and Marina Konopleva, MD, PhD^a

^aUniversity of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Leukemia 1515 Holcombe Blvd, 77030, Houston, TX, USA

^bYerevan State Medical University, Department of Oncology 114 Muratsan St, 0075, Yerevan, Armenia

Abstract

Recent years have highlighted significant progress in understanding the underlying genetic and epigenetic signatures of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Most importantly, novel chemotherapy and targeted strategies have led to improved outcomes in selected genetic subsets. AML is a remarkably heterogeneous disease, and individualized therapies for disease-specific characteristics (considering patients' age, cytogenetics, and mutations) could yield better outcomes. Compared with the historical 5- to 10-year survival rate of 10%, the survival of patients who undergo modern treatment approaches reaches up to 40–50%, and for specific subsets, the improvements are even more dramatic; for example, in acute promyelocytic leukemia, the use of all-trans retinoic acid and arsenic trioxide improved survival from 30–40% up to 80–90%. Similar progress has been documented in core-binding-factor-AML, with an increase in survival from 30% to 80% upon the use of high-dose cytarabine/fludarabine/granulocyte colony-stimulating factor combination regimens. AML treatment was also recently influenced by the discovery of the superiority of regimens with higher dose Ara-C and nucleoside analogues compared with the “7+3” regimen, with about a 20% improvement in overall survival. Despite these significant differences, most centers continue to use the “7+3” regimen, and greater awareness will improve the outcome. The discovery of targetable molecular abnormalities and recent studies of targeted therapies (gemtuzumab ozagomycin, FLT3 inhibitors, isocitrate dehydrogenase inhibitors, and epigenetic therapies), future use of checkpoint inhibitors and other immune therapies such as chimeric antigen receptor T-cells, and maintenance strategies based on the minimal residual disease evaluation represent novel, exciting clinical leads aimed to improve AML outcomes in the near future.

Corresponding author: Gevorg Tamamyan, MD, MSc, PhD, 114 Muratsan St., 0075, Yerevan, Armenia, +374 60 62 15 36, gevorgtamamyan@gmail.com.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Acute myeloid leukemia; frontline treatment; high dose Ara-C; nucleoside analogues

1. Definition and history of acute myeloid leukemia

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a genetically heterogeneous, malignant clonal disorder of the hematopoietic system that is characterized by uncontrolled proliferation of immature, abnormal blast cells and impaired production of normal blood cells[1,2].

The first reports of an unknown condition with a “milky blood” were from Scottish physician Peter Cullen (1811), followed by John Hughes Bennett (who named the disease “leucocythemia”) and David Craigie (1845), and French physicians Alfred Francois Donne (1844) and Alfred Velpeau (1825). The disease was named “leukemia” by Rudolph Virchow in 1847, a famous German physician and pathologist[3,4]. In 1964, the long-term survival of AML was less than 5% and currently is reaching 30–40%, and in certain subtypes even twice more[4–6]. Recently, the first whole-genome sequencing of AML was performed at Washington University[7,8].

2. Epidemiology of AML

AML is the most common acute leukemia in adults. The median age at diagnosis of AML is around 70 years (67 in the United States and 72 in Sweden)[9,10]. Approximately 3% of AML cases occur in children age 14 years or younger [9].

In 2015 in the United States, the estimated AML incidence is 20,830 cases (1.3% of all new cancer cases), with an 10,460 deaths in the same year. According to 2010–2012 data from the National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, around 0.5% of males and females in the United States will be diagnosed with AML at some point during their life. Over the past decade the incidence of AML has been rising on average by 2.2% each year[11].

In adults, AML is more common in males than in females (the male/female ratio is 5/3), and the incidence of AML is slightly higher in whites than in blacks[12]. In a retrospective single-center study, Bierenbaum et al. showed that black patients present with AML at a significantly younger age, and the incidence of AML is higher in black females than in black males[13].

In addition to its clinical, therapeutic, and biological uniqueness, acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) is a distinct disorder from an epidemiological perspective: it is more common in younger patients (median age 40 years, range 20–59) and in Hispanics, and the incidence is equal in males and females.[14,15]

3. Predisposing factors

Figure 1 lists the major predisposing factors for AML. Among these are genetic factors, environmental factors and lifestyle, drugs, and antecedent blood disorders; of note, there is a

significant association between tobacco smoking and AML. Several studies also reported an increased risk of AML 5–7 years after radiation exposure, 4–8 years after exposure to alkylating agents (commonly associated with chromosome 5 and/or 7 abnormalities), and 1–3 years after topoisomerase II inhibitors (specifically, the French-American-British Cooperative Group [FAB] M4 and M5 subtypes, which are typically associated with MLL gene rearrangements)[6,16–24]. Previous reports have also suggested a higher risk of APL in electrical workers and in people from industrialized areas, as well as an association with obesity[25,26].

4. Clinical Manifestations of AML

Clinical manifestations of AML are mainly driven by pancytopenia (anemia, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia) and blast proliferation (some common signs and symptoms of AML are summarized in Table 1)[6,27,28]. At presentation, around 10% of patients have hyperleukocytosis (white blood cell [WBC] count $> 100 \times 10^9/L$)[6,29,30],[31]. Disseminated intravascular coagulation is quite common in patients with APL; skin involvement (leukemia cutis or myeloid sarcoma) is frequent in acute monocytic or myelomonocytic leukemia[27,32].

For a long time, involvement of the central nervous system (CNS) in patients with AML was considered to be rare; however, recent data from The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center reports that about 20% of newly diagnosed AML patients have CNS infiltration[33]. Continuous headaches, mental and visual changes, sleepiness, palsies, symptoms of CNS bleeding, and spinal column compression can be the clinical manifestations of the CNS involvement, although patients may present with asymptomatic CNS involvement as well[34].

5. Diagnosis, classification, and prognosis

The diagnosis of AML, with a few exceptions, is mainly based on the identification of 20% or more myeloid blasts in the bone marrow and/or peripheral blood. Before 2001, the threshold for AML diagnosis was 30% blasts, which later was reconsidered and set at 20%. The exceptions are cases with the presence of any of these cytogenetic abnormalities: $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$, $inv(16)(p12q22)$ or $t(16;16)(p13;q22)$, $t(15;17)(q22;q12)$; in these cases, AML diagnosis is not correlated with the blast percentage. In some cases, erythroleukemia (FAB M6) also can be an exception owing to the variability in the percentage of erythroblasts in the bone marrow[6,35]. For lineage differentiation, cytochemistry and immunophenotyping are used[36].

From 1977 until 2001, the FAB system, which is based on cytomorphology and cytochemistry, was used to classify AML into eight subgroups (M0–M7)[35,37]. In 2001, a new classification of AML was developed and published with the efforts of the World Health Organization (WHO), European Association for Haematopathology, and Society for Hematopathology. This system classifies AML taking into account underlying cytogenetic or molecular genetic abnormalities[38], and it was reviewed and updated in 2008 [39,40]. According to the 2008 revised WHO classification, “a myeloid neoplasm with 20% or more

blasts in the [peripheral blood or bone marrow] is considered to be AML when it occurs de novo, evolution to AML when it occurs in the setting of a previously diagnosed myelodysplastic syndrome or myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN), or blast transformation in a previously diagnosed MPN...”[39].

5.1. Cytogenetics and molecular genetics

Cytogenetic analysis is an important and mandatory component of AML diagnosis. Many studies have shown that besides being a powerful diagnostic tool, pretreatment cytogenetic and molecular genetic findings are one of the major independent prognostic markers in AML, and they determine chemotherapy response and outcome[30,39,41–44]. Chromosome abnormalities are found at diagnosis in approximately 50–60% of adult patients with AML[41]. The most common abnormalities are t(8;21), inv(16) or t(16;16), t(15;17), trisomy 8, and rearrangements of 11q. Chromosome 5 and/or chromosome 7 changes are quite frequent in therapy-related MDS/AML[1,6,41,45].

Recent molecular studies of leukemic cells in patients with AML and the identification of somatically acquired gene mutations and deregulated gene and microRNA expression have advanced our knowledge of the pathobiology and tremendous heterogeneity of AML[2,41,44,46]. NPM1 and CEBPA gene mutations were included in the WHO 2008 classification as provisional entities[39]. Moreover, besides playing an important prognostic role, many currently known molecular genetic abnormalities are also acting as potential therapeutic targets[1,6,36]. Based on their biologic function, genetic abnormalities can be classified into groups: transcription-factor fusions (PML-RARA, RUNX1, and CEBPA), NPM1 encoding gene, tumor-suppressor genes (TP53 and WT1), genes encoding epigenetic modulation (DNMT3A, TET2, IDH1, and IDH2), activated signaling pathway genes (FLT3, KIT, and KRAS/NRAS), cohesion-complex genes, and spliceosome-complex genes. [2,36,44,47–50]

The whole-genome sequencing of AML revealed even greater heterogeneity of AML, and discovery of previously unknown acquired genetic mutations opened doors for new investigations on target therapies directed to specific cancer-initiating mutations[7],[8]. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations initially were found in brain tumors, where they were associated with favorable outcome[51]. Later studies showed them to be frequent in AML patients with cytogenetically normal karyotype (CN-AML) (10–15%); both IDH1 and IDH2 are associated with older age and confer adverse prognosis in patients with CN-AML[7,8,52–56].

5.2. Prognostic factors

Prognostic factors of AML are categorized into two groups: patient-related factors and AML-related factors. The most important patient-related factor is the age at diagnosis. AML is considered a disease of elderly patients, and increasing age is considered an adverse prognostic factor. However, the comorbidity score is still under investigation, and comorbid conditions might play an important prognostic role as well. Some studies have also suggested that black and Hispanic patients have a higher mortality from AML[10,36,57–59].

Of AML-related factors, high WBC count, prior MDS (secondary AML) and a history of cytotoxic therapy (therapy-related AML) are considered adverse prognostic factors. As previously noted, genetic changes have the strongest prognostic significance. Table 2 shows the comparison of commonly used risk categorization models for AML: Medical Research Council, UK (MRC), European Leukemia Network (ELN), and Southwest Oncology Group, Figure 2 shows a comparison of outcomes of newly diagnosed AML patients based on the MRC and ELN systems [36,42,43,60–63].

Patients with complex karyotype are known to have poor outcomes. The existence of 3 (or, in some studies, 4 or 5) chromosomal aberrations in the absence of abnormalities listed in the WHO 2008 “AML with recurrent abnormalities” subgroup is considered complex karyotype. Several studies showed that the incidence of adverse cytogenetic abnormalities increases with age[36,41].

About half of AML patients have normal cytogenetic profiles, so for those patients, molecular genetic changes have a crucial role. In CN-AML patients, NPM1 and CEBPA gene mutations are associated with favorable outcome, whereas FLT3-ITD (FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 - internal tandem duplications) mutations are associated with poor outcome. The outcomes of patients with FLT3 tyrosine kinase domain mutations remain controversial[2,5,7,8,30,36,41–43,48,64–67].

One of the biggest recent discoveries was the identification of the genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo AML by The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, where the authors categorized the disease into 9 categories according to their biologic function. In their analyses, 10 genes were shown to be mutated with more than 5% frequency: FLT3, NPM1, DNMT3a, IDH1, IDH2, TET2, RUNX1, p53, NRAS, CEBPa, and WT1[49,50].

Marcucci and colleagues recently explored the possibility of combining epigenetic and genetic information into a prognostic score for adults with CN-AML. They identified 7 genes (CD34, RHOC, SCRN1, F2RL2, FAM92A1, MIR155HG and VWA8) with promoter DMRs (differentially methylated regions) and expression levels that were correlated with survival, and according the results of the study, patients with fewer of the genes with high expression had better outcomes. The patients, both young and older, with none of the aforementioned genes or with only one gene with high expression had the best survival: the 3-year overall survival (OS) was 80% in younger patients and 42% in older patients[68].

These findings and future studies may pave the road for a new classification and risk stratification of AML[50,69].

6. Treatment of AML

The “7+3” induction regimen (a 7-day continuous intravenous cytarabine infusion and 3 daily doses of daunorubicin) was introduced in 1973[70]. Since that time, most centers still consider the 7+3 regimen the standard of care[5,36,71].

Recent progress in leukemia research showed an advantage with the use of higher dose cytarabine (Ara-C) and nucleoside analogue doublets containing regimens over the standard

7+3; however, the “ideal” treatment regimen is still being debated, and there is no consensus between leukemia experts on the strategy for treating AML in adults[5,72,73].

In this section, we will discuss the treatment of different categories of AML separately.

6.1. Treating AML in young adults (<60 years)

Although there is no universal definition of “young age,” the age range between 18 and 60 years is used to define young adults. Here, we will discuss strategies for treating AML in young and older adult groups individually[5,36,74–77].

Nowadays, one of the burning questions of AML treatment is what kind of regimen to use for the induction: 7+3 or high dose Ara-C (HiDAC) plus a nucleoside analogue. Several studies have proved the benefit of using the latter; however, many other experts have another point of view. This belief also opens the discussion about the other important aspect of treatment—timing of treatment initiation—since it takes time to obtain the results of cytogenetic testing and to identify a few important genetic mutations (NPM1, FLT3-ITD, CEBPA, c-KIT), which are necessary for choosing the best treatment option[71]. Most believe that treatment should be initiated as soon as the diagnosis is established[78], with “treatment” referring to the 7+3 induction regimen. Although, early studies supported beginning treatment as soon as possible in young adults with AML[79], a recent study by Bertoli et al. showed that the time from diagnosis to intensive chemotherapy initiation does not adversely impact the outcome (complete remission [CR] or early death rates) regardless of age and WBC count, suggesting the possibility of “waiting for a short period of time for laboratory tests to characterize leukemias better and design adapted therapeutic strategies.” [80]

The main aim of induction therapy is to decrease the quantity of leukemia cells to a cytogenetically undetectable level ($<10^9$ cells)[78]. Studies have proven the importance of residual undetected leukemic cells, which later cause relapse; this is known as minimal residual disease (MRD)[81–85].

In a recent review, Grimwade and Freeman comprehensively described the rationale for using MRD detection toward the individualized care of patients with AML. Current risk classification systems are mainly based on pretreatment characteristics and do not reliably predict individual patients’ outcomes, and there is a significant discrepancy between morphological and flow cytometry-based remission evaluation, which has a great impact on patients’ outcome; these existing problems may give a strong rationale and basis for the use of MRD in disease evaluation in patients with AML[86,87]. The two most frequently used methods to detect MRD are based on multiparameter flow cytometry or real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction, and both have their own strengths and weaknesses. There is no MRD-based risk stratification system, and MRD detection and reporting methods vary greatly among different institutions, which makes the use of MRD evaluation and MRD-directed therapy more difficult[83,87].

A recent study from the UK National Cancer Research Institute AML Working Group, where a RT-PCR was used to detect MRD in 346 patients with NPM1-mutated AML,

showed that patients with persistent NPM1-mutated transcripts in blood after 2nd chemotherapy cycle independently have much higher risk of relapse after 3 years of follow-up than those without those transcripts (82% vs 30%)[88]. In another study by Araki and colleagues, where the MRD data from 359 adults with AML who received alloSCT retrospectively were analyzed, MRD-negative remission status was significantly associated with longer survival, both overall and progression-free[89]. Taking into account, the role of MRD in prediction of relapse in AML, some studies recently integrated MRD status before transplantation in prognostic models for allogeneic SCT in AML[90–92].

6.1.1. Conservative approach to treating AML (7+3-based induction)—The 7+3 induction regimen mainly comprises 7 days of continuous intravenous infusion of standard-dose Ara-C (100 or 200 mg/m² daily) and 3 days of short infusion or bolus of anthracycline, typically daunorubicin (45–90 mg/m² daily) or idarubicin (12 mg/m² daily). Table 3 shows several different treatment modifications of the 7+3 regimen[72,93–99].

Ara-C incorporates into the DNA and stops DNA synthesis. Early studies showed that continuous Ara-C infusions were much more effective than single infusions, because they were “covering” at least 2 cell cycles during 5 days[100,101].

Daunorubicin is the most commonly used anthracycline in 7+3 regimens[78]. A study from Japan showed similar remission and survival rates with idarubicin[102]; however, a subsequent meta-analysis done by Wang et al. comparing 10 trials with more than 4,000 AML patients, showed that the CR, event-free survival, and OS rates were significantly higher in the idarubicin arm than in the daunorubicin arm[103].

Both young and older adults had better outcomes with a higher dose of daunorubicin (60 or 90 mg/m² vs 45 mg/m²)[95,98,104]. However, a recent randomized trial from the UK comparing 60 vs 90 mg/m² daunorubicin in 1206 adult patients with AML or high-risk MDS, mostly younger than 60 years, did not reveal a benefit in any AML subgroup with the use of 90 mg/m²[105]. With the 7+3 based approach, the CR rate is around 60–80% in young adult patients, with a 5-year OS of 20–40% (median survival, 16–24 months) depending on modifications of the regimen and drug dosages[36,72,93–99,106].

6.1.2. Modern approach to treating AML (HiDAC regimens)—The modern approach mainly takes into consideration the highly heterogeneous nature of AML, trying to individualize the treatment as much as possible and target specific mutations whenever drugs are available[5,71]. This strategy goes veers from the standard 7+3 induction regimen toward modern treatment options. Considering recent developments and the results of different studies, these modern approaches include HiDAC (1000 mg/m²) and nucleoside analogue doublets. To date, Ara-C is the most effective drug against AML, and several studies have demonstrated that purine nucleoside analogues (fludarabine, cladribine, and clafarabine) work synergistically with Ara-C and increase its antileukemic potency[5,72,73,97,107–110].

Before starting treatment using this strategy, diagnostic and prognostic factors (i.e. cytogenetics, molecular genetics, etc.) should be refined[5,71,80]. Although, still there is no

consensus about this approach, the results of recent studies are quite promising: the recent UK MRC-15 trial reported around a 20% advantage (66% vs 47%, $p<0.001$) in 8-year OS with the use of fludarabine containing FLAG (fludarabine, Ara-C, granulocyte colony stimulating factor [G-CSF])-Ida (idarubicin) regimen over 7+3 and 7+3 plus etoposide regimens; quite similar results were reported by a Polish group who added cladribine to the 7+3 regimen (CR rate 64% vs 46%, $p<0.001$; leukemia-free survival 44% vs 28%, $p=0.005$) [72,97].

According to recent ELN classification, favorable group includes patients with core binding factor (CBF) leukemias and patients with CN-AML who have NPM1 mutations (without FLT3-ITD) or CEBPA mutations[36]. APL also has a favorable outcome[111,112], but we will discuss it separately.

6.1.2.1. CBF-AML: CBF-AML patients include those with t(8;21)(q22;q22) (leading to the RUNX1-RUNX1T1 fusion gene) and inv(16) or t(16;16) (CBFB-MYH11 fusion gene) cytogenetic abnormalities. Recent developments have improved the outcome of these patients dramatically; compared with 55% OS in early studies, OS now reaches up to 75–80% [5,30,72,73,113]. After the discovery of the synergism between fludarabine and cytarabine, the FLAG regimen was developed[73,100,114].

In 2008 Borthakur et al. reported a significant benefit of using a HiDAC and fludarabine-based FLAG regimen over idarubicin and Ara-C standard induction: 3 year relapse-free survival (RFS) and OS in the FLAG group were 86% and 80%, respectively, and in the idarubicin-Ara-C group were 57% and 66%, respectively[73]. Later studies also showed the the benefit of adding idarubicin and gemtuzumab ozagomicin (GO) to the FLAG regimen adds on outcome benefit[72,108,115,116]. GO is a combination of cytotoxic antibiotic calicheamicin and humanized monoclonal antibody to CD33 (highly expressed in AML cells), and various studies showed GO to have a potent anti-leukemic effect and provide a significant survival benefit for patients with favorable and intermediate cytogenetics[72,108,115–118].

The presence of c-KIT mutations in CBF-AML and MRD after induction/consolidation was shown to be associated with inferior outcome[5,119–124]. The addition of KIT inhibitors to the chemotherapy has not yet shown clear benefit, and further studies are needed.

6.1.2.2. AML with NPM1 mutation: The NPM1 gene encodes protein nucleophosmin (NPM, also known as nucleolar phosphoprotein B23 or numatrin), and high expression levels of this gene could inactivate the p53/ARF tumor suppressor pathway and promote tumor growth. NPM1 mutations can be found in 25–35% of AML cases[5,6,36,67],[125]. In CN-AML patients, the incidence of NPM1 mutation is >50%, and in FLT3-ITD-positive patients, the incidence of NPM1 mutation is about 60%[65,67]. In the ELN classification system, patients with NPM1 mutations who lack FLT3-ITD mutations are considered to have a favorable outcome, and those who harbor both FLT3-ITD and NPM1 mutations have a poorer outcome; this difference has been shown in many studies[36,65,67,125–128]. For NPM1+/FLT3-ITD- patients, taking into account their favorable features, the treatment

approach at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and in several other centers is to offer patients a HiDAC-based induction regimen in clinical studies[5,71].

6.1.2.3. APL: The discovery of the effect of vitamin A derivative all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) in APL revolutionized the world of leukemia: one of the most fatal diseases transformed to a highly curative one[111,129,130].

APL is a unique subtype of AML that is characterized by t(15;17) abnormalities and PML/RARA fusion transcripts (and variants)[131–134]. Since the discovery of the role of ATRA in APL and the first reports of its combination with chemotherapy, APL patient outcomes have improved dramatically[5,111,130,132,135–138]. Another great achievement was the discovery of arsenic trioxide (ATO) activity in APL[137,139–141]. Subsequent studies explored the combination of ATRA and ATO in standard risk groups, with CR rates reaching up to 95–100% and event-free survival rates exceeding 90%[142]. These findings presented a new standard of treatment for APL, which consists of ATRA plus ATO without chemotherapy in low- and intermediate-risk APL patients[111,142,143]. In the high-risk group (i.e. those who have hyperleukocytosis [WBC count >10.000])[132], clinicians at MD Anderson add GO to the ATRA-ATO regimen, and this strategy showed promising results in multiple studies[5,144–148]. Several European studies also reported the importance of HiDAC during induction and consolidation to reduce the risk of relapse in APL patients with a high or very high WBC count at presentation[149–151].

It also worth mentioning that because of the higher probability of early death caused by disseminated intravascular coagulation in APL, as soon as APL is suspected treatment with ATRA should be started immediately without waiting for the results of genetic testing[132].

6.1.2.4. AML with FLT-3 mutation: FLT3 tyrosine kinase receptor is highly expressed on myeloblasts. It has an important role in normal hematopoiesis and synergizes with other hematopoietic growth factors/interleukins to stimulate the growth of immature myeloid cells and stem cells[152,153].

FLT3 mutations are present in 20–30% of AML patients; FLT3-ITD and FLT3-TKD (tyrosine kinase domain) affecting amino acid D835 are the most common mutations. Several studies have reported inferior outcomes of patients with FLT3-ITD mutated AML compared with FLT3-WT (wild-type). The data on the outcomes of patients with FLT3-TKD mutations are controversial; however, some studies have suggested that they have inferior outcomes compared to FLT3-WT[36,48,65–67,154–159].

Several FLT3-ITD inhibitors (quizartinib, sorafenib, midostaurin) have shown encouraging results in combination with chemotherapy[5,160–172]. These tyrosine kinase inhibitors competitively inhibit ATP-binding sites on FLT3 kinase domain (KD). Unfortunately, owing to the emergence of resistance, the responses to FLT3 inhibitors are not durable and last just 3–6 months. The resistance is caused either by the gain of ATP binding site point mutations on FLT3 KD (TKD1 mutations in the ATP binding domain and TKD2 mutations involving the activation loop) or several non-mutational mechanisms (upregulation of parallel prosurvival pathways, FLT3 ligand, or FLT3 receptor, other kinase mutations, antiapoptotic

protein activation, and mechanisms related to the tumor microenvironment)[172]. New FLT3-ITD inhibitors such as crenolanib (active against both TKD1 and TKD2 mutations) and ponatinib (in quizartinib-resistant patients) have shown encouraging results[173–175].

Studies also have shown that the allelic ratio of FLT3 mutant and WT genes might have a prognostic significance, assuming that a higher allelic burden confers a poorer outcome. The data on this issue are controversial, and further studies are needed to answer this question[5,159,176–178].

6.1.3. Post-remission therapy—The role of post-remission therapy is to clear leukemia cells that remain after remission induction therapy and that are not detected by conventional methods. Post-remission therapy usually comprises 3 main strategies: intensive chemotherapy (consolidation), prolonged maintenance therapy, and high-dose chemotherapy (conditioning) followed by autologous or allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Recent advancements in HSCT and broader use of haploidentical (most patients have a haploidentical match) and cord blood transplants have expanded donor availability and the notion that “everyone has a donor” has become popular among many transplant physicians. Another exciting area for future investigation is cellular therapies, such as allo-transplantation of natural killer cells, which have shown promising results in several clinical trials [1,5,6,36,71,179–181].

The most commonly used consolidation chemotherapy regimen consists of repetitive cycles of HiDAC. For now, Ara-C is considered the most active drug in AML and has dose-response effect. Usually, HiDAC is considered the dose of Ara-C to be 1000 mg/m². Several studies have investigated the dose of Ara-C from 1000 to 3000 mg/m² to be used for consolidation; in terms of outcome, no difference was shown between 1500 and 3000 mg/m², but the outcome may have been better with 1500 mg/m² than with 1000 mg/m² [1,5,6,36,71,72,182,183]. In general, the main aim is to achieve higher outcome rates with less toxic treatment; therefore, different strategies have been suggested and adopted taking into consideration the risk profile of AML[36,71].

In **patients in the favorable group** (CBF-AML, NPM1+/FLT3-ITD-, and CEBPA+) HSCT has not shown any advantage in frontline treatment; therefore, in general, HiDAC-based consolidation is considered (3–4 cycles of cytarabine 1–3 g/m² on days 1, 3, and 5) [36,67,69,71,113,184–188].

For **patients with intermediate-risk AML** (ELN intermediate I and II), although many groups still use repetitive cycles of HiDAC, without HSCT, the outcomes are not as good as those in the favorable group; therefore, HSCT is considered the treatment of choice in this subgroup of patients, especially when the risk of transplant-related mortality is low or intermediate[36,69,71,184,186,187]. For patients harboring FLT3-ITD mutations, alloHSCT is preferable, and autoHSCT is used mainly for patients with favorable and intermediate-risk cytogenetics[36,69,71,184,186,187,189,190].

In **patients with adverse-risk AML** who receive cytarabine only or undergo autologous transplantation, 2-year survival is less than 10%; therefore, matched related or matched

unrelated alloHSCT in the first remission is considered the treatment of choice[5,30,36,43,71,186,191–193]. In patients with adverse cytogenetics who undergo matched unrelated alloHSCT in CR1, long-term survival could reach 30% [5,36,69,71,193].

The strategy of performing HSCT in AML patients needs to be assessed while taking into consideration transplant-related mortality, which can vary from >15% up to 50% [194–196]. The outcomes of patients with adverse-risk AML remain poor; therefore, new investigational therapies are emerging[5,36,71].

6.2. Treating AML in older adults (60 years and older)

Treatment of older patients with AML is quite challenging, and older age is independently associated with an inferior outcome. This is mostly due to poor performance status and comorbid conditions, which can increase the rate of treatment-related mortality (TRM). Moreover, increasing age is associated with adverse cytogenetics, which could be the result of treatment-related or secondary AML and could be associated treatment resistance[10,36,57–59,197–199].

However, despite all these features, studies show that for older adult patients “intent to treat” approach is justified over the “supportive care only” approach. For patients younger than 75 years with a good performance status (<2, no comorbid conditions), 7+3-based induction can lead to a CR rate of 50% and TRM of 15% in a favorable risk group, but for the adverse-risk group, the CR rate is less than 30% and OS is less than 5%. For patients with comorbid conditions, dose reductions may be adopted, and for the adverse-risk group, clinical trials or low-dose chemotherapy (mild cytoreductive induction) could be a choice[36,60,71,74,98,199–201].

The results of post-remission therapy are debatable, because most of the studies included fit older adult patients in their cohorts. Some promising results have been shown with nonmyeloablative or reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) allo-HSCT, which is associated with a lower rate of TRM[5,6,10,36,69,71,202].

AML as now understood as an epigenetically regulated disease and further use of epigenetically targeted therapies, in particular hypomethylating agents such as 5-azacytidine and decitabine, has shown to be a promising option for older patients with AML, who are not suitable candidates for intensive therapies. Both drugs showed a survival advantage compared with conventional therapy approaches in older patients with AML[49,203,204]. In a recent phase 1 study, a novel hypomethylating agent, guadecitabine (SGI-110), was well tolerated and clinically and biologically active in both AML and MDS[205]. Vosaroxin, a novel topoisomerase II inhibitor, is another exciting agent that recently showed promising results in combination with decitabine in older patients with AML and high risk MDS[206].

For patients 75 years and older with comorbid conditions and a lower performance status, treatment options are very limited and dismal. Low-dose Ara-C (20 mg 4 times/day subcutaneously for 10 days) has shown slightly superior outcomes than hydroxyurea; however, the treatment-related mortality rate is high in this group of patients. In patients with adverse cytogenetics, low-dose Ara-C has not shown any advantage. Several other

treatments with the addition of novel agents are currently under investigation. The wish of the patient for this subgroup is mostly determining factor for initiating treatment, keeping in mind that patients' expectations of cure are higher than their doctors' expectations [5,6,10,36,59,118,207–216].

6.3. New therapies

The increasing heterogeneity of AML and the discovery of previously unknown subtypes with specific mutations have opened doors for new targeted therapies. Figure 3 summarizes potential targets in AML and respective targeted agents that are currently in use or under investigation.

The exciting results of immune therapies, such as checkpoint inhibitors (PD1, PDL1, LAG3) and CAR-T cells, in solid tumors, have influenced their potential implications in hematologic malignancies as well [217,218]. First- and second-generation FLT3-inhibitors (sorafenib, midostaurin, quizartinib, crenolanib, and ASP2215), small-molecule inhibitors of BET and DOT1L histone methyltransferase targeting MLL translocations, SGN-33a and GO targeting CD33 in patients with CBF-AML and APL, IDH1 and IDH2 inhibitors for patients with IDH mutations (AG-120, ABT-199, AG-221), RAS and MEK inhibitors (trametinib and MEK162), and other targets and targeted therapies are currently under investigation, giving hope for better therapies for adult patients with AML [49,160,205,219–221].

Acknowledgments

We thank Markeda Wade for her expert language help and editorial assistance.

This work was funded by a Conquer Cancer Foundation of ASCO Long-term International Fellowship. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the American Society of Clinical Oncology or the Conquer Cancer Foundation.

The work is supported by the NIH/NCI under award number P30CA016672.

References

1. Kaushansky, K. Lichtman, M. A. Kipps, T. J., et al., editors. Williams Hematology, 8e. McGraw Hill; 2010. n.d
2. Marcucci G, Haferlach T, Döhner H. Molecular genetics of adult acute myeloid leukemia: prognostic and therapeutic implications. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:475–86. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.30.2554 [PubMed: 21220609]
3. Kampen KR. The discovery and early understanding of leukemia. *Leuk Res*. 2012; 36:6–13. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2011.09.028 [PubMed: 22033191]
4. Freireich EJ, Wiernik PH, Steensma DP. The leukemias: a half-century of discovery. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014; 32:3463–9. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1034 [PubMed: 25185093]
5. Kadia TM, Ravandi F, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Kantarjian HM. Progress in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2015; 15:139–51. DOI: 10.1016/j.clml.2014.08.006 [PubMed: 25441110]
6. Parikh, S. A., Jabbour, E., Koller, C. A. Chapter 2. Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia. In: Kantarjian, H. M. Wolff, R. A., Koller, C. A., editors. The MD Anderson Manual of Medical Oncology. 2. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2011. n.d
7. Ley TJ, Mardis ER, Ding L, Fulton B, McLellan MD, Chen K, et al. DNA sequencing of a cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukaemia genome. *Nature*. 2008; 456:66–72. DOI: 10.1038/nature07485 [PubMed: 18987736]

8. Mardis ER, Ding L, Dooling DJ, Larson DE, McLellan MD, Chen K, et al. Recurring mutations found by sequencing an acute myeloid leukemia genome. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 361:1058–66. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0903840 [PubMed: 19657110]
9. DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Siegel RL, Stein KD, Kramer JL, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2014; 64:252–71. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21235 [PubMed: 24890451]
10. Juliusson G, Antunovic P, Derolf A, Lehmann S, Möllgård L, Stockelberg D, et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia: real world data on decision to treat and outcomes from the Swedish Acute Leukemia Registry. *Blood*. 2009; 113:4179–87. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2008-07-172007 [PubMed: 19008455]
11. [accessed August 31, 2015] Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) Stat Fact Sheets - Acute Myeloid Leukemia. n.d. <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/amyl.html>
12. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 62:10–29. DOI: 10.3322/caac.20138. [PubMed: 22237781]
13. Bierenbaum J, Davidoff AJ, Ning Y, Tidwell ML, Gojo I, Baer MR. Racial differences in presentation, referral and treatment patterns and survival in adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a single-institution experience. *Leuk Res*. 2012; 36:140–5. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2011.10.018 [PubMed: 22112974]
14. Tomás JF, Fernández-Rañada JM. About the increased frequency of acute promyelocytic leukemia among Latinos: the experience from a center in Spain. *Blood*. 1996; 88:2357–8. [PubMed: 8822960]
15. Douer D. The epidemiology of acute promyelocytic leukaemia. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol*. 2003; 16:357–67. [PubMed: 12935956]
16. Khalade A, Jaakkola MS, Pukkala E, Jaakkola JJK. Exposure to benzene at work and the risk of leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health*. 2010; 9:31.doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-9-31 [PubMed: 20584305]
17. Pogoda JM, Preston-Martin S. Smoking and risk of acute myeloid leukemia in adults. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2006; 17:351–2. DOI: 10.1007/s10552-005-0581-2 [PubMed: 16489543]
18. Terry PD, Shore DL, Rauscher GH, Sandler DP. Occupation, hobbies, and acute leukemia in adults. *Leuk Res*. 2005; 29:1117–30. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2005.03.002 [PubMed: 16111530]
19. Preston DL, Kusumi S, Tomonaga M, Izumi S, Ron E, Kuramoto A, et al. Cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors. Part III. Leukemia, lymphoma and multiple myeloma, 1950–1987. *Radiat Res*. 1994; 137:S68–97. [PubMed: 8127953]
20. Yeasmin S, Nakayama K, Ishibashi M, Oride A, Katagiri A, Purwana IN, et al. Therapy-related myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia following paclitaxel- and carboplatin-based chemotherapy in an ovarian cancer patient: a case report and literature review. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. n.d; 18:1371–6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1438.2007.01185.x. [PubMed: 18217963]
21. Duker NJ. Chromosome breakage syndromes and cancer. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002; 115:125–9. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.10688 [PubMed: 12407692]
22. Super HJ, McCabe NR, Thirman MJ, Larson RA, Le Beau MM, Pedersen-Bjergaard J, et al. Rearrangements of the MLL gene in therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia in patients previously treated with agents targeting DNA-topoisomerase II. *Blood*. 1993; 82:3705–11. [PubMed: 8260707]
23. Pedersen-Bjergaard J, Rowley JD. The balanced and the unbalanced chromosome aberrations of acute myeloid leukemia may develop in different ways and may contribute differently to malignant transformation. *Blood*. 1994; 83:2780–6. [PubMed: 8180374]
24. Rauscher GH. Hair Dye Use and Risk of Adult Acute Leukemia. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004; 160:19–25. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwh166 [PubMed: 15229113]
25. Pulsoni A, Stazi A, Cotichini R, Allione B, Cerri R, Di Bona E, et al. Acute promyelocytic leukaemia: epidemiology and risk factors. A report of the GIMEMA Italian archive of adult acute leukaemia. GIMEMA Cooperative Group. *Eur J Haematol*. 1998; 61:327–32. [PubMed: 9855248]
26. Estey E, Thall P, Kantarjian H, Pierce S, Kornblau S, Keating M. Association between increased body mass index and a diagnosis of acute promyelocytic leukemia in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 1997; 11:1661–4. [PubMed: 9324286]

27. Ratnam KV, Khor CJ, Su WP. Leukemia cutis. *Dermatol Clin.* 1994; 12:419–31. [PubMed: 8045053]
28. Ohanian M, Borthakur G, Quintas-Cardama A, Mathisen M, Cortés JE, Estrov Z, et al. Ocular granulocytic sarcoma: a case report and literature review of ocular extramedullary acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2013; 13:93–6. DOI: 10.1016/j.clml.2012.07.008 [PubMed: 23017332]
29. Dutcher JP, Schiffer CA, Wiernik PH. Hyperleukocytosis in adult acute nonlymphocytic leukemia: impact on remission rate and duration, and survival. *J Clin Oncol.* 1987; 5:1364–72. [PubMed: 3625254]
30. Byrd JC, Mrózek K, Dodge RK, Carroll AJ, Edwards CG, Arthur DC, et al. Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 8461). *Blood.* 2002; 100:4325–36. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2002-03-0772 [PubMed: 12393746]
31. Dekker AW, Elderson A, Punt K, Sixma JJ. Meningeal involvement in patients with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. Incidence, management, and predictive factors. *Cancer.* 1985; 56:2078–82. [PubMed: 4027935]
32. Ohanian M, Faderl S, Ravandi F, Pemmaraju N, Garcia-Manero G, Cortes J, et al. Is acute myeloid leukemia a liquid tumor? *Int J Cancer.* 2013; 133:534–43. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.28012 [PubMed: 23280377]
33. Rozovski U, Ohanian M, Ravandi F, Garcia-Manero G, Faderl S, Pierce S, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for involvement of the central nervous system in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2015; 56:1392–7. DOI: 10.3109/10428194.2014.953148 [PubMed: 25110819]
34. MOORE EW, THOMAS LB, SHAW RK, FREIREICH EJ. The central nervous system in acute leukemia: a postmortem study of 117 consecutive cases, with particular reference to hemorrhages, leukemic infiltrations, and the syndrome of meningeal leukemia. *Arch Intern Med.* 1960; 105:451–68. [PubMed: 14423837]
35. Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, et al. Proposed revised criteria for the classification of acute myeloid leukemia. A report of the French-American-British Cooperative Group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1985; 103:620–5. [PubMed: 3862359]
36. Döhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, Appelbaum FR, Büchner T, Burnett AK, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. *Blood.* 2010; 115:453–74. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-07-235358 [PubMed: 19880497]
37. Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, et al. Proposals for the classification of the acute leukaemias. French-American-British (FAB) cooperative group. *Br J Haematol.* 1976; 33:451–8. [PubMed: 188440]
38. Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H, Vardiman JW, editors. Pathology and genetics of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. Lyon, France: IARC; 2001. World Health Organization Classification of Tumors. n.d
39. Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. *Blood.* 2009; 114:937–51. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-03-209262 [PubMed: 19357394]
40. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al. WHO classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. Lyon: 2008.
41. Mrózek K, Heerema NA, Bloomfield CD. Cytogenetics in acute leukemia. *Blood Rev.* 2004; 18:115–36. DOI: 10.1016/S0268-960X(03)00040-7 [PubMed: 15010150]
42. Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F, Wheatley K, Harrison C, Harrison G, et al. The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics on outcome in AML: analysis of 1,612 patients entered into the MRC AML 10 trial. The Medical Research Council Adult and Children's Leukaemia Working Parties. *Blood.* 1998; 92:2322–33. [PubMed: 9746770]
43. Slovak ML, Kopecky KJ, Cassileth PA, Harrington DH, Theil KS, Mohamed A, et al. Karyotypic analysis predicts outcome of preremission and postremission therapy in adult acute myeloid

leukemia: a Southwest Oncology Group/Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *Blood*. 2000; 96:4075–83. [PubMed: 11110676]

44. Schlenk RF, Döhner H. Genomic applications in the clinic: use in treatment paradigm of acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2013; 2013:324–30. DOI: 10.1182/asheducation-2013.1.324 [PubMed: 24319199]
45. Kayser S, Döhner K, Krauter J, Köhne C-H, Horst HA, Held G, et al. The impact of therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia (AML) on outcome in 2853 adult patients with newly diagnosed AML. *Blood*. 2011; 117:2137–45. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2010-08-301713 [PubMed: 21127174]
46. Döhner H. Implication of the molecular characterization of acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2007; :412–9. DOI: 10.1182/asheducation-2007.1.412. [PubMed: 18024659]
47. Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, Fernandez H, Sun Z, Racevskis J, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 366:1079–89. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1112304 [PubMed: 22417203]
48. Schlenk RF, Döhner K. Impact of new prognostic markers in treatment decisions in acute myeloid leukemia. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2009; 16:98–104. DOI: 10.1097/MOH.0b013e3283257adb [PubMed: 19468271]
49. Kadia TM, Ravandi F, Cortes J, Kantarjian H. Toward Individualized Therapy in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Contemporary Review. *JAMA Oncol*. 2015; doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.0617.
50. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368:2059–74. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1301689 [PubMed: 23634996]
51. Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 360:765–73. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0808710 [PubMed: 19228619]
52. Marcucci G, Maharry K, Wu Y-Z, Radmacher MD, Mrózek K, Margeson D, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 gene mutations identify novel molecular subsets within de novo cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010; 28:2348–55. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.27.3730 [PubMed: 20368543]
53. Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, Haddank M, Krönke J, Bullinger L, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010; 28:3636–43. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.3762 [PubMed: 20567020]
54. Ravandi F, Patel K, Luthra R, Faderl S, Konopleva M, Kadia T, et al. Prognostic significance of alterations in IDH enzyme isoforms in patients with AML treated with high-dose cytarabine and idarubicin. *Cancer*. 2012; 118:2665–73. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.26580 [PubMed: 22020636]
55. Feng J-H, Guo X-P, Chen Y-Y, Wang Z-J, Cheng Y-P, Tang Y-M. Prognostic significance of IDH1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis. *Am J Blood Res*. 2012; 2:254–64. [PubMed: 23226625]
56. Im AP, Sehgal AR, Carroll MP, Smith BD, Tefferi A, Johnson DE, et al. DNMT3A and IDH mutations in acute myeloid leukemia and other myeloid malignancies: associations with prognosis and potential treatment strategies. *Leukemia*. 2014; 28:1774–83. DOI: 10.1038/leu.2014.124 [PubMed: 24699305]
57. Mosna F, Papayannidis C, Martinelli G, Di Bona E, Bonalumi A, Tecchio C, et al. Complex karyotype, older age, and reduced first-line dose intensity determine poor survival in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia patients with long-term follow-up. *Am J Hematol*. 2015; doi: 10.1002/ajh.24000.
58. Redaniel MT, Pulte D, Jeffreys M. Survival disparities by age and country of diagnosis for patients with acute leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2015; :1–6. DOI: 10.3109/10428194.2015.1014358.
59. Büchner T, Berdel WE, Haferlach C, Haferlach T, Schnittger S, Müller-Tidow C, et al. Age-related risk profile and chemotherapy dose response in acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the German Acute Myeloid Leukemia Cooperative Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27:61–9. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.4245 [PubMed: 19047294]

60. Grimwade D, Walker H, Harrison G, Oliver F, Chatters S, Harrison CJ, et al. The predictive value of hierarchical cytogenetic classification in older adults with acute myeloid leukemia (AML): analysis of 1065 patients entered into the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML11 trial. *Blood*. 2001; 98:1312–20. [PubMed: 11520776]
61. Orozco JJ, Appelbaum FR. Unfavorable, complex, and monosomal karyotypes: the most challenging forms of acute myeloid leukemia. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2012; 26:706–12. [PubMed: 22957403]
62. Kadia T, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Manero G, Borthakur G, Wang X, Patel K, et al. Prognostic significance of the Medical Research Council cytogenetic classification compared with the European LeukaemiaNet risk classification system in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2015; doi: 10.1111/bjh.13319.
63. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Walker H, Chatters S, Goldstone AH, et al. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. 2010; 116:354–65. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-11-254441 [PubMed: 20385793]
64. Thomas X, Chelghoum Y. Cigarette smoking and acute leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2004; 45:1103–9. DOI: 10.1080/10428190310001638904 [PubMed: 15359988]
65. Port M, Böttcher M, Thol F, Ganser A, Schlenk R, Wasem J, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication, nucleophosmin 1, and CEBPA gene mutations for acute myeloid leukemia patients with normal karyotype and younger than 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Hematol*. 2014; 93:1279–86. DOI: 10.1007/s00277-014-2072-6 [PubMed: 24801015]
66. Bullinger L, Döhner K, Kranz R, Stirner C, Fröhling S, Scholl C, et al. An FLT3 gene-expression signature predicts clinical outcome in normal karyotype AML. *Blood*. 2008; 111:4490–5. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2007-09-115055 [PubMed: 18309032]
67. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, Fröhling S, Corbacioglu A, Bullinger L, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 358:1909–18. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa074306 [PubMed: 18450602]
68. Marcucci G, Yan P, Maharry K, Frankhouser D, Nicolet D, Metzeler KH, et al. Epigenetics Meets Genetics in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Clinical Impact of a Novel Seven-Gene Score. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 32:548–56. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.50.6337 [PubMed: 24378410]
69. Schlenk RF. Post-remission therapy for acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2014; 99:1663–70. DOI: 10.3324/haematol.2014.114611 [PubMed: 25420282]
70. Yates JW, Wallace HJ, Ellison RR, Holland JF. Cytosine arabinoside (NSC-63878) and daunorubicin (NSC-83142) therapy in acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Chemother Rep. n.d*; 57:485–8. [PubMed: 4586956]
71. Estey EH. Acute myeloid leukemia: 2014 update on risk-stratification and management. *Am J Hematol*. 2014; 89:1063–81. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23834 [PubMed: 25318680]
72. Burnett AK, Russell NH, Hills RK, Hunter AE, Kjeldsen L, Yin J, et al. Optimization of chemotherapy for younger patients with acute myeloid leukemia: results of the medical research council AML15 trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31:3360–8. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2012.47.4874 [PubMed: 23940227]
73. Borthakur G, Kantarjian H, Wang X, Plunkett WK, Gandhi VV, Faderl S, et al. Treatment of core-binding-factor in acute myelogenous leukemia with fludarabine, cytarabine, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor results in improved event-free survival. *Cancer*. 2008; 113:3181–5. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23927 [PubMed: 18932257]
74. Medeiros BC, Othus M, Fang M, Roulston D, Appelbaum FR. Prognostic impact of monosomal karyotype in young adult and elderly acute myeloid leukemia: the Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) experience. *Blood*. 2010; 116:2224–8. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2010-02-270330 [PubMed: 20562328]
75. Döhner K, Tobis K, Ulrich R, Fröhling S, Benner A, Schlenk RF, et al. Prognostic significance of partial tandem duplications of the MLL gene in adult patients 16 to 60 years old with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: a study of the Acute Myeloid Leukemia Study Group Ulm. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20:3254–61. [PubMed: 12149299]

76. Padron E, Fernandez H. Anthracycline dose intensification in young adults with acute myeloid leukemia. *Ther Adv Hematol*. 2012; 3:17–27. DOI: 10.1177/2040620711427069 [PubMed: 23556109]
77. Gaidzik VI, Bullinger L, Schlenk RF, Zimmermann AS, Röck J, Paschka P, et al. RUNX1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: results from a comprehensive genetic and clinical analysis from the AML study group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:1364–72. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.30.7926 [PubMed: 21343560]
78. Larson RA. Induction therapy for acute myeloid leukemia in younger adults. UpToDate. 2015 n.d.
79. Sekeres MA, Elson P, Kalaycio ME, Advani AS, Copelan EA, Faderl S, et al. Time from diagnosis to treatment initiation predicts survival in younger, but not older, acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Blood*. 2009; 113:28–36. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2008-05-157065 [PubMed: 18827183]
80. Bertoli S, Bérard E, Huguet F, Huynh A, Tavitian S, Vergez F, et al. Time from diagnosis to intensive chemotherapy initiation does not adversely impact the outcome of patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2013; 121:2618–26. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2012-09-454553 [PubMed: 23365464]
81. Chen Y, Cortes J, Estrov Z, Faderl S, Qiao W, Abruzzo L, et al. Persistence of cytogenetic abnormalities at complete remission after induction in patients with acute myeloid leukemia: prognostic significance and the potential role of allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:2507–13. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.34.2873 [PubMed: 21555694]
82. Marcucci G, Mrózek K, Ruppert AS, Archer KJ, Pettenati MJ, Heerema NA, et al. Abnormal cytogenetics at date of morphologic complete remission predicts short overall and disease-free survival, and higher relapse rate in adult acute myeloid leukemia: results from cancer and leukemia group B study 8461. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 22:2410–8. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.03.023 [PubMed: 15197203]
83. Kayser S, Schlenk RF, Grimwade D, Yosuido VED, Walter RB. Minimal residual disease-directed therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2015; 125:2331–5. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2014-11-578815 [PubMed: 25631772]
84. Liu H-T, Stock W. Is it time to use minimal residual disease to stratify post-remission treatment for acute myeloid leukemia? *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2015; :1–9. DOI: 10.3109/10428194.2015.1048444.
85. Chen X, Xie H, Wood BL, Walter RB, Pagel JM, Becker PS, et al. Relation of Clinical Response and Minimal Residual Disease and Their Prognostic Impact on Outcome in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 33:1258–64. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.58.3518 [PubMed: 25732155]
86. Freeman SD, Virgo P, Couzens S, Grimwade D, Russell N, Hills RK, et al. Prognostic relevance of treatment response measured by flow cytometric residual disease detection in older patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31:4123–31. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.49.1753 [PubMed: 24062403]
87. Grimwade D, Freeman SD. Defining minimal residual disease in acute myeloid leukemia: which platforms are ready for “prime time”? *Blood*. 2014; 124:3345–55. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2014-05-577593 [PubMed: 25049280]
88. Ivey A, Hills RK, Simpson MA, Jovanovic JV, Gilkes A, Grech A, et al. Assessment of Minimal Residual Disease in Standard-Risk AML. *N Engl J Med*. 2016; 374:422–33. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1507471 [PubMed: 26789727]
89. Araki D, Wood BL, Othus M, Radich JP, Halpern AB, Zhou Y, et al. Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Time to Move Toward a Minimal Residual Disease-Based Definition of Complete Remission? *J Clin Oncol*. 2016; 34:329–36. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.63.3826 [PubMed: 26668349]
90. Ossenkoppele GJ, Janssen JJWM, van de Loosdrecht AA. Risk factors for relapse after allogeneic transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2016; 101:20–5. DOI: 10.3324/haematol.2015.139105 [PubMed: 26721801]
91. Walter RB, Gooley TA, Wood BL, Milano F, Fang M, Sorrow ML, et al. Impact of Pretransplantation Minimal Residual Disease, As Detected by Multiparametric Flow Cytometry, on Outcome of Myeloablative Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:1190–7. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.31.8121 [PubMed: 21282535]

92. Walter RB, Buckley SA, Pagel JM, Wood BL, Storer BE, Sandmaier BM, et al. Significance of minimal residual disease before myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for AML in first and second complete remission. *Blood*. 2013;122.
93. Wiernik PH, Banks PL, Case DC, Arlin ZA, Periman PO, Todd MB, et al. Cytarabine plus idarubicin or daunorubicin as induction and consolidation therapy for previously untreated adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 1992; 79:313–9. [PubMed: 1730080]
94. Vogler WR, Velez-Garcia E, Weiner RS, Flaum MA, Bartolucci AA, Omura GA, et al. A phase III trial comparing idarubicin and daunorubicin in combination with cytarabine in acute myelogenous leukemia: a Southeastern Cancer Study Group Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 1992; 10:1103–11. [PubMed: 1607916]
95. Fernandez HF, Sun Z, Yao X, Litzow MR, Luger SM, Paietta EM, et al. Anthracycline dose intensification in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 361:1249–59. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0904544 [PubMed: 19776406]
96. Vignetti M, De Witte TM, Suci S, et al. Daunorubicin (DNR) vs mitoxantrone (MTZ) vs Idarubicin (IDA) administered during induction and consolidation in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) followed by autologous or allogeneic stem transplantation (SCT): Resu. n.d
97. Holowiecki J, Grosicki S, Robak T, Kyrz-Krzemien S, Giebel S, Hellmann A, et al. Addition of cladribine to daunorubicin and cytarabine increases complete remission rate after a single course of induction treatment in acute myeloid leukemia. Multicenter, phase III study. *Leukemia*. 2004; 18:989–97. DOI: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403336 [PubMed: 14999298]
98. Löwenberg B, Ossenkoppele GJ, van Putten W, Schouten HC, Graux C, Ferrant A, et al. High-dose daunorubicin in older patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 361:1235–48. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0901409 [PubMed: 19776405]
99. Flasshove M, Meusers P, Schütte J, Noppeney R, Beelen DW, Sohrab S, et al. Long-term survival after induction therapy with idarubicin and cytosine arabinoside for de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *Ann Hematol*. 2000; 79:533–42. [PubMed: 11100742]
100. Freireich EJ. The history of leukemia therapy--a personal journey. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2012; 12:386–92. DOI: 10.1016/j.clml.2012.09.014 [PubMed: 23158094]
101. Pallavicini MG. Cytosine arabinoside: molecular, pharmacokinetic and cytotoxic considerations. *Pharmacol Ther*. 1984; 25:207–38. [PubMed: 6390467]
102. Ohtake S, Miyawaki S, Fujita H, Kiyoi H, Shinagawa K, Usui N, et al. Randomized study of induction therapy comparing standard-dose idarubicin with high-dose daunorubicin in adult patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: the JALSG AML201 Study. *Blood*. 2011; 117:2358–65. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2010-03-273243 [PubMed: 20693429]
103. Wang J, Yang Y-G, Zhou M, Xu J-Y, Zhang Q-G, Zhou R-F, et al. Meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials comparing idarubicin + cytarabine with daunorubicin + cytarabine as the induction chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukaemia. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e60699.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060699 [PubMed: 23593285]
104. Lee J-H, Joo Y-D, Kim H, Bae SH, Kim MK, Zang DY, et al. A randomized trial comparing standard versus high-dose daunorubicin induction in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2011; 118:3832–41. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2011-06-361410 [PubMed: 21828126]
105. Burnett AK, Russell NH, Hills RK, Kell J, Cavenagh J, Kjeldsen L, et al. A randomized comparison of daunorubicin 90 mg/m² vs 60 mg/m² in AML induction: results from the UK NCRI AML17 trial in 1206 patients. *Blood*. 2015; 125:3878–85. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2015-01-623447 [PubMed: 25833957]
106. Rai KR, Holland JF, Glidewell OJ, Weinberg V, Brunner K, Obrecht JP, et al. Treatment of acute myelocytic leukemia: a study by cancer and leukemia group B. *Blood*. 1981; 58:1203–12. [PubMed: 6946847]
107. Burnett AK, Hills RK, Milligan D, Kjeldsen L, Kell J, Russell NH, et al. Identification of patients with acute myeloblastic leukemia who benefit from the addition of gemtuzumab ozogamicin: results of the MRC AML15 trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:369–77. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.31.4310 [PubMed: 21172891]
108. Ravandi F. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin: one size does not fit all--the case for personalized therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29:349–51. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.32.2693 [PubMed: 21172885]

109. Nazha A, Kantarjian H, Ravandi F, Huang X, Choi S, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Clofarabine, idarubicin, and cytarabine (CIA) as frontline therapy for patients 60 years with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. *Am J Hematol.* 2013; 88:961–6. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23544 [PubMed: 23877926]
110. Becker PS, Medeiros BC, Stein AS, Othus M, Appelbaum FR, Forman SJ, et al. G-CSF Priming, clofarabine, and high dose cytarabine (GCLAC) for upfront treatment of acute myeloid leukemia, advanced myelodysplastic syndrome or advanced myeloproliferative neoplasm. *Am J Hematol.* 2015; 90:295–300. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23927 [PubMed: 25545153]
111. Coombs CC, Tavakkoli M, Tallman MS. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: where did we start, where are we now, and the future. *Blood Cancer J.* 2015; 5:e304.doi: 10.1038/bcj.2015.25 [PubMed: 25885425]
112. Lo-Coco F, Cicconi L. What is the standard regimen for patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia? *Curr Hematol Malig Rep.* 2014; 9:138–43. DOI: 10.1007/s11899-014-0206-5 [PubMed: 24729066]
113. Byrd JC, Ruppert AS, Mrózek K, Carroll AJ, Edwards CG, Arthur DC, et al. Repetitive cycles of high-dose cytarabine benefit patients with acute myeloid leukemia and inv(16)(p13q22) or t(16;16)(p13;q22): results from CALGB 8461. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22:1087–94. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.07.012 [PubMed: 15020610]
114. Gandhi V, Estey E, Keating MJ, Plunkett W. Fludarabine potentiates metabolism of cytarabine in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia during therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 1993; 11:116–24. [PubMed: 8418222]
115. Borthakur G, Cortes JE, Estey EE, Jabbour E, Faderl S, O'Brien S, et al. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin with fludarabine, cytarabine, and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (FLAG-GO) as front-line regimen in patients with core binding factor acute myelogenous leukemia. *Am J Hematol.* 2014; 89:964–8. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23795 [PubMed: 24990142]
116. Ravandi F, Kantarjian H. Haematological cancer: Gemtuzumab ozogamicin in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2012; 9:310–1. DOI: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2012.83 [PubMed: 22547217]
117. Hills RK, Castaigne S, Appelbaum FR, Delaunay J, Petersdorf S, Othus M, et al. Addition of gemtuzumab ozogamicin to induction chemotherapy in adult patients with acute myeloid leukaemia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014; 15:986–96. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70281-5 [PubMed: 25008258]
118. Thol F, Schlenk RF. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin in acute myeloid leukemia revisited. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* 2014; 14:1185–95. DOI: 10.1517/14712598.2014.922534 [PubMed: 24865510]
119. Paschka P, Marcucci G, Ruppert AS, Mrózek K, Chen H, Kittles RA, et al. Adverse prognostic significance of KIT mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) and t(8;21): a Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24:3904–11. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.9500 [PubMed: 16921041]
120. Park SH, Chi H-S, Min S-K, Park BG, Jang S, Park C-J. Prognostic impact of c-KIT mutations in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Res.* 2011; 35:1376–83. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2011.06.003 [PubMed: 21715005]
121. Yin JAL, O'Brien MA, Hills RK, Daly SB, Wheatley K, Burnett AK. Minimal residual disease monitoring by quantitative RT-PCR in core binding factor AML allows risk stratification and predicts relapse: results of the United Kingdom MRC AML-15 trial. *Blood.* 2012; 120:2826–35. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2012-06-435669 [PubMed: 22875911]
122. Care RS, Valk PJM, Goodeve AC, Abu-Duhier FM, Geertsma-Kleinekoort WMC, Wilson GA, et al. Incidence and prognosis of c-KIT and FLT3 mutations in core binding factor (CBF) acute myeloid leukaemias. *Br J Haematol.* 2003; 121:775–7. [PubMed: 12780793]
123. Paschka P, Du J, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, Bullinger L, Corbacioglu A, et al. Secondary genetic lesions in acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) or t(16;16): a study of the German-Austrian AML Study Group (AML SG). *Blood.* 2013; 121:170–7. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2012-05-431486 [PubMed: 23115274]
124. Jourdan E, Boissel N, Chevret S, Delabesse E, Renneville A, Cornillet P, et al. Prospective evaluation of gene mutations and minimal residual disease in patients with core binding factor

acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2013; 121:2213–23. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2012-10-462879 [PubMed: 23321257]

125. Falini B, Nicoletti I, Martelli MF, Mecucci C. Acute myeloid leukemia carrying cytoplasmic/mutated nucleophosmin (NPMc+ AML): biologic and clinical features. *Blood*. 2006; 109:874–85. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2006-07-012252 [PubMed: 17008539]
126. Döhner K, Schlenk RF, Habdank M, Scholl C, Rücker FG, Corbacioglu A, et al. Mutant nucleophosmin (NPM1) predicts favorable prognosis in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: interaction with other gene mutations. *Blood*. 2005; 106:3740–6. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-05-2164 [PubMed: 16051734]
127. Verhaak RGW, Goudswaard CS, van Putten W, Bijl MA, Sanders MA, Hagens W, et al. Mutations in nucleophosmin (NPM1) in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): association with other gene abnormalities and previously established gene expression signatures and their favorable prognostic significance. *Blood*. 2005; 106:3747–54. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-05-2168 [PubMed: 16109776]
128. Schnittger S, Schoch C, Kern W, Mecucci C, Tschulik C, Martelli MF, et al. Nucleophosmin gene mutations are predictors of favorable prognosis in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal karyotype. *Blood*. 2005; 106:3733–9. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-06-2248 [PubMed: 16076867]
129. PISCIOTTA AV, SCHULZ EJ. Fibrinolytic purpura in acute leukemia. *Am J Med*. 1955; 19:824–8. [PubMed: 13268484]
130. Huang ME, Ye YC, Chen SR, Chai JR, Lu JX, Zhao L, et al. Use of all-trans retinoic acid in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1988; 72:567–72. [PubMed: 3165295]
131. Larson RA, Kondo K, Vardiman JW, Butler AE, Golomb HM, Rowley JD. Evidence for a 15;17 translocation in every patient with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Am J Med*. 1984; 76:827–41. [PubMed: 6586073]
132. Sanz MA, Grimwade D, Tallman MS, Lowenberg B, Fenaux P, Estey EH, et al. Management of acute promyelocytic leukemia: recommendations from an expert panel on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. *Blood*. 2009; 113:1875–91. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2008-04-150250 [PubMed: 18812465]
133. Orfao A, Chillón MC, Bortoluci AM, López-Berges MC, García-Sanz R, Gonzalez M, et al. The flow cytometric pattern of CD34, CD15 and CD13 expression in acute myeloblastic leukemia is highly characteristic of the presence of PML-RARalpha gene rearrangements. *Haematologica*. 1999; 84:405–12. [PubMed: 10329918]
134. Lo Coco F, Diverio D, Falini B, Biondi A, Nervi C, Pelicci PG. Genetic diagnosis and molecular monitoring in the management of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1999; 94:12–22. [PubMed: 10381493]
135. Warrell RP, de Thé H, Wang ZY, Degos L. Acute promyelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 1993; 329:177–89. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199307153290307 [PubMed: 8515790]
136. Cortes JE, Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Robertson LE, Koller C, Hirsh-Ginsberg C, et al. All-trans retinoic acid followed by chemotherapy for salvage of refractory or relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer*. 1994; 73:2946–52. [PubMed: 8199992]
137. Soignet S, Fleischauer A, Polyak T, Heller G, Warrell RP. All-trans retinoic acid significantly increases 5-year survival in patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia: long-term follow-up of the New York study. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 1997; 40(Suppl):S25–9. [PubMed: 9272130]
138. Mandelli F, Diverio D, Avvisati G, Luciano A, Barbui T, Bernasconi C, et al. Molecular remission in PML/RAR alpha-positive acute promyelocytic leukemia by combined all-trans retinoic acid and idarubicin (AIDA) therapy. Gruppo Italiano-Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto and Associazione Italiana di Ematologia ed Oncologia Pe. *Blood*. 1997; 90:1014–21. [PubMed: 9242531]
139. Shen ZX, Chen GQ, Ni JH, Li XS, Xiong SM, Qiu QY, et al. Use of arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL): II. Clinical efficacy and pharmacokinetics in relapsed patients. *Blood*. 1997; 89:3354–60. [PubMed: 9129042]
140. Soignet SL, Maslak P, Wang ZG, Jhanwar S, Calleja E, Dardashti LJ, et al. Complete remission after treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with arsenic trioxide. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 339:1341–8. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199811053391901 [PubMed: 9801394]

141. Lazo G, Kantarjian H, Estey E, Thomas D, O'Brien S, Cortes J. Use of arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) in the treatment of patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia: the M. D. Anderson experience. *Cancer*. 2003; 97:2218–24. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.11314 [PubMed: 12712474]
142. Lo-Coco F, Avvisati G, Vignetti M, Thiede C, Orlando SM, Iacobelli S, et al. Retinoic acid and arsenic trioxide for acute promyelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 369:111–21. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300874 [PubMed: 23841729]
143. Ma H, Yang J. Insights into the All- trans -Retinoic Acid and Arsenic Trioxide Combination Treatment for Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia: A Meta-Analysis. *Acta Haematol*. 2015; 134:101–8. DOI: 10.1159/000369242 [PubMed: 25925330]
144. Aribi A, Kantarjian HM, Estey EH, Koller CA, Thomas DA, Kornblau SM, et al. Combination therapy with arsenic trioxide, all-trans retinoic acid, and gemtuzumab ozogamicin in recurrent acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer*. 2007; 109:1355–9. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22524 [PubMed: 17326049]
145. Ravandi F, Estey E, Jones D, Faderl S, O'Brien S, Fiorentino J, et al. Effective treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-trans-retinoic acid, arsenic trioxide, and gemtuzumab ozogamicin. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27:504–10. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2008.18.6130 [PubMed: 19075265]
146. Lo Coco F, Ammatuna E, Noguera N. Treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with gemtuzumab ozogamicin. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol*. 2006; 4:57–62. 76–7. [PubMed: 16562371]
147. Tsimberidou A-M, Kantarjian H, Keating MJ, Estey E. Optimizing treatment for elderly patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia: is it time to replace chemotherapy with all-trans retinoic acid and arsenic trioxide? *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2006; 47:2282–8. DOI: 10.1080/10428190600807178 [PubMed: 17107899]
148. Breccia M, Lo-Coco F. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin for the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia: mechanisms of action and resistance, safety and efficacy. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2011; 11:225–34. DOI: 10.1517/14712598.2011.543895 [PubMed: 21142804]
149. Lengfelder E, Haferlach C, Saussele S, Haferlach T, Schultheis B, Schnittger S, et al. High dose ara-C in the treatment of newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia: long-term results of the German AMLCG. *Leukemia*. 2009; 23:2248–58. DOI: 10.1038/leu.2009.183 [PubMed: 19741727]
150. Sanz MA, Montesinos P, Rayón C, Holowiecka A, de la Serna J, Milone G, et al. Risk-adapted treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia based on all-trans retinoic acid and anthracycline with addition of cytarabine in consolidation therapy for high-risk patients: further improvements in treatment outcome. *Blood*. 2010; 115:5137–46. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2010-01-266007 [PubMed: 20393132]
151. Kelaidi C, Adès L, Fenaux P. Treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with high white cell blood counts. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis*. 2011; 3:e2011038.doi: 10.4084/MJHID.2011.038 [PubMed: 22084652]
152. Gilliland DG, Griffin JD. The roles of FLT3 in hematopoiesis and leukemia. *Blood*. 2002; 100:1532–42. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2002-02-0492 [PubMed: 12176867]
153. Serve H, Flesch K, Serve S, Fenski R, Berdel WE. Expression and function of Flt3/flk2 in human tumor cell lines. *Int J Oncol*. 1999; 14:765–70. [PubMed: 10087327]
154. Yanada M, Matsuo K, Suzuki T, Kiyoi H, Naoe T. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication and tyrosine kinase domain mutations for acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis. *Leukemia*. 2005; 19:1345–9. DOI: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403838 [PubMed: 15959528]
155. Daver N, Liu Dumlao T, Ravandi F, Pierce S, Borthakur G, Pemmaraju N, et al. Effect of NPM1 and FLT3 mutations on the outcomes of elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia receiving standard chemotherapy. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2013; 13:435–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.clml.2013.02.021 [PubMed: 23763915]
156. Li W, Zhang L, Huang L, Mi Y, Wang J. Meta-analysis for the potential application of FLT3-TKD mutations as prognostic indicator in non-promyelocytic AML. *Leuk Res*. 2012; 36:186–91. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2011.08.014 [PubMed: 21907407]

157. Santos FPS, Jones D, Qiao W, Cortes JE, Ravandi F, Estey EE, et al. Prognostic value of FLT3 mutations among different cytogenetic subgroups in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer*. 2011; 117:2145–55. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.25670 [PubMed: 21523727]
158. Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Frew ME, Harrison G, Langabeer SE, Belton AA, et al. The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information to cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United King. *Blood*. 2001; 98:1752–9. [PubMed: 11535508]
159. Thiede C, Steudel C, Mohr B, Schaich M, Schäkel U, Platzbecker U, et al. Analysis of FLT3-activating mutations in 979 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: association with FAB subtypes and identification of subgroups with poor prognosis. *Blood*. 2002; 99:4326–35. [PubMed: 12036858]
160. Pemmaraju N, Kantarjian H, Andreeff M, Cortes J, Ravandi F. Investigational FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 inhibitors in treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2014; 23:943–54. DOI: 10.1517/13543784.2014.911839
161. Sudhindra A, Smith CC. FLT3 inhibitors in AML: are we there yet? *Curr Hematol Malig Rep*. 2014; 9:174–85. DOI: 10.1007/s11899-014-0203-8 [PubMed: 24682858]
162. Ostronoff F, Estey E. The role of quizartinib in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2013; 22:1659–69. DOI: 10.1517/13543784.2013.842973
163. Kiyoi H. FLT3 INHIBITORS: RECENT ADVANCES AND PROBLEMS FOR CLINICAL APPLICATION. *Nagoya J Med Sci*. 2015; 77:7–17. [PubMed: 25797966]
164. Zhang W, Konopleva M, Shi Y, McQueen T, Harris D, Ling X, et al. Mutant FLT3: a direct target of sorafenib in acute myelogenous leukemia. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2008; 100:184–98. DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djm328 [PubMed: 18230792]
165. Röllig C, Brandts C, Shaid S, Hentrich M, Krämer A, Junghanß C, et al. Survey and analysis of the efficacy and prescription pattern of sorafenib in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2012; 53:1062–7. DOI: 10.3109/10428194.2011.637210 [PubMed: 22054287]
166. Ravandi F, Cortes JE, Jones D, Faderl S, Garcia-Manero G, Konopleva MY, et al. Phase I/II study of combination therapy with sorafenib, idarubicin, and cytarabine in younger patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010; 28:1856–62. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.25.4888 [PubMed: 20212254]
167. Cortes JE, Kantarjian H, Foran JM, Ghirdaladze D, Zodelava M, Borthakur G, et al. Phase I study of quizartinib administered daily to patients with relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia irrespective of FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3-internal tandem duplication status. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31:3681–7. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.48.8783 [PubMed: 24002496]
168. Ravandi F, Alattar ML, Grunwald MR, Rudek MA, Rajkhowa T, Richie MA, et al. Phase 2 study of azacytidine plus sorafenib in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and FLT-3 internal tandem duplication mutation. *Blood*. 2013; 121:4655–62. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-01-480228 [PubMed: 23613521]
169. Levis M, Ravandi F, Wang ES, Baer MR, Perl A, Coutre S, et al. Results from a randomized trial of salvage chemotherapy followed by lestaurtinib for patients with FLT3 mutant AML in first relapse. *Blood*. 2011; 117:3294–301. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2010-08-301796 [PubMed: 21270442]
170. Fischer T, Stone RM, Deangelo DJ, Galinsky I, Estey E, Lanza C, et al. Phase IIB trial of oral Midostaurin (PKC412), the FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 receptor (FLT3) and multi-targeted kinase inhibitor, in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome with either wild-type or mutated FLT3. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010; 28:4339–45. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.9678 [PubMed: 20733134]
171. Stone RM, Fischer T, Paquette R, Schiller G, Schiffer CA, Ehninger G, et al. Phase IB study of the FLT3 kinase inhibitor midostaurin with chemotherapy in younger newly diagnosed adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2012; 26:2061–8. DOI: 10.1038/leu.2012.115 [PubMed: 22627678]
172. Daver N, Cortes J, Ravandi F, Patel KP, Burger JA, Konopleva M, et al. Secondary mutations as mediators of resistance to targeted therapy in leukemia. *Blood*. 2015; 125:3236–45. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2014-10-605808 [PubMed: 25795921]

173. Fathi AT. Emergence of crenolanib for FLT3-mutant AML. *Blood*. 2013; 122:3547–8. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-10-528992 [PubMed: 24263951]
174. Smith CC, Lasater EA, Lin KC, Wang Q, McCreery MQ, Stewart WK, et al. Crenolanib is a selective type I pan-FLT3 inhibitor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014; 111:5319–24. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1320661111 [PubMed: 24623852]
175. Galanis A, Ma H, Rajkhowa T, Ramachandran A, Small D, Cortes J, et al. Crenolanib is a potent inhibitor of FLT3 with activity against resistance-conferring point mutants. *Blood*. 2014; 123:94–100. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-10-529313 [PubMed: 24227820]
176. Gale RE, Green C, Allen C, Mead AJ, Burnett AK, Hills RK, et al. The impact of FLT3 internal tandem duplication mutant level, number, size, and interaction with NPM1 mutations in a large cohort of young adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2008; 111:2776–84. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2007-08-109090 [PubMed: 17957027]
177. Pratz KW, Sato T, Murphy KM, Stine A, Rajkhowa T, Levis M. FLT3-mutant allelic burden and clinical status are predictive of response to FLT3 inhibitors in AML. *Blood*. 2010; 115:1425–32. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-09-242859 [PubMed: 20007803]
178. How J, Sykes J, Gupta V, Yee KWL, Schimmer AD, Schuh AC, et al. Influence of FLT3-internal tandem duplication allele burden and white blood cell count on the outcome in patients with intermediate-risk karyotype acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer*. 2012; 118:6110–7. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.27683 [PubMed: 22736495]
179. Ciurea SO, Zhang M-J, Bacigalupo AA, Bashey A, Appelbaum FR, Aljotawi OS, et al. Haploidentical transplant with post-transplant cyclophosphamide versus matched unrelated donor transplant for acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2015; 126:1033–40. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2015-04-639831 [PubMed: 26130705]
180. Geiger TL, Rubnitz JE. New approaches for the immunotherapy of acute myeloid leukemia. *Discov Med*. 2015; 19:275–84. [PubMed: 25977190]
181. Romagné F, Vivier E. Natural killer cell-based therapies. *F1000 Med Rep*. 2011; 3:9.doi: 10.3410/M3-9 [PubMed: 21654924]
182. Park Y, Kim DS, Lee S, Lee SR, Sung HJ, Choi CW, et al. High-Dose Cytarabine Consolidation (1.5 g/m2) Might Have Shown a Better Outcomes Than Intermediate-Dose Cytarabine (1.0 g/m2) Combined With Anthracyclines In AML Patients Who Had Achieved Complete Remissions In The First Induction by Standard 3+7 Regimen. *Blood*. 2013; 122:2692.
183. Löwenberg B. Sense and nonsense of high-dose cytarabine for acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2013; 121:26–8. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2012-07-444851 [PubMed: 23287624]
184. Koreth J, Schlenk R, Kopecky KJ, Honda S, Sierra J, Djulbegovic BJ, et al. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective clinical trials. *JAMA*. 2009; 301:2349–61. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.813 [PubMed: 19509382]
185. Schlenk RF, Benner A, Krauter J, Büchner T, Sauerland C, Ehninger G, et al. Individual patient data-based meta-analysis of patients aged 16 to 60 years with core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia: a survey of the German Acute Myeloid Leukemia Intergroup. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 22:3741–50. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.03.012 [PubMed: 15289486]
186. Cornelissen JJ, van Putten WLJ, Verdonck LF, Theobald M, Jacky E, Daenen SMG, et al. Results of a HOVON/SAKK donor versus no-donor analysis of myeloablative HLA-identical sibling stem cell transplantation in first remission acute myeloid leukemia in young and middle-aged adults: benefits for whom? *Blood*. 2007; 109:3658–66. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2006-06-025627 [PubMed: 17213292]
187. Yanada M, Matsuo K, Emi N, Naoe T. Efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation depends on cytogenetic risk for acute myeloid leukemia in first disease remission: a metaanalysis. *Cancer*. 2005; 103:1652–8. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.20945 [PubMed: 15742336]
188. Schlenk RF, Pasquini MC, Pérez WS, Zhang M-J, Krauter J, Antin JH, et al. HLA-identical sibling allogeneic transplants versus chemotherapy in acute myelogenous leukemia with t(8;21) in first complete remission: collaborative study between the German AML Intergroup and CIBMTR. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2008; 14:187–96. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2007.10.006 [PubMed: 18215779]

189. Oran B, Jimenez AM, De Lima M, Popat UR, Bassett R, Andersson BS, et al. Age and Modified European LeukemiaNet Classification to Predict Transplant Outcomes: An Integrated Approach for Acute Myelogenous Leukemia Patients Undergoing Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2015; doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.03.023.
190. Guizèze R, Cornillet-Lefebvre P, Lioure B, Blanchet O, Pigneux A, Recher C, et al. Role of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation according to the NPM1/FLT3-ITD molecular status for cytogenetically normal AML patients: a GOELAMS study. *Am J Hematol*. 2012; 87:1052–6. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23311 [PubMed: 22911473]
191. Bloomfield CD, Lawrence D, Byrd JC, Carroll A, Pettenati MJ, Tantravahi R, et al. Frequency of prolonged remission duration after high-dose cytarabine intensification in acute myeloid leukemia varies by cytogenetic subtype. *Cancer Res*. 1998; 58:4173–9. [PubMed: 9751631]
192. Basara N, Schulze A, Wedding U, Mohren M, Gerhardt A, Junghanss C, et al. Early related or unrelated haematopoietic cell transplantation results in higher overall survival and leukaemia-free survival compared with conventional chemotherapy in high-risk acute myeloid leukaemia patients in first complete remission. *Leukemia*. 2009; 23:635–40. DOI: 10.1038/leu.2008.352 [PubMed: 19151786]
193. Tallman MS, Dewald GW, Gandham S, Logan BR, Keating A, Lazarus HM, et al. Impact of cytogenetics on outcome of matched unrelated donor hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first or second complete remission. *Blood*. 2007; 110:409–17. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2006-10-043299 [PubMed: 17374741]
194. Sorror ML, Maris MB, Storb R, Baron F, Sandmaier BM, Maloney DG, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)-specific comorbidity index: a new tool for risk assessment before allogeneic HCT. *Blood*. 2005; 106:2912–9. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-05-2004 [PubMed: 15994282]
195. Sorror ML, Storb RF, Sandmaier BM, Maziarz RT, Pulsipher MA, Maris MB, et al. Comorbidity-age index: a clinical measure of biologic age before allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014; 32:3249–56. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.53.8157 [PubMed: 25154831]
196. Barba P, Piñana JL, Martino R, Valcárcel D, Amorós A, Sureda A, et al. Comparison of two pretransplant predictive models and a flexible HCT-CI using different cut off points to determine low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups: the flexible HCT-CI Is the best predictor of NRM and OS in a population of patients undergoing a. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2010; 16:413–20. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2009.11.008 [PubMed: 19922807]
197. Patel MI, Ma Y, Mitchell BS, Rhoads KF. Age and genetics: how do prognostic factors at diagnosis explain disparities in acute myeloid leukemia? *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 38:159–64. DOI: 10.1097/COC.0b013e31828d7536 [PubMed: 23608826]
198. Fattoum J, Cannas G, Elhamri M, Tigaud I, Plesa A, Heiblig M, et al. Effect of Age on Treatment Decision-Making in Elderly Patients With Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2015; doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2015.02.022.
199. Farag SS, Archer KJ, Mrózek K, Ruppert AS, Carroll AJ, Vardiman JW, et al. Pretreatment cytogenetics add to other prognostic factors predicting complete remission and long-term outcome in patients 60 years of age or older with acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B 8461. *Blood*. 2006; 108:63–73. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-11-4354 [PubMed: 16522815]
200. Appelbaum FR, Gundacker H, Head DR, Slovak ML, Willman CL, Godwin JE, et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2006; 107:3481–5. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-09-3724 [PubMed: 16455952]
201. Fröhling S, Schlenk RF, Kayser S, Morhardt M, Benner A, Döhner K, et al. Cytogenetics and age are major determinants of outcome in intensively treated acute myeloid leukemia patients older than 60 years: results from AMLSG trial AML HD98-B. *Blood*. 2006; 108:3280–8. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2006-04-014324 [PubMed: 16840728]
202. Gardin C, Turlure P, Fagot T, Thomas X, Terre C, Contentin N, et al. Postremission treatment of elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission after intensive induction chemotherapy: results of the multicenter randomized Acute Leukemia French Association (ALFA) 9803 trial. *Blood*. 2007; 109:5129–35. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2007-02-069666 [PubMed: 17341661]

203. Kantarjian HM, Thomas XG, Dmoszynska A, Wierzbowska A, Mazur G, Mayer J, et al. Multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase III trial of decitabine versus patient choice, with physician advice, of either supportive care or low-dose cytarabine for the treatment of older patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012; 30:2670–7. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.38.9429 [PubMed: 22689805]
204. Fenaux P, Mufti GJ, Hellström-Lindberg E, Santini V, Gattermann N, Germing U, et al. Azacitidine prolongs overall survival compared with conventional care regimens in elderly patients with low bone marrow blast count acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:562–9. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.8329 [PubMed: 20026804]
205. Issa J-PJ, Roboz G, Rizzieri D, Jabbour E, Stock W, O'Connell C, et al. Safety and tolerability of guadecitabine (SGI-110) in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukaemia: a multicentre, randomised, dose-escalation phase 1 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015; doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00038-8.
206. Daver N, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Phase I/II Study of Vosaroxin and Decitabine in Newly Diagnosed Older Patients (pts) with Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and High Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS). *Blood.* 2014; 124 Abst 388. n.d.
207. Kuendgen A, Germing U. Emerging treatment strategies for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in the elderly. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2009; 35:97–120. DOI: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2008.09.001 [PubMed: 18951721]
208. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Kneba M, Götze K, Hartmann F, Del Valle F, et al. Gene mutations and response to treatment with all-trans retinoic acid in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Results from the AMLSG Trial AML HD98B. *Haematologica.* 2009; 94:54–60. DOI: 10.3324/haematol.13378 [PubMed: 19059939]
209. Burnett AK, Milligan D, Prentice AG, Goldstone AH, McMullin MF, Hills RK, et al. A comparison of low-dose cytarabine and hydroxyurea with or without all-trans retinoic acid for acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome in patients not considered fit for intensive treatment. *Cancer.* 2007; 109:1114–24. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22496 [PubMed: 17315155]
210. Menzin J, Lang K, Earle CC, Kerney D, Mallick R. The outcomes and costs of acute myeloid leukemia among the elderly. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002; 162:1597–603. [PubMed: 12123403]
211. Appelbaum FR, Kopecky KJ, Tallman MS, Slovak ML, Gundacker HM, Kim HT, et al. The clinical spectrum of adult acute myeloid leukaemia associated with core binding factor translocations. *Br J Haematol.* 2006; 135:165–73. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2006.06276.x [PubMed: 16939487]
212. Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Giles F, Faderl S, Jabbour E, et al. Results of intensive chemotherapy in 998 patients age 65 years or older with acute myeloid leukemia or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome: predictive prognostic models for outcome. *Cancer.* 2006; 106:1090–8. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.21723 [PubMed: 16435386]
213. Sekeres MA, Stone RM, Zahrieh D, Neuberg D, Morrison V, De Angelo DJ, et al. Decision-making and quality of life in older adults with acute myeloid leukemia or advanced myelodysplastic syndrome. *Leukemia.* 2004; 18:809–16. DOI: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403289 [PubMed: 14762444]
214. Deschler B, de Witte T, Mertelsmann R, Lübbert M. Treatment decision-making for older patients with high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myeloid leukemia: problems and approaches. *Haematologica.* 2006; 91:1513–22. [PubMed: 17082009]
215. Löwenberg B, Beck J, Graux C, van Putten W, Schouten HC, Verdonck LF, et al. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin as postremission treatment in AML at 60 years of age or more: results of a multicenter phase 3 study. *Blood.* 2010; 115:2586–91. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-10-246470 [PubMed: 20103782]
216. Tassara M, Döhner K, Brossart P, Held G, Götze K, Horst H-A, et al. Valproic acid in combination with all-trans retinoic acid and intensive therapy for acute myeloid leukemia in older patients. *Blood.* 2014; 123:4027–36. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-12-546283 [PubMed: 24797300]
217. Yang H, Bueso-Ramos C, DiNardo C, Estecio MR, Davanlou M, Geng Q-R, et al. Expression of PD-L1, PD-L2, PD-1 and CTLA4 in myelodysplastic syndromes is enhanced by treatment with hypomethylating agents. *Leukemia.* 2014; 28:1280–8. DOI: 10.1038/leu.2013.355 [PubMed: 24270737]

218. Lichtenegger FS, Krupka C, Köhnke T, Subklewe M. Immunotherapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Semin Hematol.* 2015; 52:207–14. DOI: 10.1053/j.seminhematol.2015.03.006 [PubMed: 26111468]
219. Stein EM, Altman JK, Collins R, DeAngelo DJ, Fathi AT, Flinn I, et al. AG-221, an Oral, Selective, First-in-Class, Potent Inhibitor of the IDH2 Mutant Metabolic Enzyme, Induces Durable Remissions in a Phase I Study in Patients with IDH2 Mutation Positive Advanced Hematologic Malignancies. *Blood.* 2014; 124:115.
220. Konopleva M, Pollyea DA, Potluri J, Chyla BJ, Busman T, McKeegan E, et al. A Phase 2 Study of ABT-199 (GDC-0199) in Patients with Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML). *Blood.* 2014; 124:118.
221. Yee K, Martinelli G, Vey N, Dickinson MJ, Seiter K, Assouline S, et al. Phase 1/1b Study of RG7388, a Potent MDM2 Antagonist, in Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML) Patients (Pts). *Blood.* 2014; 124:116.

Biographies

Gevorg Tamamyian, MD, MSc, PhD is a lecturer of oncology at the Department of Oncology of Yerevan State Medical University (YSMU) and a pediatric hematologist/oncologist at the Clinic of Chemotherapy of Muratsan Hospital Complex of YSMU (Yerevan, Armenia). Previously he was a postdoctoral fellow at the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, TX, USA).

Tapan Kadia, MD is an associate professor the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Farhad Ravandi, MD is a professor of medicine at the Department of Leukemia and chief of section of Developmental Therapeutics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Gautam Borthakur, MD is an associate professor at the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Jorge Cortes, MD is a deputy chairman and professor of medicine at the Department of Leukemia, Chair of AML Sections, D.B. Lane Cancer Research Distinguished Professor, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Elias Jabbour, MD is an associate professor at the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Naval Daver, MD is an assistant professor at the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Maro Ohanian, DO is an assistant professor at the Department of Leukemia of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Hagop Kantarjian, MD is a chairman and professor of medicine at the Department of Leukemia, Samsung Distinguished University Chair in Cancer Medicine, associate vice-president for Global Academic Affairs, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Marina Konopleva, MD, PhD is a professor of medicine at the Department of Leukemia and Department of Stem Cell Transplantation at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- AML is a remarkably heterogeneous disease
- Novel treatment strategies improved outcomes in selected genetic subsets of AML
- Higher dose Ara-C based regimens showed to be superior over “7+3” regimens
- Most centers still use “7+3” regimen and greater awareness will improve the outcome

Summary

Further dissemination of knowledge about the superiority of HiDAC and nucleoside analogue-based induction regimens over the 7+3 regimens, the discovery of targetable molecular abnormalities and recent studies of targeted therapies (GO, FLT3 inhibitors, IDH inhibitors, and epigenetic therapies), future use of checkpoint inhibitors and other immune therapies such as CAR-T cells, and maintenance strategies based on the MRD evaluation represent novel and exciting clinical leads aimed to improve the outcomes of AML in the near future.

Figure 1.
Predisposing factors of AML

Figure 2. Overall survival of patients classified by the ELN and MRC classification systems
Overall survival of patients <60 years (N=487) classified by the ELN (A) and MRC (B) classification systems. Overall survival of patients ≥ 60 years (N=539) classified by the ELN (C) and MRC (D) classification systems. FAV, favorable; INT, intermediate; ADV, adverse (adopted from Kadia T, et al. Br J Haematol 2015 Feb 25)⁹⁸

Figure 3. Potential targets and targeted therapies in adults AML

Abbreviations: GO, gemtuzumab ozagomicin; PD1, programmed cell death 1; PDL-1, programmed death-ligand 1; LAG-3, lymphocyte-activation gene 3; IDH (1,2), isocitrate dehydrogenase; MDM2, mouse double minute 2 homolog; MLL, mixed lineage leukemia; CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; DOT1L, DOT1-like histone H3 methyltransferase; BET, Bromodomain and extraterminal domain family; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; MEK, mitogen/extracellular signal-regulated kinase; TET2, Tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2; FLT3, fms-related tyrosine kinase 3; DNMT3A, DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha

Table 1

Clinical manifestations of acute myeloid leukemia

Pancytopenia	Blast proliferation
Anemia	hepatomegaly
pale	splenomegaly
fatigue	lymphadenopathy
weakness	sternal tenderness
shortness of breath	gingivae, skin, soft tissue, meninges infiltration (M4, M5)
Leukopenia	Joint involvement
infection	CNS involvement
fever	Leukostasis
Thrombocytopenia	dizziness
bruising	blurred vision
bleeding	headaches
Other	confusion
DIC (in APL)	retinal hemorrhage
Acute neurophilic dermatosis (Sweet syndrome)	cranial nerve palsies
	altered mental status
	dyspnea
	chest pain
	congestive heart failure
	priapism
	Tumor lysis
	fever
	renal failure

Table 2

Comparison of the Revised MRC, ELN and SWOG Risk Classification Systems of AML

Groups	MRC ₂₀₁₀	ELN		SWOG
Favorable	t(15;17)(q22;q21) t(8;21)(q22;q22) inv(16)(p13;q22)/t(16;16)(p13;q22)	t(8;21)(q22;q22)(inv(16)/t(16;16) (p12;q22) NPM1+ and FLT3-ITD-WT (NK) Mutated CEBPA (NK)		t(15;17), t(8;21) inv(16)/t(16;16)/del(16q)
Intermediate	Those cytogenetic abn. not classified as favorable or adverse	Int.1	NPM1+ & FLT3-ITD + (NK) NPM1-WT & FLT3-ITD + (NK) NPM1-WT & FLT3-ITD-WT	Normal +8, +6, -Y, del(12p)
		Int.2	t(9;11)(p22;q23) cytogenetic abn. not classified as favorable or adverse	
Adverse	abn(3q)(excluding t(3;5)(q21~25;q31~35), inv(3)/t(3;3)(q21;q26) add(5q), del(5q), -5, -7, add(7q)/del(7q) t(6;11)(q27;q23), t(10;11)(p11~13;q23), t(11q23) [excl. t(9;11) (p21~22;q23) and t(11;19) (q23;p13)], t(9;22)(q34;q11) -17/abn(17p) complex (4 unrelated abnormalities)	Inv(3)/t(3;3)(q21;q23) t(6;9)(p23;q34) t(v;11)(v;23), MLL rearranged -5 or del(5q) -7 Abn(17p) complex (3 unrelated abnormalities)		abn(3q) del(5q)/-5, -7/del(7q) t(6;9), t(9;22), 9q, 11q, 20q, 21q 17p complex (3 unrelated abnormalities)
Unknown				All other abnormalities

Table 3

Examples of “7+3” induction regimens for AML in adults

Ara-C	Anthracycline	References
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 45 mg/m ² days 1–3	J Clin. Oncol. 1992 Jul; 10(7):1103–11
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 50 mg/m ² days 1–3	J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(27):3360–8
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 90 mg/m ² days 1–3	N Engl J Med. 2009;361:1249–59
200 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 45 mg/m ² days 1–3	N Engl J Med. 2009;361(13):1235–48
200 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 60 mg/m ² days 1–3	Leukemia 2004;18(5):989–97
200 mg/m ² days 1–7	DNR 90 mg/m ² days 1–3	J Clin Oncol. 1992 Jul;10(7):1103–11
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	IDA 12 mg/m ² days 1–3	Ann Hematol. 2000;79(10):533–42
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	IDA 13 mg/m ² days 1–3	Blood 1992;79(2):313–9
100 mg/m ² days 1–7	MTZ 12 mg/m ² days 1–3	Blood 2003;102:175a (abst.611)