Published in final edited form as:

Chemistry. 2011 September 05; 17(37): 10222–10225. doi:10.1002/chem.201101894.

Efficient Preparation and Biological Evaluation of a Novel Multivalency Bifunctional Chelator for ^{64}Cu Radiopharmaceuticals

Shuanglong Liu, Ph.D.^a, Zibo Li, Ph.D.^a, Li-Peng Yap, Ph.D.^a, Chiun-Wei Huang, Ph.D.^a, Ryan Park, M.S.^a, and Peter S. Conti, M.D., Ph.D.^{*,a}

^aMolecular Imaging Center, Department of Radiology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1510 San Pablo Street, Room #350, Los Angeles, California 90033, USA

Keywords

Bifunctional chelator; Sarcophagine; RGD; Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$; ^{64}Cu

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a powerful imaging technique that provides *in vivo* information on the distribution of radiolabeled biomolecules. For example, 2-deoxy-2- ^{18}F -fluoro-D-glucose (^{18}F -FDG) has successfully made PET a routine clinical practice in cancer diagnose, patient stratification, and monitoring the treatment of cancer patients.^[1] The advancement of PET depends on the development of new radiotracers that will complement ^{18}F -FDG. Although PET nuclides ^{11}C ($t_{1/2} = 20.4$ min) and ^{18}F ($t_{1/2} = 109.7$ min) have been widely used for the development of PET imaging probes, their short half-lives set a strong limitation for evaluating bioactive ligands with long *in vivo* circulation time. ^{64}Cu ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ h) decays by β^+ (20%) and β^- emission (37%), as well as electron capture (43%), making it well suited for radiolabeling proteins, antibodies and peptides, both for PET imaging (β^+) and therapy (β^+ and β^-).^[2] The low β^+ -energy also promises a good resolution of down to 1 mm in PET images and guarantees minimal radiation doses to the patients during imaging scans.^[3]

Because direct addition of ^{64}Cu into a targeting ligand (such as peptides and antibodies) is not practical, significant efforts have been devoted to the development of bifunctional chelators (BFCs) for ^{64}Cu . Currently, 1,4,7,10-tetra-azacyclododecane-*N,N,N',N''*-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) is one of the most widely used chelators for ^{64}Cu labeling. However, its moderate *in vivo* stability would increase the non-targeted organ radiation dosage and lower the tumor-to-nontumor contrast.^[4, 5] ^{64}Cu -Labeled radiopharmaceuticals with improved stability have been reported including 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA) derivatives,^[6–7] cross-bridged 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-1,4,8,11-tetraacetic acid (CB-TETA),^[5, 8] and 1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (CB-TE2A) derivatives.^[9–11] For these BFCs, relatively harsh conditions such as elevated temperature were generally required for ^{64}Cu chelation. Recently, a new class of BFCs has been

synthesized based on the cage-like hexaazamacrobicyclic sarcophagine (denoted as “Sar”, compound **2** in Scheme 1). The resulting ^{64}Cu complexes demonstrated great *in vivo* stability and efficient radiolabeling efficiency under mild conditions.^[12–16] By modifying one of the inert primary amines of sarcophagine, a carboxyl functionalized Sar (AmBaSar, Scheme 1) has been successfully developed in our laboratory.^[12–14] As sarcophagine has two relatively inert primary amines on either end of its cage, we embarked on a project to develop novel Sar cage derivatives with multifunctional groups introduced to both ends. In the last decade, numerous studies have demonstrated that the multimer of a bioactive ligand in one single scaffold can improve both the cell-specific targeting efficacy and the tumor targeting efficiency by several orders of magnitude.^[17] In our first chelator design, we intended to introduce two pendant carboxyl groups at either end of the Sar cage (named BaBaSar), which could be further conjugated to multiple targeting ligands via biologically stable amide bonds. In order to prove the advantage of the multifunctional Sar chelators, we chose $\alpha(\text{RGDyK})$ peptide (denoted as RGD), a well-known ligand targeting integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$, for the construction of a divalent PET imaging probe.

We first improved the functionalization approach of the Sar cage. Previously, the benzoic acid moiety was introduced to the Sar cage through a four-step procedure (condensation, reduction, demetalation, and deprotection), which also included cation exchange purification and other complicated purification procedures.^[12–14] The accumulated yield for AmBaSar was approximately 10% from compound **2**.^[12] In our initial approach, we tried to obtain BaBaSar by simply increasing the stoichiometry of methyl 4-formylbenzoate in order to introduce another benzoic acid moiety to AmBaSar. However, the synthesis became very difficult due to the multistep reactions and complicated crude compounds obtained. After testing different approaches, we found direct alkylation ($\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$) would be an efficient method for the synthesis of BaBaSar. As shown in Scheme 1, the synthesis of compound **2** followed the protocol developed in our laboratory,^[12–14] which could then be directly alkylated with 4-bromomethylbenzoic acid to afford the product 4,4'-((3,6,10,13,16,19-hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]ico-sane-1,8-diylbis(aza-nediyl))bis(methylene))dibenzoic acid (BaBaSar) in 36% yield. The monoalkylation product (AmBaSar) was also isolated in 30% yield.

After we obtained the bi-functionalized BaBaSar, its free carboxylic acids were activated with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC)/*N*-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (SNHS) and then conjugated to $\alpha(\text{RGDyK})$ in the presence of *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA). After HPLC purification, BaBaSar-RGD₂ was obtained in 78% yield (Scheme 2). The BaBaSar-RGD₂ was labeled with ^{64}Cu very efficiently in 0.1 M NH_4OAc buffer within 5 min at room temperature. The radiochemical yield (RCY) was as high as $90.7 \pm 5.1\%$ ($n = 4$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ was estimated 200–500 mCi/ μmol (5.4–13.5 GBq/ μmol). The *in vitro* stability of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ was evaluated after 1 h, 4 h, and 20 h incubation in $1\times\text{PBS}$ by radioHPLC (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Free ^{64}Cu was not detected by radioHPLC up to 20 h. These data are consistent with the previously published stability results.^[12–16] The high stability could be due to the cross-bridged and cage-like configuration of the Sar structure. We also studied the metabolic stability of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ in blood, liver, kidneys, and tumor in nude mice bearing

U87MG glioma xenografts at 1 h post injection. The intact probe was more than 95% in each examined organ by HPLC analysis (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). On the contrary, the amount of intact tracer in the blood, tumor, liver, and kidneys were only 38%, 87%, 34%, and 74% for ^{64}Cu -DOTA-RGD at 1 h post injection, respectively.^[13] These results further demonstrated the advantages of BaBaSar over DOTA in constructing ^{64}Cu -radiopharmaceuticals.

The competitive U87MG cell-binding assay (IC_{50}) was used to determine the receptor $\alpha_v\beta_3$ binding affinity of BaBaSar-RGD₂, in which ^{125}I -echistatin was employed as a $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -specific radioligand (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). The IC_{50} of RGD dimer (RGD₂) was measured as a control. Both BaBaSar-RGD₂ and RGD₂ inhibited the binding of ^{125}I -echistatin to U87MG cells in a concentration dependent manner. The IC_{50} values for BaBaSar-RGD₂, and RGD₂ were 6.0 ± 0.9 nM and 8.6 ± 1.2 nM, respectively ($n = 3$). As expected, the BaBaSar-RGD₂ showed a strong binding affinity to U87MG cells and the introduction of the BaBaSar motif had minimal effect on the integrin binding affinity of the probe.

The *in vivo* tumor-targeting property of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ was evaluated by static microPET scans at 1, 4, and 20 h after injection of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ via tail vein into 6–7 weeks old nude mice bearing U87MG tumors on the right shoulder. U87MG tumors were clearly visualized at all the time points examined (Figure 1). Region-of-interest (ROI) analysis on microPET images shows the tumor uptakes are 6.16 ± 0.88 , 6.22 ± 1.42 , and 5.54 ± 1.27 %ID/g at 1, 4, and 20 h post injection, respectively (Figure 2A). The tumor/liver, tumor/kidneys, and tumor/muscle ratios reached 2.99 ± 0.46 , 3.03 ± 1.19 , and 20.27 ± 6.16 at 20 h post injection, respectively. As a consequence, the high tumor to nontumor ratio provided good contrast for PET imaging.

It is interesting to point out that ^{64}Cu -AmBaSar-RGD₂ (the two RGDs were introduced to the same side of the Sar cage, Scheme 2) gave significantly lower tumor uptakes values ($P < 0.05$) which were 3.04 ± 0.25 , 3.15 ± 0.21 , and 2.45 ± 0.15 %ID/g at 1, 4, and 20 h post injection, respectively.^[18] The dramatic difference of these two otherwise similar structures might be due to the distance between the two RGD motifs. In the BaBaSar-RGD₂, there are 22 covalent bonds between two RGDs while there are only 5 covalent bonds between the two RGDs in the AmBaSar-RGD₂. The distance between the two cyclic RGD motifs in AmBaSar-RGD₂ is probably too short for simultaneously binding to two $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrins (Scheme 2). Since the two RGD ligands in BaBaSar-RGD₂ have much longer distance and more flexibility, it may be more able to interact with two integrin receptors in cell surface simultaneously. The much higher tumor targeting efficiency of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ also led to significantly higher tumor to nontumor ratios than those of ^{64}Cu -AmBaSar-RGD₂. For example, The tumor/liver was only 0.86 ± 0.10 at 20 h post injection for ^{64}Cu -AmBaSar-RGD₂, compared with 2.99 ± 0.46 for ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂. This difference further demonstrated the superior properties of BaBaSar in constructing ^{64}Cu radiopharmaceuticals.

Blocking experiments were performed to confirm the integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ specificity of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂. In the presence of a blocking dose of $\alpha(\text{RGDyK})$, the U87MG tumor uptake was reduced to the background level and the uptake values were 0.69 ± 0.12 , 0.29 ± 0.05 ,

and 0.13 ± 0.05 %ID/g at 1, 4, and 20 h post injection, respectively. The uptake values in most of the normal organs (e.g., liver, kidneys, and muscle) were also lower than those without co-injection of α (RGDyK) (Figure 2B).

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated that the Sar cage could be efficiently functionalized through an alkylation reaction. The cage-like BaBaSar structure demonstrated favorable ^{64}Cu labeling properties and the resulting ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ showed great stability both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The higher tumor uptake of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂ compared to its ^{64}Cu -AmBaSar-RGD₂ analog reflects the advantages of the BaBaSar scaffold. In this paper, α (RGDyK) was employed for proof of principle. In the future, two different biomarkers could be installed onto the two pedant arms of BaBaSar for constructing dual targeting probes. Furthermore, the two reactive sites of BaBaSar could be used to attach a targeting moiety on one side and an additional label (for secondary imaging modality) or therapeutic motif on the other side. We anticipate that this newly developed method will offer a novel way to construct multimodality imaging and therapeutic drugs.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the USC Department of Radiology, the Department of Energy (DE-SC0002353), the National Cancer Institute (P30CA014089), and the USC Biomedical Imaging Science Initiative.

References

1. Allen-Auerbach M, Weber WA. Oncologist. 2009; 14:369–377. [PubMed: 19357228]
2. Chen K, Cai W, Li ZB, Wang H, Chen X. Mol. Imaging Biol. 2009; 11:15–22. [PubMed: 18784964]
3. Chatziioannou A, Tai YC, Doshi N, Cherry SR. Phys. Med. Biol. 2001; 46:2899–2910. [PubMed: 11720354]
4. Niu G, Li Z, Cao Q, Chen X. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2009; 36:1510–1519. [PubMed: 19440708]
5. Boswell CA, Sun X, Niu W, Weisman GR, Wong EH, Rheingold AL, Anderson CJ. J. Med. Chem. 2004; 47:1465–1474. [PubMed: 14998334]
6. Chong HS, Mhaske S, Lin M, Bhuniya S, Song HA, Brechbiel MW, Sun X. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007; 17:6107–6110. [PubMed: 17911020]
7. Prasanphanich AF, Nanda PK, Rold TL, Ma L, Lewis MR, Garrison JC, Hoffman TJ, Sieckman GL, Figueroa SD, Smith CJ. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2007; 104:12462–12467. [PubMed: 17626788]
8. Sprague JE, Peng Y, Fiamengo AL, Woodin KS, Southwick EA, Weisman GR, Wong EH, Golen JA, Rheingold AL, Anderson CJ. J. Med. Chem. 2007; 50:2527–2535. [PubMed: 17458949]
9. Sun X, Wuest M, Weisman GR, Wong EH, Reed DP, Boswell CA, Motekaitis R, Martell AE, Welch MJ, Anderson CJ. J. Med. Chem. 2002; 45:469–477. [PubMed: 11784151]
10. Woodin KS, Heroux KJ, Boswell CA, Wong EH, Weisman GR, Niu WJ, Tomellini SA, Anderson CJ, Zakharov LN, Rheingold AL. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005:4829–4833.
11. Liu W, Hao G, Long MA, Anthony T, Hsieh JT, Sun X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009; 48:7346–7349.
12. Cai H, Fissekis J, Conti PS. Dalton Trans. 2009:5395–5400. [PubMed: 19565091]

13. Cai H, Li Z, Huang CW, Park R, Shahinian AH, Conti PS. Nucl. Med. Biol. 2010; 37:57–65. [PubMed: 20122669]
14. Cai H, Li Z, Huang CW, Shahinian AH, Wang H, Park R, Conti PS. Bioconjug. Chem. 2010; 21:1417–1424. [PubMed: 20666401]
15. Di Bartolo NM, Sargeson AM, Donlevy TM, Smith SV. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. 2001:2303–2309.
16. Voss SD, Smith SV, DiBartolo N, McIntosh LJ, Cyr EM, Bonab AA, Dearling JL, Carter EA, Fischman AJ, Treves ST, Gillies SD, Sargeson AM, Huston JS, Packard AB. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2007; 104:17489–17493. [PubMed: 17954911]
17. Haubner R, Wester HJ. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2004; 10:1439–1455. [PubMed: 15134568]
18. Cai H, Li Z, Huang CW, Park R, Conti PS. Curr. Radiopharm. 2011 Accepted.

Figure 1.

Decay-corrected whole-body microPET images of athymic female nude mice bearing U87MG tumor from a static scan at 1 h, 4 h, and 20 h after injection of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂, with or without $\alpha(\text{RGDyK})$ as blocking agent (10 mg/kg body weight). Tumors are indicated by arrows.

Figure 2. MicroPET quantification of tumors and major organs at 1 h, 2 h, and 20 h after injection of ^{64}Cu -BaBaSar-RGD₂. **A.** Without blocking agent. **B.** Coinjection with RGD as blocking agent (10mg/kg body weight).

Scheme 1.

The structure of AmBaSar and the synthetic scheme for BaBaSar. Chloride anions were omitted for clarity.

Scheme 2.

The chemical structures of RGD. The corresponding cartoon structures of ${}^{64}\text{Cu-BaBaSar-RGD}_2$ and ${}^{64}\text{Cu-AmBaSar-RGD}_2$.