Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2015 November 01; 25(21): 4937–4940. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2015.05.001.

Activity and anion inhibition studies of the α -Carbonic Anhydrase from *Thiomicrospira crunogena* XCL-2 Gammaproteobacterium

Brian P. Mahon^a, Natalia A. Díaz-Torres^a, Melissa A. Pinard^a, Chingkuang Tu^a, David N. Silverman^b, Kathleen M. Scott^c, and Robert McKenna^{a,†}

^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32610

^bDepartment of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32610

^cDepartment of Integrated Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, FL 33620, United States

Abstract

Thiomicrospira crunogena XCL-2 expresses an α -carbonic anhydrase (TcrCA). Sequence alignments reveal that TcrCA displays a high sequence identity (>30 %) relative to other α -CAs. This includes three conserved histidines that coordinate the active site zinc, a histidine proton shuttling residue, and opposing hydrophilic and hydrophobic sides that line the active site. The catalytic efficiency of TcrCA is considered moderate relative to other α -CAs ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_M = 1.1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), being a factor of ten less efficient than the most active α -CAs. TcrCA is also inhibited by anions with Cl^- , Br^- , and I^- , all showing K_i values in the millimolar range (53 – 361 mM). Hydrogen sulfide (HS^-) revealed the highest affinity for TcrCA with a K_i of 1.1 μM . It is predicted that inhibition of TcrCA by HS^- (an anion commonly found in the environment where *Thiomicrospira crunogena* is located) is a way for *Thiomicrospira crunogena* to regulate its carbon-concentrating mechanism (CCM) and thus the organism's metabolic functions. Results from this study provide preliminary insights into the role of TcrCA in the general metabolism of *Thiomicrospira crunogena*.

Graphical Abstract

[†]Corresponding author: Address: 100 Newell Dr LG-171, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, Phone/Fax: (352) 392-5696, rmckenna@ufl.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Thiomicrospira crunogena XCL-2; α -carbonic anhydrase; anions; mesophile; CO₂ hydration

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) are mainly zinc metalloenzymes that catalyze the reversible interconversion of CO₂ and water to bicarbonate and a proton.^{1–3} CAs are essential enzymes to nearly all organisms as they play significant roles in photosynthesis, CO₂ fixation and transport in plants and bacteria and are involved in physiological processes such as respiration, pH regulation, bone resorption, and the formation of gastric acid and aqueous humour in animals.^{3–9} As such, CAs have found importance in terms of drug targeting, clinical medicine, understanding plant physiology, and more recently as bio-catalytic agents for industrial CO₂ sequestration.^{4–7} There are five known evolutionarily distinct classes of CA that are classified as α , β , γ , δ , and ζ .^{8,9} The α -CAs are found mostly in mammals and are the most catalytically efficient, β -CAs are found in several plants, prokaryotes, and fungi, and γ -CAs have been isolated in several strains of bacteria and archaea that are often found in extreme environments.⁹ The δ - and ζ -CAs are typically found in diatoms and types of archaea, and despite having significant sequence disparity between other classes of CAs, they have apparent structural homology.^{10,11}

Many bacteria have evolved to express more than one class of CA with the α -, β -, and γ -class typically observed in the same organism.⁴ Many of these bacterial CAs have displayed importance in terms of understanding microbial pathogenesis and metabolism, and also as a means to isolate thermo- and pH stable CAs that can be utilized as bio-catalytic agents for industrial CO₂ sequestration.^{4,12,13} Such organisms include *Vibrio cholera*,¹⁴ which is responsible for causing cholera in humans, and those found in hot springs or hydrothermal vents such as *Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense* YO3AOP1,¹⁵ *Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense*,¹⁶ and *Thiomicrospira crunogena* XCL-2,¹² all observed to possess thermo- and pH stable CAs that can be utilized for industrial CO₂ sequestration.

Thiomicrospira crunogena XCL-2 is a sulfur-oxidizing gammaproteobacterium that is found in deep-sea hydrothermal vents and expresses four CAs from three evolutionarily distinct classes; a periplasmic α -CA, a cytoplasmic β -CA, a carboxysomal β -CA, and a γ -CA-like protein.^{17,18} *Thiomicrospira crunogena* utilizes reduced sulfur compounds as an energy source for carbon fixation and cellular maintenance.¹⁹ The deep-sea hydrothermal vents where *Thiomicrospira crunogena* is found are characterized by a harsh yet dynamic environment that alternates from bottom seawater (2°C, normoxic, CO₂ concentration =

~0.02 mM, and pH of ~8 to dilute hydrothermal fluid (2 – 35°C anoxic, highly reduced, CO₂ concentration = >1 mM, and pH of 5–8), temporally and spatially limiting the availability of nutrients accessible to these organisms.^{20,21} *Thiomicrospira crunogena* is equipped with a carbon-concentrating mechanism (CCM) that allows it to grow rapidly during bicarbonate and CO₂ scarcity in the rapidly fluctuating environment, as the cell's affinity for these compounds increase during carbon limitation.¹⁹ Previous studies in cell culture have shown that CO₂ and bicarbonate transport plays an active role in the CCM.¹⁷ Therefore, the expression of four CAs suggests a role in bicarbonate and CO₂ transport as part of the CCM.

Recently our group has determined the crystal structure of the α -CA from the gammaproteobacterium, *Thiomicrospira crunogena* XCL-2 (TcruCA; PDB ID: 4XZ5¹²). Similar to other bacterial CAs, TcruCA has a high T_M (72 °C) compared to human CA II (hCA II; 56 °C), poising its potential as a bio-catalyst for industrial CO₂ sequestration.¹² The TcruCA monomer displays the signature secondary structure of an α -CA fold with helical and loop structures present toward the surface, and a conical active site cavity comprised of mostly β -strands surrounding a tetrahedrally coordinated (by three histidine residues) active site zinc ion (Figure 1). The overall characteristics of the active site of TcruCA exhibits defined hydrophobic and hydrophilic pockets similar to most other α -CAs (Figure 1).²² This is not surprising as TcruCA shows >30% amino acid sequence identity compared to other human and bacterial α -CAs (Table 1). In addition the TcruCA monomer contains both an intramolecular disulfide bond and a compact overall structure that is postulated to contribute to its increased thermostability compared with hCA II.¹²

The kinetic rates of TcruCA were obtained by measurement of the depletion of ¹⁸O from species of CO₂ at chemical equilibrium by membrane inlet mass spectrometry as described by Tu, et al.^{23,24} TcruCA was determined to have a k_{cat}/K_M of $1.1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, (pH 7.5, 25°C) which is comparable to the other CA isozymes (both bacterial and human) and is classified to have moderate catalytic efficiency relative to the CAs with the highest activity (Table 2). Primary sequence alignments (Figure 2) show that this is expected via conservation of residues that make up the α -CA active site architecture. This includes zinc-coordinating histidines (94, 96, 119; hCA II numbering is used throughout this manuscript), the proton shuttling residue His64, and residues found in the hydrophilic side of the active site that are thought to be responsible for substrate/product entry/exit (positions 7, 62, 67, 92, and 198 – 202; Figure 2).²² The catalytic efficiency of TcruCA is comparable to human CA I (hCA I) and the α -CA found in *Vibrio cholera* (VchCA) (Table 2). In contrast, the catalytic activity of TcruCA is at least an order of magnitude lower compared to the CAs considered to have very high catalytic activity. This includes hCA II, the α -CAs from *Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense* YO3AOPI (SspCA) and *Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense* (SazCA), which has the highest known CA catalytic efficiency (Table 2).²⁵ Interestingly there appears to be no correlation between catalytic efficiency of TcruCA and primary amino acid sequence identity between the other α -CAs (Table 1). This may be due to significant differences in amino acid positions and compositions in the TcruCA active site compared to the other more active α -CAs, however a more in depth structural analysis is needed to determine this. In addition, we have recently speculated that alterations in the loop region found between residues 230 – 240 might contribute to the altered catalytic efficiency

between the TcrCA and the other CAs due to recent work performed by Boone, et al. that suggests the importance of this loop region in modulating CA activity.^{12,26}

TcrCA activity was assayed in the presence of various anions that are indigenous to the hydrothermal vent environment which include Cl^- , Br^- , HS^- , and I^- .²⁷ We predict that certain anions in the environment can act as regulators of the *Thiomicrospira crunogena* XCL-2 CCM by modulating TcrCA catalytic activity. Inhibition constants of iodide, chloride, and bromide were obtained for TcrCA using the ^{18}O -exchange mass spectroscopy^{12,28} and compared to the previously reported inhibition constants for both bacterial and human CAs (Table 2). It was shown that Cl^- and Br^- inhibited TcrCA very weakly in the high mM range (361 and 242, respectively). Compared to the other CAs, these anions had the weakest inhibition against TcrCA, except for Cl^- against hCA II, which showed a similar inhibition profile. I^- had a marginally higher affinity for TcrCA relative to Cl^- and Br^- however this anion still showed an inhibition constant in the mM range ($K_i = 53$; Table 2). Once again hCA II also showed a similar I^- inhibition profile with TcrCA, which is in contrast with SspCA, SazCA and hCA I, all of which having K_i values in the μM range (Table 2). HS^- showed the highest affinity for TcrCA with a K_i value of 1.1 μM . HS^- can be abundant in hydrothermal fluid, and is readily utilized by *Thiomicrospira crunogena* as an electron donor for its general metabolism.²⁹ Therefore this result suggests that HS^- may act as a regulating agent for the CCM of *Thiomicrospira crunogena* through inhibition of CA activity. As such, in the presence of CO_2 -rich hydrothermal fluid there is a reduced need for CA activity (and hence activation of the CCM) since there is an abundant carbon source. Alternatively, in the presence of bottom water, the *Thiomicrospira crunogena* will engage its CCM.^{19,27} Comparison of the inhibition profiles of HS^- against the other CAs indicate that it also binds within the μM range. Interestingly, HS^- shows a ~600- and ~400-fold decrease in affinity for SspCA and SazCA, respectively, compared to TcrCA. This is most likely due to the fact that both *Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense* YO3AOP1, *Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense* inhabit environments considered to be more static than deep-sea hydrothermal vents and as a result, continuously maintain high concentrations of hydrogen sulfide.^{30,31} Therefore, SspCA and SazCA need to be less sensitive to HS^- inhibition for both *Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense* YO3AOP1 and *Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense*, and are most likely only function in pH regulation.^{13,32} Similar to TcrCA, VchCA, hCA I and hCA II remain sensitive to HS^- inhibition. In this case the sensitivity of these CAs to HS^- inhibition most likely does not infer a similar environmental adaptation similar to the aforementioned hydrothermal vent dwellings organisms. Instead the observation of these CAs being inhibited by HS^- is more useful for drug design, as hCA I, II, and VchCA are all identified drug targets.^{22,33}

In conclusion we have shown that TcrCA maintains structural homology and amino acid sequence identity with other human and bacterial α -CAs which translates directly to its comparable catalytic efficiency (Table 1). Furthermore, we have shown that anions typically found in the deep-sea hydrothermal vent where *Thiomicrospira crunogena* resides, readily inhibit TcrCA. Cl^- , Br^- , and I^- , all show relatively weak inhibition of TcrCA (mid to high mM range; Table 2), which is in contrast with the other CAs except for hCA II. HS^- displayed the highest affinity for TcrCA. This result suggests that CA activity might not be essential in CO_2 -rich hydrothermal fluid since there is an abundant carbon supply. Therefore

the need for *Thiomicrospira crunogena* to activate its CCM is significantly reduced. Comparatively, the weak inhibition of HS⁻ against SspCA and SazCA, suggests that CA activity is more essential in terms of pH regulation in *Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense* YO3AOP1 and *Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense*. Results from this study provide further insight into the role of TcrCA in the metabolism of *Thiomicrospira crunogena* and how it survives in the harsh dynamic environment of the deep-sea hydrothermal vent.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by an NIH-GM25154 (RM) and NSF-MCB-0643713 (KMS) grants.

References and Notes

1. Duda, DM., McKenna, R. Handbook of Metalloproteins. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2006.
2. Domsic JF, Avvaru BS, Kim CU, Gruner SM, Agbandje-McKenna M, Silverman DN, McKenna R. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:30766–30771. [PubMed: 18768466]
3. Chegwiddden, WR., Carter, ND., Edwards, YH. The carbonic anhydrases: new horizons. 2000.
4. Frost, SC., McKenna, R. Carbonic anhydrase mechanism, regulation, links to disease, and industrial applications. Springer; Dordrecht: 2014.
5. Alvizo O, Nguyen LJ, Savile CK, Bresson JA, Lakhapatri SL, Solis EOP, Fox RJ, Broering JM, Benoit MR, Zimmerman SA, Novick SJ, Liang J, Lalonde JJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111:16436–16441. [PubMed: 25368146]
6. Mahon B, Pinard M, McKenna R. Molecules. 2015; 20:2323–2348. [PubMed: 25647573]
7. Engineer CB, Ghassemian M, Anderson JC, Peck SC, Hu H, Schroeder JJ. Nature. 2014; 513:246–250. [PubMed: 25043023]
8. Tripp BC, Smith K, Ferry JG. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:48615–48618. [PubMed: 11696553]
9. Hewett-Emmett D, Tashian RE. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 1996; 5:50–77. [PubMed: 8673298]
10. Lane TW, Morel FMM. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2000; 97:4627–4631. [PubMed: 10781068]
11. Sawaya MR, Cannon GC, Heinhorst S, Tanaka S, Williams EB, Yeates TO, Kerfeld CA. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:7546–7555. [PubMed: 16407248]
12. Diaz-Torres NA, Mahon BP, Boone CD, Pinard MA, Tu C, Ng R, Agbandje-McKenna M, Silverman DN, Scott KM, McKenna R. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2015 Submitted.
13. Capasso C, De Luca V, Carginale V, Cannio R, Rossi M. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2012; 27:892–897. [PubMed: 22803664]
14. Vullo D, Isik S, Del Prete S, De Luca V, Carginale V, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT, Capasso C. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2013; 23:1636–1638. [PubMed: 23414807]
15. De Luca V, Vullo D, Scozzafava A, Carginale V, Rossi M, Supuran CT, Capasso C. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2012; 22:5630–5634. [PubMed: 22835873]
16. Vullo D, De Luca V, Scozzafava A, Carginale V, Rossi M, Supuran CT, Capasso C. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2012; 22:7142–7145. [PubMed: 23072866]
17. Scott KM, Sievert SM, Abril FN, Ball LA, Barrett CJ, Blake RA, Boller AJ, Chain PSG, Clark JA, Davis CR, Detter C, Do KF, Dobrinski KP, Faza BI, Fitzpatrick KA, Freyermuth SK, Harmer TL, Hauser LJ, Hügler M, Kerfeld CA, Klotz MG, Kong WW, Land M, Lapidus A, Larimer FW, Longo DL, Lucas S, Malfatti SA, Massey SE, Martin DD, McCuddin Z, Meyer F, Moore JL, Ocampo LH, Paul JH, Paulsen IT, Reep DK, Ren Q, Ross RL, Sato PY, Thomas P, Tinkham LE, Zeruth GT. PLoS Biol. 2006; 4:e383. [PubMed: 17105352]
18. Dobrinski KP, Boller AJ, Scott KM. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010; 76:3561–3567. [PubMed: 20400567]
19. Dobrinski KP, Longo DL, Scott KM. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187:5761–5766. [PubMed: 16077123]
20. Goffredi, Childress, Desaulniers, Lee, Lallier, Hammond. J Exp Biol. 1997; 200:883–896. [PubMed: 9318669]

21. Shively JM, van Keulen G, Meijer WG. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 1998; 52:191–230. [PubMed: 9891798]
22. Pinard MA, Mahon BP, McKenna R. *BioMed Res Int.* 2014;1–15. Article ID 453543.
23. Tu CK, Silverman DN, Forsman C, Jonsson BH, Lindskog S. *Biochemistry.* 1989; 28:7913–7918. [PubMed: 2514797]
24. Tu C, Mikulski R, Swenson ER, Silverman DN. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2009; 46:14–19. [PubMed: 18848984]
25. Vullo D, De Luca V, Scozzafava A, Carginale V, Rossi M, Supuran CT, Capasso C. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2012; 22:7142–7145. [PubMed: 23072866]
26. Boone CD, Rasi V, Tu C, McKenna R. *FEBS.* 2015; 282:1445–1457.
27. Jannasch HW, Mottl MJ. *Science.* 1985; 229:717–725. [PubMed: 17841485]
28. Tu C, Mikulski R, Swenson ER, Silverman DN. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2009; 46:14–19. [PubMed: 18848984]
29. Yamamoto M, Takai K. *Front Microbiol.* 2011; 2
30. Aguiar P, Beveridge TJ, Reysenbach AL. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2004; 54:33–39. [PubMed: 14742456]
31. Nakagawa S, Shtaih Z, Banta A, Beveridge TJ, Sako Y, Reysenbach AL. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2005; 55:2263–2268. [PubMed: 16280480]
32. Vullo D, De Luca V, Scozzafava A, Carginale V, Rossi M, Supuran CT, Capasso C. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2013; 21:4521–4525. [PubMed: 23777827]
33. Vullo D, Isik S, Del Prete S, De Luca V, Carginale V, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT, Capasso C. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2013; 23:1636–1638. [PubMed: 23414807]
34. Barrese AA, Genis C, Fisher SZ, Orwenyo JN, Kumara MT, Dutta SK, Phillips E, Kiddle JJ, Tu C, Silverman DN, Govindasamy L, Agbandje-McKenna M, McKenna R, Tripp BC. *Biochemistry.* 2008; 47:3174–3184. [PubMed: 18266323]
35. Protein Expression and Purification: TcrCA was expressed in recombinant BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells. In brief, *E. coli* cells containing the plasmid encoding TcrCA were grown in Luria broth supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 to 1.0, at which point the expression of the TcrCA was induced by addition of Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL) for ~4 hours at 37°C. Cells containing TcrCA were harvested and enzymatically lysed. TcrCA was purified by affinity chromatography using an agarose resin coupled to the inhibitor p-(aminomethyl)benzenesulfonamide (p-AMBS; Sigma). The protein was eluted with sodium azide, and buffer-exchanged against 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 100 mM NaCl and concentrated using centrifugation. The final protein concentration was determined by UV/Vis spectroscopy at 280 nm, using an extinction coefficient of 42,985 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Purity was estimated by SDS-PAGE and commassie-blue staining.
36. Oxygen-18 exchange kinetic analysis: The kinetic rates of TcrCA were obtained by measurement of the depletion of ¹⁸O from species of CO₂ at chemical equilibrium by membrane inlet mass spectrometry as described by Tu, et al. ^{12,23,24}. This occurs via the continuous measure of various isotopic species of CO₂ provided by CO₂ diffusing across a dissolved gas-permeable membrane, the membrane is submerged in the reaction solution and connected by glass tubing to a mass spectrometer (Extrel EXM-200)²⁴. The catalyzed and uncatalyzed exchange of ¹⁸O between CO₂ and water at chemical equilibrium were measured in the absence of buffer at a total substrate concentration of 25 mM. The reaction solution was maintained at 25°C, and the ionic strength of the solution was normalized at 0.2 M by the addition of Na₂SO₄. CA catalysis of isotope labeled substrate is described by the following two-step process: the first stage of catalysis is the dehydration of ¹⁸O-labeled HCO₃⁻ (Eq. 3). During the second catalytic stage, the zinc-bound ¹⁸O-labeled hydroxide is protonated, forming H₂¹⁸O, which is then released into solution (Eq. 4).

Data processing is outlined in detail by Diaz-Torres, et al.¹² Activity assays were also completed by varying concentrations of anionic inhibitors of TcruCA during data collection. Data processing and calculations of inhibition constants are outlined by Barrese, et al.³⁴ Data were fitted to the data by using non-linear least squares methods in Enzfitter (Biosoft).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Synopsis

The α -carbonic anhydrase (α -CA) from *Thiomicrospira crunogena* XCL-2 Gammaproteobacterium is an active CA that is readily inhibited by anions. Anionic inhibition of TcCA suggests a means for *Thiomicrospira crunogena* to shift its general metabolism.

Figure 1.

A) Ribbon and surface (transparent) diagram of the TcCA homodimer. Zinc depicted as a grey sphere. B) Active site of TcCA (green) and hCA II (grey). A) hydrophobic (orange) and hydrophilic (purple) regions of the TcCA active site are highlighted and show similar arrangement compared to those of hCA II.

Figure 2.

Primary amino acid sequence alignments of TcCA, SspCA, SazCA, VchCA, hCA I and hCA II. Conserved zinc-coordinating histidines are highlighted in red. The proton shuttling residues, His64 (CA II numbering) is highlighted in green. Residues that make up regions of the hydrophilic pocket are highlighted in blue and numbered accordingly. The loop region (residues 230–240) that is predicted to be important for both CA catalysis and

thermostability is highlighted in purple. All residues that are variable between CA isozymes are underlined.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Comparison of catalytic efficiency and sequence identity of TcCA to other CA isozymes

Isozyme	Activity level	k_{cat}/K_M ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	Amino acid ID (%)	Ref #
TcCA	Moderate	1.1×10^7	--	--
SspCA	Very High	1.1×10^8	41.0	21
SazCA	Highest	3.5×10^8	43.4	22
VchCA	Moderate	7.0×10^7	41.1	20
hCA I	Moderate	5.0×10^7	32.4	20
hCA II	Very High	1.2×10^8	32.5	18

Table 2

Comparison of anion inhibition of TcCA to other CA isozymes

Anion	K_i (mM)				
	TcCA	SspCA ²¹	SazCA ²²	VchCA ²⁰	hCA II
Cl ⁻	361	8.3	0.85	0.93	200
Br ⁻	242	49	0.94	28	63
HS ⁻	0.0011	0.58	0.38	0.074	0.0028
I ⁻	53	0.86	0.87	9.73	0.3
					26