Factors Affecting the Postsurgical Length of Hospital Stay in Patients with Breast Cancer

Metehan Gümüş¹, Ömer Satıcı², Burak Veli Ülger¹, Abdullah Oğuz¹, Fatih Taşkesen¹, Sadullah Girgin¹

¹Department of General Surgery, Dicle University Faculty of Medicine, Diyarbakır, Turkey

²Department of Biostatistics, Dicle University Faculty of Medicine, Diyarbakır, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: Breast cancer is the most common malignancy and the most common cause of mortality in women worldwide. In addition to the increasing incidence of breast cancer, the length of hospital stay (LOS) after breast cancer surgery has been decreasing. Because LOS is key in determining hospital usage, the decrease in the use of hospital facilities may have implications on healthcare planning. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the factors affecting postoperative LOS in patients with breast cancer.

Materials and Methods: Seventy-six in patients with breast cancer, who had been treated between July 2013 and December 2014 in the General Surgery Clinic of Dicle University, were included in the study. The demographic characteristics of the patients, treatment methods, histopathological features of the tumor, concomitant diseases, whether they underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy or not, and the length of drain remaining time were retrospectively recorded.

Results: There was a correlation between drain remaining time, totally removed lymph node, the number of metastatic lymph node, and LOS. LOS of patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy was longer. The patients who underwent breast-conserving surgery had a shorter LOS. Linear regression analysis revealed that the drain remaining time and the number of metastatic lymph nodes were independent risk factors for LOS.

Conclusion: Consideration should be given to cancer screening to diagnose the patients before lymph node metastasis occurs. In addition, drains should be avoided unless required and, if used, they should be removed as early as possible for shortening LOS.

Keywords: Breast Cancer, Length of Hospitalization, lymph nodes, breast surgery

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy and the most common cause of mortality in women worldwide (1-3). Breast cancer surgery on an inpatient basis is a burden on the healthcare budget (4). Of the total costs of breast cancer treatment, 35%–50% is spent on surgical treatment, of which the largest part is because of the length of hospital stay (LOS) (5). Because LOS is key in determining hospital use, the decrease in the use of hospital facilities, which coincided with an apparent increase in the demand for treatment for breast cancer, may have implications for healthcare planning (6).

In addition to the increasing incidence of breast cancer, LOS after breast cancer surgery has been decreasing (7). Since the 1990s, LOS has decreased from 10–14 days to 5–7 days (8-12).

Various factors influencing postoperative LOS have been studied for surgical admissions. LOS may be affected by patient factors such as older age, gender, comorbidities and socio-demographics (13, 14), and intraoperative and postoperative adverse events and complications (14, 15). Specifically, in breast surgery, there are only a few LOS studies and those that exist have studied trends of LOS for breast cancer surgery over several decades (16-18). The trend towards an increasing number of patients undergoing breast-conserving surgery (BCS) than mastectomy has been universally noted as one of the major factors for the decrease in LOS over the last two decades. The adoption of newer techniques such as axillary sampling and sentinel node biopsy and the decision to discharge patients early has also been shown to be important factors in decreasing LOS (17).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the factors affecting postoperative LOS in patients with breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Seventy-six inpatients with breast cancer, who had been treated between July 2013 and December 2014 in the General Surgery Clinic of Dicle University, were included in the study. The study was conducted after approval by the Dicle University School of Medicine Ethics Committee. Informed consent of the patient was not required for this retrospective study. To determine the factors affecting LOS, the demographic characteristics of the patients, treatment methods, histopathological features of the tumor, and the length of drain remaining time were retrospectively recorded from the hospital database.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation or n (%). One-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to evaluate the distribution of data. The factors affecting LOS were analyzed by linear regression tests. The correlations between variables were performed by Pearson's (r) or Spearman's rank correlation analyses based on the distribution of data. A $p < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

Results

All of the patients were female. The mean age was 48.55 years (range, 26–76 years), and the mean LOS was 6.18 days (range, 1–18 days). The general characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

Multivariate regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors for LOS. In a univariate analysis, although there was no correlation between age (years), tumor size, and LOS, there was a correlation between totally removed lymph node, drain remaining time and the number of metastatic lymph nodes, and LOS. The patients who underwent BCS accounted for 27 (35.5%) of the procedures and had shorter LOS (4.78 ± 3.45) than the patients who underwent mastectomy (6.96 ± 2.59) ($p = 0.003$). LOS of the patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy was 8.33 ± 3.65 , and LOS of the other patients was 5.52 ± 2.58 ; therefore, the patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy had statistically significant longer LOS ($p < 0.001$). Statistically significant parameters in univariate analysis were entered into a linear regression model. The drain remaining time and the number of metastatic lymph nodes were found to be an independent predictive factor for LOS (Table 2).

Discussion and Conclusions

Over the last two decades, the number of new cases of breast cancer has risen each year (2). During these two decades, the average length of stay for all causes of hospitalization dropped as advancements in surgical techniques and a general move towards minimal invasive surgery reduced the need for prolonged hospital care (19). In a study, it was found that the mean hospital stay following breast cancer surgery has fallen from 9.8 days in 1990 to 5.2 days in 2005 (20). In another study, it was found to be shortened from around 11.5 days to just under 9 days (19). In our study, the average LOS was 6.18 days, which is not higher than the level observed during the 1990s and not lower than the level observed during the 2006s.

A short hospital stay following breast cancer surgery provides the opportunity to reduce the wound pain, facilitate shoulder movement, reduce healthcare costs without affecting the quality of the care process (16–18, 21), and allows recovery in the familiar

Table 1. General characteristics of the patients

	Mean $\pm$ SD
Age (years)	48.55 $\pm$ 11.50
LOS (days)	6.18 $\pm$ 3.08
Drain remaining time (days)	4.95 $\pm$ 3.08
Metastatic Lymph Node	3.63 $\pm$ 3.96
Total Lymph Node	14.78 $\pm$ 6.26
LOS: Length of hospital stay; SD: Standard deviation	

Table 2. Correlation and regression analysis results

	LOS (Days)	
Tumor Size	r	0.194
	p	0.093
Metastatic lymph node*	r	0.623
	p	0.000
Total lymph node	r	0.626
	p	0.000
Age (years)	r	0.005
	p	0.963
Drain remaining time**	r	0.709
	p	0.000
LOS: Length of hospital stay		
* $p = 0.003$ on linear regression analysis, ** $p < 0.001$ on linear regression analysis		
R square=0.626, $p < 0.001$ for model on linear regression analysis		

home environment. Bundredet al. (22) found no negative effect of early discharge on physical and psychological illness and advised early discharge for patients who were provided with informal care at home. In addition, the advantages of a short LOS includes less workload on staff, savings on hospital charges, reduction in waiting lists, and shift from expensive hospital care to cheaper home care (23). Bonnemaet al. (16) reported major cost savings related to a shorter hospital stay.

The decline in LOS cannot be attributed to any single cause. LOS results from an interplay of a number of factors. One of these factors include a trend towards less extensive operations, (24–26) particularly a shift away from radical mastectomies toward BCS (27). The ideal LOS for breast cancer changes according to a number of indicators. Shorter hospital stays did not compromise outcomes.

Purushothamet al. (28) compared breast surgery with and without drain insertion and concluded that drains were not required, thus facilitating early discharge. Our results showed that the length of drain remaining time was an independent risk factor for long LOS.

The time that patients with breast cancer spend in the hospital is influenced by the stage of the disease, i.e., the degree to which it has spread. Although the insitu, localized, and regional breast cancer stages require the shorter periods of hospitalization, hospitalization tends to be longer if a cancer has spread (19). In our study, because of the high cancer stage, LOS did not shorten to the expected level. We

found that the number of removed metastatic lymph nodes was an independent risk factor for LOS.

The time that a patient with breast cancer spends in a hospital can lengthen if she has other serious conditions such as heart disease, diabetes, and liver or kidney problems (29). The presence of such diseases has an impact on the treatment that patients with breast cancer receive, the success of that treatment, and the time required for recovery (30-33). We could not determine the effect of comorbidity on LOS.

More complicated or extensive procedures generally require more time in the hospital. Thus, a woman undergoing a mastectomy may expect to be hospitalized longer than a woman undergoing BCS (19). In our study, the patients who underwent BCS had shorter LOS, but it was not an independent predictive factor. We thought that surgical procedures of the breast did not affect LOS, but axillary procedures did. Similarly, neoadjuvant chemotherapy affects LOS, but it was not an independent predictive factor.

The drain remaining time and the number of metastatic lymph nodes are independent predictive factors for longer LOS. Consideration should be given to cancer screening to diagnose the patients before lymph node metastasis occurs. In addition, drains should be avoided unless required and, if used, they should be removed as early as possible for shortening LOS.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval was received for this study.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was not obtained due to retrospective nature of the study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed

Author Contributions: Concept - M.G., Ö.S.; Design - M.G.; Supervision - M.G.; Data Collection and/or Processing - F.T., S.G.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - Ö.S.; Literature Review - A.O.; Writer - B.V.Ü.; Critical Review - M.G.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

- Parkin DM. Global cancer statistics in the year 2000. *Lancet Oncol* 2001; 2:533-543. (PMID: 11905707) [CrossRef]
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2005; 55:74-108. (PMID: 15761078) [CrossRef]
- Ferlay J, Autier P, Boniol M, Heanue M, Colombet M, Boyle P. Estimates of the cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 2006. *Ann Oncol* 2007; 18:581-592. (PMID:17287242) [CrossRef]
- de Kok M, Frotcher CN, van der Weijden T, Kessels AG, Dirksen CD, van de Velde CJ, Roukema JA, Bell AV, van der Ent FW, von Meyenfildt MF. Introduction of a breast cancer care programme including ultra short hospital stay in 4 early adopter centres: framework for an implementation study. *BMC Cancer* 2007; 7:117. (PMID: 17605796) [CrossRef]
- Will BP, Berthelot JM, Le Petit C, Tomiak EM, Verma S, Evans WK. Estimates of the lifetime costs of breast cancer treatment in Canada. *Eur J Cancer* 2000; 36:724-735. (PMID: 10762744) [CrossRef]
- Will BP, Berthelot JM, Nobrega KM, Flanagan W, Evans WK. Canada's Population Health Model (POHEM): a tool for performing economic evaluations of cancer control interventions. *Eur J Cancer* 2001; 37:1797-1804. (PMID: 11549434) [CrossRef]
- Marla S, McMillan DC, Stallard S. Factors influencing postoperative length of hospital stay after breast cancer surgery. *Breast* 2013; 22:289-294. (PMID: 22841480) [CrossRef]
- Dooley WC. Ambulatory mastectomy. *Am J Surg* 2002; 184:545-548. (PMID: 12488162) [CrossRef]
- Margolese RG, Lasry JC. Ambulatory surgery for breast cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2000; 7:181-187. (PMID: 10791847) [CrossRef]
- Warren JL, Riley GF, Potosky AL, Klabunde CN, Richter E, Ballard-Barbash R. Trends and outcomes of outpatient mastectomy in elderly women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998; 90:833-840. (PMID: 9625171) [CrossRef]
- McManus SA, Topp DA, Hopkins C. Advantages of outpatient breast surgery. *Am Surg* 1994; 60:967-970. (PMID: 7992976)
- Goodman AA, Mendez AL. Definitive surgery for breast cancer performed on an outpatient basis. *Arch Surg* 1993; 128:1149-1152. (PMID: 8215874) [CrossRef]
- Vogel TR, Nackman GB, Crowley JG, Bueno MM, Banavage A, Odronec K, Brevetti LS, Ciocca RG, Graham AM. Factors impacting functional health and resource utilization following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair by open and endovascular techniques. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2005; 19:641-647. (PMID: 16075344) [CrossRef]
- BuSaba NY, Schaumburg DA. Predictors of prolonged length of stay after major elective head and neck surgery. *Laryngoscope* 2007; 117:1756-1763. (PMID: 17690609) [CrossRef]
- Collins TC, Daley J, Henderson WH, Khuri SF. Risk factors for prolonged length of stay after major elective surgery. *Ann Surg* 1999; 230:251-259. (PMID: 10450740) [CrossRef]
- Bonnema J, van Wersch AM, van Geel AN, Pruyn JF, Schmitz PI, Uyl-de Groot CA, Wiggers T. Cost of care in a randomised trial of early hospital discharge after surgery for breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1998;34:2015-2020. (PMID: 10070303) [CrossRef]
- Horgan K, Benson EA, Miller A, Robertson A. Early discharge with drain in situ following axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. *Breast* 2000; 9:90-92. (PMID: 14731706) [CrossRef]
- Davis C, Williams P, Redman S. Early discharge following breast surgery: assessing care, support, and informational needs of women with early breast cancer in Australia. *Aust N Z J Surg* 2000; 70:569-572. (PMID: 10945549) [CrossRef]
- Neutel CI, Gao RN, Gaudette L and Johansen H. Shorter hospital stays for breast cancer. *Health Rep* 2004; 16:19-31. (PMID: 15581131)
- Downing A, Lansdown M, West RM, Thomas JD, Lawrence G, Forman D. Changes in and predictors of length of stay in hospital after surgery for breast cancer between 1997/98 and 2004/05 in two regions of England: a population-based study. *BMC* 2009; 9:202. (PMID: 19900265) [CrossRef]
- Holcombe C, West N, Mansel RE, Horgan K. The satisfaction and savings of early discharge with drain in situ following axillary lymphadenectomy in the treatment of breast cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1995; 21:604-606. (PMID: 8631403) [CrossRef]
- Bundred N, Maguire P, Reynolds J, Grimshaw J, Morris J, Thomson L, Barr L, Baidam A. Randomised controlled trial of effects of early discharge after surgery for breast cancer. *BMJ* 1998; 317:1275-1279. (PMID: 9804712) [CrossRef]
- de Kok M, van der Weijden T, Voogd AC, Dirksen CD, van de Velde CJ, Roukema JA, Finaly-Marais C, van der Ent FW, von Meyenfildt MF. Implementation of a Short-Stay Programme after Breast Cancer Surgery. *Br J Surg* 2010; 97:189-194. (PMID: 20069609) [CrossRef]
- Lindqvist R, Möller TR, Stenbeck M, Diderichsen F. Do changes in surgical procedures for breast cancer have consequences for hospital mean length of stay? A study of women operated on for breast cancer in Sweden, 1980-95. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2002; 18:566-75. (PMID: 12391949)
- Kleinman JC, Machlin SR, Madans J, Makuc D, Feldman JJ. Changing practice in the surgical treatment of breast cancer. The national perspective. *Medical Care* 1983; 21:1232-1242. (PMID: 6656346) [CrossRef]
- Hébert-Croteau N, Brisson J, Latreille J, Blanchette C, Deschênes L. Variations in the treatment of early-stage breast cancer in Quebec between 1988 and 1994. *CMAJ* 1999; 161:951-955. (PMID: 10551190)
- Consensus Conference. Treatment of early stage breast cancer. National Institutes of Health. *Connecticut Medicine* 1991; 55:101-107. (PMID: 2026012)

28. Purushotham AD, McLatchie E, Young D, George WD, Stallard S, Doughty J, Brown DC, Farish C, Walker A, Millar K, Murray G. Randomized clinical trial of no wound drains and early discharge in the treatment of women with breast cancer. *Br J Surg* 2002; 89:286-292. (PMID: 11872051) [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987; 40:373-383. (PMID: 3558716) [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Librero J, Peiro S, Ordinana R. Chronic comorbidity and outcomes of hospital care: length of stay, mortality, and readmission at 30 and 365 days. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 1999; 52:171-179. (PMID: 10210233) [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Brooks SE, Ahn J, Mullins CD, Baquet CR, D'Andrea A. Health care cost and utilization project analysis of comorbid illness and complications for patients undergoing hysterectomy for endometrial carcinoma. *Cancer* 2001; 92:950-958. (PMID: 11550170)
32. Newschaffer CJ, Penberthy L, Desch CE, Retchin SM, Whittemore M. The effect of age and comorbidity in the treatment of elderly women with non-metastatic breast cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 1996; 156:85-90. (PMID: 8526702) [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. D'Hoore W, Bouckaert A, Tilquin C. Practical considerations on the use of the Charlson comorbidity index with administrative data bases. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996; 49:1429-1433. (PMID: 8991959) [\[CrossRef\]](#)