Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2017 February 01; 27(3): 612–615. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.12.012.

Structure-activity relationships of benzothiazole GPR35 antagonists

Manahil M. Abdalhameed^a, Pingwei Zhao^b, Dow P. Hurst^a, Patricia H. Reggio^{a,*}, Mary E. Abood^{b,*}, and Mitchell P. Croatt^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Natural Products and Drug Discovery Center, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC 27402, United States

^bDepartment of Anatomy and Cell Biology and Center for Substance Abuse Research, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19140, United States

Abstract

The first structure-activity relationships for a benzothiazole scaffold acting as an antagonist at GPR35 is presented. Analogues were designed based on a lead compound that was previously determined to have selective activity as a GPR35 antagonist. The synthetic route was modular in nature to independently explore the role of the middle and both ends of the scaffold. The activities of the analogues illustrate the importance of all three segments of the compound.

Keywords

GPR35; Antagonist; G protein-coupled receptor; Benzothiazole; SAR

GPR35, a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), was discovered and classified as an orphan GPCR in 1998¹ and deorphanized in 2006 by the discovery of kynurenic acid as the endogenous agonist.² Since its discovery, limited references on the GPR35 receptor have appeared, due in part to a scarcity of endogenous or exogenous ligands that regulate GPR35 with sufficient potency and selectivity. The deorphanization of GPR35 receptor has even been debated due to the poor potency of kynurenic acid (IC₅₀ of 39 μ M) and it has recently been proposed that GPR35 should be renamed to CXCR8 due to a reported binding to CXCL17.³ GPR35 has gained special consideration as a result of its association with many diseases including type-2 diabetes,⁴ nociceptive pain,⁵ inflammation,¹ mild mental retardation syndrome,⁶ metabolic disorders,⁷ and gastric cancer.⁸ Based on the association of GPR35 with these major diseases and disorders, there is a current and urgent need for compounds to regulate the GPR35 receptor and serve as research tools in molecular characterization of GPR35 receptor. Herein, we report a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study of benzothiazoles as antagonists of GPR35. This research sets the foundation for the design of future potent and selective ligands.

*Corresponding authors. phreggio@uncg.edu (P.H. Reggio), mabood@temple.edu (M.E. Abood), mpcroatt@uncg.edu (M.P. Croatt).

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data (synthetic procedures and characterization of all compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.12.012>.

An image-based high-throughput, high-content primary screen using the Molecular Libraries Probe Production Initiative evaluated ~300,000 compounds.⁹ This screen identified a few relatively potent and selective GPR35 antagonists: benzothiazole **1** (CID1231538), rhodanine **12** (CID2286812), and pyrazole **13** (CID2745684; Fig. 1). Compound **12** displayed high antagonism activity at GPR35 ($IC_{50} = 20.1$ nM), however, this compound has a rhodanine ring. Compounds with a rhodanine ring have been recommended to be excluded from library screening results due to the potentially misleading results, as described as Pan Assay Interference Compounds (PAINS).¹⁰ Compound **13** is also a potent GPR35 antagonist ($IC_{50} = 160$ nM), but the thiosemicarbazone functionality could also react in a nonselective manner, similar to the rhodanine ring.¹⁰ Although benzothiazole **1** was not as potent as the other potential leads ($IC_{50} = 0.55$ μ M), it was selected because it could be synthetically modified and had a greater opportunity for selectivity since it was inherently less reactive for covalent attachment.

The route to synthesize compounds **1–3** and **8–10** initiated with ring-opening of phthalic anhydride **14** using 6-amino-2-mercaptobenzothiazole **15** to form acid **16** in 96% yield or with 5-amino-2-mercaptobenzimidazole to form compound **17**, also in good yield (95%) (Scheme 1). Alkylation of thiol **16** with 4-chlorobenzyl chloride, benzyl bromide, or 4-(chloromethyl) pyridine hydrochloride successfully produced thioethers **18**, **19**, and **20**, respectively. These different aryl groups would test the potential and type of aromatic stacking.

Formation of the phthalisoimide ring was accomplished using acetic anhydride and triethylamine.¹¹ Phthalisoimide intermediates **22**, **23**, and **24** were produced in 91%, 96%, and 87% yields, respectively, and were opened using morpholine, piperidine, or pyrrolidine to produce final analogues **1**, **2**, **3**, **8**, and **9**. The morpholine surrogates were chosen to evaluate if there is a requirement for hydrogen bonding in that region of the receptor. Analogue **10** was synthesized following the same protocol, except using 5-amino-2-mercaptobenzimidazole as starting substrate. The benzimidazole group was selected for synthesis to test the role of the endocyclic sulfur. Benzimidazole **10** may also provide a positive potential surface instead of negative potential surface of the sulfur.

Oxidation of the exocyclic sulfur to a sulfoxide or sulfone could potentially provide strong and directional hydrogen bonds. Sulfoxide **4** and sulfone **5** were synthesized using different amounts of *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*CPBA) to improve this interaction (Scheme 2). Due to the chiral nature of the sulfoxide, enantiomers **6** and **7** were individually synthesized following procedures similar to those used to synthesize Nexium (esomeprazole).¹² The individual sulfoxide enantiomers (**6** and **7**) were synthesized using titanium tetrakisopropoxide and the respective enantiomers of diethyl tartrate and cumene hydroperoxide as the oxidant. The absolute configuration of sulfoxides **6** and **7** were inferred based on the close analogy to the process for esomeprazole.¹² It was decided that the confirmation of the absolute configurations would be made if either of the two enantiomers were significantly potent.

Compound **11** was synthesized in a racemic fashion with this study and plans for an enantioselective synthesis could be developed based on the activity of racemic **11**. Synthesis

of compound **11** followed a slightly different synthetic route due to the ineffectuality of the S_N2 reaction on the secondary position (Scheme 3). The synthesis began with nucleophilic addition of the commercially available 1-phenylethyl mercaptan **26** to 2-chloro-6-nitrobenzothiazole **27** to form nitrobenzothiazole **28** in 80% yield. The nitro group was then reduced to amine **29** through catalytic hydrogenation. The resulting aniline was used to open phthalic anhydride to produce acid **30** in high yield. Formation of the phthalisoimide ring (**31**) and opening by morpholine successfully produced analogue **11** in 52% yield over three steps.

The activities of the synthesized analogues as antagonists at GPR35 were examined using U2OS cells permanently expressing HA-GPR35a and β arr2-GFP (UGPR35 β) assay; 10 μ M Zaprinast was used as the agonist as in our previous publication.⁹ Concentration- effect curves for agonist-mediated receptor activation were analyzed by nonlinear regression techniques using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad) and data were fitted to sigmoidal dose-response curves to obtain IC_{50} (Fig. 2, curves for inactive and less active compounds are not shown for clarity).

Evaluation of the activities as antagonists at GPR35 for the analogues provide considerable information (Table 1). One of the first observations is that the parent compound is not as active as was previously determined. After some more extensive studying of this, it was determined that many of the benzothiazoles were not sufficiently stable at room temperature and decomposed within 15 h when exposed to air and light. To get reproducible data, the analogues were all frozen in DMSO until they were analyzed for their activity. The activity of the parent compound is still less than was previously determined. This could be due to the initially screened compound being partially decomposed into an unknown mixture of compounds. Fortunately, the parent compound was still moderately active when pure, which allowed for trends to be observed.

One of the most important findings was that piperidine **2** and pyrrolidine **3** are devoid of activity as GPR35 antagonists. This indicates that there is probably a strong interaction between the oxygen of the morpholine ring and a residue in the binding site in this region. Prior modeling studies placed the morpholine ring in the region of the toggle switch,^{9,13} so these data can be used to further refine the model.

Oxidation of the exocyclic sulfur to sulfoxides **4**, **6** and **7** and sulfone **5** decreased the activity as an antagonist. These data indicate that this area of the molecule is either not amenable to expansion or is in a non-polar environment. The electronics of the benzothiazole are also modified by having the adjacent electron-withdrawing group; this might also be detrimental. The individual enantiomers (**6** and **7**) appear to be less active than the racemate (**4**), however, the confidence intervals (CI) for the IC_{50} values are overlapping for sulfoxides **4**, **6**, and **7**, which explains this otherwise unexpected result. Pyridine **9** was found to be inactive as a GPR35 antagonist, which is an indication that the basicity of the nitrogen of the pyridine ring produced an unfavorable negative potential surface. The same scenario exists when the cyclic sulfur is replaced with a nitrogen atom in benzimidazole **10**.

In conclusion, we report herein an initial structure-activity relationships study for a family of benzothiazoles that act as antagonists at GPR35. A major finding was that a seemingly innocuous oxygen of the morpholine ring actually plays a major role. This and other results, including the location and type of heteroatom substitutions, could be used in concert with an updated GPR35 model, to generate more potent GPR35 antagonists.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants R21NS077347 and R01DA023204, P30DA013429. The authors thank Dr. Franklin J. Moy (UNCG) for assisting with analysis of NMR data and Dr. Brandie M. Ehrmann (UNCG) for acquisition of the high resolution mass spectrometry data at the Triad Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro.

References

1. O'Dowd BF, Nguyen T, Marchese A, et al. Genomics. 1998; 47:310. [PubMed: 9479505]
2. Wang J, Simonavicius N, Wu X, et al. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:22021. [PubMed: 16754668]
3. Maravillas-Montero JL, Burkhardt AM, Hevezi PA, Carnevale CD, Smit MJ, Zlotnik A. J Immunol. 2015; 194:29. [PubMed: 25411203]
4. Horikawa Y, Oda N, Cox NJ, et al. Nat Genet. 2000; 26:163. [PubMed: 11017071]
5. Ohshiro H, Tonai-Kachi H, Ichikawa K. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008; 365:344. [PubMed: 17996730]
6. Shrimpton AE, Braddock BR, Thomson LL, Stein CK, Hoo JJ. Clin Genet. 2004; 66:537. [PubMed: 15521982]
7. Leonard, JN., Chu, ZL. GPR35 and Modulators Thereof for the Treatment of Metabolic-Related Disorders. US. 20070077602 A1. 2007.
8. Okumura S-I, Baba H, Kumada T, et al. Cancer Sci. 2004; 95:131. [PubMed: 14965362]
9. Zhao P, Sharir H, Kapur A, et al. Mol Pharmacol. 2010; 78:560. [PubMed: 20826425]
10. Baell JB, Holloway GA. J Med Chem. 2010; 53:2719. [PubMed: 20131845]
11. de Toranzo EGD, Brioux JA. J Med Chem. 1967; 10:982. [PubMed: 6057842]
12. Cotton H, Elebring T, Larsson M, Li L, Sörensen H, Von Unge S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2000; 11:3819.
13. Zhao P, Lane TR, Gao HGL, et al. J Biol Chem. 2014; 289:3625. [PubMed: 24347166]

Figure 1.
GPR35 antagonists.

Figure 2.
Concentration-response curves for GPR35 antagonists that had obtainable IC_{50} values.

Scheme 1.

General synthetic route to benzothiazole analogues. Reagents and conditions: (i) THF, 30 °C, 17 h; (ii) NaOH, EtOH, 0 °C to rt, ArCH₂Cl, 17 h; (iii) (CH₃CO)₂O, Et₃N, 1,4-dioxane, rt, 17 h; (iv) R₂NH, THF, 50–35 °C, 15 h. See Supplementary data for specific conditions and yields.

Scheme 2.

Oxidation of the exocyclic sulfur. Reagents and conditions: (i) *m*CPBA (1 eq), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt., 3 h, 82%; (ii) *m*CPBA (2.5 eq), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt., 5 h, 83%; (iii) (-) DET, Ti(Oi-Pr)₄, C₆H₅C(CH₃)₂OOH, DIPEA, toluene, 2 h, 59%; (iv) (+) DET, Ti(Oi-Pr)₄, C₆H₅C(CH₃)₂OOH, DIPEA, toluene, 2 h, 53%.

Scheme 3.

Synthesis of compound **11**. Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMF, 5 h, 80%; (ii) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, 17 h; (iii) phthalic anhydride, THF, 30 °C, 17 h; (iv) (CH₃-CO)₂O, Et₃N, 1,4-dioxane, rt, 17 h, (52% over 3 steps); (v) morpholine, THF, 35 °C, 15 h, 76%.

Table 1

Biological results.

Entry	Structure	IC ₅₀ (CI) ^a
1		4.56 (1.8–12)
2		>30
3		>30
4		13 (4.0–45)
5		5.24 (2.2–13)
6		>30
7		>30

Entry	Structure	IC ₅₀ (CI) ^a
8		7.23 (2.3–23)
9		>30
10		>30
11		17.0 (5.6–54)

^aCI = 95% Confidence Intervals.