Published in final edited form as:

Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2017 January ; 13(1): 65–69. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2016.03.003.

Fat-free mass is not lower 24 months postbariatric surgery than nonoperated matched controls

Gladys Witt Strain, Ph.D., R.D.^{a,*}, Faith Ebel, M.P.H., R.D.^a, Jamie Honohan, B.S.^a, Michel Gagner, M.D.^b, Gregory F. Dakin, M.D.^a, Alfons Pomp, M.D.^a, and Dympna Gallagher, Ed.D.^c

^aWeill Cornell College of Medicine, Department of Surgery, New York, New York

^bHopital du Sacre Coeur, Department of Surgery, Montreal, Canada

^cColumbia University, Obesity Research Center, New York, New York

Abstract

Objective—Concerns about an excessive loss of fat-free mass (FFM) after bariatric surgery prompted this comparison of operated versus matched nonoperated controls regarding FFM.

Setting—University Hospital and University Research Unit in an urban medical center.

Methods—Body composition with bioelectric impedance (Tanita 310, Tanita Corp, Arlington Heights, IL) was measured approximately 2 years after bariatric surgery in weight stable patients and nonoperated weight stable controls matched for body mass index (BMI), gender, and age. *t* tests provided comparisons. Analysis of variance was used to compare FFM changes for 4 procedures. Levene's test evaluated variance.

Results—Patients (*n* = 252; 24.7 ± 15 mo after surgery) and nonoperated controls (*n* = 252) were matched for gender (71.8% female), age (44.5 ± 11.0 yr), and BMI (32.8 ± 7.0 kg/m²). Patients had different surgical procedures: 107 gastric bypasses (RYGBs), 62 biliopancreatic diversions with duodenal switch (BPD/DSs), 40 adjustable gastric bands (AGBs), and 43 sleeve gastrectomies (LSGs). FFM percentage was significantly higher in the operated patients than controls, 66% versus 62%, *P* < .0001. For 3 procedures, the FFM was significantly higher; however, AGBs changed only 7.3 BMI units and FFM was not significantly different from their matched controls, 59.8% versus 58.2%. Across surgical groups, FFM percentage differed, *P* < .0001 (RYGB 66.5 ± 9.2%, BPD/DS 74.0 ± 9.3%, AGB 59.8 ± 7.0%, LSG 59.6 ± 9.3%). Variance was not different (*P* = .17).

Conclusion—Weight-reduced bariatric surgery patients have greater FFM compared with nonoperated matched controls. These findings support surgically assisted weight loss as a physiologic process and in general patients do not suffer from excessive FFM depletion after bariatric procedures.

* Correspondence: Gladys Witt Strain, Ph.D., Department of Surgery, Box: 294, Weill Cornell Medical College, 525 E 68th Street, New York, New York 10065. gls2010@med.cornell.edu.

Disclosures

The authors have no commercial associations that might be a conflict of interest in relation to this article.

Keywords

Fat-free mass; Bariatric surgery; Body composition after bariatric surgery; Composition of weight loss; Obesity

Forecasts of obesity in the United States predict that there will be 65 million more obese people by 2030 with concomitant increases in diabetes, heart disease, and stroke, and additional cancers [1]. The combined treatment of these co-morbid diseases is estimated to increase by \$48–66 billion/yr in the United States. Bariatric surgery is the most consistent therapeutic option that has produced short- and long-term success for weight loss [2].

One of the objectives of weight loss by lifestyle and dietary changes, medications, or surgery, is reduced weight through loss of fat mass (FM) while limiting the loss of fat-free mass (FFM). Preservation of FFM or, more specifically, skeletal muscle mass, is important to future health since skeletal mass is associated with muscular strength and endurance, improved insulin sensitivity, increased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and enhanced psychological well-being [3]. FFM loss or, more specifically, its constituents' skeletal muscle mass and high metabolic rate organ mass have been a focus of continual concern for its possible effect on basal metabolic rate, core body temperature, rate of weight loss, weight regain, and or the possible development of protein deficiency [4,5]. Therefore assessments of body composition change during the weight loss process, which record substantial changes in FFM, have resulted in strong efforts to increase protein intake and physical exercise in an attempt to limit FFM losses. In fact, a substantive body of literature is available emphasizing the importance of increasing dietary protein and increasing physical exercise in an attempt to maintain FFM in operated patients [6–8]. Because there are no guidelines available to help the differentiation of physiologic versus pathologic losses of FFM, we sought to evaluate the body composition by the same methodology for individuals who had not lost weight, were screened for weight stability, and were matched by body mass index (BMI), gender, and age to a surgically weight reduced population. Although there have been a number of studies on body composition change after various types of weight loss surgery [9–13], there has only been one comparing of body composition changes of post-weight loss surgery patients with nonreduced weight stable controls after 1 procedure, the biliopancreatic diversion [13]. Tacchino reported FFM losses plateaued between 12 and 24 months and were similar to the BMI-matched nonreduced controls.

Because 4 surgical procedures are performed at our surgical center, we compared the effect of those 4 procedures on FFM losses to a nonreduced cohort of individuals who had not lost weight and were matched to our surgically operated patients for BMI, gender, and age to evaluate the effects of the surgery on FFM and ascertain whether the surgical population had a significant reduction in FFM, which might impair functioning.

Methods

At their initial consultation for weight loss surgery, all patients who met the National Institutes of Health guidelines for weight loss surgery gave written consent to our institutional review board–approved data collection project, which included measurement of

body composition. All patients were judged to be in sufficient good health to undergo bariatric surgery. At follow-up minimally a year after surgery, patients were studied on an availability basis at a reduced stable weight. The 4 laparoscopic procedures have previously been described [9]. All patients had been advised on postsurgery dietary guidelines with supplements recommended according to their surgical procedure. The nonreduced controls were volunteers from New York Hospital-Weill Cornell employees who were interested in obtaining information about their body composition, other patient evaluations before weight loss surgery, and weight-stable volunteers judged to be in good health who met the criteria of “normal volunteers” and were measured in the Body Composition Unit at the New York Obesity Nutrition Research Center. All patients and controls were evaluated by bioimpedance on identical instruments, the Tanita 310. The bioimpedance analysis is based on a 2-compartment model approach (weight = fat + FFM) [14]. Nonreduced patients were paired with surgical patients of the same age (± 3 yr), sex, and BMI (± 2 units). No patients were included who were in an active process of weight change or persisted to have surgical problems at the time of the body composition measurement.

A paired *t* test was performed for weight reduced and matched controls and for each surgical type matched to their controls. To establish homogeneity of equal variance among the 4 groups, Levene’s test was used. The normality of the data was tested by Kolmogorov–Smirnov. Analysis of variance was used to evaluate surgical group differences. For all tests statistical significance was accepted at $P < .05$.

Results

A total of 252 surgical patients and an equal number of nonreduced, weight-stable controls were matched for BMI, age, and gender. The postsurgery patients included 40 adjustable gastric bands (AGB), 107 gastric bypasses (RYGB), 43 laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomies (LSG), and 62 biliopancreatic diversions with duodenal switches (BPD/DS). After the matching process, as would be expected, the mean age of the surgical patients (44.5 ± 11.1 yr) and the control patients (44.5 ± 10.8 yr) were not different. The gender distribution and BMIs were also not different as shown in Table 1. The 4 surgical groups had similar BMIs and gender distribution presurgery. For the total surgery group, the FFM after weight loss (66%) was greater than the nonoperated controls (62%) who were matched for BMI, age, and gender ($P < .0001$) (Table 1). As shown, the LSGs, RYGBs, and BPD/DSs have significantly greater FFM than their matched controls. However, it must be noted the AGBs and their matched controls do not significantly differ in FFM (59.8 kg versus 58.3 kg). The AGBs had a limited weight change of 7.3 BMI units in contrast to the other procedures, which were more effective in producing weight change.

With the acknowledged differential capacity to assist in weight loss and at a differential rate of loss, it was observed that the FFM that was retained was significantly different for the 4 procedures ($F = 29.65$, $P < .0001$ by 1-way analysis of variance). Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed the normality of the data and a *P* value from Levene’s test of .17 showed the homogeneity of the equal variance among the 4 groups. Pairwise comparisons of FFM shown in Table 2 were significantly different between groups with the exception of the

AGBs versus the LSGs. The differences in fat loss and FFM retention reflect the efficacy of the weight loss procedures.

Discussion

With surgical interventions assisting in much larger weight losses than would generally be accomplished by other interventions, the results from this study show that FFM in the surgically reduced morbidly obese as a percent of body composition is not less but greater in comparison with their nonreduced controls. With weight loss fat and FFM are reduced to approach normalized levels.

There has been a consistent push for diets of high protein content after surgery; however, the basic principle that energy needs are met first before repair and growth might question the efficacy of this position [15]. The numerous reports of low protein intakes after bariatric procedures remain open to question since the methodology used to obtain the data have little biological validation [16]. When a comparison of body composition of surgical patients and controls was made, there were highly significant differences in FFM in all surgical groups and their controls except for the AGB group, which had decreased weight shifts. The patient group receiving bands was not significantly different in FFM than their weight stable controls, most likely because the percent of excess weight loss with the procedure is less than the other 3 procedures and weight loss with the AGB is acknowledged as a slower, less effective process [17]. Since FFM is composed of body cell mass, for example, muscle, organs, and bone, and extracellular mass (ECM), for example, extracellular fluid and plasma, there is always the possibility that alterations could occur between body cell mass and ECM though FFM stays constant. Increases in ECM are known to occur in malnutrition; however, this is unlikely since the surgery patients are generally monitored using multiple metabolic measures for the assessment of nutritional status.

Other possible limitations of the current report deserve comment. Patients and controls were matched without regard to race or ethnicity. However, as Heymsfield et al. concluded, if BMI were held constant, race was not a significant contributor to body composition assessments [18]. All body composition measurements were completed by bioimpedance methodology on a Tanita 310 machine. Such methodology has been criticized for use in the morbidly obese primarily because of concerns regarding hydration differences [19]. We completed an evaluation comparing isotope dilution (D_2O) with bioimpedance in a population with a mean BMI of 50 and found a correlation of .92 [20]. Other studies comparing single frequency and multiple frequency measurements have shown better correlation with D_2O with the single frequency machines [21]. Our recent work comparing bioimpedance to the 3-compartment model showed an underreporting of % fat, however, there were no differences between the 2 methods in estimating % body fat change [14]. Others have continued to do studies comparing methods for body composition assessments and reported similar findings validating the use of bioimpedance in clinical obesity research [22–24]. For the present study methods including equipment choice were identical. Fluid shift could impact on accuracy; however, both operated and matched controls were weight stable populations. The Tanita 310 appears to be an affordable, valid, clinically useful tool for the populations included in this evaluation.

One might question if the differences in time since surgery for the procedures shown in Table 1 would have an effect on body composition comparisons. Since all matches were for BMI, age, and gender, the time interval to attain a given BMI has little impact on body composition if the operated patients are weight stable.

There is now clear documentation that intense physical activity can reduce FFM loss that results from significant weight change [25]. However, we made no effort to attempt to assess the exercise level of the patients and controls on the basis of physical activity evaluations reported from the Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery, which report, even after surgery with its resultant weight loss, that few patients begin or sustain efforts to increase activity, and some even reduce their activity level [26]. Another group confirmed that after weight loss surgery their sample of patients was still spending >70% of their time in sedentary behaviors [27]. We have no indicators that physical activity would be significantly different between our operated and control group or be a significant contributor to the increased FFM difference that was observed.

Conclusion

Weight reduced postbariatric surgery patients who have had RYGBs, LSGs, and BPDZDSs procedures have greater FFM than age-, gender-, and BMI-matched nonoperated controls that are weight stable. For those patients who had AGBs, their FFM was not different than their matched controls. These findings support surgically assisted weight loss as promoting a physiologic process. In general, patients do not suffer from excessive FFM depletion after bariatric procedures.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the effort of Ya-lin Chiu, MS for her development of the approach to the statistical analysis of this data and the completion of that analysis for this manuscript. Ms. Chiu was partially supported by the following grant: Clinical Translational Science Center (CTSC) (UL1-RR024996). This research was supported by Weill Cornell Medical College Department of Surgery.

References

1. Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Dip PG, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and UK. *Lancet*. 2011; 378(9793):815–825. [PubMed: 21872750]
2. Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Brunwald E, et al. Bariatric surgery: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2004; 292(14):1724–1737. [PubMed: 15479938]
3. Farnsworth E, Luscombe ND, Noakes M, Witteri G, Argyiou E, Clifton PM. Effect of high-protein, energy-restricted diet on body composition, glycemic control, and lipid concentrations in overweight and obese hyperinsulinemic men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 78(1):31–39. [PubMed: 12816768]
4. Javed F, He Q, Davidson LE, et al. Brain and high metabolic rate organ mass: contributions to resting energy expenditure beyond fat-free mass. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010; 91(4):907–912. [PubMed: 20164308]
5. Davidson LE, Kelley DE, Heshka S, et al. Skeletal muscle and organ masses differ in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes. *J Appl Physiol*. 2014; 117(4):377–382. [PubMed: 24947030]
6. Andreu A, Moize V, Rodriguez L, Flores L, Vidal J. Protein intake, body composition, and protein status following bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2010; 20(11):1509–1515. [PubMed: 20820937]

7. Zalesin KC, Franklin BA, Lillystone MA, et al. Differential loss of fat and lean mass in the morbidly obese after bariatric surgery. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. 2010; 8(1):15–20. [PubMed: 19929598]
8. Bavaresco M, Paganini S, Lima TP, et al. Nutritional course of patients submitted to bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2010; 20(6):716–721. [PubMed: 18931884]
9. Strain GW, Gagner M, Pomp A, et al. Comparison of weight loss and body composition changes with four surgical procedures. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2009; 5(5):582–587. [PubMed: 19560983]
10. Tamboli RA, Hossain HA, Marks PA, et al. Body composition and energy metabolism following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. *Obesity*. 2010; 18(9):1718–1724. [PubMed: 20414197]
11. Strauss BJG, Marks SJ, Growcott JP, et al. Body composition changes following laparoscopic gastric banding for morbid obesity. *Acta Diabetol*. 2003; 40(Suppl 1):S266–S269. [PubMed: 14618490]
12. Das SK, Roberts SB, Kehayias JJ, et al. Body composition assessment in extreme obesity and after massive weight loss induced by gastric bypass surgery. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2003; 284(6):E1080–E1088. [PubMed: 12604503]
13. Tacchino RM, Mancini A, Perrelli M, et al. Body composition and energy expenditure before and after biliopancreatic diversion. *Metabolism*. 2003; 52(5):552–558. [PubMed: 12759883]
14. Widen E, Strain GW, King WC, et al. Validity of bioelectrical impedance analysis for measuring changes in body water and percent fat after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2014; 24(6):847–854. [PubMed: 24464517]
15. Mathews, DW. Proteins and amino acids. In: Shils, ME, Olson, J, Shike, M., Ross, CA., editors. *Modern nutrition in health and disease*. 9th. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins; 1999.
16. Bingham SA. The dietary assessment of individuals, methods, accuracy, new techniques and recommendations. *Nutr Abstr Rev*. 1987; 57:705–742.
17. Carlin A, Zeni TM, English WJ, et al. The comparative effectiveness of sleeve gastrectomy, gastric bypass, and adjustable gastric banding procedures for the treatment of morbid obesity. *Ann Surg*. 2013; 257(5):791–797. [PubMed: 23470577]
18. Heymsfield SB, Peterson CM, Thomas DM, Heo M, Schuna JM Jr. Why are there race/ethnic differences in adult body mass index-adiposity relationships? A quantitative critical review. *Obes Rev*. 2016; 17(3):262–275. [PubMed: 26663309]
19. Deurenberg P. Limitations of the bioelectrical impedance method for the assessment of body fat in severe obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1996; 64(3 suppl):449S–452S. [PubMed: 8780361]
20. Strain GW, Wang J, Gagner M, Pomp A, Inabnet WB, Heymsfield SB. Bioimpedance for severe obesity: comparing research methods for total body water and resting energy expenditure. *Obesity*. 2008; 16(8):1953–1956. [PubMed: 18551107]
21. Pateyjohns IR, Brinkworth GD, Buckley JD, Noakes M, Clifton PM. Comparisons of three bioelectric impedance methods to DXA in overweight and obese men. *Obesity*. 2006; 14(11):2064–2070. [PubMed: 17135624]
22. Beeson WL, Batech M, Schultz L, et al. Comparison of body composition by bioelectric impedance analysis and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in Hispanic diabetics. *Int J Body Compos Res*. 2010; 8(2):45–50. [PubMed: 21318088]
23. Feisard MI, Greenway FL, Delany JP. Comparisons of methods to assess body composition changes during a period of weight loss. *Obes Res*. 2005; 13(5):845–854. [PubMed: 15919837]
24. Faria SL, Faria OP, Careal MDA, Ito MK. Validation study of multi-frequency bioelectric impedance with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry among obese patients. *Obes Surg*. 2014; 24(9):1476–1480. [PubMed: 24464546]
25. Hall KD. Diet versus exercise in “the biggest losers” weight loss competition. *Obesity*. 2013; 21(5):957–959. [PubMed: 23404767]
26. King WC, Hsu JY, Belle SH, et al. Pre- to postoperative changes in physical activity: report from the longitudinal assessment of bariatric surgery-2 (LABS-2). *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2012; 8(5):522–532. [PubMed: 21944951]
27. Chapman N, Hill K, Taylor S, Hassanali M, Straker L, Hamdorf J. Patterns of physical activity and sedentary behavior after bariatric surgery: an observational study. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2014; 103:524–530.

Table 1

Demographic characteristics and comparisons of FFM

Surgery (%)	N	Gender		Age Mean $\pm$ SD	Postsurgery BMI Mean $\pm$ SD	F/U, mo Mean $\pm$ SD	% FFM	Range	P values*
		F (%)	M (%)						
RYGB (42.5)	107	82 (76.6)	25 (23.4)	44.6 $\pm$ 9.6 44.6 $\pm$ 9.7	30.8 $\pm$ 5.2 30.7 $\pm$ 5.1	19.4 $\pm$ 10.6	66.5 $\pm$ 9.2 63.4 $\pm$ 8.2	47.8–91.2 46.2–83.5	<.0001
BPD/DS (24.6)	62	39 (62.9)	23 (37.1)	45.0 $\pm$ 9.3 45.1 $\pm$ 9.3	29.0 $\pm$ 5.7 28.9 $\pm$ 5.7	28.2 $\pm$ 16.1	74.0 $\pm$ 9.4 67.1 $\pm$ 9.2	51.6–90.5 48.5–83.6	<.0001
AGB (15.9)	40	28 (70.0)	12 (30.0)	39.9 $\pm$ 10.7 40.1 $\pm$ 10.4	36.9 $\pm$ 5.6 37.2 $\pm$ 5.7	21.5 $\pm$ 9.3	59.8 $\pm$ 7.0 58.3 $\pm$ 8.9	49.5–76.2 46.4–86.1	<.3068
LSG (17.1)	43	32 (74.4)	11 (25.6)	47.7 $\pm$ 14.8 47.5 $\pm$ 14.2	39.5 $\pm$ 7.2 39.6 $\pm$ 7.6	35.9 $\pm$ 19.5	59.6 $\pm$ 9.8 55.7 $\pm$ 10.1	43.7–82.7 33.7–78.0	<.0003
Total surgeries	252	181 (71.8)	71 (28.2)	44.5 $\pm$ 11.0 44.5 $\pm$ 10.9	32.8 $\pm$ 7.0 32.8 $\pm$ 7.1	24.7 $\pm$ 15.0	66.1 $\pm$ 10.5 62.2 $\pm$ 9.8	43.7–91.2 33.7–86.1	<.0001

AGB = adjustable gastric band; BPD/DS = biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch; F = female; FFM = fat-free mass; F/U = follow-up time; LSG = laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; M = male; RYGB = Roux-en-Y gastric bypass.

The % FFM was significantly different for 3 of the types of surgery compared with matched controls. For the AGB procedure, which produced less weight loss, FFM was not different.

* P values by paired *t* test.

Table 2

Pairwise comparisons of surgical procedure effects on FFM

Group comparisons		Difference between means	95% confidence intervals for FFM means	Adjusted <i>P</i> value
AGB	BPD/DS	−14.23	−19.02 to −9.43	<.0001
AGB	RYGB	−6.71	−11.09 to −2.32	.0006
AGB	LSG	0.21	−4.97 to 5.40	.9996
BPD/DS	RYGB	7.52	3.77–11.26	<.0001
BPD/DS	LSG	14.44	9.78–19.09	<.0001
RYGB	LSG	6.92	2.68–11.15	.0002

AGB = adjustable gastric band; BPD/DS = biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch; FFM = fat-free mass; LSG = laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; RYGB = Roux-en-Y gastric bypass.

Pairwise comparisons were significant for all groups except AGB versus LSG.