Published in final edited form as:

J Immigr Minor Health. 2017 June ; 19(3): 552–561. doi:10.1007/s10903-016-0426-y.

Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms and their Relationship to Drug and Alcohol use in an International Sample of Latino Immigrants

Zorangeli Ramos¹, Lisa R. Fortuna^{1,2}, Michelle Porche³, Ye Wang¹, Patrick E. Shrout⁴, Stephen Loder¹, Samantha McPeck¹, Nestor Noyola¹, Manuela Toro¹, Rodrigo Carmona⁵, and Margarita Alegría¹

¹Disparities Research Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

²Boston University Medical School, Boston Medical Center, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Boston, MA

³Boston University School of Education, Boston, MA

⁴Department of Psychology, New York University, New York, NY

⁵Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain

Abstract

Purpose—We identify the prevalence and correlates of posttraumatic stress (PTSD) symptoms and their relationship to alcohol and substance use disorders (AUD/SUD) among Latino immigrants in two countries.

Methods—A screening battery assessing PTSD symptoms (PCL-C), alcohol use (AUDIT), drug abuse (DAST), and psychological measures was administered to 567 Latino immigrants recruited in clinics. We used logistical regression analyses to evaluate the relationship between PTSD symptoms and AUD/SUD.

Results—Prevalence of elevated PTSD symptoms was high (53.7% in Boston, 48.0% in Madrid and, 43.8% in Barcelona). Screening positive for psychological measures was significantly correlated to screening positive on the PCL-C ($p < 0.001$). Significant gender differences in risk of AUD/SUD were moderated by PTSD symptoms. Presence of any PTSD symptoms predicted problems with benzodiazepine misuse.

Conclusions—Given the high rates of co-morbidity between PTSD symptoms and AUD/SUD, we recommend early interventions for dual pathology for Latino immigrants with trauma history.

Keywords

PTSD; alcohol use; substance use; Latinos; immigrants

Address for all correspondence: Margarita Alegría, 50 Staniford St, 9th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts, USA 02114-2698, Tel: +1 617-724-4987 Fax: +1 617-726-4120, malegría@mgh.harvard.edu.

No conflicts of interest are declared;

Ethics Approval: The study was approved by the institutional review boards of the Cambridge Health Alliance in Somerville, MA, the Fundación Jimenez Diaz in Madrid and Vall D'Hebron University Hospital in Barcelona.

Introduction

The association between post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and alcohol/substance use disorders (AUDs/SUDs) is well established, particularly among veterans, adolescents, and those in substance abuse treatment (1). Individuals who meet criteria for PTSD have up to 4.5 times the likelihood of having co-morbid AUDs/SUDs (2). Studies demonstrate more pervasive functional impairment and psychiatric and medical co-morbidities among individuals with partial PTSD (not meeting full criteria) than trauma-exposed individuals not meeting PTSD criteria (3, 4). Little is known about the relationship between PTSD symptom clusters (i.e. re-experiencing, numbing/avoidance and hyper-arousal) and AUDs/SUDs among adult Latinos, who are often at high risk for PTSD due to trauma exposure, migration, and residence in communities with high crime rates (5, 6). We examine this relationship in an international sample of Latino immigrants. In the U.S., Latinos are the largest, fastest growing immigrant group (7), and in Spain constitute approximately 20% of the immigrant population (8).

The self-medication hypothesis posits that individuals exposed to trauma are at risk of substance use to manage PTSD symptoms (9, 10). Regarding symptom clusters, re-experiencing symptoms have significant associations with alcohol abuse (11). Elevated hyper-arousal symptoms (hyper-vigilance, irritability, exaggerated startle response) are associated with drug use, while avoidance and numbing symptoms are more common among alcohol users (12). In veteran populations, all three symptom clusters have significant associations with both AUDs and SUDs (13).

Psychosocial factors, such as acculturation, family cultural conflict, and perceived discrimination may explain variations in PTSD (14) and AUDs/SUDs development (15). Studies have shown racial discrimination to moderate the relationship between PTSD and AUDs/SUDs (16, 17); strong ethnic identity may buffer the risk of AUDs/SUDs (18), while race-related stress may increase PTSD symptom severity (19). Acculturation stress, family cultural conflict, and discrimination are correlated with AUDs/SUDs, while family cohesion has been shown to be protective (15).

We investigated the prevalence of probable PTSD and the association of PTSD symptom clusters with AUDs/SUDs among Latino immigrants in both countries, and tested for differences given varied socio-cultural and clinical factors. Understanding which PTSD symptom clusters are associated with AUDs/SUDs is essential for developing risk profiles and screening protocols for prevention interventions, and for assisting providers in treatment decisions. We identified psycho-socio-cultural factors common to participants with probable PTSD which may contribute to AUDs/SUDs risk.

Methods

Setting and Study Sample

This study was approved by the institutional review boards of participating institutions. Participants were recruited from primary care, mental health, substance use, and HIV clinics in Boston, Massachusetts, and Madrid and Barcelona, Spain, between July 2013 and August

2014. Clinics were community-based or safety-net health organizations serving diverse, often low-income Latino populations (demographics in Table 1).

Procedures

Research assistants recruited participants directly in clinic waiting rooms or by calling patients attending clinics and community agencies. After obtaining informed consent, research assistants conducted 90-minute audio-recorded interviews. Participants were compensated with \$40/30€ gift cards. Both the recruitment and interviews were conducted in Spanish.

Measures

The **Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-C)** (20) is a 17-item self-report PTSD screen. Participants rate symptoms over the past month in relation to traumatic experiences. Likert scale ratings (1 = *not at all* to 5 = *extremely*) are scored to examine whether a participant meets PTSD criteria by identifying items selected for each criterion (re-experiencing, avoidance/numbing, and hyperarousal symptoms) of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV-TR (DSM-IV) diagnosis, and for severity of PTSD by summing items. Scores range from 17 to 85; the score of 50 or more indicates possible PTSD diagnosis. Internal consistency in the current study was $\alpha = 0.97$.

Symptom cluster variables were constructed by adding questions for each PTSD criterion as defined in the DSM-IV, since the study began before publication of DSM-V (i.e., at least one item for the re-experiencing cluster, at least three items for the avoidance cluster, and at least two items for the hyper-arousal cluster).

The **Brief Trauma Questionnaire (BTQ)** (21), a 10-item self-report measure, identifies participants exposed to different types of traumatic events, and whether events meet criterion A.1 for PTSD of the DSM-IV-TR (22). Traumatic events included: war trauma, natural disasters, life threatening illnesses, car accidents, physical and sexual abuse, death of a loved one, and witnessing others being attacked. Participants answer “yes” or “no” to each item.

The **Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)** (23) is a 10-item scale developed by the World Health Organization to identify harmful and hazardous drinking. Three domains are assessed: quantity and frequency of alcohol intake, dependency symptoms, and alcohol related problems. Scores range from 0 to 40, with a score ≥ 10 denoting hazardous or harmful drinking ($\alpha = 0.90$).

The **Drug Abuse Screening Test-10 (DAST-10)** (24) is a self-report measure that assesses substance abuse. “Yes” items are added, with scores from 0 to 10. A cut-off score of 3 indicates drug abuse or drug dependence ($\alpha = 0.91$). Hereafter, we use the terminology and criteria of DSM-V substance use disorder (SUD) when referring to diagnostic categories that subsumed what was previously substance abuse and substance dependence disorders found in DSM-IV. The terminology of “abuse” and “dependence” refer to the screening symptom cutoff scores for the DAST-10.

The **Benzodiazepines Dependence Questionnaire** (BDEPQ) (25) is a 30-item measure that asks participants about experiences with benzodiazepine tranquilizers, sedatives, and hypnotics over the last month. We selected 12 items with higher loading and face validity for Latinos. Items were summed. Higher scores denote problems with benzodiazepine dependence ($\alpha = 0.88$).

The **Patient Health Questionnaire** (PHQ-9) assesses nine criteria upon which a depression diagnosis is based, using DSM-IV criteria for major depressive disorder ($\alpha = 0.89$) (26).

The **General Anxiety Disorder 7** (GAD-7) is a brief clinical measure for the assessment of generalized anxiety ($\alpha = 0.90$) (27).

Experiences of discrimination in the host country over the last year were measured using three questions from the Family Environment Scale (28), translated into Spanish (29). Responses on a 3-point Likert scale (from *rarely or never* to *frequently*) are summed. Higher scores indicate more discrimination experiences ($\alpha = 0.71$).

The **Ethnic Identity Scale** (EIS) is a 3-item measure derived from a subset of the Cultural Identify Scale for Latinos (30). Responses are on a 4-point Likert scale. Lower scores indicate stronger ethnic identity ($\alpha = 0.78$).

The **Family Cultural Conflict Scale** is a 5-item measure derived from the Family/Culture Stress subscale of the Hispanic Stress Inventory (HIS) (31). The scale measures cultural and intergenerational conflict in relation to disagreement between participant goals and values vs. family goals and values. Responses are on a 0 to 2 Likert scale (from *rarely or never* to *frequently*). Higher scores indicate higher family conflict ($\alpha = 0.76$).

Sense of Belonging was assessed using one item of the Family/Culture subscale of the HIS; “Do you feel like you do not belong to either your Latin country and U.S./Spain?” (31). Responses are on a 0 to 2 scale (from *rarely or never* to *frequently*); higher scores indicate poorer sense of belonging.

In prior studies, many of these measures, including the PCL-C, AUDIT, and HIS items, were adapted or validated for use with Spanish-speaking populations (29, 32–34).

Data Analysis

To address missing data in the variables of interest (less than 19% missing on the DAST ; five unanswered responses to the PCL-C, psychosocial and sociodemographic status variables), we implemented multiple imputations using the MI procedure in Stata (35). This technique creates 20 complete datasets, imputes missing values using a chained equations approach, analyzes each dataset, combines estimates and adjusts standard errors for uncertainty due to imputation (36). For the DAST, we imputed scores for a subset of patients who did not receive the full DAST module due to an incorrect questionnaire skip pattern, but reported use of substances elsewhere in the survey.

We calculated PCL-C frequency for the entire sample and separately for each site, and disaggregated the sample into subgroups by PCL-C screening status (positive or negative).

We examined distributions of socio-demographics, clinical profile, and psychosocial factors between the groups to detect significant differences between subgroups by regression over PCL-C screening status (see Table 1).

To test the relationship of PTSD symptom clusters, socio-demographics, clinical profile and psychosocial factors with positive screen for alcohol and drug, we used logistic regressions to investigate these outcomes: alcohol misuse only, benzodiazepines misuse only and drug (excluding benzodiazepines) misuse. Patients who positively screened for both alcohol and benzodiazepines were treated as benzodiazepine misuse, because we assumed that any combined use of benzodiazepines with alcohol could easily escalate to more harmful drug use. Patients who screened positively for both alcohol and non-benzodiazepines drug were treated as drug abuse. We categorized individuals with dual substance use problems to differentiate them from those with alcohol as a singular problem in the analyses. For each outcome, we fit models to a sample including participants without substance use problems and participants positive in that specific outcome. Our full model included clinical measures, PTSD symptom clusters, socio-demographics and psychosocial factors with interaction terms entered additionally as presented in Model (A) and Model (B). Model (A) examined interactions of age group and trauma/PCL-C; Model (B) tested interactions between gender and trauma/ PCL-C. Omnibus test were used to detect the joint significance of the interactions. Several sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the robustness of the results. First, we ran analyses controlling for type of recruitment site to account for potential sample selection issues. In separate analyses, we categorized the status of immigrants as undocumented or documented instead of being a citizen or not, to test association to substance use problems. The relation between PTSD symptoms and multiple substance use was addressed by logistic models in a subsample of participants with multiple substance use problem and those without substance use problems. Lastly, we implemented all regressions in the unimputed sample to evaluate the consistency of findings.

We reported predicted probabilities of each outcome by clinical profile and socio-demographics based on estimates from the full model, taking into account significant cross products of PTSD clusters and socio-demographics. Predicted probability of each covariate was calculated while holding other covariates at sample means.

Results

Of the total sample, 47.8% screened positive on the PCL-C: 53.7% in Boston, 47.9% in Madrid, and 43.8% in Barcelona, with no significant differences between unadjusted rates detected across sites. Table 1 summarizes socio-demographics, clinical measures and psychosocial factors by PCL-C screening status. The only significant difference detected across groups was socioeconomic condition; those living paycheck-to-paycheck were more likely to score positively on the PCL-C. Individuals who scored positive on the PCL-C scored higher for symptoms of depression and generalized anxiety. Reported discrimination, elevated family conflict, lower ethnic identity, and lower sense of belonging were psychosocial factors significantly associated with positive screen in the PCL-C.

Each panel in Table 2 has an estimation of the likelihood of a specific positive AUD/SUD outcome and odds ratios of model predictors. For alcohol disorder (Panel 1), although positively correlated with higher odds ($ORs > 1$), most PTSD clusters remained insignificant after adjusting for individual confounding factors, i.e., age, gender, race, and economic status. Interactions for age group and PTSD clusters were significant in Model (A), suggesting that older participants (35+) with re-experiencing symptoms had elevated risk of alcohol disorder. Females that experienced numbing or avoidance, as suggested by Model (B), were more likely to engage in alcohol misuse. However, PTSD clusters jointly were not significantly related to alcohol misuse. Level of depression symptoms was positively associated with benzodiazepines misuse (Panel 2), but not with any of the PTSD clusters.

Being female and living more years in the host country were positively related to drug misuse (Panel 3). Model (B) suggested older females (35+) as significantly less likely to misuse drugs as younger females. The interaction of gender and PTSD clusters were jointly significant; suggesting that females with symptoms of numbing or avoidance were significantly positively associated with drug misuse. Comparatively, females experiencing hyper-arousal were significantly less likely to misuse drugs. Because all patients age 35 and above and all females (except for one patient) reported a traumatic experience and problems with other drugs, interactions between age group (female) and trauma add no further information than age group (female) alone in predicting misuse. The tests for trauma and age and trauma and gender were omitted in Models (A) and (B). Adjusting for type of recruitment site, previous significant explanatory power of interactions was non-significant. This result was unsurprising because individual and clinical characteristics (i.e. substance clinics) were confounded with the recruitment sites chosen to optimize diversity of the patient sample.

Additional sensitivity analyses found that among PTSD clusters, only hyper-arousal was associated with higher odds of multiple substance use problems for both genders. As with citizenship status, undocumented status was not significant in predicting substance misuse. Estimates of similar magnitudes and significance levels were found in the sensitivity test using the unimputed sample.

Table 3 shows the predicted probabilities of misusing alcohol/drugs as a function of PTSD clusters and demographic characteristics. PTSD clusters were most related to misuse of benzodiazepines, as patients with re-experiencing symptoms or hyper-arousal symptoms were almost twice as likely as patients with no symptoms to report misuse. Young adults were more likely to misuse other drugs than older adults. As expected, males were more likely to report alcohol, benzodiazepines, and other drug misuse than females.

Breaking down PTSD clusters by age group, young adults had consistently higher chances of misuse of other drugs regardless of PTSD symptoms, as shown in Table 3 column (3). This relationship was not found in alcohol or benzodiazepine misuse. Reviewing the relationship of PTSD clusters to alcohol and drug use by gender, males with any PTSD clusters were generally more likely to have problematic alcohol and drug use. For other drug misuse, gender differences were small, partly because misuse in other drugs was rare overall.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies examining the relationship between PTSD symptom clusters and AUDs/SUDs in Latino immigrants. Probable PTSD rate was high (47.8%) across sites, comparable with rates of PTSD found in other non-Latino specific populations: 32% in hospitalized patients (37), more than 50% in treatment-seeking populations with lifetime PTSD (38), 59–65% in veteran samples (39), and 25–50% in substance abuse treatment-seeking populations (10, 40). However, the rates are substantially higher than other primary care population studies screening co-morbid PTSD: 11.8% in a White middle-class sample being treated for depression, anxiety, and SUD (41), and 36–37% among depressed veterans (42, 43). The low prevalence rate of PTSD for the White sample correlated to fewer instances of personal trauma (e.g., injury, sexual abuse/assault) compared to war trauma experienced by veterans or migration trauma of immigrant Latinos.

In this study, participants who may have experienced challenges in migration adjustment were more likely to screen positive on the PCL-C. In unadjusted models, these individuals tended to have fewer economic resources and had lived longer in the U.S or Spain. They were less likely to feel a sense of belonging and reported higher incidence of discrimination, weaker ethnic identity, and greater family conflict. A study using population data documented higher rates of perceived discrimination for more acculturated Latinos, i.e., with higher English-proficiency and greater integration in U.S. culture, particularly for Latinos arriving in the U.S. at younger ages with more time spent in the U.S. (44). This constellation of characteristics suggests the need for greater material and social supports to ease adjustment for immigrant Latinos, thus buffering traumatic stress. Although the ability to address such supports in the clinical setting is limited, practitioners could enhance potential protective factors, including sense of belonging and ethnic identity.

Consistent with the literature, our results show high co-morbidity between PTSD symptoms, depression, anxiety, and AUDs/SUDs among Latino immigrants. Research shows that when PTSD does not improve or worsens after treatment of AUDs/SUDs, individuals risk relapse (11). Moreover, improvements in substance problems do not guarantee PTSD improvements (45). Therefore, we recommend that practitioners screen for PTSD when Latinos present with symptoms of depression, anxiety, and/or substance use problems and make appropriate referrals. Researchers recommend integrated treatment for both PTSD and AUDs/SUDs (46), which results in positive outcomes for both disorders (45, 47).

In adjusted tests of associations between PTSD symptom clusters and AUDs/SUDs, PTSD symptom clusters did not directly correlate with alcohol or drug use problems. However, gender and age moderated several associations. The association between re-experiencing and alcohol use problems showed males and older adults at greater risk for alcohol use problems. Females with numbing and avoidance symptoms had higher risk of having drug use problems other than benzodiazepine use problems, while hyper-arousal decreased the risk of having other drug problems. Given PTSD symptoms, this pattern of drug use suggests that females may use substances to relieve emotional numbing or to assist in avoiding trauma triggered memories, consistent with previous research (12). Studies examining gender differences in the association between PTSD symptoms and AUDs/SUDs suggest that

differences may be accounted for by the tendency of men to have greater difficulties understanding and differentiating emotional states, leading to more alcohol or substance use to manage PTSD symptoms (48). Bornovalova and colleagues found that females with substance problems have difficulties controlling impulsive behavior when distressed (48). Participants 35 years-old or older had five times the reduced risk of other drug problems. This may reflect generational patterns of drug use and impulsivity that put younger immigrants at greater risk for particular substance use, while older adults, particularly men, are at greater risk of social drinking turning into alcohol problems (49).

This study found any presence of PTSD symptom clusters as associated with increased risk of benzodiazepine misuse. Given the significant association between positive screen of depression and PTSD in this study, those with benzodiazepine misuse might be over-prescribed benzodiazepines. Valenstein and colleagues suggest that providers may prescribe benzodiazepines at a fairly high rate for depressed patients because they are continuing previously prescribed prescriptions, avoiding confrontation with patients requesting these medications, or because benzodiazepines serve as early symptom relievers and may increase likelihood of patient compliance with antidepressant treatments (50). Participants with re-experiencing and hyper-arousal symptoms may be drawn to this type of drug for self-medication, increasing risk of use problems (51).

Limitations

Though the screener used in the current study provides an initial prevalence rate for trauma exposure and some information on types of trauma experience, inclusion of trauma type is beyond the scope of this paper. This initial investigation of symptom clusters incorporated a relatively large number of statistical tests, increasing the possibility of Type 1 errors; thus, we focus on results with p -values of higher significance ($p < 0.01$). Inclusion of psychosocial factors added little explanatory results to our adjusted models; however, these constructs were significant in unadjusted models and have meaningful theoretical validity. Further investigation is necessary to understand whether non-significant findings reflect a more relatively homogeneous experience for Latino immigrants at risk for co-occurring symptom clusters and substance use. The U.S. immigrant sample of Latinos on the East Coast lacks representation of those of Mexican or Cuban descent found in the west and the south. This, along with limited measurement of psychosocial constructs may explain the lack of findings related to psychosocial factors. We were unable to consistently gather reliable information regarding citizenship on legal status; however, research on low-income, recent Latino immigrants in the U.S. found that high levels of acculturative stress were not moderated by legal status (52).

Conclusion

Latino immigrants are a population at high risk for lifetime traumatic exposure(s) and for experiencing posttraumatic problems including PTSD, depression, anxiety, and alcohol and substance use. Rates of PTSD among Latino immigrants are closer to rates found in hospitalized (37) and veteran populations (39), much higher than non-immigrant populations in primary care (41). Because trauma-exposed Latino men are at high risk for AUDs/SUDs, we recommend early interventions, with attention to the role of masculine ideology as a

potential risk factor. Additionally, Latino immigrants with PTSD symptoms may be at risk of developing benzodiazepine use problems. We recommend that practitioners follow these patients closely to monitor use and continued need for benzodiazepine treatment. Benzodiazepines are not considered evidence-based treatments for PTSD (53). Furthermore, important differences appeared in the association of specific symptom clusters with AUDs/SUDs and risk variations by gender and age, suggesting a need to develop risk profiles and screening protocols for use in prevention and treatment interventions for specific subgroups of Latino immigrants.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support: work supported by NIDA Grant 5R01DA034952-02; NIMH supplement 3R01MH100155-01S1. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

References

1. Khoury L, Tang YL, Bradley B, Cubells JF, Ressler KJ. Substance use, childhood traumatic experience, and posttraumatic stress disorder in an urban civilian population. *Depress Anxiety*. 2010; 27(12):1077–1086. [PubMed: 21049532]
2. Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1995; 52(12):1048–1060. [PubMed: 7492257]
3. Breslau N, Lucia VC, Davis GC. Partial PTSD versus full PTSD: an empirical examination of associated impairment. *Psychol Med*. 2004; 34(07):1205–1214. [PubMed: 15697047]
4. Pietrzak RH, Goldstein RB, Southwick SM, Grant BF. Prevalence and Axis I comorbidity of full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: results from Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2011; 25(3):456–465. [PubMed: 21168991]
5. Chu T, Keller AS, Rasmussen A. Effects of post-migration factors on PTSD outcomes among immigrant survivors of political violence. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*. 2013; 15(5): 890–897. [PubMed: 22976794]
6. Fortuna LR, Porche MV, Alegria M. Political violence, psychosocial trauma, and the context of mental health services use among immigrant Latinos in the United States. *Ethn Health*. 2008; 13(5): 435–463. [PubMed: 18850369]
7. Grieco EM, Trevelyan E, Larsen L, Acosta YD, Gambino C, de la Cruz GP, et al. The size, place of birth, and geographic distribution of the foreign-born population in the United States: 1960 to 2010. Population Division Working Paper. 2012; 96
8. (INE) EINE. España en cifras 2013. Madrid: Editorial Instituto Nacional de Estadística; 2013.
9. Hruska B, Delahanty DL. PTSD-SUD biological mechanisms: Self-medication and beyond. 2014
10. Jacobsen LK, Southwick SM, Kosten TR. Substance use disorders in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder: a review of the literature. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001; 158(8):1184–1190. [PubMed: 11481147]
11. Read JP, Brown PJ, Kahler CW. Substance use and posttraumatic stress disorders: Symptom interplay and effects on outcome. *Addict Behav*. 2004; 29(8):1665–1672. [PubMed: 15451135]
12. Sullivan TP, Holt LJ. PTSD symptom clusters are differentially related to substance use among community women exposed to intimate partner violence. *J Trauma Stress*. 2008; 21(2):173–180. [PubMed: 18404637]
13. Shipherd JC, Stafford J, Tanner LR. Predicting alcohol and drug abuse in Persian Gulf War veterans: what role do PTSD symptoms play? *Addict Behav*. 2005; 30(3):595–599. [PubMed: 15718078]

14. Alcántara C, Casement MD, Lewis-Fernández R. Conditional risk for PTSD among Latinos: A systematic review of racial/ethnic differences and sociocultural explanations. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2013; 33(1):107–119. [PubMed: 23159328]
15. Savage JE, Mezuk B. Psychosocial and contextual determinants of alcohol and drug use disorders in the National Latino and Asian American Study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2014; 139:71–78. [PubMed: 24742864]
16. Pole N, Best SR, Metzler T, Marmar CR. Why are Hispanics at greater risk for PTSD? *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*. 2005; 11(2):144. [PubMed: 15884985]
17. Ford JD. Trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and ethnoracial minorities: Toward diversity and cultural competence in principles and practices. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 2008; 15(1):62–67.
18. Smith TB, Silva L. Ethnic identity and personal well-being of people of color: a meta-analysis. *J Couns Psychol*. 2011; 58(1):42. [PubMed: 21171745]
19. Khaylis A, Waelde L, Bruce E. The role of ethnic identity in the relationship of race-related stress to PTSD symptoms among young adults. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*. 2007; 8(4):91–105. [PubMed: 18077286]
20. Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther*. 1996; 34(8):669–673. [PubMed: 8870294]
21. Schnurr, P., Vielhauer, M., Weathers, F., Findler, M. The brief trauma questionnaire. White River Junction, VT: National Center for PTSD; 1999.
22. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual-text revision (DSM-IV-TRim-2000). American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
23. Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, Monteiro MG. Audit. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): Guidelines for use in primary care. 2001
24. Yudko E, Lozhkina O, Fouts A. A comprehensive review of the psychometric properties of the Drug Abuse Screening Test. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2007; 32(2):189–198. [PubMed: 17306727]
25. Baillie AJ, Mattick RP. The benzodiazepine dependence questionnaire: development, reliability and validity. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1996; 169(3):276–281. [PubMed: 8879712]
26. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ-9: a new depression diagnostic and severity measure. *Psychiatric Annals*. 2002; 32(9):1–7.
27. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006; 166(10):1092–1097. [PubMed: 16717171]
28. Moos, RH., Moos, BS. Family environment scale manual. Consulting Psychologists Press; 1994.
29. Alegria M, Takeuchi D, Canino G, Duan N, Shrout P, Meng XL, et al. Considering context, place and culture: the National Latino and Asian American Study. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 2004; 13(4):208–220. [PubMed: 15719529]
30. Felix-Ortiz M, Newcomb MD, Myers H. A multidimensional measure of cultural identity for Latino and Latina adolescents. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*. 1994; 16(2):99–115.
31. Cervantes RC, Padilla AM, Salgado de Snyder N. The Hispanic Stress Inventory: A culturally relevant approach to psychosocial assessment. *Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1991; 3(3):438.
32. Canino G, Vila D, Normand S-LT, Acosta-Pérez E, Ramírez R, García P, et al. Reducing asthma health disparities in poor Puerto Rican children: the effectiveness of a culturally tailored family intervention. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008; 121(3):665–670. [PubMed: 18061648]
33. Marshall GN. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptom checklist: factor structure and English–Spanish measurement invariance. *J Trauma Stress*. 2004; 17(3):223–230. [PubMed: 15253094]
34. Ramos, Z., Ault-Brutus, A., Epelbaum, C., Orozco, A., Kafali, N., Alegria, M. Differential outcomes for Latinos with trauma exposure participating in a short depression intervention. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy Under Review*;
35. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2011.
36. Allison, PD. Missing data series: Quantitative applications in the social sciences. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage; 2002.

37. McFarlane A, Schrader G, Bookless C, Browne D. Prevalence of victimization, posttraumatic stress disorder and violent behaviour in the seriously mentally ill. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2006; 40(11–12):1010–1015. [PubMed: 17054570]
38. Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ, Bernardy NC. Research on posttraumatic stress disorder: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and assessment. *J Clin Psychol*. 2002
39. Brief DJ, Rubin A, Keane TM, Enggasser JL, Roy M, Helmuth E, et al. Web intervention for OEF/OIF veterans with problem drinking and PTSD symptoms: A randomized clinical trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2013; 81(5):890. [PubMed: 23875821]
40. Naifeh JA, Tull MT, Gratz KL. Anxiety sensitivity, emotional avoidance, and PTSD symptom severity among crack/cocaine dependent patients in residential treatment. *Cognit Ther Res*. 2012; 36(3):247–257.
41. Stein MB, McQuaid JR, Pedrelli P, Lenox R, McCahill ME. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the primary care medical setting. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2000; 22(4):261–269. [PubMed: 10936633]
42. Gerrity MS, Corson K, Dobscha SK. Screening for posttraumatic stress disorder in VA primary care patients with depression symptoms. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007; 22(9):1321–1324. [PubMed: 17634781]
43. Campbell DG, Felker BL, Liu C-F, Yano EM, Kirchner JE, Chan D, et al. Prevalence of depression–PTSD comorbidity: Implications for clinical practice guidelines and primary care-based interventions. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007; 22(6):711–718. [PubMed: 17503104]
44. Pérez DJ, Fortuna L, Alegria M. Prevalence and correlates of everyday discrimination among US Latinos. *J Community Psychol*. 2008; 36(4):421–433. [PubMed: 19960098]
45. Back SE, Killeen TK, Teer AP, Hartwell EE, Federline A, Beylotte F, et al. Substance use disorders and PTSD: An exploratory study of treatment preferences among military veterans. *Addict Behav*. 2014; 39(2):369–373. [PubMed: 24199930]
46. Najavits LM, Johnson KM. Pilot study of Creating Change, a new past-focused model for PTSD and substance abuse. *The American Journal on Addictions*. 2014; 23(5):415–422. [PubMed: 24628840]
47. Najavits LM, Hien D. Helping vulnerable populations: a comprehensive review of the treatment outcome literature on substance use disorder and PTSD. *J Clin Psychol*. 2013; 69(5):433–479. [PubMed: 23592045]
48. Bornoalova MA, Ouimette P, Crawford AV, Levy R. Testing gender effects on the mechanisms explaining the association between post-traumatic stress symptoms and substance use frequency. *Addict Behav*. 2009; 34(8):685–692. [PubMed: 19423233]
49. Willoughby T, Good M, Adachi PJ, Hamza C, Tavernier R. Examining the link between adolescent brain development and risk taking from a social–developmental perspective. *Brain Cogn*. 2013; 83(3):315–323. [PubMed: 24128659]
50. Valenstein M, Taylor KK, Austin K, Kales HC, McCarthy JF, Blow FC. Benzodiazepine use among depressed patients treated in mental health settings. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004
51. Fatséas M, Lavie E, Denis C, Auriacombe M. Self-perceived motivation for benzodiazepine use and behavior related to benzodiazepine use among opiate-dependent patients. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2009; 37(4):407–411. [PubMed: 19339146]
52. Arbona C, Olvera N, Rodriguez N, Hagan J, Linares A, Wiesner M. Acculturative stress among documented and undocumented Latino immigrants in the United States. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*. 2010; 32(3):362–384. [PubMed: 25484488]
53. Guina J, Rossetter SR, DeRhodes BJ, Nahhas RW, Welton RS. Benzodiazepines for PTSD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*®. 2015; 21(4):281–303. [PubMed: 26164054]

Table 1

Sample Characteristics By PCL-C Screening Status (n=562)

	PCL-C Screening Negative (n=291)		PCL-C Screening Positive (n=271)		
	% or mean	sd	% or mean	sd	p values
Socio-demographics					
Age Group					
18–34	30 %		30%		0.993
35+	70%		70%		
Gender					
Male	38 %		38%		0.836
Female	62%		62%		.
Race					
Nonwhite	80 %		73%		0.096
White	20%		27%		.
Economic status					
Live very well or comfortably	34 %		25%		0.036
Live check-to-check or poor	66%		75%		.
Clinical Profile					
Depression (PHQ-9)	4.5	(3.90)	12.6	(5.77)	<0.001
Generalized Anxiety (GAD-7)	3.1	(3.44)	9.6	(5.03)	<0.001
Drug Misuse (DAST)	0.2	(0.87)	0.8	(2.08)	<0.001
Alcohol Misuse (AUDIT)	3.5	(5.21)	7.5	(9.19)	<0.001
Benzodiazepines	0.8	(2.29)	4.7	(5.62)	<0.001
Any Trauma Exposure					
No	25 %		7%		<0.001
Yes	75%		93%		.
Psychosocial Factors					
Citizenship					
Noncitizen	41 %		38%		0.521
Citizen	59%		62%		.
Sense of Belonging					
No	20 %		40%		<0.001
Yes	80%		60%		.
Mean Years in US/Spain	14.40	(9.63)	16.40	(11.52)	0.024
Number of Home Visits in the Past 12 Months	0.30	(0.69)	0.40	(0.72)	0.156
Discrimination Scale	0.50	(1.06)	1.10	(1.31)	<0.001
Ethnic Identity Scale	9.70	(2.09)	9.20	(2.41)	0.007
Family Conflict Scale	1.00	(1.53)	2.50	(2.23)	<0.001

Association Between Alcohol/Drug Positive, Clinical, Socio-demographics, and Psychosocial Factors (Presented as Odds Ratios [OR]) (n=562)

Table 2

	Panel 1: Alcohol Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=370)		Panel 2: Benzodiazepines Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=360)		Panel 3: Drug (Other than Benzodiazepines) Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=332)	
	Model (A) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group	Model (B) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group	Model (A) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group	Model (B) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group	Model (A) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group	Model (B) (3)+ Interaction of PCL-C and Age Group
Depression (PHQ-9)	1.06 [0.95,1.17]	1.12* [1.01,1.24]	1.23*** [1.09,1.39]	1.26*** [1.11,1.44]	1.15 [0.98,1.36]	1.27** [1.07,1.51]
Generalized Anxiety (GAD-7)	1.04 [0.93,1.16]	0.99 [0.89,1.11]	1.01 [0.89,1.13]	1.01 [0.89,1.14]	1.11 [0.95,1.30]	1.13 [0.95,1.34]
Any Trauma Exposure	1.42 [0.36,5.61]	1.37 [0.43,4.41]	0.52 [0.04,6.49]	0.54 [0.05,5.49]	2.69 [0.23,31.66]	1.19 [0.09,15.14]
Re-experiencing	0.30 [0.06,1.49]	1.84 [0.66,5.17]	1.15 [0.12,11.58]	1.28 [0.24,6.96]	1.39 [0.29,6.71]	0.48 [0.04,5.95]
Numbing or Avoidance	2.30 [0.49,10.86]	0.11* [0.02,0.63]	0.65 [0.08,5.52]	0.12* [0.02,0.91]	0.76 [0.12,4.98]	0.00*** [0.00,0.07]
Hyper-arousal	2.77 [0.52,14.61]	2.77 [0.71,10.75]	3.53 [0.38,32.55]	6.74 [0.81,56.26]	1.13 [0.19,6.55]	15.53* [1.08,223.91]
Sociodemographics						
Age Group 18-34 (ref)						
35+	0.81 [0.17,3.1]	0.78 [0.39,1.56]	0.09 [0.00,6.25]	1.38 [0.46,4.12]	0.08** [0.01,0.52]	0.04*** [0.01,0.16]
Female	0.25*** [0.13,0.47]	0.39 [0.09,1.74]	0.44 [0.19,1.05]	0.01* [0.00,0.84]	0.28* [0.09,0.83]	0.08* [0.01,0.64]

	Panel 1: Alcohol Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=370)	Panel 2: Benzodiazepines Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=360)	Panel 3: Drug (Other than Benzodiazepines) Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=332)			
White	1.44 [0.71,2.9 0]	1.71 [0.85,3.45]	1.90 [0.77,4.69]	1.75 [0.70,4.40]	0.74 [0.19,2.92]	1.25 [0.30,5.27]
Economic Status						
live						
very						
well or						
comfort						
ably						
(ref)						
live	0.69 [0.36,1.3 4]	0.71 [0.36,1.40]	3.35 [0.97,11.54 1]	3.48 [1.00,12.15]	2.27 [0.70,7.43]	2.35 [0.63,8.84]
check-to-check						
Citizenship	1.03 [0.53,1.9 8]	1.00 [0.52,1.94]	0.83 [0.34,2.06]	0.80 [0.32,1.99]	0.28* [0.08,0.96]	0.25 [0.06,1.03]
Sense of Belonging	0.90 [0.44,1.8 3]	0.98 [0.47,2.04]	0.86 [0.34,2.16]	1.15 [0.44,2.98]	0.89 [0.25,3.21]	1.01 [0.28,3.64]
Mean years in US/Spain	0.98 [0.94,1.0 1]	0.99 [0.95,1.02]	0.99 [0.95,1.03]	0.99 [0.95,1.03]	1.05 [0.99,1.11]	1.08* [1.01,1.16]
Number of Home Visits in the Past 12 Months	1.08 [0.64,1.8 2]	1.04 [0.62,1.74]	1.09 [0.54,2.23]	1.13 [0.50,2.58]	1.41 [0.78,2.55]	1.40 [0.71,2.75]
Discrimination Scale	0.90 [0.67,1.2 0]	0.89 [0.66,1.19]	1.10 [0.80,1.51]	1.14 [0.82,1.59]	0.65 [0.39,1.09]	0.63 [0.36,1.11]
Ethnic identity Scale	0.98 [0.85,1.1 3]	1.01 [0.88,1.17]	0.90 [0.76,1.07]	0.90 [0.76,1.07]	0.82 [0.65,1.04]	0.79 [0.63,1.01]
Family Conflict Scale	1.15 [0.98,1.3 7]	1.16 [0.99,1.38]	1.04 [0.84,1.28]	1.06 [0.86,1.32]	1.24 [0.94,1.65]	1.28 [0.95,1.72]
Interaction Terms						

	Panel 1: Alcohol Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=370)	Panel 2: Benzodiazepines Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=360)	Panel 3: Drug (Other than Benzodiazepines) Misuse Compared to No Misuse (n=332)
Trauma Exposure # Age Group 35+	0.82 [0.14,4.6 9]	8.42 [0.14,524.4 9]	Omitted due to colinearity
Re- experiencing # Age Group 35+	11.80** [1.92,72. 55]	3.20 [0.24,42.11]	2.01 [0.16,25.83]
Numbing or Avoidance # Age Group 35+	0.16 [0.02,1.0 5]	1.00 [0.10,10.26]	0.05 [0.00,1.33]
Hyper-arousal =1 # Age Group 35+	0.23 [0.03,1.6 3]	0.78 [0.07,9.25]	1.68 [0.12,24.44]
Trauma Exposure # Female	0.53 [0.10,2.84]	8.94 [0.12,687.3 8]	Omitted due to colinearity
Re- experiencing # Female	0.90 [0.20,3.95]	5.44 [0.49,60.19]	5.54 [0.25,122.4 6]
Numbing or Avoidance # Female	15.89** [2.11,119.4 9]	9.76* [1.04,91.23]	544.69*** [14.23,208 46.97]
Hyper-arousal # Female	0.15* [0.02,0.98]	0.41 [0.04,4.74]	0.01* [0.00,0.46]
F statistics from Omnibus test Omnibus Test)	2.52* 2.18	0.66 2.24	1.14 4.03***
Degree of freedom	4	4	3

Note. Sample is limited to patients with non-missing values in the PCL-C. Models were estimated based on one imputed data. 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) of ORs are reported in brackets.

*
p<0.05

**
p<0.01

p<0.00.

Table 3

Predicted Probabilities (Presented as Average Marginal Effects) by PCL Clusters and Demographics from Logistic Regression Model (3)

	(1) Alcohol Misuse	(2) Benzodiazepines Misuse	(3) Other Drug Misuse (Excluding Benzodiazepines)
PCL Clusters			
Re-experiencing			
No	0.11	0.03	0.01
Yes	0.17	0.08	0.01
Numbing or Avoidance			
No	0.14	0.05	0.01
Yes	0.09	0.03	0.00
Hyper-arousal			
No	0.13	0.03	0.01
Yes	0.13	0.10	0.01
Age Group			
18–34	0.14	0.03	0.08
35+	0.12	0.05	0.00
Gender			
Male	0.26	0.07	0.03
Female	0.08	0.03	0.01
PCL Clusters # Age Group			
Re-experiencing# 18–34	0.07	0.06	0.11
Re-experiencing# 35 +	0.25	0.09	0.00
Numbing or Avoidance # 18–34	0.24	0.02	0.02
Numbing or Avoidance # 35 +	0.06	0.03	0.00
Hyper-arousal # 18–34	0.26	0.07	0.07
Hyper-arousal # 35+	0.09	0.10	0.01
PCL Clusters # Gender			
Re-experiencing# Male	0.32	0.13	0.02
Re-experiencing# Female	0.11	0.06	0.01
Numbing or Avoidance # Male	0.19	0.05	0.00
Numbing or Avoidance # Female	0.06	0.02	0.01
Hyper-arousal # Male	0.26	0.15	0.25
Hyper-arousal # Female	0.08	0.06	0.00
Age Group # Gender			
18–34 Male	0.27	0.06	0.24
18–34 Female	0.09	0.02	0.05