Published in final edited form as:

Mol Pharm. 2016 July 05; 13(7): 2164–2171. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00992.

Effects of the Microparticle Shape on Cellular Uptake

Yuanzu He and Kinam Park

Purdue University, Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Pharmaceutics, West Lafayette, IN 47907, U.S.A

Abstract

Physical forms of microparticles and nanoparticles, such as the size, charge, and shape, are known to affect endocytosis. Improving the physical designs of the drug carriers can increase the drug uptake efficiency and the subsequent drug efficacy. Simple shapes, such as sphere and cylinder, have been studied for their ability for endocytosis. To have a better understanding of the shape effect on cellular uptake, different particle shapes were prepared, using the keyboard character shapes, and their impacts on cellular uptake were examined. The results showed that shapes with higher aspect ratio and sharper angular features have a higher chance of adhering to the cells and become internalized by the cancer cells. The local interaction between the cell membrane and the part of the microparticle in contact with the cell membrane also plays a crucial role in determining the outcome.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

Microparticle shape; size; aspect ratio; endocytosis; cellular uptake

1. INTRODUCTION

The physicochemical properties of drug molecules, such as size, shape, and charge have shown to influence the rate of diffusion through cells¹. The properties of nano/micro particles also play an important role in cell adhesion which is the first step to endocytosis of particles^{2, 3}. The physical properties (size and shape), surface properties (charge and hydrophilicity), and mechanical properties (stiffness) of particles are all known to affect endocytosis^{4–7}. Exploring and understanding the relationships between particle properties and endocytosis can increase the efficiency of drugs entering cancer cells by improving the particle design. An improved drug influx into the cancer cells can increase the concentration

Address correspondence: Kinam Park, Purdue University, Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, 206 S. Martin Jischke Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, kpark@purdue.edu.

of drug buildup inside the cells, making chemotherapy more effective. Improved delivery efficiency can also reduce the amount of cytotoxic drug needed to administer to the patient, making chemotherapy less harmful.

Studies have suggested that a smaller diameter allows the microsphere to adhere to cells faster and stronger^{8, 9}. Since strong adhesion between the nanoparticles and the cells is required for cells to internalize the nanoparticles, a smaller particle size would also imply there is a higher chance that the nanoparticles can be internalized by cells¹⁰. Other studies have suggested that smaller size particles directly contribute to faster internalization by cells^{11, 12}. Microrod particles were more effective in triggering non-specific cellular uptake with increased apoptotic signal and proliferation inhibition, as compared with spherical particles¹³. After endocytosis, different particles are known to spatially segregate in the cytoplasm based on their size and shape^{14, 15}. A study with various sizes (0.1–10 μm) and shapes (spheres vs. elliptical disks) indicates that spheres were endocytosed more rapidly, while disks circulated in the blood longer with higher targeting specificity in mice¹⁶. Anisotropic polymeric particles, produced by the thin film stretching procedure, showed their ability to evade nonspecific cellular uptake with subsequent enhanced targeted cellular uptake and interaction¹⁷.

The aspect ratio of the particles is known to be important in cellular uptake. Cylindrical or rod particles in micron sizes were internalized better than the cubic or spherical particles of similar volume^{18, 19}. Among the cylindrical shaped microparticles, the high aspect ratio cylindrical microparticles had up to 4 times faster internalization rate than the low aspect ratio cylindrical microparticles¹⁸. Another study, however, found that lower aspect ratio nano/micro particles had better uptake^{20, 21}. The different results may be due to the fact that those studies used different materials and surface properties, confounding effects in the cellular response. The local shape where the particle comes in contact with the cell and the angle of the local shape plays an important role in whether the particles can be internalized. It has been shown that high curvature regions contribute to particle phagocytosis²². Previous findings also suggest that the angle of the nanoparticle tangential to the cells or the orientation of the nanoparticles determines whether the phagocytosis would occur²³. These findings suggest that not only the overall shape of the nanoparticles affect cellular uptake, the part of the shape of nanoparticle that initially contacts the cell also plays a major role in whether the nanoparticles will be internalized.

The surface properties, such as charge and hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity, of particles play a role in cellular uptake. Since the cell membrane is negatively charged, positive charged particles are expected to have a strong adhesion to the cell membrane due to electrostatic attraction, as long as the surface is not covered by blood proteins. Cationic particles such as poly-L-lysine, polyethylenimine, transferrin, and chitosan have been used to coat the surface of the nanoparticles to increase adhesion to cells in in vitro cell culture studies. Cellular uptake of microparticles by neuron-like PC-12 cells was studied to examine the effect of hydrophilic (Tween 80 & Triton X100) and hydrophobic (Span 80) surfactants. The in vitro study showed that more hydrophilic particles showed higher cellular uptake efficiency²⁴. The mechanical properties are also known to affect cellular uptake. Soft particles with low stiffness were shown to be transported faster to lysosomes than stiffer ones²⁵.

The goal of this study was to examine the effect of different shapes of microparticles on cellular uptake in a qualitative manner using shapes that were not tested before. Microparticles of different shapes and sizes were prepared using microfabricated keyboard characters²⁶. Keyboard characters were chosen because there are various shape and sizes that can be tested for cellular uptake without any further manipulation of the shapes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation of microparticles of keyboard characters

Microparticles were fabricated based on the water-soluble polymer film, also known as the hydrogel template, method developed in our laboratory²⁶⁻²⁹. Fig. 1 shows an overall process. Keyboard characters were patterned on a silicon wafer²⁶. Then, an intermediate template of poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) with the protruded characters was made from the silicon wafer master template (Fig. 1-A). A clear poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) solution was then poured on top of the PDMS template and dried to form a film with wells in the shapes patterned on the PDMS template (Fig. 1-B). The PVA film was peeled away from the PDMS template and placed on a flat surface to expose microcavated wells (Fig. 1-C). Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) polymer was dissolved in solvent along with Nile red dye (Sigma Aldrich, MO). The mixture was then pipetted onto the PVA film surface and spread into the wells using a thin razor (Fig. 1-D). After drying at room temperature or in an oven (Fig. 1-E), the microparticles were freed by dissolving the PVA mold in Nanopure water with gentle shaking of the mixture. The free microparticles were collected by centrifugation (Fig. 1-F).

2.2. Fabrication of silicon wafer master template

To make a silicon wafer master template, a blank silicon wafer disk was spin coated with photoresist (Micronchem, MA) at a set rpm for 30 seconds followed by baking at 95 °C for 3 minutes. The baked silicon wafer was exposed to UV radiation through a chromium mask containing the micron size keyboard patterns for a period of time using Karl Suss Mask Aligner (SUSS MicroTec AG, Germany). After UV radiation, the photomask was post baked at 95 °C for 3 min. The silicon wafer was then soaked in a developer solution (Micronchem, MA) and sonicated in a Branson 5200 Ultrasonic Cleaner (Branson, CT) to dissolve away the uncross-linked photoresist regions blocked by the chromium mask patterns during UV radiation. The cross-linked region, which was not covered by the patterns, was not dissolved by the developer solution. Dissolving of the uncross-linked photoresist leaves wells in the shapes of the mask patterns. For the final step, the silicon wafer was rinsed with isopropanol and dried with nitrogen gas. The depth of the photoresist was controlled by the rotation speed of the spin coater, UV exposure time, and time in the developer solution. The manufactured silicon wafer master template could be used repeatedly for producing intermediate PDMS templates.

2.3. PDMS Template Fabrication

Once the silicon wafer master template was made, it was secured onto a flat glass plate by glue. The glass was then placed inside a pan for filling the PDMS gels. To make one PDMS template, 60 mL of Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer base (Ellsworth Adhesives, WI) was mixed with 6 mL of Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer initiator (Ellsworth Adhesives, WI).

After stirring the mixture for 1 minute, PDMS was poured into the pan to allow the mixture to cover the entire pan including the silicon wafer surface. The PDMS loaded pan was then placed inside a Laboport vacuum pump (KNF, NJ) to aspirate bubbles trapped inside the PDMS. After all the bubbles were removed, the PDMS loaded pan was placed inside an oven at 60 °C for 2 hours to solidify the PDMS gel. The finished PDMS was peeled and cut out of the pan and placed on a flat surface (Fig. 1-A).

2.4. Poly(vinyl Alcohol) (PVA) Film (Mold) Fabrication

PVA with molecular weight of 146,000~186,000 Da, 99+% hydrolyzed (PVA, Sigma-Aldrich Co., MO) was dissolved in a combination of deionized water and ethanol. Different concentrations of PVA and different water to ethanol ratios were tested to determine the best quality of film for polymer filling with fast solvent evaporation time. The PVA solution was placed inside an oven at 60 °C overnight and stirred with heat on a stirring plate the next day to completely dissolve the PVA.

The PVA solution was then pipetted onto the PDMS template (Akina, Inc., IN) with desired protruding patterns on the surface. The PVA solution was spread on the PDMS template surface by gently rocking the glass slide holding the wafer (Fig. 1-B). Once the PVA solution was spread evenly on the template, the template was then transferred to an oven and heated at 60 °C. The PVA solution on the PDMS template was checked every 5~10 minutes for at least the first hour to make sure the PVA solution did not aggregate in small islands or form bubbles. A pipette tip or a thin razor can be applied to spread the film and to eliminate the bubbles. Solvent was evaporated under elevated temperature leaving only the dry PVA film. Upon drying, the PVA film could be peeled off from the PDMS template surface and placed on a flat surface to expose keyboard characters (Fig. 1-C). The patterns would be imprinted as wells on the film surface with the desired shapes ready for filling.

2.5. Polymer Filling and Collection

Three types of polymers have been used for filling the PVA film: PLGA (L:G=50:50, Inherent viscosity of 0.55–0.75 dL/g, Durect, CA), polycaprolactone (PCL, M_n =10,000–15,000 Da, AP113, Akina, Inc., IN), and polystyrene (PS, M_w =35,000 Da Sigma-Aldrich Co., MO). Polymers were dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM, VWR International, PA), a mixture of ethyl acetate (VWR International, PA) and benzyl alcohol (VWR International, PA), or a mixture of DCM and benzyl alcohol. The solvent was mixed with the polymer and left at room temperature overnight to completely dissolve the polymers. Once the polymers were dissolved in the solvent, small quantities of Nile red solution was added to the polymer solution to fluorescently label the polymer.

The PVA film was stretched and secured onto a glass slide by tape. Polymer solution tagged with Nile red dye was pipetted onto one side of film in a line. A thin razor was applied to swipe the solution on the PVA film surface back and forth several times allowing the polymer to fill the wells on the film (Fig. 1-D). The number of swipes performed depended on the evaporation rate of the polymer solvent. The entire process was repeated on the same PVA film once or twice with a new razor each time for better polymer filling efficiency.

Once the polymer solution was dried (Fig. 1-E), the polymer-filled portion of the PVA film was cut out and dissolved in deionized water. Deionized water dissolved the PVA film, leaving only the free polymer microparticles (Fig. 1-F). The polymer/deionized water mixture was then filtered through a fine molecular sieve or filter paper to obtain pure microparticles. The final collected microparticle solution was either used for experiments immediately or frozen at -80°C and lyophilized for future use.

2.6. Microparticle Characterization

The prepared microparticles were visualized using a fluorescent microscope and a confocal microscope at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm. The microparticles were examined right after PVA filling, where the microparticles still remained in the PVA film wells, as well as after collecting free microparticles. The free microparticles were also dried and examined under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The size and shapes of the microparticles were examined.

2.7. LnCAP Cell Culture

The cell line used for the microparticle endocytosis study was LnCAP cells (ATCC, VA) which is a prostate cancer cell line. The cells were seeded in culture flasks for growing stocks or confocal culture dishes for imaging purposes. The medium used was RPMI-1640 medium (ATCC, VA) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, CA) and 1% (v/v) Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S, Invitrogen, CA). The culture flask was grown in the incubator at 37°C with 5% CO_2 .

To maintain cell viability, the medium was replaced every two days. When the cells reached 80% confluency, the cells were re-suspended using trypsin. The suspended cells were then seeded into a new culture flask at a lower concentration or a new confocal culture dish for cellular uptake imaging. To store the LnCAP cells, suspended cells were stored in complete growth medium supplemented with 5% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma Aldrich, MO). The solution was stored in cryogenic vials and frozen at -80°C in a cryo-chamber. For the imaging study, the cells were treated with 60 μL of 0.1 mg/mL BODIPY[®]FL C16 dye in a confocal culture dish. The confocal culture dish was then wrapped with foil and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour to allow the dye to attach to the cell membrane.

2.8. Confocal Imaging

The confocal microscope used for the cell and microparticle imaging was an inverted LSM 710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany). The platform was equipped with an incubation chamber that allowed the cells to be imaged at a maintained 37°C which was an ideal temperature for living cells. The confocal culture dish was fixed inside the incubation chamber. Associated Zen Light software was used to set the parameters and to control the operations of the device.

All the necessary parameters were manipulated using the Zeiss microscope software. Multiple excitation wavelengths were set to image the cell membrane dye and the microparticle tagged dye. The membrane dye boron-dipyrromethene[®]FL C16 (BODIPY[®]FL C16, Invitrogen, CA) was excited at a wavelength of 600 nm and Nile red dye was excited at

a wavelength of 485 nm. The quality of the image and the speed of image taken were set as compromised in the setting option.

Z-stack function was applied. Multiple images were taken along the z-direction to study the cells in depth. The in-depth imaging allowed the cells and the microparticle interactions to be visualized in 3-dimensions. This was used to figure out whether the microparticle was inside the cell or just next to the cell. Time lapse images were taken continuously at a set interval of 30 seconds or 1 minute. The total duration of study varies from 1.5 hours to 4 hours. The cells and microparticles could be monitored continuously. Changes in positions of the same cell and same microparticle could be tracked as time progresses. Multiple positions were taken on the confocal culture dishes simultaneously. Z-stack parameters were different but time lapse images were the same for different positions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Microparticle Fabrication

Three different types of polymers were used to make microparticles. PLGA was selected because it is a most commonly used polymer for fabricating microparticles, and it has been used in a dozen of biodegradable long-term depot formulations approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). PS and PCL were used as alternative polymers.

To fabricate the silicon wafer master template with the best quality, the depth of the photoresist, the exposure time of silicon wafer to UV radiation, and the contact proximity of the chromium mask to the silicon wafer were varied to determine the optimum combinations to produce the best quality silicon wafer. Of the photoresists of different thicknesses tested (2 μm , 5 μm , and 10 μm), the 2 μm thickness showed the most clear etching pattern after UV radiation. Fig. 2 shows bright field microscopic images of a silicon wafer keyboard characters with 2 μm and 10 μm photoresist depth. There was an optimum time for UV radiation exposure at each photoresist thickness. A longer or shorter exposure time resulted in blurry patterns on the silicon wafer. This could be contributed to the under-development or over-development of the photoresist. Close contact was preferred to loose contact for the space between the silicon wafer and the chromium mask. This may be due to the fact that patterns on the chromium mask could not completely prevent the photoresist underneath the patterns from exposure to the UV radiation, causing unwanted crosslinking of the photoresist underneath the patterns.

Different solvent combinations and PVA concentrations were tested to find the best quality film (or mold). Different ratios of ethanol to distilled water were tested to dissolve PVA. PVA with higher ethanol content (ethanol:water = 4:1) could evaporate and form films faster with good spreading on the PDMS template surface and fewer bubbles trapped in the film, but dissolve less PVA. The films produced tended to be blurry in some areas. The PVA with higher distilled water content (ethanol:water = 2:3) could produce better quality film but took longer to evaporate, and it was very hard to spread evenly on the PDMS template surface leaving holes on the film. Ethanol has lower surface tension with higher degree of wettability on the PDMS surface than distilled water. Fast evaporation of ethanol also favored escape of air bubbles from the film. The PVA film with higher ethanol content

solvent tended to be blurry. This may be contributed to the fact that the PVA concentration in this type of solvent had to be low to completely dissolve all PVA, so addition of more PVA solution was required during the incubation period causing overlapping of PVA film in some areas on the PDMS template and producing the blurry effect. A higher concentration of 4% PVA solution spread more evenly on the PDMS template surface compared with lower concentration PVA solutions and also produced thicker films that were strong. However, the more concentrated PVA solution trapped more air bubbles in the film decreasing the smoothness of the film. A lower concentration of 1% or 2% PVA solution was much harder to spread evenly on the PDMS template surface and the films were thinner which were susceptible to tearing when swept, but the film had fewer bubbles producing a smoother film. The best combination of the PVA solution was the 4% PVA initially fully dissolved in a combination of ethanol to water at the ratio of 2:3, which was then further diluted with ethanol in the ratio of 1:2. The final PVA concentration was 1.3% with a final ethanol to water ratio of 4:1. The solution had good spreading with minimum bubbles formed. The concentration of PVA is high enough to produce strong PVA film that could withstand swiping.

Different solvents were used to dissolve different polymers which were used for filling the film wells. Pure DCM as well as a combination of ethyl acetate and benzyl alcohol or DCM and benzyl alcohol were used to dissolve the polymers. When the polymer was dissolved in a mixture of ethyl acetate and benzyl alcohol, the polymer solution could be swept on the PVA template surface over 8 times without any undesirable scum layer formation. As more DCM was added into the solvent, fewer number of swipes could be applied, due to the fast evaporation of DCM. All three different solvents achieved good filling of the PVA template wells. Fig. 3 shows examples of keyboard characters after filling PVA molds with different polymers. Among the three types of the polymers tested, 10% PLGA had a better filling than the 20% PS and 50% PCL. Thus, subsequent studies were done using keyboard microparticles made of PLGA.

The 20 μm microparticles had a much higher yield than the 5 μm microparticles. This may be due to the fact that 5 μm microparticles had features that were submicron sizes which were very fragile and susceptible to breaking under high rotation speed of the centrifugation process. Fig. 4 shows 20 μm microparticles (Panel A) and 5 μm microparticles (Panel B). Many letters (such as B, E, H, M, O, S, T, V, X, and Y) and numbers (e.g., 5, 6, and 8) can be clearly distinguished in Panel A. Letters Q and P, and number 2 can be seen in Panel B.

3.2. Cellular Uptake Study

It was difficult to make a significant amount of 5 μm microparticles, and thus, only the 20 μm microparticles were studied for the cellular uptake study. The 20 μm microparticles were introduced into a confocal dish seeded with LnCAP cells and imaged continuously for 1~3 hours. As shown in Fig. 5, the thinner, sharper backslash microparticle (red solid arrow) was internalized by the LnCAP cell after 75 minutes. On the other hand, the wider pound key character (blue dotted arrow) deformed the LnCAP membrane trying to enter the cell, but was not successful. This is similar to a previous finding that microparticle shapes with higher aspect ratio could be internalized by the cells faster¹⁸. There was an LnCAP cell

below the backslash microparticle extended its pseudopods to try to internalize the backslash character, but ignored the S shape microparticle that was closer in proximity from 15 minutes to 30 minutes. This may be due to cells that preferred sharp edges and recruited actin filaments to wrap around the microparticles.

The results also showed that cells had the potential to internalize microparticles that were longer than the cell itself. The Fig. 6-A shows that the cell extended its membrane to internalize a tilde character (solid arrow) that was larger than the cell itself. One end of the tilde character was first attached to the membrane surface of the cell. The microparticle maintained the attachment for 50 minutes with rotation of the character from a vertical position to a more horizontal position. This could be due to either the movement of the character or the cell, or it could be due to membrane actin repositioning the direction of tilde character for cell entry. The internalization of the microparticle happened very fast between 80 minute mark and 81 minute mark where the cell actually became larger to internalize the microparticle. The character Q (dotted arrow in Fig. 6-B) had weak attachment to the cell surface as shown at 15 min with its tip end, but the entire character could not be internalized after 80 minutes. This may be due to the round geometry that may not be good for cell penetration as indicated by previous studies²².

Microparticle interactions with cells after 2 to 3 hours can be divided into 3 categories: cell entry, cell surface attachment, and no entry. For the characters that had a very high aspect ratio (rod-like) shapes, such as letter I, number 1, minus sign, backslash/forward slash, and tilde key, could penetrate and enter the cancer cells completely after 2 to 3 hours as shown in Fig. 7 Panels A through D. Shapes with sharp regions could attach to the surface with the sharp part of the characters. Fig. 7 Panel E shows another example of a cell trying to internalize a microparticle larger than the cell itself. Fig. 7 Panel F showed that even though letter M was not internalized by the cancer cell, letter M was firmly attached to the cell surface for the entire 2-hour experiment. The local geometry of microparticles in contact with the cell surface was very important in determining how the cell was going to interact with the microparticles. If the flat or round part of a microparticle was in contact with the cell membrane, the particle could not attach to or penetrate the cell surface. If the sharp portion of a microparticle was in contact with the cell membrane, the particle could attach to or enter the cells. This may be due to the sharper geometries of objects were easier for the cells to recruit actin filaments to attach and engulf the objects as opposed to the objects with a flat surface. Characters without any sharp features, such as letter D, G, O, number 0, and pound key, could not attach or penetrate the cells at all. It may be too difficult for the cells to recruit enough actin filaments and surround these characters to hold or engulf the microparticles. Overall, shapes with rod-like and sharp features seem to be more likely to adhere and become internalized by the cancer cell.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There is a significant need to improve the efficiency of anticancer drug delivery into cancer cells. Physical designs of drug carriers such as size, shape, and charge, have been examined to increase the absorption of drug carriers into cells^{30–33}. Although progress has been made, studies have been limited to simple shapes. To have a better understanding of the effect of

the drug carrier shape on cellular uptake, this study examined cellular uptake of more complex shapes derived from keyboard characters. Our results indicate that rod-like characters such as letter I, number 1, and arrow key are likely to be internalized by cancer cells. Shapes with no sharp features such as letter O, number 0, and pound key could not be internalized by the cells. Cells interacted with letter Q, but were unable to internalize it probably due to the difficulty in surrounding the letter. A part of keyboard microparticles in contact with the cell membrane surface is important in determining the interactions between the microparticles and the cells. The cells have a potential to internalize microparticles that are larger than the cells themselves.

For future studies, keyboard microparticles smaller than 20 μm size need to be tested. Cellular uptake studies with different sizes of keyboard microparticles will provide better understanding than the studies using simpler shapes with limited sizes. The actin filament activity in cells seems crucial for interaction and subsequent internalization of microparticles. It will be very informative to stain the actin filaments of cancer cells to track their behavior toward microparticles that come in contact with them. Shapes with sharp features seem to be able to adhere to the cancer cells better. Thus, studying shapes with a different number of sharp features, such as a triangle, hexagon, pyramid, and octahedron, could be useful for improving the shape design of a drug carrier³⁴. More repetitive studies need to be performed with keyboard microparticles of different sizes and different cell types to comprehensively and accurately characterize the shape-dependent endocytosis.

The results in this research are consistent with the information in the literature, and thus, they can be used as a platform to further understand the effect of complex shape design of drug carriers on endocytosis. The information can be applied to improve the physical design of drug carriers for more efficient delivery to target cells. More efficient delivery of drugs to target cells can maximize the drug efficacy with minimal side effects.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Health through CA129287 and GM095879, and by the Showalter Research Trust Fund.

References

1. Minchinton AI, Tannock IF. Drug penetration in solid tumors. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2006; 6:583–592. [PubMed: 16862189]
2. Hallab NJ, Bundy KJ, O'Connor K, Clark R, Moses RL. Cell adhesion to biomaterials: correlations between surface charge, surface roughness, adsorbed protein and cell morphology. *J Long-Term Eff Med Implants*. 1995; 53:209–231.
3. Absolom DR, Zingg W, Neumann AW. Protein adsorption to polymer particles: role of surface properties. *J Biomed Mater Res*. 1987; 21:161–171. [PubMed: 3818679]
4. Gupta AK, Gupta M. Cytotoxicity suppression and cellular uptake enhancement of surface modified magnetic nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 2005; 26(13):1565–1573. [PubMed: 15522758]
5. Mitragotri S, Lahann J. Physical approaches to biomaterial design. *Nature Materials*. 2009; 8:15–23. [PubMed: 19096389]
6. Wang J, Byrne JD, Napier ME, DeSimone JM. More effective nanomedicines through particle design. *SMALL*. 2011; 7(14):1919–1931. [PubMed: 21695781]

7. Myerson JW, Anselmo AC, Liu Y, Mitragotri S, Eckmann DM, Muzykantov VR. Non-affinity factors modulating vascular targeting of nano- and microcarriers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2016
8. Patil VRS, Campbell CJ, Yun YH, Slack SM, Goetz DJ. Particle diameter influences adhesion under flow. *Biophys J*. 2001; 80(4):1733–1743. [PubMed: 11259287]
9. Lamprecht A, Schafer U, Lehr CM. Size-dependent bioadhesion of micro- and nanoparticulate carriers to the inflamed colonic mucosa. *Pharm Res*. 2001; 18(6):788–793. [PubMed: 11474782]
10. He Q, Zhang Z, Gao Y, Shi J, Li Y. Intracellular localization and cytotoxicity of spherical mesoporous silica nano- and microparticles. *Small*. 2009; 5(23):2722–2729. [PubMed: 19780070]
11. Desai MP, Labhasetwar V, Walter E, Levy RJ, Amidon GL. The mechanism of uptake of biodegradable microparticles in Caco-2 cells is size dependent. *Pharm Res*. 1997; 14(11):1568–1573. [PubMed: 9434276]
12. Prabha S, Zhou WZ, Panyam J, Labhasetwar V. Size-dependency of nanoparticle-mediated gene transfection: studies with fractionated nanoparticles. *Int J Pharm*. 2002; 244:105–115. [PubMed: 12204570]
13. Zeng H, Yang H, Liu X, Shi D, Cao B, Du C, Ouyang J, Yu L, Wang Y, Liao H. In vitro effects of differentially shaped hydroxyapatite microparticles on RAW264.7 cell responses. *RSC Adv*. 2014; 4(54):28615–28622.
14. Xu ZP, Niebert M, Porazik K, Walker TL, Cooper HM, Middelberg APJ, Gray PP, Bartlett PF, Lu GQ. Subcellular compartment targeting of layered double hydroxide nanoparticles. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2008; 130(1):86–94. [PubMed: 18614254]
15. Kolhar P, Mitragotri S. Polymer microparticles exhibit size and shape dependent accumulation around the nucleus after endocytosis. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2012; 22(18):3759–3764.
16. Muro S, Garnacho C, Champion JA, Leferovich J, Gajewski C, Schuchman EH, Mitragotri S, Muzykantov VR. Control of endothelial targeting and intracellular delivery of therapeutic enzymes by modulating the size and shape of ICAM-1-targeted carriers. *Mol Ther*. 2008; 16(8):1450–1458. [PubMed: 18560419]
17. Meyer RA, Meyer RS, Green JJ. An automated multidimensional thin film stretching device for the generation of anisotropic polymeric micro- and nanoparticles. *J Biomed Mater Res, Part A*. 2015; 103(8):2747–2757.
18. Gratton SE, Ropp PA, Pohlhaus PD, Luft JC, Madden VJ, Napier ME, DeSimone JM. The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways. *J Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105(33):11613–11618.
19. Barua S, Yoo JW, Kolhar P, Wakankar A, Gokarn YR, Mitragotri S. Particle shape enhances specificity of antibody-displaying nanoparticles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013; 110(9):3270–5. [PubMed: 23401509]
20. Chithrani BD, Ghazani AA, Chan WCW. Determining the size and shape dependence of gold nanoparticle uptake into mammalian cells. *Nano Lett*. 2006; 6(4):662–668. [PubMed: 16608261]
21. Muro S, Garnacho C, Champion JA, Leferovich J, Gajewski C, Schuchman EH, SMVRM. Control of endothelial targeting and intracellular delivery of therapeutic enzymes by modulating the size and shape of ICAM-1-targeted carriers. *Molecular Therapy*. 2008; 16(8):1450–1458. [PubMed: 18560419]
22. Champion JA, Mitragotri S. Shape induced inhibition of phagocytosis of polymer particles. *Pharm Res*. 2009; 26(1):244–249. [PubMed: 18548338]
23. Champion JA, Mitragotri S. Role of target geometry in phagocytosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103(13):4930–4934. [PubMed: 16549762]
24. Doolaanea AA, Mansor NI, Mohd Nor NH, Mohamed F. Cellular uptake of Nigella sativa oil-PLGA microparticle by PC-12 cell line. *J Microencapsulation*. 2014; 31(6):600–608. [PubMed: 24697178]
25. Hartmann R, Weidenbach M, Neubauer M, Fery A, Parak WJ. Stiffness-dependent in vitro uptake and lysosomal acidification of colloidal particles. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2015; 54(4):1365–1368.
26. Acharya G, Shin CS, McDermott M, Mishra H, Park H, Kwon IC, Park K. The hydrogel template method for fabrication of homogeneous nano/microparticles. *J Control Release*. 2010; 141:314–319. [PubMed: 19822178]

27. Acharya, G., McDermott, M., Shin, S.J., Park, H., Park, K. Hydrogel templates for fabrication of homogeneous polymer microparticles. In: Hurst, S., editor. *Biomedical Nanotechnology Methods and Protocols*. Springer; New York: 2011. p. 179-185.
28. Acharya G, McDermott M, Shin SJ, Park H, Park K. Hydrogel templates for the fabrication of homogeneous polymer microparticles. *Methods Mol Biol (N Y, NY, U S)*. 2011; 726:179–185. (Biomedical Nanotechnology).
29. Acharya G, Shin CS, Vedantham K, McDermott M, Rish T, Hansen K, Fu Y, Park K. A study of drug release from homogeneous PLGA microstructures. *J Control Release*. 2010; 146:201–206. [PubMed: 20381555]
30. Venkataraman S, Hedrick JL, Ong ZY, Yang C, Ee PLR, Hammond PT, Yang YY. The effects of polymeric nanostructure shape on drug delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2011; 63(14–15):1228–1246. [PubMed: 21777633]
31. Lin SY, Hsu WH, Lo JM, Tsai HC, Hsiue GH. Novel geometry type of nanocarriers mitigated the phagocytosis for drug delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2011; 154(1):84–92. [PubMed: 21565231]
32. Yameen B, Choi WI, Vilos C, Swami A, Shi J, Farokhzad OC. Insight into nanoparticle cellular uptake and intracellular targeting. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2014; 190:485–499. [PubMed: 24984011]
33. Ernsting MJ, Murakami M, Roy A, Li SD. Factors controlling the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and intratumoral penetration of nanoparticles. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2013; 172(3):782–794. [PubMed: 24075927]
34. Jo DH, Kim JH, Lee TG, Kim JH. Size, surface charge, and shape determine therapeutic effects of nanoparticles on brain and retinal diseases. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*. 2015; 11(7):1603–1611.

Fig. 1.

A schematic of polymeric microparticle fabrication process. (A) PDMS template with protrusions in the shape of keyboard characters. (B) PVA film (mold) on top of the PDMS template. (C) The dried PVA film was peeled away from the PDMS template and placed on a flat surface to expose empty microcavities. (D) Filling the wells in the mold with PLGA/Nile red solution. (E) Drying the microparticles. (F) Collecting microparticles by dissolving the PVA mold in water.

Fig. 2. Bright field images of a silicon wafer keyboard characters with 2 μm (A) and 10 μm (B) photoresist depth.

Fig. 3. Fluorescent microscopic images of keyboard characters in 20 μm and 5 μm sizes after filling PVA molds with polymer/Nile red solution. Polymers used were 10% PLGA (A), 20% PS (B), and 50% PCL (C). Scale bar = 20 μm on the left panels and 5 μm on the right panels.

Fig. 4.
Fluorescent images of 20 μm (A) and 5 μm (B) PLGA microparticles.

Fig. 5. Uptake of 20 μm PLGA microparticles in LnCAP cells. The images were recorded on an inverted LSM 710 confocal microscope where images of the cells and microparticles interactions were recorded every minute for 1.5 hours. The pound key character was not taken up by the cell after 75 minutes, but the backslash symbol was internalized by the cell.

Fig. 6. Internalization of PLGA keyboard microparticle in LnCAP cells. The images were recorded on an inverted LSM 710 confocal microscope where images of the cells and microparticles interactions were recorded every minute for 1.5 hours. (A) One cell internalized a tilde character that was larger than the cell itself (A), while cells could not internalize letter Q (B).

Fig. 7. Interactions between cell and PLGA microparticles. Panels A to D show uptake of rod-like geometries. Panel E shows a cell trying to internalize a microparticle larger than itself. Panel F shows adhesion of the sharp end of letter M microparticle to a cell.