Published in final edited form as:

J Med Genet. 2012 April ; 49(4): 270–276. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100826.

Genome-wide linkage and copy number variation analysis reveals 710 kb duplication on chromosome 1p31.3 responsible for autosomal dominant omphalocele

Uppala Radhakrishna^{1,2,3}, Swapan K Nath⁴, Ken McElreavey⁵, Uppala Ratnamala⁶, Celi Sun⁴, Amit K Maiti⁴, Maryline Gagnebin¹, Frédérique Béna¹, Heather L Newkirk⁷, Andrew J Sharp¹, David B Everman⁸, Jeffrey C Murray⁹, Charles E Schwartz⁸, Stylianos E Antonarakis¹, and Merlin G Butler^{10,11}

¹Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva Medical School, Geneva, Switzerland ²Department of Surgery-Transplant, Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA ³Green Cross Voluntary Blood Bank, Paldi, Ahmedabad, India ⁴Arthritis and Immunology Research Program, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA ⁵Reproduction, Fertility and Populations, Department of Developmental Biology, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France ⁶Department of Pharmacology, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska, USA ⁷Clinical Reference Laboratory, Inc.—8433 Quivira Road, Lenexa, Kansas, USA ⁸Center for Molecular Studies, Greenwood Genetic Center, Greenwood, South Carolina, USA ⁹Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA ¹¹Department of Pediatrics, Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA

Abstract

Background—Omphalocele is a congenital birth defect characterised by the presence of internal organs located outside of the ventral abdominal wall. The purpose of this study was to identify the underlying genetic mechanisms of a large autosomal dominant Caucasian family with omphalocele.

Correspondence to Dr Uppala Radhakrishna, Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva Medical School, 1 rue michehl servet, Geneva 1211, Switzerland; radhakrishna.uppala@unige.ch. UR and SKN equally contributed.

Contributors UR designed and conducted the study and analysed the data, drafted the manuscript; SKN, CS, AKM performed the statistical data analysis; KM performed whole-genome copy number variation (CNV) study; UR (Ratnamala), MG, FB and HLN generated the experimental data; DBE, JCM, and CES provided patient samples, clinical data and edited the manuscript; AJS, SEA, contributed to design of the study and critically revised the manuscript; MGB contributed subject material and recruitment, supervised the clinical, experimental data interpretation and critically revised the manuscript; all authors read and critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ethics committee of University of Nebraska Medical Center, Nebraska, USA.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Methods and findings—A genetic linkage study was conducted in a large family with an autosomal dominant transmission of an omphalocele using a genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array. The analysis revealed significant evidence of linkage (non-parametric NPL = 6.93, $p=0.0001$; parametric logarithm of odds (LOD) = 2.70 under a fully penetrant dominant model) at chromosome band 1p31.3. Haplotype analysis narrowed the locus to a 2.74 Mb region between markers rs2886770 (63014807 bp) and rs1343981 (65757349 bp). Molecular characterisation of this interval using array comparative genomic hybridisation followed by quantitative microsphere hybridisation analysis revealed a 710 kb duplication located at 63.5–64.2 Mb. All affected individuals who had an omphalocele and shared the haplotype were positive for this duplicated region, while the duplication was absent from all normal individuals of this family. Multipoint linkage analysis using the duplication as a marker yielded a maximum LOD score of 3.2 at 1p31.3 under a dominant model. The 710 kb duplication at 1p31.3 band contains seven known genes including *FOXD3*, *ALG6*, *ITGB3BP*, *KIAA1799*, *DLEU2L*, *PGMI*, and the proximal portion of *ROR1*. Importantly, this duplication is absent from the database of genomic variants.

Conclusions—The present study suggests that development of an omphalocele in this family is controlled by overexpression of one or more genes in the duplicated region. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first reported association of an inherited omphalocele condition with a chromosomal rearrangement.

INTRODUCTION

Omphalocele (MIM 164750) includes a group of birth defects known as ventral wall defects. Omphalocele affects ~1 in 5000 live births¹ with a higher ratio of males to females, and is due to abnormal closure of the abdominal wall. During the sixth to 10th week of fetal growth the intestines grow and project from the abdomen into the umbilical cord. If the intestine fails to return to the abdomen during the 11th week of pregnancy, improper closure of the abdominal wall occurs generating an omphalocele. An omphalocele is covered by a clear sac or membrane through which the umbilical cord is inserted. The size of the omphalocele varies from small (including the small intestine) to very large, containing most of the abdominal organs, such as the liver and small and large intestine. A 'giant omphalocele' is seen in around 1 in 10 000 births and occurs when most of the liver protrudes into the defect. An omphalocele can occur in association with over two dozen syndromes^{2–4} including Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome (MIM130650), otopalatodigital syndrome type II (MIM 304120), Melnick–Needles syndrome (MIM 309350), lethal omphalocele-cleft palate syndrome (MIM 258320), and Shprintzen omphalocele syndrome (MIM 182210).

Although the aetiology of an omphalocele is unknown,⁵ isolated omphaloceles are suspected to be multifactorial, with considerable evidence for genetics playing a role. Various families have been reported with multiple affected members with omphalocele consistent with autosomal dominant,^{6–8} autosomal recessive, and X-linked inheritance^{9,10} with or without other associated anomalies. Infants with an omphalocele have an increased incidence of other abnormalities involving the gastrointestinal tract¹¹ (stomach and intestines), cardiovascular system (heart),¹² genitourinary tract (kidneys and bladder), musculoskeletal

system, central nervous system (brain and spinal cord), and the limbs. Many infants born with an omphalocele are premature. There is also a reported association between younger paternal age and an omphalocele.^{13,14} Chromosomal anomalies are also reported in fetuses with an omphalocele, including trisomy 13, 18, and 21.^{3,15,16} Although no single gene mutations are known to cause non-syndromic omphalocele, syndromes such as Donnai–Barrow syndrome and Townes–Brocks syndrome associated with omphalocele and an umbilical hernia are caused by mutations in the *LRP2* gene on chromosome 2q23.3-31.1,¹⁷ and the *SALL1* gene on chromosome 16q12.1,¹⁸ respectively.

Here, we report a genome-wide linkage analysis in a large Caucasian family (UR0114) with non-syndromic omphalocele and an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance.⁶ This analysis provides significant evidence for a susceptibility locus in a genomic region of 2.74 Mb on chromosome 1p31.3. Molecular characterisation of the linked genomic region revealed a 710 kb genomic duplication including seven known genes in all affected individuals.

METHODS

Description of the family (UR0114)

A five-generation non-syndromic omphalocele family with autosomal dominant inheritance and incomplete penetrance was first reported by Kanagawa *et al.*⁶ The family was recently revisited and extended with five additional individuals. The family comprises 28 individuals, including nine (seven males and two females) members with the omphalocele phenotype. All affected members required surgical intervention during the first days of life to correct the omphalocele and to close the abdominal wall defect. There were no other birth defects identified or associated with the omphalocele in the affected family members. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects who participated in the study, and blood samples were collected from all cooperating family members. Samples from a total of 17 individuals were used for the linkage analysis: eight affected individuals, and nine unaffected individuals (figure 1).

Genome-wide scan and haplotype analysis

For the genome-wide linkage scan, we used Affymetrix (Santa Clara, California, USA) GeneChip Mapping EA 10K *Xba*I arrays containing 10555 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). These SNP markers are evenly distributed across the genome, with a mean intermarker distance of 250 kb and an average heterozygosity of 0.38 (Affymetrix). The assay was performed using 250 ng of genomic DNA, and 99% of the SNPs were determined unequivocally for each sample. Scanned images were processed with the Affymetrix Micro Array Suite, and data analysed with GDAS v2 software. PedCheck was used for the detection of Mendelian incompatibility of genotypes.¹⁹ Twenty-five informative SNP markers were used for haplotype reconstruction and analysis.

SNP genotyped data were imported into the linkage-analysis programs GENEHUNTER²⁰ and Mendelian errors were identified by MERLIN.²¹ In the initial genome scan, evidence of linkage was assessed with a non-parametric, penetrance-independent, affected-only, and allele-sharing analysis (NPL score). With use of MERLIN, one can convert this into a non-

parametric logarithm of odds score (LOD) by maximising the likelihood with respect to a scalar parameter, d , that measures the amount of excess sharing of identical-by-descent alleles among affected relatives corresponding to the null hypothesis $d=0$ of no linkage. We used the S_{all} scoring function²⁰²² and the exponential allele sharing model to generate the relevant linkage statistic.

Whole genome array comparative genomic hybridisation

Isothermal oligo design, array fabrication, DNA labelling, array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) experiments, data normalisation and $\log_2$ (Cy3/Cy5) ratio calculations were performed by NimbleGen (Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The arrays were constructed by maskless array synthesis technology (NimbleGen Systems, Inc.), with 385 000 oligonucleotides being synthesised by photolithography on an array by previously described methods.²³²⁴

Quantitative microsphere hybridisation

Genomic copy number determination was done with quantitative microsphere hybridisation (QMH) essentially as described previously.²⁵ A series of seven unique sequence (patent pending) test probes specific to chromosome 1p31.3 were designed, as well as a disomic reference probe for β actin (*ACTB*) on chromosome 7p22. Probes were selected based on their unique sequence composition, lack of secondary structure, and optimum T_m . Each probe was synthesised using a 5'-C, 6-amino modification (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, Iowa, USA) for coupling to spectrally distinct carboxylated microspheres via a carbodiimide coupling reaction as described previously.²⁵²⁶ Quality control procedures for each microsphere conjugated probe were performed to ensure proper attachment of probes to microspheres. Genomic templates were prepared with 50 ng of fragmented biotin labelled genomic DNA sample per each QMH reaction. Hybridisation reactions included chromosome 1 probes *HOOK1*, *CHR1-63*, *FOXD3*, *ITGB3BP*, *ROR1*, *CHR1-64.5*, *CHR1-65*, as well as the disomic reference probe *ACTB*. After analysis of each sample by flow cytometry, the ratios of geometric mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of test probe to reference probe were calculated and statistics (eg, mean, 95% CIs, and SD) were computed. Geometric MFI values were previously shown to be the most accurate for assessing data from QMH assays since data are collected in logarithmic mode on the flow cytometer.²⁵²⁷

RESULTS

Linkage

The genome-wide linkage scan with the use of an NPL analysis provided significant evidence of linkage for an omphalocele locus at marker rs937805 on chromosome 1p31.3 (NPL=6.92 and $p=0.0001$; non-parametric LOD=2.70 and $p=0.0001$). These data were also supported by subsequent parametric linkage analysis, with a dominant mode of inheritance and reduced penetrance (99%). Eleven SNP markers, spanning a region of 2.74 Mb (rs2886770–rs1343981), showed non-parametric LOD scores of 6.9 (table 1). No additional peaks with statistically significant LOD scores were found in the genome (figure 2). Haplotype analysis for the 1p31.3 linked region was performed using 13 informative SNP markers. Informative crossovers in multiple affected individuals 6011, 6014, and 6015

between SNP markers rs2886770/rs1411391, and a single informative crossover in affected individual 6016 between rs1327118/rs1343981, defined the omphalocele candidate region of 2.74 Mb, bordered by proximal marker rs2886770 (chr1: 63014807) and distal marker rs1343981 (chr1: 65757349) (figure 3).

Whole genome aCGH and QMH

Oligonucleotide aCGH showed a duplication of ~710 kb genomic region at 1p31.3. This observation was confirmed by QMH analysis. The QMH assay was used to test all omphalocele subjects used in the linkage analysis. All seven QMH probes plus a control probe were included in each multiplex QMH reaction. The aCGH indicated that the proximal boundary of the duplicated region is located at 63.5 Mb, proximal to *FOXD3*. The distal border of the duplication is located within the *ROR1* gene (64.2 Mb). This duplicated 710 kb segment was present in all affected individuals (6002, 6004, 6006, 6009, 6011, 6014, 6015, and 6016) but was absent in two normal individuals (6001 and 6017) who shared the affected allele (figure 4). Additionally, to test this finding, we have genotyped DNA samples of all individuals using three polymorphic microsatellite markers (D1S2835, D1S348, and D1S515) on chromosome 1p31.3 region. Marker (D1S348) within the duplicated region produced three alleles in all those affected and two alleles for normal. Markers D1S2835 and D1S515, that are outside the duplication but within the linked region, gave two alleles for all analysed individuals (supplementary figure 5). Multipoint linkage analysis using the duplication as a marker gave a maximum LOD score of 3.2 at 1p31.3 under the dominant model. The best-fitted parametric model that was used for obtaining the optimum linkage results was a dominant model with 99% penetrance and a disease-allele frequency of 0.0001.

DISCUSSION

Although there have been a number of studies undertaken to identify the genetic variations responsible for omphalocele, no single susceptibility gene has been identified to date that plays a major role in this disorder.²⁸ Here, we report the results of the first genome-wide linkage scan and other genetic analysis, providing significant evidence of a novel omphalocele susceptibility locus on chromosome 1p31.3 in a large pedigree (UR0114). The study further demonstrates duplication of six contiguous genes on chromosome 1p31.3 as the cause of omphalocele in this family, signifying that extended families are vital for efficient linkage based disease gene identification. Haplotype analysis with critical recombination events allowed us to initially define a 2.74 Mb disease interval. Haplotype analysis identified the risk haplotypes shared by all affected individuals that was not found in any of the unrelated unaffected spouses, but was shared by two normal individuals (6001 and 6017). The disease locus was shown to be non-recombinant in all affected individuals and was found to have originated from the affected great-grandfather (individual 22) who was not available for analysis.

We have discovered that individual 22 may have been affected, although he was reported previously as unaffected.⁶ While re-interviewing family members, we discovered that individual 22 had undergone previous abdominal surgery and had scars, though no diagnosis of an omphalocele was known to his children. Multiple family members can recall the

appearance of the scars throughout his life, which are typical of an abdominal wall defect repair. In light of the genetic findings, the patient's history is most consistent with a congenital abdominal wall defect and individual 22 fathered two affected sons (6002 and 6009) through two different unaffected, unrelated spouses. The affected sons later transmitted the mutant allele to six others who were affected in two generations. The 710 kb duplicated genomic region which is shared by all those affected is non-recombinant and is the same in all affected individuals, but was not found in two normal individuals 6001 and 6017 who shared the affected allele. These data suggest that a gene dosage effect is the most likely pathogenetic mechanism for omphalocele phenotype in this family. The omphalocele in this family demonstrates high penetrance, in that all unaffected parents and normal subjects were negative for the duplication. The haplotype data also support the autosomal dominant mode of inheritance in this family. This is well corroborated by our subsequent linkage analysis using the duplication as a genetic marker in which the LOD score increased from 2.7 to 3.2 under the same dominant model. The duplication is absent in the database of genomic variants (<http://projects.tcag.ca/variation/>). This catalogue currently comprises data collected from 42 different studies of copy number variation (CNV) assayed in >3000 individuals. Given the large size of the CNV we identified, it is well within the detection limits of most techniques used for the detection of CNVs; therefore, the fact that this 1p31.1 duplication has not been detected in >3000 controls demonstrates that the duplication we report is not a common variant segregating in the population. In addition, we have tested 250 sporadic omphalocele samples by quantitative PCR (qPCR), but did not find any evidence for a CNV of the chromosome 1 linkage region in any of them. However, none of these individuals was tested for point mutations in any of the candidate gene coding regions and/or splice junction variants.

The 710 kb duplicated genomic region on chromosome 1p31.3 band contains seven known transcripts, which therefore represent candidates for omphalocele. These include forkhead box D3 (*FOXD3*, MIM 611539), asparagine-linked glycosylation 6, α -1, 3-glucosyltransferase homologue (*ALG6*, MIM 604566), integrin β -3 binding protein (*ITGB3BP*, MIM 605494), phosphoglucomutase 1 (*PGM1*, MIM 171900), receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 1 (*ROR1*, MIM 602336), deleted in lymphocytic leukaemia 2-like (*DLEU2L*), and KIAA1799 (figure 3). We hypothesise that over-expression of one or a combination of these genes is likely to be responsible for omphalocele in these affected individuals.

FOXD3 is a member of the forkhead box transcription factor family, and these genes play a fundamental role in development and are responsible for embryo patterning and neural development. *FOXD3* is especially shown to play an important role in mesoderm²⁹ and neural crest development.³⁰ Moreover, *FOXD3* is known to interact with other developmental genes including the *NODAL*,³¹ *BMP1*, and *WNT* signalling³² pathways. Thus, over expression of *FOXD3* could conceivably contribute to the mesodermal abnormalities observed in omphalocele. Interestingly, *ALK3* is one of two *BMP1* receptors that act in the *BMP* signalling pathway, and the *Alk3*-knockout mouse exhibits severe defects of secondary ventral body wall formation, replicating the omphalocele phenotype in human.³³

Similarly, *ALG6*, a homologue of yeast glycoprotein glycosyl transferase (*ALG6*) is known to be mutated in human congenital disorders.^{34–36} Similar to *FOXD3*, *ALG6* overexpression may disrupt the fine tuning of embryo development. *ITGB3BP* is a transcriptional regulator of the integrin family that interacts with *NRIF3*³⁷ and cyclin A³⁸ and induces rapid and profound apoptosis in breast cancer cells.³⁹ *PGM1* or phosphoglutamase1 polymorphism is associated with diabetic pregnancy⁴⁰ and body mass index.⁴¹ The *ROR1*, orphan receptor tyrosine kinase 1, is known to play a critical role in heart and bone development⁴² and has been shown to play a vital role in mouse development.⁴³ Moreover, it is involved in the *WNT* signalling pathway.⁴⁴ In addition, *ROR2* gene mutations are responsible for Robinow syndrome, a congenital short stature syndrome with facial and genital defects whereby umbilical anomalies are reported in 20% of affected subjects.^{45,46} The other two genes in the duplicated region, *KIAA1799* and *DLEU2L*, are less well characterised.

The six known duplicated genes with their presumed over-expression may be involved in the omphalocele phenotype in the present family and partial duplication of *ROR1* represents an additional genetic mechanism for the phenotype. This evidence supports the proposal that the duplicated region disrupts the fine tuning of gene expression in embryo development, and the lack of this regulation gives rise to the spectrum of abnormal developmental phenotypes and needs further exploration. Identification of other individuals with omphalocele who present with overlapping genomic rearrangements may refine a critical region in 1p31.3, allowing more precise definition of a dosage sensitive locus underlying this phenotype.

Chromosome 1p interstitial duplications are rare, and no cytogenetically visible chromosomal rearrangements of 1p31.3 have been reported in association with omphalocele. An interstitial dup (1p) involving the p32-p21.2 region associated with severe intrauterine growth retardation and an inguinal hernia was reported by Dhellemmes *et al.*⁴⁷ Direct duplication of chromosome 1, dir dup(1) p21.2-p32 with multiple congenital anomalies including an inguinal hernia was also reported.⁴⁸ Similarly, a girl has been described with multiple congenital anomalies, including an omphalocele but with a diploid/tetraploid karyotype and mosaicism for a translocation involving chromosome band 1p32 [46,XX,t(1;6)(p32;q13)].⁴⁹ Halal *et al.*⁵⁰ also described translocations between chromosomes 1 and 2, along with a duplication of chromosome 1p in which several congenital anomalies including an umbilical hernia were reported.

The present exceptional large multigenerational family with an autosomal dominant form of omphalocele provides evidence of linkage to 1p31.3, and also provides evidence specifically for overexpression of one or more genes within the duplicated 710 kb region causing the phenotype in this family. This is the first report to elucidate the pathogenic mechanism and molecular location of gene(s) involved with development of omphaloceles.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients and their families for their cooperation in the study.

Funding The study was supported in part by Green Cross Blood Bank, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. SKN is supported by Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation institutional grant 9124, for linkage analysis. KM is

supported by a GIS-Institut des Maladies Rare grant. AJS is supported by funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Program under grant agreement 219250.

References

1. Stoll C, Alembik Y, Dott B, Roth MP. Risk factors in congenital abdominal wall defects (omphalocele and gastroschisi): a study in a series of 265,858 consecutive births. *Ann Genet.* 2001; 44:201–208. [PubMed: 11755106]
2. Chen CP. Syndromes and disorders associated with omphalocele (III): single gene disorders, neural tube defects, diaphragmatic defects and others. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 46:111–120. [PubMed: 17638618]
3. Chen CP. Chromosomal abnormalities associated with omphalocele. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 46:1–8. [PubMed: 17389182]
4. Chen CP. Syndromes and disorders associated with omphalocele (I): Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 46:96–102. [PubMed: 17638616]
5. Czeizel A, Vitez M. Etiological study of omphalocele. *Hum Genet.* 1981; 58:390–395. [PubMed: 7327560]
6. Kanagawa SL, Begleiter ML, Ostlie DJ, Holcomb G, Drake W, Butler MG. Omphalocele in three generations with autosomal dominant transmission. *J Med Genet.* 2002; 39:184–185. [PubMed: 11897819]
7. DiLiberti JH. Familial omphalocele: analysis of risk factors and case report. *Am J Med Genet.* 1982; 13:263–268. [PubMed: 7180872]
8. Steele K, Nevin NC. Familial omphalocele. *Ulster Med J.* 1985; 54:214–215. [PubMed: 4095814]
9. Kapur S, Higgins JV, Scott-Emuakpor AB, Dolanski EA. Omphalocele in half-siblings. *Clin Genet.* 1980; 18:88–90. [PubMed: 7418258]
10. Havalad S, Noblett H, Speidel BD. Familial occurrence of omphalocele suggesting sex-linked inheritance. *Arch Dis Child.* 1979; 54:142–143. [PubMed: 434891]
11. Lowry RB, Baird PA. Familial gastroschisis and omphalocele. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1982; 34:517–518. [PubMed: 6211093]
12. Fogel M, Copel JA, Cullen MT, Hobbins JC, Kleinman CS. Congenital heart disease and fetal thoracoabdominal anomalies: associations in utero and the importance of cytogenetic analysis. *Am J Perinatol.* 1991; 8:411–416. [PubMed: 1839950]
13. Yang Q, Wen SW, Leader A, Chen XK, Lipson J, Walker M. Paternal age and birth defects: how strong is the association? *Hum Reprod.* 2007; 22:696–701. [PubMed: 17164268]
14. Reefhuis J, Honein MA. Maternal age and non-chromosomal birth defects, Atlanta—1968–2000: teenager or thirty-something, who is at risk? *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.* 2004; 70:572–579. [PubMed: 15368555]
15. Liehr T, Schmidt M, Starke H, Ziegler M, Kittner G, Heller A, Rubtsov N, Trifonov V, Claussen U. First case of trisomy 13 plus mosaic trisomy 1q. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2002; 17:133–136. [PubMed: 11914563]
16. Wladimiroff JW, Stewart PA, Reuss A, Sachs ES. Cardiac and extra-cardiac anomalies as indicators for trisomies 13 and 18: a prenatal ultrasound study. *Prenat Diagn.* 1989; 9:515–520. [PubMed: 2671976]
17. Kantarci S, Al-Gazali L, Hill RS, Donnai D, Black GC, Bieth E, Chassaing N, Lacombe D, Devriendt K, Teebi A, Loscertales M, Robson C, Liu T, MacLaughlin DT, Noonan KM, Russell MK, Walsh CA, Donahoe PK, Pober BR. Mutations in LRP2, which encodes the multiligand receptor megalin, cause Donnai-Barrow and facio-oculoacoustico-renal syndromes. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:957–959. [PubMed: 17632512]
18. Botzenhart EM, Green A, Ilyina H, Konig R, Lowry RB, Lo IF, Shohat M, Burke L, McGaughan J, Chafai R, Pierquin G, Michaelis RC, Whiteford ML, Simola KO, Rosler B, Kohlhasse J. SALL1 mutation analysis in Townes-Brocks syndrome: twelve novel mutations and expansion of the phenotype. *Hum Mutat.* 2005; 26:282.
19. O'Connell JR, Weeks DE. PedCheck: a program for identification of genotype incompatibilities in linkage analysis. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1998; 63:259–266. [PubMed: 9634505]

20. Kruglyak L, Daly MJ, Reeve-Daly MP, Lander ES. Parametric and nonparametric linkage analysis: a unified multipoint approach. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1996; 58:1347–1363. [PubMed: 8651312]
21. Abecasis GR, Cherny SS, Cookson WO, Cardon LR. Merlin—rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees. *Nat Genet.* 2002; 30:97–101. [PubMed: 11731797]
22. Whittemore AS, Halpern J. A class of tests for linkage using affected pedigree members. *Biometrics.* 1994; 50:118–127. [PubMed: 8086596]
23. Singh-Gasson S, Green RD, Yue Y, Nelson C, Blattner F, Sussman MR, Cerrina F. Maskless fabrication of light-directed oligonucleotide microarrays using a digital micromirror array. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1999; 17:974–978. [PubMed: 10504697]
24. Nuwaysir EF, Huang W, Albert TJ, Singh J, Nuwaysir K, Pitas A, Richmond T, Gorski T, Berg JP, Ballin J, McCormick M, Norton J, Pollock T, Sumwalt T, Butcher L, Porter D, Molla M, Hall C, Blattner F, Sussman MR, Wallace RL, Cerrina F, Green RD. Gene expression analysis using oligonucleotide arrays produced by maskless photolithography. *Genome Res.* 2002; 12:1749–1755. [PubMed: 12421762]
25. Newkirk HL, Rogan PK, Miralles M, Knoll JH. Determination of genomic copy number with quantitative microsphere hybridization. *Hum Mutat.* 2006; 27:376–386. [PubMed: 16541397]
26. Dunbar S, Godbout R, Newkirk H, Hetzel J. Microsphere suspension array technology for SNP detection in cattle. *IEEE Eng Med Biol Mag.* 2003; 22:158–162. [PubMed: 14515711]
27. Coder DM, Redelman D, Vogt RF. Computing the central location of immunofluorescence distributions: logarithmic data transformations are not always appropriate. *Cytometry.* 1994; 18:75–78. [PubMed: 7924701]
28. Katz LA, Schultz RE, Semina EV, Torfs CP, Krahn KN, Murray JC. Mutations in PITX2 may contribute to cases of omphalocele and VATER-like syndromes. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2004; 130A: 277–283. [PubMed: 15378534]
29. Steiner AB, Engleka MJ, Lu Q, Piwarzyk EC, Yaklichkin S, Lefebvre JL, Walters JW, Pineda-Salgado L, Labosky PA, Kessler DS. FoxD3 regulation of Nodal in the Spemann organizer is essential for *Xenopus* dorsal mesoderm development. *Development.* 2006; 133:4827–4838. [PubMed: 17092955]
30. Lister JA, Cooper C, Nguyen K, Modrell M, Grant K, Raible DW. Zebrafish Foxd3 is required for development of a subset of neural crest derivatives. *Dev Biol.* 2006; 290:92–104. [PubMed: 16364284]
31. Mesnard D, Guzman-Ayala M, Constam DB. Nodal specifies embryonic visceral endoderm and sustains pluripotent cells in the epiblast before overt axial patterning. *Development.* 2006; 133:2497–2505. [PubMed: 16728477]
32. Burstyn-Cohen T, Stanleigh J, Sela-Donenfeld D, Kalcheim C. Canonical Wnt activity regulates trunk neural crest delamination linking BMP/noggin signaling with G1/S transition. *Development.* 2004; 131:5327–5339. [PubMed: 15456730]
33. Sun J, Liu YH, Chen H, Nguyen MP, Mishina Y, Upperman JS, Ford HR, Shi W. Deficient Alk3-mediated BMP signaling causes prenatal omphalocele-like defect. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2007; 360:238–243. [PubMed: 17588538]
34. Imbach T, Grunewald S, Schenk B, Burda P, Schollen E, Wevers RA, Jaeken J, de Klerk JB, Berger EG, Matthijs G, Aebi M, Hennet T. Multi-allelic origin of congenital disorder of glycosylation (CDG)-Ic. *Hum Genet.* 2000; 106:538–545. [PubMed: 10914684]
35. Westphal V, Schottstadt C, Marquardt T, Freeze HH. Analysis of multiple mutations in the hALG6 gene in a patient with congenital disorder of glycosylation Ic. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2000; 70:219–223. [PubMed: 10924277]
36. Newell JW, Seo NS, Enns GM, McCracken M, Mantovani JF, Freeze HH. Congenital disorder of glycosylation Ic in patients of Indian origin. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2003; 79:221–228. [PubMed: 12855228]
37. Li D, Desai-Yajnik V, Lo E, Schapira M, Abagyan R, Samuels HH. NRIF3 is a novel coactivator mediating functional specificity of nuclear hormone receptors. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1999; 19:7191–7202. [PubMed: 10490654]
38. Ohtoshi A, Ohtoshi H. Analysis of beta3-endonexin mutants for their ability to interact with cyclin A. *Mol Genet Genomics.* 2001; 266:664–671. [PubMed: 11810239]

39. Li D, Das S, Yamada T, Samuels HH. The NRIF3 family of transcriptional coregulators induces rapid and profound apoptosis in breast cancer cells. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004; 24:3838–3848. [PubMed: 15082778]
40. Gloria-Bottini F, Lucarini N, Palmarino R, La Torre M, Nicotra M, Borgiani P, Cosmi E, Bottini E. Phosphoglucomutase genetic polymorphism of newborns. *Am J Hum Biol*. 2001; 13:9–14. [PubMed: 11466970]
41. Gloria-Bottini F, Magrini A, Antonacci E, La Torre M, Di Renzo L, De Lorenzo A, Bergamaschi A, Bottini E. Phosphoglucomutase genetic polymorphism and body mass. *Am J Med Sci*. 2007; 334:421–425. [PubMed: 18091362]
42. Nomi M, Oishi I, Kani S, Suzuki H, Matsuda T, Yoda A, Kitamura M, Itoh K, Takeuchi S, Takeda K, Akira S, Ikeya M, Takada S, Minami Y. Loss of mRor1 enhances the heart and skeletal abnormalities in mRor2-deficient mice: redundant and pleiotropic functions of mRor1 and mRor2 receptor tyrosine kinases. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2001; 21:8329–8335. [PubMed: 11713269]
43. Oishi I, Takeuchi S, Hashimoto R, Nagabukuro A, Ueda T, Liu ZJ, Hatta T, Akira S, Matsuda Y, Yamamura H, Otani H, Minami Y. Spatio-temporally regulated expression of receptor tyrosine kinases, mRor1, mRor2, during mouse development: implications in development and function of the nervous system. *Genes Cells*. 1999; 4:41–56. [PubMed: 10231392]
44. Katoh M, Katoh M. Comparative integromics on non-canonical WNT or planar cell polarity signaling molecules: transcriptional mechanism of PTK7 in colorectal cancer and that of SEMA6A in undifferentiated ES cells. *Int J Mol Med*. 2007; 20:405–409. [PubMed: 17671748]
45. Butler MG, Wadlington WB. Robinow syndrome: report of two patients and review of literature. *Clin Genet*. 1987; 31:77–85. [PubMed: 3549067]
46. Afzal AR, Rajab A, Fenske CD, Oldridge M, Elanko N, Ternes-Pereira E, Tuysuz B, Murday VA, Patton MA, Wilkie AO, Jeffery S. Recessive Robinow syndrome, allelic to dominant brachydactyly type B, is caused by mutation of ROR2. *Nat Genet*. 2000; 25:419–422. [PubMed: 10932186]
47. Dhellemmes C, Choiset A, Narbouton R, Girard S, Tapia S, Thepot F, Sarrut S. Interstitial dup(1p) and severe intrauterine growth retardation. *Ann Genet*. 1988; 31:129–131. [PubMed: 3261150]
48. Mohammed FM, Farag TI, Gunawardana SS, al-Digashim DD, al-Awadi SA, al-Othman SA, Sundareshan TS. Direct duplication of chromosome 1, dir dup(1) (p21.2–p32) in a Bedouin boy with multiple congenital anomalies. *Am J Med Genet*. 1989; 32:353–355. [PubMed: 2729356]
49. Leonard NJ, Tomkins DJ. Diploid/tetraploid/t(1;6) mosaicism in a 17-year-old female with hypomelanosis of Ito, multiple congenital anomalies, and body asymmetry. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002; 112:86–90. [PubMed: 12239727]
50. Halal F, Vekemans M, Der Kaloustian VM. A presumptive translocation 1p;2q resulting in duplication 1p and deletion 2q. *Am J Med Genet*. 1989; 32:376–379. [PubMed: 2658588]

Web resources

The URLs for data presented herein are:

- ▶ Affymetrix, <http://www.affymetrix.com/products/arrays/specific/10k.affx>
- ▶ Ensemble, <http://www.ensembl.org/>
- ▶ Genome Database, <http://www.gdb.org/>
- ▶ MERLIN, <http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/Merlin/>
- ▶ NCBI (Build 35.1), <http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/>
- ▶ The disease identifiers for the OMIM <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Omim/> (for *FOXD3*, *ALG6*, *ITGB3BP*, *KIAA1799*, *DLEU2*, *PGM1*, *ROR1*).
- ▶ The Ensembl Gene ID (<http://www.ensembl.org/>) discussed in this paper are: *FOXD3* (ENSG00000187140), *ALG6* (ENSG00000088035), *ITGB3BP* (ENSG00000142856), *ROR1* (ENSG00000185483), *PGM1* (ENSG00000079739).

Figure 1.

Pedigree of family UR0114 with an omphalocele. Affected individuals are shown with black symbols and normal individuals are shown with clear symbols. Individuals with a slash across their symbol are deceased. The status is unknown for individuals 11 and 12, with grey. Individual 22 in the family was apparently affected. Individuals numbered under each symbols were used in the linkage analysis. Genotypes and haplotypes of chromosome 1p31.3 single nucleotide polymorphism markers are shown below selected individuals of family UR0114. Haplotypes associated with affected status are shown in red. Haplotype

analysis indicated that the co-segregating segment of the omphalocele locus is flanked proximally by marker rs2886770 and distally by marker rs1343981 on chromosome 1p31.3.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 2. Multipoint linkage analysis using NPL in the genome-wide scan on family UR0114 with an omphalocele. The x-axis (shown on top) represents the chromosomal orientation of 22 autosomes and sex chromosomes, and the y-axis represents the parametric LOD score.

Figure 3. Schematic representation of an omphalocele duplicated region on chromosome 1p31.3. The omphalocele critical region is 710 kb in 1p31.3. This region contains *FOX D3*, *ALG6*, *ITGB3BP*, *KIAA1799*, *DLEU2L*, *PGM1*, and proximal portion of *ROR1* genes and duplicated boundary markers. Array comparative genomic hybridisation defines the duplication interval on 1p31.3.

Figure 4.

Quantitative microsphere hybridisation analysis showing relative copy number changes at 1p31.1. Graphs showing results of quantitative assays for selected individuals listed in the text. The points indicate the normalised and duplicated DNA copy number for various genes at this location for a particular assay. The grey line at 1.0 indicates normal copy number.

Table 1

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers data in the candidate linked loci on 1p31.1 in family UR0114

SNP markers	Physical position (bp)	NPL	p Value
rs2886770	63014807	0.20	0.4000
rs1411391	63203261	6.93	0.0001
rs1391812	63261251	6.93	0.0001
rs953654	63261303	6.93	0.0001
rs953653	63261535	6.93	0.0001
rs952998	63455912	6.93	0.0001
rs937805	63885774	6.93	0.0001
rs1073712	64272110	6.92	0.0001
rs1390477	64512668	6.92	0.0001
rs556670	65203935	6.93	0.0001
rs724685	65438537	6.93	0.0001
rs1327118	65597590	6.93	0.0001
rs1343981	65757349	6.93	0.0001