Published in final edited form as:

Drug Dev Res. 2017 February ; 78(1): 49–62. doi:10.1002/ddr.21370.

Synthesis of five and six-membered N-phenylacetamido substituted heterocycles as formyl peptide receptor (FPR) agonists

Claudia Vergelli^a, Igor A. Schepetkin^b, Giovanna Ciciani^a, Agostino Cilibrizzi^c, Letizia Crocetti^a, Maria Paola Giovannoni^{a,*}, Gabriella Guerrini^a, Antonella Iacovone^a, Liliya N. Kirpotina^b, Richard D. Ye^d, and Mark T. Quinn^b

^aNEUROFARBA, Sezione di Farmaceutica e Nutraceutica, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Via Ugo Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy.

^bDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA.

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

^dInstitute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macau.

Abstract

Formyl peptide receptors (FPRs) are G-protein-coupled receptors that play an important role in the regulation of inflammatory process and cellular dysfunction. In humans, three different isoforms are expressed (FPR1, FPR2 and FPR3). FPR2 appears to be directly involved in the resolution of inflammation (ROI), an active process carried out by specific pro-resolving mediators that modulate specific receptors. Previously, we identified 2-arylacetamido pyridazin-3(2*H*)-ones as FPR1- or FPR2-selective agonists, as well as a large number of mixed-agonists for the three isoforms. Here, we report a new series of 2-arylacetamido pyridazinones substituted at position 5 and their development as FPR agonists. We also synthesized a new series of 2-oxothiazolones bearing a 4-bromophenylacetamido fragment, which was fundamental for activity in the pyridazinone series. The compounds of most interest were **4a**, a potent, mixed FPR agonist recognized by all three isotypes (FPR1 EC₅₀ = 19 nM, FPR2 EC₅₀ = 43 nM, FPR3 EC₅₀ = 40 nM), and **4b**, which had potent activity and a preference for FPR2 (EC₅₀ = 13 nM). These novel compounds may represent valuable tools for studying FPR activation and signaling.

Keywords

formyl peptide receptor (FPR); agonist; pyridazin-3(2*H*)-one; neutrophil; Ca²⁺ flux

* corresponding author: Maria Paola Giovannoni, Dipartimento di NEUROFARBA, Via Ugo Schiff 6, Sesto Fiorentino 50019 Firenze, Tel +39-055-4573682, mariapaola.giovannoni@unifi.it.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare to have no financial/commercial conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammation is a primary response of the immune system to injury or infection by invading microbial pathogens and is mediated by the action of many cell types with distinct functions [Beutler, 2004]. The critical role of the inflammatory process in health and disease is essential; however, the mechanisms leading to the restoration of homeostasis and resolution of inflammation have only recently been elucidated. These studies have demonstrated that the resolution of inflammation is an active process carried out by specific pro-resolving mediators, such as lipoxin, resolvins, protectins, and maresins, which have anti-inflammatory and pro-resolving activities that are mediated via interactions with specific receptors [Serhan et al., 2002; Serhan and Levy, 2003; Serhan et al., 2011; Serhan et al., 2015]. Among the receptors activated by pro-resolving mediators is formyl peptide receptor 2 (FPR2), which belongs to a group of G-protein coupled receptors [Corminboeuf and Leroy, 2015]. In addition to FPR2, two other isoforms, FPR1 and FPR3, have been identified in humans and exhibit a high level of amino acid homology with FPR2 [Ye et al, 2009]. Despite their high level of sequence homology, the FPRs differ in their ability to bind the prototypic *N*-formyl peptide *N*-formyl-methionine-leucine-phenylalanine (fMLF). FPR1 has high affinity receptor for this ligand, FPR2 low-affinity receptor, while FPR3 does not bind fMLF. FPR1 and FPR2 have a similar distribution in a variety of tissues and cells involved in inflammation, including endothelial cells, platelets and immature dendritic cells, monocytes, neutrophils, macrophages, T lymphocytes, and epithelial cells [Ye et al, 2009; Migeotte et al., 2006], whereas FPR3 is expressed in monocytes and dendritic cells [Migeotte et al., 2005; 2006].

The involvement of FPR2 in the resolution of inflammation makes this receptor an attractive target for treating a variety of pathologies, including rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cancer, cardiovascular, and Alzheimer's diseases [Mantovani et al., 2008; Libby, 2002; 2015; Bozinovski et al, 2013]. There are only a few reported examples of FPR2-selective ligands [Schepetkin et al, 2014].

Our research in the field of FPR ligands led to the identification of a large number of pyridazin-3(2*H*)-one derivatives that were mixed FPR1/FPR2 agonists (**Figure 1**, general structure **A**) [Cilibrizzi et al., 2009; 2012; Cilibrizzi et al., 2013; Crocetti et al., 2013; Giovannoni et al., 2013, Vergelli et al. 2016]. Key requirements for activity were the presence of a methyl group at position 6 of the pyridazinone ring, a 4-bromophenylacetamide moiety at N-2, and a benzyl/aniline group at position 4 of the scaffold. In the series of 4-anilino derivatives, we identified some compounds with good potency and with a moderate preference for FPR2 subtype [Vergelli et al., 2016]. In the present paper, we further investigated 4-benzylpyridazinones derivatives as isosteres of the 4-anilino derivatives and examine their structure-activity relationships [Vergelli et al., 2016], as well as several derivatives lacking a benzyl fragment at position 4. We also synthesized and evaluated a series of 2-oxothiazolones by maintaining the 4-bromophenylacetamide side fragment.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Chemistry

The synthetic pathways followed to obtain the final compounds are shown in **Figures 2** and **3**, and the structures were confirmed by analytical and spectral data.

In **Figure 2**, synthesis of the 4-benzylpyridazinones **4a-f** and the 4-unsubstituted derivatives of type **6** and **7** is presented. Previously described oxoacids **1a-e** [Metz et al., 1980; Holloway et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2005] were reacted with hydrazine hydrate to obtain the corresponding 4,5-dihydropyridazinones ($\pm$)**2a-e** (compounds ($\pm$)**2a,b,d** [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Pinna et al., 1988; Xu et al., 2005]), which were converted into derivatives **3a-f** by treatment with the appropriate arylaldehyde in the presence of KOH. Alkylation of **3a-f** with commercially available N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide in anhydrous CH₃CN resulted in the final compounds **4a-f**. Compounds ($\pm$)**2a,b** [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Pinna et al., 1988] were also key intermediates for the synthesis of 4-unsubstituted derivatives **6** and **7** by direct alkylation with N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide (compounds **6a,b**) or by oxidation with Br₂ and acetic acid (compounds **5a,b**) [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Coates and McKillop, 1993] and further alkylation (**7a,b**).

In **Figure 3**, synthesis of the final oxothiazolones **9a-h** and **10** is presented. The previously described compounds **8a-h** [Wang et al., 2005; Yarligan et al., 2005; Pihlaja et al. 2002; Isomura et al. 1988; Zhao et al., 2013] were alkylated as shown to obtain the desired **9a-h**. The final **9h** was then subject to catalytic reduction with Pd/C in a Parr instrument to obtain compound **10**.

Experimentals

Reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial sources. Extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. All reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using commercial plates pre-coated with Merck silica gel 60 F-254, and visualization was performed by UV fluorescence (λ_{max} = 254 nm) or by staining with iodine or potassium permanganate. Chromatographic separations were performed on a silica gel column using gravity chromatography (Kieselgel 40, 0.063-0.200 mm; Merck), flash chromatography (Kieselgel 40, 0.040-0.063 mm; Merck), or silica gel preparative TLC (Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄, 20 × 20 cm, 2 mm). Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise stated. Compounds are named following IUPAC rules, as applied by Beilstein-Institut AutoNom 2000 (4.01.305) or CA Index Name. All melting points were determined on a microscope hot stage Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. The identity and purity of intermediates and final compounds were determined through NMR analysis and TLC chromatography. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and NOESY spectra were recorded with Avance 400 instruments (Bruker Biospin Version 002 with SGU). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm to the nearest 0.01 ppm (for ¹H NMR) or 0.1 ppm (for ¹³C NMR) using the solvent as an internal standard. Coupling constants (*J* values) of ¹H NMR are given in Hz and were calculated using 'TopSpin 1.3' software and rounded to the nearest 0.1 Hz. Microanalyses were performed

with a Perkin-Elmer 260 elemental analyzer for C, H, and N, and the results were within ± 0.4 % of the theoretical values, unless otherwise stated.

General Procedures for ($\pm$)2c and ($\pm$)2e

To a solution of appropriate acid **1c** and **1e** (1.52 mmol) [Holloway et al., 2010] in EtOH (5 mL), hydrazine hydrate (3.04 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. After cooling the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and cold water (10 mL) was added. The suspension was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×15 mL), the organic phase was dried over Na_2SO_4 and evaporated to give the final compounds ($\pm$)2c and ($\pm$)2e.

($\pm$)5-Ethyl-6-methyl-4,5-dihydropyridazin-3(2H)-one, ($\pm$)2c

Yield = 26%; oil. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 0.94 (t, 3H, CH_3CH_2 , $J = 7.2$ Hz); 1.50-1.65 (m, 2H, CH_2CO); 2.00 (s, 3H, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}=\text{N}$); 2.30-2.38 (m, 2H, CH_3CH_2); 2.47-2.53 (m, 1H, CHCH_2CH_3); 9.17 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ (140.18): C, 59.98; H, 8.63; N, 19.98; Found: C, 59.85; H, 8.65; N, 19.92.

($\pm$)5-Butyl-6-methyl-4,5-dihydropyridazin-3(2H)-one, ($\pm$)2e

Yield = 34%; mp = 63-67 °C (Cyclohexane). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 0.91-0.95 (m, 3H, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3$); 1.32-1.37 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$); 1.44-1.50 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$); 1.87-1.89 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{CH}_2$); 2.07 (s, 3H, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}=\text{N}$); 2.37-2.42 (m, 1H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3$); 2.48-2.60 (m, 2H, CH_2CO); 8.24 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ (168.24): C, 64.25; H, 9.59; N, 16.65; Found: C, 64.41; H, 9.58; N, 16.59.

General Procedures for 3a-f

To a solution of the suitable intermediate ($\pm$)2a-e (($\pm$)2a,b and ($\pm$)2d [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Pinna et al., 1988; Xu et al., 2005]) (1.44 mmol) in 5-9 mL of KOH/absolute EtOH (5% w/v), 3- or 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1.44-2.16 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed under stirring for 7-20 h. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, diluted with ice-cold water (5-10 mL), neutralized with 2N HCl and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×20 mL). Removal of the solvent resulted in crude compounds **3a,b,f**, which were purified by flash chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:3 (for **3a,b**) and cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 (for **3f**) as eluents. Compounds **3c-e** were recovered as crude precipitate after dilution and neutralization. These final compounds were purified by crystallization from EtOH.

4-(3-Methoxybenzyl)-5,6-dimethylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3a

Yield = 58%; mp = 185-186 °C (EtOH). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 2.18 (s, 3H, $\text{N}=\text{CCH}_3$); 2.28 (s, 3H, CH_3); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH_3); 4.03 (s, 2H, CH_2); 6.76 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 10.8$ Hz); 6.81-6.83 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.20 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz); 9.98 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$ (244.29): C, 68.83; H, 6.60; N, 11.47; Found: C, 69.01; H, 6.59; N, 11.50.

4-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-5,6-dimethylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3b

Yield = 44%; mp = 150-151 °C (EtOH). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 2.19 (s, 3H, $\text{N}=\text{CCH}_3$); 2.28 (s, 3H, CH_3); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH_3); 3.98 (s, 2H, CH_2); 6.82 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz); 7.21 (d,

2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz); 10.33 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{16}N_2O_2$ (244.29): C, 68.83; H, 6.60; N, 11.47; Found: C, 68.99; H, 6.59; N, 11.49.

5-Ethyl-4-(3-methoxybenzyl)-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3c

Yield = 44%; mp = 168-170 °C (EtOH). 1H -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 1.08 (t, 3H, CH_3CH_2 , $J = 7.6$ Hz); 2.37 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.62 (q, 2H, CH_3CH_2 , $J = 7.6$ Hz); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.03 (s, 2H, CH_2Ph); 6.76 (m, 1H, Ar); 6.82 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.20 (m, 1H, Ar). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_2$ (258.32): C, 69.74; H, 7.02; N, 10.84; Found: C, 69.91; H, 7.01; N, 10.87.

4-(3-Methoxybenzyl)-6-methyl-5-propylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3d

Yield = 23%; mp = 134-137 °C (EtOH). 1H -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 1.00 (t, 3H, $CH_3(CH_2)_2$, $J = 7.6$ Hz); 1.38-1.44 (m, 2H, $CH_3CH_2CH_2$); 2.33 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.49-2.54 (m, 2H, $CH_3CH_2CH_2$); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.03 (s, 2H, CH_2Ph); 6.75 (m, 1H, Ar); 6.82-6.85 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.19 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.2$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}N_2O_2$ (272.34): C, 70.56; H, 7.40; N, 10.29; Found: C, 70.39; H, 7.41; N, 10.23.

5-Butyl-4-(3-methoxybenzyl)-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3e

Yield = 22%; mp = 144-146 °C (EtOH/H₂O 1:1). 1H -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 0.95 (t, 3H, $CH_3(CH_2)_3$, $J = 7.2$ Hz); 1.32-1.46 (m, 4H, $CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2$); 2.35 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.52-2.57 (m, 2H, $CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2$); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.02 (s, 2H, CH_2Ph); 6.76 (m, 1H, Ar); 6.82 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.19 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.6$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_2$ (286.37): C, 71.30; H, 7.74; N, 9.78; Found: C, 71.49; H, 7.75; N, 9.81.

4-(3-Methoxybenzyl)-6-methyl-5-phenylpyridazin-3(2H)-one, 3f

Yield = 32%; oil. 1H -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 2.14 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.80 (s, 2H, CH_2); 6.42 (s, 1H, Ar); 6.53 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 10.8$ Hz); 6.71 (dd, 1H, Ar, $J = 2.4$ Hz, $J = 5.6$ Hz); 7.02-7.17 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.41-7.51 (m, 3H, Ar). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}N_2O_2$ (306.36): C, 74.49; H, 5.92; N, 9.14; Found: C, 74.68; H, 5.91; N, 9.16.

General Procedures for 4a-f

To a mixture of the appropriate intermediate **3a-f** (0.40 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (0.80-1.20 mmol) in CH_3CN (5-10 mL), N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide (0.40-0.72 mmol) was added and the suspension was refluxed under stirring for 5-7 h. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, ice cold water was (5-10 mL) added and then further stirred for 1 h. The precipitate was recovered by suction and purified by flash chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 (compounds **4a,b,e**), cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:2 (compounds **4c,d**) and cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 for **4c** as eluents.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-3,4-dimethyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 4a

Yield = 48%; mp = 123-124 °C (EtOH). 1H -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 2.23 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.34 (s, 3H, C=CCH₃); 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.06 (s, 2H, CH_2Ar); 4.94 (s, 2H, NCH₂); 6.76 (dd, 1H, Ar, $J = 6.4$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz); 6.79-6.82 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.19 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz); 7.30-7.35 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.31 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C -NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 16.0 (CH_3); 20.4

(CH₃); 32.1 (CH₂); 55.1 (CH₃); 57.9 (CH₂); 111.3 (CH); 114.7 (CH); 116.3 (C); 120.7 (CH); 121.1 (2 CH); 129.5 (CH); 131.5 (2CH); 137.1 (C); 137.9 (C); 139.4 (C); 140.7 (C); 146.6 (C); 159.8 (C); 161.2 (C); 165.6 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₂N₃O₃ (456.33): C, 57.90; H, 4.86; N, 9.21; Found: C, 57.74; H, 4.85; N, 9.24.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3,4-dimethyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 4b

Yield = 58%; mp = 177-178 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.24 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.33 (s, 3H, C=CCH₃); 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.02 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar); 4.94 (s, 2H, NCH₂); 6.80 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* = 8.4 Hz); 7.16 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* = 8.4 Hz); 7.30-7.38 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.26 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.0 (CH₃); 20.3 (CH₃); 31.3 (CH₂); 55.2 (CH₃); 58.1 (CH₂); 114.1 (2 CH); 116.4 (C); 121.2 (2CH); 129.4 (2 CH); 129.6 (C); 131.5 (2 CH); 137.0 (C); 138.5 (C); 140.3 (C); 146.8 (C); 158.3 (C); 161.2 (C); 165.6 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₂N₃O₃ (456.33): C, 57.90; H, 4.86; N, 9.21; Found: C, 57.69; H, 4.85; N, 9.18.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-ethyl-5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 4c

Yield = 39%; mp = 86-88 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.10 (t, 3H, CH₃CH₂, *J* = 7.6 Hz); 2.39 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.63 (q, 2H, CH₃CH₂, *J* = 7.6 Hz); 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.05 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar); 4.93 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.77 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.18 (t, 1H, Ar, *J* = 8.8 Hz); 7.33-7.38 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.31 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₄BrN₃O₃ (470.36): C, 58.73; H, 5.14; N, 8.93; Found: C, 58.89; H, 5.13; N, 8.90.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxo-4-propylpyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 4d

Yield = 30%; mp = 81-83 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.04 (t, 3H, CH₃(CH₂)₂, *J* = 7.2 Hz); 1.42-1.49 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH₂); 2.38 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.54-2.58 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH₂); 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.03 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar); 4.88 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.74-6.78 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.17 (t, 1H, Ar, *J* = 7.6 Hz); 7.25 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.50 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₆BrN₃O₃ (484.39): C, 59.51; H, 5.41; N, 8.67; Found: C, 59.67; H, 5.40; N, 8.64.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-butyl-5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 4e

Yield = 57%; mp = 75-77 °C (Cyclohexane). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.93 (t, 3H, CH₃(CH₂)₃, *J* = 7.2 Hz); 1.28-1.45 (m, 4H, CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂); 2.38 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.54-2.58 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂); 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.99 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar); 4.88 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.75-6.78 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.17 (t, 1H, Ar, *J* = 7.6 Hz); 7.25-7.27 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.53 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₈BrN₃O₃ (498.41): C, 60.24; H, 5.66; N, 8.43; Found: C, 60.08; H, 5.66; N, 8.46.

***N*-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxo-4-phenylpyridazin-1(6*H*)-yl]acetamide, 4f**

Yield = 24%; oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.09 (s, 3H, CCH₃); 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.87 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar); 5.00 (s, 2H, NCH₂); 6.38 (s, 1H, Ar); 6.64 (d, 1H, Ar, *J* = 8.8 Hz); 7.02-7.06 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.19 (d, 1H, Ar, *J* = 7.6 Hz); 7.42 (m, 8H, Ar); 9.22 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.1 (CH₃); 31.1 (CH₂); 55.2 (CH₃); 58.3 (CH₂); 111.4 (CH); 112.1 (CH); 114.7 (CH); 115.3 (CH); 116.4 (C); 120.9 (CH); 121.1 (CH); 125.4 (C); 127.5 (CH); 128.0 (CH); 128.8 (CH); 129.0 (CH); 129.3 (CH); 129.8 (CH); 131.5 (CH); 134.7 (C); 137.0 (C); 138.5 (C); 139.7 (C); 145.2 (C); 145.8 (C); 161.2 (C); 165.5 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₄N₃O₃ (518.40): C, 62.56; H, 4.67; N, 8.11; Found: C, 62.74; H, 4.68; N, 8.13.

General Procedures for (±)6a,b and 7a,b

Compounds (±)6a,b and 7a,b were obtained starting from appropriate substrates (±)2a,b [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Pinna et al., 1988] and 5a,b [Haider and Holzer, 2004; Coates and McKillop, 1993] following the same procedure described for 4a-f. The final compounds were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 as eluent.

(±)*N*-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[3,4-dimethyl-6-oxo-5,6-dihydropyridazin-1(4*H*)-yl]acetamide, (±)6a

Yield = 36%; mp = 84-85 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (d, 3H, CHCH₃, *J* = 6.8 Hz); 2.08 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.33-2.40 (m, 1H, CH₃CH); 2.64-2.70 (m, 2H, CHCH₂); 4.49 (d, 1H, NCH-*H*, *J* = 15.6 Hz); 4.58 (d, 1H, NCH-*H*, *J* = 15.6 Hz); 7.30-7.40 (m, 4H, Ar); 8.19 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.7 (CH₃); 21.1 (CH₃); 31.9 (CH); 34.1 (CH₂); 53.1 (CH₂); 116.8 (C); 121.4 (2 CH); 131.8 (2 CH); 136.8 (C); 158.6 (C); 166.3 (C); 166.5 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆BrN₃O₂ (338.20): C, 49.72; H, 4.77; N, 12.42; Found: C, 49.59; H, 4.75; N, 12.47.

(±)*N*-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[3-methyl-6-oxo-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydropyridazin-1(4*H*)-yl]acetamide, (±)6b

Yield = 62%; oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.06 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.82 (dd, 1H, CHCH-*H*, *J* = 4.8 Hz, *J* = 11.6 Hz); 2.96 (dd, 1H, CHCH-*H*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 9.2 Hz); 3.84 (dd, 1H, CH-Ph, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *J* = 2.0 Hz); 4.46 (d, 1H, NCH-*H*, *J* = 15.6 Hz); 4.72 (d, 1H, NCH-*H*, *J* = 15.6 Hz); 7.17-7.19 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.28-7.34 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.42 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* = 8.8 Hz) 7.90 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 22.3 (CH₃); 34.4 (CH₂); 43.5 (CH); 53.7 (CH₂); 116.9 (C); 121.4 (CH); 127.2 (2 CH); 128.1 (CH); 129.2 (CH); 129.5 (2 CH); 131.8 (2 CH); 136.6 (C); 137.1 (C); 155.9 (C); 165.8 (C); 166.4 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉BrN₃O₂ (400.27): C, 57.01; H, 4.53; N, 10.50; Found: C, 57.17; H, 4.52; N, 10.47.

***N*-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[3,4-dimethyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6*H*)-yl]acetamide, 7a**

Yield = 81%; mp = 205-206 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.23 (s, 3H, N=CCH₃); 2.33 (s, 3H, =CCH₃); 4.92 (s, 2H, CH₂); 6.81 (s, 1H, Ar); 7.39-7.44 (m, 4H, Ar); 9.11 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 19.0 (CH₃); 19.2 (CH₃); 57.5 (CH₂); 116.0 (C); 121.0 (2CH); 127.4 (CH); 132.0 (2CH); 137.0 (C); 144.8 (C); 161.1 (C); 165.4 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₄BrN₃O₂ (336.18): C, 50.02; H, 4.20; N, 12.50; Found: C, 50.16; H, 4.19; N, 12.53.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[3-methyl-6-oxo-4-phenylpyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide, 7b

Yield = 64%; mp = 199-200 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃); 5.01 (s, 2H, CH₂); 6.91 (s, 1H, Ar); 7.40-7.42 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.43-7.48 (m, 4H, Ar); 7.49-7.5 (m, 3H, Ar); 9.22 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.4 (CH₃); 58.0 (CH₂); 116.9 (C); 121.4 (CH); 127.9 (CH); 128.0 (2CH); 128.9 (2CH); 129.4 (2CH); 131.8 (2CH); 135.5 (C); 137.0 (C); 145.5 (C); 148.3 (C); 161.0 (C); 165.2 (C). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₆BrN₃O₂ (398.25): C, 57.30; H, 4.05; N, 10.55; Found: C, 57.43; H, 4.06; N, 10.58.

General Procedures for 9a-h

Compounds **9a-h** were obtained starting from appropriate substrate of type **8** [Wang et al., 2005; Yarligan et al., 2005; Pihlaja et al. 2002; Isomura et al. 1988; Zhao et al., 2013] following the same procedure described for **4a-f**. The final compounds were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 (for **9a,f,g**), cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:2 (for **9b**), cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 (for **9d**) and CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH 98:2 (**9c,e**) as eluents.

2-(5-Acetyl-4-methyl-2-oxo-thiazol-3-yl)-N-(4-bromophenyl)acetamide, 9a

Yield = 19%; mp = 228-230 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.39 (s, 3H, COCH₃); 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃); 4.55 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 7.34-7.44 (m, 4H, Ar); 8.19 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₃BrN₂O₃S (369.23): C, 45.54; H, 3.55; N, 7.59; Found: C, 45.65; H, 3.54; N, 7.60.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(4-methyl-2-oxo-thiazol-3-yl)acetamide, 9b

Yield = 35%; mp = 204-205 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (s, 3H, CH₃); 4.45 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 5.85 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.36-7.43 (m, 4H, Ar); 8.43 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₁BrN₂O₂S (327.20): C, 44.05; H, 3.39; N, 8.56; Found: C, 44.17; H, 3.39; N, 8.54.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(2-oxo-4-phenyl-thiazol-3-yl)acetamide, 9c

Yield = 18%; mp = 181-183 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.41 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.14 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.32 (s, 4H, Ar); 7.45 (s, 5H, Ar); 8.45 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 48.8 (CH₂); 99.4 (CH); 117.1 (C); 121.3 (CH); 121.4 (CH); 129.9 (CH); 130.3 (CH); 130.8 (C); 131.8 (CH); 136.6 (C); 138.3 (C); 165.1 (2 CO). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₃BrN₂O₂S (389.27): C, 52.45; H, 3.37; N, 7.20; Found: C, 52.29; H, 3.36; N, 7.22.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]-acetamide, 9d

Yield = 25%; mp = 162-165 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.83 (s, 3H, CH₃); 4.39 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.15 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.01 (s, 3H, Ar); 7.42 (s, 5H, Ar); 8.63 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 48.8 (CH₂); 55.5 (CH₃); 99.3 (CH); 114.3 (CH); 116.0 (CH); 116.9 (CH); 121.2 (CH); 121.4 (CH); 130.2 (CH); 131.5 (C); 131.7 (CH); 136.7 (C); 138.3 (C); 159.9 (C); 165.2 (CO); 174.2 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅BrN₂O₃S (419.29): C, 51.56; H, 3.61; N, 6.68; Found: C, 51.43; H, 3.60; N, 6.72.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]acetamide, 9e

Yield = 15%; mp = 210-213 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.84 (s, 3H, CH₃); 4.37 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.07 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 6.96 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.8 Hz); 7.38 (m, 6H, Ar); 8.79 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 48.7 (CH₂); 55.3 (CH₃); 100.1 (CH); 114.4 (CH); 115.8 (CH); 117.1 (CH); 121.7 (CH); 121.3 (CH); 129.8 (CH); 131.2 (C); 132.1 (CH); 135.9 (C); 138.4 (C); 160.1 (C); 164.2 (CO); 173. (CO). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅BrN₂O₃S (419.29): C, 51.56; H, 3.61; N, 6.68; Found: C, 51.61; H, 3.61; N, 6.65.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]acetamide, 9f

Yield = 16%; mp = 203-204 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.37 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.18 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.36-7.46 (m, 8H, Ar); 8.59 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₂BrClN₂O₂S (423.71): C, 48.19; H, 2.85; N, 6.61; Found: C, 48.35; H, 2.84; N, 6.62.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]acetamide, 9g

Yield = 18%; mp = 230-232 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.36 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.14 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.37-7.45 (m, 8H, Ar); 8.73 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₂BrClN₂O₂S (423.71): C, 48.19; H, 2.85; N, 6.61; Found: C, 48.03; H, 2.85; N, 6.63.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]acetamide, 9h

Yield = 21%; mp = 162-164 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.38 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 6.30 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 7.41 (s, 4H, Ar); 7.72 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.8 Hz); 8.83 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.8); 8.68 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₂BrN₃O₄S (434.26): C, 47.02; H, 2.79; N, 9.68; Found: C, 47.16; H, 2.78; N, 9.71.

2-[4-(4-Aminophenyl)-2-oxothiazol-3-yl]-N-(4-bromophenyl)acetamide, 10

Compound **9h** (0.11 mmol) was subject to catalytic reduction with 10% Pd/C (0.05 mmol) in EtOH (20 mL) for 2 h in a Parr instrument (30 PSI). The catalyst was filtered off, and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, affording the final compound, which was purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 as eluent. Yield = 27%; mp = 188-189 °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.32 (s, 2H, CH₂CO); 5.41 (exch br s, 2H, NH₂); 6.21 (s, 1H, H5-thiazole); 6.53 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.01 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.45 (s, 4H, Ar); 10.28 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₄BrN₃O₂S (404.28): C, 50.50; H, 3.49; N, 10.39; Found: C, 50.61; H, 3.48; N, 10.37.

Cell Culture

Human promyelocytic leukemia HL60 cells stably transfected with FPR1 (FPR1-HL60), FPR2 (FPR2-HL60), or FPR3 (FPR3-HL60) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, 10 mM HEPES, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 100 U/ml penicillin, and G418 (1 mg/mL), as previously described [Giovannoni et al., 2013]. Rat basophilic leukemia (RBL-2H3) cells transfected with mouse Fpr1 (Fpr1-RBL) or mouse Fpr2 (Fpr2-RBL) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 20% (v/v) FBS, 10 mM HEPES, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 100 U/ml penicillin, and G418 (250 µg/ml). Wild-type HL60 and RBL-2H3 cells were cultured under the same conditions, but without G418.

Isolation of Human Neutrophils

Blood was collected from healthy donors in accordance with a protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board at Montana State University. Neutrophils were purified from the blood using dextran sedimentation, followed by Histopaque 1077 gradient separation and hypotonic lysis of red blood cells, as previously described [Schepetkin et al., 2014b]. Isolated neutrophils were washed twice and resuspended in HBSS without Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (HBSS^-). Neutrophil preparations were routinely $> 95\%$ pure, as determined by light microscopy, and greater than 98 % viable, as determined by trypan blue exclusion.

Isolation of Murine Neutrophils

Murine bone marrow neutrophils were isolated from bone marrow leukocyte preparations, as described previously [Schepetkin et al., 2014]. Briefly, bone marrow leukocytes were flushed from tibias and femurs of BALB/c mice with HBSS, filtered through a 70 μm nylon cell strainer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to remove cell clumps and bone particles, and resuspended in HBSS at 10^6 cells/ml. Bone marrow leukocytes were resuspended in 3 ml of 45% Percoll solution and layered on top of a Percoll gradient consisting of 2 ml each of 50, 55, 62, and 81% Percoll solutions in a conical 15-ml polypropylene tube. The gradient was centrifuged at 1600g for 30 min at 10°C , and the cell band located between the 61 and 81% Percoll layers was collected. The cells were washed, layered on top of 3 ml of Histopaque 1119, and centrifuged at 1600g for 30 min at 10°C to remove contaminating red blood cells. The purified neutrophils were collected, washed, and resuspended in HBSS. All animal use was conducted in accordance with a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Montana State University.

Ca^{2+} Mobilization Assay

Changes in intracellular Ca^{2+} were measured with a FlexStation II scanning fluorometer using a FLIPR 3 calcium assay kit (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) for human and murine neutrophils, as well as HL60 and RBL cells, as described previously [Schepetkin et al., 2013]. All active compounds were evaluated in wild-type HL60 and RBL cells to verify that the agonists are inactive in nontransfected cells. Neutrophils or HL60 and RBL cells, suspended in HBSS^- containing 10 mM HEPES, were loaded with Fluo-4 AM dye (Invitrogen; 1.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ final concentration) and incubated for 30 min in the dark at 37°C . After dye loading, the cells were washed with HBSS^- containing 10 mM HEPES, resuspended in HBSS containing 10 mM HEPES and Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (HBSS^+), and aliquotted into the wells of a flat-bottomed, half-area-well black microtiter plates (2×10^5 cells/well). The compound of interest was added from a source plate containing dilutions of test compounds in HBSS^+ , and changes in fluorescence were monitored ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 485 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 538 \text{ nm}$) every 5 s for 240 s at room temperature after automated addition of compounds. Maximum change in fluorescence, expressed in arbitrary units over baseline, was used to determine agonist response. Responses were normalized to the response induced by 5 nM fMLF (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) for FPR1 HL60 cells and human neutrophils, 5 nM WKYMVm (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) for FPR2 HL60 cells, 10 nM WKYMVm (Tocris Bioscience) for FPR3-HL60 cells, and 10 nM WKYMVm for mouse neutrophils, mFpr1-RBL, and mFpr2-RBL cells, which were assigned a value of 100%. Curve fitting

(5-6 points) and calculation of median effective concentration values (EC_{50} values) were performed using nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves generated using Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Cell Migration Assay

Neutrophils were suspended in HBSS⁺ containing 2% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (2×10^6 cells/mL), and cell migration was analyzed in 96-well ChemoTx chemotaxis chambers (Neuroprobe, Gaithersburg, MD), as previously described [Schepetkin et al., 2014b]. Briefly, lower wells were loaded with 30 μ L of HBSS⁺ containing 2% (v/v) FBS and the indicated concentrations of test compound, DMSO (negative control), or 1 nM *f*MLF as a positive control. Neutrophils were added to the upper wells and allowed to migrate through the 5.0 μ m pore polycarbonate membrane filter for 60 min at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. The number of migrated cells was determined by measuring ATP in lysates of transmigrated cells using a luminescence-based assay (CellTiter-Glo; Promega, Madison, WI), and luminescence measurements were converted to absolute cell numbers by comparison of the values with standard curves obtained with known numbers of neutrophils. The results are expressed as percentage of negative control and were calculated as follows: (number of cells migrating in response to test compounds/spontaneous migration in response to control medium) $\times$ 100. EC_{50} values were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves generated using Prism 5 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The newly synthesized compounds were evaluated for their ability to induce intracellular Ca²⁺ flux in human neutrophils and HL60 cells transfected with FPR1, FPR2 and FPR3 (**Tables 1-3**). Compounds were also evaluated in mouse neutrophils and RBL-2H3 cells transfected with mouse FPR1 and FPR2. To verify receptor specificity, these compounds were also evaluated, as applicable, in wild-type non-transfected HL60 and RBL cells and were found to be inactive.

In the 4-benzylpyridazinone series (**Table 1**), the introduction of a methyl at C-5 was associated with high FPR agonist activity (compounds **4a,b**) leading to some of the most potent mixed agonists. For example, **4a** activated all three FPR subtypes with good potency (FPR1 EC_{50} = 19 nM, FPR2 EC_{50} = 43 nM, FPR3 EC_{50} = 40 nM). Although **4b** was an FPR1 and FPR3 agonist with submicromolar activity (EC_{50} = 0.9 and 0.13 μ M, respectively), it exhibited a preference for FPR2 (EC_{50} = 13 nM). These results are consistent with our previous studies [Cilibrizzi et al., 2009], which showed that when OCH₃ was shifted from the *meta* to the *para* position of the benzyl at C-4, the selectivity of a given ligand shifted from mixed FPR1/FPR2 to FPR2-specific.

Elongation of the aliphatic chain (compounds **4c-e**) was detrimental for activity and led to mixed agonists with EC_{50} values in the micromolar range, with the exception of the 3-butyl derivative **4e**, which was a weak but selective FPR2 agonist (EC_{50} = 15.7 μ M). Surprisingly, the 5-phenyl derivative **4f** exhibited FPR1 selectivity (EC_{50} = 2.2 μ M, **Table 1**).

As shown in **Table 2** elimination of the substituent at position 4 and introduction of CH₃ or C₆H₅ at C-5 (products of type **6** and **7**) led to compounds endowed with micromolar activity and a slight preference for FPR2. The unsaturation at C-4/C-5 of the heterocyclic ring (**7a,b**) appeared to be associated with increased agonist activity and selectivity (generally submicromolar range) relative to the 4,5-dihydro analogues, ($\pm$)**6a,b**. As compounds ($\pm$)**6a,b** were mixtures of isomers, it is possible that one of the isomers could be more active than the other, reducing overall specific activity or even interfering with binding of the active isomer.

Table 3 reports the activities of the 2-oxothiazole derivatives, **9a-h** and **10** that exhibited EC₅₀ values in the micromolar range for the three FPR isoforms, with the exception of compound **9d**, which had EC₅₀ values of 0.28 μ M and 0.23 μ M for FPR1 and FPR2, respectively. No appreciable FPR2 selectivity was observed for this series, although they did have a lower affinity for FPR3 (EC₅₀ ~ 20-fold higher than for FPR1 and FPR2).

The most active derivatives (**4a,b** and **7b**) were also evaluated for their chemoattractant activity toward human neutrophils (**Table 4**). As expected for FPR agonists, they stimulated neutrophil migrations with EC₅₀ values that correlated well with their ability to induce human neutrophil intracellular Ca²⁺ flux.

All of the synthesized compounds were also evaluated in mouse neutrophils and RBL cells transfected with mouse Fpr1 or Fpr2 (**Table 5**). The majority of tested compounds had no agonist effects at mouse neutrophils or RBL cells transfected with mouse Fpr; however, four compounds were active in mouse neutrophils and three had activity in either Fpr1 or Fpr2 RBL cells. As shown in **Table 5**, compounds **4f**, ($\pm$)**6b**, and **7a,b** all activated Ca²⁺ flux in mouse neutrophils with low micromolar activity. From the literature, it is well known that there are differences in affinity between FPR1 and Fpr1 for α MLF and other FPR agonists/antagonists [He et al., 2000] and these receptors have only 72% sequence similarities (Dahlgren et al., 2016). However, it is difficult to explain the complete inactivity of the most potent human FPR agonists of this series (**4a** and **4b**) towards mouse neutrophils or mouse Fpr-transfected RBL cells. Comparison of the results between human FPR-transfected HL60 cells and mouse Fpr1/Fpr2-transfected RBL cells was also difficult to interpret. For example, ($\pm$)**6a** was active for all human FPR and mouse Fpr subtypes tested, whereas **4f** was inactive in FPR2-HL60 cells but had an EC₅₀ value of 28.8 μ M for mouse Fpr2. Likewise, ($\pm$)**6a** was active for all human FPR subtypes but only activated mFpr1 and not mouse neutrophils or mFpr2. Thus, the difference in human and mouse formyl peptide receptor responses to various agonists are clearly evident and will need to be considered when using agonists in mouse models involving Fpr function.

In conclusion, we have identified a new series of FPRs agonists with EC₅₀ values in the nanomolar to low micromolar range. The majority of compounds were mixed FPRs agonists, but with a slight preference for FPR2. Compounds **4a** and **4b** are notable for their high potency. In particular, compound **4a** is a mixed FPR agonist with EC₅₀ values of 19 nM (FPR1), 43 nM (FPR2), and 40 nM (FPR3). Similarly interesting is compound **4b**, which had considerable potency, but exhibited a preference for FPR2 (EC₅₀ = 13 nM) and could represent a novel lead compound for further chemical manipulation and studies.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health IDEA Program COBRE grant GM110732 (MTQ) and grant AI033503 (RDY), an equipment grant from the M.J. Murdock Charitable Trust (MTQ), a USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch project (MTQ), Montana University System Research Initiative 51040-MUSRI2015-03, and the Montana State University Agricultural Experiment Station (MTQ).

REFERENCES

- Beutler B. Innate immunity: an overview. *Mol Immunol*. 2004; 40:845–859. [PubMed: 14698223]
- Bozinovski S, Anthony D, Anderson GA, Irving LB, Levy BD, Vlahos R. Treating neutrophilic inflammation in COPD by treating ALX/FPR2 resolution pathways. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2013; 140:280–289. [PubMed: 23880288]
- Cilibrizzi A, Crocetti L, Giovannoni MP, Graziano A, Vergelli C, Bartolucci G, Soldani G, Quinn MT, Schepetkin IA, Faggi C. Synthesis, HPLC enantioresolution and X-ray analysis of a new series of C5-methyl pyridazines as N-formyl peptide receptor (FPR) agonists. *Chirality*. 2013; 25:400–408. [PubMed: 23744588]
- Cilibrizzi A, Quinn MT, Kirpotina LN, Schepetkin IA, Holderness J, Ye RD, Rabiet MJ, Biancalani C, Cesari N, Graziano A, Vergelli C, Pieretti S, Dal Piaz V, Giovannoni MP. 6-Methyl-2,4-disubstituted pyridazin-3(2H)-ones: a novel class of small-molecule agonists for formyl peptide receptors. *J Med Chem*. 2009; 52:5044–5057. [PubMed: 19639995]
- Cilibrizzi A, Schepetkin IA, Bartolucci G, Crocetti L, Dal Piaz V, Giovannoni MP, Graziano A, Kirpotina LN, Quinn MT, Vergelli C. Synthesis, enantioresolution, and activity profile of chiral 6-methyl-2,4-disubstituted pyridazin-3(2H)-ones as potent N-formyl peptide receptor agonists. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2012; 20:3781–3792. [PubMed: 22607879]
- Coates WJ, McKillop A. One-pot preparation of 6-substituted 3(2H)-pyridazinones from ketones. *Synthesis*. 1993; 3:334–342.
- Corminboeuf O, Leroy X. FPR2/ALXR agonists and the resolution of inflammation. *J Med Chem*. 2015; 58:537–559. [PubMed: 25365541]
- Crocetti L, Vergelli C, Cilibrizzi A, Graziano A, Khlebnikov AI, Kirpotina LN, Schepetkin IA, Quinn MT, Giovannoni MP. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of new pyridazin-based thioderivatives as formyl peptide receptor (FPR) agonists. *Drug Dev Res*. 2013; 74:259–271.
- Dahlgren C, Gabl M, Holdfeldt A, Winther M, Forsman H. Basic characteristics of the neutrophil receptors that recognize formylated peptides, a danger-associated molecular pattern generated by bacteria and mitochondria. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2016; 114:22–39. [PubMed: 27131862]
- Giovannoni MP, Schepetkin IA, Cilibrizzi A, Crocetti L, Khlebnikov AI, Dahlgren C, Graziano A, Dal Piaz V, Kirpotina LN, Zerbinati S, Vergelli C, Quinn MT. Further studies on 2-arylacetamide pyridazin-3(2H)-ones: design, synthesis and evaluation of 4,6-disubstituted analogs as formyl peptide receptors (FPRs) agonists. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2013; 64:512–528. [PubMed: 23685570]
- Haider N, Holzer W. Product class 8: pyridazines. *Sci Synth*. 2004; 16:125–249.
- He R, Tan L, Browning DD, Wang JM, Ye DR. The synthetic peptide Trp-Lys-Tyr-Met-Val-D-Met is a potent chemotactic agonist for mouse formyl peptide receptor. 2000; 165:4598–4605.
- Holloway CA, Muratore ME, Storer RI, Dixon DJ. Direct enantioselective brønsted acid catalyzed N-acyliminium cyclization cascades of tryptamines and ketoacids. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:4720–4723. [PubMed: 20929214]
- Isomura Y, Sakamoto S, Yoshida M, Abe T. Preparation of 4-aryl-2(3H)-thiazolone derivative as drug for bone disorders. *Jpn Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP*. 1988:63112572 A.
- Libby P. Atherosclerosis: the new view. *Sci Am*. 2002; 286:46–52.
- Libby P. Fanning the flames: inflammation in cardiovascular diseases. *Cardiov Res*. 2015; 107:307–309.
- Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. *Nature*. 2008; 454:436–444. [PubMed: 18650914]

- Metz G, Schwenker G. Intramolecular cyclocondensation of 4- and 5-oxocarboxylic acids to five a membered ring systems. *Synthesis*. 1980; 5:394–397.
- Migeotte I, Communi D, Parmentier M. Formyl peptide receptors: a promiscuous sub family of G protein-coupled receptors controlling immune responses. *Cytokine Grow Factor Rev*. 2006; 17:501–519.
- Migeotte I, Riboldi E, Franssen JD, Gregoire F, Loison C, Wittamer V, Detheux M, Robberecht P, Costagliola S, Vassart G, Sozzani S, Parmentier M, Communi D. Identification and characterization of an endogenous chemotactic ligand specific for FPRL2. *J Exp Med*. 2005; 201:83–93. [PubMed: 15623572]
- Pihlaja K, Ovcharenko V, Kohlemainen E, Laihia K, Fabian Walter MF, Dehne H, Perjessy A, Kleist M, Teller J, Šusteková Z. A correlative IR, MS, ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR and theoretical study of 4-arylthiazol-2(3H)-ones. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2*. 2002; 2:329–336.
- Pinna GA, Curzu MM, Barlocco D, Cignarella G, Cavalletti E, Germini M, Berger K. Synthesis and pharmacological study of 5-aryl-6-methyl-4,5-dihydropyridazin-3(2H)-ones and related 5-aryl-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-ones. *Farmaco*. 1988; 43:539–549.
- Serhan CN, Chiang N, Dalli J. The resolution code of acute inflammation: novel pro-resolving lipid mediators in resolution. *Semin Immunol*. 2015; 27:200–215. [PubMed: 25857211]
- Serhan CN, Hong S, Gronert K, Colgan SP, Devchand PR, Mirick G, Moussignac RL. Resolvins: a family of bioactive products of omega-3 fatty acid transformation circuits initiated by aspirin treatment that counter pro-inflammation signals. *J Exp Med*. 2002; 196:1025–1037. [PubMed: 12391014]
- Serhan CN, Krishnamoorthy S, Recchiuti A, Chiang N. Novel anti-inflammatory-pro-resolving mediators and their receptors. *Curr Top Med Chem*. 2011; 11:629–647. [PubMed: 21261595]
- Serhan CN, Levy B. Novel pathways and endogenous mediators in anti-inflammation and resolution. *Chem Immunol Allergy*. 2003; 83:115–145. [PubMed: 12947982]
- Schepetkin AI, Khlebnikov AI, Giovannoni MP, Kirpotina LN, Cilibrizzi A, Quinn MT. Development of small molecule non-peptide formyl peptide receptor (FPR) ligands and molecular modeling of their recognition. *Curr Med Chem*. 2014a; 21:1478–1504. [PubMed: 24350845]
- Schepetkin AI, Kirpotina LN, Khlebnikov AI, Leopoldo M, Lucente E, Lacivita E, De Giorgio P, Quinn MT. 3-(1H-indol-3-yl)-2-[3-(4-nitrophenyl)ureido]propanamide enantiomers with human formyl-peptide receptor agonist activity: molecular modeling of chiral recognition by FPR2. *Biochem. Pharmacol*. 2013; 85:404–416. [PubMed: 23219934]
- Schepetkin IA, Kirpotina LN, Khlebnikov AI, Cheng N, Ye RD, Quinn MT. Antagonism of human formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1) by chromones and related isoflavones. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2014b; 92:627–641. [PubMed: 25450672]
- Vergelli C, Schepetkin IA, Ciciani G, Cilibrizzi A, Crocetti L, Giovannoni MP, Guerrini G, Iacovone A, Kirpotina LN, Khlebnikov AI, Ye RD, Quinn MT. 2-Arylacetamido-4-phenylamino-5-substituted pyridazinones as formyl peptide receptors agonists. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2016; 24:2530–2543.
- Wang, S., Wood, G., Duncan, KW., Meades, C., Gibson, D., McLachlan, JC., Perry, A., Blake, D., Zheleva, DI., Fisher, P. Preparation of pyrimidinylthiazolone compounds as protein kinase inhibitors. 2005. PCT Int Appl WO 2005042525
- Xu, Y., Han, B., Xie, L., Maynard, G. Preparation of imidazopyridazines, triazolopyridazines and related benzodiazepine receptor ligands. 2005. PCT Int Appl WO2005080355
- Yarligan S, Oğretir C, Csizmadia IG, Acikkalpe E, Bierber H, Arsian T. An ab initio study on protonation of some substituted thiazole derivatives. *J Mol Struct Theochem*. 2005; 715:199–203.
- Ye RD, Boulay F, Wang JM, Dahlgren C, Gerard C, Parmentier M, Serhan CN, Murphy PM. International union of basic and clinical pharmacology. LXXIII. Nomenclature for the formyl peptide receptor (FPR) family. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2009; 61:119–161. [PubMed: 19498085]
- Zhao L, Cao D, Chen T, Whang YMZ, Xu Y, Chen WWX, Lin Y, Du Z, Xiong B, Li J, Xu C, Zhang N, He J, Shen J. Fragment-based drug discovery of 2-thiazolidinones as inhibitors of the histone reader BRD4 bromodomain. *J Med Chem*. 2013; 56:3833–3851. [PubMed: 23530754]

Figure 1.
FPR1/FPR2 mixed agonists

Figure 2.

Synthesis of the final compounds **4a-f**, **(±)6a,b**, **7a,b**. Reagents and conditions: i) N₂H₄·H₂O, EtOH, reflux, 3h; ii) 3 or 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, KOH 5% (w/v) in anhydrous EtOH, reflux, 7-20 h; iii) N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide, K₂CO₃, anhydrous CH₃CN, reflux, 5-7 h; iv) Br₂/AcOH, reflux. 8h.

Figure 3.

Synthesis of the final compounds **9a-h** and **10**. Reagents and conditions: i) N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide, K₂CO₃, anhydrous CH₃CN, reflux, 5-7h; ii) 10% Pd/C, anhydrous EtOH, H₂, Parr, 30 PSI, 2 h.

Table 1Activity of 4-benzyl-5-substituted pyridazinones **4a-f** in human neutrophils and FPR-transfected HL60 cell

<p style="text-align: center;">4a-f</p>						
Compd	R ₅	OCH ₃	Ca ²⁺ flux			
			human neutrophils	FPR1-HL60	FPR2-HL60	FPR3-HL60
			EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy (%) ^[a]			
4a	CH ₃	m	0.006 ± 0.002 (150)	0.019 ± 0.005 (85)	0.043 ± 0.016 (80)	0.040 ± 0.011 (165)
4b	CH ₃	p	0.27 ± 0.025 (140)	0.9 ± 0.033 (60)	0.013 ± 0.003 (95)	0.13 ± 0.032 (100)
4c	C ₂ H ₅	m	1.2 ± 0.3 (100)	3.2 ± 0.6 (120)	1.9 ± 0.41 (100)	4.1 ± 1.7 (100)
4d	C ₃ H ₅	m	3.2 ± 1.2 (70)	2.2 ± 0.5 (115)	4.6 ± 1.3 (90)	3.4 ± 0.76 (65)
4e	C ₄ H ₉	m	2.7 ± 0.7 (25)	N.A. ^[b]	15.7 ± 4.2 (90)	N.A.
4f	C ₆ H ₅	m	5.4 ± 0.26 (100)	2.2 ± 0.62 (160)	N.A.	N.A.

^[a] EC₅₀ values represent the average of means from three independent experiments and were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with 95% confidential interval ($p < 0.05$). Efficacy is expressed as % of the response induced by 5 nM *MLF* (FPR1), 5 nM *WKYMVM* (FPR2), or 10 nM *WKYMVM* (FPR3).

^[b] N.A., no activity (no response was observed during the first 2 min after addition of compounds under investigation) considering the limits of efficacy > 20% and EC₅₀ < 50 μM.

Table 2

Activity of C-5 substituted pyridazinones ($\pm$)-**6a-b** and **7a-b** in human neutrophils and FPR-transfected HL60 cells

<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>($\pm$) 6a,b</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>7a,b</p> </div> </div>					
Compd	R ₅	Ca ²⁺ flux			
		human neutrophils	FPR1-HL60	FPR2-HL60	FPR3-HL60
		EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy (%) ^[a]			
($\pm$)- 6a	CH ₃	13.0 ± 1.8 (115)	13.0 ± 4.6 (60)	2.6 ± 0.89 (120)	11.8 ± 3.0 (150)
($\pm$)- 6b	C ₆ H ₅	1.4 ± 0.38 (75)	4.1 ± 1.6 (90)	0.63 ± 0.17 (110)	0.49 ± 0.14 (120)
7a	CH ₃	2.0 ± 0.29 (130)	5.7 ± 2.1 (110)	0.51 ± 0.19 (90)	N.A. ^[b]
7b	C ₆ H ₅	0.20 ± 0.056 (110)	N.A.	0.15 ± 0.052 (115)	0.97 ± 0.23 (95)

^[a]EC₅₀ values represent the average of means from three independent experiments and were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with 95% confidential interval ($p < 0.05$). Efficacy is expressed as % of the response induced by 5 nM AMLF (FPR1-HL60), 5 nM WKYMVM (FPR2-HL60), or 10 nM WKYMVM (FPR3-HL60).

^[b]N.A., no activity (no response was observed during first 2 min after addition of compounds under investigation) considering the limits of efficacy > 20% and EC₅₀ < 50 μM.

Table 3Activity of **9a-h, 10** in human neutrophils and FPR-transfected HL60 cells

<p style="text-align: center;">9a-h, 10</p>						
Compd	R ₄	R ₅	Ca ²⁺ flux			
			human neutrophils	FPR1-HL60	FPR2-HL60	FPR3-HL60
			EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy (%) ^[a]			
9a	CH ₃	COCH ₃	12.2 ± 2.5 (55)	8.9 ± 1.9 (75)	5.8 ± 1.4 (60)	N.A. ^[b]
9b	CH ₃	H	10.7 ± 2.3 (55)	12.4 ± 2.6 (70)	4.1 ± 1.1 (80)	27.8 ± 3.2 (85)
9c	Ph	H	6.0 ± 1.5 (95)	1.8 ± 0.6 (100)	2.1 ± 0.6 (95)	19.7 ± 2.4 (135)
9d	3-OCH ₃ Ph	H	1.3 ± 0.3 (125)	0.28 ± 0.08 (90)	0.23 ± 0.04 (120)	5.1 ± 1.7 (100)
9e	4-OCH ₃ Ph	H	7.4 ± 2.3 (110)	2.6 ± 0.6 (110)	1.8 ± 0.16 (100)	36.1 ± 3.3 (85)
9f	3-ClPh	H	11.1 ± 2.8 (140)	6.0 ± 1.7 (75)	3.0 ± 0.7 (110)	32.2 ± 3.6 (75)
9g	4-ClPh	H	12.2 ± 3.1 (125)	2.8 ± 0.7 (75)	2.4 ± 0.5 (75)	N.A.
9h	4-NO ₂ Ph	H	1.5 ± 0.4 (95)	9.1 ± 1.4 (60)	N.A.	N.A.
10	4-NH ₂ Ph	H	36.1 ± 3.9 (65)	34.9 ± 4.2 (80)	14.7 ± 3.7 (65)	N.A.

^[a]EC₅₀ values represent the average of means from three independent experiments and were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with 95% confidential interval ($p < 0.05$). Efficacy is expressed as % of the response induced by 5 nM MLF (FPR1-HL60), 5 nM WKYMVM (FPR2-HL60), or 10 nM WKYMVM (FPR3-HL60).

^[b]N.A., no activity (no response was observed during first 2 min after addition of compounds under investigation) considering the limits of efficacy > 20% and EC₅₀ < 50 μM.

Table 4

Chemoattractant activity of selected pyridazinones in human neutrophils

Compd	EC ₅₀ (μM) ^[a]
4a	0.27 ± 0.06
4b	0.51 ± 0.11
7b	2.1 ± 0.53

^[a]The data are presented as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments with cells from different donors, in which median effective concentration values (EC₅₀) were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with 95% confidential interval ($p < 0.05$).

Table 5

Activity of selected pyridazinones in mouse neutrophils and mouse Fpr-transfected RBL cells

Compd	Ca ²⁺ flux		
	mouse neutrophils	mFpr1-RBL	mFpr2-RBL
	EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy (%) ^[a]		
4a	N.A. ^[b]	N.A.	N.A.
4b	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4f	24.3 ± 4.8 (95)	N.A.	28.8 ± 5.2 (180)
(±)-6a	N.A.	25.1 ± 4.5 (70)	N.A.
(±)-6b	14.2 ± 3.4 (160)	2.3 ± 0.7 (60)	3.5 ± 1.1 (220)
7a	21.7 ± 5.2 (70)	N.A.	N.A.
7b	15.7 ± 4.1 (75)	N.A.	N.A.

^[a]EC₅₀ values represent the average of means from three independent experiments and were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the concentration-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with 95% confidential interval (p < 0.05). Efficacy is expressed as % of the response induced by 10 nM WKYMVm.

^[b]N.A., no activity (no response was observed during first 2 min after addition of the compounds under investigation)