Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2016 November 18; 81(22): 11057–11064. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.6b02067.

Lithium Enolates Derived from Weinreb Amides: Insights into Five-Membered Chelate Rings

Michael J. Houghton and David B. Collum*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-1301

Abstract

Enolization of *O*-methyl hydroxamic acids (Weinreb amides) in tetrahydrofuran solution with lithium diisopropylamide affords predominantly tetrameric enolates. Aryl substituents on the enolates promote deaggregation. The aggregation states are assigned by using the method of continuous variation in conjunction with ^6Li NMR spectroscopy. Decoalescence of the tetramer resonance below -100°C shows considerable spectral complexity attributed to isomerism of the methoxy-based chelates. Density functional theory calculations were used to examine the consequences of the bite angle of five-membered chelates in cubic tetramers and resulting solvation numbers that were higher than anticipated.

Graphical Abstract

Introduction

In 1981, Nahm and Weinreb^{1,2} reported that *O*-methyl hydroxamic acids of general structure **1** undergo highly selective nucleophilic addition to give ketones (eq 1). The observed mono additions, which contrasted with the double additions observed for the corresponding esters, were attributed to chelate-stabilized tetrahedral intermediate **2**, although the mechanism proved far more complex than many surmised.³

*David B. Collum, dbc6@cornell.edu.

Supporting Information: Spectroscopic, kinetic, and computational data and authors for reference 18. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

(1)

Weinreb amides are also precursors to propionate ester enolate equivalents—so-called Weinreb enolates.^{4,5,6,7} The potentially advantageous features of reactions with these compounds include the anticipated high *Z*-selective enolization characteristic of carboxamides⁸ and the synthetic utility of the Weinreb amides (eq 2). Several strange observations are included in the reports. Demethoxylation occasionally affords *N*-methyl carboxamides.^{7,9} Davis and co-workers,⁶ incredulously, metalated and functionalized a Weinreb amide by using lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in the presence of 5 equiv of water. In general, applications of Weinreb enolates are rare,^{5,6,7} and we can find no structural or mechanistic studies.^{10,11}

(2)

We have explored the structure of Weinreb enolates **3a–g** in tetrahydrofuran (THF)/toluene mixtures. These enolates routinely form the tetramers and dimers depicted generically as **4** and **5**, respectively. Spectroscopic and computational studies suggest an unusual role of cooperative solvation by external THF ligands and the chelating methoxy moieties. The coordination chemistry within lithium-based cubic tetramers appears to be more complex than we anticipated and is reshaping our thinking about both cubic tetramers and the influence of chelate ring size on structure and reactivity.^{12,13}

Results

Enolates were generated in situ with recrystallized LDA ($[^6Li]LDA$ or $[^6Li,^{15}N]LDA$) prepared as described.¹⁴ Aging for several hours at $-78^\circ C$ was required to fully equilibrate the enolate aggregates.¹⁵ Structures were determined by using a combination of 6Li NMR spectroscopy, the method of continuous variation (MCV),^{15,16,17} and density functional

theory (DFT) calculations.^{11,18} Using MCV to characterize highly symmetric enolate aggregates entails mixing two structurally similar enolates, **A_n** and **B_n**, to generate an ensemble of homo- and heteroaggregates (eq 3).¹⁹ The numbers and symmetries of the heteroaggregates reveal the aggregation state, *n*, which is confirmed by plotting the relative concentrations versus measured mole fraction²⁰ (*X_A* or *X_B*) to afford a Job plot (exemplified by Figures 2 and 4).¹⁷

Enolate–LDA Mixed Dimers

The general approach to characterizing Weinreb enolates is illustrated emblematically with **3b** as follows. Enolization of propionamide **1b** with 1.1 equiv of [⁶Li]LDA in neat THF resulted in a single resonance in ⁶Li NMR spectra obtained at –80 °C. NOESY revealed exclusively *Z* enolate. Analogous samples in 1.0–12 M THF/toluene solutions all contained the same aggregate. Enolization with [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]LDA¹⁴ showed no ⁶Li–¹⁵N coupling, confirming that the species was a homoaggregated lithium enolate. Enolization with excess LDA, however, afforded mixed aggregates¹⁹ displaying a single ⁶Li resonance at –80 °C. By example, the mixed aggregate derived from **3e** prepared using [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]LDA displayed a ⁶Li 1:1 doublet and a 1:2:3:2:1 ¹⁵N quintet (*J_{Li–N}* = 5.3 Hz) consistent with mixed dimer **6**.²¹ The anticipated two distinct ⁶Li resonances emblematic of the chelate were not observable in 1.0 M THF/hexane at –120 °C. However, broadening consistent with decoalescence was significant.

Dimers

Enolates **3e–g** with aromatic rings conjugated to the enolates exist as mixtures of two homoaggregates at low THF concentrations and exclusively as the lower aggregate at the elevated THF concentrations. Using MCV, we found that the sole species in THF were dimers. Thus, spectra recorded on **3e–3g** mixtures in neat THF showed the two homoaggregates along with a single heteroaggregate (Figure 1) consistent with an ensemble of dimers and afforded the Job plot in Figure 2.

Dimer–Tetramer Mixtures

Enolates **3c** and **3d** in THF at –80 °C each existed as major and minor homoaggregates shown to be tetramers and dimers, respectively (Figure 3a,e). The minor species disappeared at low THF and high enolate concentrations, consistent with aggregates that are at a higher solvation state and lower aggregation state compared with the major forms. The two aggregation states were assigned with MCV in a single series of experiments as follows.

Mixtures of enolate **3c** and **3d** in THF at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ showed the resonances of the two dominant homoaggregates along with 3:1, 2:2, and 1:3 heteroaggregate resonances characteristic of an ensemble of tetramers in rapid intraaggregate lithium exchange^{15c} (Figure 3). Monitoring of the relative concentrations of the five aggregates versus measured mole fraction²⁰ afforded a Job plot (Figure 4) displaying a nearly statistical distribution of homo- and heterotetramers. The tetrameric forms of **3a–g** were characterized with MCV analogously (supporting information).

The displayed ensembles of minor (lower) aggregates were consistent with dimers, affording the Job plot shown in Figure 5. We hasten to add that characterizing ensembles of aggregates from such complex mixtures (even mixtures with unknown impurities) is made possible by using measured²⁰ rather than intended mole fraction.

Dimer and Tetramer Solvation

We began with a simplistic view of the role of THF. We imagined that the tetramers existed as either D_{2d} or S^4 core structures (**7** and **8**, respectively) and were unsolvated by THF owing to the saturation of the cube by the chelating methoxy groups. Monitoring the tetramer–dimer ratio versus THF concentration for enolate **3d** (eq 4) and fit according to eq 5 afforded a *relative* solvation number, n , of 1.94 ± 0.09 (Figure 6). If we presume that the tetramer is unsolvated—an assumption brought into serious question below—then the dimer favored at high THF concentration is consistent with disolvate **9**. Dimer **10** could also exist undetected if the chelates are in the rapid-exchange limit.²² Thus, **7–10** are potentially consistent with the spectroscopic data presented so far; however, the results of two experiments undermined our fundamental underlying presumptions and, thus, the assigned solvation states.

$$K_{\text{eq}}[\text{THF}]^n = [(\text{ROLi})_2(\text{THF})_{1/2m+n}] / [(\text{ROLi})_4(\text{THF})_m]^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

Incrementally adding pyridine as a chemical shift reagent to THF/hexane solutions of organolithiums qualitatively probes the absence or presence of coordinating solvent. Resonances corresponding to lithiums bearing substitutionally labile THF ligands shift markedly downfield, whereas unsolvated lithiums do not move appreciably.^{15a,e,23} More highly solvated forms (often the lower aggregates) also increase in intensity relative that of the lesser-solvated forms owing to mass action. In the event, incremental addition of pyridine *markedly shifted the ^6Li resonances of dimers and tetramers*. Thus, *the tetramers were solvated by external ligands*. It is tempting to assume that the chelates must be cleaved by THF, but we present a more nuanced model in the discussion.

Another critical observation was that cooling solutions of tetrameric enolates from $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to below $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ caused broadening in all cases. In several instances (**3c** and **3d**),

decoalescence clearly yielded a pair of resonances that further decoalesced to complex envelopes of resonances (Figure 7). Presumably owing to the slowed exchange of the chelates, the structure of the tetramers appeared to be much more complex than that suggested by **7** or **8**. We cannot rigorously exclude ladders such as **11**, **12**, and few others as the source of this complexity, but readily observed asymmetry owing to slow site exchanges are highly characteristic of organolithium ladders.²⁴ Moreover, they are all substantially (>6 kcal/mol) less stable than isomeric cubic tetramers in which chelation and external solvation are cooperative (*vide infra*).

Demethoxylation

Warming solutions of Weinreb enolates (typically to temperatures above $-30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) provided evidence of demethoxylation and a formaldehyde aldol adduct (eq 5), both of which have been mentioned previously.^{7,9,25} We know little about the mechanism.

(6)

Discussion

Weinreb enolates (**3**) have found limited use in organic synthesis.^{5,6,7} Evidence of an odd demethoxylation (eq 6) might preclude some applications,^{7,9} but this outcome becomes significant only at elevated temperatures (above $-30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). Possible advantages of Weinreb enolates relative to propionate ester enolates include total selectivity for *Z* enolate geometry⁸ and formation of Weinreb amide products poised for further transformation (eq 1). With this untapped potential in mind, we studied the aggregation and solvation of Weinreb enolates. Experimental data prompted DFT calculations of chelation and solvation that led us in unexpected directions. Despite uncontested evidence that five-membered rings are the preferred chelate ring size for lithium,^{12,13} the impact of chain length on reactivity and selectivity may be less straightforward than we realized.

Aggregation

Weinreb enolates bearing small, saturated substituents such as **3a** and **3b** are predominantly tetrameric even in neat THF (Chart 1), whereas enolates **3e–f**, which are conjugated to aromatic rings, are susceptible to deaggregation owing to anion stabilization.^{10b} Spectral

data do not distinguish D_{2d} tetramer **8** from S_4 tetramer **7**: both should display a single ^6Li resonance and have ample precedent in the structural organolithium literature.^{15c,26,27} We cannot exclude a fluxional stereochemical mixture; the facility of chelate exchange was suggested by the observed high symmetry of LDA mixed aggregate **6**.

Solvation and Chelation: An Orthodox Model

We were predisposed to endorse the tetramer–dimer relationship in eq 7. The relative solvation numbers (eq 4) were ascertained by quantitating the THF concentration dependence (Figure 6). Stereoisomeric tetramers **7** or **8** and dimers **9** or **10** (Chart 1) are fully consistent with the data. Dimer **10** would require a facile chelate exchange, which is plausible.

Two observations disavowed us of such an orthodox structural model during studies of solvation: (1) using pyridine as a chemical shift reagent shows that *both dimers and tetramers are solvated*, and (2) tetramers show unusual spectral complexity at temperatures below $-100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. In the discussion that follows, we rely heavily on DFT calculations to develop a markedly different view of chelation and solvation that might reach beyond the specific examples presented by Weinreb enolates.

Solvation and Chelation: Dimers

The computed serial solvation of dimers and their affiliated free energies ($-80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) afforded the three lowest-energy forms of each stoichiometry shown in Scheme 1. We were moderately surprised that displacing the chelate by THF to afford tetrasolvated dimer **17** is favorable. Inspection of **15** and **16** (with a graphical interface) reveals potentially destabilizing chelate distortions as annotated. Such distortions might have been missed (or dismissed) if not for the investigations of tetramers discussed below.

Solvation and Chelation: Tetramers

DFT calculations suggest disruption of the tetramer chelates by THF is not favorable for interesting and unexpected reasons. Starting with uncomplexed methoxy moieties, minimizations converge on structures in which the methoxy moieties reassociate to lithium *without loss of THF* (eq 8). We can find only a few particularly idiosyncratic examples of five-coordinated lithium nuclei within organolithium cubes.²⁸

(8)

There is a subtle structural feature to five-membered-ring chelates on tetrameric cubes that we had never noticed: *the internal ligand cannot reach the true corner of a cubic tetramer* (Figure 8). A partial structure of a computed tetramer (**18**) shows that the small bite angle forces the geometry around the chelated lithium to approximate a trigonal monopyrmaid. Crystal structures of analogous tetrameric chelates show this same motif.^{15,26,27} The critical observation is that *five-membered-ring chelates with relatively small methoxy ligands allow for cooperative solvation by THF (19) and geminal chelation (20)*.^{29,30}

The computed cubic structural forms in Chart 2 include the simplest S_4 from D_{2d} tetramers (**7** and **8**) and other forms containing various combinations of four- and five-coordinate lithium nuclei deriving from geminal chelation and cooperative chelation–THF solvation. The indicated resonance counts (in parentheses) correspond to the number of magnetically inequivalent lithiums assuming slow solvent and chelate exchange. Slow solvent exchanges on lithium are rare (except hexamethylphosphoramide^{10b}); only recently¹⁵ we observed slow exchange on cubic tetramers. However, the structures in Chart 2 underscore the possible origins of spectral complexity in Figure 7, and the calculated stabilities, even if viewed as crude approximations, also illustrate the stabilization stemming from five-coordinate lithium. In fact, the solvation energies are remarkably similar to those routinely observed when the corner of a simple cubic tetramer is solvated to afford four-coordinate lithium. Given the greatest stabilization for tri-THF solvate **26**, our failure to detect tetra-THF solvated analogues of **7** or **8** is notable. Although five-coordinate lithiums could, in theory, closely approximate a trigonal bipyramid, the distortions from that geometry are considerable.^{32,33}

Dimer/Tetramer Relative Solvation Revisited

Let us revisit investigations of the tetramer/dimer proportions in various THF concentrations (Figure 6) that implicated the orthodox tetramer/dimer equilibrium in eq 7. Additional possibilities that are mathematically consistent with the measured relative solvation numbers are illustrated in eqs 9 and 10. (We exclude those requiring non-integer solvation numbers.) Eq 9 is what might be expected if all chelates are disrupted. Computational evidence for such a tetra-THF solvated tetramer, whether chelated or not, is lacking. By contrast, the model in eq 10 is consistent with computationally viable (although not *most viable*) disolvated tetramers **22–24** in exchange with the computationally preferred tetrasolvated dimer **17** (Scheme 1). The model in eq 10 is unorthodox—arguably a paradigm shift.

(9)

(10)

Possible Mechanistic Consequences

Provided that tetramers can, under some circumstances, react directly without deaggregating,^{34,35} the possibility of high-coordinate lithium nuclei in tetrameric cubes offers a vehicle with which a substrate could enter the coordination sphere without disrupting the structurally organizing chelate. Could an aldol condensation proceed via some fleeting complex such as **27**? It is curious that the aldehyde in **27** is *not* precomplexed to the lithium chelated by the enolate undergoing the 1,2-addition: **27** exemplifies a uniquely aggregate-based mechanism.

These implications contrast markedly with recent studies of tetrameric oxazolidinone-derived enolates (Evans enolates) in which a *six-membered chelate* capable of saturating the lithium nuclei closer to the idealized tetrahedral angle was shown to have no penchant for accepting additional coordinating solvent.^{15a} Unusual solvation numbers in the tetrameric enolates, if undetected, would wreak havoc on kinetics-derived mechanistic models owing to the incorrectly assigned reactant.

Conclusions

Weinreb enolates are sufficiently robust for additional applications in synthesis. The most important results described herein were obtained when experimental and computational data suggested that seemingly simple cubic tetramers may attain unusually high-coordinate numbers.^{15,32}

After years of studying structures and mechanisms of dimeric lithium amides and dimeric carbanions, we are finding that contending with *four* subunits in tetrameric enolates is quite challenging. The relative reactivities of tetramers, dimers, and monomers are becoming well-defined through studies under non-equilibrium conditions by Reich coworkers^{10b} and under equilibrium conditions by Streitwieser and coworkers.^{10g} There is only spartan evidence that enolate aggregates react without intervening deaggregation.^{35,36} Evaluations of the detailed coordination chemistry of the cubic tetramers comprise a crucial niche in the elucidation of tetramer reactivity.

Experimental

Reagents and Solvents

THF and toluene were distilled from solutions containing sodium benzophenone ketyl. Toluene stills contained approximately 1% tetraglyme to dissolve the ketyl. [⁶Li]LDA and [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]LDA were prepared as described previously.¹⁴ Solutions of LDA were titrated for active base with a literature method.³⁷ Air- and moisture-sensitive materials were manipulated under argon with standard glovebox, vacuum line, and syringe techniques. Substrates are all known and prepared using standard literature procedures.³⁸

NMR Spectroscopy

Individual stock solutions of substrates and LDA were prepared at room temperature. An NMR tube under vacuum was flame-dried on a Schlenk line and allowed to return to room temperature, backfilled with argon, and placed in a −78 °C dry ice/acetone bath. The appropriate amounts of Weinreb amide and LDA (1.1 equiv) were added sequentially via syringe. The tube was sealed under partial vacuum and vortexed three times on a vortex mixer for 5 s with cooling between each treatment. Equilibrated samples were stored in a freezer at −86 °C. Each sample routinely contained 0.10 M total enolate with a 0.030 M excess of LDA. Mixed dimers (**8**) generated from excess [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]LDA were characterized by using ⁶Li and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy.²¹ Standard ⁶Li, ¹³C, and ¹⁵N NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 73.57, 125.79, and 50.66 MHz, respectively. ⁶Li, ¹³C, and ¹⁵N resonances were referenced to 0.30 M [⁶Li]LiCl/MeOH at −80 °C (0.0 ppm), the CH₂O resonance of THF at −90 °C (67.57 ppm), and neat Me₂NEt at −90 °C (25.7 ppm).

2-benzyl-3-hydroxy-*N*-methoxy-*N*-methylpropanamide (**14**)

N-methoxy-*N*-methyl-3-phenylpropanamide (0.050 g, 0.259 mmol) was dissolved in THF and added dropwise to a solution of lithium diisopropyl amide (0.0357 g, 0.337 mmol) at −78 °C. The solution was allowed to warm to rt, and was stirred for an additional 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with pH 7 phosphate buffer and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (1x), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting mixture was purified by chromatography (SiO₂, 100 % ether) to give a 1:2 inseparable mixture of 2-benzyl-3-hydroxy-*N*-methoxy-*N*-methylpropanamide (**14**) and *N*-methyl-3-phenylpropanamide (**13**) as a clear oil after flash chromatography (0.0135 g, 23%). ¹H NMR (599 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.17 (m, 3H), 3.73 (m, 2H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.26 (m, xx0H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 2.96 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 7.4 Hz,

1H), 2.90 (s, 1H), 2.83 (dd, $J = 13.4, 7.6$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (599 MHz, Chloroform- d) δ 175.6, 139.3, 129.2, 128.5, 126.5, 63.0, 61.5, 45.0, 34.4, 31.9.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM077167) for support.

References and Footnotes

1. Nahm S, Weinreb SM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981; 22:3815.
- (2). (a) Singh J, Satyamurthi N, Aidhen IS. *J. Praktische Chem.* 2000; 342:340.(b) Weinreb, SM., Folmer, JJ., Ward, TR., Georg, GI. *e-EROS Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis.* NewYork: John Wiley & Sons; 2006. N,O-Dimethylhydroxylamine. (c) Sibi MP. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* 1993; 25:15.
3. Qu B, Collum DB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:7117. [PubMed: 16930080]
4. Reviews of enolates in synthesis: Green JR. *Science of Synthesis.* 2005; 8aNew YorkGeorg Thieme Verlag:427–486. Schetter B, Mahrwald R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:7506. Farina V, Reeves JT, Senanayake CH, Song JJ. *Chem. Rev.* 2006; 106:2734. [PubMed: 16836298] Wu G, Huang M. *Chem. Rev.* 2006; 106:2596. [PubMed: 16836294] Braun M. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 2015; 98:1.
5. (a) De la Campa R, Florez J. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015; 21:1854. [PubMed: 25418199] (b) Davis FA, Xu P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011; 76:3329. [PubMed: 21417438] (c) Davis FA, Theddu N. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:3814. [PubMed: 20462208] (d) Davies SG, Goodwin CJ, Hepworth D, Roberts PM, Thomson JE. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:1214. [PubMed: 20095549] (e) Davis FA, Song M, Qiu H, Chai J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2009; 7:5067. [PubMed: 20024099] (f) Davis FA, Song M. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:2413. [PubMed: 17497798] (g) Kaur P, Nguyen T, Li G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 6:912.(h) Farr, RN. 3-Substitutes Isoxazolidines as Chiral Auxillary Agents. U.S. 6020497 A1. 2000 Feb 1. (i) Abiko A, Liu JF, Wang G, Masamune S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997; 38:3261.(j) Abiko A, Moriya O, Filla SA, Masamune S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1995; 34:793.(k) Palomo C, Aizpurua JM, Aurrekoetxea N, Lopez MC. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991; 32:2525.(l) Sato K, Sekiguchi T, Ishihara T, Konno T, Yamanaka H. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004; 69:5041. [PubMed: 15255733]
6. Davis FA, Prasad KR, Nolt MB, Wu Y. *Org. Lett.* 2003; 5:925. [PubMed: 12633107]
7. (a) Hirner S, Kirchner DK, Somfai P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 33:5583.(b) Hirner S, Somfai P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:7798. [PubMed: 19813767]
8. Kolonko KJ, Guzei IA, Reich HJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:6163. [PubMed: 20735148]
9. Sibi MP, Sharma R, Paulson KL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992; 33:1941.
10. (a) Seebach D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988; 27:1624.(b) Reich HJ. *Chem. Rev.* 2013; 113:7130. [PubMed: 23941648] (c) Harrison-Marchand A, Mongin F. *Chem. Rev.* 2013; 113:7470. [PubMed: 23952819]
11. For leading references to theoretical studies of O-lithiated species see: Khartabi HK, Gros PC, Fort Y, Ruiz-Lopez MF. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 73:9393. Streitwieser A. *J. Mol. Model.* 2006; 12:673. [PubMed: 16341718] Pratt LM, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:2830. [PubMed: 12662059] Pratt LM, Nguyen SC, Thanh BT. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:6086. [PubMed: 18646860]
12. Ramírez A, Lobkovsky E, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:15376. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 14664582]
13. Stabilization of five-membered chelates for a number of metals has been attributed to an enthalpy effect associated with greater distortion induced in the complex upon closure for a six-membered ring: Munakata M, Kitagawa S, Yagi F. *Inorg. Chem.* 1986; 25:964. Dobson GR, Dobson CB, Mansour SE. *Inorg. Chem.* 1985; 24:2179. Bisi-Castellani C, Maresca L, Natile G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 1984; 89:157. Tobe ML, Schwab AP, Romeo R. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982; 21:1185. Reisner GM,

- Bernal I, Dobson GR. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978; 157:23. Knebel WJ, Angelici RJ. *Inorg. Chem.* 1974; 13:627. Dudev T, Lim C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:4450.
14. (a) Ma Y, Hoepker AC, Gupta L, Faggin MF, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:15610. [PubMed: 20961095] (b) Romesberg FE, Gilchrist JH, Harrison AT, Fuller DJ, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:5751.
15. (a) Tallmadge EH, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015; 137:13087. [PubMed: 26437278] (b) Bruneau AM, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014; 136:2885. [PubMed: 24471766] (c) Jin KJ, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015; 137:14446. [PubMed: 26554898]
16. Renny JS, Tomasevich LL, Tallmadge EH, Collum DB. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:11998.
17. Job P. *Ann. Chim.* 1928; 9:113.
18. Frisch, MJ., et al. GaussianVersion 3.09; revision A.1. Wallingford, CT: Gaussian, Inc.; 2009.
19. We surveyed a subset of the community and have chosen to refer to $(\text{LiX})_n$ and $(\text{LiX})_m(\text{LiX}')_n$ as a “homoaggregate” and “heteroaggregate”, respectively, and reserve the term “mixed aggregate” for $(\text{LiX})_m(\text{LiY})_n$.
20. The measured mole fraction—the mole fraction within only the ensemble of interest—rather intended mole fraction of the enolates added to the samples—eliminates the distorting effects of impurities.
21. Collum DB. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1993; 26:227.
22. Examples and leading references see ref 10b.
23. (a) Reich HJ, Kulicke KJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:273.(b) Jackman LM, Chen X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:8681.(c) Jackman LM, Petrei MM, Smith BD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:3451.(d) Jackman LM, DeBrosse CW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983; 105:4177.(e) Deana RK, Recklinga AM, Chena H, Daweab LN, Schneiderac CM, Kozak CM. *Dalton Trans.* 2013; 42:3504. [PubMed: 23280340] (f) Boyle TJ, Pedrotty DM, Alam TM, Vick SC, Rodriguez MA. *Inorg. Chem.* 2000; 39:5133. [PubMed: 11233212]
24. For $(\text{ROLi})_n$ -type ladders characterized crystallographically, see: Davies JE, Raithby PR, Snaith R, Wheatley AEH. *Chem. Commun.* 1997; 18:1721. Armstrong DR, Davies JE, Davies RP, Raithby PR, Snaith R, Wheatley AEH. *New J. Chem.* 1999; 23:35. Huang BH, Ko BT, Athar T, Lin CC. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006; 45:7348. [PubMed: 16933937] Armstrong DR, Davies RP, Linton DJ, Schooler P, Shields GP, Snaith R, Wheatley AEH. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 2000; 23:4304. Andrews PC, Bull SD, Koutsaplis M. *New J. Chem.* 2010; 34:1678. Cousins DM, Davidson MG, García-Vivó D, Mahon MF. *Dalton Trans.* 2010; 35:8203. Pink M, Zahn G, Sieler J. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 1994; 620:749. (h) For an example of an $(\text{ArOLi})_5$ five-rung ladder in solution, see Goswami A, Liou LR, Gruver JM, Jayne E, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:13142. [PubMed: 19702308]
25. Propionamide **14** was isolated as an oil in a 1:2 mixture with *N*-methyl-3-phenylpropanamide that was inseparable by normal phase chromatography: $R_f = 0.3$ in 100 % ether; ^1H NMR (599 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.17 (m, 3H), 3.73 (m, 2H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.26 (m, 1H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 2.96 (dd, $J = 13.4, 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.90 (s, 1H), 2.83 (dd, $J = 13.4, 7.6$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (599 MHz, $\text{Chloroform-}d$) δ 175.6, 139.3, 129.2, 128.5, 126.5, 63.0, 61.5, 45.0, 34.4, 31.9
26. Selected examples: Davies RP, Wheatley AEH, Rothenberger A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2006; 691:3938. Armbruster F, Armbruster N, Klingebiel U, Noltemeyer M, Schmatz S. *Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.* 2006; 61:1261. Graser M, Kopacka H, Wurst K, Muller T, Bildstein B. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2013; 401:38.
27. (a) Niemeyer J, Kehr G, Frohlich R, Erker G. *Dalton Trans.* 2009:3731. [PubMed: 19417938] (b) Williard PG, Tata JR, Schlessinger RH, Adams AD, Iwanowicz EJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988; 110:7901.(c) Jones C, Junk PC, Leary SG, Smithies NA. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 2000:3186. (d) Muller G, Brand J. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 2005; 631:2820.(e) Rajeswaran M, Begley WJ, Olson LP, Huo S. *Polyhedron.* 2007; 26:3653.(f) Kathirgamanathan P, Surendrakumar S, Antipan-Lara J, Ravichandran S, Chan YF, Arkley V, Ganeshamurugan S, Kumaravel M, Paramswara G, Partheepan A, Reddy VR, Bailey D, Blake AJ. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012; 22:6104.
28. (a) Deacon GB, Feng T, Hockless DCR, Junk PC, Skelton BW, Smith MK, White AH. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2006; 360:1364.(b) Uhl W, Halvagar MR, Layh M. *Chem. Commun.* 2009; 28:4269. (c) Janas Z, Nerkowski T, Kober E, Jerzykiewicz LB, Lis T. *Dalton Trans.* 2012; 41:442. [PubMed:

- 22028050] (d) Cousins DW, Davidson MG, García-Vivo D. Chem. Commun. 2013; 49:11809.(e) Khanjin NA, Menger FM. J. Org. Chem. 1997; 62:8923.
29. The restricted bite angle may also account for the penchant of such chelated salts to form hexamers with chelates wrapped around the hexagonal faces: Cosham SD, Johnson AL, Jordan L, Mahon MF, Molloy KC. Main Group Met. Chem. 2012; 35:153. Iwasaki M, Narita T, Umino Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011; 696:2763. McNeil AJ, Toombes GES, Chandramouli SV, Vanasse BJ, Ayers TA, O'Brien MK, Lobkovsky E, Gruner SM, Marohn JA, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126:5938. [PubMed: 15137738] Armstrong DR, Davies RP, Raithby PR, Snaith R, Wheatly AEH. New. J. Chem. 1999; 23:499.
30. Promotion of higher solvation by chelated amines has been noted (ref 22a).
31. For examples of trigonal bipyramidal pentacoordinated lithium amide monomers, see: Poetschke N, Nieger M, Khan MA, Niecke E, Ashby MT. Inorg. Chem. 1997; 36:4087. Kremer T, Hampel F, Knoch FA, Bauer W, Schmidt A, Gabold P, Schütz M, Ellermann J, Schleyer PvR. Organometallics. 1996; 15:4776.
32. Selected examples of high-coordinate lithium: Schenk C, Schnepf A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2007; 46:5314. [PubMed: 17579905] Scheschkewitz D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2004; 43:2965. [PubMed: 15170317] Thiele K, Goris H, Imhof W, Seide W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002; 628:107. Buchalski P, Grabowska I, Kaminska E, Suwinska K. Organometallics. 2008; 27:2346.
33. There is an isomer of **25** for which we cannot find a minimum computationally.
34. For examples of reactions that are fast relative to the rates of aggregate–aggregate exchanges see: McGarrity JF, Ogle CA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:1810. Jones AC, Sanders AW, Bevan MJ, Reich HJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:3492. [PubMed: 17341084] Thompson A, Corley EG, Huntington MF, Grabowski EJJ, Remenar JF, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998; 120:2028. Jones AC, Sanders AW, Sikorski WH, Jansen KL, Reich HJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:6060. [PubMed: 18419118]
35. The concept of aggregate-based reactions of enolates has been discussed: Streitwieser A, Leung SS-W, Kim Y-J. Org. Lett. 1999; 1:145. [PubMed: 10822549] Leung SS-W, Streitwieser A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998; 120:10557. Solladié-Cavallo A, Csaky AG, Gantz I, Suffert J. J. Org. Chem. 1994; 59:5343. Wei Y, Bakthavatchalam R, Jin X-M, Murphy CK, Davis FA. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993; 34:3715. Williard PG, Hintze MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987; 109:5539.
36. (a) Kolonko KJ, Wherritt DJ, Reich HJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:16774. [PubMed: 21939211] (b) Casy BM, Flowers RA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:11492. [PubMed: 21721524]
37. Kofron WG, Baclawski LM. J. Org. Chem. 1976; 41:1879.
38. (a) **1a**: Juncosa JI, Hansen M, Bonner LA, Cueva JP, Maglathlin R, McCorvy JD, Marona-Lewicka D, Lill MA, Nichols DE. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2013; 4:96. [PubMed: 23336049] (b) **1b**: Wang H, Denton JR, Davies HML. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:4316. [PubMed: 21770400] (c) **1c**: Tunoori AR, White JM, George GI. Org. Lett. 2000; 2:4091. [PubMed: 11112651] (d) **1d**: Banwell M, Smith J. Synth. Commun. 2001; 31:2011. (e) **1e**: Satyamurthi N, Singh J, Aidhen IS. Synthesis. 2000; 3:375. (f) **1f**: Chenard BL, Butler TW. Preparation of neuroprotective 3-(piperidinyl-1)-chroman-4,7-diol and 1-(4-hydrophenyl)-2-(piperidinyl-1)-alkanol derivatives. WO 9606081 A1. 1996 Feb 29. (g) **1g**: Oster TA, Harris TM. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983; 24:1851.

Figure 1. ^6Li NMR spectra of 0.10 M solutions of $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3f}$ (**A**) and $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3e}$ (**B**) in 1.0 M pyridine and THF at $-80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with 0.13 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\text{LDA}$. The measured²⁰ mole fractions **B** in (a)–(e) are 0.00, 0.31, 0.54, 0.81, and 1.00, respectively.

Figure 2.

Job plot showing the relative integrations versus the measured²⁰ mole fraction of **3** for 0.10 M mixtures of [⁶Li]**3f** (**A**) and [⁶Li]**3e** (**B**) in 1.0 M pyridine and THF at -80 °C. (See Figure 1.)

Figure 3.

^6Li NMR spectra of 0.10 M solutions of $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3d}$ (**A**) and $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3c}$ (**B**) in 0.13 M THF at $-80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with 0.13 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\text{LDA}$. The measured mole fractions of **B** within the tetramer ensembles in (a)–(e) are 0.00, 0.28, 0.46, 0.67, 1.00, respectively. For the dimers, the measured²⁰ mole fractions of **B** in (a)–(e) are 0.00, 0.27, 0.44, 0.61, and 1.00, respectively.

Figure 4.

Job plot showing relative integration versus measured²⁰ mole fraction of tetramer for 0.10 M mixtures of $[^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3d}$ (A) and $[^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3c}$ (B) in 0.13 M THF at -80°C (see Figure 3.)

Figure 5.

Job plot showing relative integration versus measured²⁰ mole fraction of dimer for 0.10 M mixtures of $[^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3d}$ (**A**) and $[^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3c}$ (**B**) in 12.2 M THF at -80°C . (See Figure 3.)

Figure 6.

Plot of $[\text{dimer}]/[\text{tetramer}]^{1/2}$ of **3d** versus $[\text{THF}]$ in toluene. The curve depicts an unweighted least-squares fit to $y = K_{eq}[\text{THF}]^n$ [$K_{eq} = (1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$; $n = 1.94 \pm 0.09$].

Figure 7.
 ^6Li NMR spectra of 0.10 M solutions of $[^6\text{Li}]\mathbf{3d}$ in 0.50 M THF/toluene recorded at various temperatures.

Figure 8.
DFT computed partial structures of cubic tetramers showing an open coordination site (**18**), THF saturated lithium (**19**), and doubly chelated saturated lithium (**20**).

Scheme 1.

Chart 1.

Chart 2.