Published in final edited form as:

Mol Pharm. 2013 November 04; 10(11): 4301–4308. doi:10.1021/mp4003927.

Polymyxin B Treatment Improves Bactofection Efficacy and Reduces Cytotoxicity

Charles H. Jones, Snehal Rane, Emily Patt, Anitha Ravikrishnan, Chih-Kaung Chen, Chong Cheng, and Blaine A. Pfeifer*

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, New York, USA

Abstract

Improvements to bacterial vectors have resulted in non-viral gene therapy vehicles that are easily prepared and can achieve high levels of transfection efficacy. However, these vectors are plagued by potential cytotoxicity and immunogenicity, prompting means of attenuation to reduce unwanted biological outcomes while maintaining transfection efficiency. In this study, listeriolysin O (LLO) producing *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) strains were pre-treated with polymyxin B (PLB), a pore-forming antibiotic, and tested as a delivery vector for gene transfer to a murine RAW264.7 macrophage cell line using a 96-well high-throughput assay. PLB treatment resulted in statistically significant higher levels of gene delivery and lower cytotoxicity. The results suggest a fine balance between bacterial cellular damage, heightened gene and protein release, and increased mammalian cell gene delivery. Overall, the approach presented provides a simple and effective way to enhance bacterial gene delivery while simultaneously reducing unwanted outcomes as a function of using a biological vector.

Keywords

Escherichia coli; bactofection; gene delivery; gene therapy; polymyxin B

INTRODUCTION

The development of gene therapy vectors that possess tunable loading, delivery, and release properties have become increasingly important due in part to limitations of viral vectors to address concerns of complex formulation, cytotoxicity, tumorigenicity, immunogenicity and low payload capacity.¹⁻³ Progress has been made by utilizing cationic polymers and cationic lipids that inherently contain increased delivery capacities and reduced immunogenicity through rational design and synthesis. Of these, cationic polymers, which are the easiest to

*Corresponding Author Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14260-4200, USA, Phone: 716-645-1198, Fax: 716-645-3822. blainepf@buffalo.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

design and manipulate, feature ready synthesis⁴⁻⁶ and low cytotoxicity^{7, 8} but as a class of vectors, are hindered by sub-optimal in vivo delivery efficiency in comparison to viral-based vectors.⁹ The in vivo delivery efficiency advantage of viral vectors is attributed to the evolution of serotypes that can successfully cross the numerous barriers associated with extra- and intra-cellular gene delivery. These potent delivery capabilities suggest the need for a novel class of vectors that can overcome the aforementioned drawbacks while possessing inherent biological properties that aid efficacious delivery of gene therapeutics.

Gene delivery utilizing bacteria, since first reported in the early 1980's¹⁰, has experienced continuous engineering improvements to overcome the barriers associated with in vitro and in vivo application. The realization that bacteria could be used for gene delivery resulted in a new class of study, bactofection, that is predicated on the use of bacterial strains (many of which were attenuated pathogens) possessing either native or engineered vector capabilities.¹¹ Of particular interest, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), a rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria that is approximately 2 µm in length and 0.5 µm in diameter, has been identified as an ideal gene carrier, as most strains are harmless and molecular biology manipulation techniques are highly developed. Despite lingering biocompatibility and toxicity concerns, *E. coli* has successfully been utilized for the safe delivery of plasmid¹²⁻¹⁷ and larger DNA constructs,¹⁸ shRNA,¹⁹ and immunogenic peptides.^{17, 20-22} Though not invasive or capable of intracellular localization in nature, *E. coli* has been engineered to express heterologous proteins, invasins (*inv*) from *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and LLO from *Listeria monocytogenes*, to allow penetration into nonprofessional phagocytic cells and subsequent endosomal escape, respectively, to aid gene delivery.^{12-14, 19, 23, 24}

Despite successful laboratory and clinical usage, bactofection still experiences significant resistance, as concerns of bacterial sepsis, immunogenicity, and reversion of pathogenicity cannot be ignored. To address these concerns and further improve the safety and efficacy of bactofection, we investigated the use of polymyxin B (PLB), a membrane-disrupting antibiotic (Scheme 1), as a pre-delivery treatment applied to LLO-producing *E. coli*. Gene expression was reported through use of a cytomegalovirus promoter-driven luciferase expression plasmid. Upon treatment, target macrophage gene delivery and cytotoxicity were both improved, with results indicating that optimal performance requires a balance between cellular integrity and macromolecule release. The approach provides an option for addressing concerns about the future use of bacterial-based gene delivery therapeutics.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Cell Lines and Strains

A murine RAW264.7 macrophage line was used for gene delivery assays and was kindly provided by Dr. Terry Connell (Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University at Buffalo, SUNY). The cell line was maintained in medium prepared as follows: 50 ml of fetal bovine serum (heat inactivated), 5 mL of 100 mM MEM sodium pyruvate, 5 mL of 1 M HEPES buffer, 5 mL of penicillin/streptomycin solution, and 1.25 g of D(+)-glucose added to 500 mL phenol red-containing RPMI-1640 and filter sterilized. Cells were housed in T75 flasks and cultured at 37 °C/5 % CO₂.

The BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cell line (Novagen) was used as the parent strain for generation of all gene delivery bacterial vectors. Genetic manipulations were described previously.^{12, 13} Resulting strains are listed in Table 1 (with *hly* being the gene designation for LLO).

Growth Inhibition

To determine the minimum inhibitory concentration of polymyxin B (Krackeler Scientific), a glycerol stock of BL21(DE3) was used to inoculate an overnight starter culture at 37 °C/250 rpm in 2 mL lysogeny broth (LB) medium. Ten mL LB cultures were inoculated with 2.5 % (v/v) of the starter culture and allowed to incubate at 37 °C until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 was obtained. Cultures were then centrifuged/pelleted and resuspended in an equal volume of phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Samples were split into eight Eppendorf tubes and appropriate volumes of polymyxin B stock (50 mg/mL) were added to create concentrations ranging from 0-1 mg/mL. Every half hour, 200 µL of each sample was centrifuged, washed twice, and resuspended with equal volume PBS. Subsequently, samples were plated on LB agar plates and allowed to incubate for 24 h before growth was quantified by counting colony forming units.

Bacterial Cell Membrane Shear

BL21(DE3) cultures were generated and treated as described above, with use of only four PLB concentrations (0, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05 mg/mL). At every half-hour time point, 200 µL of treated bacteria was washed and resuspended in PBS, before being sonicated at 20 % capacity for 5 seconds using a Branson 450D Sonifier (400 Watts, tapered microtip). Sonicated samples were then plated on LB agar plates and allowed to incubate for 24 h before growth was quantified by counting colony forming units.

Protein and DNA Release

To quantify the amount of protein and DNA released from the supernatant of PLB-treated bacterial samples (from Table 1), 10 mL LB cultures were inoculated with 2.5 % (v/v) of an overnight starter culture, incubated at 37 °C, induced with 100 µM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.3, and incubated at 30 °C for an additional hour. Each strain was then standardized to 0.5 OD₆₀₀ and incubated with various concentrations of PLB (0, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05 mg/mL) in PBS. Every half hour (1.5 h in total), 200 µL of treated bacteria cells were pelleted and supernatants were measured for absorbance of 260 nm for DNA quantification and 280 nm for protein quantification.

Hemolysis Assay

To determine the relative amount of LLO induced hemolysis, supernatant from each sample of the protein and DNA release quantification studies was used to assay sheep red blood cell lysis. One-hundred µL of treated supernatant was mixed with 900 µL of 5 % sheep red blood cells (HemoStat Laboratories) in assay buffer¹² and another 100 µL was mixed with 900 µL PBS (pH 7.4), followed by incubating for one hour at 36 °C. LLO activity was then measured by recording absorbance at 541 nm with results depicted as percentage of total blood lysis (interpolated from a blood lysis standard plot).

Gene Delivery

For gene delivery experiments, the RAW264.7 cell line was seeded into two different types of 96-well plates at 3×10^4 cells/well in 100 μ L antibiotic-free media and incubated for 24 h to allow attachment. A tissue culture-treated, flat-bottom, sterile, white, polystyrene 96-well plate was used for luciferase assays; whereas, bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) assays were conducted in tissue culture-treated, sterile, clear, polystyrene 96-well plates. Each plate was carried out as a duplicate of the other.

Bacterial cultures were completed as described above for the protein and DNA release quantification studies. Subsequently, all strains were washed and standardized to 0.5 OD₆₀₀ in PBS to allow strain comparison. Four PLB concentrations were prepared per strain (0.0, 0.01, 0.025, and 0.05 mg/mL) by addition of PLB stock to washed cultures. Every half hour, 20 μ L of each concentration and strain were subsequently diluted in antibiotic free RPMI-1640 to create a bacteria-to-macrophage ratio of 10:1. Following attachment, macrophage medium was replaced with 50 μ L of each respective bacterial sample and allowed to incubate for an hour. After incubation, 50 μ L of gentamicin-containing RPMI-1640 was added to each well to eliminate external/non-phagocytized bacteria. After a second 24 h incubation (48 h after initial seeding), plates were analyzed for luciferase expression using the Bright Glo assay (Promega) and protein content using the Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce) according to each manufacturer's instructions. Gene delivery was calculated by normalizing luciferase expression by protein content for each well/plate.

Supernatant Gene Delivery

To determine if gene delivery was the result of bacterial lysis and subsequent plasmid and protein uptake, assays using the treated supernatant were completed. Macrophage seeding, bacterial vector culture, and PLB treatment were conducted as described above. At each half-hour time point, all bacterial samples were pelleted and 20 μ L of supernatant was diluted into RPMI-1640 and used in subsequent gene delivery experiments as described above.

Cellular Toxicity

Cytotoxicity resulting from PLB-treated *E. coli* vectors was determined by the MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) colorimetric assay. RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 96-well polystyrene plates at a density of 50×10^4 cells/well. After 24 h incubation, media was removed and cells combined with bacterial vectors prepared as described above except that the delivery volume was 100 μ L (as compared to 50 μ L) and gentamicin treatment was excluded. Following another 24 h incubation, cells were assayed with MTT solution (5 mg/mL), added at 10 % v/v, for 3 h at 37 °C/5 % CO₂. Medium plus MTT solution then was aspirated and the formazan reaction products were dissolved in DMSO and shaken for 1 h. The optical density of the formazan solution was analyzed using a Synergy 4 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.) at 570 nm with 630 nm serving as the reference wavelength. Results are presented as a percentage of untreated cells (100 % viability).

Statistical Evaluation

Data presented were generated from three independent experiments. Error bars represent standard deviation values. Where indicated, statistical significance (95 % confidence) was calculated using mean values from select experimental samples compared with respective control means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacterial Vector Design

Vectors for bactofection were engineered to deliver a mammalian expression reporter gene (pCMV-Luc) and to test three gene delivery parameters associated with LLO: gene dosage, promoter strength, and gene expression regulation. Two promoters were selected in this study to accommodate expression parameters. The *lac*-inducible bacteriophage T7 promoter was selected on the basis of its tight regulation and high expression profile.²⁵ Alternatively, a weaker (compared to T7), constitutively expressed Tet promoter was selected. Both LLO expression cassettes were either inserted into the chromosome or carried by plasmid.

Growth Inhibition and Cell Membrane Weakening

Polymyxin B was initially identified as a potential treatment strategy for improved bactofection based upon previous studies where PLB was utilized to permeabilize *E. coli* for controlled release of toxins to kill cancerous cells.²⁶ Bacterial destabilization of the outer membrane is driven by electrostatic interactions between the cationic amino groups of PLB (in the cyclic peptide moiety) and negatively-charged membrane content including lipopolysaccharide and ready interaction with the PLB aliphatic component (Scheme 1).^{27, 28} Subsequently, growth studies were carried out (data not shown) to determine concentrations required to inhibit and/or kill BL21(DE3) *E. coli* strains. A critical concentration of 0.05 mg/mL was identified as the point where any additional PLB resulted in complete bacterial lysis after 0.5 h. Four concentrations were then selected for future studies: 0, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05 mg/mL.

Upon identification of lethal dosages of PLB, we next sought to evaluate bacterial membrane integrity post PLB treatment. BL21(DE3) was incubated with four concentrations of PLB with samples collected every 0.5 h, for a total of 1.5 h (3 samples). Following brief sonication, samples were then compared for viability. Figure 1 indicates that the number of viable bacteria is negatively correlated with both PLB concentration and treatment time. The critical PLB concentration (0.05 mg/mL) resulted in the highest level of bacterial membrane weakening, with less than 38 colony forming units after 1 h treatment. Interestingly, with exception of 0.01 mg/mL, all concentrations facilitated complete bacterial lysis after 1.5 h treatment. Successful confirmation of PLB concentration ranges and membrane weakening was the basis for further gene delivery and cytotoxicity studies.

DNA and Protein Release

With bacterial membrane weakening confirmed, different BL21(DE3) strains expressing LLO constitutively (Tet promoter) or inducibly (T7 promoter) and either chromosomally or by plasmid were evaluated for the amount of DNA and protein released post PLB treatment.

Supernatant absorbance was measured at both 260 (Figure 2) and 280 nm (Figure 3) to allow DNA and protein quantification, respectively. For all strains, a positive correlation is observed for each A_{260} and A_{280} measurement and both PLB concentration and treatment time.

Strain-dependent release profiles were observed, with increasing levels of release correlated to intracellular production of LLO (trends established previously).¹³ Protein release profiles (A_{280}) relative to promoter expression capability were expected; however, we anticipated that DNA release (A_{260}) may have been similar between strains given the consistent copy number shared between the expression plasmids used in this study. Instead, the DNA release trend is similar to strain-dependent protein release curves. We hypothesize that a synergistic interaction between PLB treatment and LLO production is occurring, resulting in increased perforations and subsequent cell leakage. This notion was supported by repeat experiments of growth and shear studies with LLO-expressing strains (data not shown) in which increased LLO expression also resulting in increased cell death.

Released Hemolytic Activity

Previously, the different strains (Table 1) were tested for intracellular LLO production and activity.¹³ However, having established protein release curves, the hemolytic activity of released LLO was evaluated by measuring percent of red blood cell lysis after incubation with the supernatant of PLB-treated strains (prepared as described in DNA/protein release studies) at different pHs. Similar to protein release curves, hemolysis at pH 5.5 was positively correlated with PLB concentration and treatment time (Figure 4). Additionally, strain-dependent profiles were observed with the greatest hemolytic activity (100%) associated with PLB-treated BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29-*hly* (the strain capable of highest LLO gene expression). Each strain treated with PLB demonstrated a hemolysis upper limit, a trend that matches the results obtained previously in which cells were mechanically disrupted by sonication prior to hemolytic assessment.¹³ Interestingly, a low level of hemolysis (<10 %) was observed for all non-treated strains while negligible levels were observed for BL21(DE3) (data not shown), further indicating that LLO causes endogenous lysis.

Released LLO can potentially limit future clinical usage if hemolysis is observed in physiologically relevant pH. Thus, samples were prepared as described above and subjected to the hemolysis assay conducted at pH 7.4 (Figure 5). All strains demonstrated < 5 % hemolysis, with all but strain BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29-*hly* demonstrating levels comparable to untreated samples. Observed hemolysis under these conditions is also similar to uninduced strains measured at pH 5.5 (data not shown), with BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29-*hly* being the only exception. These observations support previous studies that indicate LLO is only active in low pH solutions.²⁹

Gene Delivery Studies

PLB-treated bacterial vectors were evaluated for gene delivery efficacy in the context of a high-throughput 96-well assay. Our high-throughput assay confers the ability to test all vectors in all conditions at once to facilitate rapid identification of relative success. The

particular assay used here was adapted and improved upon from our previous bactofection studies.^{13, 14} Observed gene delivery of PLB-treated bacterial strains (Figure 6) showed highest delivery related to lower LLO expression profiles and the lowest delivery related to the highest LLO expression profile (BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29-*hly*).

We initially expected that higher concentrations of PLB and lengthened treatment time would result in increased gene delivery; however, at the highest concentration (0.05 mg/mL) and treatment time (1.5 h), gene delivery is the lowest for all strains. Optimal gene delivery occurs at 0.025 mg/mL at 0.5 h and 0.01 mg/mL at 1 h for all strains. At these two points, membrane weakening, DNA and protein release, and hemolysis data are comparable for each individual strain, suggesting that an optimal level of weakening and external DNA and protein release enhance gene delivery.

To eliminate the possibility that improvements in PLB-treated bacterial-mediated gene delivery are caused by complete rupture of the bacteria followed by delivery of released plasmid DNA, we prepared and tested supernatant from each sample and time point. Observed gene delivery from the supernatant of PLB-treated bacterial strains demonstrated low delivery and was comparable to results when using the supernatant of non-PLB-treated bacterial strains (Figure S1). In addition, no strain-specific trends were observed, suggesting that PLB-treatment causes a uniform permeabilization of bacteria.

Cellular Toxicity

A major hindrance of bactofection is the possibility that use of bacterial vectors will cause sepsis and/or immunogenicity, thus, evaluation of cellular cytotoxicity is a critical parameter for determining future biomedical and clinical applications. A modified MTT assay was carried out on RAW264.7 to assess cytotoxicity. Modifications of the assay from our prior studies^{13, 14} were made by removing the step of adding RPMI-1640 containing gentamicin to eliminate non-phagocytosed bacteria, which would normally lead to drastic macrophage cell death. Here, however, the assay was designed to test whether PLB pre-treatment could minimize the deleterious effects previously observed upon incubating bacteria with the macrophage cell line. Samples were prepared in the same manner as described during gene delivery studies, and it was observed that cytotoxicity decreased upon PLB treatment (Figure 7). Improvements upon cytotoxicity can be attributed, at least in part, to PLB's ability to bind and inactivate endotoxin.²⁸ Additionally low to no cytotoxicity was observed for optimal gene delivery conditions, 0.025 mg/mL at 0.5 h and 0.01 mg/mL at 1 h.

CONCLUSION

Bactofection has emerged as a promising tool for gene therapy by synergistically combining the ease and flexibility of designing and engineering bacterial vectors with inherent properties that promote improved delivery. However, bacterial vectors still experience significant resistance clinically. We investigated the use of polymyxin B as a pre-delivery treatment for engineered *E. coli* gene delivery strains. Results indicate that PLB pre-treatment significantly improves both gene delivery and target cell viability. Additionally, the data suggest that gene delivery can be enhanced/optimized by balancing cellular integrity and the degree of DNA and protein leakage. These studies present an easy route to improve

bactofection delivery efficacy while reducing cytotoxicity and strengthen the case for future applied usage of bacterial vectors.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank a SUNY-Buffalo Schomburg fellowship (CHJ) for financial support.

Funding Sources

The authors recognize support for this work from NIH award AI088485.

REFERENCES

1. Vannucci L, Lai M, Chiuppesi F, Ceccherini-Nelli L, Pistello M. Viral vectors: a look back and ahead on gene transfer technology. *The New Microbiologica*. 2013; 36(1):1–22. [PubMed: 23435812]
2. Josefsberg JO, Buckland B. Vaccine process technology. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2012; 109(6):1443–60. [PubMed: 22407777]
3. Giacca M, Zacchigna S. Virus-mediated gene delivery for human gene therapy. *J Control Release*. 2012; 161(2):377–88. [PubMed: 22516095]
4. Lynn DM, Langer R. Degradable Poly(β -amino esters): Synthesis, Characterization, and Self-Assembly with Plasmid DNA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2000; 122:10761–10768.
5. Anderson DG, Akinc A, Hossain N, Langer R. Structure/property studies of polymeric gene delivery using a library of poly(beta-amino esters). *Mol Ther*. 2005; 11(3):426–434. [PubMed: 15727939]
6. Anderson DG, Lynn DM, Langer R. Semi-automated synthesis and screening of a large library of degradable cationic polymers for gene delivery. *Angewandte Chemie*. 2003; 42(27):3153–8. [PubMed: 12866105]
7. Chen CK, Law WC, Aalinker R, Nair B, Kopwithaya A, Mahajan SD, Reynolds JL, Zou J, Schwartz SA, Prasad PN, Cheng C. Well-defined degradable cationic polylactide as nanocarrier for the delivery of siRNA to silence angiogenesis in prostate cancer. *Adv Healthc Mater*. 2012; 1(6): 751–61. [PubMed: 23184827]
8. Jones CH, Chen CK, Jiang M, Fang L, Cheng C, Pfeifer BA. Synthesis of cationic polylactides with tunable charge densities as nanocarriers for effective gene delivery. *Mol Pharm*. 2013; 10(3):1138–45. [PubMed: 23339525]
9. Al-Dosari MS, Gao X. Nonviral gene delivery: principle, limitations, and recent progress. *AAPS J*. 2009; 11(4):671–81. [PubMed: 19834816]
10. Schaffner W. Direct transfer of cloned genes from bacteria to mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1980; 77(4):2163–7. [PubMed: 6246525]
11. Palffy R, Gardlik R, Hodossy J, Behuliak M, Resko P, Radvansky J, Celec P. Bacteria in gene therapy: bactofection versus alternative gene therapy. *Gene Ther*. 2006; 13(2):101–5. [PubMed: 16163379]
12. Higgins DE, Shastri N, Portnoy DA. Delivery of protein to the cytosol of macrophages using *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Mol Microbiol*. 1999; 31:1631–41. [PubMed: 10209738]
13. Parsa S, Wang Y, Rines K, Pfeifer BA. A high-throughput comparison of recombinant gene expression parameters for *E. coli*-mediated gene transfer to P388D1 macrophage cells. *J. Biotechnol*. 2008; 137(1-4):59–64. [PubMed: 18694790]
14. Parsa S, Wang Y, Fuller J, Langer R, Pfeifer BA. A Comparison Between Polymeric Microsphere and Bacterial Vectors for Macrophage P388D1 Gene Delivery. *Pharm. Res*. 2008; 25:1202–1208. [PubMed: 18343983]

15. Castagliuolo I, Beggiao E, Brun P, Barzon L, Goussard S, Manganelli R, Grillot-Courvalin C, Palu G. Engineered *E. coli* delivers therapeutic genes to the colonic mucosa. *Gene Ther.* 2005; 12(13): 1070–8. [PubMed: 15815705]
16. Larsen MD, Griesenbach U, Goussard S, Gruenert DC, Geddes DM, Scheule RK, Cheng SH, Courvalin P, Grillot-Courvalin C, Alton EW. Bactofection of lung epithelial cells in vitro and in vivo using a genetically modified *Escherichia coli*. *Gene Ther.* 2008; 15(6):434–42. [PubMed: 18317498]
17. Shiau AL, Chu CY, Su WC, Wu CL. Vaccination with the glycoprotein D gene of pseudorabies virus delivered by nonpathogenic *Escherichia coli* elicits protective immune responses. *Vaccine.* 2001; 19(23-24):3277–84. [PubMed: 11312026]
18. Laner A, Goussard S, Ramalho AS, Schwarz T, Amaral MD, Courvalin P, Schindelbauer D, Grillot-Courvalin C. Bacterial transfer of large functional genomic DNA into human cells. *Gene Ther.* 2005; 12(21):1559–72. [PubMed: 15973438]
19. Xiang S, Fruehauf J, Li CJ. Short hairpin RNA-expressing bacteria elicit RNA interference in mammals. *Nature Biotechnology.* 2006; 24(6):697–702.
20. Radford KJ, Higgins DE, Pasquini S, Cheadle EJ, Carta L, Jackson AM, Lemoine NR, Vassaux G. A recombinant *E. coli* vaccine to promote MHC class I-dependent antigen presentation: application to cancer immunotherapy. *Gene Ther.* 2002; 9:1455–1463. [PubMed: 12378408]
21. Critchley RJ, Jezzard S, Radford KJ, Goussard S, Lemoine NR, Grillot-Courvalin C, Vassaux G. Potential therapeutic applications of recombinant, invasive *E. coli*. *Gene Ther.* 2004; 11(15):1224–33. [PubMed: 15141160]
22. Svensson M, Stockinger B, Wick MJ. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells can process bacteria for MHC-I and MHC-II presentation to T cells. *J Immunol.* 1997; 158(9):4229–36. [PubMed: 9126984]
23. Grillot-Courvalin C, Goussard S, Huetz F, Ojcius DM, Courvalin P. Functional gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to mammalian cells. *Nature Biotechnology.* 1998; 16(9):862–6.
24. Fajac I, Grosse S, Collombet JM, Thevenot G, Goussard S, Danel C, Grillot-Courvalin C. Recombinant *Escherichia coli* as a gene delivery vector into airway epithelial cells. *J Control Release.* 2004; 97(2):371–381. [PubMed: 15196763]
25. Studier FW, Moffatt BA. Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. *Journal of Molecular Biology.* 1986; 189(1):113–30. [PubMed: 3537305]
26. Zhu C, Yang Q, Lv F, Liu L, Wang S. Conjugated polymer-coated bacteria for multimodal intracellular and extracellular anticancer activity. *Adv Mater.* 2013; 25(8):1203–8. [PubMed: 23280674]
27. Cardoso LS, Araujo MI, Goes AM, Pacifico LG, Oliveira RR, Oliveira SC. Polymyxin B as inhibitor of LPS contamination of *Schistosoma mansoni* recombinant proteins in human cytokine analysis. *Microbial Cell Factories.* 2007; 6:1. [PubMed: 17201926]
28. Carr C Jr, Morrison DC. Mechanism of polymyxin B-mediated lysis of lipopolysaccharide-treated erythrocytes. *Infect Immun.* 1985; 49(1):84–9. [PubMed: 2989182]
29. Geoffroy C, Gaillard JL, Alouf JE, Berche P. Purification, characterization, and toxicity of the sulfhydryl-activated hemolysin listeriolysin O from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Infect Immun.* 1987; 55(7):1641–6. [PubMed: 3110067]

Figure 1.

Membrane shear studies of PLB-treated BL21(DE3) strains. Samples were treated with various concentrations of PLB over time prior to brief sonication and viability analysis. Both graphs presented are the same with the control removed (right) to better visual sample differences.

Figure 2.
DNA release studies of PLB-treated bacterial vector strains.

Figure 3.
Protein release studies of PLB-treated bacterial vector strains.

Figure 4. Hemolysis (at pH 5.5) of red blood cells (RBCs) upon incubation with PLB-treated bacterial vector supernatants.

Figure 5.
Hemolysis (in pH 7.4) of red blood cells (RBCs) upon incubation with PLB-treated bacterial vector supernatants.

Figure 6.

Gene delivery resulting from PLB-treated bacterial vector strains incubated with RAW264.7 cells. The y-axis for the BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29-*hly* strain is reduced relative to the other graph y-axes to better illustrate the differences in values associated with this data set. Delivery is measured in luminescence units normalized by total protein (luminescence/μg protein, y-axis). *Statistically significant (95 % confidence) when compared to respective untreated PLB control.

Figure 7.
MTT assay with RAW264.7 cells incubated with PLB-treated bacterial vector strains.

Scheme 1.
Polymyxin B structure and mechanism.

Table 1*E. coli* vectors used for PLB treatment and RAW264.7 gene delivery.

Strain	Notes	Reference
BL21(DE3)	<i>E. coli</i> B F ⁻ <i>dcm ompT hsdS</i> (r _B - m _B -) <i>gal λ</i> (DE3)	25
BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc	BL21(DE3) carrying a high copy mammalian luciferase expression plasmid, driven by the CMV promoter	13
YWT7- <i>hly</i> /pCMV-Luc	Strain contains an inducible T7- <i>hly</i> cassette in the BL21(DE3) chromosome	13
YWTet- <i>hly</i> /pCMV-Luc	Strain contains a constitutively expressed Tet- <i>hly</i> cassette in the BL21(DE3) chromosome	13
BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pET29- <i>hly</i>	pET29- <i>hly</i> carries the <i>hly</i> gene under a <i>lac</i> -inducible T7 promoter	12, 13
BL21(DE3)/pCMV-Luc/pDP2615	pDP3615 carries the <i>hly</i> gene under a constitutively expressed Tet promoter	12, 13