Published in final edited form as:

J Physiol Paris. 2016 September ; 110(1-2): 52–64. doi:10.1016/j.jphysparis.2016.09.002.

Potential roles of cholinergic modulation in the neural coding of location and movement speed

Holger Dannenberg, James R. Hinman, and Michael E. Hasselmo

Center for Systems Neuroscience, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Center for Memory and Brain, Graduate Program for Neuroscience, Boston University, 2 Cummington Mall, Boston, Massachusetts, 02215, U.S.A.

Abstract

Behavioral data suggest that cholinergic modulation may play a role in certain aspects of spatial memory, and neurophysiological data demonstrate neurons that fire in response to spatial dimensions, including grid cells and place cells that respond on the basis of location and running speed. These neurons show firing responses that depend upon the visual configuration of the environment, due to coding in visually-responsive regions of the neocortex. This review focuses on the physiological effects of acetylcholine that may influence the sensory coding of spatial dimensions relevant to behavior. In particular, the local circuit effects of acetylcholine within the cortex regulate the influence of sensory input relative to internal memory representations, via presynaptic inhibition of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission, and the modulation of intrinsic currents in cortical excitatory and inhibitory neurons. In addition, circuit effects of acetylcholine regulate the dynamics of cortical circuits including oscillations at theta and gamma frequencies. These effects of acetylcholine on local circuits and network dynamics could underlie the role of acetylcholine in coding of spatial information for the performance of spatial memory tasks.

Keywords

medial septum; basal forebrain; theta rhythm; entorhinal cortex; spatial navigation; grid cells; place cells

1. Introduction

Acetylcholine plays an important role in regulating the function of cortical structures. Empirical evidence indicates a role of acetylcholine in cognitive functions including learning and memory and attention to sensory stimuli as well as a substantial role in regulating transitions between waking and sleep. This article will focus on the role of acetylcholine in

Corresponding author: Dr. Holger Dannenberg, Boston University, 2 Cummington Mall, Boston, MA, USA, 02215. 617-353-1397, 617-358-3296, hdannenb@bu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

regulating sensory influences on the coding of spatial representations for memory function in rodents. We will provide a brief overview of behavioral evidence, but will primarily focus on neurophysiological studies of the role of acetylcholine in the network dynamics of cortical structures.

Given our focus on coding of location and movement speed, we will focus on cortical structures including the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus as well as regions of the neocortex interacting with these regions. Extensive data indicates a role of the hippocampus in spatial memory function, starting with the discovery of place cells in the hippocampus (O'Keefe, 1976). Hippocampal place cells show selective firing based on the spatial location of a rat, generating spikes primarily when a rat visits single locations in the environment (O'Keefe, 1976; O'Keefe and Burgess, 1996, 2005), and place cell firing rates change with running speed. These neurons appear to be important for spatial memory function, as lesions of the hippocampus impair the learning of a new spatial location (Morris et al., 1982). The hippocampus has strong bidirectional anatomical connections with the entorhinal cortex, and lesions of the entorhinal cortex also impair spatial memory function (Steffenach et al., 2005). As shown in Figure 1, both the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex receive extensive innervation from cholinergic neurons in basal forebrain nuclei including the medial septum and vertical limb of the diagonal band, as well as input from GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons in these nuclei (Alonso and Kohler, 1984; Gaykema et al., 1990; Pang et al., 2011; Oh et al., 2014).

Recent data demonstrate the spatial specificity of neurons in the medial entorhinal cortex (MEC) termed grid cells (Fyhn et al., 2004; Hafting et al., 2005; Hafting et al., 2008) that fire when a foraging rat visits a regular array of locations in the environment falling on the vertices of tightly packed equilateral triangles. Grid cells also usually show selective firing at specific time intervals during running on a treadmill (Kraus et al., 2015). Many neurons in entorhinal cortex, including grid cells, show changes in firing rate and rhythmic frequency with running speed (Kropff et al., 2015; Hinman et al., 2016). Manipulations of visual inputs such as rotation or barrier movement will alter the location of firing of place cells and grid cells (Muller and Kubie, 1987; Hafting et al., 2005; Barry et al., 2007), indicating an important role of input from visual cortical regions to entorhinal cortex. Similarly, task demands can alter the location of firing, indicating a role for prefrontal cortex. As shown in Figure 1, neocortical structures receive cholinergic input from basal forebrain structures such as the horizontal limb of the diagonal band, and the substantia innominata (Mayo et al., 1984; Carey and Rieck, 1987; Laplante et al., 2005; Oh et al., 2014). The following sections will analyze the potential role of cholinergic modulation in regulating the spatial function of cortical coding.

2. Overview of cholinergic function

2.1. Role of acetylcholine in spatial behavior

Microdialysis measurements of acetylcholine levels in rats demonstrated that hippocampal acetylcholine release increases during states of arousal and active exploration (Marrosu et al., 1995; Acquas et al., 1996), as well as during experience with spatial novelty (Aloisi et al., 1997; Giovannini et al., 2001; Bianchi et al., 2003). Acetylcholine levels also increase

during learning of a spatial memory task (Stancampiano et al., 1999) and during object exploration (Stanley et al., 2012).

Numerous studies have shown robust impairments of spatial memory behavior after lesions of the medial septum (Winson, 1978; Givens and Olton, 1990), which destroy a range of inputs including cholinergic, GABAergic and glutamatergic input. Behavioral effects have been tested after selective lesions of cholinergic neurons in the medial septum/ diagonal band (MSDB) complex using the neurotoxin saporin conjugated with an antibody giving it selectivity for cholinergic neurons in rats and mice. The effect of these selective lesions of cholinergic innervation tend to be weaker than the effect of medial septum lesions (Parent and Baxter, 2004), but do show some behavioral effects. These lesions appear to specifically influence memory for the spatial location of objects, as saporin MSDB lesions impair memory for the spatial context of objects in a where-which task in rats (Easton et al., 2011), but do not impair their episodic memory in a what-where-which task. This surprising dissociation was attributed to an impairment in the rapid updating of place cells when object locations differ across spatial contexts, consistent with cholinergic MSDB lesions causing impairments in the formation of new place cell representations in different contexts (Ikonen et al., 2002). In mice, saporin MSDB lesions cause impairments in the recognition of the spatial location of objects (Cai et al., 2012), but do not impair novel object recognition. Saporin MSDB lesions have also been shown to cause impairments of performance in the Morris water maze (Berger-Sweeney et al., 2001; Moreau et al., 2008) though the effect on Morris water maze performance has not appeared in all tests (Parent and Baxter, 2004). Cholinergic MSDB lesions have also been shown to cause impairments of matching-to-place in the water maze that do not depend upon the delay between sample and test (Baxter et al., 1995) and they impair delayed matching to place in the T-maze (Johnson et al., 2002), but effects on delayed non-match to place require combining cholinergic lesions with lesions of GABAergic input (Pang and Nocera, 1999; Pang et al., 2001). Overall, these behavioral studies indicate a potential role of cholinergic innervation in forming representations of spatial location relevant to memory-guided behavior. These behavioral effects of cholinergic lesions are consistent with the effects of cholinergic manipulations on physiological recordings described in the next section.

2.2. Cholinergic modulation and functional response properties of neurons

The functional role of cholinergic modulation could be elucidated by the effect of cholinergic manipulations on the functional firing properties of neurons in cortical structures including place cells in the hippocampus and grid cells in entorhinal cortex. The firing of hippocampal place cells changes during infusions of the drug scopolamine that blocks muscarinic acetylcholine receptors at the site of recording (Brazhnik et al., 2004). Infusions of the drug scopolamine into the ventricles caused an increase in the firing of place cells outside of the usual place cell firing field of the neuron, while reducing the peak firing rate of the place cells (Brazhnik et al., 2003). Similar effects were seen with local dialysis of scopolamine or other selective muscarinic antagonists into the tissue near the recording site (Brazhnik et al., 2004).

Recordings of place cells have also been performed after lesions of the fornix, which contains cholinergic fibers as well as other fibers arising from the medial septum. Recordings after fornix lesions show a reduction in the spatial specificity and reliability of firing of place cells, and show that place cells are more sensitive to maze rotation (Shapiro et al., 1989). The potential role of cholinergic modulation in this effect is supported by the fact that these effects are reduced by hippocampal grafts of fetal cholinergic basal forebrain tissue (Shapiro et al., 1989). Hippocampal cells also show selective firing at specific time intervals during running on a running wheel (Pastalkova et al., 2008) or treadmill (Kraus et al., 2013) and the specificity of this time cell firing is lost with inactivation of the medial septum (Wang et al., 2015).

Data also suggests a potential role of cholinergic modulation in regulating the function of grid cells. A potential role of cholinergic modulation has been demonstrated for grid cells. Inactivation of the medial septum with infusions of muscimol causes a loss of the spatial periodicity of grid cells (Brandon et al., 2011; Koenig et al., 2011), without causing a loss of the head direction selectivity of neurons in medial entorhinal cortex (Brandon et al., 2011). This effect could be due to loss of cholinergic, GABAergic or glutamatergic innervation, but a role for cholinergic modulation is supported by evidence that systemic injections of scopolamine also cause a reduction in the spatial periodicity of grid cells (Newman et al., 2014).

Computational models and indirect experimental evidence suggest that the loss of spatial specificity of firing could be associated with a role of cholinergic neurons in coding the running speed of an animal. The power and frequency of theta oscillations have been shown to increase with running speed in rodents (Jeewajee et al., 2008a; Hinman et al., 2011). Systemic administration of the muscarinic antagonist scopolamine flattens the typically positive correlation between running speed and entorhinal theta frequency in rats (Newman et al., 2013), which may affect the integration of locomotor information into memory processes. In addition, calcium imaging of the activity of cholinergic neurons in the medial septum has demonstrated a linear relationship between activity of cholinergic neurons and running speed (Davidson et al., 2014). Many neurons in the medial entorhinal cortex including grid cells, head direction cells and interneurons show systematic increases in firing rate with running speed (Wills et al., 2012; Kropff et al., 2015; Hinman et al., 2016), while some MEC neurons show reductions in firing rate or changes in theta rhythmicity with running speed (Hinman et al., 2016). Inactivation of the medial septum results in the reduction of the latter two properties, while enhancing the positive coding of running speed by firing rate.

Thus, existing evidence suggests a role of acetylcholine in the spatial coding by place cells and grid cells. This might be partly due to a role of acetylcholine in the visual influences on place cells and grid cells described in the following section.

2.3. Possible neocortical influences on the firing of grid cells and place cells

Visual cues have been shown to influence spatial coding by grid cells and place cells. In particular, the rotation of visual cues on the walls of a spatial environment can cause a similar magnitude rotation of the firing location of place cells (Muller and Kubie, 1987) and

grid cells (Hafting et al., 2005). In addition, the location of the firing fields of place cells and grid cells can be influenced by shifting the location of barriers in a rectangular environment to compress or expand along one dimension (O'Keefe and Burgess, 1996). The same manipulation causes compression or expansion of the spacing between grid cell firing fields (Barry et al., 2007).

As noted above, manipulations of cholinergic modulation influence the firing properties of place cells and grid cells. Cholinergic modulation could also be relevant to the effect of novelty on the firing properties of grid cells and place cells. Recordings from rats exploring a novel environment show a larger spacing between the firing fields exhibited by each individual grid cell compared to the baseline spacing observed in a familiar environment (Barry et al., 2012a). This expansion of spacing could underlie the shifts in the firing location of place cells (termed remapping) that occurs in novel environments. This expansion of spacing has been proposed to arise from the increase of acetylcholine levels in novel environments (Barry et al., 2012a). Models of grid cell firing provide a framework for understanding how acetylcholine levels could influence the firing properties of grid cells via influences on circuit mechanisms, including the presynaptic inhibition of synaptic transmission, or the intrinsic currents regulating the spike timing of entorhinal neurons. These cholinergic influences will be reviewed in section 3 below.

The visual influences on grid cells could involve different aspects of the coding of visual stimuli including optic flow (Raudies et al., 2012) or the angle of visual stimuli in an environment (Milford et al., 2010; Milford and Schulz, 2014; Raudies and Hasselmo, 2015; Raudies et al., 2016). Cholinergic modulation of these computations in visual areas of the neocortex could contribute to the coding of spatial location by grid cells. Some properties of grid cell responses may arise from the configuration of visual input to the medial entorhinal cortex. The compression or expansion of the spacing between grid cell firing fields described above (Barry et al., 2007) can be specific to individual grid cell modules with different initial spacing (Stensola et al., 2012) that predominate at different dorsal to ventral positions within MEC. The visual cortical areas on the dorsal surface of the rodent brain show retinotopic mapping (Wang and Burkhalter, 2007; Garrett et al., 2014), and a similar retinotopic mapping may map the dorsal portions of the retina to the dorsal portions of the medial entorhinal cortex. The dorsal retina would respond to features in the ventral visual field near the animal, which are less likely to be affected by barrier location. The ventral portions of MEC might respond to the dorsal visual field that includes features on distant barriers that would make them more sensitive to the location of barriers.

Consistent with this, recent data from rodents show that responses of different visual regions (see Figure 1) are restricted to specific portions of the visual field (Garrett et al., 2014). The rostralateral area (RL) in the rodent visual cortex responds to the ventral visual field (ground plane), whereas the lateromedial area (LM) responds to the dorsal visual field (where distal barriers would appear). Anatomical data show that the rostralateral (RL) and anterolateral (AL) areas but not LM project to the dorsal MEC (Wang et al., 2011). Rodent visual regions also show different functional responses to visual properties. For example, the anteromedial area (AM) responds to high temporal frequency (moving stimuli) with low spatial frequency, whereas the posteromedial area (PM) responds more to low temporal frequency (more static

stimuli) with higher spatial frequency and other areas show differential responses (Andermann et al., 2011; Marshel et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Glickfeld et al., 2014). These data suggest a division of processing similar to the “what” and “where” pathways of primate neocortex (Ungerleider and Mishkin, 1982). Primate visual cortex has regions that respond to specific features of the direction and speed of optic flow (Rodman and Albright, 1987; Duffy and Wurtz, 1997) and a few rodent neurons show responses to the pattern flow caused by two components of motion (Juavinett and Callaway, 2015) similar to that in monkeys (Smith et al., 2005). The separation of “where” and “what” pathways has been proposed for entorhinal cortex to distinguish spatial responses in medial entorhinal from lack of spatial coding in lateral entorhinal cortex (Hargreaves et al., 2005; Knierim et al., 2006; Eichenbaum and Lipton, 2008) which responds to objects (Deshmukh and Knierim, 2011, 2013).

Cholinergic modulation of neuronal responses in the visual cortex could contribute to potential influences of systemic cholinergic modulation on spatial firing of neurons in entorhinal cortex and hippocampus. It has been shown in rodents that cholinergic neurons are selective in their projections and functional modulation of sensory cortices, with a clear topographic projection of horizontal diagonal band neurons to the visual cortex (Laplanche et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2016). Ionophoretic applications of acetylcholine increase the firing response of neurons to sensory input or thalamic stimulation without enhancing tuning selectivity in the visual cortex of rodents (Sato et al., 1987) or marmosets (Zinke et al., 2006). The same manipulation of cat visual cortex neurons, however, caused increases in the orientation and directional selectivity to visual stimuli (Sillito and Kemp, 1983; Murphy and Sillito, 1991). This also reduced the extent of spatial integration, evident by a shift of a neuron’s preferred length toward shorter bars and a concomitant decrease in its spatial summation area (Roberts et al., 2005). Activation of cholinergic receptors also causes long-term enhancement of the magnitude of visual evoked potentials (Kang and Vaucher, 2009; Kang et al., 2015) and the behavioral sensitivity to specific visual orientations (Kang et al., 2014). Manipulations of cholinergic input also influence attentional modulation in visual cortex. Acetylcholine enhances the response to an attended stimulus, whereas cholinergic blockade reduces the enhancement of neural response due to attention (Herrero et al., 2008).

Cholinergic modulation also plays a role in attentional mechanisms mediated by the prefrontal cortex. Selective saporin lesions of cholinergic innervation of the prefrontal cortex have been shown to impair sustained attention for the detection of brief visual cues (McGaughy et al., 1996; McGaughy and Sarter, 1998). During this task, cue detection is associated with changes in acetylcholine levels on preceding trials (Parikh et al., 2007). Optogenetic induction of transient increases in acetylcholine levels in the cortex of mice are associated with enhanced cue detection and with increased false positives in this task (Gritton et al., 2016). The role in sensory coding is supported by electrophysiological studies showing enhanced reliability of sensory-evoked activity in the neocortex during optogenetic activation of the basal forebrain in rats (Goard and Dan, 2009).

These in vivo studies indicate a potential role of acetylcholine in regulating the functional properties of different cortical regions. The next section will describe circuit level

neurophysiological effects of acetylcholine that could contribute to a functional role of acetylcholine in encoding of the spatial dimensions of behavior in rodents.

3. Cholinergic modulation of cortical circuit properties

Acetylcholine release in cortical structures including the neocortex, entorhinal cortex and hippocampus modulates both principal neurons as well as interneurons on a cellular and synaptic level. These physiological effects could alter the spatial coding of neurons such as place cells and grid cells due to effects within the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, but also due to effects within the visual areas of neocortex.

Acetylcholine acts at two major categories of receptors, the nicotinic receptors (nAChR) and the muscarinic (mAChR) receptors. Both of these receptor categories have further subtypes. These receptors can be found both pre- and postsynaptically on both interneurons and principal cells (Levey et al., 1995; Picciotto et al., 2012) as well as astrocytes (Van Der Zee et al., 1993; Sharma and Vijayaraghavan, 2002). These receptors affect the intrinsic currents of excitatory principal cells in the cortex, altering the timing of spiking (Madison and Nicoll, 1984; Heys et al., 2010). In addition, these receptors modulate excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission selectively at different pathways (Hasselmo, 1999, 2006). Finally, a significant portion of the network effects of acetylcholine likely result from the modulation of specific subtypes of inhibitory interneurons, which are in a powerful position to control rhythmic activity, synaptic inputs to and spiking output from pyramidal neurons (McQuiston and Madison, 1999a; McQuiston, 2014). The effects of acetylcholine on cortical circuits are reviewed in more detail in this section and are summarized in Table 1.

3.1. Cholinergic modulation of presynaptic inhibition and intrinsic properties could influence place cells

The effects of cholinergic manipulations on place cells described above could be related to specific circuit level effects of acetylcholine. Within the hippocampus, acetylcholine acts differentially on different synaptic pathways that are segregated to different anatomical layers (Hasselmo, 1999, 2006). Acetylcholine differentially regulates the two major synaptic input pathways in region CA3 and region CA1 of the hippocampus, causing presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission from longitudinal association fibers (Hasselmo et al., 1995), and the Schaffer collaterals in stratum radiatum of region CA1 (Hasselmo and Schnell, 1994). This presynaptic inhibition primarily depends upon M4 muscarinic receptors (Dasari and Gullledge, 2011). In contrast, acetylcholine does not as strongly influence glutamatergic synaptic transmission at the entorhinal cortex inputs in str. lacunosum moleculare in region CA3 (Kremen and Hasselmo, 2007) and str. lacunosum moleculare of region CA1 (Hasselmo and Schnell, 1994b, a).

The selective presynaptic inhibition of excitatory recurrent connections in CA3 and connections to CA1 could act to reduce the internal spread of excitation and allow more selective firing in response to sensory input. When muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of excitatory recurrent connections is reduced, this will increase the amount of excitatory spread and could thereby cause the increase in out-of-field firing observed with ventricular or local infusions of scopolamine during recordings from hippocampal place cells (Brazhnik

et al., 2003; Brazhnik et al., 2004), as well as the reduction in place cell reliability observed with lesions of the fornix that remove MSDB input (Shapiro et al., 1989). The stronger effect of excitatory recurrent connections after lesions of cholinergic innervation could also explain why place cells tend to fire in the same manner across different environments in rats with saporin lesions of cholinergic neurons in the MSDB (Ikonen et al., 2002).

Early associative memory models of cortical function used different dynamics during encoding and retrieval (Anderson, 1972; Kohonen, 1972; Hopfield, 1982; Kohonen, 1984; Amit, 1988). In these models the spread of activity through excitatory recurrent synapses was suppressed during encoding to allow accurate Hebbian modification of connections between cells within the circuit. Modelling shows how muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of recurrent synapses would prevent retrieval of previously stored overlapping patterns during encoding of new patterns, thereby preventing a build-up of interference between overlapping patterns (Hasselmo et al., 1992; Hasselmo, 2006).

Cholinergic modulation also influences the intrinsic currents of hippocampal pyramidal cells, causing a direct depolarization through the block of a leak potassium current (Cole and Nicoll, 1984), and causing a decrease in spike frequency accommodation by blocking calcium-dependent potassium currents that normally cause slowing of spike firing rate (Madison and Nicoll, 1984) due to activation of postsynaptic M1 receptors (Dasari and Gullledge, 2011). Cholinergic modulation also activates the CAN current underlying persistent spiking (Jochems and Yoshida, 2013; Knauer et al., 2013). Thus, the net effect of acetylcholine is to enhance the spiking response of excitatory neurons to afferent synaptic input. Blockade of these cholinergic effects will reduce the excitability of cortical principal cells, and thereby the blockade of cholinergic receptors could contribute to the decrease in the peak firing rate of place cells observed during infusions of scopolamine (Brazhnik et al., 2003; Brazhnik et al., 2004). The loss of this intrinsic increase in excitability could make neurons less responsive to visual environmental cues at the same time that they respond more to the unsuppressed recurrent connections that drive activity based on previous environments. This may contribute to the reconvergence of place cell firing to patterns found in previously visited familiar environments in rats with lesions of cholinergic neurons in the MSDB (Ikonen et al., 2002).

Acetylcholine also influences the intrinsic properties of interneurons in the hippocampal formation. Activation of nicotinic receptors causes depolarization of many interneurons (McQuiston and Madison, 1999c). Early studies using electrical stimulation showed fast $\alpha 7$ mediated EPSPs (Frazier et al., 1998), but optogenetic activation of ACh fibers appears to primarily elicit slower EPSPs (hundreds of milliseconds) dependent on Alph4beta2 receptors (Bell et al., 2015a). Muscarinic receptors cause a range of effects in hippocampal interneurons, including hyperpolarization, depolarization or biphasic hyperpolarization followed by depolarization (McQuiston and Madison, 1999a). Muscarinic receptors appear to consistently depolarize VIP interneurons (Bell et al., 2015b), and cause an afterdepolarization potential in O-LM cells that can result in persistent spiking (McQuiston and Madison, 1999b; Lawrence et al., 2006a), and also appear to tune the response of other stratum oriens interneurons to fire in response to theta frequency inputs (Lawrence et al., 2006b). Acetylcholine also causes presynaptic inhibition of inhibitory

synaptic transmission within the hippocampus coupled with direct depolarization of interneurons (Pitler and Alger, 1992; Behrends and ten Bruggencate, 1993). Research in neocortex shows muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of inhibitory synaptic transmission arising from fast-spiking cells (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008). Modeling of the cholinergic effects causing interneuron depolarization coupled with presynaptic inhibition of inhibitory synaptic transmission shows how this modulation can enhance the signal-to-noise ratio of neuronal firing in cortical structures (Patil and Hasselmo, 1999). Thus, the effects on synaptic transmission and the spiking properties of pyramidal cells and interneurons could also contribute to the lowering of signal-to-noise ratio seen as a reduction of in-field to out-of-field firing in place cells during infusions of scopolamine (Brazhnik et al., 2003; Brazhnik et al., 2004). Similarly, circuit level effects of acetylcholine could influence the firing of entorhinal grid cells as described next.

3.2. Presynaptic inhibition of synaptic transmission and modulation of intrinsic properties could also influence grid cells

Selective muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission has also been shown in the medial entorhinal cortex (Hamam et al., 2007). This selective presynaptic inhibition could contribute to the change in spacing between grid cell firing fields seen in novel environments (Barry et al., 2012b). In attractor network models of grid cells (Burak and Fiete, 2009), the strength of excitatory recurrent connectivity relative to the strength of inhibitory feedback to entorhinal principal neurons influences the spacing between grid cell firing fields (Beed et al., 2013). Blockade of cholinergic presynaptic inhibition of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses in medial entorhinal cortex can alter this balance. This could contribute to the change in spacing between grid cell firing fields observed in novel environments.

Another model of grid cell firing fields uses oscillatory interference between velocity-controlled oscillators that change their frequency with the direction of running (Burgess et al., 2007). The spacing between grid cell firing fields in these models is sensitive to the timing of spiking by the modelled neurons. Cholinergic modulation in these models can influence the spacing of grid cell firing fields by altering the intrinsic resonance frequency of neurons through inhibition of the hyperpolarization activated cation current (h current), as shown in slice preparations of entorhinal cortex (Heys et al., 2010; Heys and Hasselmo, 2012). This change in resonance frequency would manifest as a change in the speed of rebound spiking. A change in the speed of rebound spiking has been shown to directly influence the spacing between grid cell firing fields in these types of models (Hasselmo, 2014; Hasselmo and Shay, 2014; Shay et al., 2016).

Muscarinic receptor activation also causes strong depolarization and reduction of spike frequency accommodation in both pyramidal cells and stellate cells (Klink and Alonso, 1997b). Muscarinic receptors also activate a calcium-sensitive non-specific cation current (CAN current) that causes afterdepolarization responses in pyramidal cells, resulting in persistent spiking after depolarization in the presence of acetylcholine (Klink and Alonso, 1997a; Fransen et al., 2002; Fransén et al., 2006; Yoshida and Hasselmo, 2009; Jochems et al., 2013). This after depolarization has also been modelled in three different ways as

contributing to the firing properties of grid cells. The first model employs a slow velocity dependent modulation of CAN (Hasselmo and Brandon, 2012). The second model tunes CAN-dependent frequency by velocity as in the oscillatory interference model (Hasselmo, 2008). The third model utilizes CAN-dependent rebound spiking in an attractor dynamic model (Navratilova et al., 2012). The persistent spiking model is supported by data on persistence of firing of grid cells after passing through a firing field (De Almeida et al., 2012). Thus, effects of acetylcholine on synaptic transmission or the intrinsic properties of excitatory cells and inhibitory interneurons within the entorhinal cortex could influence the firing properties of grid cells. However, effects of acetylcholine could also be mediated indirectly by effects on the visual input from neocortex as described next.

3.3. Cholinergic modulation of visual areas of the neocortex

Acetylcholine has effects on synaptic transmission and neuronal intrinsic properties in the neocortex that could alter the influence of sensory input on place cells and grid cells. The principle of selective cholinergic suppression of excitatory feedback and enhancement of afferent input also proves to generalize to neocortical structures. In an early study, connections within somatosensory neocortex showed greater presynaptic inhibition than afferent input arising from the white matter (Hasselmo and Cekic, 1996). This was subsequently confirmed in a study using thalamocortical slice preparations of somatosensory cortex, showing muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of excitatory recurrent connections in neocortex and also showing nicotinic enhancement of afferent input (Gil et al., 1997). Selective effects on recurrent relative to thalamocortical input have also been shown in auditory cortex (Hsieh et al., 2000).

Release of acetylcholine in visual cortex has been shown to increase during patterned visual stimulation (Laplanche et al., 2005). Nicotinic enhancement of thalamocortical input by acetylcholine is supported by the distribution of nicotinic receptors on thalamocortical terminals and cholinergic enhancement of afferent input in visual cortex (Disney et al., 2007). In the visual cortex, optical imaging was used to show muscarinic cholinergic suppression of the internal spread of activity along excitatory recurrent connections compared to afferent input (Kimura and Baughman, 1997; Kimura, 2000). This indicated that acetylcholine should reduce the functional spread of activity on excitatory recurrent connections in visual cortex. This is supported by the in vivo experimental data by (Roberts et al., 2005), mentioned in section 2.3 showing that iontophoretic application of acetylcholine decreases the extent of spatial integration, assessed by measuring a neuron's length tuning and spatial summation area. These effects appear to contribute to the influence of top-down attention on the dynamics of visual cortex processing (Herrero et al., 2008). This work has been extended to human subjects in a study showing that the acetylcholinesterase blocker donepezil reduces the extent of the spread of activity in visual cortical areas associated with foveal stimulation (Silver et al., 2008). Changes in the relative balance of thalamic input and synaptic feedback could underlie the fact that knockout of specific muscarinic receptors reduces contrast sensitivity and frequency selectivity in V1 as well as altering receptive field size (Groleau et al., 2014; Groleau et al., 2015). Cholinergic modulation has also been shown to cause long-term enhancement of visual evoked potential

and behavioural sensitivity (Kang and Vaucher, 2009; Kang et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2015) that could be relevant to the coding of visual cues for spatial location.

As noted above, grid cells and place cells are sensitive to the visual cues in an environment, which can cause rotation of firing fields or compression or expansion of the spacing between grid cell firing fields. These effects could be modulated by cholinergic effects in the visual areas of neocortex that could influence the coding of running speed in these structures via effects on different classes of interneurons. Neocortical interneurons have specific defining markers that define three nonoverlapping category markers: parvalbumin (PV), somatostatin (SST) and ionotropic serotonin receptors (5HT3A) (Lee et al., 2010; Rudy et al., 2011). The 5HT3A category contains interneuron subtypes with additional markers including vasoactive intestinal protein (VIP) and neuropeptide Y (NPY). Studies have revealed cortical circuitry that appears to be consistent across different regions, showing that activation of VIP neurons appears to selectively inhibit SST and PV interneurons (Lee et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014), thereby disinhibiting neocortical pyramidal cells.

Changes in acetylcholine levels during locomotion may influence visual responses of mouse primary visual cortex (V1). Locomotion was found to increase the gain of excitatory neurons in V1 (Niell and Stryker, 2010). This increase in gain appears to be initiated by inhibitory interneurons that express VIP, as calcium imaging in behaving mice shows that these VIP neurons respond to locomotion (Fu et al., 2014). These VIP neurons inhibit interneurons that express somatostatin, thereby disinhibiting the excitatory pyramidal neurons to allow greater spiking activity. Importantly, this response to locomotion depends on powerful cholinergic activation via nicotinic AChRs (Fu et al., 2014). Rabies-virus-based retrograde tracing identified the source of acetylcholine in cholinergic neurons of the diagonal band of Broca, which give rise to direct projections to the upper layer VIP neurons in V1. As noted above, VIP interneurons belong to the 5HT3A category (Lee et al., 2010), which have been shown to be directly and strongly depolarized by nicotinic receptors (Porter et al., 1999; Christophe et al., 2002).

Thus, the modulation of VIP neurons in primary visual cortex by the activity of cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain seems to be important for regulating the enhancement of neuronal responses based on locomotion in mice. The activation of this VIP-SST disinhibitory pathway was both necessary and sufficient for inducing the synaptic plasticity potentiating the visual responses (Fu et al., 2015), providing a further link of acetylcholine to synaptic plasticity. Notably, VIP+ neurons in other primary sensory cortices, namely the primary somatosensory barrel cortex and – although weaker – the primary auditory cortex, also respond to locomotion (Fu et al., 2014). Consistent with the speed modulation of neuronal responses in visual cortex, calcium imaging of the activity of cholinergic neurons in the MSDB has demonstrated a linear relationship between activity of cholinergic neurons and running speed (Davidson et al., 2014), supporting the notion that running speed modulates activity of cholinergic neurons in the diagonal band of Broca.

A similar mechanism appears to underlie effects of reinforcement signals (reward and punishment) on auditory cortex responses, in which activation of VIP neurons inhibits SST and PV cells (Pi et al., 2013), consistent with earlier studies showing disinhibition mediated

by layer I interneurons (Letzkus et al., 2011). A similar mechanism also appears to mediate increases and decreases in spiking activity during whisker movements due to M1 cortical inputs to VIP interneurons (Lee et al., 2013). Stimulation of the basal forebrain has been shown to activate VIP interneurons but not PV interneurons via nicotinic cholinergic receptors (Alitto and Dan, 2012). Acetylcholine directly depolarizes VIP neurons (Lee et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2014) and depolarizes somatostatin but not PV cells in neocortex (Kawaguchi, 1997). Testing of connectivity patterns between interneuron sub-types using pair-wise recordings in slices of visual cortex revealed that VIP interneurons strongly inhibit somatostatin cells, and somatostatin cells inhibit almost all other interneurons including PV cells (Pfeffer et al., 2013), thereby setting up complex dynamics of inhibition or disinhibition that influence pyramidal cells. Activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors also causes presynaptic inhibition of inhibitory synaptic transmission arising from fast-spiking neurons in somatosensory cortex (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008). These muscarinic effects reduce feedforward inhibition of thalamocortical input (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008) at the same time that nicotinic receptors enhance synaptic transmission at thalamocortical synapses (Gil et al., 1997). These effects have been proposed to contribute to the enhancement of sustained attention by acetylcholine in the neocortex (Hasselmo and McGaughy, 2004). These studies provide examples of a local functional circuit that appears to be replicated within neocortex.

3.4. Cholinergic modulation during waking and sleep

The role of acetylcholine in modulation of different cortical structures including hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and neocortex suggest a potential role for cholinergic neurons in orchestration of processing within these structures. The cholinergic effects could be exerted independently within each structure as anatomical data indicates that individual cholinergic neurons project to relatively delimited regions of the cortex (Price and Stern, 1983). However, microdialysis evidence indicates strongly coordinated changes in acetylcholine levels in neocortex and hippocampus during different phases of sleep versus waking (Marrosu et al., 1995). Acetylcholine levels are high during active waking, show decreases during quiet waking, and decrease to less than 1/3 of waking levels during slow wave sleep (Marrosu et al., 1995). Most of this paper shows the role of high acetylcholine levels in enhancing the encoding of sensory input. However, the coordinated decrease in acetylcholine levels during quiet waking and slow wave sleep has been proposed to release the presynaptic inhibition from hippocampus back to neocortex, allowing activity based on recently formed associations in the hippocampus to spread back to the neocortex and drive consolidation of memories in the neocortex (Hasselmo, 1999). This is consistent with theories of consolidation that were simulated in models of hippocampal-cortical interactions (Alvarez and Squire, 1994; McClelland et al., 1995; Hasselmo et al., 1996).

This proposal is consistent with the muscarinic cholinergic presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic transmission shown at a number of stages of cortical feedback connections, including the excitatory recurrent connections in region CA3 (Hasselmo et al., 1995; Vogt and Regehr, 2001; Kremin and Hasselmo, 2007), the connections from region CA3 to region CA1 (Hounsgaard, 1978; Valentino and Dingledine, 1981; Hasselmo and Schnell, 1994b; de

Sevilla et al., 2002), and the feedback connections within neocortical structures (Hasselmo and Cekic, 1996; Gil et al., 1997).

This model of the role of acetylcholine in consolidation (Hasselmo, 1999) led to some functional predictions that have been tested. If a reduction in cholinergic presynaptic inhibition enhances consolidation during slow wave sleep, then an increase in acetylcholine levels during slow wave sleep should impair consolidation. This was tested in a study in which subjects were administered the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor physostigmine during slow wave sleep. These subjects then showed reductions in subsequent tests of declarative memory consolidation performed after the subjects were awakened (Gais and Born, 2004). On the other hand, the model predicts that reductions in acetylcholine modulation during waking should enhance consolidation. This was shown in a study in which scopolamine was administered to block muscarinic cholinergic receptors after encoding of information, and subjects showed an enhancement of consolidation on a later memory test (Rasch et al., 2006) similar to the enhancement of consolidation seen with quiet rest after encoding (Dewar et al., 2009; Dewar et al., 2014). The change in acetylcholine levels during quiet rest appears to enhance the consolidation of spatial memories (Craig et al., 2015; Craig et al., 2016). Thus, modelling and experimental data shows a link between cellular mechanisms of muscarinic presynaptic inhibition and behavioural studies in animals and humans.

4. Cholinergic modulation of cortical network oscillatory dynamics

The cholinergic modulation of different components of cortical circuits appears to influence the network oscillatory dynamics observed in different cortical structures. This includes influences on oscillations in the theta frequency range (often described as 3–12 Hz in rodents) as well as in the gamma frequency range (described as 40–100 Hz in rodents). This section will review data on cholinergic modulation of network oscillatory dynamics.

4.1. Association of acetylcholine levels with theta rhythm

As noted above, microdialysis studies show increases in acetylcholine levels associated with active movement or attention to the environment. The same behavioral states associated with high acetylcholine release in hippocampal and cortical regions are highly correlated with theta rhythm oscillations in the hippocampal formation (Green and Arduini, 1954; Jouvet, 1969; Vanderwolf, 1969). The fact that focal injection of atropine, a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist, into the medial septum of rats and rabbits inhibits theta oscillations in the hippocampus (Kramis et al., 1975) indicates the importance of acetylcholine in modulating theta in the septohippocampal network. Activation of cholinergic receptors in the septum with the nonspecific agonist carbachol can induce theta rhythm oscillations (Bland and Colom, 1993). Microdialysis in the dorsal hippocampus showed an increase in acetylcholine levels correlated with the appearance of theta rhythm oscillations (Monmaur et al., 1997). Using an amperometric approach allowing second-by-second time resolution (Burmeister et al., 2008) for detection of extracellular acetylcholine levels, (Zhang et al., 2010) showed that acetylcholine release occurred over many seconds after the appearance of spontaneous or induced theta oscillations in urethane-anesthetized rats *in vivo*.

Hippocampal theta activity is comprised of two components, which were initially separated pharmacologically. Type II theta is of lower frequency (e.g. 3–6 Hz) and can occur in isolation during alert immobility as well as under urethane anesthesia (Kramis et al., 1975). The pharmacological hallmark of this type is its sensitivity to atropine. In contrast, type I theta is of faster frequency (e. g. 8–12 Hz), prominent during movement, and is resistant to atropine application. However, it is sensitive to ether or urethane anesthesia (Kramis et al., 1975), as well as to application of the N-methyl- D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist ketamine (Soltesz and Deschenes, 1993).

Because of the association of theta oscillations with attention and the planning and execution of movement, theta oscillations have been termed the "on-line" state of the brain (Buzsáki, 2002). In humans, encoding of information is accompanied by increases of theta oscillatory activity in the medial temporal lobe during virtual navigation and working memory tasks (Raghavachari et al., 2001; Ekstrom et al., 2005), as well as during successful memory encoding in a free recall memory task in human epilepsy patients (Lega et al., 2012). In animals, theta has been shown to correlate with the speed of learning of eye-blink conditioning (Berry and Thompson, 1978) and conditioning is more rapid when stimuli are presented during periods of high theta rhythm amplitude (Seager et al., 2002; Griffin et al., 2004). In spatial memory tasks, the magnitude of impairments caused by manipulations of the medial septum correlates with the amount of loss of theta rhythm power (Winson, 1978; Givens and Olton, 1990). The mechanism and functional role of theta rhythm could be associated with changes in cholinergic modulation as described in the next section.

4.2. Mechanisms of cholinergic regulation of theta rhythm properties

Acetylcholine influences theta rhythm dynamics at different locations in the septohippocampal network, including both within the MSDB and within the hippocampus itself. Within the MSDB, cholinergic neurons influence theta rhythm in concert with GABAergic and glutamatergic MSDB neurons. Axon collaterals of cholinergic neurons locally project to and depolarize PV positive GABAergic MSDB neurons via activation of muscarinic AChRs (Wu et al., 2003; Dannenberg et al., 2015). Thus, optogenetic activation of cholinergic MSDB neurons can recruit PV GABAergic MSDB neurons, which show theta rhythmic firing patterns (Varga et al., 2008). The theta-rhythmic activity of GABAergic MSDB neurons can lead to oscillatory local field potential activity in the hippocampal formation via rhythmic inhibition of local inhibitory interneurons in the hippocampus (Freund and Antal, 1988; Serafin et al., 1996; Tóth et al., 1997; Hangya et al., 2009; Wulff et al., 2009). This mechanism involves a prominent role of PV neurons in the MSDB as shown by a more recent study (Amilhon et al., 2015). Consistent with this, optogenetic stimulation of PV GABAergic MSDB neurons at a specific frequency within a range tested from 3–40 Hz precisely controls the frequency of hippocampal oscillations in urethane-anesthetized mice (Dannenberg et al., 2015). Strikingly, driving hippocampal oscillatory activity in this study was most efficient around 10 Hz, similar to the optimal stimulation frequency of 8 Hz when stimulating hippocampal PV interneurons directly in a whole hippocampus preparation (Amilhon et al., 2015).

Activation of cholinergic MSDB neurons promotes the induction of hippocampal theta oscillations of the slower frequency type II theta (Dannenberg et al., 2015). Notably, slower oscillations below the theta range (i.e. between 1 and 3 Hz) are suppressed during cholinergic activation (Vandecasteele et al., 2014; Dannenberg et al., 2015) similar to results obtained in visual cortex during stimulation of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Pinto et al., 2013). The suppression of slow oscillations is accompanied by the suppression of sharp-wave ripple complexes in the hippocampus (Vandecasteele et al., 2014). Thereby activation of cholinergic MSDB neurons supports the temporal organization of neuronal spiking and synaptic inputs. Recently, (Robinson et al., 2016) showed that the optogenetic activation of glutamatergic MSDB neurons increases the rhythmicity of spontaneously generated hippocampal theta oscillations through a mechanism dependent on local septal connections. Since glutamatergic neurons can excite both cholinergic and GABAergic MSDB neurons (Xu et al., 2015), these data corroborate the hypothesis of a medial septal relay with a central role for GABAergic MSDB neurons for rhythmic control over cortex and hippocampus. This hypothesis is consistent with the finding that PV neurons in the MSDB not only pace and amplify (Varga et al., 2008; Huh et al., 2010), but also lead (Hangya et al., 2009) hippocampal theta oscillations. In contrast to the proposed role of cholinergic MSDB neurons in promoting a network state for learning and memory, the glutamatergic MSDB neurons appear to have a special role for the initiation of movement and locomotion-related theta oscillations (Fuhrmann et al., 2015). In summary, acetylcholine is associated with regulating aspects of theta rhythm activity in the hippocampal circuits. The modulatory influences could also include the interaction of theta and gamma oscillations as described next.

4.3. Cholinergic modulation and theta-gamma coupling

Studies have shown a phase relationship between the magnitude of gamma frequency oscillations and the phase of theta rhythm oscillations, often referred to as phase-amplitude coupling. Coupling between gamma rhythm amplitude and theta rhythm phase occurs during exploratory activity as well as REM sleep (Bragin et al., 1995; Belluscio et al., 2012). In studies of human subjects, theta-gamma phase amplitude coupling was larger during periods of working memory maintenance, and when gamma power was concentrated during a narrower range of the theta phase, decisions in memory tasks arrived earlier (Axmacher et al., 2010). Such phase-amplitude theta-gamma coupling was also shown to correlate with performance accuracy in a conditional discrimination task, where rats learned to associate contexts with the location of a subsequent food reward (Tort et al., 2009). Understanding the role of acetylcholine in these behavioral tasks could require understanding the role of acetylcholine in regulating these network oscillatory dynamics.

Interestingly, gamma oscillations in the CA1 area of the rat hippocampus were separable into distinct fast (65–140 Hz) and slow (25–50 Hz) frequency components that were coherent with fast or slow gamma oscillations in the medial entorhinal cortex or CA3, respectively (Colgin et al., 2009). Slow gamma oscillations predominantly occurred at the descending phase, whereas the fast gamma oscillations were coupled to the peak of theta measured at the CA1 pyramidal cell layer. These data are consistent with the theory elaborated below that one phase of theta could involve a stronger influence of medial

entorhinal cortex on the firing of cells in region CA1, whereas at another phase region CA3 could have a stronger influence on firing of cells in region CA1 (Hasselmo et al., 2002). Consistently, high gamma power recorded in the superficial layers of the medial entorhinal cortex of freely moving rats was most reduced at the peak of theta compared to the trough following blockade of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (AChRs) by systemic scopolamine injection (Newman et al., 2013). Taken together, these data show that theta rhythmic activity associated with high cholinergic tone can act as a global synchronizing mechanism between hippocampal regions, but also across different brain regions as described in the next section.

4.4. Theta rhythm regulation of network interactions

Theta rhythmic activity is thought to provide a temporal framework for the timing of spikes inside or across regions (Skaggs and McNaughton, 1996; Lisman and Jensen, 2013). In humans, (Rutishauser et al., 2010) found that the coupling of single unit activity to the underlying theta predicts successful memory formation. In monkeys, between-area phase synchronization and spike theta-phase locking of single units were observed in area V4 of the visual cortex and the prefrontal cortex during a visual working memory task (Liebe et al., 2012). As in the study by Rutishauser et al. (2010), the strength of this intercortical locking was predictive of memory performance. In rodents, theta rhythmic activity was shown to coordinate hippocampal-prefrontal cortex interactions in spatial working memory tasks (Hyman et al., 2005; Jones and Wilson, 2005; Benchenane et al., 2010).

The induction of synaptic plasticity is favored by coordinated action-potential timing across populations of neurons (Markram et al., 1997). Thus, it is not surprising that the temporal framework set by theta oscillations can modify synaptic weights. The same high-frequency stimulus can induce both long-term potentiation (LTP) or long-term depression (LTD), depending on the phase of the theta oscillation at which it is given (Huerta and Lisman, 1995; Hyman et al., 2003). This becomes particularly important in the context of encoding novel information into a network of already stored memories ready for retrieval. Differences in network dynamics at different phases of theta rhythm have been proposed to overcome the problem of interference, when context-relevant information from previously stored memories is recalled at the same time that novel information is being encoded. As a solution for the interference problem, the peak and trough of theta oscillations have been hypothesized to provide separate phases of encoding and retrieval (SPEAR model, (Hasselmo et al., 2002; Hasselmo and Stern, 2014). In this model, the encoding phase involves strong entorhinal input to CA3 and CA1 with weak retrieval activity in CA3. At the same time, LTP is induced on synapses arising from region CA3. In contrast, the model retrieval phase involves weaker entorhinal input but strong retrieval activity in CA3. In this phase, the absence of LTP prevents retrieval from interfering with encoding.

Acetylcholine release in the hippocampus leads to decreased spiking overall, but more theta-phase coupled spiking activity of CA3 principal cells (Dannenberg et al., 2015). The principal neurons in area CA3 of the hippocampus have long been hypothesized to form an auto-associative network important for the retrieval of previously stored memory traces by attractor-network dynamics. Therefore, the decreased spiking activity of CA3 principal neurons during cholinergic activation supports the encoding of novel information by

suppressing retrieval processes. In line with the prediction of the SPEAR model, CA3 pyramidal neurons increased spike phase coupling to the local theta rhythm in anesthetized mice (Dannenberg et al., 2015). In freely behaving rats, intrinsic CA3-CA3 synaptic inputs are attenuated on CA3 theta peaks, favoring extrinsic CA3 inputs, whereas extrinsic perforant path-CA3 synaptic inputs are attenuated on CA3 theta troughs, favoring intrinsic CA3 inputs (Villarreal et al., 2007). The change in relative strength of synaptic input is supported by studies showing phasic changes in strength of evoked synaptic transmission on different pathways at different phases of the theta rhythm oscillation in region CA1 as well (Wyble et al., 2000; Villarreal et al., 2007). Interestingly, the differential theta modulation of CA3 afferent inputs only occurs during the initial exploration of a novel environment, but habituates with familiarity. Furthermore, it is blocked by systemic atropine application at a dose which blocks type II theta rhythm. This increased precision of spike timing upon cholinergic activation might promote plasticity in either the autoassociative CA3 network or in CA3-CA1 projections (Pavrides et al., 1988; Hölscher et al., 1997; Orr et al., 2001; Hyman et al., 2003).

In line with a more prominent role of the atropine-sensitive lower frequency type II theta, environmental novelty produces a sharp reduction in the theta frequency of foraging rats, which slowly disappears with increasing familiarity (Jeewajee et al., 2008b). Moreover, higher regularity of theta oscillations is accompanied by a more temporally regular theta-rhythmic population output of hippocampal pyramidal cells and lead to running with a less variable and slower speed during exploration via a hippocampus-lateral septum pathway (Bender et al., 2015). Taken together, these data and models point to a strong role of theta oscillations for integrating sensory experiences into episodic memory.

Consistent with the theorized role of these different phases in encoding and retrieval, the human EEG shows reset to different phases of theta rhythm during encoding and retrieval (Rizzuto et al., 2006), and spiking in rat hippocampus appears on different phases of hippocampal theta during match and nonmatch stimuli (Manns et al., 2007). In further support of these theories, gamma coherence with entorhinal cortex versus CA3 has been shown at different phases of theta (Colgin et al., 2009) and activation of inhibition at different phases of the theta cycle has differential effects on encoding and retrieval (Siegle and Wilson, 2014). Thus, the cortical oscillatory dynamics influenced by cholinergic modulation may play an important role in regulating the coding of spatial information for subsequent memory guided behavior.

Summary

This review shows that cholinergic modulation may play a role in spatial memory function, potentially through influences on the response of cells coding spatial location such as grid cells and place cells. Cholinergic modulation may be involved in the expansion of spacing between grid cell firing fields that may help trigger remapping of place cells in a novel environment, as supported by reductions of remapping and more consistent firing after lesions of cholinergic innervation. Blockade of cholinergic modulation reduces the spatial periodicity of grid cells, and alterations of grid cell firing properties may be associated with changes in coding of speed by theta rhythmicity in entorhinal neurons. The influence of

sensory cues on grid cell firing may be tuned by the cholinergic modulation of synaptic transmission and disinhibitory circuits within the neocortex. Further experiments will help clarify the important role of acetylcholine in regulating the dynamics of encoding and attention relevant to coding of spatial location in cortical circuits.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institute of Mental Health R01 MH061492, R01 MH060013, NSF EAGER PHY 1444389 and the Office of Naval Research MURI grant N00014-10-1-0936.

References

- Acquas E, Wilson C, Fibiger HC. Conditioned and unconditioned stimuli increase frontal cortical and hippocampal acetylcholine release: effects of novelty, habituation, and fear. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 1996; 16:3089–3096. [PubMed: 8622138]
- Alitto HJ, Dan Y. Cell-type-specific modulation of neocortical activity by basal forebrain input. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2012; 6:79. [PubMed: 23316142]
- Aloisi AM, Casamenti F, Scali C, Pepeu G, Carli G. Effects of novelty, pain and stress on hippocampal extracellular acetylcholine levels in male rats. *Brain research*. 1997; 748:219–226. [PubMed: 9067465]
- Alonso A, Kohler C. A study of the reciprocal connections between the septum and the entorhinal area using anterograde and retrograde axonal transport methods in the rat brain. *J Comp Neurol*. 1984; 225:327–343. [PubMed: 6725648]
- Alvarez P, Squire LR. Memory consolidation and the medial temporal lobe: a simple network model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1994; 91:7041–7045. [PubMed: 8041742]
- Amilhon B, Huh CYL, Manseau F, Ducharme G, Nichol H, Adamantidis A, Williams S. Parvalbumin Interneurons of Hippocampus Tune Population Activity at Theta Frequency. *Neuron*. 2015; 86:1277–1289. [PubMed: 26050044]
- Amit, DJ. Modeling brain function: The world of attractor neural networks. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press; 1988.
- Andermann ML, Kerlin AM, Roumis DK, Glickfeld LL, Reid RC. Functional specialization of mouse higher visual cortical areas. *Neuron*. 2011; 72:1025–1039. [PubMed: 22196337]
- Anderson JA. A simple neural network generating an interactive memory. *Math Biosci*. 1972; 14:197–220.
- Axmacher N, Henseler MM, Jensen O, Weinreich I, Elger CE, Fell J. Cross-frequency coupling supports multi-item working memory in the human hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; 107:3228–3233. [PubMed: 20133762]
- Barry C, Heys JG, Hasselmo ME. Possible role of acetylcholine in regulating spatial novelty effects on theta rhythm and grid cells. *Front Neural Circuits*. 2012a; 6:5. [PubMed: 22363266]
- Barry C, Hayman R, Burgess N, Jeffery KJ. Experience-dependent rescaling of entorhinal grids. *Nat Neurosci*. 2007; 10:682–684. [PubMed: 17486102]
- Barry C, Ginzberg LL, O'Keefe J, Burgess N. Grid cell firing patterns signal environmental novelty by expansion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012b; 109:17687–17692. [PubMed: 23045662]
- Baxter MG, Bucci DJ, Gorman LK, Wiley RG, Gallagher M. Selective immunotoxic lesions of basal forebrain cholinergic cells: effects on learning and memory in rats. *Behav Neurosci*. 1995; 109:714–722. [PubMed: 7576215]
- Beed P, Gundlfinger A, Schneiderbauer S, Song J, Bohm C, Burgalossi A, Brecht M, Vida I, Schmitz D. Inhibitory Gradient along the Dorsoventral Axis in the Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Neuron*. 2013; 79:1197–1207. [PubMed: 24050405]
- Behrends JC, ten Bruggencate G. Cholinergic modulation of synaptic inhibition in the guinea pig hippocampus in vitro: excitation of GABAergic interneurons and inhibition of GABA-release. *J Neurophysiol*. 1993; 69:626–629. [PubMed: 8459290]

- Bell LA, Bell KA, McQuiston AR. Acetylcholine release in mouse hippocampal CA1 preferentially activates inhibitory-selective interneurons via $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nicotinic receptor activation. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2015a; 9:115. [PubMed: 25918499]
- Bell LA, Bell KA, McQuiston AR. Activation of muscarinic receptors by ACh release in hippocampal CA1 depolarizes VIP but has varying effects on parvalbumin-expressing basket cells. *J Physiol.* 2015b; 593:197–215. [PubMed: 25556796]
- Belluscio MA, Mizuseki K, Schmidt R, Kempter R, Buzsáki G. Cross-frequency phase-phase coupling between theta and gamma oscillations in the hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 2012; 32:423–435. [PubMed: 22238079]
- Benchenane K, Peyrache A, Khamassi M, Tierney PL, Gioanni Y, Battaglia FP, Wiener SI. Coherent theta oscillations and reorganization of spike timing in the hippocampal- prefrontal network upon learning. *Neuron.* 2010; 66:921–936. [PubMed: 20620877]
- Bender F, Gorbati M, Cadavieco MC, Denisova N, Gao X, Holman C, Korotkova T, Ponomarenko A. Theta oscillations regulate the speed of locomotion via a hippocampus to lateral septum pathway. *Nature communications.* 2015; 6:8521.
- Berger-Sweeney J, Stearns NA, Murg SL, Floerke-Nashner LR, Lappi DA, Baxter MG. Selective immunolesions of cholinergic neurons in mice: effects on neuroanatomy, neurochemistry, and behavior. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience.* 2001; 21:8164–8173. [PubMed: 11588189]
- Berry SD, Thompson RF. Prediction of learning rate from the hippocampal electroencephalogram. *Science.* 1978; 200:1298–1300. [PubMed: 663612]
- Bianchi L, Ballini C, Colivicchi MA, Della Corte L, Giovannini MG, Pepeu G. Investigation on acetylcholine, aspartate, glutamate and GABA extracellular levels from ventral hippocampus during repeated exploratory activity in the rat. *Neurochemical Research.* 2003; 28:565–573. [PubMed: 12675146]
- Bland BH, Colom LV. Extrinsic and intrinsic properties underlying oscillation and synchrony in limbic cortex. *Prog Neurobiol.* 1993; 41:157–208. [PubMed: 8332751]
- Bragin A, Jandó G, Nádasdy Z, Hetke J, Wise K, Buzsáki G. Gamma (40–100 Hz) oscillation in the hippocampus of the behaving rat. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 1995; 15:47–60. [PubMed: 7823151]
- Brandon MP, Bogaard AR, Libby CP, Connerney MA, Gupta K, Hasselmo ME. Reduction of theta rhythm dissociates grid cell spatial periodicity from directional tuning. *Science.* 2011; 332:595–599. [PubMed: 21527714]
- Brazhnik E, Borgnis R, Muller RU, Fox SE. The effects on place cells of local scopolamine dialysis are mimicked by a mixture of two specific muscarinic antagonists. *J Neurosci.* 2004; 24:9313–9323. [PubMed: 15496667]
- Brazhnik ES, Muller RU, Fox SE. Muscarinic blockade slows and degrades the location-specific firing of hippocampal pyramidal cells. *J Neurosci.* 2003; 23:611–621. [PubMed: 12533621]
- Burak Y, Fiete IR. Accurate path integration in continuous attractor network models of grid cells. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2009; 5:e1000291. [PubMed: 19229307]
- Burgess N, Barry C, O'Keefe J. An oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing. *Hippocampus.* 2007; 17:801–812. [PubMed: 17598147]
- Burmeister JJ, Pomerleau F, Huettl P, Gash CR, Werner CE, Bruno JP, Gerhardt GA. Ceramic-based multisite microelectrode arrays for simultaneous measures of choline and acetylcholine in CNS. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics.* 2008; 23:1382–1389. [PubMed: 18243683]
- Buzsáki G. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. *Neuron.* 2002; 33:325–340. [PubMed: 11832222]
- Cai L, Gibbs RB, Johnson DA. Recognition of novel objects and their location in rats with selective cholinergic lesion of the medial septum. *Neuroscience letters.* 2012; 506:261–265. [PubMed: 22119001]
- Carey RG, Rieck RW. Topographic projections to the visual cortex from the basal forebrain in the rat. *Brain Res.* 1987; 424:205–215. [PubMed: 2823995]
- Cole AE, Nicoll RA. Characterization of a slow cholinergic postsynaptic potential recorded in vitro from rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. *J Physiol (London).* 1984; 352:173–188. [PubMed: 6747887]

- Colgin LL, Denninger T, Fyhn M, Hafting T, Bonnevie T, Jensen O, Moser MB, Moser EI. Frequency of gamma oscillations routes flow of information in the hippocampus. *Nature*. 2009; 462:353–357. [PubMed: 19924214]
- Craig M, Dewar M, Della Sala S, Wolbers T. Rest boosts the long-term retention of spatial associative and temporal order information. *Hippocampus*. 2015; 25:1017–1027. [PubMed: 25620400]
- Craig M, Dewar M, Harris MA, Della Sala S, Wolbers T. Wakeful rest promotes the integration of spatial memories into accurate cognitive maps. *Hippocampus*. 2016; 26:185–193. [PubMed: 26235141]
- Dannenberg H, Pabst M, Braganza O, Schoch S, Niediek J, Bayraktar M, Mormann F, Beck H. Synergy of direct and indirect cholinergic septo-hippocampal pathways coordinates firing in hippocampal networks. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2015; 35:8394–8410. [PubMed: 26041909]
- Dasari S, Gullledge AT. M1 and M4 receptors modulate hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. 2011; 105:779–792. [PubMed: 21160001]
- Davidson TJ, Anderson EB, Lerner TN, Ramakrishnan C, Mattis J, Grosenick LM, Kauvar IV, Frank LM, Deisseroth K. Subsecond cholinergic dynamics underlying hippocampal network state in freely-behaving rats. *Soc Neurosci Abstr*. 2014; 40:566.518.
- De Almeida L, Idiart M, Villavicencio A, Lisman J. Alternating predictive and short-term memory modes of entorhinal grid cells. *Hippocampus*. 2012; 22:1647–1651. [PubMed: 22549964]
- de Sevilla DF, Cabezas C, de Prada AN, Sanchez-Jimenez A, Buno W. Selective muscarinic regulation of functional glutamatergic Schaffer collateral synapses in rat CA1 pyramidal neurons. *J Physiol*. 2002; 545:51–63. [PubMed: 12433949]
- Deshmukh SS, Knierim JJ. Representation of non-spatial and spatial information in the lateral entorhinal cortex. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2011; 5:69. [PubMed: 22065409]
- Deshmukh SS, Knierim JJ. Influence of local objects on hippocampal representations: Landmark vectors and memory. *Hippocampus*. 2013
- Dewar M, Garcia YF, Cowan N, Della Sala S. Delaying interference enhances memory consolidation in amnesic patients. *Neuropsychology*. 2009; 23:627–634. [PubMed: 19702416]
- Dewar M, Alber J, Cowan N, Della Sala S. Boosting long-term memory via wakeful rest: intentional rehearsal is not necessary, consolidation is sufficient. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9:e109542. [PubMed: 25333957]
- Disney AA, Aoki C, Hawken MJ. Gain modulation by nicotine in macaque v1. *Neuron*. 2007; 56:701–713. [PubMed: 18031686]
- Duffy CJ, Wurtz RH. Medial superior temporal area neurons respond to speed patterns in optic flow. *J Neurosci*. 1997; 17:2839–2851. [PubMed: 9092605]
- Easton A, Fitchett AE, Eacott MJ, Baxter MG. Medial septal cholinergic neurons are necessary for context-place memory but not episodic-like memory. *Hippocampus*. 2011
- Eichenbaum H, Lipton PA. Towards a functional organization of the medial temporal lobe memory system: role of the parahippocampal and medial entorhinal cortical areas. *Hippocampus*. 2008; 18:1314–1324. [PubMed: 19021265]
- Ekstrom AD, Caplan JB, Ho E, Shattuck K, Fried I, Kahana MJ. Human hippocampal theta activity during virtual navigation. *Hippocampus*. 2005; 15:881–889. [PubMed: 16114040]
- Fransen E, Alonso AA, Hasselmo ME. Simulations of the role of the muscarinic-activated calcium-sensitive nonspecific cation current INCM in entorhinal neuronal activity during delayed matching tasks. *J Neurosci*. 2002; 22:1081–1097. [PubMed: 11826137]
- Fransén E, Tahvildari B, Egorov AV, Hasselmo ME, Alonso AA. Mechanism of graded persistent cellular activity of entorhinal cortex layer v neurons. *Neuron*. 2006; 49:735–746. [PubMed: 16504948]
- Frazier CJ, Buhler AV, Weiner JL, Dunwiddie TV. Synaptic potentials mediated via alpha-bungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in rat hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci*. 1998; 18:8228–8235. [PubMed: 9763468]
- Freund TF, Antal M. GABA-containing neurons in the septum control inhibitory interneurons in the hippocampus. *Nature*. 1988; 336:170–173. [PubMed: 3185735]

- Fu Y, Kaneko M, Tang Y, Alvarez-Buylla A, Stryker MP. A cortical disinhibitory circuit for enhancing adult plasticity. *eLife*. 2015; 4:e05558. [PubMed: 25626167]
- Fu Y, Tucciarone JM, Espinosa JS, Sheng N, Darcy DP, Nicoll RA, Huang ZJ, Stryker MP. A cortical circuit for gain control by behavioral state. *Cell*. 2014; 156:1139–1152. [PubMed: 24630718]
- Fuhrmann F, Justus D, Sosulina L, Kaneko H, Beutel T, Friedrichs D, Schoch S, Schwarz MK, Fuhrmann M, Remy S. Locomotion, Theta Oscillations, and the Speed-Related Firing of Hippocampal Neurons Are Controlled by a Medial Septal Glutamatergic Circuit. *Neuron*. 2015; 86:1253–1264. [PubMed: 25982367]
- Fyhn M, Molden S, Witter MP, Moser EI, Moser MB. Spatial representation in the entorhinal cortex. *Science*. 2004; 305:1258–1264. [PubMed: 15333832]
- Gais S, Born J. Low acetylcholine during slow-wave sleep is critical for declarative memory consolidation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:2140–2144. [PubMed: 14766981]
- Garrett ME, Nauhaus I, Marshel JH, Callaway EM. Topography and areal organization of mouse visual cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2014; 34:12587–12600. [PubMed: 25209296]
- Gaykema RP, Luiten PG, Nyakas C, Traber J. Cortical projection patterns of the medial septum-diagonal band complex. *J Comp Neurol*. 1990; 293:103–124. [PubMed: 2312788]
- Gil Z, Connors BW, Amitai Y. Differential regulation of neocortical synapses by neuromodulators and activity. *Neuron*. 1997; 19:679–686. [PubMed: 9331357]
- Giovannini MG, Rakovska A, Benton RS, Pazzagli M, Bianchi L, Pepeu G. Effects of novelty and habituation on acetylcholine, GABA, and glutamate release from the frontal cortex and hippocampus of freely moving rats. *Neuroscience*. 2001; 106:43–53. [PubMed: 11564415]
- Givens BS, Olton DS. Cholinergic and GABAergic modulation of the medial septal area: Effect on working memory. *Behav Neurosci*. 1990; 104:849–855. [PubMed: 2178347]
- Glickfeld LL, Reid RC, Andermann ML. A mouse model of higher visual cortical function. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2014; 24:28–33. [PubMed: 24492075]
- Goard M, Dan Y. Basal forebrain activation enhances cortical coding of natural scenes. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2009; 12:1444–1449. [PubMed: 19801988]
- Green JD, Arduini AA. Hippocampal electrical activity in arousal. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 1954; 17:533–557. [PubMed: 13212425]
- Griffin AL, Asaka Y, Darling RD, Berry SD. Theta-contingent trial presentation accelerates learning rate and enhances hippocampal plasticity during trace eyeblink conditioning. *Behav Neurosci*. 2004; 118:403–411. [PubMed: 15113267]
- Gritton HJ, Howe WM, Mallory CS, Hetrick VL, Berke JD, Sarter M. Cortical cholinergic signaling controls the detection of cues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2016
- Groleau M, Kang JI, Huppe-Gourgues F, Vaucher E. Distribution and effects of the muscarinic receptor subtypes in the primary visual cortex. *Front Synaptic Neurosci*. 2015; 7:10. [PubMed: 26150786]
- Groleau M, Nguyen HN, Vanni MP, Huppe-Gourgues F, Casanova C, Vaucher E. Impaired functional organization in the visual cortex of muscarinic receptor knock-out mice. *Neuroimage*. 2014; 98:233–242. [PubMed: 24837499]
- Hafting T, Fyhn M, Molden S, Moser MB, Moser EI. Microstructure of a spatial map in the entorhinal cortex. *Nature*. 2005; 436:801–806. [PubMed: 15965463]
- Hafting T, Fyhn M, Bonnevie T, Moser MB, Moser EI. Hippocampus-independent phase precession in entorhinal grid cells. *Nature*. 2008; 453:1248–1252. [PubMed: 18480753]
- Hamam BN, Sinai M, Poirier G, Chapman CA. Cholinergic suppression of excitatory synaptic responses in layer II of the medial entorhinal cortex. *Hippocampus*. 2007; 17:103–113. [PubMed: 17146776]
- Hangya B, Borhegyi Z, Szilágyi N, Freund TF, Varga V. GABAergic neurons of the medial septum lead the hippocampal network during theta activity. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009; 29:8094–8102. [PubMed: 19553449]
- Hargreaves EL, Rao G, Lee I, Knierim JJ. Major dissociation between medial and lateral entorhinal input to dorsal hippocampus. *Science*. 2005; 308:1792–1794. [PubMed: 15961670]

- Hasselmo ME. Neuromodulation: acetylcholine and memory consolidation. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 1999; 3:351–359. [PubMed: 10461198]
- Hasselmo ME. The role of acetylcholine in learning and memory. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2006; 16:710–715. [PubMed: 17011181]
- Hasselmo ME. Grid cell mechanisms and function: contributions of entorhinal persistent spiking and phase resetting. *Hippocampus*. 2008; 18:1213–1229. [PubMed: 19021258]
- Hasselmo ME. Neuronal rebound spiking, resonance frequency and theta cycle skipping may contribute to grid cell firing in medial entorhinal cortex. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2014; 369:20120523. [PubMed: 24366135]
- Hasselmo ME, Schnell E. Laminar selectivity of the cholinergic suppression of synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal region CA1: computational modeling and brain slice physiology. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 1994a; 14:3898–3914. [PubMed: 8207494]
- Hasselmo ME, Schnell E. Laminar selectivity of the cholinergic suppression of synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal region CA1: computational modeling and brain slice physiology. *J Neurosci*. 1994b; 14:3898–3914. [PubMed: 8207494]
- Hasselmo ME, Cekic M. Suppression of synaptic transmission may allow combination of associative feedback and self-organizing feedforward connections in the neocortex. *Behav Brain Res*. 1996; 79:153–161. [PubMed: 8883827]
- Hasselmo ME, McGaughy J. High acetylcholine levels set circuit dynamics for attention and encoding and low acetylcholine levels set dynamics for consolidation. *Prog Brain Res*. 2004; 145:207–231. [PubMed: 14650918]
- Hasselmo ME, Brandon MP. A model combining oscillations and attractor dynamics for generation of grid cell firing. *Front Neural Circuits*. 2012; 6:30. [PubMed: 22654735]
- Hasselmo ME, Shay CF. Grid cell firing patterns may arise from feedback interaction between intrinsic rebound spiking and transverse traveling waves with multiple heading angles. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2014; 8:201. [PubMed: 25400555]
- Hasselmo ME, Stern CE. Theta rhythm and the encoding and retrieval of space and time. *Neuroimage* 85 Pt. 2014; 2:656–666.
- Hasselmo ME, Anderson BP, Bower JM. Cholinergic modulation of cortical associative memory function. *J Neurophysiol*. 1992; 67:1230–1246. [PubMed: 1597709]
- Hasselmo ME, Schnell E, Barkai E. Dynamics of learning and recall at excitatory recurrent synapses and cholinergic modulation in rat hippocampal region CA3. *J Neurosci*. 1995; 15:5249–5262. [PubMed: 7623149]
- Hasselmo ME, Wyble BP, Wallenstein GV. Encoding and retrieval of episodic memories: role of cholinergic and GABAergic modulation in the hippocampus. *Hippocampus*. 1996; 6:693–708. [PubMed: 9034856]
- Hasselmo ME, Bodelon C, Wyble BP. A proposed function for hippocampal theta rhythm: separate phases of encoding and retrieval enhance reversal of prior learning. *Neural Comput*. 2002; 14:793–817. [PubMed: 11936962]
- Herrero JL, Roberts MJ, Delicato LS, Gieselmann MA, Dayan P, Thiele A. Acetylcholine contributes through muscarinic receptors to attentional modulation in V1. *Nature*. 2008; 454:1110–1114. [PubMed: 18633352]
- Heys JG, Hasselmo ME. Neuromodulation of I(h) in layer II medial entorhinal cortex stellate cells: a voltage-clamp study. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32:9066–9072. [PubMed: 22745506]
- Heys JG, Giocomo LM, Hasselmo ME. Cholinergic modulation of the resonance properties of stellate cells in layer II of medial entorhinal cortex. *J Neurophysiol*. 2010; 104:258–270. [PubMed: 20445030]
- Hinman JR, Penley SC, Long LL, Escabí MA, Chrobak JJ. Septotemporal variation in dynamics of theta: speed and habituation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2011; 105:2675–2686. [PubMed: 21411562]
- Hinman JR, Brandon MP, Climer JR, Chapman GW, Hasselmo ME. Multiple Running Speed Signals in Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Neuron*. 2016; 91:666–679. [PubMed: 27427460]

- Hölscher C, Anwyl R, Rowan MJ. Stimulation on the positive phase of hippocampal theta rhythm induces long-term potentiation that can be depotentiated by stimulation on the negative phase in area CA1 *in vivo*. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 1997; 17:6470–6477. [PubMed: 9236254]
- Hopfield JJ. Neural networks and physical systems with emergent selective computational abilities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1982; 79:2554–2559. [PubMed: 6953413]
- Hounsgaard J. Presynaptic inhibitory action of acetylcholine in area CA1 of the hippocampus. *Exp Neurol*. 1978; 62:787–797. [PubMed: 750222]
- Hsieh CY, Cruikshank SJ, Metherate R. Differential modulation of auditory thalamocortical and intracortical synaptic transmission by cholinergic agonist. *Brain Res*. 2000; 880:51–64. [PubMed: 11032989]
- Huerta PT, Lisman JE. Bidirectional synaptic plasticity induced by a single burst during cholinergic theta oscillation in CA1 *in vitro*. *Neuron*. 1995; 15:1053–1063. [PubMed: 7576649]
- Huh CYL, Goutagny R, Williams S. Glutamatergic neurons of the mouse medial septum and diagonal band of Broca synaptically drive hippocampal pyramidal cells: relevance for hippocampal theta rhythm. *The Journal of neuroscience*. 2010; 30:15951–15961. [PubMed: 21106833]
- Hyman JM, Zilli EA, Paley AM, Hasselmo ME. Medial prefrontal cortex cells show dynamic modulation with the hippocampal theta rhythm dependent on behavior. *Hippocampus*. 2005; 15:739–749. [PubMed: 16015622]
- Hyman JM, Wyble BP, Goyal V, Rossi CA, Hasselmo ME. Stimulation in hippocampal region CA1 in behaving rats yields long-term potentiation when delivered to the peak of theta and long-term depression when delivered to the trough. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2003; 23:11725–11731. [PubMed: 14684874]
- Ikonen S, McMahan R, Gallagher M, Eichenbaum H, Tanila H. Cholinergic system regulation of spatial representation by the hippocampus. *Hippocampus*. 2002; 12:386–397. [PubMed: 12099489]
- Jeewajee A, Barry C, O'Keefe J, Burgess N. Grid cells and theta as oscillatory interference: electrophysiological data from freely moving rats. *Hippocampus*. 2008a; 18:1175–1185. [PubMed: 19021251]
- Jeewajee A, Lever C, Burton S, O'Keefe J, Burgess N. Environmental novelty is signaled by reduction of the hippocampal theta frequency. *Hippocampus*. 2008b; 18:340–348. [PubMed: 18081172]
- Jochems A, Yoshida M. Persistent firing supported by an intrinsic cellular mechanism in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2013; 38:2250–2259. [PubMed: 23651161]
- Jochems A, Rebores A, Hasselmo ME, Yoshida M. Cholinergic receptor activation supports persistent firing in layer III neurons in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Behav Brain Res*. 2013; 254:108–115. [PubMed: 23810207]
- Johnson DA, Zamboni NJ, Gibbs RB. Selective lesion of cholinergic neurons in the medial septum by 192 IgG-saporin impairs learning in a delayed matching to position T-maze paradigm. *Brain Res*. 2002; 943:132–141. [PubMed: 12088847]
- Jones MW, Wilson MA. Theta rhythms coordinate hippocampal-prefrontal interactions in a spatial memory task. *PLoS Biol*. 2005; 3:e402. [PubMed: 16279838]
- Jouvet M. Biogenic amines and the states of sleep. *Science (New York, NY)*. 1969; 163:32–41.
- Juavinett AL, Callaway EM. Pattern and Component Motion Responses in Mouse Visual Cortical Areas. *Curr Biol*. 2015; 25:1759–1764. [PubMed: 26073133]
- Kang JI, Vaucher E. Cholinergic pairing with visual activation results in long-term enhancement of visual evoked potentials. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e5995. [PubMed: 19543405]
- Kang JI, Huppe-Gourgues F, Vaucher E. Pharmacological Mechanisms of Cortical Enhancement Induced by the Repetitive Pairing of Visual/Cholinergic Stimulation. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10:e0141663. [PubMed: 26513575]
- Kang JI, Groleau M, Dotigny F, Giguere H, Vaucher E. Visual training paired with electrical stimulation of the basal forebrain improves orientation-selective visual acuity in the rat. *Brain structure & function*. 2014; 219:1493–1507. [PubMed: 23700106]
- Kawaguchi Y. Selective cholinergic modulation of cortical GABAergic cell subtypes. *J Neurophysiol*. 1997; 78:1743–1747. [PubMed: 9310461]

- Kim JH, Jung AH, Jeong D, Choi I, Kim K, Shin S, Kim SJ, Lee SH. Selectivity of Neuromodulatory Projections from the Basal Forebrain and Locus Ceruleus to Primary Sensory Cortices. *J Neurosci*. 2016; 36:5314–5327. [PubMed: 27170128]
- Kimura F. Cholinergic modulation of cortical function: a hypothetical role in shifting the dynamics in cortical network. *Neurosci Res*. 2000; 38:19–26. [PubMed: 10997574]
- Kimura F, Baughman RW. Distinct muscarinic receptor subtypes suppress excitatory and inhibitory synaptic responses in cortical neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. 1997; 77:709–716. [PubMed: 9065843]
- Klink R, Alonso A. Muscarinic modulation of the oscillatory and repetitive firing properties of entorhinal cortex layer II neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. 1997a; 77:1813–1828. [PubMed: 9114238]
- Klink R, Alonso A. Ionic mechanisms of muscarinic depolarization in entorhinal cortex layer II neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. 1997b; 77:1829–1843. [PubMed: 9114239]
- Knauer B, Jochems A, Valero-Aracama MJ, Yoshida M. Long-lasting intrinsic persistent firing in rat CA1 pyramidal cells: A possible mechanism for active maintenance of memory. *Hippocampus*. 2013
- Knierim JJ, Lee I, Hargreaves EL. Hippocampal place cells: parallel input streams, subregional processing, and implications for episodic memory. *Hippocampus*. 2006; 16:755–764. [PubMed: 16883558]
- Koenig J, Linder AN, Leutgeb JK, Leutgeb S. The spatial periodicity of grid cells is not sustained during reduced theta oscillations. *Science*. 2011; 332:592–595. [PubMed: 21527713]
- Kohonen T. Correlation matrix memories. *IEEE Trans Computers*. 1972; C-21:353–359.
- Kohonen, T. Self-organization and Associative Memory. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1984.
- Kramis R, Vanderwolf CH, Bland BH. Two types of hippocampal rhythmical slow activity in both the rabbit and the rat: relations to behavior and effects of atropine, diethyl ether, urethane, and pentobarbital. *Experimental Neurology*. 1975; 49:58–85. [PubMed: 1183532]
- Kraus BJ, Robinson RJ 2nd, White JA, Eichenbaum H, Hasselmo ME. Hippocampal "Time Cells": Time versus Path Integration. *Neuron*. 2013; 78:1090–1101. [PubMed: 23707613]
- Kraus BJ, Brandon MP, Robinson RJ 2nd, Connerney MA, Hasselmo ME, Eichenbaum H. During Running in Place, Grid Cells Integrate Elapsed Time and Distance Run. *Neuron*. 2015; 88:578–589. [PubMed: 26539893]
- Kremen T, Hasselmo ME. Cholinergic suppression of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in hippocampal region CA3 exhibits laminar selectivity: Implication for hippocampal network dynamics. *Neuroscience*. 2007; 149:760–767. [PubMed: 17964734]
- Kropff E, Carmichael JE, Moser MB, Moser EI. Speed cells in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Nature*. 2015; 523:419–424. [PubMed: 26176924]
- Kruglikov I, Rudy B. Perisomatic GABA release and thalamocortical integration onto neocortical excitatory cells are regulated by neuromodulators. *Neuron*. 2008; 58:911–924. [PubMed: 18579081]
- Laplane F, Morin Y, Quirion R, Vaucher E. Acetylcholine release is elicited in the visual cortex, but not in the prefrontal cortex, by patterned visual stimulation: a dual in vivo microdialysis study with functional correlates in the rat brain. *Neuroscience*. 2005; 132:501–510. [PubMed: 15802200]
- Lawrence JJ, Statland JM, Grinspan ZM, McBain CJ. Cell type-specific dependence of muscarinic signalling in mouse hippocampal stratum oriens interneurons. *J Physiol*. 2006a; 570:595–610. [PubMed: 16322052]
- Lawrence JJ, Grinspan ZM, Statland JM, McBain CJ. Muscarinic receptor activation tunes mouse stratum oriens interneurons to amplify spike reliability. *J Physiol*. 2006b; 571:555–562. [PubMed: 16439425]
- Lee S, Hjerling-Leffler J, Zagha E, Fishell G, Rudy B. The largest group of superficial neocortical GABAergic interneurons expresses ionotropic serotonin receptors. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30:16796–16808. [PubMed: 21159951]
- Lee S, Kruglikov I, Huang ZJ, Fishell G, Rudy B. A disinhibitory circuit mediates motor integration in the somatosensory cortex. *Nat Neurosci*. 2013; 16:1662–1670. [PubMed: 24097044]
- Lega BC, Jacobs J, Kahana M. Human hippocampal theta oscillations and the formation of episodic memories. *Hippocampus*. 2012; 22:748–761. [PubMed: 21538660]

- Letzkus JJ, Wolff SB, Meyer EM, Tovote P, Courtin J, Herry C, Luthi A. A disinhibitory microcircuit for associative fear learning in the auditory cortex. *Nature*. 2011; 480:331–335. [PubMed: 22158104]
- Levey AI, Edmunds SM, Koliatsos V, Wiley RG, Heilman CJ. Expression of m1-m4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor proteins in rat hippocampus and regulation by cholinergic innervation. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 1995; 15:4077–4092. [PubMed: 7751967]
- Liebe S, Hoerzer GM, Logothetis NK, Rainer G. Theta coupling between V4 and prefrontal cortex predicts visual short-term memory performance. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2012; 15:456–462. S451–S452. [PubMed: 22286175]
- Lisman JE, Jensen O. The theta-gamma neural code. *Neuron*. 2013; 77:1002–1016. [PubMed: 23522038]
- Madison DV, Nicoll RA. Control of the repetitive discharge of rat CA 1 pyramidal neurones in vitro. *J Physiol*. 1984; 354:319–331. [PubMed: 6434729]
- Manns JR, Zilli EA, Ong KC, Hasselmo ME, Eichenbaum H. Hippocampal CA1 spiking during encoding and retrieval: relation to theta phase. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2007; 87:9–20. [PubMed: 16839788]
- Markram H, Lübke J, Frotscher M, Sakmann B. Regulation of synaptic efficacy by coincidence of postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. *Science (New York, NY)*. 1997; 275:213–215.
- Marrosu F, Portas C, Mascia MS, Casu MA, Fà M, Giagheddu M, Imperato A, Gessa GL. Microdialysis measurement of cortical and hippocampal acetylcholine release during sleep-wake cycle in freely moving cats. *Brain Research*. 1995; 671:329–332. [PubMed: 7743225]
- Marshall JH, Garrett ME, Nauhaus I, Callaway EM. Functional specialization of seven mouse visual cortical areas. *Neuron*. 2011; 72:1040–1054. [PubMed: 22196338]
- Mayo W, Dubois B, Ploska A, Javoy-Agid F, Agid Y, Le Moal M, Simon H. Cortical cholinergic projections from the basal forebrain of the rat, with special reference to the prefrontal cortex innervation. *Neurosci Lett*. 1984; 47:149–154. [PubMed: 6462538]
- McClelland JL, McNaughton BL, O'Reilly RC. Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol Rev*. 1995; 102:419–457. [PubMed: 7624455]
- McGaughy J, Sarter M. Sustained attention performance in rats with intracortical infusions of 192 IgG-saporin-induced cortical cholinergic deafferentation: effects of physostigmine and FG 7142. *Behav Neurosci*. 1998; 112:1519–1525. [PubMed: 9926833]
- McGaughy J, Kaiser T, Sarter M. Behavioral vigilance following infusions of 192 IgG-saporin into the basal forebrain: selectivity of the behavioral impairment and relation to cortical AChE-positive fiber density. *Behav Neurosci*. 1996; 110:247–265. [PubMed: 8731052]
- McQuiston AR. Acetylcholine release and inhibitory interneuron activity in hippocampal CA1. *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience*. 2014;6. [PubMed: 24744726]
- McQuiston AR, Madison DV. Muscarinic receptor activity has multiple effects on the resting membrane potentials of CA1 hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci*. 1999a; 19:5693–5702. [PubMed: 10407010]
- McQuiston AR, Madison DV. Muscarinic receptor activity induces an afterdepolarization in a subpopulation of hippocampal CA1 interneurons. *J Neurosci*. 1999b; 19:5703–5710. [PubMed: 10407011]
- McQuiston AR, Madison DV. Nicotinic receptor activation excites distinct subtypes of interneurons in the rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci*. 1999c; 19:2887–2896. [PubMed: 10191306]
- Milford M, Schulz R. Principles of goal-directed spatial robot navigation in biomimetic models. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2014:369.
- Milford MJ, Wiles J, Wyeth GF. Solving navigational uncertainty using grid cells on robots. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2010; 6:e1000995. [PubMed: 21085643]
- Monmaur P, Collet A, Puma C, Frankel-Kohn L, Sharif A. Relations between acetylcholine release and electrophysiological characteristics of theta rhythm: a microdialysis study in the urethane-anesthetized rat hippocampus. *Brain Res Bull*. 1997; 42:141–146. [PubMed: 8971419]

- Moreau P-H, Cosquer B, Jeltsch H, Cassel J-C, Mathis C. Neuroanatomical and behavioral effects of a novel version of the cholinergic immunotoxin mu p75-saporin in mice. *Hippocampus*. 2008; 18:610–622. [PubMed: 18306300]
- Morris RG, Garrud P, Rawlins JN, O'Keefe J. Place navigation impaired in rats with hippocampal lesions. *Nature*. 1982; 297:681–683. [PubMed: 7088155]
- Muller RU, Kubie JL. The effects of changes in the environment on the spatial firing of hippocampal complex-spike cells. *J Neurosci*. 1987; 7:1951–1968. [PubMed: 3612226]
- Murphy PC, Sillito AM. Cholinergic enhancement of direction selectivity in the visual cortex of the cat. *Neuroscience*. 1991; 40:13–20. [PubMed: 2052147]
- Navratilova Z, Giocomo LM, Fellous JM, Hasselmo ME, McNaughton BL. Phase precession and variable spatial scaling in a periodic attractor map model of medial entorhinal grid cells with realistic after-spike dynamics. *Hippocampus*. 2012; 22:772–789. [PubMed: 21484936]
- Newman EL, Climer JR, Hasselmo ME. Grid cell spatial tuning reduced following systemic muscarinic receptor blockade. *Hippocampus*. 2014; 24:643–655. [PubMed: 24493379]
- Newman EL, Gillet SN, Climer JR, Hasselmo ME. Cholinergic blockade reduces theta-gamma phase amplitude coupling and speed modulation of theta frequency consistent with behavioral effects on encoding. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2013; 33:19635–19646. [PubMed: 24336727]
- Niell CM, Stryker MP. Modulation of visual responses by behavioral state in mouse visual cortex. *Neuron*. 2010; 65:472–479. [PubMed: 20188652]
- O'Keefe J. Place units in the hippocampus of the freely moving rat. *Exp Neurol*. 1976; 51:78–109. [PubMed: 1261644]
- O'Keefe J, Burgess N. Geometric determinants of the place fields of hippocampal neurons. *Nature*. 1996; 381:425–428. [PubMed: 8632799]
- O'Keefe J, Burgess N. Dual phase and rate coding in hippocampal place cells: theoretical significance and relationship to entorhinal grid cells. *Hippocampus*. 2005; 15:853–866. [PubMed: 16145693]
- Oh SW, et al. A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature*. 2014; 508:207–214. [PubMed: 24695228]
- Orr G, Rao G, Houston FP, McNaughton BL, Barnes CA. Hippocampal synaptic plasticity is modulated by theta rhythm in the fascia dentata of adult and aged freely behaving rats. *Hippocampus*. 2001; 11:647–654. [PubMed: 11811658]
- Pang KC, Nocera R. Interactions between 192-IgG saporin and intraseptal cholinergic and GABAergic drugs: role of cholinergic medial septal neurons in spatial working memory. *Behav Neurosci*. 1999; 113:265–275. [PubMed: 10357451]
- Pang KC, Nocera R, Secor AJ, Yoder RM. GABAergic septohippocampal neurons are not necessary for spatial memory. *Hippocampus*. 2001; 11:814–827. [PubMed: 11811676]
- Pang KC, Jiao X, Sinha S, Beck KD, Servatius RJ. Damage of GABAergic neurons in the medial septum impairs spatial working memory and extinction of active avoidance: effects on proactive interference. *Hippocampus*. 2011; 21:835–846. [PubMed: 20865731]
- Parent MB, Baxter MG. Septohippocampal acetylcholine: involved in but not necessary for learning and memory? *Learn Mem*. 2004; 11:9–20. [PubMed: 14747512]
- Parikh V, Kozak R, Martinez V, Sarter M. Prefrontal acetylcholine release controls cue detection on multiple timescales. *Neuron*. 2007; 56:141–154. [PubMed: 17920021]
- Pastalkova E, Itskov V, Amarasingham A, Buzsaki G. Internally generated cell assembly sequences in the rat hippocampus. *Science*. 2008; 321:1322–1327. [PubMed: 18772431]
- Patil MM, Hasselmo ME. Modulation of inhibitory synaptic potentials in the piriform cortex. *J Neurophysiol*. 1999; 81:2103–2118. [PubMed: 10322052]
- Pavlidis C, Greenstein YJ, Grudman M, Winson J. Long-term potentiation in the dentate gyrus is induced preferentially on the positive phase of theta-rhythm. *Brain Research*. 1988; 439:383–387. [PubMed: 3359196]
- Pfeffer CK, Xue M, He M, Huang ZJ, Scanziani M. Inhibition of inhibition in visual cortex: the logic of connections between molecularly distinct interneurons. *Nat Neurosci*. 2013; 16:1068–1076. [PubMed: 23817549]

- Pi HJ, Hangya B, Kvitsiani D, Sanders JI, Huang ZJ, Kepecs A. Cortical interneurons that specialize in disinhibitory control. *Nature*. 2013; 503:521–524. [PubMed: 24097352]
- Picciotto MR, Higley MJ, Mineur YS. Acetylcholine as a neuromodulator: cholinergic signaling shapes nervous system function and behavior. *Neuron*. 2012; 76:116–129. [PubMed: 23040810]
- Pinto L, Goard MJ, Estandian D, Xu M, Kwan AC, Lee S-H, Harrison TC, Feng G, Dan Y. Fast modulation of visual perception by basal forebrain cholinergic neurons. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2013; 16:1857–1863. [PubMed: 24162654]
- Pitler TA, Alger BE. Cholinergic excitation of GABAergic interneurons in the rat hippocampal slice. *Journal of Physiology*. 1992; 450:127–142. [PubMed: 1359121]
- Price JL, Stern R. Individual cells in the nucleus basalis--diagonal band complex have restricted axonal projections to the cerebral cortex in the rat. *Brain Res*. 1983; 269:352–356. [PubMed: 6883087]
- Raghavachari S, Kahana MJ, Rizzuto DS, Caplan JB, Kirschen MP, Bourgeois B, Madsen JR, Lisman JE. Gating of human theta oscillations by a working memory task. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2001; 21:3175–3183. [PubMed: 11312302]
- Rasch BH, Born J, Gais S. Combined blockade of cholinergic receptors shifts the brain from stimulus encoding to memory consolidation. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2006; 18:793–802. [PubMed: 16768378]
- Raudies F, Hasselmo ME. Differences in Visual-Spatial Input May Underlie Different Compression Properties of Firing Fields for Grid Cell Modules in Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015; 11:e1004596. [PubMed: 26584432]
- Raudies F, Mingolla E, Hasselmo ME. Modeling the influence of optic flow on grid cell firing in the absence of other cues I. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2012; 33:475–493. [PubMed: 22555390]
- Raudies F, Hinman JR, Hasselmo ME. Modelling effects on grid cells of sensory input during self-motion. *J Physiol*. 2016
- Rizzuto DS, Madsen JR, Bromfield EB, Schulze-Bonhage A, Kahana MJ. Human neocortical oscillations exhibit theta phase differences between encoding and retrieval. *Neuroimage*. 2006; 31:1352–1358. [PubMed: 16542856]
- Roberts MJ, Zinke W, Guo K, Robertson R, McDonald JS, Thiele A. Acetylcholine dynamically controls spatial integration in marmoset primary visual cortex. *J Neurophysiol*. 2005; 93:2062–2072. [PubMed: 15548624]
- Robinson J, Manseau F, Ducharme G, Amilhon B, Vigneault E, El Mestikawy S, Williams S. Optogenetic Activation of Septal Glutamatergic Neurons Drive Hippocampal Theta Rhythms. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2016; 36:3016–3023. [PubMed: 26961955]
- Rodman HR, Albright TD. Coding of visual stimulus velocity in area MT of the macaque. *Vision Res*. 1987; 27:2035–2048. [PubMed: 3447355]
- Rudy B, Fishell G, Lee S, Hjerling-Leffler J. Three groups of interneurons account for nearly 100% of neocortical GABAergic neurons. *Dev Neurobiol*. 2011; 71:45–61. [PubMed: 21154909]
- Rutishauser U, Ross IB, Mamelak AN, Schuman EM. Human memory strength is predicted by theta-frequency phase-locking of single neurons. *Nature*. 2010; 464:903–907. [PubMed: 20336071]
- Sato H, Hata Y, Masui H, Tsumoto T. A functional role of cholinergic innervation to neurons in the cat visual cortex. *J Neurophysiol*. 1987; 58:765–780. [PubMed: 3681394]
- Seager MA, Johnson LD, Chabot ES, Asaka Y, Berry SD. Oscillatory brain states and learning: Impact of hippocampal theta-contingent training. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002; 99:1616–1620. [PubMed: 11818559]
- Serafin M, Williams S, Khateb A, Fort P, Mühlethaler M. Rhythmic firing of medial septum non-cholinergic neurons. *Neuroscience*. 1996; 75:671–675. [PubMed: 8951863]
- Shapiro ML, Simon DK, Olton DS, Gage FH 3rd, Nilsson O, Bjorklund A. Intrahippocampal grafts of fetal basal forebrain tissue alter place fields in the hippocampus of rats with fimbria-fornix lesions. *Neuroscience*. 1989; 32:1–18. [PubMed: 2586743]
- Sharma G, Vijayaraghavan S. Nicotinic receptor signaling in nonexcitable cells. *Journal of Neurobiology*. 2002; 53:524–534. [PubMed: 12436417]
- Shay CF, Ferrante M, Chapman GW, Hasselmo ME. Rebound spiking in layer II medial entorhinal cortex stellate cells: Possible mechanism of grid cell function. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2016; 129:83–98. [PubMed: 26385258]

- Siegle JH, Wilson MA. Enhancement of encoding and retrieval functions through theta phase-specific manipulation of hippocampus. *eLife*. 2014; 3:e03061. [PubMed: 25073927]
- Sillito AM, Kemp JA. Cholinergic modulation of the functional organization of the cat visual cortex. *Brain Res*. 1983; 289:143–155. [PubMed: 6661640]
- Silver MA, Shenhav A, D'Esposito M. Cholinergic enhancement reduces spatial spread of visual responses in human early visual cortex. *Neuron*. 2008; 60:904–914. [PubMed: 19081383]
- Skaggs WE, McNaughton BL. Replay of neuronal firing sequences in rat hippocampus during sleep following spatial experience. *Science (New York, NY)*. 1996; 271:1870–1873.
- Soltesz I, Deschenes M. Low- and high-frequency membrane potential oscillations during theta activity in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons of the rat hippocampus under ketamine-xylazine anesthesia. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 1993; 70:97–116. [PubMed: 8395591]
- Stancampiano R, Cocco S, Cugusi C, Sarais L, Fadda F. Serotonin and acetylcholine release response in the rat hippocampus during a spatial memory task. *Neuroscience*. 1999; 89:1135–1143. [PubMed: 10362301]
- Stanley EM, Wilson MA, Fadel JR. Hippocampal neurotransmitter efflux during one-trial novel object recognition in rats. *Neuroscience letters*. 2012; 511:38–42. [PubMed: 22306091]
- Steffenach HA, Witter M, Moser MB, Moser EI. Spatial memory in the rat requires the dorsolateral band of the entorhinal cortex. *Neuron*. 2005; 45:301–313. [PubMed: 15664181]
- Stensola H, Stensola T, Solstad T, Froland K, Moser MB, Moser EI. The entorhinal grid map is discretized. *Nature*. 2012; 492:72–78. [PubMed: 23222610]
- Tort ABL, Komorowski RW, Manns JR, Kopell NJ, Eichenbaum H. Theta-gamma coupling increases during the learning of item-context associations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009
- Tóth K, Freund TF, Miles R. Disinhibition of rat hippocampal pyramidal cells by GABAergic afferents from the septum. *The Journal of Physiology*. 1997; 500:463–474. [PubMed: 9147330]
- Ungerleider, LG.; Mishkin, M. Two cortical visual systems. In: Ingle, DJ.; Goodale, MA.; Mansfield, RJW., editors. *Analysis of Visual Behavior*. Boston: MIT Press; 1982.
- Valentino RJ, Dingledine R. Presynaptic inhibitory effect of acetylcholine in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci*. 1981; 1:784–792. [PubMed: 6286902]
- Van Der Zee EA, De Jong GI, Strosberg AD, Luiten PG. Muscarinic acetylcholine receptor-expression in astrocytes in the cortex of young and aged rats. *Glia*. 1993; 8:42–50. [PubMed: 8509163]
- Vandecasteele M, Varga V, Berenyi A, Papp E, Bartho P, Venance L, Freund TF, Buzsaki G. Optogenetic activation of septal cholinergic neurons suppresses sharp wave ripples and enhances theta oscillations in the hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014; 111:13535–13540. [PubMed: 25197052]
- Vanderwolf CH. Hippocampal electrical activity and voluntary movement in the rat. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1969; 26:407–418. [PubMed: 4183562]
- Varga V, Hangya B, Kránitz K, Ludányi A, Zemankovics R, Katona I, Shigemoto R, Freund TF, Borhegyi Z. The presence of pacemaker HCN channels identifies theta rhythmic GABAergic neurons in the medial septum. *The Journal of physiology*. 2008; 586:3893–3915. [PubMed: 18565991]
- Villarréal DM, Gross AL, Derrick BE. Modulation of CA3 afferent inputs by novelty and theta rhythm. *J Neurosci*. 2007; 27:13457–13467. [PubMed: 18057204]
- Vogt KE, Regehr WG. Cholinergic modulation of excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA3 area of the hippocampus. *J Neurosci*. 2001; 21:75–83. [PubMed: 11150322]
- Wang Q, Burkhalter A. Area map of mouse visual cortex. *J Comp Neurol*. 2007; 502:339–357. [PubMed: 17366604]
- Wang Q, Gao E, Burkhalter A. Gateways of ventral and dorsal streams in mouse visual cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2011; 31:1905–1918. [PubMed: 21289200]
- Wang Q, Sporns O, Burkhalter A. Network analysis of corticocortical connections reveals ventral and dorsal processing streams in mouse visual cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32:4386–4399. [PubMed: 22457489]
- Wang Y, Romani S, Lustig B, Leonardo A, Pastalkova E. Theta sequences are essential for internally generated hippocampal firing fields. *Nat Neurosci*. 2015; 18:282–288. [PubMed: 25531571]

- Wills TJ, Barry C, Cacucci F. The abrupt development of adult-like grid cell firing in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Front Neural Circuits*. 2012; 6:21. [PubMed: 22557949]
- Winson J. Loss of hippocampal theta rhythm results in spatial memory deficit in the rat. *Science*. 1978; 201:160–163. [PubMed: 663646]
- Wu M, Newton SS, Atkins JB, Xu C, Duman RS, Alreja M. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors activate septohippocampal GABAergic neurons via muscarinic but not nicotinic receptors. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 2003; 307:535–543. [PubMed: 12966162]
- Wulff P, Ponomarenko AA, Bartos M, Korotkova TM, Fuchs EC, Böhner F, Both M, Tort ABL, Kopell NJ, Wisden W, Monyer H. Hippocampal theta rhythm and its coupling with gamma oscillations require fast inhibition onto parvalbumin-positive interneurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2009; 106:3561–3566. [PubMed: 19204281]
- Wyble BP, Linster C, Hasselmo ME. Size of CA1-evoked synaptic potentials is related to theta rhythm phase in rat hippocampus. *J Neurophysiol*. 2000; 83:2138–2144. [PubMed: 10758123]
- Xu M, Chung S, Zhang S, Zhong P, Ma C, Chang W-C, Weissbourd B, Sakai N, Luo L, Nishino S, Dan Y. Basal forebrain circuit for sleep-wake control. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2015; 18:1641–1647. [PubMed: 26457552]
- Yoshida M, Hasselmo ME. Persistent firing supported by an intrinsic cellular mechanism in a component of the head direction system. *J Neurosci*. 2009; 29:4945–4952. [PubMed: 19369563]
- Zhang H, Lin S-C, Nicolelis MAL. Spatiotemporal coupling between hippocampal acetylcholine release and theta oscillations *in vivo*. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2010; 30:13431–13440. [PubMed: 20926669]
- Zinke W, Roberts MJ, Guo K, McDonald JS, Robertson R, Thiele A. Cholinergic modulation of response properties and orientation tuning of neurons in primary visual cortex of anaesthetized Marmoset monkeys. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2006; 24:314–328. [PubMed: 16882027]

Highlights

- 1.** Manipulations of cholinergic transmission impair aspects of spatial memory behavior.
- 2.** Acetylcholine modulates intrinsic properties of excitatory and inhibitory neurons.
- 3.** Acetylcholine may alter the signal-to-noise ratio of spatial representations.
- 4.** Acetylcholine alters location and movement speed coding by sensory input.

Figure 1.

Cholinergic modulation of rodent cortical structures described in this review. A. Schematic dorsal view of mouse brain showing cholinergic neurons in the medial septum and vertical limb of the diagonal band (MSDB) that innervate the hippocampus (HC) and the medial entorhinal cortex (MEC). B. Cholinergic neurons in the substantia innominata and horizontal limb of the diagonal band (SI & DB) activate cholinergic receptors in neocortical regions including the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and primary visual cortex (V1), primary somatosensory cortex (S1), primary auditory cortex (A1) and retrosplenial cortex (RSC) as well as higher order visual areas. Higher order visual areas in the rodent include areas LM, AL, RL, AM and PM (Wang et al., 2011). C. Saggital view of the mouse brain schematically showing cholinergic innervation arising from the MSDB to innervate hippocampus and entorhinal cortex and from SI to innervate neocortical regions including V1 and MPFC. Schematic diagrams based on (Oh et al., 2014)

Table 1

Cholinergic modulation of cortical physiology.

1	Modulation of synaptic transmission
a.	Nicotinic enhancement of thalamocortical glutamatergic transmission
b.	Muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of feedback glutamatergic transmission
c.	Muscarinic presynaptic inhibition of GABAergic synaptic transmission
d.	Muscarinic enhancement of long-term potentiation
2	Modulation of intrinsic properties of neurons
a.	Muscarinic depolarization due to reduced leak potassium current
b.	Muscarinic suppression of spike frequency adaptation due to reduction of calcium-sensitive potassium current
c.	Muscarinic activation of persistent spiking due to calcium-sensitive non-specific cation current (CAN)
d.	Nicotinic depolarization of inhibitory interneurons, including VIP and SOM interneurons.