Published in final edited form as:

Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2017 January ; 49(1): 167–172. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001067.

Lower Extremity Stiffness Changes following Concussion in Collegiate Football Players

Dominique F. DuBose¹, Daniel C. Herman¹, Debi L. Jones¹, Susan M. Tillman¹, James R. Clugston¹, Anthony Pass², Jorge A. Hernandez¹, Terrie Vasilopoulos¹, MaryBeth Horodyski¹, and Terese L. Chmielewski^{1,3}

¹University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

²University of Texas, Austin, TX

³TRIA Orthopaedic Center, Bloomington, MN

Abstract

Purpose—Recent research indicates that a concussion increases risk of musculoskeletal injury. Neuromuscular changes following concussion might contribute to the increased risk of injury. Many studies have examined gait post-concussion, but few studies have examined more demanding tasks. This study compared changes in stiffness across the lower extremity, a measure of neuromuscular function, during a jump-landing task in athletes with a concussion (CONC) to uninjured athletes (UNINJ).

Methods—Division I football players (13 CONC, 26 UNINJ) were tested pre- and post-season. A motion-capture system recorded subjects jumping on one limb from a 25.4 cm step onto a force plate. Hip, knee, and ankle joint stiffness were calculated from initial contact to peak joint flexion using the regression line slopes of the joint moment versus joint angle plots. Leg stiffness was (peak vertical ground reaction force (PVGRF)/lower extremity vertical displacement) from initial contact to PVGRF. All stiffness values were normalized to bodyweight. Values from both limbs were averaged. General linear models compared group (CONC, UNINJ) differences in the changes of pre- and post-season stiffness values.

Results—Average time from concussion to post-season testing was 49.9 days. The CONC group showed an increase in hip stiffness ($p=0.03$), a decrease in knee ($p=0.03$) and leg stiffness ($p=0.03$), but no change in ankle stiffness ($p=0.65$) from pre- to post-season.

Conclusion—Lower extremity stiffness is altered following concussion, which could contribute to an increased risk of lower extremity injury. These data provide further evidence of altered neuromuscular function after concussion.

Keywords

neuromuscular function; jump-landing; biomechanics; sports-related concussion

Corresponding Author, Dominique F. DuBose, University of Florida Department of Physical Therapy, Box 100154, UFHSC, Gainesville, FL 32610 -0154, Telephone: (352) 273-5276, Fax: (352) 273-6109, dlf967@ufl.edu.

No authors report any conflicts of interest. No company or manufacturers will benefit from the results of the present study. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

Introduction

Sports-related concussions are a public health concern with up to 3.8 million concussions reported in the United States annually (19). Athletes with concussion are typically allowed to return to sports participation when they demonstrate normal neurological, neurocognitive, and balance evaluations; are asymptomatic at rest; and remain asymptomatic throughout a stepwise increase in physical activity (24). Even if return-to-sport criteria are met, post-concussion impairments may remain and increase the risk for musculoskeletal injury (17). Within the first year of returning to sport following concussion, athletes are up to 2.5 times more likely to sustain a lower extremity or ligamentous injury than uninjured teammates (7,21,27). In addition, retired National Football League athletes with a history of concussion had an increased risk of musculoskeletal injuries during their career than athletes without a history of concussion, and risk increased with the number of concussions (30). At this time it is unknown what impairments contribute to an increased musculoskeletal injury risk following concussion, which limits the ability to modify post-concussion rehabilitation or return-to-sport guidelines.

Unresolved neuromuscular impairments potentially underlie an increase in risk for musculoskeletal injury post-concussion (17,21). Researchers have found neurophysiological changes in the primary motor cortex beyond the time of return-to-sport from concussion, including decreased electrophysiological responses, decreased metabolic activity, and increased intracortical inhibition (3,9,16). Subclinical changes in the motor cortex could alter muscle recruitment, which in turn would affect behavioral aspects of neuromuscular function, such as movement patterns. Indeed, reduced gait velocity, reduced range of motion at the knee, and altered power generation at the hip and ankle during running have been identified post-concussion (20,38). In individuals without concussion, alterations in kinematic (hip, knee, and ankle range of motion) and kinetic (quadriceps activation and peak vertical ground reaction force) aspects of movement patterns have been shown to increase risk for lower extremity injury (5,10,18). The link between injury risk following concussion and neuromuscular impairments may be elucidated by improving our understanding of changes in kinetic and kinematic aspects of movement patterns in athletes with a concussion.

Lower extremity stiffness, either too much or too little, is an aspect of movement that is hypothesized to be linked with lower extremity injury (8). The term stiffness is part of Hooke's law that states the force required to extend or compress a spring by a distance is proportional to that distance. Stiffness is computed by modeling the whole body as a mass and spring (8). It describes the ability of body segments to resist displacement following the application of vertical ground reaction forces or moments and also considers the moment-angle relationship (14,34). Stiffness can be regulated by muscular recruitment (15). Our pilot data, in athletes post-concussion, demonstrated quadriceps weakness and decreased time to peak vertical ground reaction force during a single leg jump, which could decrease stiffness across the lower extremity (12,13). To date, the effect of concussion on neuromuscular function, particularly stiffness across the lower extremity, during a jumping task has not been characterized.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare pre-to post-season changes in stiffness across the lower extremity during a jumping-landing task in athletes with or without a history of a recent concussion. It was hypothesized that 1) stiffness across the lower extremity would be similar between athletes with or without concussion at pre-season testing, and 2) athletes with concussion would demonstrate a pre-season to post-season decrease in stiffness across the lower extremity compared to athletes without concussion. These data can provide additional evidence of neuromuscular changes after concussion following concussion.

METHODS

Participants

The study participants were 39 Division I collegiate male football players from the same university, who participated in pre-post-season musculoskeletal screenings during the competitive seasons in 2007-2011. This study was designed as an observational cohort study, where the main exposure of interest was concussion (yes, no) sustained by subjects and the main outcomes of interest were leg, hip, knee, and ankle stiffness. One cohort consisted of 13 football players who had sustained a concussion (CONC) and the other cohort consisted of 26 uninjured (UNINJ) players with no history of concussion identified from electronic medical records maintained by athletic trainers. To control for confounding variables, each CONC subject was matched to two UNINJ subjects by age (± 1 year), position played, and competitive season (± 1 season). All CONC subjects sustained their first reported concussion as defined by the Zurich Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport and were diagnosed by a fellowship-trained primary care sports medicine physician, up to 90 days prior to post-season test session (25). If a subject sustained more than one concussion, only the first concussion was considered. All CONC subjects had been cleared to return to play prior to post-season testing. Certified athletic trainers verified that all subjects were free from significant lower extremity injury (any injury that limited participation in practice or competition) at the time of each testing session. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the local Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Instrumentation

Kinematic data were sampled at 120 Hz using a 12-camera motion capture system (Motion Analysis Corporation, Santa Rosa, CA). A manufacturer recommended calibration was performed prior testing. A force platform (AMTI, Watertown, MA) was embedded into the floor and force data were sampled at 1200 Hz and time-synchronized with the motion capture system.

Procedures

Prior to performing the jump-landing task a physical therapist placed reflective markers bilaterally on the acromion processes, lateral aspects of iliac crests, greater trochanters, lateral and medial femoral condyles, tibial tuberosities, lateral and medial malleoli of the ankle, posterior aspect of the heel, and the lateral aspect of the first and 5th metatarsal heads. An additional marker was placed on the sacrum mid-way between the posterior superior iliac spines. Markers used solely for segment tracking were placed on the thigh, centered

approximately 10 cm above the proximal pole of the patella, and on the shank medial to the tibial tubercle.

A static trial was collected, during which subjects were instructed to stand still with their shoulders abducted to 90 degrees. Subjects then performed the jump-landing task first on the left side. Subjects began the task standing on one leg (test leg) on a 25.4 cm step with their hands on their hips and the contralateral leg slightly flexed at the knee. Subjects then hopped off the step and landed on the test leg on a force plate. Subjects were cued to keep their eyes forward and to keep the contralateral knee bent and behind the test leg. Subjects observed a visual demonstration and performed at least two practice trials prior to the test trials. A test trial was considered successful if the subject made solid foot contact with force plate and maintained balance on the test leg for three seconds. Testing was repeated until a successful trial was obtained, and then repeated using the right side as the test leg.

Data Analysis

Three dimensional marker trajectories examined using the motion capture system were then exported to a coordinate 3D file format. Commercial software (Visual3D, C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD) was used to process kinetic and kinematic data. The ground reaction force was filtered through a low-pass Butterworth filter at a cutoff frequency of 15 Hz. Marker data were low-pass filtered using a fourth-order Butterworth filter at 6 HZ, to create a 6-degree of freedom rigid body model. The markers on the iliac crests and greater trochanters were used to construct a cylindrical model for the pelvis segment. For the thigh segment a truncated cone model was created proximally with the greater trochanter marker and bi-section of the greater trochanter markers and distally with the femoral condyle markers. The greater trochanter marker, lateral femoral condyle marker, and thigh marker tracked the thigh segment. The femoral condyle and malleoli markers created a truncated cone model for the shank. The lateral femoral condyle marker, shank marker, and lateral malleoli marker tracked the shank segment.

The hip joint centers were positioned in-line with their respective greater trochanter marker at $\frac{1}{4}$ the total distance between greater trochanter markers. Knee joint centers were located halfway between the femoral condyle markers, and ankle joint centers were located midway between the malleoli markers. The orientation of the coordinate system placed the x-axis in the medial-lateral direction, the y-axis in the anterior-posterior direction, and the z-axis in the vertical direction.

Force and kinematic data were combined to calculate joint moments through inverse dynamics. Moments represented the external load on the joint. Kinetic and kinematic data at initial contact (when vertical ground reaction force first exceeded 20 N) and peak flexion angles, as well as peak external flexion moments (kg) were calculated for the hip, knee, and ankle. Joint stiffness was modeled as a rotational spring at each joint (34). Hip, knee, and ankle angles and moments in the sagittal plane were used to calculate stiffness. A custom LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX) program calculated stiffness at each joint as the slope of the moment-angle curve from a least squares regression from initial contact to peak joint flexion (14,28). Leg stiffness was calculated as the quotient of the peak vertical ground reaction force and the vertical change in leg length (m) (32). Vertical change in leg

length was calculated as the vertical displacement of the greater trochanter marker relative to the lateral malleolus marker. The interval of interest was from initial contact to peak vertical ground reaction force.

Joint and leg stiffness values were normalized to body weight to allow for comparison across subjects. The values from the 2 matched UNINJ subjects were averaged for all measurements in order to create paired data for each CONC subject.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS (SPSS, V.22.0, Chicago Illinois). Mean and standard deviation values were calculated for each variable investigated in study subjects. The variables for age, height, body weight, hip stiffness, knee stiffness, ankle stiffness, and leg stiffness were normally distributed. Thus, parametric methods were used for data analysis. For all subjects an index was created to measure asymmetries between right and left sides ((right side – left side) x 100) for hip, knee, ankle, and leg stiffness. Paired t-tests revealed no significant differences in asymmetry indices between CONC and UNINJ groups ($p > 0.05$). Therefore, right and left side values were averaged together for each subject. Separate student t-tests were used to determine differences in hip, knee, ankle, and leg stiffness for CONC and UNINJ groups at both pre-season and post-season test sessions. Finally to measure the effect of group on pre- to post –season changes in hip, knee, ankle, and leg stiffness separate univariate general linear models were created with the post-season value as the dependent variable, and the pre-season value, group (CONC, UNINJ), age, height, and body weight as the predictor variables. In order to correct for type I error related to multiple analyses, p-values were adjusted using the false discovery rate. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

Participants consisted of 39 Division 1 football players (mean $\pm$ SD, age 19.6 ± 1.0 y; height 187.4 ± 6.4 cm; weight 100.8 ± 17.0 kg). Means for age, height, and body weight were not different ($p = 0.54$) between CONC subjects and UNINJ subjects (Table 1). Positions played in CONC and UNINJ subjects are presented in Table 2.

Values for kinetic and kinematic variables for the hip, knee, and ankle joints for CONC and UNINJ subjects are presented in Table 3. Pre-season values for hip, knee, ankle, and leg stiffness were not statistically different between groups ($p = 0.90$). Similarly, post-season values for hip, ankle, and knee stiffness were not statistically different between groups ($p = 0.08$). Values for hip, knee, and leg stiffness are presented in Figure 1. The general linear model revealed significant effects of group (CONC vs UNINJ) for hip ($F = 6.37$, $p = 0.03$), knee ($F = 5.48$, $p = 0.03$), and leg stiffness ($F = 9.46$, $p = 0.03$) for the change values from pre- to post-season. However, there was no effect of group for ankle stiffness ($F = 2.06$, $p = 0.653$) for the change value from pre- to post-season. Additionally there was no effect of age ($p = 0.07$), height ($p = 0.24$), or weight ($p = 0.48$) for hip, knee, ankle, and leg stiffness on the change from pre- to post-season values.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to compare pre- to post-season changes in stiffness across the lower extremity during jump landing in athletes with and without a recent concussion. As hypothesized, the CONC group demonstrated altered stiffness from pre-season to post-season when compared to the UNINJ group. Specifically, knee and leg stiffness decreased, while hip stiffness increased in the CONC group. Since stiffness of the lower extremity is controlled by the neuromuscular system, these findings provide further evidence of changes in neuromuscular function following return-to-play from concussion.

Pre- to post-season changes in lower extremity stiffness were compared between CONC and UNINJ groups so that the effects of concussion could be isolated from changes that might normally occur during the season. At pre-season testing, there were no differences in stiffness measures between the CONC and UNINJ groups. Additionally, pre-season leg stiffness values in CONC and UNINJ approximate the leg stiffness value of 19.4 BW/m reported in healthy physically active males during unilateral hopping (6). This suggests that stiffness of the lower extremity was not altered in the CONC at the start of the season. Leg stiffness decreased in the CONC group, but joint stiffness showed differential change, with an increase at the hip and decrease at the knee. When compared to pre-season values, CONC subjects demonstrated altered component of knee stiffness including increased peak knee moment and decreased knee angular excursion. Altered landing mechanics at the knee may be related to changes in quadriceps function. Specifically, pilot data from our lab indicated decreased quadriceps strength in collegiate football players following concussion (14). Landing with decreased knee angular excursion following concussion may reflect an attempt to increase knee stability because with less knee flexion subjects rely more on ligamentous tissue, the tightened collateral ligaments, to stabilize the knee (4). Similarly, Martini et al. reported that when compared to nonconcussed controls, athletes with a concussion attempt to increase stability during gait by adopting a conservative gait strategy (slower velocity, greater time in double leg stance, and less time in single leg stance) (23). Additionally, compared to pre-season testing, CONC subjects in our study demonstrated decreased hip peak moment and increased hip angular excursion at post-season testing. When the trunk is positioned over the knee with increased hip flexion it has been reported that hamstring activation may increase, while quadriceps activation decreases (37). Our findings of altered leg, hip, and knee stiffness during a jump-landing task following concussion add to findings of altered movement patterns in other tasks such as gait and running.

Altered movement patterns following concussion may be due to a diminished capacity for motor planning (23). Neuroimaging studies have documented impaired motor planning due to alterations in the motor cortex following concussion including increased intracortical inhibition, reduced intracortical excitability, and decreased metabolic activity that persists despite the resolution of symptoms (1,2,29,31,35). Collectively, alterations in the motor cortex could affect all aspects of motor control, including motor planning, muscle recruitment, and coordination. For example, researchers have documented changes in motor recruitment and muscle strength in athletes post-concussion (13,33). Following concussion ice hockey players demonstrated decreased leg maximal voluntary contraction (33). Also, as previously mentioned, decreased quadriceps strength has been demonstrated in collegiate

football players following concussion (14). Quadriceps voluntary activation failure may affect knee movement patterns and has not been thoroughly studied following concussion. However, voluntary activation failure has been demonstrated in the hand musculature following concussion (29,31). If similar voluntary activation findings exist in the quadriceps musculature, reduced voluntary activation may cause deficits in quadriceps strength, slower movement time, and reduce peak force, subsequently affecting stiffness across the lower extremity (11,26).

Altered stiffness across the lower extremity following concussion may increase the risk of subsequent lower extremity injury. Several authors have reported greater rates of lower extremity injury in athletes with a recent concussion compared to nonconcussed controls, with the greatest number of injuries at the knee/ thigh region, ankle, and the hip/groin region, respectively (7,21,27,30). During a unilateral hopping task individuals without concussion and with Achilles tendinopathies demonstrated decreased leg stiffness when compared to uninjured controls (22). Similarly, our findings of decreased leg stiffness in athletes following concussion may be linked to a commonly injured region following concussion, the ankle. Conversely, increased leg stiffness was found prospectively in athletes who went on to sustain a hamstring injury when compared to uninjured controls (36). Although Watsford et al. (36) demonstrated increased leg stiffness in athletes who went on to obtain a hamstring injury, it is important to note that in the injured group higher stiffness was reported in the noninvolved limb. Accordingly, when comparing between limbs, decreased leg stiffness was related to greater risk of hamstring injury. Future studies should examine the underlying mechanisms for increased musculoskeletal injury following concussion, however, our findings provide additional support for altered neuromuscular control following concussion.

A strength of this study is the inclusion of the UNINJ group matched by age, season, and position. The UNINJ group provides evidence that the documented changes in neuromuscular function in the CONC group may be attributed to concussion because both groups were exposed to similar practices, strength and conditioning programs, and game opponents. The time range from return to play to post-season testing (about 50 days) is also important to consider. This time range is somewhat wide seeing as the average time from concussion to return to play is about 7-10 days (24). Stiffness alterations immediately after concussion are unknown, and may have resolved from the time of return to play to concussion. However, the presence of this relationship between concussion and stiffness across the lower extremity, even with the wide time range is consistent with others findings of increased risk of lower extremity up to one-year post concussion (21). Another limitation is that the subjects were all male collegiate football players, which limits the ability to generalize to other athletes with concussion. However, the incidence of concussion in football players is high. Future studies should examine neuromuscular changes in a larger, more diverse athletic population. Another limitation is that only one test trial per leg was collected due to time constraints imposed by administering a comprehensive musculoskeletal screening to a large number of athletes. Therefore, we caution against using the values in this study as normative data. We partially compensated for this limitation by averaging trials from the right and left sides for each subject. It is also important to note that

this study is retrospective, and therefore depended on record keeping to identify both CONC and UNINJ subjects.

In conclusion, following concussion collegiate football players exhibited greater alterations in stiffness across the lower extremity when compared to uninjured controls. The return to play decision is multifaceted and complex; however, current concussion management protocols do not incorporate neuromuscular measures from a high-demand athletic task. Considering the increased risk of lower extremity injury following concussion, return to play guidelines may be improved by the inclusion neuromuscular evaluations. Although more research is needed, our findings of subclinical altered stiffness across the lower extremity imply that neuromuscular function may be altered following concussion.

Acknowledgements

Funding Sources: NIH-NICHD K01-HD052713

We would like to acknowledge Bryan Conrad, Dewayne DuBose, and Jose Dominguez for assisting with data collection.

REFERENCES

1. Beaumont LD, Lassonde M, Leclerc S, Théoret H. Long-term and cumulative effects of sports concussion on motor cortex. *Neurosurgery*. Aug; 2007 61(2):329–337. [PubMed: 17762745]
2. Beaumont LD, Mongeon D, Tremblay S, Messier J, Prince F, Leclerc S, et al. Persistent Motor System Abnormalities in Formerly Concussed Athletes. *J. Athl. Train.* Jun.2011 46(3):234. [PubMed: 21669091]
3. Beaumont LD, Tremblay S, Henry LC, Poirier J, Lassonde M, Théoret H. Motor system alterations in retired former athletes: the role of aging and concussion history. *BMC Neurol.* Aug 26.2013 13(1):109. [PubMed: 23972282]
4. Benjaminse A, Habu A, Sell TC, et al. Fatigue alters lower extremity kinematics during a single-leg stop-jump task. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* Nov 20; 2007 16(4):400–407. [PubMed: 18026933]
5. Boden BP, Torg JS, Knowles SB, Hewett TE. Video Analysis of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Abnormalities in Hip and Ankle Kinematics. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Feb 1; 2009 37(2):252–259. [PubMed: 19182110]
6. Brauner T, Sterzing T, Wulf M, Horstmann T. Leg stiffness: Comparison between unilateral and bilateral hopping tasks. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* Feb.2014 33:263–272. [PubMed: 24290613]
7. Brooks MA, Peterson K, Biese K, Sanfilippo J, Heiderscheit BC, Bell DR. Concussion Increases Odds of Sustaining a Lower Extremity Musculoskeletal Injury After Return to Play Among Collegiate Athletes. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Jan 19.2016 :0363546515622387.
8. Butler RJ, Crowell III HP, Davis IM. Lower extremity stiffness: implications for performance and injury. *Clin. Biomech.* Jul; 2003 18(6):511–517.
9. Chamard E, Lassonde M, Henry L, et al. Neurometabolic and microstructural alterations following a sports-related concussion in female athletes. *Brain Inj.* Aug; 2013 27(9):1038–1046. [PubMed: 23834633]
10. Chappell JD, Creighton RA, Giuliani C, Yu B, Garrett WE. Kinematics and Electromyography of Landing Preparation in Vertical Stop-Jump Risks for Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Feb 1; 2007 35(2):235–241. [PubMed: 17092926]
11. Chmielewski TL, Stackhouse S, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. A prospective analysis of incidence and severity of quadriceps inhibition in a consecutive sample of 100 patients with complete acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture. *J. Orthop. Res. Off. Publ. Orthop. Res. Soc.* Sep; 2004 22(5): 925–930.

12. DuBose DF, Herman DC, Conrad BP, et al. Changes in Lower Extremity Biomechanics During Single-Limb Forward Land Following Concussion in Collegiate Football Players. *J. Athl. Train.* 2013; 48(3 Supplement):S–231.
13. DuBose DF, Tillman SM, Moser M, et al. Deficits in Lower Extremity Strength Following Sports-Related Concussion in Collegiate Football Players. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2014; 46(5 Supplement):16–19. [PubMed: 24346188]
14. Ford KR, Myer GD, Hewett TE. Longitudinal Effects of Maturation on Lower Extremity Joint Stiffness in Adolescent Athletes. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Sep 1; 2010 38(9):1829–1837. [PubMed: 20522830]
15. Granata KP, Padua DA, Wilson SE. Gender differences in active musculoskeletal stiffness. Part II. Quantification of leg stiffness during functional hopping tasks. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. Apr;* 2002 12(2):127–135. [PubMed: 11955985]
16. Henry L. Metabolic changes in concussed American football players during the acute and chronic post-injury phases. *BMC Neurol.* Jan; 2011 11(1):105–114. [PubMed: 21861906]
17. Herman DC, Zaremski JL, Vincent HK, Vincent KR. Effect of Neurocognition and Concussion on Musculoskeletal Injury Risk: *Curr. Sports Med. Rep.* 2015; 14(3):194–199.
18. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical Measures of Neuromuscular Control and Valgus Loading of the Knee Predict Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Risk in Female Athletes A Prospective Study. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Apr 1; 2005 33(4):492–501. [PubMed: 15722287]
19. Langlois JA, Rutland-Brown W, Wald MM. The epidemiology and impact of traumatic brain injury: a brief overview. *J. Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2006; 21(5):375–378. [PubMed: 16983222]
20. Lee H, Sullivan SJ, Schneiders AG. The use of the dual-task paradigm in detecting gait performance deficits following a sports-related concussion: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Sci. Med. Sport.* Jan; 2013 16(1):2–7. [PubMed: 22609052]
21. Lynall RC, Mauntel TC, Padua DA, Mihalik JP. Acute Lower Extremity Injury Rates Increase after Concussion in College Athletes. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* Dec; 2015 47(12):2487–2492. [PubMed: 26057941]
22. Maquirriain J. Leg Stiffness Changes in Athletes with Achilles Tendinopathy. *Int. J. Sports Med.* Jul; 2012 33(07):567–571. [PubMed: 22499572]
23. Martini DN, Sabin MJ, DePesa SA, et al. The Chronic Effects of Concussion on Gait. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* Apr; 2011 92(4):585–589. [PubMed: 21440703]
24. McCrory P, Meeuwisse WH, Aubry M, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 4th International Conference on Concussion in Sport held in Zurich, November 2012. *Br. J. Sports Med.* Apr 1; 2013 47(5):250–258. [PubMed: 23479479]
25. McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Johnston K, et al. Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport: The 3rd International Conference on Concussion in Sport Held in Zurich, November 2008. *J. Athl. Train.* 2009; 44(4):434–448. [PubMed: 19593427]
26. Nilsson J, Thorstensson A. Ground reaction forces at different speeds of human walking and running. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* Jun 1; 1989 136(2):217–227. [PubMed: 2782094]
27. Nordström A, Nordström P, Ekstrand J. Sports-related concussion increases the risk of subsequent injury by about 50% in elite male football players. *Br. J. Sports Med.* Oct 1; 2014 48(19):1447–1450. [PubMed: 25082616]
28. Padua DA, Arnold BL, Carcia CR, Granata KP. Gender Differences in Leg Stiffness and Stiffness Recruitment Strategy During Two-Legged Hopping. *J. Mot. Behav.* Mar; 2005 37(2):111–125. [PubMed: 15730945]
29. Pearce AJ, Hoy K, Rogers MA, et al. Acute motor, neurocognitive and neurophysiological change following concussion injury in Australian amateur football. A prospective multimodal investigation. *J. Sci. Med. Sport.* Sep; 2015 18(5):500–506. [PubMed: 25104044]
30. Pietrosimone B, Golightly YM, Mihalik JP, Guskiewicz KM. Concussion Frequency Associates with Musculoskeletal Injury in Retired NFL Players. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* Nov; 2015 47(11):2366–2372. [PubMed: 25871466]
31. Powers KC, Cinelli ME, Kalmar JM. Cortical hypoexcitability persists beyond the symptomatic phase of a concussion. *Brain Inj.* Apr 1; 2014 28(4):465–471. [PubMed: 24702432]

32. Rapoport S, Mizrahi J, Kimmel E, Verbitsky O, Isakov E. Constant and Variable Stiffness and Damping of the Leg Joints in Human Hopping. *J. Biomech. Eng.* Aug 1; 2003 125(4):507–514. [PubMed: 12968575]
33. Reed N, Taha T, Keightley ML. Concussion and strength performance in youth ice hockey players. *Br. J. Sports Med.* Apr 1; 2013 47(5):e1–e1.
34. Serpell BG, Ball NB, Scarvell JM, Smith PN. A review of models of vertical, leg, and knee stiffness in adults for running, jumping or hopping tasks. *J. Sports Sci.* 2012; 30(13):1347–1363. [PubMed: 22845059]
35. Tremblay S, de Beaumont L, Lassonde M, Théoret H. Evidence for the Specificity of Intracortical Inhibitory Dysfunction in Asymptomatic Concussed Athletes. *J. Neurotrauma.* Jan 11; 2011 28(4): 493–502. [PubMed: 21219259]
36. Watsford ML, Murphy AJ, McLachlan KA, et al. A Prospective Study of the Relationship Between Lower Body Stiffness and Hamstring Injury in Professional Australian Rules Footballers. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Oct 1; 2010 38(10):2058–2064. [PubMed: 20595555]
37. Wilk KE, Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW, Andrews JR, Boyd ML. A comparison of tibiofemoral joint forces and electromyographic activity during open and closed kinetic chain exercises. *Am. J. Sports Med.* Aug; 1996 24(4):518–527. [PubMed: 8827313]
38. Williams G, Schache A, Morris ME. Running abnormalities after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj.* Apr; 2013 27(4):434–443. [PubMed: 23473505]

Figure 1.

Stiffness Parameters. Mean values for hip stiffness (A), knee stiffness (B), ankle stiffness (C), and leg stiffness (D) for subjects with concussion (CONC) and uninjured controls (UNINJ) at pre-season and post-season. At each joint the internal joint extensor moment, normalized to body weight, was plotted against joint flexion angle from initial contact to peak flexion. The slopes of regression lines represented stiffness at each joint. Leg stiffness equaled the peak vertical ground reaction force (PVGRF)/vertical displacement of the lower extremity from initial contact to PVGRF. *Denotes statistically significant effect of group (CONC vs UNINJ) for the change values from pre-season to post-season ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE 1

Demographic data for subjects with concussion and uninjured subjects.

	With Concussion (n=13)	Uninjured (n=26)	P-value
Age (yr)	19.5 ± 0.7	19.7 ± 1.1	0.369
Height (cm)	187.0 ± 7	187.1 ± 6	0.958
Weight (kg)	101.5 ± 16.6	100.5 ± 17.5	0.843
Time from concussion to post-season testing (days)	49.9 ± 24.2	N/A*	N/A
Time missed from concussion (days)	8.8 ± 7.6	N/A	N/A

TABLE 2

Position played for subjects with concussion and uninjured subjects.

	With Concussion (n=13)	Uninjured (n=26)
Wide Receiver	3	6
Running back	1	2
Tight end	1	2
Offensive line	1	2
Defensive line	3	6
Line backer	3	6
Cornerback	1	2

TABLE 3

Hip, knee, and ankle kinetic and kinematic data for subjects with concussion and uninjured subjects.

Joint	With Concussion (n=13)		Uninjured (n=26)	
	Pre-Season	Post-Season	Pre-Season	Post-Season
Hip				
Angle at initial contact (deg)	19.9 ± 7.3	22.1 ± 5.9	19.6 ± 6.5	21.35 ± 7.3
Peak Flexion Angle (deg)	39.9 ± 9.0	46.0 ± 8.9	38.5 ± 10.0	42.66 ± 9.3
Peak Moment (Nm/BW)	2.9 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 0.7
Knee				
Angle at initial contact (deg)	10.5 ± 3.6	11.0 ± 3.8	7.9 ± 5.1	11.2 ± 3.6
Peak Flexion Angle (deg)	51.1 ± 6.2	55.5 ± 6.8	48.9 ± 7.8	50.6 ± 6.6
Peak Moment (Nm/BW)	2.2 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.5
Ankle				
Angle at initial contact (deg)	-21.2 ± 5.3	-22.9 ± 5.1	-20.0 ± 8.0	-19.6 ± 4.2
Peak Flexion Angle (deg)	21.0 ± 4.00	23.5 ± 4.0	22.8 ± 2.9	21.5 ± 2.6
Peak Moment (Nm/BW)	2.3 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.30	2.3 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.3