Published in final edited form as:

Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016 December ; 74: 231–239. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.09.016.

Relative Differences in Resting-State Brain Connectivity Associated with Long Term Intensive Lifestyle Intervention

Ramon Casanova¹, Satoru Hayasaka, Santiago Saldana¹, Nick R. Bryan³, Kathryn E. Demos⁴, Lisa Desiderio³, Kirk I. Erickson⁵, Mark A. Espeland¹, Ilya M. Nasrallah³, Thomas Wadden⁶, Paul J. Laurienti⁷, and for the Action for Health In Diabetes Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (Look AHEAD Brain) Ancillary Study Research Group

¹Department of Biostatistical Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

²Department of Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas

³Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁴Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Psychiatry & Human Behavior, The Miriam Hospital Providence, RI

⁵Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁷Department of Radiology, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

Abstract

A number of studies have reported that type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is associated with alterations in resting-state activity and connectivity in the brain. There is also evidence that interventions involving physical activity and weight loss may affect brain functional connectivity. In this study, we examined the effects of nearly 10 years of an intensive lifestyle intervention (ILI), designed to induce and sustain weight loss through lower caloric intake and increased physical activity, on resting-state networks in adults with T2DM. We performed a cross-sectional comparison of global and local characteristics from functional brain networks between individuals who had been randomly assigned to ILI or a control condition of health education and support. Upon examining brain networks from 312 participants (average age: 68.8 for ILI and 67.9 for controls), we found that ILI participants (N=160) had attenuated local efficiency at the network-

Address correspondence: Ramon Casanova, PhD, Department of Biostatistical Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Author contributions

HS and CR conceived and designed the study. HS and CR performed the statistical analyses and SH drafted the article. All authors contributed to data collection, analyses and interpretation of results. All authors revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors provided final approval of the manuscript.

Conflict of interests

No conflict to report.

level compared with controls (N=152). Although there was no group difference in the network-level global efficiency, we found that, among ILI participants, nodal global efficiency was elevated in left fusiform gyrus, right middle frontal gyrus, and pars opercularis of right inferior frontal gyrus. These effects were age-dependent, with more pronounced effects for older participants. Overall these results indicate that the individuals assigned to the ILI had brain networks with less regional and more global connectivity, particularly involving frontal lobes. Such patterns would support greater distributed information processing. Future studies are needed to determine if these differences are associated with age-related compensatory function in the ILI group or worse pathology in the control group.

Keywords

Resting-state fMRI; functional connectivity; type 2 diabetes mellitus; weight-loss intervention, brain networks

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies evaluating brain activity at rest using the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) technique allows identification and evaluation of several brain networks defined by synchronous activity patterns. The network of brain areas known as the default mode network (DMN), so named due to higher activity in this network when the brain is at rest, contains the posterior cingulate cortex, precuneus, medial temporal lobe, inferior parietal lobe, and medial prefrontal cortex. Alterations in brain functional architecture have been reported among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Recent studies among individuals with T2DM have found patterns of decreased functional and structural connectivity in these individuals compared with healthy controls [Zhou et al., 2010; Musen et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Hoogenboom et al., 2014], particularly in the DMN. A similar trend was also discovered among obese people compared with healthy controls [Kullmann et al., 2012]. In addition to resting-state connectivity, resting-state activity is also reduced in some brain areas in T2DM patients [Xia et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2014].

Recent short-term studies with interventions in obese and/or older individuals have shown that increased physical activities have protective effects on the brain, including increases in the volume [Erickson et al., 2011] and blood flow [Burdette et al., 2010] to the hippocampus and improved functional connectivity in the DMN [Burdette et al., 2010; Voss, 2010a; Voss, 2010b; Li et al., 2014]. Alterations in resting-state brain activity are also reported. Increased resting-state activity has been observed in the middle and superior frontal gyri associated with an intervention involving Tai Chi, cognitive training, and counseling [Yin et al., 2014]. On the other hand, reduced resting-state activity was observed in the DMN associated with a treadmill-walking exercise intervention [McFadden et al., 2013]. Less is known about whether weight loss, separate from physical activity, alters resting state brain activity. The few published results are based on small studies [Frank et al., 2014; Prehn, et al. 2016].

We report findings from resting-state functional brain MRI collected from overweight and obese adults with T2DM who enrolled in a randomized controlled clinical trial of 9.8 years of intensive lifestyle intervention designed to induce and sustain weight loss. We have previously reported that this intervention was associated with improvements in brain

structure: lower ischemic lesion and ventricle volumes [Espeland et al., 2016]. The hypothesis framing this current manuscript is that random assignment to this long term lifestyle intervention, compared with a control condition of diabetes support and education, is associated with differences in local and global network efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The design and methods of the parent study, the Action for Health in Diabetes (Look AHEAD) trial, have been published previously [Ryan, 2003]. At baseline (2001–2004), participants had T2DM, age between 45–76 years, body mass index $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ($\geq 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ if taking insulin), HbA1c $< 11\%$, systolic blood pressure $< 160 \text{ mmHg}$, diastolic blood pressure $< 100 \text{ mmHg}$, and triglycerides $< 600 \text{ mg/dl}$. All subjects passed a maximal graded exercise test in order to ensure that exercise could be safely prescribed. Additional eligibility criteria included run-in and an interview with a behavioralist for judging the ability of the participant to adhere to lifestyle intervention, which may have culled some with overt cognitive impairment.

A subset of participants from three Look AHEAD sites (Philadelphia, PA; Pittsburgh, PA; Providence, RI) enrolled in the Look AHEAD Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (Look AHEAD Brain) study in 2012–2014, an ancillary study examining brain structure and function [Espeland et al., 2016], at their 10th, 11th, or 12th anniversary from their Look AHEAD enrollment. Eligibility was limited to active participants for whom MRI was safe (e.g. metal implants and claustrophobia excluded some participants) and could be obtained (some of the largest Look AHEAD participants could not be scanned). All participants signed a separate informed consent form for the Look AHEAD Brain study, approved by local Institutional Review Boards prior to their enrollment.

Interventions

At the time of Look AHEAD enrollment, participants were randomly assigned, with equal probability, to the Intensive Lifestyle Intervention (ILI), or to the control arm referred to as Diabetes Support and Education (DSE). The ILI, which included diet modification and physical activity, was designed to induce $\sim 7\%$ weight loss during the first year and to maintain this weight loss for the following years [Look AHEAD, 2006]. ILI individuals were provided frequent group and individual treatment sessions for the study's duration, as described previously [Look AHEAD, 2006]. The DSE participants were offered three group sessions each year (for the first 4 years) that provided education (but not behavioral instruction) about diet, physical activity, or social support [Wesche-Thobaden et al., 2011]. Medical care for participants was provided by their personal physicians, except for temporary changes in diabetes medication to treat hypoglycemia during the intensive weight loss periods in ILI [Ryan et al., 2003]. The intervention phase of Look AHEAD ended September, 2012.

Intervention adherence was assessed by centrally trained staff that was masked to intervention assignment [Ryan et al., 2003]. Body mass index (weight in kilograms divided

by the square of height in meters) was measured annually. A maximal graded exercise test was administered at baseline and a submaximal exercise test at years 1 and 4, and on a subset of participants at year 2 [Jakicic et al., 2009]. Changes in fitness at years 1 and 4 were computed as the difference between estimated metabolic equivalents (METs) when the participants achieved or exceeded 80% of age-predicted maximal heart rate or Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion of > 16 at baseline and at the subsequent assessment. The Paffenbarger Physical Activity Questionnaire was used to estimate weekly minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity at years 1, 4, and 8 in a subset of participants.

Brain MRI Data

Each participant's brain MRI scan included structural MRI and resting-state functional MRI data. The Look AHEAD T1-weighted structural MRI was acquired with a 1mm volumetric MPRAGE sequence [Espeland, 2016]. The structural image was spatially normalized to the Jakob template space after skull-stripping. The Jakob template is one of the MNI (Montréal Neurological Institute) templates. The resting-state fMRI data consisted of a series of 152 scans acquired with TR=2s while participants rested with eyes open, fixating on a centrally located crosshair inside the MRI scanner. The fMRI frames were aligned to correct for head motion during the scan, co-registered to the participant's structural image, and spatially normalized to the MNI space. The fMRI data were then band-pass filtered (0.009-0.08 Hz) to attenuate respiration and other physiological noises. In addition, six affine transformation parameters from the alignment process, as well as the mean time courses from the brain parenchyma including all gray and white matter tissues, deep white matter, and ventricles were regressed out in order to correct further motion and physiological noises. The use of global signal regression remains a topic of research as there are mixed views on the importance of this procedure. We have chosen to use global signal regression because there is evidence that it is important for assessing regional differences and helps reduce artifacts associated with large draining veins [Hayasaka, 2013]. To reduce the effects from motion artifacts, time points with a large displacement were identified. In the process known as motion scrubbing, a time point with the frame displacement (FD) greater than 0.5 was considered excessive as suggested by Power et al., and that time point as well as the one prior and the two following were removed [Power et al., 2012].

The MRI Reading Center at the University of Pennsylvania oversaw quality control of the brain MRI data collected from the three imaging sites, utilizing Siemens Tim Trio scanners. Both ADNI (Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative) and fBIRN (functional Biomedical Informatics Research Network) phantoms were scanned quarterly at each site in order to assure image quality and calibrate signal-to-noise ratio and spatial distortion; scanners from the Look AHEAD brain MRI study uniformly passed quality thresholds throughout the study. Because of this rigorous cross-site calibration process, and because of the robustness of resting-state fMRI network organization across different sites, scanners, and protocols [Moussa et al., 2012], we did not explicitly correct for sites as a covariate in our statistical analyses.

Functional Connectivity Network

A functional connectivity network was constructed for each participant's pre-processed fMRI data. First, fMRI data were parcellated into regions of interest (ROIs) defined by a multi-atlas segmentation method [Doshi et al., 2013]. One hundred fourteen ROIs belonging to gray matter areas in the cerebrum were used as nodes in functional connectivity networks. A cross-correlation matrix of the average fMRI time courses from these 114 ROIs was then calculated. The resulting correlation matrix was thresholded to generate binary sparse matrices with a matrix value of one (1) indicating that two nodes are neighbors or are connected and a value of zero (0) indicating that two nodes are not connected. Thresholding procedures in use include statistical thresholds [Garrison et al. 2015], proportional or sparsity thresholds [Garrison et al. 2015], soft thresholds [(Schwarz and McGonigle 2011), or no thresholds [Rubinov and Sporns 2011]. Nevertheless, the ideal procedure for thresholding brain networks remains unknown. In the current work we used a thresholding procedure previously demonstrated to yield highly connected sparse matrices with critical behavior [Ruan et al, 2010] [Hayasaka, 2016]. The threshold was applied to individual rows in the correlation matrix, rather than setting a single universal threshold, and resulted in networks with comparable sparsity across study participants. This thresholding approach can preserve connections in nodes with only weak links and limits network fragmentation [Ruan et al., 2010]. This method has recently been applied to neuroimaging data, with resulting networks exhibiting limited fragmentation, critical behavior, and power law degree distributions [Hayasaka, 2016]. We applied multiple thresholds to the correlation matrix to ensure that the results are robust with respect to the choice of the threshold. In particular, the threshold was adjusted so that the number of above-threshold elements per row, d , ranged from 3 to 10. A larger or smaller value of d corresponded to more or less abundant edges, respectively.

As opposed to methods such as independent components analysis (ICA) or seed-based connectivity, networks focus on the relationship between each node and every other node. Network analyses not only identify which nodes are directly connected but can help identify alternative paths that information could travel to be exchanged between nodes that are not directly connected. The highly multivariate data are typically summarized using network statistics that quantify certain properties of the networks. The current study used several nodal and network-level metrics that were calculated at each threshold. The first metric used is one of the most fundamental measures of network connectivity called degree. Degree (K) is the number of connections or edges at a given node. High degree nodes, or hubs, have the potential to spread information widely through the network. Degree was calculated for individual nodes and was averaged across all nodes to generate a network-level measure.

Global efficiency (E_{glob}) is a measure of network integration (distributed processing) and is defined at the individual node level as the average of the inverse of the shortest path length between node i and all other network nodes [Latora & Marchiori, 2001] [Rubinov and Sporns 2011]. E_{glob} was calculated for every node ($E_{glob}(i)$) and averaged across all nodes to generate a network-level measure as in described by Eq.(1):

$$E_{glob} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in G} E_{glob}(i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in G} \frac{\sum_{j \in G, j \neq i} d_{ij}^{-1}}{n-1} \quad (1)$$

where N is the set of all nodes in graph (G) and d_{ij} is the shortest path between nodes i and j in the network. E_{glob} is scaled from 0–1, with 0 indicating that a node is isolated and has no connections and a value of 1 indicating that a node is connected to all other nodes in the network. In functional brain networks, global efficiency provides a measure of the overall capacity for parallel information transfer and integrated processing among distributed components of the system (Bullmore & Sporns, 2012).

Local efficiency (E_{loc}) is a measure of network segregation (regional specificity) and is defined as the average of inverse of the shortest average path length between all neighbors of node i [Latora & Marchiori, 2001]. Neighboring nodes are defined as any nodes directly connected to node i . E_{loc} was calculated for every node ($E_{loc}(i)$) and averaged across all nodes to generate a network-level measure as described by Eq.(2):

$$E_{loc} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in G} E_{loc}(i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in G} \frac{\sum_{j,h \in G_i, j \neq i} a_{ij} a_{ih} [d_{jh}(N_i)]^{-1}}{K_i(K_i - 1)} \quad (2)$$

where N is the set of all nodes in the original graph (G) and $E_{loc}(i)$ is the efficiency of the subgraph of node i (G_i) that contains all neighbors of node i , K_i is the degree of a given node i , a_{ij} the corresponding element of the thresholded binary matrix. The local efficiency of a node reveals how effectively information is transferred among its neighbors. In a social science analogy, E_{loc} measures how likely a person's friends are also friends to each other. E_{loc} is a scaled measure ranging from 0–1, with a value of 0 indicating that there are no connections between the neighbors of a node and a value of 1 indicating that all neighbors of a node are interconnected. In functional brain networks, high E_{loc} suggests a topological organization indicative of segregated neural processing (Rubinov & Sporns, 2010). E_{loc} was calculated for individual nodes and was averaged across all nodes to generate a network-level measure.

Statistical Analysis

Both network-level and nodal metrics were compared by two-sample t-tests. Three network-level metrics, E_{glob} , E_{loc} , and K , were compared between the ILI and DSE groups. For each nodal metric, a two-sample t-test was performed at each node separately. Because the distribution of node degree was skewed, degree data were log-transformed in the analysis. Since the brain network organization may be influenced by aging [Mevell et al., 2013; Ferreira et al., 2015], we also adjusted our analysis by age and age-group interaction in a linear regression model. The age-group interaction enabled us to assess any differential aging effects on network characteristics between the two groups. For the network-wide metric analysis, a group difference with $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. For the nodal metric analysis, the Benjamini-Hochberg method [Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995]

was used to correct p-values for multiple comparisons among 114 ROIs, controlling the false-discovery rate (FDR). FDR-corrected $p < 0.05$ was considered a significant group difference. We report robust group differences consistently found in 2 or more d threshold levels.

RESULTS

Of 1,008 participants in the parent Look AHEAD study at the three clinics, 321 agreed to participate in the Look AHEAD Brain ancillary study. Among those 321 participants, 319 (99%) participants completed brain MRI scans from October 2011 through October 2014. Of these, useable resting-state fMRI scans were acquired from 312 (97.8%) participants: 152 in the DSE arm and 160 in the ILI arm. Scans were obtained an average (standard deviation) of 10.4 (0.5) years from the date of randomization in both groups. These dates ranged from 0.8 years prior to the end of the intervention to 2.0 years afterward. At this time, participants mean (standard deviation) age was 67.9 (6.2) for DSE participants compared with 68.8 (6.6) for ILI participants ($p = 0.19$).

The DSE participants averaged 9.9 (0.7) years of intervention; the ILI participants averaged 9.8 (0.7) years of intervention ($p = 0.13$). Table 1 lists demographic and clinical characteristics at enrollment in the Look AHEAD trial, in 2001–2004. No significant difference between the two groups was found for any of the factors listed in this table, except for a slight imbalance for baseline body mass index. The ILI intervention was successful in sustained differences in weight losses relative to DSE (Supplemental Exhibit 1). Changes in physical activity and fitness from baseline were markedly different between groups after year 1 of intervention, but tended to wane with time.

In the analysis of network-level statistics, a significant group difference in Eloc was found when corrected for age and age-group interaction. The group difference (DSE-ILI) as well as the age-group interaction were statistically significant for thresholds $d = 3$ –6 (Figure 1). These group differences were due to differential aging effects on Eloc between the groups. This age association can be seen from the scatterplot of Eloc versus age in Figure 2 for networks formed at $d = 5$. In the ILI group, there is a trend for lower Eloc among older participants, while the trend is in the opposite direction in the DSE group. These results indicate that local brain connectivity was lower among older ILI participants. No significant group differences were found in Eglob or K.

Analysis at the nodal level found significant group differences in Eglob in several brain regions when corrected for age and age-group interaction. In particular, a significant age-group interaction (FDR-corrected $p < 0.05$) was found in the left fusiform gyrus, right middle frontal gyrus (MFG), and pars opercularis of the right inferior frontal gyrus (see Figure 3). While the interaction was significant only for a few values of d ($d = 4$ –5) for the left fusiform and right MFG, the interaction was more consistently significant in the right pars opercularis ($d = 4$ –8). In all these brain areas, the age-group interaction was a manifestation of a differential aging effect on nodal Eglob between the groups. Figure 4 shows scatterplots of nodal Eglob in the two groups and the three ROIs from networks formed at $d = 5$ threshold, along with fitted regression lines. These plots show that Eglob is higher among older

subjects in the ILI group, whereas the trend appears opposite in the DSE group. Elevated Eglob in these areas indicates a relative ease to reach to/from these ROIs from/to any other ROIs.

Among the three nodal ROIs with a significance group differences in Eglob, we also found a significant group difference in degree K in the pars opercularis of the right inferior frontal gyrus. In particular, the age-group interaction was significant for networks constructed at thresholds $d=5-6$ (see Figure 5a). The scatterplots in Figure 5b and 5c show the age-related group difference between the two groups, based on the network generated at threshold $d=5$. While log K tended to be higher among older participants in the ILI group, such trend was not observed in the DSE group. This trend indicates that older participants in the ILI group have more abundant connections in this region compared to the DSE counterparts.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have described the effects of ILI on brain functional connectivity networks. While some effects are significant at the whole brain level, such as the network-level Eloc, there are some region-specific effects in global efficiency (Eglob) and degree. The effects we found were age-dependent, and older participants tend to exhibit larger effects. Thus the intervention effect of ILI may be more pronounced among older individuals or may facilitate age-related compensation. We have recently demonstrated that the ILI group had lower ischemic lesion volume and ventricular volume compared to the control population [Espeland et al., 2016]. Given the well-established increase in both of these measures with normal aging, it is possible that the ILI modifies age-related and diabetes-related brain changes. In addition, given that brain pathology associated with diabetes accumulates over time, these changes are likely to be most pronounced in the older individuals that have longer disease duration. If the ILI reduces the detrimental effects of diabetes on the brain, it is likely that we will see the greatest benefit when comparing the oldest of the study populations.

Reduced network-level Eloc in older ILI participants can be interpreted in two different ways. First, older ILI participants' brain networks may have fewer local interconnections compared to that of the DSE participants. This means there are less redundant local connections in ILI participants' networks, potentially increasing the risk of network disruptions should some nodes or edges fail. Alternatively, reduced Eloc may also mean that connections in ILI participants' networks extend more globally to a wider extent rather than locally concentrated. This is likely accompanied by increased Eglob, either at the network-level or nodal-level. Since there were some regions with elevated Eglob in older ILI participants' networks, this explanation is plausible.

The regional differences found in this study are consistent with previous resting-state fMRI studies with T2DM and physical activity interventions. Xia et al. reported that spontaneous resting-state activity was reduced in left fusiform gyrus in T2DM subjects [Xia et al., 2013]. It is plausible that such reduced activity may manifest as reduced global efficiency Eglob in T2DM individuals. In our study, we found that Eglob was elevated among ILI participants

compared to DSE participants. Thus it is possible that ILI may preserve, or even counteract the deleterious effects of T2DM in the left fusiform gyrus.

Yin et al. reported that a physical activity-based intervention increased spontaneous resting-state activity in the right MFG [Yin et al., 2014]. Moreover, Voss et al. reported an increase in resting-state connectivity resulting from a physical activity-based intervention in the frontal executive network, a collection of brain areas in the frontal cortex [Voss et al., 2010b]. The frontal executive network includes two of the ROIs reported in this study: the right MFG and the pars opercularis of the right inferior frontal gyrus. Thus, the effects we observed in the right frontal lobe are consistent with these reports. It is interesting to note that our findings in the frontal lobe are located on the right hemisphere, rather than bilateral. It is possible that the right frontal cortex may be more susceptible to intervention effects than the left frontal cortex.

Two small studies have examined whether weight loss interventions alter brain function. Prehn et al. reported that hippocampal resting state activity was increased during a 12-week low-calorie diet in 19 postmenopausal obese women, however this effect was not sustained during a subsequent 4 week weight maintenance phase [Prehn et al., 2016]. Frank, et al. found the resting state network activity was increased among 11 women who had undergone gastric bypass an average of 3 years prior to the MRI compared to obese controls [Frank et al., 2014]. No published studies of weight loss interventions have the duration or size to serve adequately as comparators to Look AHEAD.

There are several possible explanations for the significant regional differences in the frontal cortex we found in this study. Voss et al. hypothesize that aerobic exercise promotes integration of new neurons to the existing brain network [Voss et al., 2010a]. Such reinforcement in brain connectivity appears prominent in fronto-hippocampal connections. Although our results do not identify any significant alterations in hippocampal connectivity, this hypothesis can explain the enhanced Eglob in the MFG and the pars opercularis. Moreover, opercular insular connectivity can be enhanced through active learning [Albert et al., 2009], which may be a result of the ILI. Yin et al. speculate that the increased activity and connectivity in the right MFG is associated with increased feeling of subjective well-being resulting from an intervention [Yin et al., 2014]. This assessment is based on the right MFG's involvement in modulation of depressive states [Wang et al., 2008], as well as their prior study demonstrating the association between the functional connectivity in the right MFG and subjective well-being [Li et al., 2014]. Considering these, we hypothesize the alterations in the frontal cortex among ILI participants may be the combination of these two factors. There may be new neuronal connections made in these areas due to improved physical fitness among ILI participants. Also, the improved sense of well-being due to weight loss may be associated with enhanced functional connectivity in these areas. Future studies are needed to further elucidate if these factors are contributing to alterations in functional connectivity in the frontal cortex.

Because weight loss may increase the risk for hypoglycemia, the Look AHEAD intervention protocol including provisions for reducing diabetes medications during the intensive phase of the intervention [Ryan et al., 2003]. Overall, there was a slight increase in rate of

hypoglycemia related to the intervention during its first year, but these cases were rare and there was no increased risk during the remaining years [Look AHEAD, 2016]. Within the subset of Look AHEAD participants included in our MRI study, there were only two cases of severe hypoglycemia (e.g. involving loss of consciousness, seizure, or a glucose <70 mg/dl that prevented self-treatment) among ILI participants and no cases among DSE participants. Thus, the differences we report are unlikely to be related to these rare events, however we cannot rule out whether less severe hypoglycemia may have influenced our findings. The ILI reduced the use of medications over time, including oral diabetes medications [Espeland et al., 2014], thus it is possible the differences we report may be influenced by differential medication use over follow-up. They may also be related to improved diabetes control. At Year 1, mean levels of HbA1c in our MRI cohort were 6.52% for ILI participants and 7.20% for DSE participants ($p=0.002$). At Year 8 these were 6.97% for ILI and 7.46% for DSE participants ($p=0.006$). These parallel improvements seen in the full Look AHEAD cohort [Look AHEAD, 2013]. The ILI intervention broadly affected many other factors that may influence brain function, including depression and hypertension [Rubin et al., 2103; Espeland et al., 2015]. Thus, the mechanisms underlying the intervention effects that we describe may be complex and require further study.

Assignment to the ILI intervention was associated with lower overall mean ischemic lesions and ventricle volumes [Espeland et al., 2016], however there were no overall consistent differences in scores from a battery of cognitive function tests, both within the subset of participants with MRI and for larger segments of the cohort [Espeland et al., 2014; Espeland et al., 2016]. Thus, why the improvements in markers of cerebrovascular disease and atrophy reported elsewhere, along with improvements in functional connectivity described here, have not translated into improved cognitive function also requires further study. Lack of difference in cognitive function in the setting of quantifiable structural and functional abnormalities in the brain may be due to resilience of these functions or insensitivity of the cognitive tests.

Although we were able to uncover possible intervention effects from ILI on brain functional connectivity, there are some limitations to this study. First, this is a cross-sectional study in which participants were scanned only once after the intervention. Consequently we are only able to identify group differences, as opposed to longitudinal changes before and after the intervention. Moreover, any group differences in functional connectivity before the intervention could not be examined, although such differences are highly unlikely due to the randomization process at the commencement of the Look AHEAD study. Another potential limitation of our study is that we have used a newly developed method to threshold the networks [Ruan, 2010]. While this method has shown desirable properties such as reduced network fragmentation and scale-free degree distributions when applied to neuroimaging data [Hayasaka, 2016], further validation on a greater scale by the research community is needed. Despite the limitations, our study suggests possible differences in functional connectivity networks resulting from the lifestyle intervention, and our study may serve as a rationale for future intervention studies with longitudinal brain scans.

In summary, we demonstrated that a lifestyle intervention in T2DM may alter the connectivity in particular brain networks. Such alterations may result in improved access to/

from a number of brain areas. We have demonstrated that the effects are more apparent in older adults.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Role of the funding Sources

The funders had no role in the study design, analysis of data, interpretation of findings, or the writing of the manuscript

Clinical Sites

University of Pennsylvania

Thomas A. Wadden¹; Barbara J. Maschak-Carey²; Robert I. Berkowitz³; Bernadette Bailey; Yuliis Bell; Norman Butler; Raymond Carvajal; Christos Davatzikos; Renee Davenport; Lisa Diewald; Mark Elliott; Lucy Faulconbridge; Barry Fields; Krista Huff; Mary Jones-Parker; Brendan Keenan; Sharon Leonard; Qing-Yun Li; Katelyn Reilly; Kelly Sexton; Bethany Staley; Matthew Voluck

University of Pittsburgh

John M. Jakicic, PhD¹; Jacqueline Wesche-Thobaben²; Kirk Erickson³; Andrea Hergenroeder³; Scott Kurdilla; Regina L. Leckie; Juliet Mancino; Meghan McGuire; Tracey Murray; Anna Peluso; Deborah Vizlay; Jen C. Watt;

The Miriam Hospital/Alpert Medical School of Brown University Providence, RI

Rena R. Wing¹; Caitlin Egan²; Kathryn Demos³; Kirsten Annis; Ryan Busha; Casie Damore; Causey Dunlap; Lynn Fanella; Lucas First; Michelle Fisher, R.N.; Stephen Godbout; Anne Goldring; Ariana LaBossiere

MRI Reading Center

Nick Bryan¹; Lisa Desiderio²; Christos Davatzikos; Guray Erus; Meng-Kang Hsieh; Ilya Nasrallah

Coordinating Center

Wake Forest School of Medicine

Mark A. Espeland¹; Judy Bahnson²; Ramon Cassanova³; Satoru Hayasaka³; Denise Houston³; Paul J. Laurienti³; Robert Lyday³; Jerry M. Barnes; Tara D. Beckner; Delilah Cook; Michelle Gordon; Debra Hege; Ameila Hodges; Patricia Hogan; Ashley Morgan; Rebecca H. Neiberg; Ginger Pate; Jennifer Walker

Funding and Support

This ancillary study is supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services: DK092237-01 and DK092237-02S2.

The Action for Health in Diabetes is supported through the following cooperative agreements from the National Institutes of Health: DK57136, DK57149, DK56990, DK57177, DK57171, DK57151, DK57182, DK57131, DK57002, DK57078, DK57154, DK57178, DK57219, DK57008, DK57135, and DK56992. The following federal agencies have contributed support: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National Institute of Nursing Research; National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities; Office of Research on Women's Health; the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and the Department of Veterans Affairs. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The Indian Health Service (I.H.S.) provided personnel, medical oversight, and use of facilities. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the I.H.S. or other funding sources.

Additional support was received from the University of Pittsburgh General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) (M01RR000056), the Clinical Translational Research Center (CTRC) funded by the Clinical & Translational Science Award (UL1 RR 024153) and NIH grant (DK 046204); and the Frederic C. Bartter General Clinical Research Center (M01RR01346)

The following organizations have committed to make major contributions to Look AHEAD: FedEx Corporation; Health Management Resources; LifeScan, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson Company; OPTIFAST® of Nestle HealthCare Nutrition, Inc.; Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.; Abbott Nutrition; and Slim-Fast Brand of Unilever North America.

¹ Principal Investigator

² Program Coordinator

³ Co-Investigator

All other staff is listed alphabetically by site.

REFERENCES

- Albert NB, Robertson EM, et al. The resting human brain and motor learning. *Curr Biol*. 2009; 19(12): 1023–1027. [PubMed: 19427210]
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Roy Statist Soc B*. 1995; 57:289–300.
- Burdette JH, Laurienti PJ, et al. Using network science to evaluate exercise-associated brain changes in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2010; 2:23. [PubMed: 20589103]
- Bullmore E, Sporns O. The economy of brain network organization. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2012; 13(5): 336–349. [PubMed: 22498897]
- Chen YC, Jiao Y, et al. Aberrant brain functional connectivity related to insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a resting-state fMRI study. *Diabetes Care*. 2014; 37(6):1689–1696. [PubMed: 24658392]
- Cui Y, Jiao Y, et al. Altered spontaneous brain activity in type 2 diabetes: a resting-state functional MRI study. *Diabetes*. 2014; 63(2):749–760. [PubMed: 24353185]
- Doshi, J.; Erus, G., et al. MICCAI Challenge Workshop on Segmentation. Nagoya, Japan: 2013. Ensemble-based medical image labeling via sampling morphological appearance manifolds.
- Erickson KI, Voss MW, et al. Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011; 108(7):3017–3022. [PubMed: 21282661]
- Espeland MA, Erickson K, et al. Brain and White Matter Hyperintensity Volumes After 10 Years of Random Assignment to Lifestyle Intervention. *Diabetes Care*. 2016; 39(5):764–771. [PubMed: 27208378]
- Espeland MA, Glick HA, Bertoni AL, et al. Impact of an intensive lifestyle intervention on use and costs of medical services among overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes: The Action for Health in Diabetes. *Diab Care*. 2014; 37:2548–2556.
- Espeland MA, Probstfield J, Hire D, et al. Systolic blood pressure control among individuals with type 2 diabetes: a comparative effectiveness analysis of three interventions. *Am J Hypertens*. 2015; 28:995–1009. [PubMed: 25666468]
- Espeland MA, Rapp SR, Bray GA, et al. Long-term impact of behavioral weight loss intervention on cognitive function: The Action for Health in Diabetes Movement and Memory Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2014; 69:1101–1108.
- Ferreira LK, Regina AC, et al. Aging effects on whole-brain functional connectivity in adults free of cognitive and psychiatric disorders. *Cereb Cortex*. 2015; 26(9):3851–3865. [PubMed: 26315689]
- Frank S, Wilms B, Veit R, et al. Altered brain activity in severely obese women may recover after Roux-en Y gastric bypass surgery. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014; 38:341–348. [PubMed: 23711773]
- Garrison KA, Scheinost D, Finn ES, Shen X, Constable RT. The (in)stability of functional brain network measures across thresholds The (in)stability of functional brain network measures across thresholds. *Neuroimage*. 2015 Sep.118:651–661. [PubMed: 26021218]
- Hayasaka S. Functional connectivity networks with and without global signal correction. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2013; 7:880. [PubMed: 24385961]

- Hayasaka S. Explosive percolation in thresholded networks. *Physica A*. 2016;1–9.
- Hoogenboom WS, Marder TJ, et al. Cerebral white matter integrity and resting-state functional connectivity in middle-aged patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2014; 63(2):728–738. [PubMed: 24203723]
- Jakicic JM, Jaramillo SA, Balasubramanyam A, et al. Effect of a lifestyle intervention on change in cardiorespiratory fitness in adults with type 2 diabetes: results from the Look AHEAD Study. *Int J Obes*. 2009; 33:305–316.
- Kullmann S, Heni M, et al. The obese brain: association of body mass index and insulin sensitivity with resting state network functional connectivity. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2012; 33(5):1052–1061. [PubMed: 21520345]
- Latora V1, Marchiori M. Efficient behavior of small-world networks. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2001; 87(19)
- Li R, Zhu X, et al. Multimodal intervention in older adults improves resting-state functional connectivity between the medial prefrontal cortex and medial temporal lobe. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2014; 6:39. [PubMed: 24653698]
- Look AHEAD Research Group. The Look AHEAD study: a description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it. *Obesity*. 2006; 14(5):737–752. [PubMed: 16855180]
- Look AHEAD Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *New Eng J Med*. 2013; 369:145–154. [PubMed: 23796131]
- Look AHEAD Study Group. Severe hypoglycemia in the Look AHEAD Trial. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2016; 30(5):935–943. [PubMed: 27114389]
- McFadden KL, Cornier MA, et al. Effects of exercise on resting-state default mode and salience network activity in overweight/obese adults. *Neuroreport*. 2013; 24(15):866–871. [PubMed: 24022176]
- Mevel K, Landeau B, et al. Age effect on the default mode network, inner thoughts, and cognitive abilities. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2013; 34(4):1292–1301. [PubMed: 23084083]
- Moussa MN, Steen MR, et al. Consistency of network modules in resting-state FMRI connectome data. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(8):e44428. [PubMed: 22952978]
- Musen G, Jacobson AM, et al. Resting-state brain functional connectivity is altered in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2012; 61(9):2375–2379. [PubMed: 22664957]
- Power JD, Barnes KA, et al. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *Neuroimage*. 2012; 59(3):2142–2154. [PubMed: 22019881]
- Prehn K, Jumpertz von Schwartzberg R, Mai K, et al. Caloric restriction in older adults-differential effects of weight loss and reduced weight on brain structure and function. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2016 (Epub).
- Ruan J, Dean AK, et al. A general co-expression network-based approach to gene expression analysis: comparison and applications. *BMC Systems Biology*. 2010; 4(1):8. [PubMed: 20122284]
- Rubin RR, Peyrot M, Gaussoin SA, et al. Four-year analysis of cardiovascular disease risk factors, depression symptoms and antidepressant medicine use in the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) clinical trial of weight loss in diabetes. *Diab Care*. 2013; 36:1088–1094.
- Rubinov M, Sporns O. Weight-conserving characterization of complex functional brain networks. *Neuroimage*. 2011; 56(4):2068–2079. [PubMed: 21459148]
- Ryan DH, Espeland MA, Foster GD, et al. Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes): design and methods for a clinical trial of weight loss for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. *Control Clin Trials*. 2003; 24(5):610–628. [PubMed: 14500058]
- Schwarz AJ, McGonigle J. Negative edges and soft thresholding in complex network analysis of resting state functional connectivity data. *Neuroimage*. 2011; 55(3):1132–1146. [PubMed: 21194570]
- Voss MW, Erickson KI, et al. Functional connectivity: a source of variance in the association between cardiorespiratory fitness and cognition? *Neuropsychologia*. 2010a; 48(5):1394–1406. [PubMed: 20079755]
- Voss MW, Prakash RS, et al. Plasticity of brain networks in a randomized intervention trial of exercise training in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2010b; 2

- Wang L, Krishnan KR, et al. Depressive state- and disease-related alterations in neural responses to affective and executive challenges in geriatric depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008; 165(7):863–871. [PubMed: 18450929]
- Wesche-Thobaben JA, et al. The development and description of the comparison group in the Look AHEAD trial. *Clin Trials*. 2011; 8(3):320–329. [PubMed: 21730080]
- Xia W, Wang S, et al. Altered baseline brain activity in type 2 diabetes: a resting-state fMRI study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013; 38(11):2493–2501. [PubMed: 23786881]
- Yin S, Zhu X, et al. Intervention-induced enhancement in intrinsic brain activity in healthy older adults. *Sci Rep*. 2014; 4:7309. [PubMed: 25472002]
- Zhou H, Lu W, et al. Impairments in cognition and resting-state connectivity of the hippocampus in elderly subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Neurosci Lett*. 2010; 473(1):5–10. [PubMed: 20123114]

Highlights

- The effect of a lifestyle intervention in adults with T2DM was examined using fMRI.
- Graph theory was used to compare between intervention and control groups (N=312).
- Whole-brain local efficiency was reduced in the intervention group.
- Region-specific global efficiency was elevated in the intervention group.
- Intervention effects were age-dependent and more pronounced in older participants.

Figure 1.

The effects of age and age-group interaction from a linear regression model comparing Eloc between the DSE and ILI groups. The age effect (a) and the age-group interaction (b) are plotted over different network defining thresholds d. Statistically significant effects are indicated.

Figure 2. Scatterplots of Eloc versus age for the DSE (a) and ILI (b) participants. The data points are based on networks with threshold $d=5$. A fitted regression line is also shown in each group.

Figure 3.

The age-group interaction from a linear regression model of nodal Eglob. The age-group interaction (b) are plotted over different network defining thresholds d for the left fusiform gyrus (a), right middle frontal gyrus (b) and pars opercularis of the right inferior frontal gyrus (c). Statistically significant interactions, corrected for FDR over all ROIs, are indicated.

Figure 4.

Scatterplots of nodal Eglob versus age for the DSE (left) and ILI (right) participants in the left fusiform gyrus (top), right middle frontal gyrus (middle), and pars opercularis in the right inferior frontal gyrus (bottom). The data points are based on networks with threshold $d=5$. A fitted regression line is also shown in each plot.

Figure 5.

The age-group interaction on node degree k at the pars opercularis of the right inferior frontal gyrus. The age-group interaction from a regression model on log degree is plotted over different network defining threshold d (a). Significant interactions, corrected for FDR, are indicated in the plot. Scatter plots of log degree k versus age for the DSE (b) and ILI (c) participants, based on networks with threshold $d=5$, are also shown, along with a fitted regression line in each group.

Table 1

Characteristics at the time of enrollment into the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial of participants who had successful MRI scans in the Look AHEAD Brain Study by intervention assignment

	Diabetes Support and Education (N=152)	Intensive Lifestyle Intervention (N=160)	P-value
Age (years), No. (%)			0.37
45–54	43 (28.3%)	40 (25.0%)	
55–64	90 (59.2%)	91 (56.9%)	
65–76	19 (12.5%)	29 (18.1%)	
Female sex, No. (%)	112 (73.7%)	108 (67.5%)	0.23
Race/Ethnicity, No. (%)			0.53
African-American	36 (23.7%)	32 (20.0%)	
Non-Hispanic White	108 (71.0%)	120 (75.0%)	
Other/Multiple	8 (5.3%)	8 (5.0%)	
Education, No. (%), Miss=10			0.49
Not College Graduate	81 (55.5%)	82 (52.6%)	
College Graduate	24 (16.4%)	34 (21.8%)	
Post College	41 (28.1%)	40 (25.6%)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²), No. (%)			0.04
25 – 29	18 (11.8%)	32 (20.0%)	
30 – 39	97 (63.8%)	103 (64.4%)	
40	37 (24.3%)	25 (15.6%)	
HbA1c ^a (%), No. (%)			0.50
< 7.0	65 (42.8%)	71 (44.4%)	
7.0 – 8.9	72 (47.4%)	79 (49.4%)	
9.0	15 (9.9%)	10 (6.2%)	
Diabetes Duration, No. (%), Miss=6			0.92
<5 years	72 (48.0%)	74 (47.4%)	
5 years	78 (52.0%)	82 (52.6%)	
Insulin Use, No. (%)	20 (13.9%)	18 (11.8%)	0.58
Hypertension, No. (%)	127 (83.6%)	132 (82.5%)	0.80
Prior Cardiovascular Disease, No. (%)	13 (8.6%)	13 (8.1%)	0.89
Depressive Symptoms, No. (%), Miss=1			0.76
Beck < 11	132 (87.4%)	138 (86.2%)	
Beck ≥ 11	19 (12.6%)	22 (13.8%)	

	Diabetes Support and Education (N=152)	Intensive Lifestyle Intervention (N=160)	P-value
Alcohol intake, No. (%), Miss=1			0.77
None	95 (62.5%)	98 (61.2%)	
<1/day	45 (29.6%)	52 (32.5%)	
1/day	12 (7.9%)	10 (6.2%)	
Baseline Smoking Status, No. (%), Miss=1			0.96
Never	75 (49.3%)	81 (50.9%)	
Past	71 (46.7%)	72 (45.3%)	
Present	6 (4.0%)	6 (3.8%)	
Fitness, METS, No. (%)			0.07
<7.1	84 (54.2%)	72 (43.9%)	
7.1	71 (45.8%)	92 (56.1%)	
Paffenbarger, No. (%)			0.72
<1060	73 (47.1%)	70 (42.7%)	
1060	35 (22.6%)	41 (25.0%)	
Not Collected	47 (30.3%)	53 (32.3%)	

^a Glycated hemoglobin

* No participants had a history of stroke at Look AHEAD enrollment