Timing of surgery for infantile esotropia: sensory and motor outcomes

Agnes M.F. Wong, MD, PhD, FRCSC

Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Sciences, The Hospital for Sick Children and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont

Abstract

Infantile esotropia is a common ophthalmic disorder in childhood. It is often accompanied by profound maldevelopment of stereopsis, motion processing, and eye movements, despite successful surgical realignment of the eyes. The proper timing of surgery has been debated for decades. There is growing evidence from clinical and animal studies that surgery during the early critical periods enhances sensory and ocular motor development. The Congenital Esotropia Observational Study has defined a clinical profile of infants who will benefit most from early surgery, and several other studies have shown that early surgery does not lead to adverse long-term effects. Clinicians now should consider offering early surgery to patients with large-angle, constant infantile esotropia at or before 10 months of age.

Keywords

stereopsis; eye movements; mVEP; motion perception; horizontal connections; primary visual cortex

Infantile esotropia is a nasalward eye misalignment that begins in the first 6 months of life. It affects 1 in every 100 to 500 persons.^{1,2} Infantile esotropia is characteristically large in magnitude (>20 prism diopters [PD]) and cosmetically conspicuous. While there is uniform agreement among pediatric ophthalmologists that a large-angle, constant infantile esotropia requires surgical correction, the proper timing of surgery has been debated for decades.^{3,4} In North America, the typical age at surgery ranges from 11 to 18 months, and in many parts of Western Europe, surgery is delayed until 2 to 4 years of age.⁵ Despite successful surgical realignment of the eyes, a number of sensory-motor deficits often persist into adulthood.^{6,7} They include abnormal stereopsis,^{8,9} latent fixation nystagmus,^{10–12} dissociated vertical deviation,^{12,13} abnormal eye movements (e.g., nasotemporal asymmetries of optokinetic nystagmus [OKN]^{14–16} and smooth pursuit,^{12,17} and abnormal vergence^{18–20}), as well as abnormal visual motion processing^{7,21–23} and global motion perception.^{24–27}

In the last decade, advances in pediatric anesthesia and surgical techniques have made it possible to realign the eyes of strabismic infants at weeks or months of age.^{28,29} The rationale for early surgery stems from research in animals showing that the earlier within the

critical periods the eyes are aligned, the more likely it is that normal binocular vision will develop.^{30–32} Indeed, a number of clinical studies have shown that the sensory and ocular motor outcomes of children who had early surgery are substantially better than those who were repaired at the current standard age of surgery (referred to as surgery from 11 to 18 months of age in this review). This paper reviews the basic and clinical science literature on the critical periods of sensory and ocular motor development, then discusses the neural mechanisms that underlie the deficits typically seen in infantile esotropia. Following this, it examines current evidence in support of early surgery and discusses the clinical profile of infants who will most likely benefit from early surgery.

Critical periods of sensory and motor development

Stereopsis

The critical period for binocular visual development occurs around the first 4 to 6 months of life.^{33–35} Binocular disparity sensitivity and fusion are absent in infants younger than several months of age.^{33,34,36–38} Stereopsis emerges abruptly during the first 3 to 5 months of life, and is nearly mature by 6 months of age.^{33,34,39,40} Humans with a history of infantile esotropia have abnormal binocularity.^{9,41–47} Experimental findings in cats and monkeys showed that a short period of deprivation during the critical period of binocular development results in severe, irreversible deficits in stereopsis^{48–52} and permanent loss of binocular cortical neurons.^{32,53,54}

Optokinetic nystagmus

The development of the ocular motor systems, including OKN, smooth pursuit, and vergence, is also exquisitely sensitive to the effects of early abnormal binocular visual experience. OKN is a reflexive pattern of eye movements that tracks the motion of large regions of the visual field. It is believed to be mediated by a subcortical system that includes the pretectal nucleus of the optic tract (NOT) and the dorsal terminal nucleus (DTN) of the accessory optic system.^{55,56} During monocular viewing, normal neonates exhibit asymmetric monocular OKN that favours nasalward over temporalward motion.^{57,58} This nasotemporal asymmetry disappears by 6 months of age.^{57–59} In children^{16,59,60} and adults^{14,59–63} with a history of infantile esotropia, asymmetric monocular OKN persists. OKN asymmetry is also evident in cats^{64,65} and monkeys⁶⁶ that experience various forms of monocular or binocular deprivation early in life. It is generally believed that at birth only the subcortical system is functional. The monocular OKN is asymmetrical in the neonatal period because neurons in the NOT-DTN are innately selective for ipsiversive target motion.⁶⁷ As binocularity emerges and as an indirect ipsilateral cortical projection from the middle temporal area (MT) to the NOT matures,⁶⁸ monocular OKN becomes symmetrical because of the dominating effects of this binocular cortical pathway over the subcortical pathway.^{67,69}

Smooth pursuit

Smooth pursuits are slow-tracking eye movements that hold the image of a moving target on the fovea. Humans and monkeys with early-onset strabismus have a striking nasotemporal asymmetry of pursuit favouring nasalward motion during monocular viewing.^{12,14,17,70–72}

The asymmetry is exhibited transiently in normal human and monkey infants before the onset of binocularity,^{58,59} but it persists permanently if strabismus develops in the neonatal period.^{12,70} It is not seen in strabismus that develops after infancy.^{17,73} When patients were asked to judge the speed of moving targets, they systematically underestimated the speed of a temporalward-moving target and overestimated that of a nasalward-moving target, even though the targets moved at identical speed.^{12,74} Taken together, these observations suggest a link between aberrant binocularity and abnormal visual motion processing that affects pursuit pathways during the critical period for ocular motor development.^{70,75–81} However, motion perception biases both similar⁸² and opposite^{62,82} to those reported earlier,^{12,74} as well as no or small motion biases,^{83,84} have also been reported.

Vergence

Vergence eye movements are disjunctive movements that move the eyes in opposite directions (i.e., convergence and divergence), so that the images of a single object fall on the fovea of both eyes simultaneously. Two major visual cues that stimulate vergence are image displacement on the retinae (i.e., binocular disparity) and image defocusing (i.e., accommodative blur).^{85,86} Vergence,^{87–89} accommodation,^{88,89} and disparity sensitivity are all immature at birth^{33,36,37} but develop rapidly in the first few months of life. Humans^{18–20} and monkeys⁹⁰ with a history of infantile esotropia have major deficits in disparity-induced vergence, but they have normal accommodative vergence. During binocular viewing with far and near targets placed in the subjects' midline (i.e., symmetric vergence stimuli), normal humans respond predominantly with symmetric vergence. In contrast, strabismic patients respond predominantly with asymmetric vergence, accompanied by a disjunctive saccade.^{19,20} During monocular viewing, the vergence behaviour in strabismic patients does not change, whereas vergence in normal humans becomes remarkably strabismic-like, with a 4- to 5-fold increase in asymmetric saccadic vergence.^{19,20} These findings suggest that vergence in strabismic humans during binocular viewing is achieved by monocular, accommodative vergence driven chiefly by visual inputs to the dominant eye.^{19,20}

Motion visual evoked potentials

Abnormal motion processing, measured by recording the visual evoked potential (VEP) responses to monocularly viewed oscillating horizontal gratings, has also been demonstrated in patients with infantile esotropia.^{7,21,22,26,91–94} Motion VEP (mVEP) arises predominantly from binocular, direction-selective neurons within the primary visual cortex (V1).^{7,93} Normal neonates (< 1 month) exhibit symmetric mVEPs, indicating that their cortical responses are equally strong to nasalward and temporalward directions of motion.²¹ Nasotemporal mVEP asymmetry emerges in normal infants at 2 to 3 months of age, but it rapidly diminishes and becomes adult-like by 6 to 8 months.^{7,21,22,26} mVEP asymmetry is typically observed in patients^{7,21,22,26,91–94} and monkeys with a history of infantile esotropia.⁹⁵ In addition, there is a strong association between abnormal binocularity and mVEP asymmetry during normal maturation and in infantile esotropia.²¹ Because mVEP signals are inherently ambiguous regarding direction, attempts to reveal the perceptual directional bias of mVEP asymmetry have yielded inconclusive or opposite findings, with some studies finding a nasalward bias^{26,62} and others a temporalward bias.⁹⁶

Global motion perception

Global motion refers to an overriding perception of a single direction in complex textured displays that consist of a large number of small elements moving in different directions. During normal development, very young (5–6 weeks) infants have symmetric global motion perception during monocular viewing.^{25,26,97} Asymmetric global motion perception favouring nasalward movements first appears at 2 months and disappears by about 6 months of age.^{25,26,97} Asymmetry of global motion perception is observed in patients with a history of infantile esotropia.^{24–27} Physiologic,^{98,99} psychophysical,^{100–102} and neuropsychologic^{103–106} studies indicate that global motion perception is mediated via specific visual pathways (magnocellular, dorsal extrastriate) and extrastriate areas (MT).

Neural mechanisms of the sensory-motor deficits in infantile esotropia

What are the neural mechanisms that underlie the sensory-motor deficits typically seen in infantile esotropia? At present, it is generally accepted that horizontal binocular connections in V1 play a critical role.

Visual signals from each eye are completely segregated in the lateral geniculate nucleus and at the input layer 4C of V1.^{107,108} Binocular visual processing first occurs via horizontal binocular connections in layers 4B and 2–6, above and below input layer 4C, which link ocular dominance columns (ODCs) of opposite ocularity (i.e., the right and left eyes).^{79,107,109} Maturation of horizontal binocular connections in V1 requires correlated activity between the inputs from the right and left eye.¹¹⁰ Infantile strabismus results in decorrelated inputs from the eyes and hence, a loss of horizontal binocular connections.^{79,109} The reduction of horizontal binocular connections in V1 results in deficits in disparity sensitivity and binocular responsiveness in V1 neurons,^{31,50,111} which manifest behaviourally as poor fusional vergence and stereopsis.^{77,112}

Binocular signals from layer 4B of V1, in turn, are projected onto the extrastriate cortex, MT, and medial superior temporal (MST) areas.¹¹³ Neurons in MT and MST are sensitive to motion direction and to binocular disparity.^{114,115} MT and MST mediate smooth pursuit/OKN,^{116,117} vergence,^{115,118} as well as complex motion perception.^{98,99} MST in each cerebral hemisphere encodes ipsiversive pursuit/OKN and gaze holding. In newborns, the outputs from V1 to each MST are monocular, with an innate connectivity bias favouring the contralateral MST.⁷⁰ For example, inputs from the viewing left eye make a stronger connection, through V1 of both hemispheres, to MST of the right hemisphere. MST on the side ipsilateral to the viewing eye can only be accessed through binocular horizontal connections in V1 and in MT;⁷⁰ however, these binocular horizontal connections are weak at birth and require correlated visual activity in order to mature during the first few months of life.

This innate, monocular, contralateral-MST connectivity bias provides a plausible mechanism for the nasalward pursuit/OKN bias, evident before onset of binocularity, in infant monkeys and humans. Left eye viewing activates left eye ODCs in each primary visual cortex. Left eye ODCs make stronger connections to the right MST. The right MST mediates ipsiversive (rightward) pursuit/OKN, which are nasalward movements with respect to the viewing left

eye. During normal development, horizontal binocular connections mature so that the left eye ODCs also gain access to the left MST, and the nasalward bias disappears. However, decorrelated visual activity in infantile strabismus leads to a loss of horizontal binocular connections; hence, the nasalward bias persists and is amplified. This bias is manifested clinically as nasotemporal asymmetries of smooth pursuit and OKN, as well as nasalward drift of gaze holding (i.e., latent fixation nystagmus).

Early versus standard surgery

In light of the myriad scientific and clinical evidence that showed the devastating effects of infantile strabismus on early visual and ocular motor development, as well as the poor functional outcomes of these patients despite successful realignment of the eyes, a logical question is whether early surgery performed during the critical periods of development would be beneficial. Specifically, can early surgery restore correlated visual inputs between the 2 eyes and promote maturation of horizontal binocular connections in V1, thereby enhancing the development of fusion, stereopsis, and various eye movements? To answer these questions, we fitted prism goggles in infant macaques at day 1 of life to induce an optical strabismus.^{77,79–81,119–123} The early correction group wore the prism goggles for 3 weeks (the equivalent of 3 months before surgical repair in humans¹²⁴). The standard/delayed correction group wore the prism goggles for 3 or 6 months (the equivalent of 12 or 24 months before surgical repair in humans). We found that standard/delayed correction resulted in deficits typically associated with infantile esotropia, including abnormal stereopsis,¹²⁰ long-term eye misalignment,¹²¹ latent fixation nystagmus,¹²³ as well as nasotemporal asymmetries of monocular smooth pursuit, OKN, and mVEPs.^{77,80} In contrast, none of the animals with early correction developed these abnormalities.

Our neuroanatomic data, furthermore, indicated that both the behavioural and mVEP recoveries in early correction monkeys were associated with normal development of area V1, whereas standard/delayed correction and unrepaired naturally strabismic monkeys had striking structural and metabolic abnormalities in V1.^{120,122} The major structural deficit was a paucity of binocular connections between ODCs of opposite eyes.^{120,122} This defect of binocular connectivity was apparent in layer 4B and in interpatch compartments of layers 2/3 in V1. Most interestingly, these behavioural and anatomical deficits were tightly linked; the animals with the most severe sensory, ocular motor, and mVEP abnormalities also had the largest reduction in horizontal binocular connections in V1.^{120,122} Our data also suggested that the critical periods for normal maturation are different from those for functional recovery; extrapolating from our animal data, it appears that normal development of stereopsis and eye movements occurs most rapidly in the first 6 months of life in humans, whereas the critical periods of functional recovery occur sometime between the first 3 and 12 months postnatally.

New knowledge about sensory and ocular motor development in humans in the 1980s provided further support for early surgery. Costenbader,¹²⁵ Parks,⁴ and others¹²⁶ are all notable advocates for early surgery. Their early work has inspired a number of classic clinical studies^{9,127–130} that showed that surgical realignment of the eyes during the first 2 years of life is associated with a higher prevalence of stereopsis than surgical alignment later

in life. Recent studies suggest that surgical alignment during the first year of life may enhance stereopsis further,^{8,9,127–129,131–135} and that surgical alignment during the first 6 months of life may be optimal (Fig. 1).^{28,29,127,131–133} Interestingly, although both age at alignment and duration of misalignment are linked to better stereoacuity outcomes, Birch et al.^{132,133} found that the duration of misalignment appears to be the more important factor. Better stereoacuity, in turn, is associated with more stable long-term eye alignment.¹³³

The effects of early surgery on other outcomes are less well studied in humans. Birch et al.²¹ found that only rare patients with surgery during the first 10 months of life achieved symmetric mVEPs. Recent mVEP data from our group^{136,137} are more promising. Eight patients with early surgery at 11 months of age, 8 with standard surgery at 11 to 18 months of age, and 7 age-matched controls were studied prospectively. We found that the normal controls and patients with early surgery exhibited symmetric mVEPs, whereas patients with standard surgery exhibited asymmetric mVEPs (Fig. 2).

In another study²⁶ that investigated the codevelopment of motion detection and mVEPs, early surgery during the first year of life was found to improve the nasotemporal asymmetries of both motion detection and mVEPs. Interestingly, although this same research group suggested that duration of misalignment is better than age at surgery in predicting stereopsis outcome,¹³³ they found no difference in these 2 other outcomes, mVEPs and motion detection, between patients with a short duration (3–6 months) versus a long duration (6–12 months) of misalignment.²⁶ We also found that patients with early surgery developed more symmetric OKN (Fig. 3) and motion detection than those with standard surgery.

Who will benefit from early surgery?

A frequently cited rationale against early surgery is the possibility of spontaneous resolution. This concern has led to 2 studies: the Congenital Esotropia Observational Study (CEOS)¹³⁸ and the Early Surgery for Congenital Esotropia (ESCET) collaborative clinical trial,¹³⁴ which was a proposed multicenter randomized clinical trial. The CEOS¹³⁸ found that infantile esotropia persists in 98% of infants who have large-magnitude ($\geq 20^\circ$ or 40 PD) constant esotropia with onset after 10 weeks of age and refractive error ≤ 3.00 diopters. Thus, the CEOS¹³⁸ and other studies^{134,139,140} successfully defined a clinical profile of infants most likely to benefit from early surgery (Fig. 4). The ESCET, unfortunately, was not funded because experience from the CEOS indicated that recruitment of eligible patients would be too low to make a randomized clinical trial feasible.¹³⁴

Another concern regarding early surgery is the lack of stability of deviation in young infants.^{3,134,138,141,142} This issue was addressed by a recent prospective study,¹³² which found that neither the instability of misalignment nor the accuracy of orthoptic measurement had any negative impact on long-term eye alignment in patients who had early surgery.¹³²

Conclusion

Infantile esotropia is a common health problem in childhood. It is important to clinicians because it is difficult to treat and it is almost always associated with abnormal sensory and

ocular motor outcomes despite standard surgery. It is important to vision scientists because it is accompanied by profound maldevelopment of stereopsis, motion processing, and eye tracking. The proper timing of surgery has been debated for decades. There is mounting evidence from clinical and animal studies that surgery during the early critical periods of development enhances sensory and ocular motor outcomes. The CEOS¹³⁸ has defined a clinical profile of infants who will benefit most from early surgery, and several studies^{132,139} have shown that early surgery poses no adverse long-term effects. Clinicians now should consider offering early surgery to infants with infantile esotropia who fit the clinical profile described by the CEOS.¹³⁸

Acknowledgments

Supported by a New Investigator Award (MSH 55058), and grants MOP 67104 and MOP 57853 from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the University Health Network Ophthalmology Practice Plan, and the Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Science at the Hospital for Sick Children.

References

1. Greenberg AE, Mohny BG, Diehl NN, Burke JP. Incidence and types of childhood esotropia: a population-based study. *Ophthalmology*. 2007; 114:170–4. [PubMed: 17070595]
2. Mohny BG, Erie JC, Hodge DO, Jacobsen SJ. Congenital esotropia in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Ophthalmology*. 1998; 105:846–50. [PubMed: 9593385]
3. Jampolsky, A. When should one operate for congenital strabismus?. In: Brockhurst, RJ, Boruchoff, SA, Hutchinson, BT., Lessell, S., editors. *Controversy in Ophthalmology*. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders; 1977. p. 416–22.
4. Parks, MM. Operate early for congenital strabismus. In: Brockhurst, RJ, Boruchoff, SA, Hutchinson, BT., Lessell, S., editors. *Controversy in Ophthalmology*. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders; 1977. p. 423–30.
5. von Noorden, GK. *Binocular Vision and Ocular Motility: Theory and Management of Strabismus*. 5. St. Louis, Mo: CV Mosby; 1996.
6. Tychsen L. Improvements in smooth pursuit and fixational eye movements after strabismus surgery in infants. *Ophthalmology*. 1991; 98(Suppl):94.
7. Norcia AM, Hamer RD, Jampolsky A, Orel-Bixler D. Plasticity of human motion processing mechanisms following surgery for infantile esotropia. *Vision Res*. 1995; 35:3279–96. [PubMed: 8560799]
8. Birch EE, Stager DR, Berry P, Everett ME. Prospective assessment of acuity and stereopsis in amblyopic infantile esotropes following early surgery. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1990; 31:758–65. [PubMed: 2335443]
9. Birch EE, Stager DR, Everett ME. Random dot stereoacuity following surgical correction of infantile esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1995; 32:231–5. [PubMed: 7494159]
10. Dell'Osso LF, Ellenberger C Jr, Abel LA, Flynn JT. The nystagmus blockage syndrome. Congenital nystagmus, manifest latent nystagmus, or both? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1983; 24:1580–7. [PubMed: 6654639]
11. Dell'Osso LF, Schmidt D, Daroff RB. Latent, manifest latent, and congenital nystagmus. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1979; 97:1877–85. [PubMed: 485910]
12. Tychsen L, Lisberger SG. Maldevelopment of visual motion processing in humans who had strabismus with onset in infancy. *J Neurosci*. 1986; 6:2495–508. [PubMed: 3746419]
13. Kutluk S, Avilla CW, von Noorden GK. The prevalence of dissociated vertical deviation in patients with sensory heterotropia. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1995; 119:744–7. [PubMed: 7785688]
14. Schor CM, Levi DM. Disturbances of small-field horizontal and vertical optokinetic nystagmus in amblyopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1980; 19:668–83. [PubMed: 7380625]

15. Westall CA, Eizenman M, Kraft SP, Panton CM, Chatterjee S, Sigesmund D. Cortical binocularity and monocular optokinetic asymmetry in early-onset esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1998; 39:1352–60. [PubMed: 9660483]
16. Westall CA, Shute RH. OKN asymmetries in orthoptic patients: contributing factors and effect of treatment. *Behav Brain Res.* 1992; 49:77–84. [PubMed: 1388804]
17. Tychsen L, Hurtig RR, Scott WE. Pursuit is impaired but the vestibuloocular reflex is normal in infantile strabismus. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1985; 103:536–9. [PubMed: 3872654]
18. Kenyon RV, Ciuffreda KJ, Stark L. Dynamic vergence eye movements in strabismus and amblyopia: asymmetric vergence. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1981; 65:167–76. [PubMed: 7225309]
19. Ciuffreda, KJ., Kenyon, RV. Accommodative vergence and accommodation in normals, amblyopes, and strabismics. In: Schor, CM., Ciuffreda, KJ., editors. *Vergence Eye Movements: Basic and Clinical Aspects.* Boston, Mass: Butterworth; 1983. p. 101-73.
20. Kenyon RV, Ciuffreda KJ, Stark L. Dynamic vergence eye movements in strabismus and amblyopia: symmetric vergence. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1980; 19:60–74. [PubMed: 7350136]
21. Birch EE, Fawcett S, Stager D. Co-development of VEP motion response and binocular vision in normal infants and infantile esotropes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000; 41:1719–23. [PubMed: 10845591]
22. Norcia AM, Garcia H, Humphry R, Holmes A, Hamer RD, Orel-Bixler D. Anomalous motion VEPs in infants and in infantile esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1991; 32:436–9. [PubMed: 1993597]
23. Tychsen L, Burkhalter A, Boothe RG. Neural mechanisms in infantile esotropia—what goes wrong? *Am Orthopt J.* 1996; 46:18–28.
24. Shallo-Hoffmann J, Faldon M, Hague S, Riordan-Eva P, Fells P, Gresty M. Motion detection deficits in infantile esotropia without nystagmus. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1997; 38:219–26. [PubMed: 9008646]
25. Bosworth RG, Birch EE. Motion detection in normal infants and young patients with infantile esotropia. *Vision Res.* 2005; 45:1557–67. [PubMed: 15781073]
26. Bosworth RG, Birch EE. Direction-of-motion detection and motion VEP asymmetries in normal children and children with infantile esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007; 48:5523–31. [PubMed: 18055801]
27. Fawcett S, Raymond JE, Astle WF, Skov CM. Anomalies of motion perception in infantile esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1998; 39:724–35. [PubMed: 9538879]
28. Wright KW, Edelman PM, McVey JH, Terry AP, Lin M. High-grade stereo acuity after early surgery for congenital esotropia. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1994; 112:913–9. [PubMed: 8031270]
29. Ing MR. Surgical alignment prior to six months of age for congenital esotropia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1995; 93:135–46. [PubMed: 8719675]
30. Hubel DH, Wiesel TN. Binocular interaction in striate cortex of kittens reared with artificial squint. *J Neurophysiol.* 1965; 28:1041–59. [PubMed: 5883731]
31. Crawford ML, von Noorden GK. The effects of short-term experimental strabismus on the visual system in *Macaca mulatta*. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1979; 18:496–505. [PubMed: 108230]
32. Crawford ML, von Noorden GK. Optically induced concomitant strabismus in monkeys. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1980; 19:1105–9. [PubMed: 7410001]
33. Birch EE, Gwiazda J, Held R. Stereoacuity development for crossed and uncrossed disparities in human infants. *Vision Res.* 1982; 22:507–13. [PubMed: 6981241]
34. Fox R, Aslin RN, Shea SL, Dumais ST. Stereopsis in human infants. *Science.* 1980; 207:323–4. [PubMed: 7350666]
35. Leguire LE, Rogers GL, Bremer DL. Visual-evoked response binocular summation in normal and strabismic infants. Defining the critical period. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1991; 32:126–33. [PubMed: 1987094]
36. Birch EE, Gwiazda J, Held R. The development of vergence does not account for the onset of stereopsis. *Perception.* 1983; 12:331–6. [PubMed: 6669459]
37. Birch EE, Shimojo S, Held R. Preferential-looking assessment of fusion and stereopsis in infants aged 1–6 months. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1985; 26:366–70. [PubMed: 3972517]

38. Gwiazda J, Bauer J, Held R. Binocular function in human infants: correlation of stereoptic and fusion-rivalry discriminations. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1989; 26:128–32. [PubMed: 2723974]
39. Birch E, Petrig B. FPL and VEP measures of fusion, stereopsis and stereoacuity in normal infants. *Vision Res*. 1996; 36:1321–7. [PubMed: 8711910]
40. Petrig B, Julesz B, Kropfl W, Baumgartner G, Anliker M. Development of stereopsis and cortical binocularity in human infants: electrophysiological evidence. *Science*. 1981; 213:1402–5. [PubMed: 7268443]
41. Birch EE, Stager DR. Monocular acuity and stereopsis in infantile esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1985; 26:1624–30. [PubMed: 4055294]
42. Fawcett SL, Wang YZ, Birch EE. The critical period for susceptibility of human stereopsis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2005; 46:521–5. [PubMed: 15671277]
43. Birch EE, Stager DR Sr, Berry P, Leffler J. Stereopsis and long-term stability of alignment in esotropia. *J AAPOS*. 2004; 8:146–50. [PubMed: 15088048]
44. Stager DR, Birch EE. Preferential-looking acuity and stereopsis in infantile esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1986; 23:160–5. [PubMed: 3746589]
45. Romano P, Michels M. Binocular luminance summation in infants. A test for stereopsis? *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1985; 103:1840–1. [PubMed: 4074176]
46. Lema SA, Blake R. Binocular summation in normal and stereoblind humans. *Vision Res*. 1977; 17:691–5. [PubMed: 602028]
47. Movshon JA, Chambers BE, Blakemore C. Interocular transfer in normal humans, and those who lack stereopsis. *Perception*. 1972; 1:483–90. [PubMed: 4680949]
48. Timney B. Development of binocular depth perception in kittens. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1981; 21:493–6. [PubMed: 7275536]
49. Crawford ML, et al. Binocular neurons and binocular function in monkeys and children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1983; 24:491–5. [PubMed: 6832918]
50. Crawford ML, Smith EL 3rd, Harwerth RS, von Noorden GK. Stereoblind monkeys have few binocular neurons. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1984; 25:779–81. [PubMed: 6735641]
51. Timney B. Effects of brief monocular deprivation on binocular depth perception in the cat: a sensitive period for the loss of stereopsis. *Vis Neurosci*. 1990; 5:273–80. [PubMed: 2134850]
52. Boothe RG, Brown RJ. What happens to binocularity in primate strabismus? *Eye*. 1996; 10:199–208. [PubMed: 8776449]
53. Crawford ML, Harwerth RS, Smith EL, von Noorden GK. Loss of stereopsis in monkeys following prismatic binocular dissociation during infancy. *Behav Brain Res*. 1996; 79:207–18. [PubMed: 8883832]
54. Smith EL 3rd, Chino YM, Ni J, Cheng H, Crawford ML, Harwerth RS. Residual binocular interactions in the striate cortex of monkeys reared with abnormal binocular vision. *J Neurophysiol*. 1997; 78:1353–62. [PubMed: 9310426]
55. Kato I, Harada K, Hasegawa T, Ikarashi T. Role of the nucleus of the optic tract of monkeys in optokinetic nystagmus and optokinetic afternystagmus. *Brain Res*. 1988; 474:16–26. [PubMed: 3214707]
56. Schiff D, Cohen B, Raphan T. Nystagmus induced by stimulation of the nucleus of the optic tract in the monkey. *Exp Brain Res*. 1988; 70:1–14. [PubMed: 3261253]
57. Atkinson, J. Development of optokinetic nystagmus in the human infant and monkey infant: an analogue to development in kittens. In: Freeman, RD., editor. *Developmental Neurobiology of Vision*. New York, N.Y: Plenum Press; 1979. p. 277–87. NATO. Advanced Study Institute Series
58. Naegele JR, Held R. The postnatal development of monocular optokinetic nystagmus in infants. *Vision Res*. 1982; 22:341–6. [PubMed: 7090188]
59. Atkinson, J., Braddick, O. Development of optokinetic nystagmus in infants: an indicator of cortical binocularity?. In: Fisher, DF, Monty, RA., Senders, JW., editors. *Eye Movements: Cognition and Visual Perception*. Hillsdale, N.J: Erlbaum; 1981. p. 53–64.

60. Reed MJ, Steinbach MJ, Anstis SM, Gallie B, Smith D, Kraft S. The development of optokinetic nystagmus in strabismic and monocularly enucleated subjects. *Behav Brain Res.* 1991; 46:31–42. [PubMed: 1786112]
61. Wright KW. Clinical optokinetic nystagmus asymmetry in treated esotropes. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus.* 1996; 33:153–5. [PubMed: 8771516]
62. Brosnahan D, Norcia AM, Schor CM, Taylor DG. OKN, perceptual and VEP direction biases in strabismus. *Vision Res.* 1998; 38:2833–40. [PubMed: 9775329]
63. Valmaggia C, Proudlock F, Gottlob I. Optokinetic nystagmus in strabismus: are asymmetries related to binocularity? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2003; 44:5142–50. [PubMed: 14638710]
64. Van Hof-Van Duin J. Direction preference of optokinetic responses in monocularly tested normal kittens and light deprived cats. *Arch Ital Biol.* 1978; 116:471–7. [PubMed: 749728]
65. Harris LR, Cynader M. The eye movements of the dark-reared cat. *Exp Brain Res.* 1981; 44:41–56. [PubMed: 7274362]
66. Sparks DL, Mays LE, Gurski MR, Hickey TL. Long- and short-term monocular deprivation in the rhesus monkey: effects on visual fields and optokinetic nystagmus. *J Neurosci.* 1986; 6:1771–80. [PubMed: 3712009]
67. Hoffmann KP, Bremmer F, Thiele A, Distler C. Directional asymmetry of neurons in cortical areas MT and MST projecting to the NOT-DTN in macaques. *J Neurophysiol.* 2002; 87:2113–23. [PubMed: 11929929]
68. Distler C, Hoffmann KP. Visual receptive field properties in kitten pretectal nucleus of the optic tract and dorsal terminal nucleus of the accessory optic tract. *J Neurophysiol.* 1993; 70:814–27. [PubMed: 8410174]
69. Harris LR, Lewis TL, Maurer D. Brain stem and cortical contributions to the generation of horizontal optokinetic eye movements in humans. *Vis Neurosci.* 1993; 10:247–59. [PubMed: 8485089]
70. Kiorpes L, Walton PJ, O'Keefe LP, Movshon JA, Lisberger SG. Effects of artificial early-onset strabismus on pursuit eye movements and on neuronal responses in area MT of macaque monkeys. *J Neurosci.* 1996; 16:6537–53. [PubMed: 8815931]
71. Walton PJ, Lisberger SG. Binocular misalignment in infancy causes directional asymmetries in pursuit. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1989; 30(Suppl):S304.
72. Demer JL, von Noorden GK. Optokinetic asymmetry in esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus.* 1988; 25:286–92. [PubMed: 24880174]
73. Sokol S, Peli E, Moskowitz A, Reese D. Pursuit eye movements in late-onset esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus.* 1991; 28:82–6. [PubMed: 2051294]
74. Tychsen L, Rastelli A, Steinman S, Steinman B. Biases of motion perception revealed by reversing gratings in humans who had infantile-onset strabismus. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1996; 38:408–22. [PubMed: 8698149]
75. Day SH, Norcia AM. Infantile esotropia and the developing visual system. *Ophthalmol Clin North Am.* 1990; 3:281–8.
76. Tychsen L. Binocular vision. In: Hart, WM., editor. *Adler's Physiology of the Eye: Clinical Applications.* 9. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby; 1992.
77. Wong AM, Foeller P, Bradley D, Burkhalter A, Tychsen L. Early versus delayed repair of infantile strabismus in macaque monkeys: I. Ocular motor effects. *J AAPOS.* 2003; 7:200–9. [PubMed: 12825061]
78. Wong AM, Foeller P, Bradley D, Tychsen L. Early vs. delayed repair of infantile strabismus in macaque monkeys: effects on cerebral ocular motor circuits. *Can J Ophthalmol.* 2002; 37:105.
79. Tychsen L, Wong AM, Burkhalter A. Paucity of horizontal connections for binocular vision in V1 of naturally strabismic macaques: cytochromeoxidase compartment specificity. *J Comp Neurol.* 2004; 474:261–75. [PubMed: 15164426]
80. Tychsen L, Wong AM, Foeller P, Bradley D. Early versus delayed repair of infantile strabismus in macaque monkeys: II. Effects on motion visually evoked responses. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004; 45:821–7. [PubMed: 14985296]

81. Wong AM, Burkhalter A, Tychsen L. Suppression of metabolic activity caused by infantile esotropia and strabismic amblyopia in striate visual cortex of macaque monkeys. *J AAPOS*. 2005; 9:37–47. [PubMed: 15729279]
82. Schor CM, Fusaro RE, Wilson N, McKee SP. Prediction of early-onset esotropia from components of the infantile squint syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1997; 38:719–40. [PubMed: 9071227]
83. Hartmann EE, Succop A, Buck SL, Weiss AH, Teller DY. Quantification of monocular optokinetic nystagmus asymmetries and motion perception with motion-nulling techniques. *J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis*. 1993; 10:1835–40. [PubMed: 8350166]
84. Roberts N, Westall C. OKN asymmetries in amblyopia—their effect on velocity perception. *Clin Vis Sci*. 1990; 5:383–9.
85. Cumming BG, Judge SJ. Disparity-induced and blur-induced convergence eye movement and accommodation in the monkey. *J Neurophysiol*. 1986; 55:896–914. [PubMed: 3711971]
86. Rashbass C, Westheimer G. Disjunctive eye movements. *J Physiol*. 1961; 159:339–60. [PubMed: 14490420]
87. Hainline L, Riddell PM. Binocular alignment and vergence in early infancy. *Vision Res*. 1995; 35:3229–36. [PubMed: 8560794]
88. Aslin, R. Infant accommodation and convergence. In: Simons, K., editor. *Early Visual Development, Normal and Abnormal*. New York, N.Y: Oxford University Press; 1993. p. 30-8.
89. Aslin RN, Jackson RW. Accommodative-convergence in young infants: development of a synergistic sensory-motor system. *Can J Psychol*. 1979; 33:222–31. [PubMed: 546490]
90. Tychsen L, Scott C. Maldevelopment of convergence eye movements in macaque monkeys with small- and large-angle infantile esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2003; 44:3358–68. [PubMed: 12882782]
91. Fawcett SL, Birch EE. Motion VEPs, stereopsis, and bifoveal fusion in children with strabismus. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2000; 41:411–6. [PubMed: 10670470]
92. Jampolsky A, Norcia AM, Hamer RD. Preoperative alternate occlusion decreases motion processing abnormalities in infantile esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1994; 31:6–17. [PubMed: 8195968]
93. Shea SJ, Chandna A, Norcia AM. Oscillatory motion but not pattern reversal elicits monocular motion VEP biases in infantile esotropia. *Vision Res*. 1999; 39:1803–11. [PubMed: 10343872]
94. Kommerell G, Ullrich D, Gilles U, Bach M. Asymmetry of motion VEP in infantile strabismus and in central vestibular nystagmus. *Doc Ophthalmol*. 1995; 89:373–81. [PubMed: 7493538]
95. Tychsen L, Boothe RG. Latent fixation nystagmus and nasotemporal asymmetries of motion visually evoked potentials in naturally strabismic primate. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1996; 33:148–52. [PubMed: 8771515]
96. Mason AJ, Braddick OJ, Wattam-Bell J, Atkinson J. Directional motion asymmetry in infant VEPs— which direction? *Vision Res*. 2001; 41:201–11. [PubMed: 11163854]
97. Wattam-Bell J. Motion processing asymmetries and stereopsis in infants. *Vision Res*. 2003; 43:1961–8. [PubMed: 12831758]
98. Newsome WT, Britten KH, Movshon JA. Neuronal correlates of a perceptual decision. *Nature*. 1989; 341:52–4. [PubMed: 2770878]
99. Newsome WT, Paré EB. A selective impairment of motion perception following lesions of the middle temporal visual area (MT). *J Neurosci*. 1988; 8:2201–11. [PubMed: 3385495]
100. Raymond JE. Movement direction analysers: independence and bandwidth. *Vision Res*. 1993; 33:767–75. [PubMed: 8351848]
101. Raymond JE. Complete interocular transfer of motion adaptation effects on motion coherence thresholds. *Vision Res*. 1993; 33:1865–70. [PubMed: 8266642]
102. Braddick O, Atkinson J, Wattam-Bell J. Normal and anomalous development of visual motion processing: motion coherence and ‘dorsal-stream vulnerability’. *Neuropsychologia*. 2003; 41:1769–84. [PubMed: 14527540]
103. Baker CL Jr, Hess RF, Zihl J. Residual motion perception in a “motion-blind” patient, assessed with limited-lifetime random dot stimuli. *J Neurosci*. 1991; 11:454–61. [PubMed: 1992012]

104. Barton JJ, Sharpe JA, Raymond JE. Retinotopic and directional defects in motion discrimination in humans with cerebral lesions. *Ann Neurol*. 1995; 37:665–75. [PubMed: 7755362]
105. Barton JJ, Sharpe JA, Raymond JE. Directional defects in pursuit and motion perception in humans with unilateral cerebral lesions. *Brain*. 1996; 119:1535–50. [PubMed: 8931578]
106. Beckers G, Hömberg V. Cerebral visual motion blindness: transitory akinetopsia induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation of human area V5. *Proc Biol Sci*. 1992; 249:173–8. [PubMed: 1360678]
107. Hubel DH, Wiesel TN. Ferrier lecture. Functional architecture of macaque monkey visual cortex. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 1977; 198:1–59. [PubMed: 20635]
108. Hubel D. Exploration of the primary visual cortex, 1955–78. *Nature*. 1982; 299:515–24. [PubMed: 6750409]
109. Tychsen L, Burkhalter A. Neuroanatomic abnormalities of primary visual cortex in macaque monkeys with infantile esotropia: preliminary results. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*. 1995; 32:323–8. [PubMed: 8531039]
110. Löwel S, Singer W. Selection of intrinsic horizontal connections in the visual cortex by correlated neuronal activity. *Science*. 1992; 255:209–12. [PubMed: 1372754]
111. Wiesel TN. Postnatal development of the visual cortex and the influence of environment. *Nature*. 1982; 299:583–91. [PubMed: 6811951]
112. Crawford ML, Harwerth RS, Smith EL, von Noorden GK. Loss of stereopsis in monkeys following prismatic binocular dissociation during infancy. *Behav Brain Res*. 1996; 79:207–18. [PubMed: 8883832]
113. Ungerleider LG, Desimone R. Cortical connections of visual area MT in the macaque. *J Comp Neurol*. 1986; 248:190–222. [PubMed: 3722458]
114. Maunsell JH, Van Essen DC. Functional properties of neurons in middle temporal visual area of the macaque monkey. II. Binocular interactions and sensitivity to disparity. *J Neurophysiol*. 1983; 49:1148–67. [PubMed: 6864243]
115. Takemura A, Inoue Y, Kawano K, Quaia C, Miles FA. Single-unit activity in cortical area MST associated with disparity-vergence eye movements: evidence for population coding. *J Neurophysiol*. 2001; 85:2245–66. [PubMed: 11353039]
116. Dürsteler MR, Wurtz RH. Pursuit and optokinetic deficits following chemical lesions of cortical areas MT and MST. *J Neurophysiol*. 1988; 60:940–65. [PubMed: 3171667]
117. Dürsteler MR, Wurtz RH, Newsome WT. Directional pursuit deficits following lesions of the foveal representation within the superior temporal sulcus of the macaque monkey. *J Neurophysiol*. 1987; 57:1262–87. [PubMed: 3585468]
118. Kawano K. Ocular tracking: behavior and neurophysiology. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 1999; 9:467–73. [PubMed: 10448153]
119. Wong AM, Lueder GT, Burkhalter A, Tychsen L. Anomalous retinal correspondence: neuroanatomic mechanism in strabismic monkeys and clinical findings in strabismic children. *J AAPOS*. 2000; 4:168–74. [PubMed: 10849394]
120. Tychsen L. Causing and curing infantile esotropia in primates: the role of decorrelated binocular input (an American Ophthalmological Society thesis). *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc*. 2007; 105:564–93. [PubMed: 18427630]
121. Sin L, Tychsen L, Foeller P, Bradley D, Wong AM. Early versus delayed correction of infantile strabismus in macaque monkeys: effects on long-term eye alignment. *Neuro-Ophthalmology*. 2007; 31:167–9.
122. Richards M, Tychsen L, Foeller P, Bradley D, Wong AM. Early versus delayed correction of infantile strabismus in macaque monkeys: effects on horizontal binocular connections in the striate cortex. *Neuro-Ophthalmology*. 2007; 31:171–4.
123. Richards M, Wong A, Foeller P, Bradley D, Tychsen L. Duration of binocular decorrelation predicts the severity of latent (fusion maldevelopment) nystagmus in strabismic macaque monkeys. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2008; 49:1872–8. [PubMed: 18223249]
124. Boothe RG, Dobson V, Teller DY. Postnatal development of vision in human and nonhuman primates. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1985; 8:495–545. [PubMed: 3920945]

125. Costenbader FD. Infantile esotropia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1961; 59:397–429. [PubMed: 13881627]
126. Ing M, Costenbader FD, Parks MM, Albert DG. Early surgery for congenital esotropia. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1966; 61:1419–27. [PubMed: 5938310]
127. Ing MR. Early surgical alignment for congenital esotropia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1981; 79:625–63. [PubMed: 7043869]
128. Zak TA, Morin JD. Early surgery for infantile esotropia: results and influence of age upon results. *Can J Ophthalmol.* 1982; 17:213–8. [PubMed: 7172109]
129. Kushner BJ, Fisher M. Is alignment within 8 prism diopters of orthotropia a successful outcome for infantile esotropia surgery? *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1996; 114:176–80. [PubMed: 8573021]
130. Taylor DM. Is congenital esotropia functionally curable? *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1972; 70:529–76. [PubMed: 4663682]
131. Ing MR, Okino LM. Outcome study of stereopsis in relation to duration of misalignment in congenital esotropia. *J AAPOS.* 2002; 6:3–8. [PubMed: 11907472]
132. Birch EE, Stager DR Sr. Long-term motor and sensory outcomes after early surgery for infantile esotropia. *J AAPOS.* 2006; 10:409–13. [PubMed: 17070474]
133. Birch EE, Fawcett S, Stager DR. Why does early surgical alignment improve stereopsis outcomes in infantile esotropia? *J AAPOS.* 2000; 4:10–4. [PubMed: 10675865]
134. Birch E, Stager D, Wright K, Beck R. The natural history of infantile esotropia during the first six months of life. *Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. J AAPOS.* 1998; 2:325–8. [PubMed: 10532717]
135. Hiles DA, Watson BA, Biglan AW. Characteristics of infantile esotropia following early bimedial rectus recession. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1980; 98:697–703. [PubMed: 7369904]
136. Gerth C, Mirabella G, Li X, et al. Timing of surgery for infantile esotropia in humans: Effects on cortical motion visual evoked responses. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008; 49:3432–7. [PubMed: 18441299]
137. Peckford, L., Wright, T., Gerth, C., Wong, AM. Annual Meeting Abstract and Program Planner. Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology; 2007. Early vs. delayed surgery for infantile esotropia in human infants: effects on cortical visual motion processing. Abstract 4868. Available at: <http://www.arvo.org> [Accessed June 24, 2008]
138. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Spontaneous resolution of early-onset esotropia: experience of the Congenital Esotropia Observational Study. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2002; 133:109–18. [PubMed: 11755846]
139. Birch EE, Feliuss J, Stager DR Sr, Weakley DR Jr, Bosworth RG. Pre-operative stability of infantile esotropia and post-operative outcome. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2004; 138:1003–9. [PubMed: 15629292]
140. Fu VL, Stager DR, Birch EE. Progression of intermittent, small-angle, and variable esotropia in infancy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007; 48:661–4. [PubMed: 17251463]
141. Ing MR. Progressive increase in the angle of deviation in congenital esotropia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1994; 92:117–25. discussion 126–31. [PubMed: 7886860]
142. Nelson LB, Wagner RS, Simon JW, Harley RD. Congenital esotropia. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 1987; 31:363–83. [PubMed: 3303394]

Fig. 1.

Summary of stereopsis outcome by age at surgery. Each circle indicates the prevalence of stereopsis from each study cited. Short horizontal dashes represent mean prevalence of stereopsis for different age groups. (1, Birch et al.²¹; 2, Ing²⁹; 3, Ing¹²⁷; 4, Ing and Okino¹³¹; 5, Wright et al.²⁸; 6, Birch et al.¹³⁴; 7, Birch and Stager¹³²; 8, Birch et al.⁹; 9, Birch et al.⁸; 10, Kushner and Fisher¹²⁹; 11, Hiles et al.¹³⁵; 12, Zak and Morin¹²⁸; 13, Taylor.¹³⁰)

Fig. 2.

Motion visual evoked potential (mVEP) outcome by age at surgery. The symmetry of mVEP is measured by an asymmetry index. The higher the asymmetry index, the more abnormal and asymmetric the mVEP responses. The mean asymmetry index in the early surgery group was similar to that in age-matched control subjects, and was significantly lower than that in the standard surgery group.

Fig. 3.

Optokinetic nystagmus (OKN) outcome by age at surgery. The symmetry of OKN is measured by a nasal bias index. The higher the nasal bias index, the more abnormal and asymmetric the OKN responses. The mean asymmetry index in the early surgery group was similar to that in age-matched control subjects, and was significantly lower than that in the standard surgery group.

1. Presence or persistence of esotropia between 10 weeks and 6 months of age
2. Constant esotropia $\geq 20^\circ$ or 40 prism diopters at near (1/3 m) on two examinations, separated by 2–4 weeks
3. Refractive error ≤ 3.00 diopters
4. Absence of any of the following conditions:
 - a. Gestational age < 34 weeks
 - b. Birth weight ≤ 1500 g
 - c. Ventilator treatment in the newborn period
 - d. History of meningitis or other major medical event
 - e. Developmental delay
 - f. Incomitant or paralytic strabismus
 - g. Manifest nystagmus or head bobbing
 - h. Prior eye muscle surgery
 - i. Presence of structural ocular anomalies

Fig. 4.

Clinical profile of infants who will benefit most from early surgery.¹³⁸