New Peptidomimetic Boronates for Selective Inhibition of the Chymotrypsin-like Activity of the 26S Proteasome

Xiaozhou Zhang,^{||,†,‡} Alaknanda Adwal,^{*,||,§} Andrew G. Turner,[§] David F. Callen,[§] and Andrew D. Abell^{†,‡}[†]Department of Chemistry, The University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia[‡]Centre for Personalised Cancer Medicine, Discipline of Medicine, The University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia[§]ARC Centre of Excellence for Nanoscale BioPhotonics, Institute of Photonics and Advanced Sensing, Department of Chemistry, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Proteasome is a large proteinase complex that degrades proteins via its three catalytic activities. Among these activities, the “chymotrypsin-like” activity has emerged as the focus of drug discovery in cancer therapy. Here, we report new peptidomimetic boronates that are highly specific for the chymotrypsin-like catalytic activity of the proteasome. These new specific proteasome inhibitors were demonstrated to have higher *in vitro* potency and selective cytotoxicity for cancer cells compared to benchmark proteasome inhibitors: bortezomib and carfilzomib. In breast cancer cell lines, treatment with **1a** or **2a** induced accumulation of the high molecular weight polyubiquitinated proteins at similar levels observed for bortezomib and carfilzomib, indicating that cancer cell death caused by **1a/2a** is chiefly due to proteasome inhibition.

KEYWORDS: 26S proteasome inhibitors, boronic ester, solid cancer, chymotrypsin-like activity, immunoproteasome

Inhibition of the 26S proteasome is a recognized therapy for the treatment of certain hematological cancers, with bortezomib and carfilzomib (Figure 1) being FDA approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Several other proteasome inhibitors are currently in clinical trials for $\beta 5$ subunit of constitutive proteasome and $\beta 5i$ subunit of immunoproteasome associated proteolytic activities.¹ These proteasome inhibitors share some common structural features, with a linear peptide backbone and a C-terminal electrophile that forms a covalent bond with the N-terminal threonine of the $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, or $\beta 5$ catalytic subunits of the 26S proteasome. Bortezomib is a dipeptide boronic acid that reversibly inhibits the chymotrypsin-like (CT-L) activity of the 26S proteasome by preferentially binding to the active site of the $\beta 5$ subunit.² However, at higher doses it also inhibits the caspase-like (C-L) and trypsin-like (T-L) activities associated with the $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ subunits, respectively. Studies have shown that bortezomib has a broad off-target inhibitory effect on other proteases, which are likely to contribute to its multiple clinical side effects.³ In comparison, the C-terminal epoxyketone of carfilzomib is highly selective for $\beta 5$ and $\beta 5i$ subunits with minimal cross reactivity to other proteases, allowing more sustained and specific inhibition of the 20S proteasome.⁴ While carfilzomib has reduced side effects compared to bortezomib, its C-terminal epoxyketone is highly unstable *in vivo*, resulting in a short plasma half-life (5–20 min) and, therefore, low tissue distribution.⁵ These shortcomings restrict the use of

bortezomib and carfilzomib in treating multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma. The FDA also approved a boronic citrate MLN9708 (ixazomib) in 2015 for treating multiple myeloma patients who have received at least one prior therapy.^{6,7} MLN9708 preferentially inhibits $\beta 5/\beta 5i$ subunits and has significantly reduced cytotoxicities compared to another boronate (CEP-18770, delanzomib), which inhibits both $\beta 5$ and $\beta 1$ subunit.⁸ Despite excellent *in vitro* efficacy in preclinical models, these inhibitors have so far failed to show a similar clinical benefit in patients with solid tumors.⁹ This is likely associated with the low biostability and selectivity of carfilzomib and bortezomib.^{10,11} Thus, new proteasome inhibitors are required with improved overall anticancer efficacy, especially for the treatment of solid cancers.

Here we report new peptidomimetic boronate-based 26S proteasome inhibitors (see **1a,b** and **2a,b** in Figure 1) that have high specificity for the $\beta 5$ catalytic subunit and low toxicity to nonmalignant cells. Compounds **1a** and **2a** induced robust accumulation of high molecular weight proteins by inhibiting the 26S proteasome. Compared to bortezomib and carfilzomib, compound **1a** was significantly more toxic toward many cancer cell lines tested. Importantly, both compounds displayed less

Received: May 23, 2016

Accepted: September 13, 2016

Published: September 13, 2016

Figure 1. Structures of the FDA-approved proteasome inhibitors bortezomib and carfilzomib and the target peptidomimetic boronate inhibitors **1a,b** and **2a,b**.

toxicity toward nonmalignant cell lines. Previous reports^{12,13} have shown that the incorporation of a hydrophobic substituent, such as isoleucine, at P2 of peptidic aldehydes enhances selectivity for the CT-L activity over the T-L and C-L activities of the 20S proteasome. One such example (compound **3**, **Figure 2**) shows an excellent *in vitro* activity of 21 nM for CT-L. This peptidic aldehyde also bears a unique aliphatic azide at P3 to provide additional opportunities for hydrogen bonding interactions with the active site. It is worth noting that an azido group is known to be stable in biological environments¹⁴ and it is found in FDA-approved drugs such as AZT.¹⁵ Compound **4** (**Figure 2**), with an O-allylated tyrosine at P2 and a pyrrole replacing the P3 residue and an associated peptide bond, also shows selectivity for the CT-L activity. The backbone pyrrole moiety reduces the peptide-like character of the inhibitor and defines the backbone in an extended conformation. However, the C-terminal aldehyde-based peptidomimetics of type **3** and **4** are known to react with a variety of other proteases, e.g. chymotrypsin,^{16,17} calpains,^{18,19} and cathepsins.^{20,21} Here we replace the aldehyde with a boronic pinanediol ester (highlighted in pink, **Figure 1**), a group reported to provide similar activity toward the CT-L activity of the 26S proteasome compared to the corresponding boronic acid,²² while being easier to prepare and purify. The chiral ester also defines the absolute configuration of the P1 group introduced during synthesis and negates the need for a final and somewhat problematic deprotection to produce boronic acid. Target compounds **1a** and **2a** have a leucine at P1, as found in known proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib and carfilzomib.^{23–25} In comparison, compounds **1b** and **2b** have a phenylalanine at this position, since the S₁ binding pocket of the CT-L activity of the immunoproteasome

is known to favor the binding of a large hydrophobic group.²⁶ The boronates **1a,b** and **2a,b** were prepared as detailed in the **Supporting Information** (see section f, **Supporting Information**).

The 20S proteasome exhibits T-L, C-L, and CT-L activities. Of the three activities, the CT-L activity carries out the bulk of

Figure 2. Structures of proteasome inhibitors reported by Abell et al. The amino acid residues of the inhibitors are defined according to nomenclature developed by Schechter and Berger.²⁷

the proteolytic breakdown, and is the most common target of pharmacologically designed proteasome inhibitors.²⁸ Therefore, we first evaluated whether compounds **1a,b** and **2a,b** were potent and selective for the CT-L activity, with the results shown in **Table 1**.

As expected, both bortezomib and carfilzomib were highly potent inhibitors of CT-L activity in this assay with IC₅₀ values of 34.6 nM and 23.1 nM respectively. Bortezomib also significantly inhibited the C-L activity, which is consistent with a previous report.²⁹ The new peptidic boronates **1a,b** and **2a,b** were also highly active against the CT-L activity, with derivatives **1a,b** and **2a** proving to be more potent than bortezomib and carfilzomib. The most potent inhibitor in this series, **1a**, has an IC₅₀ of 14.1 nM against the CT-L activity, which is more than 2-fold more potent than bortezomib. Unlike bortezomib, all of the compounds were at least 10-fold less active against the C-L activity compared to the CT-L activity. Compound **2a** was the most selective inhibitor of CT-L over C-L, with a 200-fold difference in activities. As per our design, compounds **1b** and **2b** with P1 Phe are more potent against the β5 subunit of the immunoproteasome in comparison to **1a** and **2a**, which have P1 Leu. Compound **1b**, with an IC₅₀ of 13.8 nM, proved to be the most active inhibitor of β5i among all analogues and is slightly more potent compared to bortezomib. All compounds were also tested against chymotrypsin. The two most potent CT-L inhibitors, **1a** and **2a**, were inactive against α-chymotrypsin at the highest concentration tested (25000 nM). Compounds **1b** and **2b** showed some limited activity, presumably since they contain a Phe at P1. Somewhat surprisingly, bortezomib also showed limited activity.

Following administration of carfilzomib, patients display less of the “typical” toxicities associated with bortezomib, and this has been attributed to its higher selectivity for inhibiting CT-L activity over T-L, C-L, as well as other serine proteases’ activities.²⁴ Therefore, the combination of excellent potency and high selectivity for the CT-L activity observed for the peptidic boronates **1a,b** and **2a,b** provides an opportunity to reduce the side effects associated with the low subunit selectivity of bortezomib and limit the drug resistance caused by the mutation in the CT-L activity of the proteasome found in bortezomib-resistant cell lines.³⁰

Table 1. Inhibition of Rabbit 20S Proteasome and Bovine α -Chymotrypsin by 1a,b, 2a,b, Bortezomib, and Carfilzomib

	IC ₅₀ (CT-L) (nM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (T-L) (nM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (C-L) (nM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (β Si) (nM) ^a	K _i (bCt) (nM) ^b
1a	14.1 $\pm$ 4.2	>25000	1598.0 $\pm$ 98.3	117.8 $\pm$ 24.1	>25000
1b	21.0 $\pm$ 4.4	>25000	2448.3 $\pm$ 73.2	13.8 $\pm$ 1.9	7127.7
2a	20.9 $\pm$ 7.7	>25000	4179.0 $\pm$ 341.4	483.0 $\pm$ 112.4	>25000
2b	104.0 $\pm$ 15.9	>25000	3343.3 $\pm$ 416.1	113.3 $\pm$ 24.4	1309.8
bortezomib	34.6 $\pm$ 4.2	>25000	108.4 $\pm$ 34.0	16.8 $\pm$ 1.6	1824.2
carfilzomib	23.1 $\pm$ 4.4	>25000	>25000	ND ^c	>25000

^a $\pm$ Standard error of mean; $n = 3$. ^bCt: bovine α -chymotrypsin, K_i values are the mean of three experiments. Variation between experiments is less than $\pm 10\%$. ^cND = Not determined.

Table 2. Cytotoxicity of Proteasome Inhibitors against a Panel of Solid Cancer Cell Lines or Nonmalignant Cell Lines^a

Cell line	Histology/origin	P53 status	LD ₅₀ (μ M)				
			1a	2a	1b	Bortezomib	Carfilzomib
WE-68	Ewing sarcoma	wild-type	0.035* (± 0.001)	0.065 (± 0.007)	0.014 (± 0.005)	0.1 (± 0.01)	0.08 (± 0.02)
RDES		mutant	0.035 (± 0.005)	0.065 (± 0.01)	0.033 (± 0.001)	0.04 (± 0.002)	0.043 (± 0.012)
KGN	Ovarian cancer	wild-type	0.065 (± 0.02)	0.18 (± 0.11)	0.048 (± 0.023)	0.18 (± 0.09)	0.45 (± 0.15)
SKOV3		null	0.37* (± 0.15)	1.5 (± 0.3)	0.046 (± 0.004)	1.6 (± 0.4)	0.32 (± 0.11)
MCF7	Breast cancer	wild-type	1.5* (± 0.5)	3* (± 0.05)	0.079 (± 0.047)	9.8 (± 5.5)	4.5 (± 3.5)
MDAMB468		mutant	0.03 (± 0.004)	0.05 (± 0.003)	0.024 (± 0.004)	0.037 (± 0.013)	0.33 (± 0.11)
NCI-H929	Multiple myeloma	wild-type	0.0064 (± 0.0002)	0.009 (± 0.003)	0.012 (± 0.001)	0.0066 (± 0.0011)	ND
U266		mutant	0.015 (± 0.001)	0.029 (± 0.002)	0.014 (± 0.001)	0.018 (± 0.001)	0.06 (± 0.01)
MCF10A	Immortalised nonmalignant breast	wild-type	5 (± 1.5)	9* (± 3)	1.25 (± 1.9)	1.5 (± 0.6)	0.32 (± 0.09)
IMR-90	Normal primary lung fibroblast	wild-type	0.2 (± 0.1)	0.15 (± 0.1)	0.008 (± 0.05)	0.13 (± 0.09)	0.13 (± 0.02)
DSF	Normal skin fibroblast	wild-type	0.5 (± 0.1)	1.08* (± 0.12)	0.06 (± 0.04)	0.48 (± 0.2)	0.35 (± 0.11)
LCL	B-cell lymphoblastoid	wild-type	0.03* (± 0.001)	0.09* (± 0.003)	ND	0.02 (± 0.002)	0.03 (± 0.006)

^aDose–response curves are provided in the Supporting Information. * indicates statistical significance (<0.05) compared to bortezomib. ND = not determined.

We next investigated whether the high potency and selectivity of 1a and 2a for CT-L activity translated into improved cytotoxic activity against cultured cancer cell lines. The cytotoxic LD₅₀ across a panel of sarcoma, ovarian, breast, and myeloma cell lines was determined using 7AAD assays following a 48 h exposure to titrations (0–5000 nM) of 1a, 1b, 2a, bortezomib, and carfilzomib. Preliminary data for compound 2b against breast cancer cell lines showed limited cytotoxic activity and, hence, was excluded from further study (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Viability studies were also performed with a nonmalignant breast epithelial cell line MCF-10A, a primary human lung fibroblasts cell line IMR-90, a primary human skin fibroblast line NDF, and a normal human immortalized lymphoblastoid cell line LCL, thus allowing us to determine if the cytotoxicity of these compounds was cancer cell-specific.

Compounds 1a, 1b, and 2a displayed potent *in vitro* cytotoxicity and dose-dependently decreased cell viability in all cell lines tested. Of the three inhibitors, in both solid and liquid cancer cell lines, compound 1a consistently resulted in equal or greater cytotoxicity compared to bortezomib and carfilzomib. Myeloma cell lines are known to be highly sensitive to proteasome inhibitors. Consistent with this, the myeloma cell lines NCI-H929 and U266 showed the highest levels of sensitivity, with LD₅₀ values of 0.0064 μ M and 0.015 μ M, respectively (see Table 2). Sensitivity to compound 1a varied considerably between solid cancer cell lines, with LD₅₀ values ranging from 0.035 μ M in the RD-ES sarcoma cell line to 1.5 μ M in the MCF7 breast carcinoma cell line. In particular, compound 1a induced cell death in the Ewing sarcoma cell line WE-68 (LD₅₀ 0.035 μ M) and ovarian cancer cell line SKOV-3

(LD₅₀ 0.37 μ M) at significantly lower doses compared to bortezomib (0.1 μ M; 1.6 μ M respectively, $p < 0.01$; $n = 3$). Recent evidence suggests that selective inhibition of the immunoproteasome-associated β 5i and β 1i activities is particularly effective against hematological cell lines, as these predominantly express the immunoproteasome.³¹ Despite compound 1b being more active than 1a against the β 5i subunit, compound 1a was more cytotoxic than 1b against the myeloma cell line NCI-H929 and equally cytotoxic against the U266 cell line (LD₅₀ values of 0.0064 μ M, 0.015 μ M and 0.012 μ M, 0.014 μ M for compounds 1a and 1b, respectively). This incongruity between subunit selectivity and cytotoxic LD₅₀ is also observed for carfilzomib and may be a reflection of differential drug cell permeability. Importantly, the cytotoxicity of compounds 1a and 2a was more specific to cancer cells, compared to bortezomib and carfilzomib. Compound 1a was approximately 3-fold, and compound 2a 6-fold less toxic to nonmalignant cells compared to bortezomib. The relative sensitivity of the cell lines to 1a and 2a was essentially identical, suggesting a common mechanism of cytotoxic action of each inhibitor in a particular cell line. Compound 1b, in contrast, was much more cytotoxic against normal cell lines than 1a and 2a, deeming it unfit for therapeutic applications.

Previous studies report that inhibition of the proteasome causes stabilization of the tumor suppressor p53, leading to activation of downstream pathways and, as a consequence, cancer cell cycle arrest or cell death.^{32,33} Therefore, to determine if the cell death observed upon treatment with 1a and 2a was influenced by p53 signaling, a pair of p53 wild-type and p53 mutant/null cell lines were used for each cancer type. There was no significant difference between the average LD₅₀

values of the p53 wild-type and p53 mutant/null cell lines (Figure S2, [Supporting Information](#)); albeit, there was considerable variation within each cancer type. In multiple myeloma and ovarian cancer, p53-proficient cell lines NCI-H929 and KGN were approximately 2-fold and 5-fold more sensitive than U266 and SKOV-3 cell lines in which p53 was mutated or null. However, in breast cancer cell lines the trend was reversed, with p53 mutated cell line MDA-MB-468 being markedly more sensitive than MCF7 with wild-type p53. As the overall pattern of sensitivity of the cell lines was individually consistent across a small library of proteasome inhibitors (bortezomib, carfilzomib, **1a** and **2a**), it is possible that stabilization of p53 may mediate cytotoxic effects in a cancer or tissue dependent manner.

Next, the accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins in intact cells was analyzed to verify that the observed cell death was a result of proteasome inhibition by compounds **1a/2a**. Cellular proteins destined for degradation are first “tagged” with multiple ubiquitin molecules to be recognized by the 26S proteasome. Therefore, inhibition of the proteasome results in rapid accumulation of high molecular weight polyubiquitin-conjugated proteins, which can be detected with an anti-ubiquitin antibody. Western blot analyses revealed that treatment with 35 nM of compounds **1a** and **2a** for 4 h substantially increased high molecular weight polyubiquitinated proteins in both MDA-MB-468 and MCF7 cell lines (Figure S3, [Supporting Information](#)). This observation excludes the possibility that reduced uptake of proteasome inhibitors by MCF7 is responsible for the cytotoxic insensitivity of this cell line. For both **1a** and **2a**, the extent of polyubiquitin accumulation was quantitatively similar to that observed using bortezomib. This is largely consistent with the cytotoxic efficacies observed for these compounds. Defects or mutations in downstream signaling pathways that drive proteasome inhibitor mediated cell death are likely responsible for the variation in sensitivity seen across cell lines. However, the mechanism that drives cell death requires further assessment and falls outside the scope of this study.

In summary, we report examples of a new class of proteasome inhibitor **1a,b** and **2a,b** with improved *in vitro* activity against the purified enzyme and higher specificity for the CT-L activity compared to bortezomib. Inhibitor **1a** was shown to be significantly more cytotoxic against solid tumor cells compared to both bortezomib and carfilzomib, warranting further investigation *in vivo*. We also demonstrate that the observed cytotoxicity of compounds **1a** and **2a** was due to inhibition of the 26S, as Western blot analysis of the cell lines treated with these compounds showed a significant accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins as a result of decreased proteasome function. Thus, compound **1a** is an attractive drug candidate that offers potential benefits, as it is predicted to possess reduced clinical side effects compared to the current chemotherapy agents bortezomib and carfilzomib.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00217](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00217).

Preparation of boronates, experimental procedures, full characterization data copies of ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra and HPLC traces for all new compounds, dose–

response curves for the inhibition of purified 20S proteasome and cell cytotoxicity experiments ([PDF](#))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: alagnanda.alagnanda@adelaide.edu.au.

Author Contributions

[†]X.Z. and A.A. contributed equally.

Funding

This project was funded by Australian Research Council.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Paul M. Neilsen for his assistance in *in vitro* enzyme studies, Phil Clement for his assistance in NMR analysis, and Kathleen I. Pishas for her intellectual contribution. X.Z. would like to acknowledge Ashok Pehera, Neils Krogsgaard-Larsen, and Borja Lopez for assistance in chemical syntheses. We thank ANFF for funding of equipments.

■ ABBREVIATIONS

AMC, 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin; bCt, bovine α -chymotrypsin; FDA, U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 7AAD, 7-aminoactinomycin D

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Teicher, B. A.; Tomaszewski, J. E. Proteasome inhibitors. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *96*, 1.
- (2) Chen, D.; Frezza, M.; Schmitt, S.; Kanwar, J.; Dou, Q. P. Bortezomib as the first proteasome inhibitor anticancer drug: current status and future perspectives. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **2011**, *11*, 239.
- (3) Arastu-Kapur, S.; Anderl, J. L.; Kraus, M.; Parlato, F.; Shenk, K. D.; Lee, S. J.; Muchamuel, T.; Bennett, M. K.; Driessen, C.; Ball, A. J.; Kirk, C. J. Nonproteasomal targets of the proteasome inhibitors bortezomib and carfilzomib: a link to clinical adverse events. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2011**, *17*, 2734.
- (4) Kuhn, D. J.; Orlowski, R. Z.; Bjorklund, C. C. Second generation proteasome inhibitors: carfilzomib and immunoproteasome-specific inhibitors (IPSIs). *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **2011**, *11*, 285.
- (5) Wang, Z.; Yang, J.; Kirk, C.; Fang, Y.; Alsina, M.; Badros, A.; Papadopoulos, K.; Wong, A.; Woo, T.; Bomba, D.; Li, J.; Infante, J. R. Clinical pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and drug-drug interaction of carfilzomib. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2013**, *41*, 230.
- (6) Chauhan, D.; Tian, Z.; Zhou, B.; Kuhn, D.; Orlowski, R.; Raju, N.; Richardson, P.; Anderson, K. C. In Vitro and In Vivo Selective Antitumor Activity of a Novel Orally Bioavailable Proteasome Inhibitor MLN9708 against Multiple Myeloma Cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2011**, *17*, 5311.
- (7) Kupperman, E.; Lee, E. C.; Cao, Y.; Bannerman, B.; Fitzgerald, M.; Berger, A.; Yu, J.; Yang, Y.; Hales, P.; Bruzzese, F.; Liu, J.; Blank, J.; Garcia, K.; Tsu, C.; Dick, L.; Fleming, P.; Yu, L.; Manfredi, M.; Rolfe, M.; Bolen, J. Evaluation of the Proteasome Inhibitor MLN9708 in Preclinical Models of Human Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2010**, *70*, 1970.
- (8) Gallerani, E.; Zucchetti, M.; Brunelli, D.; Marangon, E.; Noberasco, C.; Hess, D.; Delmonte, A.; Martinelli, G.; Bohm, S.; Driessen, C.; De Braud, F.; Marsoni, S.; Cereda, R.; Sala, F.; D'Incalci, M.; Sessa, C. A first in human phase I study of the proteasome inhibitor CEP-18770 in patients with advanced solid tumours and multiple myeloma. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2013**, *49*, 290.
- (9) Huang, Z.; Wu, Y.; Zhou, X.; Xu, J.; Zhu, W.; Shu, Y.; Liu, P. Efficacy of therapy with bortezomib in solid tumors: a review based on 32 clinical trials. *Future Oncol.* **2014**, *10*, 1795.

- (10) Arastu-Kapur, S.; Anderl, J. L.; Kraus, M.; Parlati, F.; Shenk, K. D.; Lee, S. J.; Muchamuel, T.; Bennett, M. K.; Driessen, C.; Ball, A. J.; Kirk, C. J. Nonproteasomal Targets of the Proteasome Inhibitors Bortezomib and Carfilzomib: a Link to Clinical Adverse Events. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2011**, *17*, 2734.
- (11) Bennett, M. K.; Kirk, C. J. Development of proteasome inhibitors in oncology and autoimmune diseases. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery* **2008**, *11*, 2008.
- (12) Neilsen, P. M.; Pehere, A. D.; Pishas, K. I.; Callen, D. F.; Abell, A. D. New 26S Proteasome Inhibitors with High Selectivity for Chymotrypsin-Like Activity and p53-Dependent Cytotoxicity. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8*, 353.
- (13) Braun, H. A.; Umbreen, S.; Groll, M.; Kuckelkorn, U.; Mlynarczuk, I.; Wigand, M. E.; Drung, I.; Kloetzel, P.-M.; Schmidt, B. Tripeptide Mimetics Inhibit the 20 S Proteasome by Covalent Bonding to the Active Threonines. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 28394.
- (14) Prescher, J. A.; Bertozzi, C. R. Chemistry in living systems. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2005**, *1*, 13.
- (15) Griffin, R. J. The medicinal chemistry of the azido group. *Prog. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *31*, 121.
- (16) Ito, A.; Tokawa, K.; Shimizu, B. Peptide aldehydes inhibiting chymotrypsin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1972**, *49*, 343.
- (17) Stein, R. L.; Strimpler, A. M. Slow-binding inhibition of chymotrypsin and cathepsin G by the peptide aldehyde chymostatin. *Biochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 2611.
- (18) Fukiage, C.; Azuma, M.; Nakamura, Y.; Tamada, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Shearer, T. R. SJA6017, a newly synthesized peptide aldehyde inhibitor of calpain: amelioration of cataract in cultured rat lenses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis.* **1997**, *1361*, 304.
- (19) Abell, A. D.; Jones, M. A.; Coxon, J. M.; Morton, J. D.; Aitken, S. G.; McNabb, S. B.; Lee, H. Y. Y.; Mehrkens, J. M.; Alexander, N. A.; Stuart, B. G.; Neffe, A. T.; Bickerstaffe, R. Molecular modeling, synthesis, and biological evaluation of macrocyclic calpain inhibitors. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1455.
- (20) Chua, K. C. H.; Pietsch, M.; Zhang, X.; Hautmann, S.; Chan, H. Y.; Bruning, J. B.; Gütschow, M.; Abell, A. D. Macrocyclic Protease Inhibitors with Reduced Peptide Character. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7828.
- (21) Boros, E. E.; Deaton, D. N.; Hassell, A. M.; McFadyen, R. B.; Miller, A. B.; Miller, L. R.; Paulick, M. G.; Shewchuk, L. M.; Thompson, J. B.; Willard, D. H., Jr; Wright, L. L. Exploration of the P2–P3 SAR of aldehyde cathepsin K inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 3425.
- (22) Zhu, Y.; Zhao, X.; Zhu, X.; Wu, G.; Li, Y.; Ma, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Yang, J.; Hu, Y.; Ai, L.; Gao, Q. Design, Synthesis, Biological Evaluation, and Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) Discussion of Dipeptidyl Boronate Proteasome Inhibitors, Part I: Comprehensive Understanding of the SAR of α -Amino Acid Boronates. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 4192.
- (23) Mroczkiewicz, M.; Winkler, K.; Nowis, D.; Placha, G.; Golab, J.; Ostaszewski, R. Studies of the synthesis of all stereoisomers of MG-132 proteasome inhibitors in the tumor targeting approach. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53*, 1509.
- (24) Demo, S. D.; Kirk, C. J.; Aujay, M. A.; Buchholz, T. J.; Dajee, M.; Ho, M. N.; Jiang, J.; Laidig, G. J.; Lewis, E. R.; Parlati, F.; Shenk, K. D.; Smyth, M. S.; Sun, C. M.; Vallone, M. K.; Woo, T. M.; Molineaux, C. J.; Bennett, M. K. Antitumor Activity of PR-171, a Novel Irreversible Inhibitor of the Proteasome. *Cancer Res.* **2007**, *67*, 6383.
- (25) Hideshima, T.; Richardson, P.; Chauhan, D.; Palombella, V. J.; Elliott, P. J.; Adams, J.; Anderson, K. C. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 inhibits growth, induces apoptosis, and overcomes drug resistance in human multiple myeloma cells. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 2001.
- (26) Borissenko, L.; Groll, M. 20S Proteasome and Its Inhibitors: Crystallographic Knowledge for Drug Development. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 687.
- (27) Schechter, I.; Berger, A. On the size of the active site in proteases. I. Papain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1967**, *27*, 157.
- (28) Kisselev, A. F.; Callard, A.; Goldberg, A. L. Importance of the different proteolytic sites of the proteasome and the efficacy of inhibitors varies with the protein substrate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, *281*, 8582.
- (29) Bruning, A.; Vogel, M.; Mylonas, I.; Friese, K.; Burges, A. Bortezomib Targets the Caspase-Like Proteasome Activity in Cervical Cancer Cells, Triggering Apoptosis That Can be Enhanced by Nelfinavir. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **2011**, *11*, 799.
- (30) Lu, S.; Wang, J. The resistance mechanisms of proteasome inhibitor bortezomib. *Biomark. Res.* **2013**, *1*, 13.
- (31) Niewerth, D.; Kaspers, G. J.; Assaraf, Y. G.; van Meerloo, J.; Kirk, C. J.; Anderl, J.; Blank, J. L.; van de Ven, P. M.; Zweegman, S.; Jansen, G.; Cloos, J. Interferon-gamma-induced upregulation of immunoproteasome subunit assembly overcomes bortezomib resistance in human hematological cell lines. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2014**, *7*, 7.
- (32) Neilsen, P. M.; Pehere, A. D.; Pishas, K. I.; Callen, D. F.; Abell, A. D. New 26S proteasome inhibitors with high selectivity for chymotrypsin-like activity and p53-dependent cytotoxicity. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8*, 353.
- (33) Harris, G. F. T.; Anderson, M. E.; Lee, J. H. The effect of proteasome inhibition on p53 degradation and proliferation in tonsil epithelial cells. *Arch. Otolaryngol., Head Neck Surg.* **2008**, *134*, 353.