Original article

Comparison of closing-wedge and opening-wedge high tibial osteotomies for medial compartment osteoarthritis of knee in Asian population: Mid-term follow-up

Sanjay Agarwala^{a,*}, Anshul Sobti^b, Siddhant Naik^a, Sameer Chaudhari^a

^a P.D. Hinduja National Hospital and Medical Research Centre, Veer Savarkar Marg Mahim, Mumbai 400016, India

^b Darlington Memorial Hospital, County Durham & Darlington Foundation Trust, DL3 6HX, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2015

Received in revised form 5 June 2016

Accepted 14 June 2016

Available online 23 June 2016

Keywords:

High tibial osteotomy

Medial opening-wedge osteotomy

Lateral closing-wedge osteotomy

MOWHTO

CWHTO

ABSTRACT

Background: Valgus high tibial osteotomy (HTO) is a well-established treatment option for patients with early osteoarthritis of the medial compartment of the knee. It is achievable with either open-wedge or closed-wedge technique. The aim of the study was to compare the radiological and clinical outcomes following HTO done with open- and closed-wedge techniques.

Material and methods: Two groups of patients undergoing HTO and fixation with locking plates were compared. Twenty-five patients were operated using medial open-wedge technique and 23 using closed-wedge technique between January 2000 and June 2014. They were compared on the basis of Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS), knee score, preoperative and postoperative range of motion, femoro-tibial angle and duration required for full-weight bearing. Early and late postoperative complications were noted.

Results: Median HSS score at 6 months and 1 year post-surgery was better in the open-wedge technique group. The range of motion was also higher at early follow-up in the open-wedge technique group, with shorter duration for full-weight bearing. Delayed union was seen in two patients in the open-wedge technique group and four patients in closed-wedge group. Peroneal nerve palsy was noted in two patients in the closed-wedge group.

Conclusion: Both open-wedge and closed-wedge HTO lead to good and comparable results. However, the technique of open-wedge osteotomy provided better outcome in terms of functional scores in early as well as late follow-up with decreased duration required for full-weight bearing.

© 2016 Delhi Orthopedic Association. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the most common joint disorders encountered today is osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee causing considerable pain immobility and deformity. The associated malalignment in the joint due to the deformity increases the progression of osteoarthritis of the knee.^{1,2} The gold standard treatment for advanced tri-compartmental osteoarthritis of the knee has been total knee arthroplasty. For patients with early osteoarthritis of the medial compartment of the knee, valgus high tibial osteotomy (HTO) is considered as a well-established treatment option.³ The aim of the osteotomy is to change the load distribution across the knee from

the involved medial compartment to the healthy lateral compartment in order to reduce pain, slow the degenerative process and ultimately delay the requirement of total knee replacement.^{4–7} Various techniques, including lateral closed-wedge, medial opening-wedge, dome osteotomy and hemicallotasis with external fixator, etc., are available. Each technique has its own advantages and disadvantages.^{8–11}

There is inadequate literature about the long-term results of open-wedge osteotomy as compared with closed-wedge osteotomy fixed with locking plates. Our aim in this study is to determine the radiographic and clinical mid-term results as well as the survival rate in our participants comparing open-wedge and closed-wedge osteotomies, which have been stabilized by locking plates.

2. Materials and methods

A total of 48 patients who underwent HTO at a tertiary hospital for medial compartment OA knee were considered for the study.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Orthopaedics, P.D. Hinduja National Hospital and Medical Research Centre, Veer Savarkar Marg, Mahim, Mumbai 400016, India. Tel.: +91 9869446644; fax: +91 0224447185.

E-mail addresses: drsa2011@gmail.com (S. Agarwala), anshulsobti@gmail.com (A. Sobti), siddhant.naik@hotmail.com (S. Naik), sameertch@gmail.com (S. Chaudhari).

The Institutional Review Board granted permission for the study. All patients were operated by a single, senior orthopaedic consultant at the same centre between January 2000 and June 2014. The patients were divided into two groups. The first group underwent medial open-wedge osteotomy and the second group underwent closed-wedge osteotomy. Both the osteotomies were secured with a locked plate and screws manufactured by Synthes. The indication for osteotomy was medial compartmental osteoarthritis of the knee. Patients with cardiac, respiratory, hepatic and renal disorders were excluded from the study.

In the first group, 13 men and 12 women (32 knees) aged 48–60 (mean, 55) years underwent opening-wedge technique; the extent of the wedge depended on the length of the osteotomy and diameter of the proximal part of the tibia and was calculated preoperatively. The AO manual¹¹ provides goniometric formula table, which gives the extent of the opening wedge for a specific correction. Also, during surgery, the degree of correction was corrected fluoroscopically.

The second group of 13 men and 10 women (30 knees) aged 48–60 (mean, 55) years underwent closed-wedge osteotomy with locking compression plate fixation (Table 1). Before surgery, similar to the opening-wedge group, the amount of bone to be resected was calculated as per the AO manual.¹¹ The incision was a midline vertical one, so as to accommodate the locking compression plate and when necessary enable switching to a total knee arthroplasty. The common peroneal nerve was exposed and retracted. The anterior aspect of the proximal part of the fibular head, which represents the anterior part of the proximal tibiofibular syndesmosis, was resected. Fragments were fixed with a locking compression plate and screws.

In both the cases, the knee was kept in a removable long knee brace. Early mobilization and exercises were possible due to enhanced rigidity of the plate. All other conditions remained the same for both groups. All the patients were clinically and radiologically examined before surgery and after surgery and in the subsequent follow-ups at every 6 weeks until 6 months, at 1 year, and yearly thereafter. Toe touch weight bearing was started at 6 weeks. Full-weight bearing was allowed after bone union. The Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS).¹² Knee Scores were determined based on pain, function, range of movement, muscle strength, flexion deformity and instability. Scores of 85–100 were considered excellent, 75–84 as good, 60–74 as fair and <60 as poor. Bone union was considered confirmatory when there was no tenderness along the osteotomy line and no pain during weight bearing, together with radiological evidence of callus formation in the form of crossover trabeculae. Delayed union was defined as non-union at 6 months, with distinct tenderness along the osteotomy line, pain during weight bearing and scarcity of crossover trabeculae. The femoro-tibial angle was measured. The stage of osteoarthritis was determined using antero-posterior radiographs of the legs in stance position, according to the Hokkaido University grading system¹³ (Table 2).

Table 1
Demographics of the study.

Parameters	Open-wedge osteotomy	Closed-wedge osteotomy
Men	13	13
Women	12	10
Mean age	56 years	55 years
Knees	32	30
No. of knees with stage II osteoarthritis	19	16
No. of knees with stage III osteoarthritis	13	14

Table 2

Stages of osteoarthritis according to The Hokkaido University Grading System.¹³

Stage	Radiological finding
I	Bony spur only
II	Narrowing of joint space (<half normal joint space)
III	Narrowing of joint space (>half normal joint space)
IV	Obliteration of joint space or minor bone erosion (<1 cm)
V	Major bone erosion (>1 cm) or subluxation

3. Results

No patients were lost to follow-up. As shown in Table 1, both the groups were comparable in terms of demographics and the number of knees operated. There were more cases of stage III arthritis than stage II in the open-wedge osteotomy (19 vs. 13) group as compared to the closed-wedge osteotomy group (16 vs. 14). There was no significant difference in terms of the duration required for surgery as well as the intra-operative blood loss. The preoperative median HSS score as well as the femoro-tibial angle was comparable in both the groups.

The median HSS scores at 6 months and 1 year post-surgery were better in the open-wedge group than the closed-wedge group. Six months after surgery, the number of patients with excellent and good HSS scores was higher in the open-wedge osteotomy group (25) as compared to the closed-wedge osteotomy (16) group ($P < 0.05$). The trend of more number of patients having excellent and good HSS scores in the open-wedge osteotomy group as opposed to closed-wedge osteotomy group continued at 1 year of follow-up. All these results were statistically significant. However, at 3 years follow-up, there was no change in these numbers (Table 3) and the results were not significant statistically.

As shown in Table 4, the femoro-tibial angles in both open-wedge and closed-wedge osteotomies remained similar at 6 months, 1 year and 3 years follow-up. There was minimal loss of correction in both the groups.

The range of motion of the knee joint was better in the open-wedge group as compared to the closed-wedge group at 6 weeks of follow-up, as illustrated by Table 5. However, at subsequent follow-ups, no difference was seen. The duration required for

Table 3

Hospital for special surgery scores for open- vs. closing-wedge high tibial osteotomies.

Parameters	Open-wedge osteotomy	Closed-wedge osteotomy	P value
Median (range) HSS score			
Preoperative	54 (40–64)	53 (45–61)	0.675 ^a
Postoperative – 6 months	77 (68–85)	76 (58–87)	0.012 ^a
Postoperative – 1 year	82 (69–87)	76 (59–87)	0.033 ^a
Postoperative – 3 years	82 (70–85)	75 (58–86)	0.657 ^a
Postoperative HSS score (no. [%] of patients)			
6 months			0.039 ^b
Excellent (85–100)	5 (16)	3 (9)	
Good (75–84)	20 (63)	13 (46)	
Fair (60–74)	4 (12)	9 (28)	
Poor (<60)	3 (9)	5 (17)	
1 year			0.856 ^b
Excellent (85–100)	10 (32)	7 (23)	
Good (75–84)	18 (56)	14 (47)	
Fair (60–74)	3 (9)	6 (20)	
Poor (<60)	1 (3)	3 (10)	
3 years			0.423 ^b
Excellent (85–100)	10 (32)	7 (23)	
Good (75–84)	18 (56)	14 (47)	
Fair (60–74)	3 (9)	6 (20)	
Poor (<60)	1 (3)	3 (10)	

^a Mann-Whitney *U* test.

^b Fisher's exact test.

Table 4

Comparison of femoro-tibial angle for open-wedge vs. closed-wedge osteotomies.

Parameters	Open-wedge osteotomy	Closed-wedge osteotomy	P value
Median (range) femoro-tibial angle			0.584 ^a
Preoperative	187° (182°–203°)	190° (181°–200°)	0.774 ^a
Postoperative – 1 year	170° (166°–176°)	171° (167°–175°)	0.465 ^a
Postoperative – 3 years	170° (166°–176°)	171° (167°–175°)	0.234 ^a
Median (range) correction loss	0.5° (0°–2°)	0.5° (0°–2°)	0.337 ^a

^a Mann–Whitney *U* test.**Table 5**

Comparison of postoperative range of motion, time for full-weight bearing and delayed union.

Parameters	Open-wedge osteotomy	Closed-wedge osteotomy	P value
Postop median ROM (% of normal)			
6 weeks	123° (71)	118° (84)	0.184 ^a
3 months	137° (98)	135° (96)	0.234 ^a
6 months	137° (98)	137° (98)	0.562 ^a
1 year	137° (98)	137° (98)	0.231 ^a
Median (range) time to full-weight bearing (days)	72 (67–110)	86 (80–135)	0.345 ^a
No. (%) delayed union	2 (6)	2 (7)	0.175 ^a

^a Mann–Whitney *U* test.

full-weight bearing was much shorter in the first group as compared to the second group. The results achieved by these comparisons were not statistically significant.

In both the groups, there were no intra-operative complications. One knee in open-wedge osteotomy and two in the other group developed superficial infection. All three cases were managed by oral antibiotics. Peroneal nerve palsy was seen in two patients in closed-wedge group. Compartment syndrome was not seen in any of the cases. Delayed union was seen in two patients in the open-wedge group and four patients in the closed-wedge group (Table 5). In one case in the open-wedge osteotomy, the plate had to be exchanged so as to ensure stability and promote union at the osteotomy site. The results in these comparisons were not statistically significant.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this retrospective study was to compare the open-wedge and closed-wedge osteotomies for medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee. Luites et al.¹⁴ reported that equal stability is achieved for both medial opening-wedge and lateral closed-wedge osteotomies when fixed with a Tomofix plate. No differences were reported in both these groups in the time to regain knee function and full-weight bearing. Pain and knee function also improved significantly in both these groups without any differences. According to a randomized clinical trial published by Gaasbeek et al.,¹¹ both these techniques lead to good and comparable results. In both these techniques, there was significant improvement in WOMAC and KS scores. In our study, the functional scores were statistically significantly better for the open-wedge group till 1 year; however, the scores were comparable at 3-year follow-up.

Duivenvoorden et al. reported no difference between the high tibial closing-wedge and opening-wedge osteotomies in terms of clinical outcomes or radiographic alignment at 6 years of follow-up; opening-wedge osteotomy was associated with more complications while closing-wedge osteotomy was associated with earlier conversions to total knee arthroplasty.¹⁶

In studies conducted by Brouwer et al.,¹⁰ they showed that the closed-wedge osteotomy achieved significantly more accurate correction. They also concluded that both techniques reduce pain and improve function at follow-up of 1 year. The opening-wedge osteotomy with a Puddu plate was associated with a higher number of complications. In a prospective cohort study by von den Bekerom et al.,¹⁵ comparison of a lateral AO/ASIF L-plate (AO, Davos Platz, Switzerland) with a medial Puddu plate showed a higher rate of non-union, loss of correction and failure of the implant in the opening-wedge group. In our study, the results were comparable in terms of range of motion, union, amount of correction and the complication rates.

Schallberger et al.¹⁷ found that survival of open- as well as closed-wedge osteotomy was comparable in their series. It was associated with low pain scores, high satisfaction and high activity levels of the survivors. Luites et al.¹⁸ observed excellent stability, significantly improved knee function and reduced pain with both, opening- and closing-wedge osteotomies. They also concluded that the opening-wedge technique was more likely to produce the intended correction.

Fig. 1. A 51-year-old female patient having medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee (a). Correction of varus was achieved after surgery as seen in antero-posterior (b) and lateral (c) views. Scannogram after 1 year (d) shows good union at the osteotomy site.

Fig. 2. A 55-year-old male patient with medial compartment osteoarthritis. The preoperative scannogram shows genu varum (a), which was corrected after closed-wedge osteotomy and fixation with locked plate (b).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has shown that both open-wedge (Fig. 1) and closed-wedge high tibial osteotomies (Fig. 2) lead to good and comparable results. However, the technique of open-wedge osteotomy provided better functional outcome in terms of functional scores in early as well as late follow-up. The duration required for full-weight bearing was also less in this group as compared to the closed-wedge osteotomy. Peroneal nerve palsy

continued to remain a significant complication in patients in whom close-wedge osteotomy was done. High tibial osteotomy performed by the open-wedge technique eliminates the possibility of peroneal nerve palsy.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have none to declare.

References

- Sharma L, Song J, Felson DT, Cahue S, Shamiyeh E, Dunlop DD. The role of knee alignment in disease progression and functional decline in knee osteoarthritis. *JAMA*. 2001;286(July (2)):188–195.
- Brouwer GM, van Tol AW, Bergink AP, et al. Association between valgus and varus alignment and the development and progression of radiographic osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2007;56(April (4)):1204–1211.
- Brinkman J-M, Lobenhoffer P, Agneskirchner JD, Staubli AE, Wymenga AB, van Heerwaarden RJ. Osteotomies around the knee: patient selection, stability of fixation and bone healing in high tibial osteotomies. *J Bone Jt Surg Br*. 2008;90(December (12)):1548–1557.
- Conventry MB. Osteotomy of the upper portion of the tibia for degenerative arthritis of the knee. A preliminary report by Mark B. Conventry, MD. From the Section of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic and Mayo Foundation, Rochester, Minnesota, 1965. *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. 2001;83-A(September (9)):1426.
- Fujisawa Y, Masuhara K, Shiomi S. The effect of high tibial osteotomy on osteoarthritis of the knee. An arthroscopic study of 54 knee joints. *Orthop Clin N Am*. 1979;10(July (3)):585–608.
- Maquet P. The treatment of choice in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1985;(January (192)):108–112.
- Hernigou P, Medevielle D, Debeyre J, Goutallier D. Proximal tibial osteotomy for osteoarthritis with varus deformity. A ten to thirteen-year follow-up study. *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. 1987;69(March (3)):332–354.
- Magyar G, Ahl TL, Vibe P, Toksvig-Larsen S, Lindstrand A. Open-wedge osteotomy by hemicallosis or the closed-wedge technique for osteoarthritis of the knee. A randomised study of 50 operations. *J Bone Jt Surg Br*. 1999;81(May (3)):444–448.
- Kaper BP, Bourne RB, Rorabeck CH, Macdonald SJ. Patellar infera after high tibial osteotomy. *J Arthroplasty*. 2001;16(February (2)):168–173.
- Brouwer RW, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, van Raaij TM, Verhaar JAN. Osteotomy for medial compartment arthritis of the knee using a closing wedge or an opening wedge controlled by a Puddu plate. A one-year randomised, controlled study. *J Bone Jt Surg Br*. 2006;88(November (11)):1454–1459.
- Gaasbeek RDA, Nicolaas L, Rijnberg WJ, van Loon CJM, van Kampen A. Correction accuracy and collateral laxity in open versus closed wedge high tibial osteotomy. A one-year randomised controlled study. *Int Orthop*. 2010;34(February (2)):201–207.
- Insall J, Ranawat CS, Scott WN, Walker P. Total condylar knee replacement: preliminary report. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1976;(October (120)):149–154.
- Yasuda K, Majima T, Tsuchida T, Kaneda K. A ten- to 15-year follow-up observation of high tibial osteotomy in medial compartment osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1992;(September (282)):186–195.
- Luites JWH, Brinkman J-M, Wymenga AB, van Heerwaarden RJ. Fixation stability of opening- versus closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy: a randomised clinical trial using radiostereometry. *J Bone Jt Surg Br*. 2009;91(November (11)):1459–1465.
- van den Bekerom MPJ, Patt TW, Kleinhou MY, van der Vis HM, Albers GHR. Early complications after high tibial osteotomy: a comparison of two techniques. *J Knee Surg*. 2008;21(January (1)):68–74.
- Duivenvoorden T, Brouwer RW, Baan A, et al. Comparison of closing-wedge and opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy for medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. 2014;96:1425–1432.
- Schallberger A, Jacobi M, Wahl P, Maestretti G, Jakob RP. High tibial valgus osteotomy in unicompartmental medial osteoarthritis of the knee: a retrospective follow-up study over 13–21 years. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2011;19(January (1)):122–127.
- Luites JW, Brinkman JM, Wymenga AB, van Heerwaarden RJ. Fixation stability of opening- versus closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy: a randomised clinical trial using radiostereometry. *J Bone Jt Surg Br*. 2009;91(November (11)):1459–1465.